

Average Multiplicative Order of Finitely Generated Subgroup of Rational Numbers Over Primes

Cihan Pehlivan*

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università Roma Tre,
Largo S. L. Murialdo, 1, I-00146 Roma Italia

October 30, 2018

Abstract

Given a finitely generated multiplicative subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*$, assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we determine an asymptotic formula for average over prime numbers, powers of the order of the reduction group modulo p . The problem was considered in the case of rank 1 by Pomerance and Kurlberg. In the case when Γ contains only positive numbers, we give an explicit expression for the involved density in terms of an Euler product. We conclude with some numerical computations.

1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*$ be a finitely generated multiplicative subgroup. The *support* of Γ is the (finite) set of primes p for which the p -adic valuation $v_p(g) \neq 0$ for some $g \in \Gamma$. We denote this set by $\text{Supp } \Gamma$ and define $\sigma_\Gamma = \prod_{p \in \text{Supp } \Gamma} p$. For each prime $p \nmid \sigma_\Gamma$, it is well defined the reduction of Γ modulo p . That is

$$\Gamma_p = \{g \pmod{p} : g \in \Gamma\}. \quad (1)$$

For simplicity, when p does divide the support of Γ , we let $\Gamma_p = \{1\}$. We also denote by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k})$ the extension of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$ obtained by adding the k -th roots of all the elements in Γ . We denote Jordan's totient function by

$$J_r(m) := m^r \prod_{\ell|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^r}\right) \quad (2)$$

and sum of t -th power of positive divisors of n by

$$\sigma_t(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^t. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*$ be a finitely generated multiplicative subgroup with rank $r \geq 2$ and assume that the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis holds for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k})$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Let*

$$C_{\Gamma,t} := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{J_t(k)(\text{rad}(k))^t (-1)^{\omega(k)}}{k^{2t} [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]} \quad (4)$$

*pehlivan@mat.uniroma3.it

where $\text{rad}(k)$ denotes the product of distinct prime numbers dividing k . Then the series $C_{\Gamma,t}$ converges absolutely and as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |\Gamma_p|^t = \text{li}(x^{t+1}) \left(C_{\Gamma,t} + O_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\log \log x}{(\log x)^r} \right) \right) \quad (5)$$

and the constant implied by the O_{Γ} -symbol may depend on Γ .

Further on, for the case $t = 1$ we use C_{Γ} instead of $C_{\Gamma,1}$. Kurlberg and Pomerance in [3] consider the case when $\Gamma = \langle g \rangle$ has rank 1. In the special case when $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}^+$, we express the value of C_{Γ} as an Euler product. To this purpose, we introduce some notations:

- If $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, by $\delta(\eta)$ we denote the *field discriminant* of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\eta})$.
- For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\Gamma(k) = \Gamma \cdot \mathbb{Q}^{*k} / \mathbb{Q}^{*k}$.

For any square-free integer η , let

$$t_{\eta} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if for all } t \geq 0, \eta^{2^t} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{t+1}} \notin \Gamma(2^{t+1}) \\ \min\{t \in \mathbb{N} : \eta^{2^t} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{t+1}} \in \Gamma(2^{t+1})\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will show the following:

Theorem 2. Assume that Γ is a finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^+ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\Gamma,t} &= \prod_p \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{p^t - 1}{p^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(p^{\alpha})| (p-1)} \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta | \sigma_{\Gamma} \\ \eta \neq 1}} S_{\eta} \prod_{p|2\eta} \left(1 - \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{p^t - 1}{p^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(p^{\alpha})| (p-1)} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$S_{\eta} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma_{\eta}} \frac{2^t - 1}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^{\alpha})|}}{\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{2^t - 1}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^{\alpha})|}} \quad (7)$$

and $\gamma_{\eta} = \max\{1 + t_{\eta}, v_2(\delta(\eta))\}$.

