

GROUND STATES OF NONLOCAL SCALAR FIELD EQUATIONS WITH TRUDINGER-MOSER CRITICAL NONLINEARITY

JOÃO MARCOS DO Ó, OLÍMPIO H. MIYAGAKI, AND MARCO SQUASSINA

ABSTRACT. We investigate the existence of ground state solutions for a class of nonlinear scalar field equations defined on whole real line, involving a fractional Laplacian and nonlinearities with Trudinger-Moser critical growth. We handle the lack of compactness of the associated energy functional due to the unboundedness of the domain and the presence of a limiting case embedding.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

The goal of this paper is to investigate the existence of ground state solutions $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ for the following class of nonlinear scalar field equations

$$(1.1) \quad (-\Delta)^{1/2}u + u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R},$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth nonlinearity in the critical growth range. Precisely, we focus here on the case when f has the *maximal growth* which allows to study problem (1.1) variationally in the Sobolev space $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, see Section 2. We are motivated by the following Trudinger-Moser type inequality due to Ozawa [28].

Theorem A. *There exists $0 < \omega \leq \pi$ such that, for all $\alpha \in (0, \omega)$, there exists $H_\alpha > 0$ with*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \leq H_\alpha \|u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

for all $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 1$.

From inequality (1.2) we have naturally associated notions of *subcriticality* and *criticality* for this class of problems. Precisely, we say that $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has subcritical growth at $\pm\infty$ if

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha s^2} - 1} = 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0,$$

and has α_0 -critical growth at $\pm\infty$ if there exists $\omega \in (0, \pi]$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, \omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha s^2} - 1} &= 0, \quad \text{for all } \alpha > \alpha_0, \\ \limsup_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{f(s)}{e^{\alpha s^2} - 1} &= \pm\infty, \quad \text{for all } \alpha < \alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

For instance let f be given by

$$f(s) = s^3 e^{\alpha_0 |s|^\nu} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 35B09, 35B33, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Trudinger-Moser inequality, fractional Laplacian, ground state solutions.

The present research was partially supported by INCTmat/MCT/Brazil. João Marcos do Ó was supported by CNPq, CAPES/Brazil, Olímpio H. Miyagaki was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil and CAPES/Brazil (Proc 2531/14-3). The paper was completed while the second author was visiting the Dept of Math of Rutgers University, whose hospitality he gratefully acknowledges.

If $\nu < 2$, f has subcritical growth, and while if $\nu = 2$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, \omega]$, f has critical growth. By a *ground state* solution to problem (1.1) we mean a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1) with the least possible energy.

The following assumptions on f will be needed throughout the paper:

(f1): $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 , odd, convex function on \mathbb{R}^+ , and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{s} = 0.$$

(f2): $s \mapsto s^{-1}f(s)$ is an increasing function for $s > 0$.

(f3): there are $q > 2$ and $C_q > 0$ with

$$F(s) \geq C_q |s|^q, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(AR): there exists $\vartheta > 2$ such that

$$\vartheta F(s) \leq s f(s), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad F(s) = \int_0^s f(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(s)$ and $f'(s)s$ have α_0 -critical growth and satisfy **(f1)**-**(f3)** and **(AR)**. Then problem (1.1) admits a ground state solution $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ provided C_q in **(f3)** is large enough.*

The nonlinearity

$$f(s) = \lambda s |s|^{q-2} + |s|^{q-2} s e^{\alpha_0 s^2}, \quad q > 2 \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 provided that λ is sufficiently large. More examples of nonlinearities which satisfy the above assumptions can be found in [19]. In \mathbb{R}^2 one can use radial estimates, then apply, for instance, the Strauss lemma [32] to recover some compactness results. In \mathbb{R} analogous compactness results fail, but in [21], the authors used the concentration compactness principle by Lions [34] for problems with polynomial nonlinearities. In this paper, we use the minimization technique over the Nehari manifold in order to get ground state solutions. We adopt some arguments from [4] combined with those used in [10, 23].

