

The H -spectrum of a generalized power hypergraph*

Murad-ul-Islam Khan, Yi-Zheng Fan[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P. R. China

Abstract: The generalized power of a simple graph G , denoted by $G^{k,s}$, is obtained from G by blowing up each vertex into an s -set and each edge into a k -set, where $1 \leq s \leq \frac{k}{2}$. When $s < \frac{k}{2}$, $G^{k,s}$ is always odd-bipartite. It is known that $G^{k,\frac{k}{2}}$ is non-odd-bipartite if and only if G is non-bipartite, and $G^{k,\frac{k}{2}}$ has the same adjacency (respectively, signless Laplacian) spectral radius as G . In this paper, we prove that, regardless of multiplicities, the H -spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$) consists of all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrices (respectively, the signless Laplacian matrices) of the connected induced subgraphs (respectively, modified induced subgraphs) of G . As a corollary, $G^{k,\frac{k}{2}}$ has the same least adjacency (respectively, least signless Laplacian) H -eigenvalue as G . We also discuss the limit points of the least adjacency H -eigenvalues of hypergraphs, and construct a sequence of non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs whose least adjacency H -eigenvalues converge to $-\sqrt{2+\sqrt{5}}$.

Keywords: Hypergraph; adjacency tensor; signless Laplacian tensor; least eigenvalue; limit point

1 Introduction

A *hypergraph* $G = (V(G), E(G))$ consists of a set of vertices, say $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, and a set of edges, say $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$, where $e_j \subseteq V(G)$. If $|e_j| = k$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then G is called a k -uniform hypergraph. In particular, the 2-uniform hypergraphs are exactly the classical simple graphs. For a k -uniform hypergraph G , if we add to G some edges with cardinality less than k , the resulting hypergraph denoted by G° is one with loops; and those added edges are called the *loops* of G° . The *degree* $d_v(G)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined as $d_v(G) = |\{e_j : v \in e_j \in E(G)\}|$. Sometimes we simply write $d_v(G)$ as d_v if there exists no confusion. So, a loop contributes 1 to the degree of the vertex it is attached to. A *walk* W of length l in G is a sequence of alternate vertices and edges: $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_l, v_l$, where $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq e_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$. The hypergraph G is *connected* if every two vertices of G are connected by a walk.

In recent years spectral hypergraph theory has emerged as an important field in algebraic graph theory. Let G be a k -uniform hypergraph. The *adjacency tensor* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(G) = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k})$ of G is a k th order n -dimensional symmetric tensor, where $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!}$ if $\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} \in E(G)$, and $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0$ otherwise. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(G)$ be a k th order n -dimensional diagonal tensor, where $d_{i \dots i} = d_{v_i}(G)$ for all $i \in [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{D}(G) - \mathcal{A}(G)$ is the *Laplacian tensor* of the hypergraph G , and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(G) = \mathcal{D}(G) + \mathcal{A}(G)$ is the *signless Laplacian tensor* of G . If $k = 2$, the above tensors are the classical matrices of simple graphs. The spectral radius (or the least H -eigenvalue) of the

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371028), Scientific Research Fund for Fostering Distinguished Young Scholars of Anhui University(KJJQ1001), Academic Innovation Team of Anhui University Project (KJTD001B).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: fanyz@ahu.edu.cn(Y.-Z. Fan), muradulislam@foxmail.com (M. Khan)

adjacency, Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensor of G are denoted respectively by $\rho^A(G), \rho^{\mathcal{L}}(G), \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$ (or respectively by $\lambda_{\min}^A(G), \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{L}}(G), \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$).

For a hypergraph G° with loops, the adjacency tensor of G° is defined as the same as that of G , i.e. $\mathcal{A}(G^\circ) = \mathcal{A}(G)$. The Laplacian tensor and the signless Laplacian tensor are defined by $\mathcal{L}(G^\circ) = \mathcal{D}(G^\circ) - \mathcal{A}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G^\circ) = \mathcal{D}(G^\circ) + \mathcal{A}(G)$, respectively. So, even if G° is not uniform, the adjacency, Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensor of G° are all k th order n -dimensional tensors.

The spectral radius (or the largest H -eigenvalue) of the adjacency or signless Laplacian tensor of a uniform hypergraph has enjoyed a lot of research exposure; see [2, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17]. However, the least H -eigenvalue received little attention. Nikiforov [11] gave a lower bound of $\lambda_{\min}^A(G)$ for an even uniform hypergraph G in terms of order and size. In fact he reduced the problem to discussing an odd-bipartite hypergraph; see [11, Theorem 8.1]. Here an even uniform hypergraph G is called *odd-bipartite* if $V(G)$ has a bipartition $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that each edge has an odd number of vertices in both V_1 and V_2 . Shao et al. [17] proved that the adjacency H -spectrum (or the adjacency spectrum) of G is symmetric with respect to the origin if and only if k is even and G is odd-bipartite. So, if G is an odd-bipartite even uniform hypergraph, then $\lambda_{\min}^A(G) = -\rho^A(G)$.

