

DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS GENERATED BY A GONOSOMAL EVOLUTION OPERATOR

U. A. ROZIKOV, R. VARRO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider discrete-time dynamical systems generated by gonosomal evolution operators of sex linked inheritance. Mainly we study dynamical systems of a hemophilia, which biologically is a group of hereditary genetic disorders that impair the body's ability to control blood clotting or coagulation, which is used to stop bleeding when a blood vessel is broken. We give an algebraic model of the biological system corresponding to the hemophilia. The evolution of such system is studied by a nonlinear (quadratic) gonosomal operator. In a general setting, this operator is considered as a mapping from \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$ to itself. In particular, for a gonosomal operator at $n = 4$ we explicitly give all (two) fixed points. Then limit points of the trajectories of the corresponding dynamical system are studied. Moreover we consider a normalized version of the gonosomal operator. In the case $n = 4$, for the normalized gonosomal operator we show uniqueness of fixed point and study limit points of the dynamical system.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010). 17D92; 17D99.

Key words. Bisexual population, Gonosomal operator, fixed point, limit point.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In biology it is important a proper understanding of living populations at all levels. The relevant mathematics undoubtedly requires of nonlinear analysis, in particular a nonlinear dynamical system, compounded stochastic processes modeling, and the creative implementation of the computer methodology.

The action of genes is manifested statistically in sufficiently large communities of matching individuals (belonging to the same species). These communities are called *populations* [8]. The population exists not only in space but also in time, i.e. it has its own life cycle. The basis for this phenomenon is reproduction by mating. Mating in a population can be free or subject to certain restrictions.

The whole population in space and time comprises discrete generations F_0, F_1, \dots . The generation F_{n+1} is the set of individuals whose parents belong to the F_n generation. A *state* of a population is a distribution of probabilities of the different types of organisms in every generation.

A type partition is called differentiation. The simplest example is sex differentiation. In bisexual population any kind of differentiation must agree with the sex differentiation, i.e. all the organisms of one type must belong to the same sex. Thus, it is possible to speak of male and female types (see for example [9], [7], [13] for mathematical models of bisexual population).

In many biological systems, sex is determined genetically: males and females have different alleles or even different genes that specify their sexual morphology. In animals, this is often accompanied by *chromosomal* differences. Determination genetically is generally through chromosome combinations of XY (for example: humans, mammals), ZW (birds), $X0$ (in this variant of the XY system, females have two copies of the sex chromosome (XX) but males have only one ($X0$). The 0 denotes the absence of a second sex chromosome. There are some sex linked systems which depends on temperature and even some of systems have sex change phenomenon (see [10] for a detailed review.) We note that the behavior of sex-linked system can be investigated by studying of nonlinear dynamical systems, such systems are not fully understood yet. A search in MathSciNet gives about 15 mathematical papers which are related to sex linked models (see for example, [2], [5], [6], [11]). In papers [4], [12] we attempted to introduce thermodynamic methods in biology. In [13] an algebra associated to a sex change is constructed.

In this paper we consider evolution (dynamical system) of a hemophilia. Recall that *hemophilia* is a group of hereditary genetic disorders that impair the body's ability to control blood clotting or coagulation, which is used to stop bleeding when a blood vessel is broken.

In the next section we give a mathematical model of the biological system corresponding to the hemophilia. The evolution of such system will be given by a nonlinear (quadratic) evolution operator which is called a gonosomal operator. Thus study of the biological system is reduced to the study of the nonlinear dynamical system generated by the gonosomal operator. In a general setting, this operator is considered as a mapping from \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$ to itself. In Section 4 we give some detailed properties of the dynamical system. In particular, for a gonosomal operator at $n = 4$ we explicitly give all (two) fixed points. Then limit points of the trajectories of the corresponding dynamical system are studied. In the last section we consider the normalized version of the gonosomal operator. In the case $n = 4$, for the normalized gonosomal operator we show uniqueness of fixed point and study limit points of the dynamical system.

2. BISEXUAL POPULATION: GONOSOMAL EVOLUTION OPERATOR

Type partition is called differentiation. The simplest example is sex differentiation. In bisexual population (BP) any kind of differentiation must agree with the sex differentiation, i.e. all the organisms of one type must belong to the same sex. Thus, it is possible to speak of male and female types.

In many cases, the sex determination is genetic, in particular, it is controlled by two chromosomes called gonosomes. Gonosomal inheritance is a mode of inheritance that is observed for traits related to a gene encoded on the sex chromosomes.