1.0.1. *Quick overview of the literature.* In Coti Zelati and Rabinowitz [12] investigated

$$(1.3) \quad -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0,$$

when V is a strictly positive potential and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a periodic function in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and f has Sobolev subcritical growth, that is, f behaves at infinity like s^p with $2 < p < 2^* - 1$, where $2^* = 2N/(N - 2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $N \geq 3$. This was extended or complemented in several ways, see e.g. [34]. For $N = 2$ formally $2^* \rightsquigarrow +\infty$, but $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \not\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Instead, the Trudinger-Moser inequality [27, 33] states that H^1 is continuously embedded into an Orlicz space defined by the Young function $\phi(t) = e^{\alpha t^2} - 1$. In [1, 14, 15, 25], with the help of Trudinger-Moser embedding, problems in a bounded domain were investigated, when the nonlinear term f behaves at infinity like $e^{\alpha s^2}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. We refer the reader to [13] for a recently survey on this subject. In [11] the Trudinger-Moser inequality was extended to the whole \mathbb{R}^2 and the authors gave some applications to study equations like (1.3) when the nonlinear term has critical growth of Trudinger-Moser type. For further results and applications, we would like to mention also [2, 3, 17, 29] and references therein. When the potential V is a positive constant and $f(x, s) = f(s)$ for $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, that is the autonomous case, the existence of ground states for subcritical nonlinearities was established in [6] for $N \geq 3$ and [7] for $N = 2$ respectively, while in [3] the critical case for $N \geq 3$ and $N = 2$ was treated. For fractional problem of the form

$$(1.4) \quad (-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $N > 2s$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, we refer to [10, 20] where positive ground states were obtained in subcritical situations. For instance, [10] extends the results in [6] to the fractional Laplacian. In [20] is obtained regularity and qualitative properties of the ground state solution, while in [31] a ground state solution is obtained for coercive potential. For fractional problems in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^N with $N > 2s$ involving critical nonlinearities we cite [5, 9, 22, 30] and [18] for the whole space with vanishing potentials. In [21] the authors investigated properties of the ground state solutions of $(-\Delta)^s u + u = u^p$ in \mathbb{R} . Recently, in [23], nonlocal problems defined in bounded intervals of the real line involving the square root of the Laplacian and exponential nonlinearities were investigated, using a version of the Trudinger-Moser inequality due to Ozawa [28]. As it was remarked in [23] the nonlinear problem involving exponential growth with fractional diffusion $(-\Delta)^s$ requires $s = 1/2$ and $N = 1$. In [19] some nonlocal problems in \mathbb{R} with vanishing potential, thus providing compactifying effects, are considered.

2. PRELIMINARY STUFF

We recall that

$$H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} dx dy < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} dx dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

The square root of the Laplacian, $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$, of a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^{1/2}u)(\xi) = |\xi| \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi),$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform, that is,

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \phi(x) dx,$$

for functions ϕ in the Schwartz class. Also $(-\Delta)^{1/2}u$ can be equivalently represented [16] as

$$(-\Delta)^{1/2}u = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^2} dy.$$

Also, in light of [16, Propostion 3.6], we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}u\|_{L^2}^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} dx dy, \quad \text{for all } u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

and, sometimes, we identify these two quantities by omitting the normalization constant $1/2\pi$. From [26, (iii) of Theorem 8.5] we also know that, for any $m \geq 2$, there exists $C_m > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^m} \leq C_m \|u\|, \quad \text{for all } u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Proposition 2.1. *The integral*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx$$

is finite for any positive α and $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_0 \in (0, \omega)$ and consider the convex function defined by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{e^{\alpha_0 t^2} - 1}{H_{\alpha_0}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $H_{\alpha_0} > 0$ is defined as in Theorem A. We introduce the Orlicz norm induced by ϕ by setting

$$\|u\|_\phi := \inf \left\{ \gamma > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\left(\frac{u}{\gamma}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

and the corresponding Orlicz space $L_{\phi^*}(0, 1)$, see the monograph by Krasnosel'skiĭ & Rutickiĭ [24, Chapter II, in particular p.78-81] for properties of this space. We claim that $\|v\|_\phi \leq \|v\|$, for all $v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ and set $w = \|v\|^{-1}v$, so that by formula (2.1) we conclude

$$(2.4) \quad \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}} w\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(v(x) - v(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} dx dy \right)^{1/2} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1.$$

Therefore, in light of Theorem A, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{\alpha_0 w^2} - 1}{H_{\alpha_0}} dx \leq \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 1,$$

which proves the claim by the very definition of $\|\cdot\|_\phi$. Fix now an arbitrary function $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, there exists a sequence (ψ_n) in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the claim this yields $\|\psi_n - u\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix now $n = n_0$ sufficiently large that $\|\psi_{n_0} - u\|_\phi < 1/2$. Then we have, in light of [24, Theorem 9.15, p.79], that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(2u - 2\psi_{n_0}) dx \leq \|2u - 2\psi_{n_0}\|_\phi < 1.$$

