

Interpolation of exponential-type functions on a uniform grid by shifts of a basis function

Dedicated to the memory of Ward Cheney

A. K. Kushpel, J. Levesley, X. Sun*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the problem of interpolating a continuous function at $(n + 1)$ equally-spaced points in the interval $[0, 1]$, using shifts of a kernel on the $(1/n)$ -spaced infinite grid. The archetypal example here is approximation using shifts of a Gaussian kernel. We present new results concerning interpolation of functions of exponential type, in particular, polynomials on the integer grid as a step en route to solve the general interpolation problem. For the Gaussian kernel we introduce a new class of polynomials, closely related to the probabilistic Hermite polynomials and show that evaluations of the polynomials at the integer points provide the coefficients of the interpolants. Finally we give a closed formula for the Gaussian interpolant of a continuous function on a uniform grid in the unit interval (assuming knowledge of the discrete moments of the Gaussian).

1 Introduction

In the mathematical literature pertaining to radial basis functions, there have been mainly two approaches to constructing interpolants with Gaussian

*This work was supported by EPSRC Grant EP/H020071/1, the University of Leicester via study leave for the second author and Missouri State University through a generous travel grant for the second author.

kernels. The first involves interpolating a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ on the h -spaced grid $h\mathbb{Z}$ by an interpolant of the form

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_z \psi(z - x/h),$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\exp(-\|x\|^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Analysis of this so-called cardinal approximation has been done in a series of papers of Baxter, Riemenschneider and Sivakumar [3, 8, 19, 20].

The second concentrates on interpolating a continuous function on a finite subset Y of a compact interval (e.g $[0, 1]$). Under this circumstance, the interpolant one seeks is of the form

$$\sum_{y \in Y} \alpha_y \psi(y - x).$$

There are multidimensional set-ups for both approaches. Modern mathematical literature abounds in developing error estimates for approximation schemes in this context. We refer readers to [11, 12, 13, 14] and the references therein.

Approximation methods involving sparse-grid algorithms have been recently proven effective and efficient; see [10]. Some sophisticated multi-level sparse grid kernel interpolation schemes have been constructed by authors of [4, 9]. We are currently motivated to develop sparse-grid algorithms for high-dimensional approximation with the Gaussian kernel and derive error estimates for C^k - functions with polynomial growth. However, there are several obstacles en route to achieving these goals. The main purpose of the current paper is to clear a few obstacles out of the way. First and foremost, we face the problem of interpolating a function at the $(n + 1)$ equally-spaced points $ih, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ with $h = 1/n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The approach we take here differs from those discussed in the above references. We first interpolate the given $(n + 1)$ data by a degree n polynomial, and then interpolate the polynomial by a radial basis function interpolant on $h\mathbb{Z}$.

Functions of exponential type¹ are often utilized as a half-way house in deriving error estimates for Sobolev space functions; see [15, 16]. As such,

¹Some variants of functions of exponential type are also referred to as “band limited functions” in the literature.

it is worthwhile to study the effect of the interpolation scheme when the target functions are of exponential types, and in particular, polynomials, which we anticipate to play a significant role in our future effort to obtain more nuanced error estimates for C^k -functions with polynomial growth. Interestingly enough, we observe in the analytic number theory literature that interpolation goes the opposite way in the sense that functions of exponential type are employed to approximate the Gaussian and other useful radial basis functions; see [5, 6]. We hope that interactions of the two seemingly inverse research tracks will create synergistic results.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will consider a general kernel ψ and study the operator \mathcal{T} induced by the Toeplitz matrix $\psi(j - k)$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The action of \mathcal{T} on an $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ takes the form:

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(j - k) f(j), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We show that the operator is one-to-one on the space of polynomials, which are the subject of interest in the main body of the current paper. In the Appendix we show furthermore that the operator is one-to-one on a larger class of functions of exponential type. Moreover, we demonstrate that the coefficients of an interpolant can be written in closed form. In Section 3, we investigate the special case in which the Gaussian kernel is employed and the target functions are polynomials. We introduce new classes of polynomials resembling the classical probabilistic Hermite polynomials, and derive closed formulas for the coefficients of a Gaussian interpolant in terms of these polynomials. In Section 4, we show how to use interpolate general functions on a uniform grid in the unit interval via interpolation by polynomials. This is not a convergent approximation scheme. However, it can be used as part of a residual correction scheme as is described in [9].