Let us discuss one example of sex-linked inheritance. Haemophilia is a lethal recessive X -linked disorder: a female carrying two alleles for hemophilia die. Therefore if we denote by X^h the gonosome X carrying the hemophilia, there are only two female genotypes: XX and XX^h (X^hX^h is lethal) and two male genotypes: XY and X^hY .

We have four types of crosses:

$$\begin{aligned}
XX \times XY &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}XX, \frac{1}{2}XY; \\
XX \times X^hY &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}XX^h, \frac{1}{2}XY; \\
XX^h \times XY &\mapsto \frac{1}{4}XX, \frac{1}{4}XX^h, \frac{1}{4}XY, \frac{1}{4}X^hY; \\
XX^h \times X^hY &\mapsto \frac{1}{3}XX^h, \frac{1}{3}XY, \frac{1}{3}X^hY.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $F = \{XX, XX^h\}$ and $M = \{XY, X^hY\}$ be sets of genotypes. Assume state of the set F is given by a real vector (x, y) and state of M by a real vector (u, v) . Then a state of $F \cup M$ is given by the vector $s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. If $s' = (x', y', u', v')$ is a state of the system $F \cup M$ in the next generation then by the rule (2.1) we get the evolution operator $W : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ defined by

$$W : \begin{cases} x' = \frac{1}{2}xu + \frac{1}{4}yu \\ y' = \frac{1}{2}xv + \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv \\ u' = \frac{1}{2}xu + \frac{1}{2}xv + \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv \\ v' = \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

This example can be generalized: suppose that the set of female types is $F = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the set of male types is $M = \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a state of F and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ be a state of M .

Consider $\gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)}$ and $\gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)}$ as some inheritance real coefficients (not necessary probabilities) with

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} + \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Consider an evolution operator $W : \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ defined as

$$W : \begin{cases} x'_j = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} x_i y_k, & j = 1, \dots, n \\ y'_l = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} x_i y_k, & l = 1, \dots, \nu. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

This operator is called gonosomal evolution operator. This means that the association $s = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu} \rightarrow s' = (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ defines a map W . The population evolves by starting from an arbitrary state s , then passing to the state $s' = W(s)$ (in the next 'generation'), then to the state $s'' = W(W(s))$, and so on. Thus, states of the population described by the following discrete-time dynamical system

$$s^{(0)}, \quad s^{(1)} = W(s^{(0)}), \quad s^{(2)} = W^2(s^{(0)}), \quad s^{(3)} = W^3(s^{(0)}), \dots \tag{2.5}$$

where $s^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu}$ is a given initial point and $W^n(s) = \underbrace{W(W(\dots W(s)\dots))}_n$ denotes the n times iteration of W to s .

The main problem for a given dynamical system is to describe the limit points of the trajectory $\{s^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for arbitrary given $s^{(0)}$.

3. DYNAMICAL SYSTEM GENERATED BY THE OPERATOR (2.2)

Note that operator (2.4) describes evolution of a hemophilia. The dynamical systems generated by gonosomal operator (2.4) is complicated. In this paper we study the dynamical system generated by gonosomal operator (2.2), which is a particular case of (2.4), obtained by $n = \nu = 2$ and the following coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{11,1}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{2} & \gamma_{11,2}^{(f)} &= 0 & \gamma_{11,1}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{2} & \gamma_{11,2}^{(m)} &= 0 \\ \gamma_{12,1}^{(f)} &= 0 & \gamma_{12,2}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{2} & \gamma_{12,1}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{2} & \gamma_{12,2}^{(m)} &= 0 \\ \gamma_{21,1}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{4} & \gamma_{21,2}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{4} & \gamma_{21,1}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{4} & \gamma_{21,2}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \gamma_{22,1}^{(f)} &= 0 & \gamma_{22,2}^{(f)} &= \frac{1}{3} & \gamma_{22,1}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{3} & \gamma_{22,2}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Fixed points.

A point s is called fixed point if $W(s) = s$. Let us find all fixed points of W given by (2.2), i.e. we solve the following system of equations

$$W : \begin{cases} x = \frac{1}{2}xu + \frac{1}{4}yu \\ y = \frac{1}{2}xv + \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv \\ u = \frac{1}{2}xu + \frac{1}{2}xv + \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv \\ v = \frac{1}{4}yu + \frac{1}{3}yv. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

First it is easy to see that $s_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ is a solution to system (3.1).

To find another solution from the first equation of this system we get $(4 - 2u)x = yu$. Assuming $u = 2$ from this equation we get $y = 0$ then the last equation of (3.1) gives $v = 0$ consequently from the third equation of the system we get $x = 2$. Thus we obtained the solution $s_2 = (2, 0, 2, 0)$.