Finally, writing $u = \frac{1}{2}(2u - 2\psi_{n_0}) + \frac{1}{2}(2\psi_{n_0})$, and since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(2\psi_{n_0}) dx = \frac{1}{H_{\alpha_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{4\alpha_0 \psi_{n_0}^2} - 1) dx = \frac{1}{H_{\alpha_0}} \int_{\text{supt}(\psi_{n_0})} (e^{4\alpha_0 \psi_{n_0}^2} - 1) dx < \infty,$$

the convexity of ϕ yields $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(u) dx < \infty$. Hence, the assertion follows by the arbitrariness of u . A different proof can be given writing (in the above notations)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha \psi_n^2} - 1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - e^{\alpha \psi_n^2}) dx,$$

estimating the right-hand side by $|e^{\alpha u^2} - e^{\alpha \psi_n^2}| \leq 2\alpha(|\psi_n - u| + |\psi_n|)e^{2\alpha|\psi_n - u|^2} e^{2\alpha|\psi_n|^2} |\psi_n - u|$, using Hölder inequality, the smallness of $\|\psi_n - u\|$ and Theorem A to conclude, for n large enough. \square

Define the functional $J : H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with problem (1.1), given by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 + u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u) dx.$$

Under our assumptions on f , by Proposition 2.1 we can easily see that J is well defined. Also, it is standard to prove that J is a C^1 functional and

$$J'(u)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\Delta)^{1/4} u (-\Delta)^{1/4} v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the critical points of J are precisely the solutions of (1.1), namely $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\Delta)^{1/4} u (-\Delta)^{1/4} v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)v dx, \quad \forall v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

is a (weak) solution to (1.1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ be such that $\|u\| \leq \rho_0$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \leq \Lambda(\alpha, \rho_0), \quad \text{for every } 0 < \alpha \rho_0^2 < \omega;$$

Proof. Let $0 < \alpha \rho_0^2 < \omega$. Then, by Theorem A, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha \rho_0^2 \left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)^2} - 1) dx \leq H_{\alpha \rho_0^2} \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u\|^2} \leq H_{\alpha \rho_0^2} := \Lambda(\alpha, \rho_0),$$

since $\|(-\Delta)^{1/4} u \|u\|^{-1}\|_{L^2}^2 < 1$, see inequality (2.4). □

Remark 2.3. From assumptions (f1)-(f2) and (AR) we see that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(2.5) \quad s^2 f'(s) - s f(s) > 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad f'(s) > 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{H}(s) := s f(s) - 2F(s) > 0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{H} \text{ is even, and increasing on } \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{H}(s) > \mathcal{H}(\lambda s), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

Suppose that $u \neq 0$ is a critical point of J , that is, $J'(u) = 0$, then necessarily u belongs to

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} : J'(u)u = 0 \right\}.$$

So \mathcal{N} is a natural constraint for the problem of finding nontrivial critical points of J .

Lemma 2.4. *Under assumptions (f1)-(f3) and (AR), \mathcal{N} satisfies the following properties:*

- (a) \mathcal{N} is a manifold and $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) For $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $J'(u)u < 0$, there is a unique $\lambda(u) \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda u \in \mathcal{N}$.
- (c) There exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\|u\| \geq \rho$ for any $u \in \mathcal{N}$.
- (d) If $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a constrained critical point of $J|_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $J'(u) = 0$ and u solves (1.1).
- (e) $m = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u) > 0$.

Proof. Consider the C^1 -functional $\Phi : H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(u) = J'(u)u = \|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)u dx.$$

Note that $\mathcal{N} = \Phi^{-1}(0)$ and $\Phi'(u)u < 0$, if $u \in \mathcal{N}$. Indeed, if $u \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\Phi'(u)u = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(u)u - f'(u)u^2) dx < 0,$$

where we have used (2.5). Then $c = 0$ is regular value of Φ and consequently $\mathcal{N} = \Phi^{-1}(0)$ is a C^1 -manifold, proving (a). Now we prove $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ and that (b) holds. Fix $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ and consider the function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(tu) dx.$$

Then $\Psi'(t) = 0$ if and only if $tu \in \mathcal{N}$, in which case it holds

$$(2.10) \quad \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(tu)}{t} u dx.$$

In light of (2.5) the function on the right-hand side of (2.10) is increasing. Whence, it follows that a critical point of Ψ , if it exists, it is *unique*. Now, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$\Psi(t) > 0 \quad \text{if } t \in (0, \delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(t) < 0 \quad \text{if } t \in (R, \infty).$$