A calculation shows that, in the case when $\Gamma = \langle g \rangle$, the above expression for $C_{\langle g \rangle}$ coincides with that of Kurlberg and Pomerance. In the special case when Γ consists of prime numbers and $t = 1$, the above formula can be considerably simplified:

Corollary 3. Let $\Gamma = \langle p_1, \dots, p_r \rangle$ where all the p_i 's are prime numbers and $r \geq 1$, with the notation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\langle p_1, \dots, p_r \rangle} &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1} \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta | p_1 \dots p_r \\ \eta \neq 1}} \frac{1}{2^{\max\{0, v_2(\delta(\eta)/2)\}(r+2)}} \prod_{\ell | 2\eta} \frac{\ell}{\ell + 1 - \ell^{r+2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The quantity

$$C_r = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1} \right) \quad (9)$$

can be computed with arbitrary precision:

r	C_r
1	0.57595996889294543964316337549249669251...
2	0.82357659279814332395380438513901050177...
3	0.92190332088740008067545348360869076931...
4	0.96388805107176946676374437726734997946...
5	0.98282912014687261524345691713313004185...
6	0.99168916383630008819101294319807859837...
7	0.99593155027181927318700546733612700362...
8	0.99799372275691129752727433560285572887...
9	0.99900593591154969071253065973483263501...
10	0.99950593624928276115384423618416539651...

Furthermore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^+ with rank r . Then C_Γ is a non zero rational multiple of C_r .*

We conclude the paper with some numerical evidence. Complete account of the results in this stream we reffer the survey of P. Moree [5].

2 Notational conventions

Throughout the paper, the letter p always denote *prime numbers*. As usual, we use $\pi(x)$ to denote the *number of $p \leq x$* and

$$\text{li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} \quad (10)$$

denotes the *logarithmic integral* function. The invariant $\Delta_r(\Gamma)$ of a multiplicative subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*$ with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma) = r$ is defined as the greatest common divisor of all the minors of size r of the relation matrix of the group of Γ (see [1, Section 3.1] for some details).

φ and μ are respectively the *Euler* and the *Möbius* functions. An integer is said *squarefree* if it is not divisible for the square of any prime number. If $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, by $\delta(\eta)$ we denote the *field discriminant* of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\eta})$. So, if $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is square-free, $\delta(\eta) = \eta$ if $\eta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\delta(\eta) = 4\eta$ otherwise. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ we denote by $v_\ell(\alpha)$ the ℓ -*adic valuation* of α .

For functions F and $G > 0$ the notations $F = O(G)$ and $F \ll G$ are equivalent to the assertion that the inequality $|F| \leq cG$ holds with some constant $c > 0$. We write $F \sim G$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x)}{G(x)} = 1$. In what follows, all constants implied by the symbols O and \ll may depend (when obvious) on the small real parameter ϵ but are absolute otherwise; we write O_λ and \ll_λ to indicate that the implied constant depends on a given parameter λ . We also define the index of subgroup $\text{ind}(\Gamma_p) = \frac{p-1}{|\Gamma_p|}$.

3 Lemmata

In this section we present some results which we need for proof of the main theorem. The following Lemma describes explicitly the degree of $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ (see [6, Lemma 1 and Corollary 1]).

Lemma 5. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. With the notation above, we have*

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)] = |\Gamma(k)|/|\tilde{\Gamma}(k)|$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(k) = (\Gamma \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)^{2^{v_2(k)}}) \cdot \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{v_2(k)}} / \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{v_2(k)}}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, in the special case when $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}^+$,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(k) = \{\eta \mid \sigma_\Gamma, \eta^{2^{v_2(k)-1}} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{v_2(k)}} \in \Gamma(2^{v_2(k)}), \delta(\eta) \mid k\}. \quad (12)$$

The following statement is obtained using the effective version of the Chebotarev Density Theorem due to Serre (see [7, Theorem 4]).