Qi [15] showed that $\rho^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$, and posed a question of identifying the conditions under which the equality holds. Hu et al. [7] proved that if G is connected, then the equality holds if and only if k is even and G is odd-bipartite. Shao et al. [17] proved a stronger result that the Laplacian H -spectrum (respectively, Laplacian spectrum) and the signless Laplacian H -spectrum (respectively, signless Laplacian spectrum) of a connected k -uniform hypergraph G are equal if and only if k is even and G is odd-bipartite. So, for an even k and a connected k -uniform hypergraph G , if G is odd-bipartite, then $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{L}}(G) = 0$.

So, if we discuss the least H -eigenvalue of the adjacency or signless Laplacian tensor of a connected even uniform hypergraph, it suffices to consider non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs. Up to now, most known examples of hypergraphs are odd-bipartite. Hu, Qi, Shao [8] introduced the *cored hypergraphs* and the *power hypergraphs*, where the cored hypergraph is one such that each edge contains at least one vertex of degree 1, and the k th power of a simple graph G , denoted by G^k , is obtained by replacing each edge (a 2-set) with a k -set by adding $k - 2$ new vertices. These two kinds of hypergraphs are both odd-bipartite. Peng [13] introduced s -paths and s -cycles, which are both k -uniform hypergraphs. An s -path is always odd-bipartite [9]. But this does not hold for s -cycles. When $1 \leq s < \frac{k}{2}$, an s -cycle is odd-bipartite; and when $s = k/2$, it is odd-bipartite if and only if it has an even length.

We [9] introduced a generalized power hypergraph $G^{k,s}$ from a simple graph G , where $1 \leq s \leq k/2$. If $s < k/2$, then $G^{k,s}$ is odd-bipartite; and $G^{k,k/2}$ (k being even) is non-odd-bipartite if and only if G is non-bipartite [9]. So we can construct non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs from non-bipartite simple graphs. In the paper [9], we proved that $\rho^A(G) = \rho^A(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$ and $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$. In Section 3 we give a relationship between the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)$) and the H -spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$). That is, regardless of multiplicities, the H -spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$) consists of all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrices (respectively, the signless Laplacian matrices) of the connected induced subgraphs (respectively, modified induced subgraphs) of G ; see Theorem 3.5. As a corollary, we show that $\lambda_{\min}^A(G) = \lambda_{\min}^A(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$ and $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k,\frac{k}{2}})$. We also discuss the limit points of the least adjacency H -eigenvalues of hypergraphs, and construct a sequence of non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs whose least adjacency H -eigenvalues converge to $-\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$.

2 Preliminaries

For integers $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, a real *tensor* (also called *hypermatrix*) $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 \dots i_k})$ of order k and dimension n refers to a multidimensional array with entries $t_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ such that $t_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i_j \in [n]$ and $j \in [k]$. The tensor \mathcal{T} is called *symmetric* if its entries are invariant under any permutation of their indices. A *subtensor* of \mathcal{T} is a multidimensional array with entries $t_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ such that $i_j \in S_j \subseteq [n]$ for some S_j 's and $j \in [k]$, denoted by $\mathcal{T}[S_1|S_2|\dots|S_k]$. If $S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_k =: S$, then we simply write $\mathcal{T}[S_1|S_2|\dots|S_k]$ as $\mathcal{T}[S]$, which is called the *principal subtensor* of \mathcal{T} . If $k = 2$, then $\mathcal{T}[S]$ is exactly the principal submatrix of \mathcal{T} ; and if $k = 1$, then $\mathcal{T}[S]$ is the subvector of \mathcal{T} .

Given a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{T}x^k$ is a real number, and $\mathcal{T}x^{k-1}$ is an n -dimensional vector, which are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}x^k = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in [n]} t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}, \quad (\mathcal{T}x^{k-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_k \in [n]} t_{i i_2 \dots i_k} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k} \text{ for } i \in [n].$$

Let \mathcal{I} be the *identity tensor* of order k and dimension n , that is, $i_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 1$ if and only if $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_k \in [n]$ and $i_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0$ otherwise.

DEFINITION 2.1 [16] *Let \mathcal{T} be a k th order n -dimensional real tensor. For some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if the polynomial system $(\lambda\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{T})x^{k-1} = 0$, or equivalently $\mathcal{T}x^{k-1} = \lambda x^{[k-1]}$, has a solution $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then λ is called an *eigenvalue* of \mathcal{T} and x is an *eigenvector* of \mathcal{T} associated with λ , where $x^{[k-1]} := (x_1^{k-1}, x_2^{k-1}, \dots, x_n^{k-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

If x is a real eigenvector of \mathcal{T} , surely the corresponding eigenvalue λ is real. In this case, x is called an *H-eigenvector* and λ is called an *H-eigenvalue*. The *H-spectrum* of \mathcal{T} is the multi-set of *H-eigenvalues* of \mathcal{T} (counting multiplicities). Furthermore, if $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ (the set of nonnegative vectors of dimension n), then λ is called an *H⁺-eigenvalue* of \mathcal{T} ; if $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ (the set of positive vectors of dimension n), then λ is said to be an *H⁺⁺-eigenvalue* of \mathcal{T} . The smallest *H-eigenvalue* and the largest *H-eigenvalue* of \mathcal{T} are denoted by $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T})$, respectively. If an eigenvector x of \mathcal{T} cannot be scaled to be real, then it is called an *N-eigenvector*. The *spectral radius* of \mathcal{T} is defined as

$$\rho(\mathcal{T}) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Surely, by Theorem 2.2 presented later on, if \mathcal{T} is nonnegative, then $\rho(\mathcal{T}) = \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T})$.