In fact, by virtue of **(f3)**, there exist $C, C' > 0$ such that

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(tu) dx \leq Ct^2 - C't^q < 0,$$

provided that $t > 0$ is chosen large enough. Using **(f1)** and the fact that f has α_0 -Trudinger-Moser critical growth at $+\infty$, for some $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \omega)$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$F(s) \leq \varepsilon[s^2 + s^4(e^{\alpha s^2} - 1)] + C_\varepsilon s^4, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, for any $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\Psi(t) \geq \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|^2 - \varepsilon t^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - C_\varepsilon t^4 \|u\|_{L^4}^4 - \varepsilon t^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^4 (e^{\alpha(tu)^2} - 1) dx.$$

For $0 < t < \tau < (\omega/(2\alpha\|u\|^2))^{1/2}$, by Lemma 2.2 and (2.2), there is $C = C(\|u\|, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^4 (e^{\alpha(tu)^2} - 1) \leq \|u\|_{L^8}^4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\alpha\tau^2 u^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \leq C.$$

Then for some $B, B' > 0$, we have

$$\Psi(t) \geq Bt^2 - B't^4 > 0, \quad \text{for } t > 0 \text{ small enough.}$$

Thus, we conclude that there exists a unique maximum $t_0 = t_0(u) > 0$ such that $t_0 u \in \mathcal{N}$, and consequently \mathcal{N} is a nonempty set. Given $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $J'(u)u < 0$, we have

$$\Psi'(1) = \|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)u dx = J'(u)u < 0,$$

which implies $t_0 < 1$. Let us prove (c). Let $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \omega)$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ with $\alpha\rho_0^2 < \omega$. By the growth conditions on f , there exists $r > 1$ so close to 1 that $r\alpha\rho_0^2 < \omega$, $\ell > 2$ and $C > 0$ with

$$f(s)s \leq \frac{1}{4}s^2 + C(e^{r\alpha s^2} - 1)^{1/r} |s|^\ell, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let now $u \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho \leq \rho_0$. Then, by Lemma 2.2 and (2.2), we have for $u \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \Phi(u) &\geq \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{r\alpha u^2} - 1)^{1/r} |u|^\ell dx \\ (2.11) \quad &\geq \frac{3}{4} \|u\|^2 - C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{r\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^{r'\ell} dx \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \|u\|^2 - C \|u\|^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$0 < \hat{\rho} := \left(\frac{3}{4C} \right)^{1/(\ell-2)} \leq \|u\| \leq \rho,$$

a contradiction if $\rho < \min\{\hat{\rho}, \rho_0\}$. Then $u \in \mathcal{N}$ implies $\|u\| \geq \min\{\hat{\rho}, \rho_0\}$, proving (c). Concerning (d), if $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is a minimizer, then $J'(u) = \lambda \Phi'(u)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Testing with u and recalling the previous conclusions yields $\lambda = 0$, hence the assertion. Finally, assertion (e) follows by condition **(AR)** and (c), since $u \in \mathcal{N}$ implies $J(u) \geq (1/2 - 1/\vartheta) \|u\|^2 \geq (1/2 - 1/\vartheta) \rho^2 > 0$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a minimizing sequence for J on \mathcal{N} , that is,*

$$(2.12) \quad J'(u_n)u_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J(u_n) \rightarrow m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} J(u) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the following facts hold

- (a) (u_n) is bounded in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$.
- (b) $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < \rho_0$, for some $\rho_0 > 0$ sufficiently small.

(c) (u_n) does not converge strongly to zero in $L^\sigma(\mathbb{R})$, for some $\sigma > 2$.

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (2.12). Using (AR) condition, we have for $\vartheta > 2$,

$$(2.13) \quad m + o(1) = J(u_n) \geq \frac{\|u_n\|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{\vartheta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n)u_n \, dx = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\vartheta}\right)\|u_n\|^2,$$

which implies (a). To prove (b) we use assumption (f3) and the fact that, by (2.2),

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{S}_q := \inf_{v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{S}_q(v) > 0, \quad \mathcal{S}_q(v) = \frac{\|v\|}{\|v\|_{L^q}}.$$

Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying (2.12). Then inequality (2.13) yields

$$(2.15) \quad \limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{2\vartheta}{\vartheta - 2}m.$$