Lemma 6 (Chebotarev Density Theorem). *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}^*$ be a finitely generated subgroup of rank r and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The GRH for the Dedekind zeta function of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k})$ implies that*

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma, k \mid \text{ind}(\Gamma_p)\} = \frac{\text{li}(x)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]} + O(\sqrt{x} \log(xk^{r+1}\sigma_\Gamma)). \quad (13)$$

The explicit formula for the degree $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]$ can be found in [6, Lemma 1]. The next results follows from Lemma 5 (see [6, Equation 7]).

Corollary 7. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}^*$ be a subgroup of $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$2k^r \geq [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)] \geq \frac{(k/2)^r}{\Delta_r(\Gamma)}. \quad (14)$$

Next Lemma is implicit in the work of C. R. Matthews (see [4]).

Lemma 8. *Assume that $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*$ is a multiplicative subgroup of rank $r \geq 2$ and assume that (a_1, \dots, a_r) is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of Γ . Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 1$. We have the following estimate*

$$\#\{p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma : |\Gamma_p| \leq t\} \ll_\Gamma \frac{t^{1+1/r}}{\log t}. \quad (15)$$

Theorem 9. *Assume the GRH. Let Γ be a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^* of rank $r \geq 2$. Then, for $1 \leq L \leq \log x$, we have*

$$\#\left\{p \leq x : p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma, |\Gamma_p| \leq \frac{p-1}{L}\right\} \ll_\Gamma \frac{\pi(x)}{L^r}. \quad (16)$$

The proof of the above is routine and easier than the main theorem in [2] and to [3, Theorem 6]. Hence we will skip some of the details.

Proof. Let t , $L \leq t \leq x$ be a parameter that will be chosen later.

- *first step:* First consider primes $p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma$ such that $|\Gamma_p| \leq \frac{p-1}{t}$. By Lemma 8, we have

$$\#\left\{p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma : |\Gamma_p| \leq \frac{x}{t}\right\} \ll_\Gamma \frac{(x/t)^{1+1/r}}{\log(x/t)}. \quad (17)$$

- *second step*: Next consider the primes $p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma$ such that there exists a prime q , $L \leq q \leq t$ such that $q \mid \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) = \frac{p-1}{|\Gamma_p|}$. If we apply Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{p \leq x : p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma, q \mid \text{ind}(\Gamma_p)\} &= \frac{\text{li}(x)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q, \Gamma^{1/q}) : \mathbb{Q}]} + O_\Gamma(\sqrt{x} \log(xq)) \\ &\ll_\Gamma \frac{\pi(x)}{q^r \varphi(q)} + \sqrt{x} \log(xq) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where in the latter estimate we have applied Corollary 7. If we sum the above over primes q : $L \leq q \leq t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{p \leq x : p \notin \text{Supp } \Gamma, \exists q \mid \text{ind}(\Gamma_p), L \leq q \leq t\} \\ &\ll_\Gamma \sum_{\substack{q \text{ prime} \\ L \leq q \leq t}} \left(\frac{\pi(x)}{q^r \varphi(q)} + \sqrt{x} \log(xq) \right) \ll_\Gamma \frac{\pi(x)}{L^r} + x^{1/2} t \log x. \end{aligned}$$

- *third step*: The primes p that were not counted in previous steps, have the property that all the prime divisors of $\text{ind}(\Gamma_p)$ belong to the interval $[1, L]$. Hence, for such primes p , $\text{ind}(\Gamma_p)$ is divisible for some integer d in $[L, L^2]$.

Applying again Lemma 6 and Corollary 7, and taking the sum over d we deduce that the total number of such primes is

$$\ll_\Gamma \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ L < d \leq L^2}} \left(\frac{\pi(x)}{d^r \varphi(d)} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(xd) \right) \ll_\Gamma \frac{\pi(x)}{L^r} + x^{1/2} L^2 \log x. \quad (19)$$

A choice of $t = \frac{x^{1/2}}{L^r \log^2 x}$ allows us to conclude the proof. \square

The Theorem of Wirsing [8] is formulated as follows.