2 Interpolation with general kernels

Let us assume we have an infinitely differentiable, positive function ψ such that all the “discrete moments” M_k :

$$M_k = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^k \psi(j), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

are finite. Let $p_k(x) = x^k$, for $0 \leq k \leq N$. We seek coefficients $a_{k,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$I[p_k](x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,j} \psi(x - j), \quad (2)$$

interpolates p_k at all the integers. In other words

$$I[p_k](\ell) = \ell^k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We will show that if coefficients $a_{k,j}$ (as polynomials in j of degree k) exist, then they are unique. We then construct such coefficients (of polynomial form). Thus $I[p_k]$ is the unique interpolant with coefficients of polynomial form. Furthermore, these coefficients are constructible in a recursive fashion.

In the Appendix at the end of the paper we extend results of existence and uniqueness to include functions of exponential type.

Lemma 1. *Let r_k be a polynomial of degree k for some $0 \leq k \leq N$. Suppose that*

$$f(\ell) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(j) \psi(j - \ell) = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $r_k \equiv 0$.

Proof: We proceed by induction. If $k = 0$ then $r_k = c$ for some constant c . Then,

$$c \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(j - \ell) = 0,$$

and since ψ is positive, $c = 0$.

Assume that the result holds true for all polynomials of degree $< k$. Let $\Delta f(x) = f(x + 1) - f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be the forward difference operator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(\ell) &= f(\ell + 1) - f(\ell) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(j) \psi(j - \ell - 1) - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(j) \psi(j - \ell) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(j + 1) \psi(j - \ell) - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_k(j) \psi(j - \ell) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (r_k(j + 1) - r_k(j)) \psi(j - \ell) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta r_k(j) \psi(j - \ell) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Δr_k is a polynomial of degree $k - 1$, which satisfies $\Delta r_k(j) = 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\Delta r_k = 0$. It follows that r_k is a constant. We use the induction hypothesis once more to conclude that r_k is identically zero. \square

The result of Lemma 1 can be equivalently stated as follows. There is no nontrivial polynomial p , $\deg(p) \leq N$, such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} p(j) \psi(j - \ell) = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the sequel, we will use the above fact without further declaration.

Lemma 2. For $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^k \psi(j - \ell) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} M_{k-i} \ell^i, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof: Substituting the expression for M_{k-m} from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} M_{k-i} \ell^i &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^{k-i} \psi(j) \right\} \ell^i \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(j) \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} j^{k-i} \ell^i \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(j) (j + \ell)^k \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^k \psi(j - \ell), \end{aligned}$$

by simply renumbering the sum. \square

Let $B_{k,i} = M_{k-i} \binom{k-1}{i-1}$, $k = 1, \dots, N + 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^{k-1} \psi(j - \ell) = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{i,k} \ell^{k-1}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N + 1, \quad (3)$$

we can use backward elimination to see that

$$\ell^{k-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k A_{k,i} j^{i-1} \right\} \psi(j - \ell), \quad k = 1, \dots, N + 1, \quad (4)$$

for some numbers $A_{k,i}$, $k = 1, \dots, N+1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Define the polynomial $a_k(j) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{k+1,i+1} j^{i-1}$. Then the coefficients $a_{k,j}$ defined in (2) satisfy $a_{k,j} = a_k(j)$.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and (4) is

Theorem 1. *The coefficients $a_{k,j}$ of the interpolant $I[p_k]$ given in (2) are unique. Moreover, they are polynomials (in j) of degree $k - 1$.*

Let

$$q_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k M_{k-m} \binom{k}{m} x^m. \quad (5)$$

In the next theorem we prove a generating function style relationship for the polynomials q_k and the interpolating functions $I[p_k]$. As a corollary of this theorem we give a recursive formula for the errors between $I[p_k]$ and p_k .