Notice that, for every $v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, arguing as for the proof of (b) of Lemma 2.4, one finds $t_0 > 0$ such that $t_0v \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence

$$m \leq J(t_0v) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J(tv).$$

Now, using assumption (f3) and formula (2.14), for every $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} J(t\psi) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \|\psi\|^2 - C_q t^q \|\psi\|_{L^q}^q \right) \\ &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}_q(\psi)^2}{2} t^2 \|\psi\|_{L^q}^2 - C_q t^q \|\psi\|_{L^q}^q \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \frac{\mathcal{S}_q(\psi)^{2q/(q-2)}}{(qC_q)^{2/(q-2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.15) implies that

$$\limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{2\vartheta}{\vartheta - 2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \frac{\mathcal{S}_q(\psi)^{2q/(q-2)}}{(qC_q)^{2/(q-2)}}.$$

Taking the infimum over $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, we get

$$(2.16) \quad \limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta - 2} \frac{q-2}{q} \frac{\mathcal{S}_q^{2q/(q-2)}}{(qC_q)^{2/(q-2)}} < \rho_0^2,$$

provided C_q is large enough, proving (b). Let us prove (c). By Lemma 2.4 (part (c)) we have

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \geq \rho^2 > 0.$$

In view of assertion (b) the norm $\|u_n\|$ is small (precisely, we can assumed that $r\alpha\|u_n\|^2 < r\alpha\rho_0^2 < \omega$ for r very close to 1). Arguing as in the proof of (2.11), we can find $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \leq \varepsilon \|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{r\alpha u_n^2} - 1)^{1/r} |u_n|^\ell \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|u_n\|^2 + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{r\alpha u_n^2} - 1) \, dx \right)^{1/r} \|u_n\|_{L^{r'\ell}}^\ell \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|u_n\|^2 + C \|u_n\|_{L^{r'\ell}}^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$0 < (1 - \varepsilon)\rho^2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\|u_n\|^2 \leq C \|u_n\|_{L^{r'\ell}}^\ell,$$

and, consequently, (u_n) cannot vanish in $L^{r'\ell}(\mathbb{R})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This concludes the proof. \square

Next, we formulate a Brezis-Lieb type lemma in our framework.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(u_n) \subset H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a sequence such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|u_n\| < \rho_0$ with $\rho_0 > 0$ small. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n)u_n \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n - u)(u_n - u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)u \, dx + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u_n) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u_n - u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u) \, dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall apply [8, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2]. Since f is convex on \mathbb{R}^+ and by the properties collected in Remark 2.3, we have that the functions $F(s)$ and $G(s) := f(s)s$ are convex on \mathbb{R} with $F(0) = G(0) = 0$. We let $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \omega)$ and $\rho_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ with $\alpha\rho_0^2 < \omega$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 1) \, dx < \infty.$$

Choose $k \in (1, \frac{1-\rho_0}{\rho_0})$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon < 1/k$. Then, in light of [8, Lemma 3], the functions

$$\phi_\varepsilon(s) := j(ks) - kj(s) \geq 0 \quad \psi_\varepsilon(s) := |j(C_\varepsilon s)| + |j(-C_\varepsilon s)|, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(k-1)},$$

satisfy the inequality

$$(2.18) \quad |j(a+b) - j(a)| \leq \varepsilon\phi_\varepsilon(a) + \psi_\varepsilon(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

and, if $v_n := u_n - u$ and u_n satisfying (2.17), we claim that

- (i) $v_n \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere;
- (ii) $j(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\varepsilon(v_n) \, dx \leq C$ for some constant C independent of $n \geq 1$;
- (iv) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\varepsilon(u) \, dx < \infty$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

Assuming this claim, then, by [8, Theorem 2], it holds

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |j(u_n) - j(v_n) - j(u)| \, dx = 0,$$

with $j = F$ and with $j = G$. Next we are going to prove the claim. Item (i) follows by the weak convergence of (u_n) . To prove (ii) it is enough to use Proposition 2.1 (see the growth conditions below). To check (iii) for $j = F$ and $j = G$, we find $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \omega)$, $D > 0$ and $q > 2$ such that

$$(2.20) \quad F(s) \leq (s^2 + e^{\alpha s^2} - 1) + D|s|^q, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.21) \quad G(s) \leq (s^2 + e^{\alpha s^2} - 1) + D|s|^q, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.22) \quad |f(s)| \leq (s + e^{\alpha s^2} - 1) + D|s|^{q-1}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.23) \quad |f'(s)s| \leq (s + e^{\alpha s^2} - 1) + D|s|^{q-1}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We claim that $\phi_\varepsilon(v_n)$ verifies (iii). First let us consider the case $j = F$, that is,