From the last equation we get $(12 - 4y)v = 3yu$, assume first that $y = 3$ then this equation gives $u = 0$, consequently the first equation of the system (3.1) gives $x = 0$. Then from the second equation we get $v = 3$. But these values do not satisfy the third equation of the system. Assume now $u \neq 2$ and $y \neq 3$ then we have

$$x = \frac{yu}{4 - 2u}, \quad v = \frac{3yu}{12 - 4y}. \quad (3.2)$$

Using (3.2) from the second and third equations of the system (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 16(3 - y)(2 - u) = 3u(8 - 4u + yu) \\ 16(3 - y)(2 - u) = y(24 - yu). \end{cases}$$

From the first equation of the last system we find

$$y = \frac{12(u^2 - 6u + 8)}{3u^2 - 16u + 32}.$$

Substituting this to the second equation of the last system we obtain the following equation

$$(u - 2)^2(u - 8)(3u^2 - 14u + 24) = 0.$$

This equation gives $u = 2$, $u = 8$ and $3u^2 - 14u + 24 = 0$. For case $u = 2$ we have solution s_2 mentioned above. The case $u = 8$ gives $y = 3$ which does not give solution of the system (3.1) as was discussed above. Thus only remains $3u^2 - 14u + 24 = 0$ which does not have real solutions.

We proved the following

Proposition 1. *The gonosomal operator (2.2) has exactly two fixed points: $s_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $s_2 = (2, 0, 2, 0)$.*

3.2. The type of the fixed points.

Now we shall examine the type of the fixed points.

Definition 1. (see [1]). *A fixed point s of the operator W is called hyperbolic if its Jacobian J at s has no eigenvalues on the unit circle.*

Definition 2. (see [1]). *A hyperbolic fixed point s is called:*

- *attracting if all the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix $J(s)$ are less than 1 in absolute value;*
- *repelling if all the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix $J(s)$ are greater than 1 in absolute value;*
- *a saddle otherwise.*

To find the type of a fixed point of the operator (2.2) we write the Jacobi matrix:

$$J(s) = J_W = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}u & \frac{1}{4}u & \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}y & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}v & \frac{1}{4}u + \frac{1}{3}v & \frac{1}{4}y & \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{3}y \\ \frac{1}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v & \frac{1}{4}u + \frac{1}{3}v & \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}y & \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{3}y \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4}u + \frac{1}{3}v & \frac{1}{4}y & \frac{1}{3}y \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $J(s_0)$ has all eigenvalues equal to 0, therefore s_0 is an attracting point.

The Jacobian $J(s_2)$ has eigenvalues $-\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1, 2$, therefore the fixed point is not hyperbolic.

3.3. Dynamics on invariant sets.

A set A is called invariant with respect to W if $W(A) \subset A$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} O &= \{(0, 0, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : u, v \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(x, y, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ I &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : y = v = 0\}, \\ J &= \{s \in I : x = u\}, \\ P &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, u \geq 0, v \geq 0\}, \\ Q_a &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in P : x + y + u + v \leq a\}, \quad a \in [0, 4], \\ \mathcal{N} &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x \leq 0, y \leq 0, u \leq 0, v \leq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_0 &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x \leq 0, y \leq 0, u \geq 0, v \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_1 &= \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, u \leq 0, v \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. 1) The sets I , J , P and Q_a ($a \in [0, 4]$) are invariant with respect to W .

2) $W(O) = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$.

3) $W(Q_a) \subset Q_{a^2/4}$.

4) $W(\mathcal{N}) \subset P$.

5) $W(\mathcal{N}_0) \subset \mathcal{N}$, $W(\mathcal{N}_1) \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. We give the proof for Q_a , for other sets it simply follows from (2.2). Take any $s = (x, y, u, v) \in Q_a$ then we have $0 \leq x + y \leq a$ and $0 \leq u + v \leq a$. From (2.2) we get $x' \geq 0$, $y' \geq 0$, $u' \geq 0$, $v' \geq 0$ and

$$x' + y' + u' + v' = (x + y)(u + v) \leq \left(\frac{x + y + u + v}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{4}.$$

Thus $s' = (x', y', u', v') \in Q_{\frac{a^2}{4}} \subset Q_a$. □

Reduce W on J then we get the mapping $x' = f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$. This function has two fixed points $x = 0$ and $x = 2$. Moreover, 0 is attractive ($f'(0) = 0 < 1$) and 2 is repeller ($f'(2) = 2 > 1$). Take an initial point $x_0 \in J$ and iterate the function f , then we get

$$x_n = f^n(x_0) = 2^{-(1+2+2^2 \dots + 2^{n-1})} x_0^{2^n} = 2^{-2^n + 1} x_0^{2^n} = 2 \left(\frac{x_0}{2} \right)^{2^n}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |x_0| < 2 \\ 2, & \text{if } |x_0| = 2 \\ +\infty, & \text{if } |x_0| > 2. \end{cases}$$

