

**CYCLIC HAMILTONIAN CYCLE SYSTEMS  
OF THE COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE GRAPH:  
EVEN NUMBER OF PARTS**

FRANCESCA MEROLA, ANITA PASOTTI, AND MARCO ANTONIO PELLEGRINI

ABSTRACT. A hamiltonian cycle system (HCS, for short) of a graph  $\Gamma$  is a partition of the edges of  $\Gamma$  into hamiltonian cycles. A HCS is *cyclic* when it is invariant under a cyclic permutation of all the vertices of  $\Gamma$ ; the existence problem for a cyclic HCS has been completely solved by Buratti and Del Fra in 2004 when  $\Gamma$  is the complete graph  $K_v$ ,  $v$  odd, and by Jordon and Morris in 2008 when  $\Gamma$  is the complete graph minus a 1-factor  $K_v - I$ ,  $v$  even. In this work we present a complete solution to the existence problem of a cyclic HCS for  $\Gamma = K_{m \times n}$ , the complete multipartite graph, when the number of parts  $m$  is even. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a cyclic and *symmetric* HCS of  $\Gamma$ ; the notion of a symmetric HCS of a graph  $\Gamma$  has been introduced in 2004 by Akiyama, Kobayashi, and Nakamura for  $\Gamma = K_v$ ,  $v$  odd, in 2011 by Brualdi and Schroeder when  $\Gamma = K_v - I$ ,  $v$  even, and, very recently, by Schroeder when  $\Gamma$  is the complete multipartite graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $K_v$  will denote the complete graph on  $v$  vertices and, if  $v$  is even,  $K_v - I$  will denote the cocktail party graph of order  $v$ , namely the graph obtained from  $K_v$  by removing a 1-factor  $I$ , that is a set of  $\frac{v}{2}$  pairwise disjoint edges. Also  $K_{m \times n}$  will denote the complete multipartite graph with  $m$  parts of size  $n$ ; if  $n = 1$ , we may identify  $K_{m \times 1}$  with  $K_m$ , while if  $n = 2$ ,  $K_{m \times 2}$  is nothing but the cocktail party graph  $K_{2m} - I$ .

For any graph  $\Gamma$  we write  $V(\Gamma)$  for the set of its vertices and  $E(\Gamma)$  for the set of its edges. We denote by  $C_\ell = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\ell-1})$  the cycle of length  $\ell$  whose edges are  $[c_0, c_1], [c_1, c_2], \dots, [c_{\ell-1}, c_0]$ . An  $\ell$ -*cycle system* of a graph  $\Gamma$  is a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of cycles of length  $\ell$ , said *blocks*, whose edges partition  $E(\Gamma)$ ; clearly a graph may admit a cycle system only if the degree of each vertex is even. For general background on cycle systems we refer to the survey [7]. An  $\ell$ -cycle system  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\Gamma$  is said to be *hamiltonian* if  $\ell = |V(\Gamma)|$ , namely if each cycle of the system passes through all the vertices of  $\Gamma$ , and it is said to be *cyclic* if we may identify  $V(\Gamma)$  with the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_v$ , and then for any  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\ell-1}) \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have also  $(c_0 + 1, c_1 + 1, \dots, c_{\ell-1} + 1) \in \mathcal{B}$ . The existence problem for cyclic cycle systems of  $K_v$  has generated a considerable amount of interest. Many authors have contributed to give a complete answer in the case  $v \equiv 1$  or  $\ell \pmod{2\ell}$ , see [9, 10, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25]. We point out in particular that the existence problem of a cyclic hamiltonian cycle system for  $K_v$  has been

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05B30.

*Key words and phrases.* Hamiltonian cycle; cyclic cycle system; symmetric hamiltonian cycle system; complete multipartite graph.

solved by Buratti and Del Fra in [10], and that for  $K_v - I$  the existence problem of a cyclic hamiltonian cycle system has been solved by Jordon and Morris [16].

The existence problem for cycle systems of the complete multipartite graph has not been solved yet, but we have many interesting recent results on this topic (see for instance [4, 5, 23, 24]). Still, very little is known about the same problem with the additional constraint that the system be cyclic. We have a complete solution in the following very special cases: the length of the cycles is equal to the size of the parts [11]; the cycles are Hamiltonian and the parts have size two [13, 16]. We have also some partial results in [3].

Hamiltonian cycle systems (briefly HCS) of  $K_{m \times n}$  have been shown to exist ([17]) whenever the degree of each vertex of the graph, that is  $(m - 1)n$ , is even; in this paper we start investigating cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ , and completely solve the existence problem for complete multipartite graphs with an even number of parts.

We also consider the existence of a *symmetric* HCS for  $K_{m \times n}$ , a concept recently introduced by Schroeder in [22] generalizing the notion of symmetry given in [6] for cocktail party graphs: in this definition, a HCS for  $K_{m \times n}$  is  $\phi_n$ -*symmetric* if each cycle in the system is invariant under a fixed-point-free automorphism of order  $n$ . We will show that the cycle systems we shall construct will turn out to be symmetric in this sense.

The paper is organized as follows: after some preliminary notes in Section 2 on the methods we shall use, in Section 3 we establish a necessary condition in the case  $n$  even for the existence of a cyclic cycle system (not necessarily hamiltonian) of  $K_{m \times n}$  from which we derive a necessary condition for the existence of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ . Then in Section 4 we give a complete solution to the existence problem of a cyclic HCS with an even number of parts, proving that in this case the necessary condition we found is also sufficient. The main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $m$  be even; a cyclic and  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS for  $K_{m \times n}$  exists if and only if*

- $n$  is even, and
- if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will follow from the various results proved in Sections 3 and 4. First, in Corollary 3.4 we give the necessary condition for the existence of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ . Then, in Proposition 4.2 we study the bipartite case, finally in Theorem 4.4 and in Theorem 4.9 we deal with the case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The main results of this paper will be obtained by using the method of *partial differences* introduced by Marco Buratti in 2004 and used in many papers, see for instance [2, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 25]. Here we recall some definitions and results useful in the rest of the paper.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $C = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\ell-1})$  be an  $\ell$ -cycle with vertices in an abelian group  $G$  and let  $d$  be the order of the stabilizer of  $C$  under the natural action of  $G$ ,*

that is  $d = |\{g \in G : C + g = C\}|$ . The multisets

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta C &= \{\pm(c_{h+1} - c_h) \mid 0 \leq h < \ell\}, \\ \partial C &= \{\pm(c_{h+1} - c_h) \mid 0 \leq h < \ell/d\},\end{aligned}$$

where the subscripts are taken modulo  $\ell$ , are called the list of differences from  $C$  and the list of partial differences from  $C$ , respectively.