Chang et al. [1] introduced the irreducibility of tensors. A tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 \dots i_k})$ of order k and dimension n is called *reducible* if there exists a nonempty proper subset $I \subsetneq [n]$ such that $t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0$ for any $i_1 \in I$ and any $i_2, \dots, i_k \notin I$. If \mathcal{T} is not reducible, then it is called *irreducible*. Friedland et al. [4] proposed a weak version of irreducibility. The graph associated with \mathcal{T} , denoted by $G(\mathcal{T})$, is the directed graph with vertices $1, \dots, n$ and an edge from i to j if and only if $t_{i i_2 \dots i_k} > 0$ for some $i_l = j$, $l \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$. The tensor \mathcal{T} is called *weakly irreducible* if $G(\mathcal{T})$ is strongly connected. Surely, an irreducible tensor is always weakly irreducible. Pearson and Zhang [12] proved that the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph G is weakly irreducible if and only if G is connected. Clearly, this shows that if G is connected, then $\mathcal{A}(G)$, $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ are all weakly irreducible.

THEOREM 2.2 (The Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors)

(1) (Yang and Yang 2010 [18]) *If \mathcal{T} is a nonnegative tensor of order k and dimension n , then $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is an H⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{T} .*

(2) (Friedland, Gaubert and Han 2013 [4]) *If furthermore \mathcal{T} is weakly irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is the unique H⁺⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{T} , with the unique eigenvector $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, up to a positive scaling coefficient.*

(3) (Chang, Pearson and Zhang 2008 [1]) *If moreover \mathcal{T} is irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is the unique H^+ -eigenvalue of \mathcal{T} , with the unique eigenvector $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, up to a positive scaling coefficient.*

For a connected k -uniform hypergraph G (or a hypergraph G° obtained from G by adding some loops), by Theorem 2.2, there exists a unique positive eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)$), up to a scale, corresponding to its spectral radius, which is called the *Perron vector* of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)$). The product $\mathcal{A}(G)x^k$ or $\mathcal{Q}(G)x^k$ has an interpretation as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(G)x^k = \sum_{\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} \in E(G)} kx_{v_{i_1}}x_{v_{i_2}} \dots x_{v_{i_k}}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(G)x^k = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_v x_v^k + \sum_{\{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} \in E(G)} kx_{v_{i_1}}x_{v_{i_2}} \dots x_{v_{i_k}}. \quad (2.2)$$

The eigenvector equation $\mathcal{A}(G)x^{k-1} = \lambda x^{[k-1]}$ could be interpreted as

$$\lambda x_v^{k-1} = \sum_{\{v, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\} \in E(G)} x_{v_2}x_{v_3} \dots x_{v_k}, \text{ for each } v \in V(G). \quad (2.3)$$

The eigenvector equation $\mathcal{Q}(G)x^{k-1} = \lambda x^{[k-1]}$ could be interpreted as

$$[\lambda - d_v]x_v^{k-1} = \sum_{\{v, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\} \in E(G)} x_{v_2}x_{v_3} \dots x_{v_k}, \text{ for each } v \in V(G). \quad (2.4)$$

It is known that

$$\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G) \leq \min_{\|x\|_k=1} \mathcal{A}(G)x^k, \quad \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \leq \min_{\|x\|_k=1} \mathcal{Q}(G)x^k,$$

with equality if and only if x is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ (respectively, $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$).

Denote by $\Delta(G)$ (respectively, $\delta(G)$) the maximum degree (respectively, the minimum degree) of a hypergraph G .

LEMMA 2.3 *Let \mathcal{T} be a tensor of order k and dimension n , and let $\mathcal{T}[S]$ be a principle subtensor of \mathcal{T} with $S \subsetneq [n]$. Then*

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T}[S]), \quad \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T}[S]) \leq \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T});$$

if, in addition, \mathcal{T} is nonnegative and weakly irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{T}[S]) < \rho(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof. Let x (respectively, y) be an eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}[S]$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T}[S])$ (respectively, $\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T}[S])$) with $\|x\|_k = 1$ (respectively, $\|y\|_k = 1$). Define a vector \bar{x} (respectively, \bar{y}) of \mathbb{R}^n such that $\bar{x}_i = x_i$ (respectively, $\bar{y}_i = y_i$) if $i \in S$ and $\bar{x}_i = 0$ (respectively, $\bar{y}_i = 0$) otherwise. Then

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T}) = \min_{\|z\|_k=1} \mathcal{T}z^k \leq \mathcal{T}\bar{x}^k = \mathcal{T}[S]x^k = \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{T}[S]),$$

and

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T}) = \max_{\|z\|_k=1} \mathcal{T}z^k \geq \mathcal{T}\bar{y}^k = \mathcal{T}[S]y^k = \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{T}[S]).$$