Now reduce the operator W on I :

$$V : \begin{cases} x' = \frac{1}{2}xu \\ u' = \frac{1}{2}xu. \end{cases}$$

Thus for any $t_0 = (x_0, 0, u_0, 0) \in I$ we have $W(x_0, 0, u_0, 0) \in J$. Consequently, we have full characterization of the dynamical system on the invariant set J , i.e., we proved the following

Proposition 2. For any initial point $t_0 = (x_0, 0, u_0, 0) \in I$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(t_0) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, 0, 0) & \text{if } |x_0 u_0| < 4 \\ (2, 0, 2, 0) & \text{if } |x_0 u_0| = 4 \\ +\infty, & \text{if } |x_0 u_0| > 4. \end{cases}$$

Let us now consider the dynamical system on the other sets (which may intersect with J).

Lemma 2. Let $a \in [0, 4]$. Then for any initial point $s = (x, y, u, v) \in Q_a$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(s) = (0, 0, 0, 0). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Let $f(a) = a^2/4$. By Lemma 1 we have

$$W^n(Q_a) \subset W^{n-1}(Q_{f(a)}) \subset W^{n-2}(Q_{f^2(a)}) \subset \cdots \subset Q_{f^n(a)}.$$

It is easy to see that $f(x)$ has two fixed points 0 and 4. Moreover, 0 is attracting point and 4 is repelling point. For any $a \in [0, 4)$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(a) = 0$. Consequently, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(Q_a) \subset Q_0 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$. \square

Lemma 3. *For an initial point $s = (x, y, u, v) \in Q_4$ the following hold*

- i. *if there is $k \geq 0$ such that $y^{(k)}v^{(k)} \neq 0$ then (3.3) is satisfied,*
- ii. *if $y^{(k)}v^{(k)} = 0$ for any $k \geq 0$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(s) = (2, 0, 2, 0), \quad (3.4)$$

where $y^{(k)}$ and $v^{(k)}$ are second and fourth coordinates of the vector $W^k(s)$.

Proof. In the case $a = 4$ we have $0 \leq x + y + u + v \leq 4$. From this inequality it follows that

$$0 \leq x + y \leq 2 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq u + v \leq 2,$$

if both $x + y$ and $u + v$ large than 2 then their sum is large than 4. Without loss of generality we assume that $t = x + y \leq 2$ then $u + v \leq 4 - t$. Hence we have

$$x' + y' + u' + v' = (x + y)(u + v) \leq t(4 - t) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } t = 2 \\ < 4, & \text{if } t < 2 \end{cases}$$

hence $W(s) \in Q_{t(4-t)}$, i.e., for $t < 2$ the case is reduced to the case of $a < 4$. Consider now the case $t = 2$. Then if $u + v < 2$ we can reduce the case to the case $a < 4$. But if

$$t = x + y = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad u + v = 2 \quad (3.5)$$

then from (2.2) we get

$$x' + y' + u' + v' = 4, \quad x' + y' = 2 - \frac{yv}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad u' + v' = 2 + \frac{yv}{6}. \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently, if $yv \neq 0$ then $W^2(s) \in Q_{4 - (\frac{yv}{6})^2}$. By (3.5) we have $0 \leq y \leq 2$ and $0 \leq v \leq 2$, hence $0 < 4 - (\frac{yv}{6})^2 < 4$. Thus condition (3.5) together with $yv \neq 0$, by Lemma 2 gives (3.3).

Let now $yv = 0$ then (3.6) is reduced to the case (3.5). Repeating above argument we see that if $y'v' \neq 0$ then $W^3(s) \in Q_{4 - (\frac{y'v'}{6})^2}$, otherwise we iterate the argument again. By this way one can show that if (3.5) is satisfied and there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $y^{(k)}v^{(k)} \neq 0$ then we have (3.3).