More generally, given a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\ell$ -cycles with vertices in  $G$ , by  $\Delta\mathcal{B}$  and  $\partial\mathcal{B}$  one means the union (counting multiplicities) of all multisets  $\Delta C$  and  $\partial C$  respectively, where  $C \in \mathcal{B}$ .

We recall that the *Cayley graph on a group  $G$  with connection set  $\Omega$* , denoted by  $\text{Cay}[G : \Omega]$ , is the graph whose vertices are the elements of  $G$  and in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if their difference is an element of  $\Omega$ . Note that  $K_{m \times n}$  can be interpreted as the Cayley graph  $\text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}]$ , where by  $m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  we mean the subgroup of order  $n$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . The vertices of  $K_{m \times n}$  will be always understood as elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  and the parts of  $K_{m \times n}$  are the cosets of  $m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . The stabilizer and the orbit of any subgraph  $\Gamma$  of  $K_{m \times n}$  will be understood to be under the natural action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  and will be denoted by  $\text{Stab}(\Gamma)$  and  $\text{Orb}(\Gamma)$ , respectively. A cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  is completely determined by a set of *base cycles*, namely a complete system of representatives for the orbits of its cycles under the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . A set of base cycles may be obtained using partial differences, as the next theorem, which adapts a result contained in [14], shows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *A set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $mn$ -cycles is a set of base cycles of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  if and only if  $\partial\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ .*

In Example 2.4 we will show how to construct a cyclic HCS of  $K_{10 \times 6}$  applying Theorem 2.2.

For our purposes the following notation will be useful.

Let  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}, x$  be elements of an additive group  $G$ , with  $x$  of order  $d$ . The closed trail represented by the concatenation of the sequences

$$\begin{aligned}& [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}], \\ & [c_0 + x, c_1 + x, \dots, c_{r-1} + x], \\ & [c_0 + 2x, c_1 + 2x, \dots, c_{r-1} + 2x], \\ & \dots \\ & [c_0 + (d-1)x, c_1 + (d-1)x, \dots, c_{r-1} + (d-1)x]\end{aligned}$$

will be denoted by

$$(1) \quad [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]_x.$$

For brevity, given  $P = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]$ , we write  $[P]_x$  for the closed trail  $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]_x$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Note that  $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]_x$  is a  $(dr)$ -cycle if and only if the elements  $c_i$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ , belong to pairwise distinct cosets of the subgroup  $\langle x \rangle$  in  $G$ . Also, if  $C = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]_x$  is a  $(dr)$ -cycle then

$$\partial C = \{\pm(c_i - c_{i-1}) \mid i = 1, \dots, r-1\} \cup \{\pm(c_0 + x - c_{r-1})\}.$$

We point out that in the case of cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ , we have that  $dr = mn$ , the order of  $\text{Stab}(C)$  is  $d$  and the length of the  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ -orbit of  $C$  is  $r$ .

**Example 2.4.** Here we present the construction of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{10 \times 6}$ . Consider the following cycles with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}_{60}$ :

$$C_1 = [0, 19, 1, 17, 3, 15, 6, 14, 8, 12]_{10}, \quad C_2 = [0, 29, 1, 28, 2, 27, 3, 26, 4, 25]_{10},$$

$$C_3 = [0, 3]_2, \quad C_4 = [0, 7]_2, \quad C_5 = [0, 13]_2, \quad C_6 = [0]_{17}.$$

One can easily check that  $\mathcal{B} = \{C_1, \dots, C_6\}$  is a set of hamiltonian cycles of  $K_{10 \times 6}$  and that:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial C_1 &= \pm\{19, 18, 16, 14, 12, 9, 8, 6, 4, 2\}, \\ \partial C_2 &= \pm\{29, 28, 27, 26, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 15\}, \\ \partial C_3 &= \pm\{3, 1\}, \quad \partial C_4 = \pm\{7, 5\}, \quad \partial C_5 = \pm\{13, 11\}, \quad \partial C_6 = \pm\{17\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\partial\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{60} - 10\mathbb{Z}_{60}$ . So, in view of Theorem 2.2, we can conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of base cycles of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{10 \times 6}$ .

Explicitly the required system consists of the following 27 cycles:

$$\{C_1 + i, C_2 + i \mid i = 0, \dots, 9\} \cup \{C_3 + i, C_4 + i, C_5 + i \mid i = 0, 1\} \cup \{C_6\}.$$

A HCS of the complete graph  $K_v$ ,  $v$  odd, is said to be *symmetric* if there is an involutory permutation  $\phi$  of the vertices of  $K_v$  fixing all its cycles; in the case  $v$  even, a HCS of the cocktail party graph  $K_v - I$  is *symmetric* if all its cycles are fixed by the involution switching all pairs of endpoints of the edges of  $I$ . This definition is due to Akiyama, Kobayashi and Nakamura [1] in the case  $v$  odd, and to Brualdi and Schroeder [6] in the case  $v$  even; it is easy to see that a symmetric HCS always exists in the odd case (an example is the well-known Walecki construction), while in the even case we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.5** (Brualdi and Schroeder [6]). *A symmetric HCS of  $K_v - I$  exists if and only if  $\frac{v}{2} \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$ .*

In [13], the authors study the case of a HCS of  $K_v$  which is *both* cyclic and symmetric; their result in the case  $v$  even is that there exists a cyclic and symmetric HCS of  $K_v$  for all values for which a cyclic HCS exists, that is for  $\frac{v}{2} \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$  and  $\frac{v}{2}$  not a prime power.