If \mathcal{T} is nonnegative and weakly irreducible, then by Theorem 2.2 there exists a positive eigenvector z of \mathcal{T} corresponding to $\rho(\mathcal{T})$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}z^{k-1} = \rho(\mathcal{T})z^{[k-1]}$. Also by the weak irreducibility of \mathcal{T} , there exists at least one $i \in S$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{i i_2 i_3 \dots i_k} > 0$ for some $i_t \notin S$, where $t \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$. So, $\mathcal{T}[S]z[S]^{k-1} \leq \rho(\mathcal{T})z[S]^{[k-1]}$. Now by [9, Corollary 3.4], we have $\rho(\mathcal{T}[S]) < \rho(\mathcal{T})$. \blacksquare

By Lemma 2.3, for a hypergraph G , by taking a vertex u with $d_u = \delta(G)$, we get $\mathcal{Q}(G)[u] = \delta(G)$, and hence $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \leq \delta(G)$. Similarly, if we take a vertex w with $d_w = \Delta(G)$, then $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \geq \Delta(G)$. Furthermore, considering a component H of G which contains the vertex w , then $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) \geq \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(H) > \Delta(H) = \Delta(G)$ as $\mathcal{Q}(H)$ is weakly irreducible. The latter result has been shown in [7] with a more accurate bound. Here we use a unified method to deal with the bounds of $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$ and $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$.

COROLLARY 2.4 *Let G be a k -uniform hypergraph or a hypergraph obtained from a k -uniform hypergraph by adding some loops. Then $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) > \Delta(G)$ and $\rho^{\mathcal{L}}(G) > \Delta(G)$. If furthermore k is even, then $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) < \delta(G)$ and $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{L}}(G) < \delta(G)$*

Proof. Here we only prove the result for eigenvalues of signless Laplacian tensor. The corresponding result for Laplacian tensor can be discussed similarly. Without loss of generality, let $e = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be an edge of G . Considering the H -eigenvalues of the principal subtensor $\mathcal{Q}(G)[e]$, by the eigenvector equation $\mathcal{Q}(G)[e]x^{k-1} = \lambda x^{[k-1]}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we have

$$[\lambda - d_i]x_i^{k-1} = \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus \{i\}} x_j, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

If there exists some i such that $x_i = 0$, letting j be such that $x_j \neq 0$, by the j th equation we have $\lambda = d_j$. Otherwise, all x_i 's are nonzero; and multiplying both sides of the above equations over all i 's, we get that

$$f(\lambda) := (\lambda - d_1)(\lambda - d_2) \cdots (\lambda - d_k) - 1 = 0.$$

If e contains the vertex with maximum degree, then $f(\Delta(G)) < 0$. Noting that $f(\lambda) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, so we have $\rho(\mathcal{Q}(G)[e]) > \Delta(G)$. Similarly, if k is even and e contains the vertex with minimum degree, then $f(\lambda) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, and $f(\delta(G)) < 0$, which implies that $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{Q}(G)[e]) < \delta(G)$. The result now follows by Lemma 2.3. \blacksquare

Finally we introduce the generalized power hypergraphs defined in [9].

DEFINITION 2.5 [9] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq k/2$, the generalized power of G , denoted by $G^{k,s}$, is defined as the k -uniform hypergraph with the vertex set $\{\mathbf{v} : v \in V\} \cup \{\mathbf{e} : e \in E\}$, and the edge set $\{\mathbf{u} \cup \mathbf{v} \cup \mathbf{e} : e = \{u, v\} \in E\}$, where \mathbf{v} is an s -set containing v and \mathbf{e} is a $(k - 2s)$ -set corresponding to e .*




Fig. 2.1 (c.f. [9]) Constructing power hypergraphs G^6 (right upper), $G^{6,2}$ (left below) and $G^{6,3}$ (right below) from a simple graph G (left upper), where a closed curve represents an edge

Intuitively, $G^{k,s}$ is obtained from G by replacing each vertex v by an s -subset \mathbf{v} and replacing each edge $\{u, v\}$ by a k -set obtained from $\mathbf{u} \cup \mathbf{v}$ by adding $(k - 2s)$ new vertices. If $s = 1$, then $G^{k,s}$ is exactly the k th power hypergraph of G . When G is a path or a cycle, then $G^{k,s}$ is an s -path or s -cycle for $s \leq k/2$. Note that if $s < k/2$, then $G^{k,s}$ is a cored hypergraphs and hence is odd-bipartite. If $s = k/2$ (k being even), then $G^{k,s}$ is obtained from G by only blowing up its vertices. In this case, k is always assumed to be even; $\{u, v\}$ is an edge of G if and only if $\mathbf{u} \cup \mathbf{v}$ is an edge of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$, where we use the bold \mathbf{v} to denote the blowing-up of the vertex v in G . For simplicity, we write $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}$ rather than $\mathbf{u} \cup \mathbf{v}$, and call \mathbf{u} a *half edge* of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$. Denote by $d_{\mathbf{u}}$ the common degree of the vertices in \mathbf{u} .