Suppose now (3.5) is satisfied and

$$y^{(k)}v^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \geq 0 \quad (3.7)$$

then similarly to (3.6) we get

$$x^{(n)} + y^{(n)} + u^{(n)} + v^{(n)} = 4, \quad x^{(n)} + y^{(n)} = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad u^{(n)} + v^{(n)} = 2, \quad \text{for any } n \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

To complete the proof we need to the following

Lemma 4. *If conditions (3.5) and (3.7) are satisfied then*

$$y^{(k)} = v^{(k)} = 0 \text{ for any } k \geq 0.$$

Proof. From (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{2}x^{(k)}v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)} \\ v^{(k+1)} &= \left(\frac{1}{4}u^{(k)} + \frac{1}{3}v^{(k)}\right)y^{(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now using (3.8) and (3.7) from (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{2}(2 - y^{(k)})v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)} = v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)} \\ v^{(k+1)} &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(2 - v^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{3}v^{(k)}\right)y^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}y^{(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

If $v^{(0)} = 0$ then from the first equation of (3.10) we get $y^{(1)} = v^{(1)} = 0$. Consequently the second equation gives $v^{(2)} = 0$. Then using the first equation we get $y^{(2)} = 0$ and so on, we get $y^{(k)} = v^{(k)} = 0$ for any $k \geq 0$.

If $y^{(0)} = 0$ then the second equation gives $v^{(1)} = 0$. Assume $v^{(0)} = v \neq 0$ then from the first equation we get $y^{(1)} = v + v^{(1)} = v$. Then $v^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}v$. Consequently, $y^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}v$. Now condition $y^{(2)}v^{(2)} = 0$ gives $v = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Now by Lemma 4 and property (3.8) we get

$$x^{(n)} = 2 \text{ and } u^{(n)} = 2, \text{ for any } n \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 5. *If $s = (x, y, u, v) \in P$ is an initial point with $x + y + u + v > 4$, for which*

- (a) *if there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $(x^{(k)} + y^{(k)})(u^{(k)} + v^{(k)}) < 4$ then (3.3) is satisfied.*
- (b) *if $\max\{\frac{xu}{4}, \frac{yu}{16}, \frac{yv}{9}\} > 1$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(s) = \infty, \text{ i.e. at least one coordinate of } W^n(s) \text{ goes to } \infty.$$

Proof. (a) This simply follows from the equality

$$x^{(k+1)} + y^{(k+1)} + u^{(k+1)} + v^{(k+1)} = (x^{(k)} + y^{(k)})(u^{(k)} + v^{(k)}).$$

Indeed, from this equality it follows that $W(s^{(k+1)}) \in Q_4$. Since Q_4 is invariant the part (a) follows from Lemma 2.

(b) Let us prove it for the case $\max\{\frac{xu}{4}, \frac{yu}{16}, \frac{yv}{9}\} = \frac{xu}{4} > 1$. For other cases the proof is similar. From (2.2) for any $s = (x, y, u, v) \in P$ we get

$$x^{(k+1)} \geq \frac{1}{2}x^{(k)}u^{(k)}, \quad u^{(k+1)} \geq \frac{1}{2}x^{(k)}u^{(k)}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Iterating these inequalities we obtain

$$x^{(k+1)} \geq \frac{1}{2}x^{(k)}u^{(k)} \geq 2^{-(1+2+2^2+\dots+2^k)}(xu)^{2^k} = 2\left(\frac{xu}{4}\right)^{2^k}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly

$$u^{(k+1)} \geq 2\left(\frac{xu}{4}\right)^{2^k}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Denote

$$P_0 = \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in P : (x + y)(u + v) < 4\},$$

$$F = \{s = (x, y, u, v) \in P : x + y + u + v > 4, \max\{\frac{xu}{4}, \frac{yu}{16}, \frac{yv}{9}\} > 1\}.$$

Summarizing above-mentioned results we get the following

Theorem 1. *If $s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ is such that*

(i) *one of the following conditions is satisfied*

- 1) $s \in P_0$;
- 2) $s \in Q_4$ and the condition of part i) of Lemma 3 is hold;
- 3) $s \in \mathcal{N}$, $W(s) \in P_0$;
- 4) $s \in \mathcal{N}_0$, $W^2(s) \in P_0$;
- 5) $s \in \mathcal{N}_1$, $W^2(s) \in P_0$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(s) = (0, 0, 0, 0).$$

(ii) *one of the following conditions is satisfied*

- a) $s \in F$;
- b) $s \in \mathcal{N}$, $W(s) \in F$;
- c) $s \in \mathcal{N}_0$, $W^2(s) \in F$;
- d) $s \in \mathcal{N}_1$, $W^2(s) \in F$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W^n(s) = +\infty.$$

Proof. (i) The case 1) follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 5. The case 2) is result of Lemma 3. By Lemma 1 we have $W(\mathcal{N}) \subset P$, $W^2(\mathcal{N}_0) \subset P$ and $W^2(\mathcal{N}_1) \subset P$. Consequently, parts 3)-5) follow from 1).