Very recently Michael Schroeder [22] studied HCS for a graph  $\Gamma$  in which each cycle is fixed by a fixed-point free automorphism  $\phi_n$  of  $\Gamma$  of order  $n > 2$ , so that  $V(\Gamma) = mn$  for some  $m$ ; we shall call such a HCS  $\phi_n$ -*symmetric*.

To admit a  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS,  $\Gamma$  must be a subgraph of  $K_{m \times n}$ , and in [22] the existence problem of a  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS for  $K_{m \times n}$  is completely solved in the following result.

**Theorem 2.6** (Schroeder [22]). *Let  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$  be integers such that  $(m-1)n$  is even. A  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS for  $K_{m \times n}$  exists if and only if, if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then  $m \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$ .*

Note that we shall see the same non-existence condition later on in Corollary 3.4. It makes sense therefore to study, as done in [13] for the cocktail party graph, HCS for the complete multipartite graph that are *both* cyclic and symmetric. As noted above,  $K_{m \times n}$  is the Cayley graph  $\text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}]$ , and we let  $\phi_n$  be the morphism  $x \mapsto x + m \pmod{mn}$ . We have the following condition for a cycle in a cyclic cycle system to be  $\phi_n$ -invariant.

**Lemma 2.7.** *A cycle  $C$  in a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  is  $\phi_n$ -invariant if and only if  $n$  divides  $|\text{Stab}(C)|$  - or equivalently, if  $|\text{Orb}(C)|$  divides  $\frac{mn}{n} = m$ .*

**Example 2.8.** Let us consider once more the cycles we used in Example 2.4; we can easily see that the cycle system is also  $\phi_6$ -symmetric, since the length of the orbit is 10 for cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , 2 for cycles  $C_3, C_4, C_5$  and 1 for  $C_6$ .

### 3. NON-EXISTENCE RESULTS

In this section we shall present some non-existence results for cycle systems of the complete multipartite graph  $K_{m \times n}$ ; the methods used here will be closely related to those used in [15], where the case of the cocktail party graph is considered. The results will concern general cycle systems; we will then apply these results to the hamiltonian case.

The following lemma is an immediate generalization of Lemma 2.1 of [15], hence we omit the proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $C = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\ell-1})$  be a cycle belonging to a cyclic cycle system of  $K_{m \times n}$  and let  $d$  be the order of  $\text{Stab}(C)$ . Then  $\text{Orb}(C)$  is an  $\ell$ -cycle system of  $\text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \{\pm(c_{i-1} - c_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \frac{\ell}{d}\}]$ .*

The next result adapts Theorem 2.2 of [15] to the  $m$ -partite case.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $n$  be an even integer. The number of cycle-orbits of odd length in a cyclic cycle-decomposition of  $K_{m \times n}$  has the same parity of  $\frac{m(m-1)n^2}{8}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a cyclic cycle system of  $K_{m \times n}$ . For every  $\ell$ -cycle  $C = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\ell-1})$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  set

$$\sigma(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/d} (c_{i-1} - c_i) = (c_0 - c_1) + (c_1 - c_2) + \dots + (c_{\ell/d-1} - c_{\ell/d}) = c_0 - c_{\ell/d},$$

where  $d$  is the order of  $\text{Stab}(C)$ . It is easy to see that  $c_{\ell/d} = c_0 + \rho$  where  $\rho$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  of order  $d$  and hence we have

$$\sigma(C) = \frac{mnx}{d} \quad \text{with } \gcd(x, d) = 1.$$

Since  $n$  is even, we have that  $\sigma(C)$  is even if and only if  $d$  is a divisor of  $\frac{mn}{2}$ ; on the other hand, since the length of  $\text{Orb}(C)$  is  $\frac{mn}{d}$ , also  $|\text{Orb}(C)|$  is even if and only if  $d$  is a divisor of  $\frac{mn}{2}$ . For any cycle  $C \in \mathcal{B}$ , we thus have that

$$(2) \quad \sigma(C) \equiv |\text{Orb}(C)| \pmod{2}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{C_1, \dots, C_s\}$  be a set of base blocks of  $\mathcal{B}$ , that is a complete system of representatives for the orbits of the cycles of  $\mathcal{B}$ , so that we have

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{Orb}(C_1) \cup \text{Orb}(C_2) \cup \dots \cup \text{Orb}(C_s).$$

By Lemma 3.1, the cycles of  $\text{Orb}(C_i)$  form a cycle system of  $\text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \partial C_i]$  hence it results

$$\text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}] = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{Cay}[\mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \partial C_i] = \text{Cay} \left[ \mathbb{Z}_{mn} : \partial \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^s C_i \right) \right]$$

so we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \partial \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^s C_i \right) = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}.$$

Note that  $\partial C_i$  is a disjoint union of the set of summands of  $\sigma(C_i)$  and the set of their opposites. Hence, by (3), it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  is a disjoint union of the set of all summands of the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(C_i)$  and the set of their opposites. On the

other hand, we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn} = \{\alpha m + 1, \alpha m + 2, \dots, (\alpha + 1)m - 1 \mid \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , and so we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(C_i) = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_{m-1} + s_{m+1} + \dots + s_{\frac{nm}{2}-1}$$

where  $s_i = i$  or  $-i$  for each  $i$ . So since  $i$  and  $-i$  have the same parity, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sigma(C_i) \equiv 1+2+\dots+(m-1)+(m+1)+\dots+\left(\frac{nm}{2}-1\right) \equiv \frac{m(m-1)n^2}{8} \pmod{2}.$$

From (2) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s |\text{Orb}(C_i)| \equiv \frac{m(m-1)n^2}{8} \pmod{2}.$$

Hence the number of cycles  $C_i$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  whose orbit has odd length has the same parity of  $\frac{m(m-1)n^2}{8}$ , and the assertion follows.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main non-existence result. In the following given a positive integer  $x$  by  $|x|_2$  we will denote the largest  $e$  for which  $2^e$  divides  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $n$  be an even integer. A cyclic  $\ell$ -cycle system of  $K_{m \times n}$  cannot exist in each of the following cases:*