If $G = G^\circ$, a simple graph with loops (i.e. edges containing only one vertex), then $(G^\circ)^{k,s}$ will have loops containing $k - s$ vertices. In particular, $(G^\circ)^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ will have loops containing $\frac{k}{2}$ vertices. That is, if $\{u\}$ is a loop of G° , then the half edge \mathbf{u} is a loop of $(G^\circ)^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$.

LEMMA 2.6 [9] *Let G be a simple graph without loops and let k be an even positive integer. The hypergraph $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ is non-odd-bipartite if and only if G is non-bipartite.*

3 Relationship between the eigenvalues of G and $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$

Let G be a simple graph on n vertices possibly with loops. We first list some properties of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. First $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ has zero eigenvalues with geometric multiplicity at least $\frac{kn}{2}$ (the number of vertices of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$). Let v be an arbitrary fixed vertex of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$. Define a vector \mathbf{x} on $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ such that $\mathbf{x}_v = 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_u = 0$ for any other vertices $u \neq v$. It is easy to verify by (2.3) that 0 is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ with \mathbf{x} as an eigenvector. Similarly, also using the vector \mathbf{x} defined as the above, by (2.4) we get that d_v is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ with geometric multiplicity at least $\frac{k}{2}$ (the number of vertices in the half edge \mathbf{v}).

From the above facts, we find that the vertices in the same half edge of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ may have different values given by eigenvectors of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ or $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. However, if $\lambda \neq 0$ (respectively, $\lambda \neq d_v$ for some vertex v) as an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$), we will have the following property on the eigenvectors associated with λ . For a nonempty subset $S \subseteq V(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, denote $\mathbf{x}^S := \Pi_{v \in S} x_v$, where x is a vector defined on the vertices of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$.

LEMMA 3.1 *Let G be a simple graph possibly with loops. Let u and \bar{u} be two vertices in the same half edge \mathbf{u} of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$. If \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ corresponding an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, or an eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ corresponding an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq d_{\mathbf{u}}$, then $\mathbf{x}_u^k = \mathbf{x}_{\bar{u}}^k$, and hence $|\mathbf{x}_u| = |\mathbf{x}_{\bar{u}}|$.*

Proof. If \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, by the eigenvector equation (2.3),

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}_u^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{u\}}, \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\bar{u}}^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{\bar{u}\}}.$$

So we have $\lambda \mathbf{x}_u^k = \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\bar{u}}^k$, which implies the result. Similarly, if \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, by (2.4) we have $(\lambda - d_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{x}_u^k = (\lambda - d_{\mathbf{u}}) \mathbf{x}_{\bar{u}}^k$. As $\lambda \neq d_{\mathbf{u}}$, the result also follows. ■

If G is a simple graph containing at least one edge, by Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4,

$$\rho^{\mathcal{A}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) > 0, \rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) > \Delta(G), \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) < \delta(G).$$

Note that the sum of all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ is $(k-1)^{n-1} \text{tr}(\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})) = 0$ ([16]), which implies $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) < 0$, where $\text{tr}(\mathcal{A})$ is the trace of \mathcal{A} . Now, then by Lemma 3.1, all vertices in a half edge \mathbf{u} of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ have the same modular given by the eigenvector \mathbf{x} of $\rho^{\mathcal{A}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, $\rho^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ or $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$; the common modular is denoted by $|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}|$.

COROLLARY 3.2 *Let λ be an H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ or $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ corresponding to an eigenvector \mathbf{x} , where G is a simple graph possibly with loops. Suppose that \mathbf{x} contains no zero entries. If $\lambda \neq 0$ as an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ or $\lambda \notin \{d_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{u} \in V(G)\}$ as an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, then λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ or $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ with eigenvector x such that $x_v = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ for each $v \in V(G)$.*

Proof. First suppose that λ is a nonzero H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. For each vertex $u \in \mathbf{u}$, by the eigenvector equation,

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}_u^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{u\}}.$$

So $\lambda \mathbf{x}_u^k = \sum_{\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv}}$. Then $\lambda \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ as k is even and $\mathbf{x}_u^k = (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}})^2 \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.1. This implies that $\lambda x_u = \sum_{v: uv \in E(G)} x_v$, and λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G)$. The discussion for the λ being an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ is similar. ■

Now we discuss the general case that the H -eigenvector \mathbf{x} in Corollary 3.2 may contain zero entries. Let \mathbf{U} be a union of half edges of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$, where G is a simple graph without loops. Let $U = \{u : \mathbf{u} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\}$. The tensor $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}])$ (respectively, the matrix $\mathcal{A}(G)[U]$) is exactly the adjacency tensor of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]$ (respectively, $G[U]$), the sub-hypergraph of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ induced by \mathbf{U} (respectively, the subgraph of G induced by U). However, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}])$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)[U]$) is not the signless Laplacian tensor of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]$ (respectively, $G[U]$). The *modified induced subgraph* of a simple graph G induced by the vertex set $U \subset V(G)$, denoted by $G^\circ[U]$, is the induced subgraph $G[U]$ together with $d_v(G) - d_v(G[U])$ loops on each vertex $v \in U$. Then the signless Laplacian matrix of $G^\circ[U]$ is exactly $\mathcal{Q}(G)[U]$, i.e. $\mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U]) = \mathcal{Q}(G)[U]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]) = \mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U]^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$.