Part (ii) is a result of Lemma 5 and Lemma 1. □

Remark 1. *The sum of sets for initial points considered in Theorem 1 is not equal to \mathbb{R}^4 . But for each fixed point this theorem already gives a large set for the initial point, trajectory of which converges to the fixed point. Each point $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be considered as a state of the system, which is a generalized measure (or charge) on the set $\{XX, XX^n, XY, X^hY\}$. We considered such measure, because for certain purposes, it is useful to have a "measure" whose values are not restricted to the non-negative reals or infinity. Moreover, the dynamical systems considered in this section are interesting because they are higher dimensional and such dynamical systems are important, but there are relatively few dynamical phenomena that are currently understood [1], [3]. In the next section we reduce our operators to the invariant set of vectors with non-negative coordinates (the usual measures which take non-negative values). Then to get a stochastic system of probability measures we use a normalization of the non-negative measures.*

4. A NORMALIZED GONOSOMAL OPERATOR

We note that the gonosomal operator (2.4) does not map the simplex

$$\mathcal{S}^{n+\nu-1} = \left\{ s = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+\nu} : x_i \geq 0, y_j \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j = 1 \right\}$$

to itself, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x'_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y'_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j \right) \quad (4.1)$$

is not equal to 1 in general.

We denote

$$\mathcal{O} = \left\{ s \in \mathcal{S}^{n+\nu-1} : (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0) \text{ or } (y_1, \dots, y_\nu) = (0, \dots, 0) \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{n,\nu} = \mathcal{S}^{n+\nu-1} \setminus \mathcal{O}.$$

It is easy to see that $W(\mathcal{O}) = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. So the points from \mathcal{O} do not give any contribution to the dynamical system generated by W .

Therefore we introduce the normalized gonosomal operator as the following. Consider the coefficients of the operator (2.4) with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} &\geq 0, \quad \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} \geq 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} + \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} &= 1, \quad \text{for all } i, k, j, l. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

An normalized evolution operator V , with coefficients (4.2) is defined as

$$V : \begin{cases} x'_j = \frac{\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} x_i y_k}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j \right)}, & j = 1, \dots, n \\ y'_l = \frac{\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} x_i y_k}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y_j \right)}, & l = 1, \dots, \nu. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Proposition 3. *The operator V defined by (4.3) with coefficients (4.2) maps $\mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ to itself if and only if the following condition*

$$\left(\gamma_{ik,1}^{(f)}, \dots, \gamma_{ik,n}^{(f)}, \gamma_{ik,1}^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_{ik,\nu}^{(m)} \right) \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}, \quad \text{for all } i, k. \quad (4.4)$$

is satisfied.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose for any $s \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ we have $s' = V(s) \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ then we shall show that (4.4) is satisfied. Assume that (4.4) is not true, then there is $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ such that

$$\left(\gamma_{i_0 k_0, 1}^{(f)}, \dots, \gamma_{i_0 k_0, n}^{(f)} \right) = (0, \dots, 0), \quad (4.5)$$

or

$$\left(\gamma_{i_0 k_0, 1}^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_{i_0 k_0, \nu}^{(m)} \right) = (0, \dots, 0). \quad (4.6)$$

Consider the case (4.5) (the case (4.6) is similar). Take now some $s \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ such that $x_{i_0} \neq 0$, $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$ and $y_{k_0} \neq 0$, $y_k = 0$ for $k \neq k_0$. Then for this s we have

$$x'_j = \frac{\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} x_i y_k}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\nu y_j\right)} = \frac{\gamma_{i_0 k_0, j}^{(f)} x_{i_0} y_{k_0}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\nu y_j\right)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e., $s' \in \mathcal{O}$. This is contradiction to the assumption that $s' \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$.

Sufficiency. Assume the conditions (4.2) and (4.4) are satisfied, we want to show that if $s \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ then $s' = V(s) \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$. By the construction of the operator (4.3) it is easy to see that $s' \in \mathcal{S}^{n+\nu+1}$ so it remains to show that $s' \notin \mathcal{O}$. Assume that $s' \in \mathcal{O}$, i.e., $(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ (the case $(y'_1, \dots, y'_\nu) = (0, \dots, 0)$ is similar). Then by (4.3) we should have

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} x_i y_k = 0, \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $s \in \mathcal{S}^{n,\nu}$ there is $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ such that $x_{i_0} > 0$ and $y_{k_0} > 0$. From our conditions it follows that $\gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} x_i y_k \geq 0$, for all i, j, k . Hence from (4.7) we get

$$\gamma_{i_0 k_0, j}^{(f)} x_{i_0} y_{k_0} = 0, \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

consequently,

$$\gamma_{i_0 k_0, j}^{(f)} = 0 \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

This is contradiction to the condition (4.4). \square

A fixed point $s = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_\nu)$ of the gonosomal operator (2.4) is called non-negative and normalizeable if all coordinates of this point are non-negative and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{k=1}^\nu y_k > 0$.