- (a)  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $|\ell|_2 = |m|_2 + 2|n|_2 - 1$ ;
- (b)  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $|\ell|_2 = |m-1|_2 + 2|n|_2 - 1$ ;
- (c)  $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $\ell \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (d)  $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $|\ell|_2 = 2|n|_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an  $\ell$ -cycle system of  $K_{m \times n}$ , obviously  $|\mathcal{B}| = |E(K_{m \times n})|/\ell = mn^2(m-1)/2\ell$ . Hence the number of cycle-orbits of odd length of a cyclic  $\ell$ -cycle system of  $K_{m \times n}$  has the same parity as  $mn^2(m-1)/2\ell$ . By Proposition 3.2, we have that  $mn^2(m-1)/2\ell \equiv mn^2(m-1)/8 \pmod{2}$ . Now the conclusion can be easily proved distinguishing four cases according to the congruence class of  $m \pmod{4}$ .  $\square$

If the cycles of the system are hamiltonian, that is if  $\ell = mn$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $n$  be an even integer. A cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  cannot exist if both  $m \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .*

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF CYCLIC AND SYMMETRIC HCS OF $K_{m \times n}$ , $m$ EVEN

In this section we present direct constructions of cyclic and symmetric HCS of the complete multipartite graph with an even number of parts. Since  $(m-1)n$  must be even, if  $m$  is even then  $n$  is even too; the condition in Corollary 3.4 tells us that, when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $m$  should also be congruent to 2  $\pmod{4}$ . If these two requirements are met, we will show that a cyclic and symmetric HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  always exists, and therefore we will prove Theorem 1.1.

As observed in the Introduction,  $K_{m \times 2} = K_{2m} - I$  is the cocktail party graph; thus we can suppose  $n \geq 2$ , since for  $n = 2$  we can rely on the following result.

**Theorem 4.1** (Jordon, Morris [16]; Buratti, Merola [13]). *For an even integer  $v \geq 4$  there exists a cyclic and symmetric HCS of  $K_v - I$  if, and only if,  $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{8}$  and  $v \neq 2p^\alpha$  where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $\alpha \geq 1$ .*

We start by considering the complete bipartite graph.

**Proposition 4.2.** *For any even integer  $n$  there exists a cyclic and  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{2 \times n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2\ell$ ; we need a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of base cycles such that  $\partial\mathcal{B} = \pm\{1, 3, \dots, 2\ell-1\}$ . Let us first assume  $\ell$  even. For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell/2-1$  consider the cycle  $C_i = [0, 4i+3]_2$ ; we have  $\partial C_i = \pm\{4i+1, 4i+3\}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell/2-1} C_i$  is a set of hamiltonian cycles of  $K_{2 \times n}$  such that  $\partial\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{2n} - 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ . Now assume that  $\ell$  is odd; for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1$  take  $C_i = [0, 4i+3]_2$  as above, and add the cycle  $C' = [0]_{2\ell-1}$ . Now  $\mathcal{B} = (\bigcup_{i=0}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1} C_i) \cup C'$  is a set of base cycles for a HCS of  $K_{2 \times n}$ . This cycle system is also  $\phi_n$ -symmetric by Lemma 2.7, since each cycle belongs to an orbit of length 1 or 2.  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** In this example we construct a cyclic and  $\phi_{14}$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{2 \times 14}$  following the proof of Proposition 4.2. Note that in this case  $n = 14$ , hence  $\ell = 7$ ; so we have the three cycles

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= (0, 3, 2, 5, 4, 7, 6, 9, 8, 11, 10, 13, 12, 15, 14, 17, 16, 19, 18, 21, 20, 23, 22, 25, \\ &\quad 24, 27, 26, 1) \\ C_1 &= (0, 7, 2, 9, 4, 11, 6, 13, 8, 15, 10, 17, 12, 19, 14, 21, 16, 23, 18, 25, 20, 27, 22, 1, \\ &\quad 24, 3, 26, 5) \\ C_2 &= (0, 11, 2, 13, 4, 15, 6, 17, 8, 19, 10, 21, 12, 23, 14, 25, 16, 27, 18, 1, 20, 3, 22, 5, \\ &\quad 24, 7, 26, 9) \end{aligned}$$

which together with

$$C' = (0, 13, 26, 11, 24, 9, 22, 7, 20, 5, 18, 3, 16, 1, 14, 27, 12, 25, 10, 23, 8, 21, 6, 19, 4, \\ 17, 2, 15)$$

form a set of base cycles for a cyclic and  $\phi_{14}$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{2 \times 14}$ .

Now we tackle the case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $m$  be an even integer and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then there exists a cyclic and  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $m \geq 4$ , since if  $m = 2$ , the statement follows from Proposition 4.2. We shall first give a construction for  $m$  a power of 2. Let  $m = 2^a$  and  $n = 4t$  with  $a > 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Consider the following path:

$$P_{i,b} = [0, 2mi + (2^{b+1} - 1), 1, 2mi + (2^{b+1} - 2), 2, 2mi + (2^{b+1} - 3), \dots, \\ (2^{b-1} - 1), 2mi + (2^{b+1} - 2^{b-1})]$$

for all  $b = 1, \dots, a$  and  $i = 0, \dots, t-1$ . Observe that the elements of  $P_{i,b}$  are pairwise distinct module  $2^b$  and hence  $A_{i,b} = [P_{i,b}]_{2^b}$  is a hamiltonian cycle of  $K_{m \times n}$ . One can check that

$$\partial A_{i,b} = \pm(\{2mi + 2^{b-1}\} \cup \{2mi + (2^b + 1), 2mi + (2^b + 2), \dots, 2mi + (2^{b+1} - 1)\}).$$

It turns out that  $\partial(\cup A_{i,b}) = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . Hence the existence of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$  follows from Theorem 2.2.