$$\phi_\varepsilon(v_n) = F(kv_n) - kF(v_n).$$

In fact, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$ with $w_n = v_n(k(1-\vartheta) + \vartheta)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\varepsilon(v_n) &= F(kv_n) - F(v_n) + F(v_n) - kF(v_n) \\ &= f(w_n)v_n(k-1) + (1-k)F(v_n) \leq f(w_n)v_n(k-1), \end{aligned}$$

since $k > 1$ and $F \geq 0$. Analogously, for $j = G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\varepsilon(v_n) &= G(kv_n) - G(v_n) + G(v_n) - kG(v_n) \\ &= f'(w_n)w_nv_n(k-1) + f(w_n)v_n(k-1) + (1-k)f(v_n)v_n \\ &\leq f'(w_n)w_nv_n(k-1) + f(w_n)v_n(k-1),\end{aligned}$$

since $k > 1$ and $f(s)s \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, to prove (iii) for F and G , it is sufficient to see that

$$(2.24) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w_n)v_n dx < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(w_n)w_nv_n dx < \infty.$$

We know that

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \|v_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that $\limsup_n \|v_n\| \leq \rho_0$. In turn, by the choice of k , we also have

$$\limsup_n \|w_n\| = \|v_n\|(k(1-\vartheta) + \vartheta) \leq \rho_0(k(1-\vartheta) + \vartheta) \leq \rho_0(k+1) < 1.$$

Since $\alpha_0 < \alpha < \omega$, we can find $m > 1$ very close to 1 such that $m\alpha < \omega$. Then, by (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w_n)v_n &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_n||v_n| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1)|v_n| dx + D \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_n|^{q-1}|v_n| dx \\ &\leq \|w_n\|_{L^2} \|v_n\|_{L^2} + D \|w_n\|_{L^q}^{q-1} \|v_n\|_{L^q} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{m\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/m} \|v_n\|_{L^{m'}} \\ &\leq C \|w_n\| \|v_n\| + C \|w_n\|^{q-1} \|v_n\| + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{m\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/m} \|v_n\| \\ &\leq C + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{m\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/m} \leq C.\end{aligned}$$

The last integral is bounded via Lemma 2.2, since $\|w_n\| \leq 1$ and $m\alpha < \omega$. The second term in (2.24) can be treated in a similar fashion, using the growth condition (2.23) in place of (2.22). We claim that ψ_ε verifies (iv) for both F and G . It suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(C_\varepsilon u) dx < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

By (2.20) this occurs since by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\alpha C_\varepsilon^2 u^2} - 1) dx < \infty.$$

Analogous proof holds for G via (2.21). We can finally apply [8, Theorem 2] yielding (2.19). Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} j(u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(v_n) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(u) dx + o(1),$$

for $j = F$ and $j = G$. This concludes the proof. \square

The previous Lemma 2.6 yields the following useful technical results.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(u_n) \subset H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ be as in Lemma 2.5 then for $v_n = u_n - u$ we have*

$$J'(u)u + \liminf_n J'(v_n)v_n = 0,$$

so that either $J'(u)u \leq 0$ or $\liminf_n J'(v_n)v_n < 0$.

Proof. Recalling that $v_n = u_n - u$, we get $\|u_n\|^2 = \|v_n\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + o(1)$. Then by Lemma 2.6,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u_n)u_n \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v_n)v_n \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)u \, dx + o(1).$$

Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}$, by using the above equality, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a minimizing sequence for J on \mathcal{N} , such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $u \in \mathcal{N}$, then $J(u) = m$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}$ as above, thus

$$m + o(1) = J(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}J'(u_n)u_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u_n) \, dx$$

which together with Fatou's lemma (recall that (2.7) holds) implies

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \liminf_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u_n) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \liminf_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u) \, dx = J(u) - \frac{1}{2}J'(u)u = J(u),$$

which yields the conclusion. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 CONCLUDED

Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$ be a minimizing sequence for J on \mathcal{N} . From Lemma 2.5 (a), (u_n) is bounded in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, up to a subsequence, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Assertion 3.1. *There exist a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and constants $\gamma, R > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_n \int_{y_n-R}^{y_n+R} |u_n|^2 \, dx \geq \gamma > 0$$

If not, for any $R > 0$,

$$\liminf_n \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y-R}^{y+R} |u_n|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Using a standard concentration-compactness principle due to P.L. Lions (it is easy to see that the argument remains valid for the case studied here) we can conclude that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ for any $q > 2$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 2.5 (c). Define $\bar{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$. Then

$J(u_n) = J(\bar{u}_n)$ and without of loss generality we can assume $y_n = 0$ for any n . Notice that (\bar{u}_n) is also a minimizing sequence for J on \mathcal{N} , which it is bounded and satisfies

$$\liminf_n \int_{-R}^R |\bar{u}_n|^2 \, dx \geq \gamma, \quad \text{for some } \gamma > 0,$$

and $\bar{u}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ weakly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\bar{u} \neq 0$ ($u \neq 0$).