Theorem 2. *For $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$,*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j-x)^k \psi(j-x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) I[p_{k-i}](x). \quad (6)$$

Proof: If we substitute (4) into Lemma 2 we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^k \psi(j-\ell) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} M_{k-i} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i(j) \psi(j-\ell), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and by the linear independence of $\psi(\cdot - \ell)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$j^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} M_{k-i} a_i(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7)$$

We now expand the left hand side of (6) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j-x)^k \psi(j-x) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-x)^i j^{k-i} \psi(j-x) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-x)^i \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{m} M_{k-i-m} a_m(j) \right) \psi(j-x) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-x)^i \sum_{m=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{m} M_{k-i-m} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(j) \psi(j-x) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-x)^i \sum_{m=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{m} M_{k-i-m} I[p_m](x),
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving the above equations, we have used (2) and (7). Making use of the formula

$$\binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{m} = \binom{k}{m} \binom{k-m}{i},$$

we reorder the final sum above as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j-x)^k \psi(j-x) &= \sum_{m=0}^k I[p_m](x) \sum_{i=0}^{k-m} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{m} M_{k-m-i} (-x)^i \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} I[p_m](x) \sum_{i=0}^{k-m} \binom{k-m}{i} M_{k-m-i} (-x)^i \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} I[p_m](x) q_{k-m}(-x).
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving the last equation, we have used (5). \square

We define the error of interpolation $E_k(x) := I[p_k](x) - p_k(x)$, and

$$\chi_k(x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j-x)^k \psi(j-x) - M_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Next, we obtain a recursive formula which, upon an appropriate inversion, allows us to write the errors in terms of the functions χ_k and q_k .

Corollary 1. For $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$,

$$\chi_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) E_{k-i}(x).$$

Proof: Since $I[p_{k-i}](\ell) = p_{k-i}(\ell)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Theorem 2, we have for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(\ell) \ell^k = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j - \ell)^k \psi(j - \ell) = M_k.$$

Since a polynomial is uniquely determined by its values on the integers we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) x^k = M_k.$$

Subtracting this equation from (6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_k(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) I[p_{k-i}](x) - M_k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) I[p_{k-i}](x) - \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) p_{k-i}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) (I[p_{k-i}](x) - p_{k-i}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} q_i(-x) E_{k-i}(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *Theorem 2 gives a recursive formula for computing the interpolant of any polynomial, as long as one knows the interpolants for polynomials of lower degree. Likewise, the result of the corollary expresses the error between a polynomial and its interpolant in the same fashion. If the Gaussian kernel is employed to do interpolation, then we will have more interesting information to offer. We will study this topic in the next section.*

3 The Gaussian Kernel

In this section we study exclusively the case of $\psi(x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$. Pertinent to the contents of this paper will be the probabilistic Hermite polynomials

He_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots$. These may be defined in a number of ways, but for us perhaps the most appropriate one is via Rodrigues formula:

$$He_k(x) := \exp(x^2/2) \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \exp(-x^2/2), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots .$$

We have the following explicit representation of these polynomials (see e.g. [2]):

$$He_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k-i} \frac{k!}{i!(k-2i)!} \frac{x^{k-2i}}{2^i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots ,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to x . The polynomial He_k has a close cousin that is often referred to as the probabilistic polynomial of negative variance:

$$Ne_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{k!}{i!(k-2i)!} \frac{x^{k-2i}}{2^i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots ,$$

which has the same coefficients in absolute value, but the coefficients are all positive.

The probabilistic polynomials of negative variance arise very naturally in this study as they are the result of the continuous convolution of the Gaussian with the polynomials of appropriate degree:

Lemma 3. *Let $\psi(x) = \exp(-x^2/2)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Ne_k(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k \psi(y-x) dy \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{k}{2i} C_{2i} x^{k-2i}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k \psi(y) dy = \begin{cases} (k-1)!!, & k \text{ even,} \\ 0, & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: It is well-known (see e.g. [17]) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k \psi(y) dy = \begin{cases} (k-1)!!, & k \text{ even,} \\ 0, & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

To prove the first equation, we make a simple change of variable $w = y - x$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^k \psi(x - y) dy &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (w + x)^k \psi(w) dw \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} x^i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{k-i} \psi(w) dw \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} C_{k-i} x^i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} C_i x^{k-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{k}{i} C_{2i} x^{k-2i}.
\end{aligned}$$

We remind readers that all the odd degree terms have zero coefficients. If we substitute the value for C_{2i} we see that Ne_k is the probabilistic Hermite polynomial of negative variance:

$$Ne_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{k!}{i!(k-2i)!} \frac{x^{k-2i}}{2^i}. \quad \square$$

A fascinating relationship between He_k and Ne_k is the so-called umbral composition (see [2]):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{k!}{i!(k-2i)!} \frac{He_{k-2i}(x)}{2^i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \frac{k!}{i!(k-2i)!} \frac{Ne_{k-2i}(x)}{2^i} = x^k.$$

Using Lemma 3 and the second equation above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x^k &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \frac{k!}{2^i i!(k-2i)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^{k-2i} \psi(x - y) dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} He_k(y) \psi(y - x) dy,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

so that we can recover the monomials by integrating against the probabilistic Hermite polynomials. Of course, this gives us an idea of what will happen in the discrete case.