Now assume  $m = 2^a \bar{m}$  with  $a \geq 1$  and  $\bar{m} > 1$  odd. Take  $n = 4t$  with  $t \geq 1$ . We start constructing for all  $i = 0, \dots, t-1$  the following paths:

$$(4) \quad P_{i,j} = \begin{cases} [0, 2mi + (4j - 1)] & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2} \\ [0, 2mi + (4j + 1)] & \text{if } j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m} - 1 \end{cases} ;$$

$$(5) \quad Q_{i,1} = [0, 2mi + (4\bar{m} - 1), 1, 2mi + (4\bar{m} - 3), 3, \dots, \bar{m} - 2, 2mi + 3\bar{m}, \bar{m} + 1, 2mi + (3\bar{m} - 1), \bar{m} + 3, 2mi + (3\bar{m} - 3), \dots, 2\bar{m} - 2, 2mi + (2\bar{m} + 2)];$$

and, if  $a \geq 2$ , for all  $b = 2, \dots, a$  take also

$$Q_{i,b} = [0, 2mi + (2^{b+1}\bar{m} - 1), 1, 2mi + (2^{b+1}\bar{m} - 2), 2, \dots, (2^{b-1}\bar{m} - 1), 2mi + (2^{b+1}\bar{m} - 2^{b-1}\bar{m})].$$

Observe that since  $2mi + (4j - 1)$  and  $2mi + (4j + 1)$  are odd,  $A_{i,j} = [P_{i,j}]_2$  is a hamiltonian cycle of  $K_{m \times n}$  for any  $i, j$ . Furthermore, the elements of  $Q_{i,b}$  are pairwise distinct module  $2^b \bar{m}$  and hence  $B_{i,b} = [Q_{i,b}]_{2^b \bar{m}}$  is a hamiltonian cycle of  $K_{m \times n}$  for any  $i, b$ . One can check that the  $\partial A_{i,j} = \pm\{2mi + (4j - 3), 2mi + (4j - 1)\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2}$  and  $\partial A_{i,j} = \pm\{2mi + (4j - 1), 2mi + (4j + 1)\}$  for  $j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m} - 1$ . Hence for any fixed  $i$  we have

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\bar{m}-1} A_{i,j} \right) = \pm(\{2mi + 1, 2mi + 3, 2mi + 5, \dots, 2mi + (2\bar{m} - 3)\} \cup \{2mi + (2\bar{m} + 1), 2mi + (2\bar{m} + 3), 2mi + (2\bar{m} + 5), \dots, 2mi + (4\bar{m} - 3)\}).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial B_{i,1} &= \pm(\{2mi + 2, 2mi + 4, 2mi + 6, \dots, 2mi + 2\bar{m} - 2\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2mi + 2\bar{m} + 2, 2mi + 2\bar{m} + 4, 2mi + 2\bar{m} + 6, \dots, 2mi + 4\bar{m} - 2\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2mi + 2\bar{m} - 1, 2mi + 4\bar{m} - 1\}) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $b = 2, \dots, a$

$$\partial B_{i,b} = \pm(\{2mi + 2^{b-1}\bar{m}\} \cup \{2mi + (2^b \bar{m} + 1), 2mi + (2^b \bar{m} + 2), \dots, 2mi + (2^{b+1}\bar{m} - 1)\}).$$

It turns out that for every fixed  $i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \left( \bigcup_{b=1}^a B_{i,b} \right) &= \pm(\{2mi + 2, 2mi + 4, 2mi + 6, \dots, 2mi + 4\bar{m}\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2mi + (4\bar{m} + 1), 2mi + (4\bar{m} + 2), 2mi + (4\bar{m} + 3), \dots, 2mi + (m - 1)\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2mi + (m + 1), 2mi + (m + 2), 2mi + (m + 3), \dots, 2mi + (2m - 1)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\cup_{i,j} A_{i,j}) \cup (\cup_{i,b} B_{i,b})$ . Hence, for what seen above, for every fixed  $i$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\bar{m}-1} A_{i,j} \right) \cup \partial \left( \bigcup_{b=1}^a B_{i,b} \right) &= \pm(\{2mi + 1, 2mi + 2, 2mi + 3, \dots, 2mi + (m - 1)\} \cup \\ &\quad \{2mi + (m + 1), 2mi + (m + 2), 2mi + (m + 3), \dots, 2mi + (2m - 1)\}) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of base cycles of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ .

It is easily seen from Lemma 2.7 that these cycle systems are also  $\phi_n$ -symmetric, since in all cases the length of the orbit of each cycle divides  $m$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Following the proof of Theorem 4.4 we give here the construction of a set of base cycles of a cyclic and  $\phi_4$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{18 \times 4}$ . In the notation of the Theorem,  $a = 1$ ,  $\overline{m} = 9$  and  $t = 1$ . Take the following cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,1} &= [0, 3]_2, & A_{0,2} &= [0, 7]_2, & A_{0,3} &= [0, 11]_2, & A_{0,4} &= [0, 15]_2, \\ A_{0,5} &= [0, 21]_2, & A_{0,6} &= [0, 25]_2, & A_{0,7} &= [0, 29]_2, & A_{0,8} &= [0, 33]_2, \\ B_{0,1} &= [0, 35, 1, 33, 3, 31, 5, 29, 7, 27, 10, 26, 12, 24, 14, 22, 16, 20]_{18}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^8 A_{0,j} \right) = \pm (\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 15\} \cup \{19, 21, 23, \dots, 33\})$$

and

$$\partial B_{0,1} = \pm (\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 16\} \cup \{20, 22, 24, \dots, 34\} \cup \{17, 35\}).$$

So, given  $\mathcal{B} = (\cup_j A_{0,j}) \cup B_{0,1}$ , we have  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{72} - 18\mathbb{Z}_{72}$ .