THEOREM 3.3 *Let λ be an H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$) corresponding to an eigenvector \mathbf{x} , where G is a simple graph possibly with loops. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$ as an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ or $\lambda \notin \{d_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{u} \in V(G)\}$ as an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. Let $\mathbf{U} = \cup\{\mathbf{u} : |\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{u}}| > 0\}$ and $U = \{u : \mathbf{u} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\}$. Then the following results hold.*

- (1) $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]$ contains no isolated half edges, and hence $G[U]$ contains no isolated vertices.
- (2) λ is an H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}])$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}])$) with eigenvector $\bar{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{x}[\mathbf{U}]$.
- (3) λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G[U])$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U])$) with the eigenvector \bar{x} such that $\bar{x}_u = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ for each $u \in U$.

Proof. By (2.3) or (2.4), it is easy to verify the assertion (1) or (2). Note that $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]) = \mathcal{A}(G[U]^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[\mathbf{U}]) = \mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U]^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, the assertion (3) follows from Lemma 3.2 as $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ contains no zero entries. ■

LEMMA 3.4 *Let G be a simple graph possibly with loops. Each eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)$) is an H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$).*

Proof. For each vertex v of G , we assume that v is also contained in the corresponding half edge \mathbf{v} in $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$. Let x be an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ corresponding to an eigenvalue λ . Let \mathbf{x} be a vector defined on $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_v = \text{sgn}(x_v)|x_v|^{2/k}, \mathbf{x}_{\bar{v}} = |x_v|^{2/k}, \text{ for each vertex } \bar{v} \in \mathbf{v} \setminus \{v\} \text{ and each } v \in V(G). \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} = x_v, \text{ for each } v \in V(G). \quad (3.2)$$

Noting that $\lambda x_v = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x_u$, it is easy to verify that

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}_v^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{v\}} \text{ and } \lambda \mathbf{x}_{\bar{v}}^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{\bar{v}\}} \text{ for each } \bar{v} \in \mathbf{v} \setminus \{v\}.$$

So, λ is also an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$.

Similarly, if x is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ corresponding to an eigenvalue λ , then $(\lambda - d_v)x_v = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} x_u$. Defining a vector \mathbf{x} as in (3.1), we get $(\lambda - d_v)\mathbf{x}_v^{k-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{uv} \in E(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{uv} \setminus \{v\}}$ for each vertex v of $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$. ■

A simple graph consisting of only one vertex possibly with loops is considered to be connected.

THEOREM 3.5 *Let G be a simple graph without loops. Then, regardless of multiplicities, the H -spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$) consists of all eigenvalues of the adjacency matrices (respectively, the signless Laplacian matrices) of the connected induced subgraphs (respectively, modified induced subgraphs) of G .*

Proof. Suppose that λ is an H -eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. If $\lambda = 0$, then λ is the eigenvalue of adjacency matrix of an isolated vertex. Assume that $\lambda \neq 0$. By Theorem 3.3, λ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of some induced subgraph of G . Surely, λ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of some connected induced subgraph of G .

Conversely, if λ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of some connected induced subgraph $G[U]$ with x as a corresponding eigenvector, then by Lemma 3.4, λ is an eigenvalue of $G[U]^{k, \frac{k}{2}} = G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[U]$ with eigenvector \mathbf{x} as defined in (3.1), where $\mathbf{U} = \cup\{\mathbf{u} : u \in U\}$. Extending the eigenvector \mathbf{x} defined on $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[U]$ to $G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ by assigning zeros to the vertices outside \mathbf{U} , we will get a vector \mathbf{y} . It is easy to verify by (2.3) that \mathbf{y} is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ corresponding the eigenvalue λ .

Next assume that λ is an H -eigenvalues of $\mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. If $\lambda = d_{\mathbf{v}}$ for some half edge \mathbf{v} , then λ is eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian matrix of the modified subgraph induced by the isolated vertex v . If $\lambda \notin \{d_{\mathbf{u}} : u \in G\}$, by Theorem 3.3, λ is an eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian matrix of some connected modified induced subgraph of G . Conversely, if λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U])$ with x as a corresponding eigenvector, by Lemma 3.4, λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(G^\circ[U]^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) = \mathcal{Q}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}}[U])$ with eigenvector \mathbf{x} as defined in (3.1). The remaining discussion is similar. ■

COROLLARY 3.6 *Let G be a simple graph. Then $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G) = \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ and $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G) = \lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$.*

Proof. By the interlacing theorem of the eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices (see [6, Chapter 4]), $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ (respectively, $\lambda_{\min}^{\mathcal{Q}}(G)$) is the minimum of all least eigenvalues of the principal submatrices of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}(G)$). The result follows from Theorem 3.5. ■

REMARK: (1) The relationship between a simple graph G and $G^{k, s}$ for a general $s < k/2$ has been discussed by Yuan, Shao and Qi [19]. An interesting result is that $\rho^{\mathcal{A}}(G^{k, s}) = \rho^{\mathcal{A}}(G)^{\frac{2s}{k}}$; see [19].