To do this, we need an analogue of the probabilistic Hermite polynomial for the discrete case. We define

$$\tilde{H}e_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{k}{2i} M_{2i} x^{k-2i}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (9)$$

where M_{2k} is the discrete moment as defined in (1). We also let

$$\tilde{N}e_k = q_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where q_k is as defined in (5). In other words

$$\tilde{N}e_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{k}{2i} M_{2i} x^{k-2i}. \quad (10)$$

Equation (8) suggests that a closed formula for the interpolant $I[p_k](x)$ resembles

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}e_k(j) \psi(j - x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the next result, we will show that this is indeed the case (up to a constant very close to 1) for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. For $k \geq 5$ we need to make some modifications to \tilde{H}_k for a closed form.

Lemma 4. *For $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}e_k(z) \psi(j - \ell) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{2i} (-1)^m \binom{k}{2m} \binom{k-2m}{4i-2m} M_{2m} M_{4i-2m} \right\} j^{k-4i}.$$

Proof: By Theorem 2, Equations (9) and (10), we have, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}e_k(z) \psi(j - \ell) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{k}{2i} M_{2i} j^{k-2i} \right\} \psi(j - \ell) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{k}{2i} M_{2i} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^{k-2i} \psi(j - \ell) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{k}{2i} M_{2i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor (k-2i)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^m \binom{k-2i}{2m} M_{2m} \ell^{k-2i-2m} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}e_k(z)\psi(j - \ell) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i (-1)^m \binom{k}{2m} \binom{k-2m}{2i-2m} M_{2m} M_{2i-2m} \right\} \ell^{k-2i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{2i} (-1)^m \binom{k}{2m} \binom{k-2m}{4i-2m} M_{2m} M_{4i-2m} \right\} \ell^{k-4i}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that, if i is odd, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \binom{k-2j}{2i-2j} M_{2j} M_{2i-2j} = 0,$$

which is true because

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{k}{2j} \binom{k-2j}{2i-2j} &= \frac{k!}{(k-2j)!(2j)!} \frac{(k-2j)!}{(k-2i)!(2i-2j)!} \\ &= \frac{k!}{(k-2i+2j)!(2i-2j)!} \frac{(k-2i+2j)!}{(k-2i)!(2j)!} \\ &= \binom{k}{2(i-j)} \binom{k-2(i-j)}{2j}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As we can see, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, the above lemma gives an exact formula. Interestingly, suppose that we replace M_k by C_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots$, then the correction terms above are all zero. This is why we get the umbral composition formula for the probabilistic Hermite polynomials. For higher degrees we need to modify the polynomial in the summation for interpolation. To this end we introduce the polynomials $Q_k(x)$, which we define by

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{M_0^2} N e_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (11)$$

and for $k = 4, 5, \dots$,

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{M_0^2} N e_k - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{2i} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \binom{k-2j}{4i-2j} M_{2j} M_{4i-2j} \right\} Q_{k-4i}. \quad (12)$$

Using Lemma 4, we immediately get the main result of this section

Theorem 3. *For $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and ψ the Gaussian, we have*

$$I[p_k](x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_k(j)\psi(j - x).$$

4 Gaussian Interpolant on a h -spaced points in an interval

In this section, we give a recipe for computation of a new Gaussian kernel interpolant to a function defined at equally spaced points $X = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 1\}$. The construction of this interpolant utilizes the full $(1/n)$ - spaced infinite grid. As such, it is different from most of Gaussian kernel interpolants constructed with conventional procedures. However, for all the practical computational purposes, only a small number of centres outside of the interval of interpolation are required. The rapid decay of the Gaussian kernel offsets the error incurred by dropping terms (shifts) of the interpolant far from the interpolation interval.