Now, we give the construction of a set of base cycles of a cyclic and  $\phi_8$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{72 \times 8}$ . Notice that  $\overline{m} = 9$  as before, but  $a = 3$  and  $t = 1$ , so we need to construct a larger number of cycles. For  $i = 0$  we take

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,1} &= [0, 3]_2, & A_{0,2} &= [0, 7]_2, & A_{0,3} &= [0, 11]_2, & A_{0,4} &= [0, 15]_2, \\ A_{0,5} &= [0, 21]_2, & A_{0,6} &= [0, 25]_2, & A_{0,7} &= [0, 29]_2, & A_{0,8} &= [0, 33]_2, \\ B_{0,1} &= [0, 35, 1, 33, 3, 31, 5, 29, 7, 27, 10, 26, 12, 24, 14, 22, 16, 20]_{18}, \\ B_{0,2} &= [0, 71, 1, 70, 2, 69, 3, 68, \dots, 17, 54]_{36}, \\ B_{0,3} &= [0, 143, 1, 142, 2, 141, 3, 140, \dots, 35, 108]_{72}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^8 A_{0,j} \right) \cup \partial \left( \bigcup_{b=1}^3 B_{0,b} \right) = \pm (\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 71\} \cup \{73, 74, 75, \dots, 143\}).$$

Furthermore, for  $i = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,1} &= [0, 147]_2, & A_{1,2} &= [0, 151]_2, & A_{1,3} &= [0, 155]_2, & A_{1,4} &= [0, 159]_2, \\ A_{1,5} &= [0, 165]_2, & A_{1,6} &= [0, 169]_2, & A_{1,7} &= [0, 173]_2, & A_{1,8} &= [0, 177]_2, \\ B_{1,1} &= [0, 179, 1, 177, 3, 175, 5, 173, 7, 171, 10, 170, 12, 168, 14, 166, 16, 164]_{18}, \\ B_{1,2} &= [0, 215, 1, 214, 2, 213, 3, 212, \dots, 17, 198]_{36}, \\ B_{1,3} &= [0, 287, 1, 286, 2, 285, 3, 284, \dots, 35, 252]_{72}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^8 A_{1,j} \right) \cup \partial \left( \bigcup_{b=1}^3 B_{1,b} \right) = \pm (\{145, 146, 147, \dots, 215\} \cup \{217, 218, 219, \dots, 287\}).$$

So, given  $\mathcal{B} = (\cup_{i,j} A_{i,j}) \cup (\cup_{i,b} B_{i,b})$ , we have  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{576} - 72\mathbb{Z}_{576}$ .

The following definition and lemmas are instrumental in proving Theorem 4.9, where we shall settle the case  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

**Definition 4.6.** For all positive integers  $s, d$  and all odd integers  $w \geq 3$ , set

$$S(s, d, w) = \left\{ s, s + d, s + 2d, s + 3d, \dots, s + \frac{w-3}{2}d \right\}$$

and

$$\varphi(s, d, w) = |\{x \in S(s, d, w) : \gcd(x, w) = 1\}|.$$

**Lemma 4.7.** Assume  $w = p^\alpha$  for some odd prime  $p$ . Then  $\varphi(s, d, p^\alpha) = 0$  if, and only if, either  $\gcd(s, d, p) > 1$  or  $w = 3$  and 3 divides  $s$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $\varphi(s, d, p^\alpha) = 0$ . Hence  $p$  must divide every element of  $S(s, d, p^\alpha)$  and in particular  $p$  divides  $s$ . If  $|S(s, d, p^\alpha)| = 1$ , then  $p^\alpha = 3$ . In this case  $w = 3$  and 3 divides  $s$ . If  $|S(s, d, p^\alpha)| > 1$ , the prime  $p$  divides  $s + d$  and so divides  $d$ . It follows that  $p$  divides  $s, d$  and hence  $\gcd(s, d, p) > 1$ .

Suppose now that  $\gcd(s, d, p) > 1$ , namely that  $p$  divides both  $s$  and  $d$ . Then  $p$  divides every element of  $S(s, d, p^\alpha)$  and so  $\varphi(s, d, p^\alpha) = 0$ . Finally, suppose  $w = 3$  and  $s = 3s_1$ . Then 3 divides the unique element of  $S(s, d, 3) = \{s\}$ , and hence  $\varphi(s, d, 3) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** Assume that  $\gcd(s, d, w) = 1$  and  $\gcd(3, s) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(s, d, w) > 0$ .

*Proof.* First, write  $w = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$  where  $3 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$  are all primes. We proceed by induction on  $k$ . Let  $k = 1$ , that is  $w = p_1^{\alpha_1}$ . By way of contradiction, suppose  $\varphi(s, d, w) = 0$ , hence by Lemma 4.7, we get either  $\gcd(s, d, w) > 1$  or 3 divides  $s$ . In both cases, we get a contradiction with our hypothesis.

So, let  $k > 1$  and write  $w = ap_k^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}} \geq 3$ . By the induction hypothesis the set  $S(s, d, a)$  contains an element coprime to  $a$ . Let  $s + id$  be this element, where  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{a-3}{2}$ . Now, the set  $S(s + id, ad, p_k^{\alpha_k})$  contains only elements that are coprime to  $a$ . If  $\varphi(s + id, ad, p_k^{\alpha_k}) = 0$ , then by Lemma 4.7 we get  $\gcd(s + id, ad, p_k) > 1$  (since  $p_k > 3$ ). Since  $\gcd(a, p_k) = 1$ , it follows that  $p_k$  divides  $d$  and so divides  $s$ . We conclude that  $\gcd(s, d, w) > 1$ , in contrast with our hypothesis. Hence,  $\varphi(s + id, ad, p_k^{\alpha_k}) > 0$ . So there exists an element  $x = s + id + jad$ , for some  $0 \leq j \leq \frac{p_k^{\alpha_k} - 3}{2}$ , that is coprime with both  $a$  and  $p_k$ . Since  $i + ja \leq \frac{w-3}{2}$ , we obtain that  $x \in S(s, d, w)$ , i.e.  $\varphi(s, d, w) > 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $m, n$  be integers with  $m, n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Then there exists a cyclic and  $\phi_n$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ .

*Proof.* In view of Propositions 4.2 and Theorem 4.1 we may assume  $m = 2\overline{m} > 2$  and  $n = 4t + 2 > 2$ . Using the notation of Definition 4.6 take

$$s = \begin{cases} 3\overline{m} + 2 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \\ 3\overline{m} - 2 & \text{if } m \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \end{cases},$$

$d = 4\overline{m}$  and  $w = \frac{n}{2}$ . In view of Lemma 4.8, we obtain that the set  $S(3\overline{m} \pm 2, 4\overline{m}, \frac{n}{2})$  contains an element  $\nu = s + 2m\kappa$  coprime with  $\frac{n}{2}$ , where  $0 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{n-6}{4}$ . It is useful for the following to observe that  $\gcd(\nu, mn) = 1$ , as  $\gcd(3\overline{m} \pm 2, \overline{m}) = 1$ .