Proposition 4. *There is one-to-one correspondence between non-negative and normalizeable fixed points of (2.4) and all fixed points of (4.3).*

Proof. Let $s = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_\nu)$ be a non-negative and normalizeable fixed point of (2.4). Denote $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{k=1}^\nu y_k$, and consider the point

$$\tilde{s} = (x_1/Z, \dots, x_n/Z, y_1/Z, \dots, y_\nu/Z).$$

By (4.1) for the fixed point we have

$$Z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^\nu y_k\right). \quad (4.8)$$

Using formula (4.8) one can see that \tilde{s} is a fixed point of (4.3).

Now let $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_\nu)$ be a fixed point of (4.3), i.e. it satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}_j = \frac{\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,j}^{(f)} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_k}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\nu \tilde{y}_j\right)}, & j = 1, \dots, n \\ \tilde{y}_l = \frac{\sum_{i,k=1}^{n,\nu} \gamma_{ik,l}^{(m)} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_k}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\nu \tilde{y}_j\right)}, & l = 1, \dots, \nu. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Denote

$$\tilde{Z} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^\nu \tilde{y}_k \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Dividing both side of (4.9) to \tilde{Z} it is easy to see that the following point is a fixed point of (2.4) : $s = (\tilde{x}_1/\tilde{Z}, \dots, \tilde{x}_n/\tilde{Z}, \tilde{y}_1/\tilde{Z}, \dots, \tilde{y}_\nu/\tilde{Z})$. \square

In this section we consider the normalized version of the evolution operator (2.2), i.e.,

$$V : \begin{cases} x' = \frac{2xu + yu}{4(x+y)(u+v)} \\ y' = \frac{6xv + 3yu + 4yv}{12(x+y)(u+v)} \\ u' = \frac{6xu + 6xv + 3yu + 4yv}{12(x+y)(u+v)} \\ v' = \frac{3yu + 4yv}{12(x+y)(u+v)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

It is easy to see that the operator (4.11) satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3, hence $V : \mathcal{S}^{2,2} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$.

The following lemmas give some useful estimates.

Lemma 6. *Let $s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$ and $s^{(1)} = (x', y', u', v') = V(s)$ for the operator (4.11) then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{4(u+v)} &\leq x' \leq \frac{u}{2(u+v)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{v}{3(u+v)} &\leq y' \leq \frac{u+2v}{4(u+v)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{2x+y}{4(x+y)} &\leq u' \leq \frac{3x+2y}{6(x+y)} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{y}{4(x+y)} &\leq v' \leq \frac{y}{3(x+y)} \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{u}{6(u+v)} &\leq x' + y' \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} &\leq u' + v' \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{yv}{6(x+y)(u+v)} \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ v' &\leq y' \leq u', \\ &x' \leq u'. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$ and $s^{(n)} = (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}, u^{(n)}, v^{(n)}) = V^n(s)$ for the operator (4.11) then*

1.

$$\frac{5}{12} \leq x^{(2)} + y^{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

2. *There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$v^{(n+1)} \leq \alpha y^{(n)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof. 1. Using Lemma 6 we have

$$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{u'}{6(u' + v')} \leq x^{(2)} + y^{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consequently, since $u' \geq v'$ we get

$$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{u'}{6(u' + v')} \geq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{5}{12}.$$

2. Using $u = 1 - x - y - v$ we can rewrite the operator (4.11) as

$$V : \begin{cases} x' = \frac{(2x+y)(1-x-y-v)}{4(x+y)(1-x-y)} \\ y' = \frac{3y(1-x-y)+(6x+y)v}{12(x+y)(1-x-y)} \\ v' = y \cdot \frac{3(1-x-y)+v}{12(x+y)(1-x-y)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

For any $n \geq 1$ we have

$$V^{n+1} \begin{cases} x^{(n+1)} = \frac{(2x^{(n)}+y^{(n)})(1-x^{(n)}-y^{(n)}-v^{(n)})}{4(x^{(n)}+y^{(n)})(1-x^{(n)}-y^{(n)})} \\ y^{(n+1)} = v^{(n+1)} + \varphi(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})v^{(n)} \\ v^{(n+1)} = \psi(x^{(n)}, v^{(n)})y^{(n)}, \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{x}{2(x+y)(1-x-y)}, \quad \psi(x, v) = \frac{3(1-x-y)+v}{12(x+y)(1-x-y)} = \frac{3u+4v}{12(u+v)(1-u-v)}.$$