In this case we seek an interpolant of the form

$$I_n[f](x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j^n(f) \psi(j - nx), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$. To do this we follow the following recipe:

1. Interpolate f on X with a degree n polynomial

$$P_n[f](x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i(f) t_i(x),$$

where $t_i, i = 0, \dots, n$ is a basis for the degree n polynomials.

2. Interpolate the scaled polynomial $S_{1/n}P_n : x \mapsto P_n(\frac{1}{n}x)$, at the integers $0, 1, \dots, n$, and evaluate the result at nx :

$$\begin{aligned} I_n[f](x) &= I[S_{1/n}P_n](nx) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n c_i(S_{1/n}f) I[t_i](nx). \end{aligned}$$

With the basis of monomials this becomes

$$I_n[f](x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=0}^n c_i(S_{1/n}f) Q_i(j) \psi(j - nx),$$

where $Q_i, i = 0, \dots, n$ are as given in (11) and (12). The coefficients in the expression must be interpreted as the appropriate ones for the monomial basis.

5 Conclusion

As main results of this paper, we have shown that the interpolant to a polynomial using a suitable kernel has polynomial coefficients. More importantly, a kernel interpolant to a polynomial is constructible recursively, as is the way in which we express the error between the polynomial and its kernel interpolant. For the Gaussian kernel, we provide closed formulas for the coefficients of the kernel interpolant to a polynomial. These are given in terms of a new class of polynomials that closely resemble the classical probabilistic Hermite polynomials. Via interpolating polynomials, we find a way to construct a kernel interpolant to a function defined on an equally-spaced grid of a compact interval. In theory, this interpolant uses shifts of the kernel on a full infinite grid. In numerical implementation, however, only a small number of shifts of the kernel centered outside of the interpolation interval is needed thanks to the rapid decay of the kernel. These have cleared the way for our future work in which we will investigate numerical aspects of this process. Our goals are to obtain stable and efficient algorithms for the computation of the interpolant, and to develop error estimates for C^k -functions having polynomial growth. With the stationary interpolation scheme, the error will not go to zero as the grid spacing contracts, but the errors estimate will be useful for analysing the residual approximation algorithm that is detailed in [9].

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, and I. A. Stegun, I. A. (Eds.), Orthogonal Polynomials, Ch. 22 in *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, 9th printing, New York: Dover, pp. 771–802, 1972.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications (No. 71), Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [3] B. J. C. Baxter and N. Sivakumar, On shifted cardinal interpolation by Gaussians and multiquadrics, *J. Approx. Theory* **87** (1996), 36–59.

- [4] P. Dong, E. H. Georgoulis, J. Levesley and F. Usta, Fast multilevel sparse Gaussian kernels for high-dimensional approximation and integration, preprint.
- [5] E. Carneiro, F. Littmann, J. Vaaler, Gaussian subordination for the Beurling-Selberg extremal problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), no. 7, 3493 - 3534.
- [6] E. Carneiro and J. Vaaler, Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 11, 5803 - 5843.
- [7] Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1968.
- [8] A. K. Kushpel and J. Levesley, Reconstruction of density functions by sk-splines, [arXiv:1401.5271v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5271v1), 21 April 2014.
- [9] E. Georgoulis, J. Levesley, and F. Subhan, Multilevel, sparse, kernel-based interpolation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **35** (2013), 815–831.
- [10] M. Griebel, M. Schneider, and C. Zenger, A combination technique for the solution of sparse grid problems, in *Iterative methods in linear algebra* (Brussels, 1991), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 263–281.
- [11] K. Hamm, Approximation rates for interpolation of Sobolev functions via Gaussians and allied functions, *J. Approx. Theory* **189** (2015), 101–122.
- [12] T. Hangelbroek, W. Madych, F. Narcowich and J. Ward, Cardinal interpolation with Gaussian kernels, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **18** (2012), 67–86.
- [13] B. Fornberg, E. Larsson, and N. Flyer, Stable computations with Gaussian radial basis functions, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **33** (2013), 869–892.
- [14] W.R. Madych and S.A. Nelson, Bounds on Multivariate Polynomials and Exponential Error Estimates for Multiquadric Interpolation, *J. Approx. Theory* **70** (1992), 94–114.
- [15] F. J. Narcowich, J. D. Ward, and H. Wendland, Sobolev error estimates and a Bernstein inequality for scattered data interpolation via radial basis functions, *Constr. Approx.* **24** (2006), no. 2, 175–186.