Lemma 10. *Assume that a real valued multiplicative function $h(n)$ satisfies the following conditions.*

- $h(n) \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$;
- $h(p^n) \leq c_1 c_2^v, v = 2, 3, \dots$, for some constants c_1, c_2 with $c_2 < 2$;
- there exists a constant $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} h(p) = (\tau + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x}. \quad (20)$$

Then for any $x \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} h(n) = \left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma\tau} \Gamma(\tau)} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{h(p^\nu)}{p^\nu} \quad (21)$$

where γ is the Euler constant, and

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \quad (22)$$

is the gamma function.

4 Proof of the Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 2. We start by splitting the sum $C_{\Gamma,t}$ as

$$C_{\Gamma,t} := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{J_t(k)(\text{rad}(k))^t(-1)^{\omega(k)}}{k^{2t}[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]} = A_1 + A_2 \quad (23)$$

where A_1 is the sum of the terms corresponding to odd values of k and A_2 is the sum of the terms corresponding to even values of k . Note that if $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^+$ by Lemma 5 we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{\varphi(k)|\Gamma(k)|}{|\tilde{\Gamma}(k)|} \quad (24)$$

where, if k is even,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(k) = \{\eta \mid \sigma_{\Gamma}, \eta^{2^{v_2(k)-1}} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{v_2(k)}} \in \Gamma(2^{v_2(k)}), \delta(\eta) \mid k\} \quad (25)$$

while if k is odd $\tilde{\Gamma}(k) = \{1\}$. We define

$$f_t(k) = \frac{J_t(k)(\text{rad}(k))^t(-1)^{\omega(k)}}{k^{2t}\varphi(k)|\Gamma(k)|}.$$

Note that if $D \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is even, since $f_t(k)$ is multiplicative in k , then

$$\sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ \gcd(k,D)=1}} f_t(k) = \prod_{p \nmid D} \left(1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) = \prod_{p \nmid D} \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{p^t - 1}{p^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|(p-1)} \right). \quad (26)$$

Therefore, we have the identity

$$A_1 = \prod_{p > 2} \left(1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) = \prod_{p > 2} \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{p^t - 1}{p^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|(p-1)} \right). \quad (27)$$

We can write A_2 as,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{\substack{\eta \mid \sigma_{\Gamma} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(k) \ni \eta}} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1, 2 \mid k \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(k) \ni \eta}} \frac{J_t(k)(\text{rad}(k))^t(-1)^{\omega(k)}}{k^{2t}\varphi(k)|\Gamma(k)|} \\ &= \sum_{\eta \mid \sigma_{\Gamma}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 1 \\ \eta^{2^{\alpha-1}} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{\alpha}} \in \Gamma(2^{\alpha})}} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ v_2(k)=\alpha \\ \delta(\eta) \mid k}} f_t(k) \\ &= \sum_{\eta \mid \sigma_{\Gamma}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 1 \\ \eta^{2^{\alpha-1}} \mathbb{Q}^{*2^{\alpha}} \in \Gamma(2^{\alpha}) \\ \alpha \geq v_2(\delta(\eta))}} \frac{-(2^t - 1)}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^{\alpha})|} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ 2 \nmid k \\ \delta(\eta) \mid 8k}} f_t(k). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Now write $\delta(\eta) = 2^{v_2(\delta(\eta))} M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ 2 \nmid k \\ \delta(\eta) \mid 8k}} f_t(k) &= \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ p \nmid M}} \left(1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ p \mid M}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) \\ &= A_1 \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ p \mid M}} \left(1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Hence, if t_η is the quantity defined in (1), then

$$C_{\Gamma,t} := A_1 \times \left(1 + \sum_{\eta | \sigma_\Gamma} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \geq 1 \\ \alpha \geq t_\eta + 1 \\ \alpha \geq v_2(\delta(\eta))}} \frac{-(2^t - 1)}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^\alpha)|} \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ p | M}} \left(1 + \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right).$$