Using above mentioned inequalities we get

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 1, \quad \psi(x, v) = \frac{1}{3(1-u-v)} - \frac{u}{12(u+v)(1-u-v)} \leq \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{13}{24}.$$

Consequently we get from (4.13) the following

$$\begin{cases} y^{(n+1)} \leq v^{(n+1)} + v^{(n)} \\ v^{(n+1)} \leq \frac{13}{24}y^{(n)}. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *The operator (4.11) has a unique fixed point $p = (1/2, 0, 1/2, 0)$ and there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(p) \subset \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$ of p such that for any initial point $s \in \mathcal{U}(p)$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V^n(s) = p.$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of p follow from Propositions 1 and 4. To check the Jacobi matrix of the operator (4.11) at the fixed point p , one has to replace v by $v = 1 - x - y - u$ in the operator then construct a 3×3 Jacobi matrix. It is easy to see that this matrix at the fixed point has eigenvalues $-0.5, 0, 1$, i.e the point is attractive, so [1, Theorem 6.3] completes the proof. \square

Using Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 one can see that the trajectory of any initial point after few iterations comes close to the fixed point p . Moreover, using Maple one can see that the limit point of the trajectory is always p . Thus the following should be true

Conjecture. For any initial point $s \in \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V^n(s) = p$.

Remark 2. *The results have the following biological interpretations: Let $s = (x, y, u, v) \in \mathcal{S}^{2,2}$ be an initial state (the probability distribution on the set $\{XX, XX^h; XY, X^hY\}$ of genotypes). Theorem 2 says that, as a rule, the population tends to the equilibrium state $p = (1/2, 0, 1/2, 0)$ with the passage of time, i.e. the future of the population is stable: genotypes XX and XY are survived always, but the genotypes XX^h and X^hY (therefore hemophilia) will disappear in the future. It follows that hemophilia is maintained in a population only if it occurs mutations on the genes coding for the coagulation factors.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

U.Rozikov thanks Aix-Marseille University Institute for Advanced Study IMÉRA (Marseille, France) for support by a residency scheme. His work also partially supported by the Grant No.0251/GF3 of Education and Science Ministry of Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical system*, Westview Press, 2003.
- [2] A. Gallegos, T. Plummer, D. Uminsky, C. Vega, C. Wickman, M. Zawoiski, *A mathematical model of a crocodilian population using delay-differential equations*. J. Math. Biol. **57**(5) (2008), 737–754.
- [3] R.N. Ganikhodzhaev, F.M. Mukhamedov, U.A. Rozikov, *Quadratic stochastic operators and processes: results and open problems*. Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Prob. Rel. Fields., **14**(2) (2011), 279–335.
- [4] N.N. Ganikhodjaev, U.A. Rozikov, *On quadratic stochastic operators generated by Gibbs distributions*. Regular and Chaotic Dynamics. **11**(4) (2006), 467–473.
- [5] S. Karlin, *Mathematical models, problems, and controversies of evolutionary theory*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **10**(2) (1984), 221–274.
- [6] S. Karlin, S. Lessard, *On the optimal sex-ratio: a stability analysis based on a characterization for one-locus multiallele viability models*. J. Math. Biol. **20**(1) (1984), 15–38.
- [7] M. Ladra, U.A. Rozikov, *Evolution algebra of a bisexual population*, Jour. Algebra. **378** (2013), 153–172.
- [8] Y.I. Lyubich, *Mathematical structures in population genetics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

- [9] M.L. Reed, *Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **34** (2) (1997) 107–130.
- [10] U.A. Rozikov, *Evolution operators and algebras of sex linked inheritance*. Asia Pacific Math. Newsletter. **3**(1) (2013), 6–11.
- [11] U.A. Rozikov, U.U. Zhamilov, *Volterra quadratic stochastic operators of bisexual population*. Ukraine Math. Jour., **63**(7) (2011), 985–998.
- [12] U.A. Rozikov, J.P. Tian, *Evolution algebras generated by Gibbs measures*. Lobachevskii Jour. Math. **32**(4) (2011), 270–277.
- [13] R. Varro, *Gonosomal algebra*, 2015, arXiv:1503.08070v1.

U. A. ROZIKOV, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 29, DO'RMON YO'LI STR., 100125, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN.

E-mail address: rozikovu@yandex.ru

R. VARRO, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE MODÉLISATION DE MONTPELLIER, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTPELLIER, 35095 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 5, FRANCE.

E-mail address: richard.varro@univ-montp3.fr