- [16] F. J. Narcowich, X. Sun, J. D. Ward, and H. Wendland, Direct and inverse Sobolev error estimates for scattered data interpolation via spherical basis functions, *Found. Comput. Math.* **7** (2007), no. 3, 369 – 390.
- [17] A. Papoulis, *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*, 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, pp. 100–101, 1984.
- [18] L. A. Rubel, Necessary and sufficient conditions for Carlson’s theorem on entire functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1956), 417–429.
- [19] S. D. Riemenschneider and N. Sivakumar, On cardinal interpolation by Gaussian radial-basis functions: properties of fundamental functions and estimates for Lebesgue constants, *J. Anal. Math.* **79** (1999), 33–61.
- [20] S. D. Riemenschneider and N. Sivakumar, Cardinal interpolation by Gaussian functions: A survey, *J. Analysis* **8** (2000), 157–178.
- [21] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spcaes*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [22] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1965.

Appendix: Functions of exponential type

In this appendix we show that we can extend the results of Section 2 beyond the polynomial case to that of functions of exponential type. For $f \in L(\mathbb{R})$, we use the following Fourier transform pair:

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} f(x) dx, \quad \check{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i \xi x} f(\xi) d\xi. \quad (13)$$

We assume that both Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform have been properly extended to the Schwartz class of tempered distributions. Let \mathbb{W} denote the collection of all functions $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ that decays rapidly. That is, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequality holds true:

$$|\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (14)$$

Each $\psi \in \mathbb{W}$ induces a periodic function $\tilde{\psi}$ on \mathbb{R} :

$$\tilde{\psi}(x) := \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(z) e^{2\pi i z x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (15)$$

The period of the above function is 1. We will use $[0, 1]$ as the fundamental interval. We are particularly interested in the subset \mathbb{W}^* of \mathbb{W} defined by

$$\mathbb{W}^* := \{\psi \in \mathbb{W} : \tilde{\psi}(x) \neq 0, x \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (16)$$

Lemma 5. *For each $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^*$, there exists a sequence of complex numbers a_z , $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_z z^k| < \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and*

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\psi}(x)} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} a_z e^{2\pi i z x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof: First off, Wiener's lemma [7, p. 228] asserts that there exists a sequence of complex numbers a_z , $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_z| < \infty$, and

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\psi}(x)} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} a_z e^{2\pi i z x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The rapid decay of the function ψ ensures that the periodic function $\tilde{\psi}$ (see (15)) is infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} . Since $\tilde{\psi}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, this property of smoothness of the function $\tilde{\psi}$ passes on to the function $(\tilde{\psi})^{-1}$, whose Fourier coefficients a_z , $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore enjoy the desired decay condition. \square

We will refer to the inequality as displayed in (16) Wiener's condition. Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' denote, respectively, the Schwartz classes of functions and tempered distributions. For each given $0 \leq \sigma < \infty$, let \mathbb{E}_σ denote the class of analytic functions of exponential type σ . We will focus on a subclass \mathbb{E}_σ^* of \mathbb{E}_σ defined by:

$$\mathbb{E}_\sigma^* := \{f \in \mathbb{E}_\sigma : \exists C > 0 \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^N), x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proposition 4. *Let $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^*$. For each $\sigma \geq 0$, and every $f \in \mathbb{E}_\sigma^*$ there exists a $g \in \mathbb{E}_\sigma^*$, such that*

$$f(j) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z) \psi(j - z), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof: By the Paley-Wiener theorem [22, p. 162], we may write

$$f = \widehat{T}, \quad T \in \mathcal{S}', \quad \text{supp}(T) \subset [-\sigma/(2\pi), \sigma/(2\pi)].^2$$

Since $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^*$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{\psi}(x)} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} a_z e^{2\pi i z x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

in which the Fourier coefficients a_z , $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, decay rapidly thanks to Lemma 5. That is, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_z z^k| < \infty$. Thus, the following equation defines $\frac{T}{\widetilde{\psi}}$ as a Schwartz class distribution:

$$\left\langle \frac{T}{\widetilde{\psi}}, \phi \right\rangle := \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} a_z \langle T, e_z \cdot \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Here e_z denotes the function $x \mapsto e^{2\pi i z x}$. We also have

$$\text{supp} \left(\frac{T}{\widetilde{\psi}} \right) \subset [-\sigma/(2\pi), \sigma/(2\pi)].$$