For all  $i = 0, \dots, \kappa$  consider the paths  $Q_{i,1}$  as in (5) and, if  $\kappa \geq 1$ , for all  $i = 0, \dots, \kappa - 1$  consider the paths  $P_{i,j}$  as in (4). If  $t \geq \kappa + 2$ , for all  $i = \kappa + 1, \dots, t - 1$ ,

take also the following paths:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_{i,j} &= \begin{cases} [0, (2i+1)m + (4j-1)] & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2} \\ [0, (2i+1)m + (4j+1)] & \text{if } j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m}-1 \end{cases} ; \\ \tilde{Q}_i &= [0, (2i+1)m + (4\bar{m}-1), 1, (2i+1)m + (4\bar{m}-3), 3, \dots, \bar{m}-2, \\ &\quad (2i+1)m + 3\bar{m}, \bar{m}+1, (2i+1)m + (3\bar{m}-1), \bar{m}+3, \\ &\quad (2i+1)m + (3\bar{m}-3), \dots, 2\bar{m}-2, (2i+1)m + (2\bar{m}+2)].\end{aligned}$$

Also, define

$$u = \begin{cases} \frac{3\bar{m}+1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \\ \frac{3\bar{m}-1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

and take the paths:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_j &= \begin{cases} [0, 2m\kappa + (4j-1)] & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2} \\ [0, 2m\kappa + (4j+1)] & \text{if } j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m}-1 \text{ and } j \neq u \end{cases} ; \\ \tilde{S} &= [0, (2\kappa+1)m + (4\bar{m}-1), 1, (2\kappa+1)m + (4\bar{m}-2), 2, \dots, \\ &\quad \bar{m}-1, (2\kappa+1)m + 3\bar{m}].\end{aligned}$$

As we have seen in Theorem 4.4,  $A_{i,j} = [P_{i,j}]_2$  and  $B_i = [Q_{i,1}]_m$  are hamiltonian cycles of  $K_{m \times n}$  for any  $i, j$ . Further, consider  $C_{i,j} = [\tilde{P}_{i,j}]_2$ ,  $D_i = [\tilde{Q}_i]_m$ ,  $E_j = [\tilde{R}_j]_2$ ,  $F = [\tilde{S}]_m$  and  $G = [0]_\nu$ . It easy to see that they are all hamiltonian cycles of  $K_{m \times n}$  and that: for  $j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2}$

$$\partial C_{i,j} = \pm\{(2i+1)m + (4j-3), (2i+1)m + (4j-1)\}$$

and for  $j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m}-1$

$$\partial C_{i,j} = \pm\{(2i+1)m + (4j-1), (2i+1)m + (4j+1)\}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial D_i &= \pm(\{(2i+1)m + 2, (2i+1)m + 4, (2i+1)m + 6, \dots, (2i+1)m + \\ &\quad + 2\bar{m}-2\} \cup \{(2i+1)m + 2\bar{m}+2, (2i+1)m + 2\bar{m}+4, \dots, (2i+1)m + \\ &\quad + 4\bar{m}-2\} \cup \{(2i+1)m + 2\bar{m}-1, (2i+1)m + 4\bar{m}-1\});\end{aligned}$$

moreover, for  $j = 1, \dots, \frac{\bar{m}-1}{2}$

$$\partial E_j = \pm\{2m\kappa + (4j-3), 2m\kappa + (4j-1)\}$$

and for  $j = \frac{\bar{m}+1}{2}, \dots, \bar{m}-1$  with  $j \neq u$

$$\partial E_j = \pm\{2m\kappa + (4j-1), 2m\kappa + (4j+1)\}.$$

Finally,

$$\partial F = \pm(\{(2\kappa+2)m + 1, (2\kappa+2)m + 2, \dots, (2\kappa+3)m - 1\} \cup \{2m\kappa + 3\bar{m}\})$$

and  $\partial G = \pm\{\nu\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\cup_{i,j} A_{i,j}) \cup (\cup_i B_i) \cup (\cup_{i,j} C_{i,j}) \cup (\cup_i D_i) \cup (\cup_j E_j) \cup F \cup G$ . It is routine to check that  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{mn} - m\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ , hence we conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of base cycles of a cyclic HCS of  $K_{m \times n}$ . Once more, it is easily checked using Lemma 2.7 that this cycle system is also  $\phi_n$ -symmetric, since in all cases the length of the orbit of each cycle divides  $m$ .  $\square$

We point out that the base cycles used in Example 2.4 were constructed following the proof of Theorem 4.9. In particular, according to the notation of the Theorem we have

$$C_1 = B_0, \quad C_2 = F, \quad C_3 = E_1, \quad C_4 = E_2, \quad C_5 = E_3, \quad C_6 = G.$$

**Example 4.10.** Here we present a set of base cycles of a cyclic and  $\phi_{14}$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{6 \times 14}$ . In the notation of Theorem 4.9,  $\overline{m} = t = 3$  and we choose  $\kappa = 1$  and  $\nu = 19$  which is coprime with  $6 \cdot 14$ . Following the proof of the Theorem we have to take the following cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,1} &= [0, 3]_2, & A_{0,2} &= [0, 9]_2, & B_0 &= [0, 11, 1, 9, 4, 8]_6, & B_1 &= [0, 23, 1, 21, 4, 20]_6, \\ C_{2,1} &= [0, 33]_2, & C_{2,2} &= [0, 39]_2, & D_2 &= [0, 41, 1, 39, 4, 38]_6, & E_1 &= [0, 15]_2, \\ & & & & F &= [0, 29, 1, 28, 2, 27]_6, & G &= [0]_{19}. \end{aligned}$$

It results

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^2 A_{0,i} \right) = \pm\{1, 3, 7, 9\}, \quad \partial \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^1 B_i \right) = \pm\{2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23\},$$

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^2 C_{2,j} \right) = \pm\{31, 33, 37, 39\}, \quad \partial D_2 = \{32, 34, 35, 38, 40, 41\},$$

$$\partial E_1 = \pm\{13, 15\}, \quad \partial F = \pm\{21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29\}, \quad \partial G = \pm\{19\}.$$

So, called  $\mathcal{B}$  the union of the constructed cycles, we have  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{84} - 6\mathbb{Z}_{84}$ .