Assertion 3.2. $J'(u)u = 0$.

If Assertion 3.2 holds, then combining (d) of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.8 we have the result.

We shall now prove Assertion 3.2. Suppose by contradiction that $J'(u)u \neq 0$.

• If $J'(u)u < 0$, by Lemma 2.4 (b), there exists $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that $\lambda u \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda \|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda u)u \, dx.$$

Using (2.7) in combination with Fatou's Lemma, we obtain

$$m = \liminf_n \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u_n) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u) \, dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda u) \, dx = J(\lambda u) - \frac{1}{2}J'(\lambda u)\lambda u = J(\lambda u),$$

which implies that $J(\lambda u) < m$ and, hence, a contradiction. Here we have used (2.9).

• If $J'(u)u > 0$, by Lemma 2.7, we get $\liminf_n J'(v_n)v_n < 0$. Taking a subsequence, we have $J'(v_n)v_n < 0$, for n large. By Lemma 2.4 (b), there exists $\lambda_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lambda_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}$.

Assertion 3.3. $\limsup_n \lambda_n < 1$.

If $\limsup_n \lambda_n = 1$, up to a sub-sequence, we can assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$, then

$$J'(v_n)v_n = J'(\lambda_n v_n)\lambda_n v_n + o(1).$$

This follows provided that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(v_n)v_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda_n v_n)\lambda_n v_n dx + o(1).$$

In fact, notice that if $\eta_n := v_n + \tau v_n(\lambda_n - 1)$ for some $\tau \in (0, 1)$, it follows

$$f(v_n)v_n - f(\lambda_n v_n)\lambda_n v_n = (f'(\eta_n)\eta_n + f(\eta_n))v_n(1 - \lambda_n).$$

Since $\|\eta_n\| = \|v_n + \tau v_n(\lambda_n - 1)\| \leq \lambda_n \|v_n\| \leq \rho_0$, it follows by arguing as for the justification of formula (2.24), that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(\eta_n)\eta_n + f(\eta_n)||v_n| dx < \infty,$$

so that (3.2) follows, since $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$. Since $\lambda_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have $J'(\lambda_n v_n)\lambda_n v_n = 0$ which implies that

$$J'(v_n)v_n = o(1),$$

which is a contradiction with $\lim_n J'(v_n)v_n < 0$. Thus, up to subsequence, we may assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$. Arguing as before, from (2.9) we infer

$$m + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(u_n) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n) dx,$$

since $\mathcal{H}(u_n) \geq \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n)$. By means of Lemma 2.6 applied to $w_n = \lambda_n u_n$ (whose norm is small, being smaller than the norm of u_n) and $w = \lambda_0 u$ we have in turn

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n - \lambda_0 u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_0 u) dx + o(1).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n - \lambda_0 u) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n v_n) dx + o(1).$$

In fact, notice that $\lambda_n u_n - \lambda_0 u = \lambda_n v_n + \gamma_n u$, where $\gamma_n := \lambda_n - \lambda_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda_n u_n - \lambda_0 u) - \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n v_n) = \mathcal{H}'(\hat{\eta}_n)u\gamma_n, \quad \hat{\eta}_n := \tau u\gamma_n + \lambda_n v_n$$

for $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\|\hat{\eta}_n\| = \|\tau u\gamma_n + \lambda_n v_n\| \leq \gamma_n \|u\| + \lambda_n \|v_n\| \leq \rho_0$ for n large. Then, arguing as for the justification of (2.24), we get