We use the same Paley-Wiener Theorem mentioned above to conclude that $g := \left(\frac{T}{\widetilde{\psi}} \right)^\wedge$ is an element of \mathbb{E}_σ^* . Thus, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g(x) \leq C(1 + |x|^N), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now consider the function

$$f^*(x) := \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x - z) \psi(z).$$

Fix each fixed $M > 0$ and every $x \in [-M, M]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x - z) \psi(z) \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |x - z|^N) (1 + |z|^{(N+2)})^{-1} \\ & \leq C_N M^N \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |z|)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

²The factor $(2\pi)^{-1}$ is the result of the particular format of the Fourier transform pair we use in the present paper; see Equation (13).

Here C_N is a constant depending only on N . Thus the series converges uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Therefore the function f^* is continuous on \mathbb{R} and has at most polynomial growth. We calculate its (distributional) inverse Fourier transform:

$$(f^*)^\vee = (g)^\vee \cdot \tilde{\psi} = \frac{T}{\tilde{\psi}} \cdot \tilde{\psi} = T.$$

This shows that both f and f^* are the Fourier transform of the distribution T , meaning that they are the same function. In particular, we have

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(j - z)\psi(z) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z)\psi(j - z) = f(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For the uniqueness of the coefficients, we have the following result.

Proposition 5. *Assume that $0 < \epsilon < \pi$. Let $g \in \mathbb{E}_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$, $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^*$, and let f be defined by*

$$f(x) := \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z)\psi(x - z),$$

Then, in order that $f(j) = 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is necessary and sufficient that $g(\zeta) = 0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Of course, only the necessity part needs a proof. Assume that $f(j) = 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write

$$f(j) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(z)\psi(j - z) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(j - z)\psi(z), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and consider the function f^* defined by,

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} g(x - z)\psi(z).$$

We remind readers that $f(j) = f^*(j)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the proof of Proposition 4, we observe that f^* is continuous on \mathbb{R} and has at most polynomial growth. The (distributional) Fourier transform of f^* can be easily calculated to be

$$\widehat{f^*}(\xi) := \widehat{g}(\xi) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(z) e^{2\pi i z x} = \widehat{g}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}(\xi).$$

Since $g \in E_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$, \hat{g} is supported in $[-1/2 + \epsilon, 1/2 - \epsilon]$. Thus $f^* \in E_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$. Resorting to Carlson's theorem,³ we conclude that $f^*(\zeta) = 0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. The Fourier transform of f^* is therefore also zero. Since $\hat{f}^*(\zeta) = \hat{g}(\zeta)\tilde{\psi}(\zeta)$, and $\tilde{\psi}(\zeta) \neq 0$, we have $\hat{g}(\zeta) = 0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, \hat{g} is the zero distribution. Hence g is identically zero. \square

Propositions 4 and 5 imply the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let $0 < \epsilon < \pi$ be given, and let $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^*$. For each $g \in \mathbb{E}_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$, there exists a unique $f \in \mathbb{E}_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$, such that*

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(j-z)f(z) = g(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose that f is radial (even), and that for some $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|f(x)| \leq A(1+x^2)^{-(1/2+\delta)}, \quad |\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq A(1+\xi^2)^{-(1/2+\delta)},$$

where $A > 0$ is a constant. Then the following Poisson summation formula holds true; see [21, p.252].

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(z)e^{2\pi izx} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(x+z).$$

Thus, Wiener's condition is satisfied if both ψ and $\hat{\psi}$ have the decay rate shown in (14), and $\hat{\psi}$ is positive. Specifically, the Gaussian kernel satisfies this condition.

We remind readers that for any $0 < \epsilon < \pi$, and any polynomial p , we have $p \in \mathbb{E}_{\pi-\epsilon}^*$. To interpolate a polynomial, we do not need any extra decay condition other than what has been imposed on functions from \mathbb{W}^* . Moreover, any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying Wiener's condition suffices.

³Carlson's theorem asserts that a function in $E_{\pi-\epsilon}$ that vanishes on all the positive integers is identically zero; see [18].