Now let

$$\delta_\Gamma := \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{p^t - 1}{p^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)| (p - 1)} \right)$$

and deduce that

$$C_{\Gamma,t} = \delta_\Gamma \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta | \sigma_\Gamma \\ \eta \neq 1}} \frac{\sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma_\eta} \frac{2^t - 1}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^\alpha)|}}{\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{2^t - 1}{2^{\alpha(t+1)-1} |\Gamma(2^\alpha)|}} \prod_{p | 2\eta} \left(1 + \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} f_t(p^\alpha) \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

where $\gamma_\eta = \max\{1 + t_\eta, v_2(\delta(\eta))\}$ and this completes the proof. \square

5 Proof of Corollary 3

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^+ of rank r and let (a_1, \dots, a_r) be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of Γ . We write $\text{Supp}(\Gamma) = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. Then we can construct the $s \times r$ -matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} :

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_r) = A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \dots & \alpha_{1,r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{s,1} & \dots & \alpha_{s,r} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

defined by the property that $|a_i| = (p_1)^{\alpha_{1,i}} \dots (p_s)^{\alpha_{s,i}}$. It is clear that $s \geq r$ and that the rank of the matrix $M(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ equals r . For all $i = 1, \dots, r$ we define the i -th exponent of Γ by

$$\Delta_i = \Delta_i(\Gamma) = \gcd(\det A : A \text{ is a } i \times i \text{ minor of } M(a_1, \dots, a_r))$$

and we also set $\Delta_0 = 1$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (see [1, Proposition 2])

$$|\Gamma(m)| = \frac{m^r}{\gcd(m^r, m^{r-1} \Delta_1, \dots, m \Delta_{r-1}, \Delta_r)}$$

and in particular, for every prime power p^α , we have

$$|\Gamma(p^\alpha)| = p^{\max\{0, \alpha - v_p(\Delta_1), \dots, (r-1)\alpha - v_p(\Delta_{r-1}), r\alpha - v_p(\Delta_r)\}}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3. Let Γ be generated by prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_r , since Δ_i 's are 1 we have $|\Gamma(k)| = k^r$ and $t_\eta = 0$ for all $\eta | \sigma_\Gamma = p_1 \cdots p_r$ and

$$\gamma_\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & \text{if } \eta \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 3 & \text{if } \eta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma_\eta} \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(2^\alpha)|} = \frac{1}{2^{(\gamma_\eta-1)(r+2)}} \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(2^\alpha)|}$$

and since $|\Gamma(k)| = k^r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} = \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1}.$$

Hence, if we let

$$C_r = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1} \right),$$

then

$$C_{\langle p_1, \dots, p_r \rangle} = C_r \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta | p_1 \dots p_r \\ \eta \neq 1}} \frac{1}{2^{(\gamma_\eta-1)(r+2)}} \prod_{\ell | 2\eta} \frac{\ell}{\ell + 1 - \ell^{r+2}} \right)$$

and this completes the proof. \square

6 Proof of Corollary 4

Proof of Corollary 4. If we set $k_p = \max\{v_p(\Delta_r/\Delta_{r-1}), \dots, v_p(\Delta_1/\Delta_0)\}$ then for $\alpha \geq k_p$, $|\Gamma(p^\alpha)| = p^{r\alpha - v_p(\Delta_r)}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k_p} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} + \frac{p^{v_p(\Delta_r)+1-(r+2)k_p}}{p^{r+2} - 1} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In particular, if $p \nmid \Delta_r$, then $k_p = 0$ and $|\Gamma(p^\alpha)| = p^{r\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} = \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$C_\Gamma = r_\Gamma \prod_{p \nmid \Delta_r} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_\Gamma &= \prod_{p | \Delta_r} \left(1 - \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\eta | \sigma_\Gamma \\ \eta \neq 1}} S_\eta \prod_{p | 2\eta} \left(1 - \left(\sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha-1} |\Gamma(p^\alpha)|} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Finally C_Γ is a rational multiple of

$$C_r = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p}{p^{r+2} - 1} \right)$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

7 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof use the methods of Kurlberg and Pomerance [3, Theorem 2].