(2) We give two examples to illustrate Theorem 3.5. Denote by P_n a simple path on n vertices. Then $P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}}$ is a hypergraph on vertices $1, 2, \dots, k$ with only one edge $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. It is known that the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(P_2)$ is $\{1, -1\}$, and the spectrum of $\mathcal{Q}(P_2)$ is $\{0, 2\}$. We now compute the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ corresponding to an eigenvector x . Then by (2.3), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $\lambda x_i^{k-1} = \prod_{j \in [k], j \neq i} x_j$. Just like the discussion in Corollary 2.4, if $x_i = 0$ for some i , then $\lambda = 0$; otherwise, $\lambda^k = 1$. Each $\lambda_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k} \mathbf{i}}$ ($j \in [k], \mathbf{i}^2 = -1$) is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ with the eigenvector $\mathbf{x}^{(j)}$ defined as following: for any chosen j -subset S of $[k]$, $\mathbf{x}_i^{(j)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k} \mathbf{i}}$ if $i \in S$, and $\mathbf{x}_i^{(j)} = 1$ otherwise. So the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ are $0, \lambda_k = 1, \lambda_{k/2} = -1$, and $\lambda_j, j \in [k] \setminus \{k/2, k\}$. As $\mathcal{Q}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}}) = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$, the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{Q}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ are 1 (the degree), 2, 0, and $1 + \lambda_j, j \in [k] \setminus \{k/2, k\}$. The real eigenvalue $\lambda_{k/2} = -1$ of $\mathcal{A}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ (respectively, the zero eigenvalue of $\mathcal{Q}(P_2^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$) have two eigenvectors: one is an N -eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}^{(k/2)}$, the other is an eigenvector y defined by $y_i = -1$ for an arbitrary fixed i and $y_j = 1$ for all $j \neq i$.

It is known that the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(P_3)$ is $\{\sqrt{2}, 0, -\sqrt{2}\}$, and the spectrum of $\mathcal{Q}(P_3)$ is $\{0, 1, 3\}$. The connected induced subgraphs of P_3 are P_1, P_2, P_3 . By Theorem 3.5, the H -eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(P_3^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ are $0, -1, 1, \sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}$. The connected modified induced subgraphs of P_3 are a vertex with one loop, a vertex with two loops, an edge with one loop on some vertex, and P_3 . The corresponding signless Laplacian matrices are $[1], [2], \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{Q}(P_3)$. So the H -eigenvalues of $\mathcal{Q}(P_3^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ are $0, 1, 2, 3, \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

4 Limit points of the least adjacency H -eigenvalues

Hoffman [5] observed if a simple graph G properly contains a cycle, then $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G)) > \tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2} = \tau^{3/2} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$, where $\tau = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ is the golden mean. He proved that $\tau^{3/2}$ is a limit point, and found all limit points of the adjacency spectral radii less than $\tau^{3/2}$. The work of Hoffman was extended by Shearer [14] to show that every real number $r \geq \tau^{3/2}$ is the limit point of the adjacency spectral radii of simple graphs. Furthermore, Doob [3] proved that for each $r \geq \tau^{3/2}$ (respectively, $r \leq -\tau^{3/2}$) and for any k , there exists a sequence of graphs whose k th largest eigenvalues (respectively, k th smallest eigenvalues) converge to r .

The smallest limit point of the adjacency spectral radii of simple graphs is 2, which is realized by a sequence of paths. If $r < \tau^{3/2}$ is a limit point, it suffices to consider the trees by Hoffman's observation. The construction of graphs whose adjacency spectral radii converge to $r \geq \tau^{3/2}$ in [3, 14] are trees $T(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ called *caterpillars*, which is obtained from a path on vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k by attaching $n_j \geq 0$ pendant edges at the vertex v_j for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

As the adjacency spectrum of a tree is symmetric with respect to the origin, the minus of the limit points of the spectral radius are the limit points of the least eigenvalue. Since $-\rho^A(T) = \lambda_{\min}^A(T) = \lambda_{\min}^A(T^{k, \frac{k}{2}})$ by Corollary 3.6, we get the following result on the limit points of the least adjacency H -eigenvalues of k -uniform hypergraphs.

THEOREM 4.1 For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let β_n be the positive root of $P_n(x) = x^{n+1} - (1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1})$. Let $\alpha_n = \beta_n^{1/2} + \beta_n^{-1/2}$. Then $-2 = -\alpha_1 > -\alpha_2 > \dots$ are all limit points of the least H -eigenvalues of the adjacency tensor of hypergraphs greater than $-(\tau^{1/2} + \tau^{-1/2}) = -\lim_n \alpha_n$.

THEOREM 4.2 Each real number $r \leq -\tau^{3/2}$ is a limit point of the least H -eigenvalue of the adjacency tensor of hypergraphs.

Finally we will construct a sequence of non-bipartite graphs G whose least adjacency eigenvalues converge to $-\tau^{3/2}$. Consequently we get sequence of non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs $G^{k, k/2}$ whose least adjacency eigenvalues converge to $-\tau^{3/2}$. Denote by $C_n + e$ the simple graph obtained from a cycle C_n on n vertices by appending a pendant edge e at some vertex.