Now, we give a set of base cycles of a cyclic and  $\phi_{10}$ -symmetric HCS of  $K_{10 \times 10}$ . In the notation of Theorem 4.9,  $\overline{m} = 5$ ,  $t = 2$  and we choose  $\kappa = 1$  and  $\nu = 37$  which is coprime with 100. We have to take the following cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,1} &= [0, 3]_2, & A_{0,2} &= [0, 7]_2, & A_{0,3} &= [0, 13]_2, & A_{0,4} &= [0, 17]_2, \\ B_0 &= [0, 19, 1, 17, 3, 15, 6, 14, 8, 12]_{10}, & B_1 &= [0, 39, 1, 37, 3, 35, 6, 34, 8, 32]_{10}, \\ E_1 &= [0, 23]_2, & E_2 &= [0, 27]_2, & E_3 &= [0, 33]_2, \\ F &= [0, 49, 1, 48, 2, 47, 3, 46, 4, 45]_{10}, & G &= [0]_{37}. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_{0,i} \right) = \pm\{1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 15, 17\},$$

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^1 B_i \right) = \pm\{2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 32, 34, 36, 38, 39\},$$

$$\partial \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^3 E_j \right) = \pm\{21, 23, 25, 27, 31, 33\},$$

$$\partial F = \pm\{35, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49\}, \quad \partial G = \pm\{37\}.$$

Hence, called  $\mathcal{B}$  the union of the constructed cycles, we have  $\partial \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{Z}_{100} - 10\mathbb{Z}_{100}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Akiyama, M. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, Symmetric Hamilton cycle decompositions of the complete graph, *J. Combin. Des.* 12 (2004), 39–45.
- [2] A. Benini and A. Pasotti, On the existence of elementary abelian cycle systems, *Graphs Combin.* 25 (2009), 1–14.
- [3] A. Benini and A. Pasotti, Decompositions of complete multipartite graphs via generalized graceful labelings, *Australasian J. Combin.* 59 (2014), 120–143.
- [4] E. J. Billington, N. J. Cavenagh and B. R. Smith, Path and cycle decompositions of complete equipartite graphs: four parts, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 3061–3073.
- [5] E. J. Billington, N. J. Cavenagh and B. R. Smith, Path and cycle decompositions of complete equipartite graphs: 3 and 5 parts, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010), 241–254.
- [6] R. A. Brualdi and M. W. Schroeder, Symmetric Hamilton cycle decompositions of complete graphs minus a 1-factor, *J. Combin. Des.* 19 (2011), 1–15.
- [7] D. Bryant and C. A. Rodger, Cycle decompositions, In: C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz editors, *Handbook of combinatorial designs*, Second Edition, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 373–382.
- [8] M. Buratti, Cycle decompositions with a sharply vertex transitive automorphism group, *Le Matematiche (Catania)* 59 (2004), 91–105.
- [9] M. Buratti and A. Del Fra, Existence of cyclic  $k$ -cycle systems of the complete graph, *Discrete Math.* 261 (2003), 113–125.
- [10] M. Buratti and A. Del Fra, Cyclic hamiltonian cycle systems of the complete graph, *Discrete Math.* 279 (2004), 107–119.
- [11] M. Buratti and L. Gionfriddo, Strong difference families over arbitrary graphs, *J. Combin. Des.* 16 (2008), 443–461.
- [12] M. Buratti and F. Merola, Dihedral Hamiltonian Cycle Systems of the Cocktail Party Graph, *J. Combin. Des.* 21 (2013), 1–23.
- [13] M. Buratti and F. Merola, Hamiltonian cycle systems which are both cyclic and symmetric, *J. Combin. Des.* 22 (2014), 367–390.
- [14] M. Buratti and A. Pasotti, Graph decompositions with the use of difference families, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 47 (2006), 23–32.
- [15] M. Buratti and G. Rinaldi, A non-existence result on cyclic cycle-decompositions of the cocktail party graph, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 4722–4726.
- [16] H. Jordon and J. Morris, Cyclic hamiltonian cycle systems of the complete graph minus 1-factor, *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008), 2440–2449.
- [17] R. Laskar and B. Auerbach, On decompositions of  $r$ -partite graphs into edge-disjoint Hamilton circuits, *Discrete Math.* 14 (1976), 265–268.
- [18] R. Peltesohn, Eine losung der beidenhefterschen differenzen probleme, *Compos. Math.* 6 (1938), 251–257.
- [19] A. Rosa, On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into  $(4m + 2)$ -gons, *Mat. Fyz. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied* 16 (1966), 349–352.
- [20] A. Rosa, On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into polygons with odd number of edges (Slovak), *Casopis Pest. Mat.* 91 (1966), 53–63.
- [21] A. Rosa, On decompositions of a complete graph into  $4n$ -gons (Russian), *Mat. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied* 17 (1967), 242–246.
- [22] M. W. Schroeder,  $\phi$ -symmetric Hamilton cycle decompositions of graphs, preprint.
- [23] B. R. Smith and N. J. Cavenagh, Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into short odd cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2010), #R130, 21pp.
- [24] B. R. Smith and N. J. Cavenagh, Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into short even cycles, *J. Combin. Des.* 19 (2011), 131–143.
- [25] A. Vietri, Cyclic  $k$ -cycle systems of order  $2kn + k$ ; a solution of the last open cases, *J. Combin. Des.* 12 (2004), 299–310.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ ROMA TRE, LARGO S.L. MURIALDO 1,  
I-00146 ROMA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `merola@mat.uniroma3.it`

DICATAM - SEZ. MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BRESCIA, VIA BRANZE 43, I-  
25123 BRESCIA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `anita.pasotti@unibs.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA  
MUSEI 41, I-25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `marcoantonio.pellegrini@unicatt.it`