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}'(\hat{\eta}_n)u| dx \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(\hat{\eta}_n)\hat{\eta}_n + f(\hat{\eta}_n)||v_n| dx < \infty,$$

which yields (3.3) since $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m + o(1) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_n v_n) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_0 u) dx \\ &= J(\lambda_n v_n) - \frac{1}{2} J'(\lambda_n v_n)\lambda_n v_n + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_0 u) dx = J(\lambda_n v_n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_0 u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \neq 0$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}(\lambda_0 u) dx > 0$. Then $J(\lambda_n v_n) < m$ for large n , a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi, S.L. Yadava, *Multiplicity results for semilinear elliptic equations in a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^2 involving critical exponent*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (1990), 481–504. [2](#)
- [2] C. Alves, J. M. do Ó, O. Miyagaki, *On nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^2 involving critical growth*, Nonlinear Anal. **56** (2004), 781–791. [2](#)
- [3] C. Alves, M. Souto, M. Montenegro, *Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **43** (2012), 537–554. [2](#)
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, Z.-Q. Wang, *Positive solutions to a class of quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2003), 55–68. [2](#)
- [5] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, U. Sánchez, *On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), 613–6162. [3](#)
- [6] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations, I-existence of a ground state*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 313–346. [2](#), [3](#)
- [7] H. Berestycki, T. Gallouet, O. Kavian, *Equations de Champs scalaires euclidiens non lineaires dans le plan*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **297** (1984), 307–310. [2](#)
- [8] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 486–490. [8](#), [9](#)
- [9] X. Cabré, J. G. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2052–2093. [3](#)
- [10] X. Chang, Z-Q. Wang, *Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional laplacian with general nonlinearity*, Nonlinearity **26** (2013), 479-494. [2](#), [3](#)
- [11] D. Cao, *Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in \mathbb{R}^2* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17**(1992), 407–435. [2](#)
- [12] V. Coti Zelati, P. Rabinowitz, *Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on \mathbb{R}^N* . Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (1992), 1217–1269. [2](#)
- [13] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. M. do Ó, B. Ruf, *Elliptic Equations and Systems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **30** (2011), 455–476. [2](#)
- [14] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, B. Ruf, *Elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1995), 139–153. [2](#)
- [15] D. G. De Figueiredo, J. M. do Ó, B. Ruf, *On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 135–152. [2](#)
- [16] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), 521–573. [3](#)
- [17] J. M. do Ó, E. Medeiros, U. Severo, *A nonhomogeneous elliptic problem involving critical growth in dimension two*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008), 286–304. [2](#)
- [18] J. M. do Ó, O. H. Miyagaki, M. Squassina, *Critical and subcritical fractional problems with vanishing potentials*, preprint [3](#)
- [19] J. M. do Ó, O. H. Miyagaki, M. Squassina, *Nonautonomous fractional problems with exponential growth*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Applications, to appear. [2](#), [3](#)
- [20] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan *Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A **142** (2012), 1237-1262. [3](#)
- [21] R. Frank, E. Lenzmann, *Uniqueness of non-linear ground states for fractional laplacians in \mathbb{R}* , Acta Math. **210** (2013), 261–318. [2](#), [3](#)
- [22] T. Jin, Y. Li, J. Xiong, *On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **16** (2014), 1111–1171. [3](#)
- [23] A. Iannizzotto, M. Squassina, *1/2-laplacian problems with exponential nonlinearity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), 372–385. [2](#), [3](#)
- [24] M.A. Krasnosel’skiĭ, J.B. Rutickiĭ, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, Noordhoff, Groningen, Holland, 1961. [4](#)
- [25] N. Lam, G. Lu, *Elliptic equations and systems with subcritical and critical exponential growth without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, J. Geom. Anal. **24** (2014), 118–143. [2](#)
- [26] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, AMS, 2001. [3](#)
- [27] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970), 1077–1092. [2](#)
- [28] T. Ozawa, *On critical cases of Sobolev’s inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **127** (1995), 259–269. [1](#), [3](#)
- [29] B. Ruf, F. Sani, *Ground states for elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^2 with exponential critical growth*. Geometric properties for parabolic and elliptic PDE’s, 251–267, Springer INdAM 2, Springer, Milan, 2013. [2](#)

- [30] X. Shang, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, *On fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 121502-19 pages. [3](#)
- [31] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501-17 pages. [3](#)
- [32] W. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*,. Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (2) (1977), 149–162. [2](#)
- [33] N. Trudinger, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473–483. [2](#)
- [34] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24, Birkhäuser 1996. [2](#)

(J.M. do Ó) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PARAÍBA
58051-900, JOÃO PESSOA-PB, BRAZIL
E-mail address: jmbo@pq.cnpq.br

(O. Miyagaki) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF JUIZ DE FORA
36036-330 JUIZ DE FORA, MINAS GERAIS, BRAZIL
E-mail address: olimpio@ufv.br

(M. Squassina) DIPARTIMENTO DI INFORMATICA UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI VERONA,
CÁ VIGNAL 2, STRADA LE GRAZIE 15, I-37134 VERONA, ITALY
E-mail address: marco.squassina@univr.it