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $z = \log x$. We have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |\Gamma_p|^t = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) \leq z}} |\Gamma_p|^t + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) > z}} |\Gamma_p|^t = A + E,$$

say. We write $|\Gamma_p|^t = \frac{(p-1)^t}{\text{ind}^t(\Gamma_p)}$ and use the identity $\frac{1}{\text{ind}^t(\Gamma_p)} = \sum_{uv | \text{ind}(\Gamma_p)} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t}$, after splitting the sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{p \leq x} (p-1)^t \sum_{\substack{uv | \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) \\ uv \leq z}} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t} - \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) > z}} (p-1)^t \sum_{\substack{uv | \text{ind}(\Gamma_p) \\ uv \leq z}} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t} \\ &= A_1 - E_1, \end{aligned}$$

say. The main term is A_1 , after switching the summation and applying partial summation and using Lemma 6 on GRH, we have

$$A_1 = \text{li}(x^{t+1}) \sum_{uv \leq z} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{uv}, \Gamma^{1/uv}) : \mathbb{Q}]} + O\left(x^{t+\frac{1}{2}} \log x \sum_{n \leq z} \left| \sum_{uv=n} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t} \right| \right).$$

The inner sum in the O -term is bounded by $\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}$ so that the O -term above is $O\left(x^{t+\frac{1}{2}} \log^2(x)\right)$. Next we use the elementary fact $J_t(\text{rad}(k)) = J_t(k) \left(\frac{\text{rad}(k)}{k}\right)^t$ and $\sum_{v|k} \mu(v) v^t = \prod_{p|k} (1 - p^t) = (-1)^{\omega(k)} J_t(\text{rad}(k)) = (-1)^{\omega(k)} \frac{J_t(k) (\text{rad}(k))^t}{k^t}$. So

$$\sum_{uv=k} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{uv}, \Gamma^{1/uv}) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \sum_{v|k} \frac{\mu(v) v^t}{k^t [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{(-1)^{\omega(k)} J_t(k) (\text{rad}(k))^t}{k^{2t} [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Let $C_{\Gamma,t} := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{J_t(k) (\text{rad}(k))^t (-1)^{\omega(k)}}{k^{2t} [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k, \Gamma^{1/k}) : \mathbb{Q}]}$, after applying Corollary 7, finally we have

$$A_1 = \text{li}(x^{t+1}) \left(C_{\Gamma,t} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^r}\right) \right).$$

It remains to estimate the error terms E and E_1 . Applying Theorem 9:

$$E \ll \frac{x^t}{z^t} \frac{\pi(x)}{z^r}.$$

In order to estimate E_1 , we calculate

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{uv|n \\ uv \leq z}} \frac{\mu(v)}{u^t} \right| \leq \sum_{u|n} \frac{1}{u^t} \sum_{\substack{v|n \\ v \leq z}} 1 \leq \frac{\tau(n) \sigma_t(n)}{n^t},$$

so

$$E_1 \leq \sum_{z < n} \frac{\tau(n) \sigma_t(n)}{n^t} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ n | \text{ind}(\Gamma_p)}} (p-1)^t.$$

Then applying Lemma 6 and Corollary 7 we obtain that

$$E_1 \ll x^t \pi(x) \sum_{z < n} \frac{\tau(n) \sigma_t(n)}{n^t \varphi(n) n^r}.$$