LEMMA 4.3 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\min}^A(C_{2n+1} + e) = -\tau^{\frac{3}{2}}$

Proof. Label the vertices of $C_{2n+1} + e$ as follows: the pendant vertex is labeled by v_0 , starting from the vertex of degree 3 the vertices of the cycle are labeled by $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n+1}$ clockwise. Note that now $e = v_0v_1$. Denote $T_{2n+1} := C_{2n+1} + e - v_{n+1}v_{n+2}$. Let x be a unit vector corresponding to the least eigenvalue of $C_{2n+1} + e$. By symmetry, $x_{v_k} = x_{v_{2n+3-k}}$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$; in particular $x_{v_{n+1}} = x_{v_{n+2}}$. So

$$\lambda_{\min}^A(C_{2n+1} + e) = \sum_{uv \in E(C_{2n+1} + e)} 2x_u x_v = x^T A(T_{2n+1})x + 2x_{v_{n+1}} x_{v_{n+2}} > \lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1}).$$

On the other hand, let y be a unit vector corresponding to the least eigenvalue of T_{2n+1} . Also by symmetry, $y_{v_{n+1}} = y_{v_{n+2}}$. As T_{2n+1} is bipartite, $\rho^A(T_{2n+1}) = -\lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1})$ and $|y|$ is the Perron vector of $\mathcal{A}(T_{2n+1})$. In addition, as shown by Hoffman [5], $\rho^A(T_{2n+1})$ increasingly converges to $\tau^{3/2} > 2$. So, for sufficiently large n , $\rho^A(T_{2n+1}) > 2$. By a discussion similar in [9, Lemma 4.7], we get that $|y_{v_1}| > |y_{v_2}| > \cdots > |y_{v_{n+1}}|$. Note that

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} y_{v_i}^2 > y_{v_1}^2 + 2(y_{v_2}^2 + \cdots + y_{v_{n+1}}^2) > (2n+1)y_{v_{n+1}}^2.$$

So $2y_{v_{n+1}}^2 < \frac{2}{2n+1}$. As $y_{v_{n+1}} = y_{v_{n+2}}$, we have

$$\lambda_{\min}^A(C_{2n+1} + e) = \sum_{uv \in E(C_{2n+1} + e)} 2y_u y_v = y^T \mathcal{A}(T_{2n+1}) y + 2y_{v_{n+1}} y_{v_{n+2}} < \lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1}) + \frac{2}{2n+1}.$$

By the above discussion, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1}) < \lambda_{\min}^A(C_{2n+1} + e) < \lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1}) + \frac{2}{2n+1}.$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\min}^A(C_{2n+1} + e) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\min}^A(T_{2n+1}) = -\tau^{3/2}.$$

■

By Lemma 2.6, Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 3.6, we get the following result.

COROLLARY 4.4 $-\tau^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is a limit point of the least H -eigenvalue of adjacency tensor of non-odd-bipartite hypergraphs.

References

- [1] K. C. Chang, K. Pearson and T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *Commu. Math. Sci.*, 6 (2008): 507-520.
- [2] J. Cooper, A. Dutle, Spectra of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436(2012): 3268-3292.
- [3] M. Doob, The limit point of eigenvalues of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114/115(1989): 659-662.
- [4] S. Friedland, S. Gaubert, L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438 (2013): 738-749.
- [5] A. J. Hoffman, On limit points of spectral radii of non-negative symmetric integral matrices, *Graph Theory and Applications*, Proceedings of a Conference at Western Michigan University, 1972, Lecture Notes in Math. 303 (Y. Alavi, D. R. Lick, and A. T. White, Eds.), Springer, Berlin, (1972) 165-172.
- [6] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [7] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Xie, The largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian H -eigenvalues of a uniform hypergraph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 469(2015): 1-27.
- [8] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Y. Shao, Cored hypergraphs, power hypergraphs and their Laplacian H -eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439 (2013): 2980-2998.
- [9] M. Khan, Y.-Z. Fan, On the spectral radius of a class of non-odd-bipartite even uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 480 (2015): 93-106.
- [10] L. Lu, S. Man, Connected hypergraphs with small spectral radius, arXiv: 1402.5402v3.
- [11] V. Nikiforov, Analytic methods for uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 457(2014): 455-535.

- [12] K. Pearson, T. Zhang, On spectral hypergraph theory of the adjacency tensor, *Graphs Combin.*, 30(5) (2014): 1233-1248.
- [13] X. Peng, The Ramsey number of generalized loose paths in uniform hypergraphs, arXiv: 1305.0294.
- [14] J. Shearer, On the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114/115(1989): 17-20.
- [15] L. Qi, H^+ -eigenvalues of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensor, arXiv: 1303.2186v2.
- [16] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 40 (2005): 1302-1324.
- [17] J. Y. Shao, H. Y. Shan, B. F. Wu, Some spectral properties and characterizations of connected odd-bipartite uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, (2015), doi: 10.1080/03081087.2015.1009061.
- [18] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31(5) (2010): 2517-2530.
- [19] X. Y. Yuan, L. Qi, J. Y. Shao, The proof of a conjecture on largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian H -eigenvalues of uniform hypergraphs, arXiv: 1506.03330.