Let $g(n) := \frac{\tau(n) \sigma_t(n)}{n^{t-1} \varphi(n)}$, $\sum_{p \leq x} g(p) = (2 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x}$. Using Lemma 10 (for in our case τ is 2), we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} g(n) = \left(\frac{1}{e^{\gamma^2}} + o(1) \right) \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{p}{(p-1)(p^t-1)} \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \frac{(\nu+1)(p^{\nu t+t}-1)}{p^{\nu t+\nu}} \right).$$

To make the product convergent we add a correction factor, and invoke Merten's third formula, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} g(n) \sim x \log x.$$

Let $G(n) := \sum_{n \leq x} g(n)$ using partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{z < n} \frac{g(n)}{n^{r+1}} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{G(T)}{T^{r+1}} - \frac{G(z)}{z^{r+1}} \right) - \int_z^\infty G(u) \frac{du}{u^{r+1}} \left(\frac{1}{u^{r+1}} \right) \ll \frac{\log z}{z^r}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$E_1 \ll x^t \pi(x) \frac{\log z}{z^r}.$$

We have chosen $z = \log x$, finally we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |\Gamma_p|^t = \text{li}(x^{t+1}) C_{\Gamma,t} + O\left(\frac{x^{t+1} \log \log x}{(\log x)^{r+1}} \right).$$

□

8 Numerical Examples

In this section we compare some numerical data. The tables compares the value of C_Γ as predicted by Corollary 3 with

$$A_\Gamma = \frac{\sum_{p \leq 10^{10}} |\Gamma_p|}{\sum_{p \leq 10^{10}} p}.$$

We consider the following cases:

- $\Gamma_r = \langle 2, \dots, p_r \rangle$, the group generated by the first r primes
- $\Gamma'_r = \langle 3, \dots, p_{r+1} \rangle$, the group generated by the first r odd primes.
- $\Gamma''_r = \langle 5, \dots, p''_r \rangle$, the group generated by the first r primes congruent to 1 modulo 4.

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A_{Γ_r}	0.5723625220	0.8234145762	0.9219692467	0.9638944667	0.9828346715	0.9916961670	0.9959388895
C_{Γ_r}	0.5723602190	0.8234094709	0.9219688310	0.9638925514	0.9828293379	0.9916891587	0.9959315465
$A_{\Gamma'_r}$	0.5797271743	0.8249081874	0.9220326599	0.9639044730	0.9828352799	0.9916947130	0.9959372205
$C_{\Gamma'_r}$	0.5797162295	0.8249060912	0.9220306381	0.9639002343	0.9828302996	0.9916892783	0.9959315614
$A_{\Gamma''_r}$	0.5856374600	0.8246697078	0.9220170449	0.9639045923	0.9828329969	0.9916930151	0.9959357111
$C_{\Gamma''_r}$	0.5856399683	0.8246572843	0.9220082264	0.9638982767	0.9828301305	0.9916892643	0.9959315465

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: This paper is part of the Doctorate thesis at the Università Roma Tre.

References

- [1] CANGELMI, L. AND PAPPALARDI, F., *On the r -rank Artin Conjecture II*, J. Number Theory **75** (1999), 120–132.
- [2] HOOLEY, C., *On Artin's conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **225** (1967), 209–220.
- [3] KURLBERG, P. AND POMERANCE, C., *On a problem of Arnold: the average multiplicative order of a given integer*, Algebra and Number Theory, **7** (2013), 981–999.
- [4] MATTHEWS, C. R., *Counting points modulo p for some finitely generated subgroups of algebraic groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 149–154.
- [5] MOREE, P., *Artins primitive root conjecture -a survey-*, Integers **12A** (2012), A13, 100pp.
- [6] PAPPALARDI, F., *Divisibility of reduction in groups of rational numbers*, Math. Comp. to appear
- [7] SERRE, J. P., *Quelques applications du theoreme de densite de Chebotarev*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **54** (1981), 323–401.
- [8] WIRSING, E., *Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen uber multiplikative Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **143** (1961), 75–102.