A neuron inspired model for the time constant of dynamical systems.

S.H Sabzpoushan^{a*}, A.Ghajarjazy^b, M. Nadjafikhah^c

^a Assistant Professor, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST). Narmak. Tehran 16846-13114. Iran. Tel: +98-2173225600, Fax: +98-2173225777, Email: sabzposh@iust.ac.ir

^b PhD Candidate, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST). Narmak. Tehran 16846-13114. Iran. Tel: +98-2173225600, Fax: +98-2173225777, Email: a_ghajarjazy@iust.ac.ir

^c Associate Professor, Department of Pure Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST). Narmak, Tehran, 16846-13114. Iran. Tel: +98-2173225426, Fax: +98-2173228426, Email: m nadjafikhah@iust.ac.ir

Abstract

Emulate the gating mechanism of ionic channels in neurons, we present a mathematical model for the time constant of dynamical systems. Our model is an analytical continues function. The analyses give evidence that one can adjust the desirable morphology of the response of the dynamical system by adjusting the parameters of the proposed model.

Keywords: dynamical system; time constant; mathematical modeling; response morphology.

1. Introduction

From dynamic systems point of view, a cell is a biological system that generates an action potential (AP) like Fig. 1, if it is excited by a strong enough stimulus [1]. One of the aims of cell models is, reproducing the AP morphology, based on available experimental data [1-2]. Generally speaking, the membrane of a cell is formed by ion channels and channels are composed of gates. The electrical conductivity (conductance) of a channel is controlled by the gates which move the channel between open and closed states, therefore ionic currents can flow through a channel. The opening and closing of the gates are controlled by the voltage of membrane and this voltage in turn varies by the flow of the ionic currents through the channel.

It is well known that the time constants of the different gates of ion channels have a huge effect on the morphology of AP and are responsible for the time evolution (TE) of the AP, i.e., a part of the response of the cell that is shown by the "te" interval in Fig. 1 [3].

A main problem that faces cell and neuron biologists when developing analyzable models is to find a proper model for the time constants. In this context researchers usually use not only AP data but also some other experimental data and curve fitting styles for making a mathematical model for the time constants [1].

In this research we emulate the voltage dependency of the gates of ion channels in neuron and postulate a general formalism for the modeling of the time constant of dynamical systems.

The kinetics of the ion channels are based on those used in the Hodgkin–Huxley (HH) model of the squid giant axon [4], and the simplified model for TE of the AP based on HH formalism is:

$$Cv = -I_{k_ion} \quad , \tag{1.1}$$

$$I_{k \text{ ion}} = g_k m_k (v - E_k), v > E_k , \qquad (1.2)$$

$${}^{\bullet}_{m_k}(v,t) = \frac{m_k(v,\infty) - m_k(v,t)}{\tau_k} \,. \tag{1.3}$$

Where t is the time, C is the membrane electrical capacity, and v(t) that in this paper is abbreviated as v is the potential (voltage) of the membrane. $I_{k_{i}on}$ is positive current(s) carried by an ion(s) through a particular ion channel(s); k. g_k is the maximal conductance of channel(s). m_k is the voltage dependent gating variable that varies continuously between 0 and 1 and describes how open is the channel k. E_k is a constant voltage, $m_k(v,\infty)$ is the steady-state value of gating variable m_k , and τ_k is the time-constant describing the speed of the time evolution of m_k towards its steadystate value; $m_k(v,\infty)$ [1-4].



Fig. 1. a) AP morphology of the cardiac ventricular cell. We name "te" piece of the AP as *'time evolution'* and simulate it by (2.2) model. b,c) time constant morphologies of two kinds of the gates used for ventricular cell model in [1]. In this paper we simulate the time constant in (2.2) model; $\tau(v)$ as it is stated in theorem 2.1.

2. Model

Suppose a cell that is at rest state, then it is excited by a strong enough stimulus at time origin; t = 0. As a result of excitation, v jumps to a maximum value (initial value)v(0) = A, then I_{k_ion} current in (1.1) starts up, v begins decreasing, TE initiates and finally v backs to the rest state again.

Regarding (1.1),(1.2), one may write the TE of v as:

$$v(t) = v(\infty) + \left(v(0) - v(\infty)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{g_k m_k}{C}t\right)$$
(2.1)

For simplicity and without loss of generality, (2.1) may be rewritten as:

$$v(t) = A \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau(v)}\right) \quad , \quad \frac{dv}{dt} < 0 \quad , \quad \tau(v) = \frac{c}{g_k m_k}$$
(2.2)

An exponentially decreasing formalism like (2.2) is reasonable for the TE part of APs in several kinds of cells and neurons [5,6], but the main challenge is, to find a proper analytic model for $\tau(v)$ [7].



Generally speaking and regarding (1.2) and (1.3), the time constant $\tau(v)$ describes how dose the speed of a gate(s) depend on voltage? It is easy to see that if $\tau(v)$ is constant e.g., $\tau(v) = T$ then v will have a conventional morphology i.e., exponentially decreasing. In Theorem 2.1 we postulate for the first time an analytical model for $\tau(v)$ so that each illustrated general morphologies of v(t) (or equally t(v)) in Fig.2 can be simulated.

Lemma 2.1.

The model (2.2) requires that $\tau(v)$ has a form like $\tau(v) = t(\ln \frac{A}{v})^{-1}$,

Proof. Taking logarithm of both sides of (2.2) and some algebraic manipulations give the results. \Box

Lemma 2.2.

If
$$a + b + c = 1$$
, And if $\tau(v) = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3})(\ln\frac{A}{v})^{-1}$ then:
 $\lim_{v \to A} \tau(v) = T(1 + b + 2c)$.

Proof. We assign $\ln \frac{A}{v} = D$, therefore we have $\frac{v}{A} = e^{-D}$ so one can write: $T(D) = \frac{T}{v} (1 - re^{-D} - he^{-2D})$

$$\tau(D) = \frac{T}{D} (1 - ae^{-D} - be^{-2D} - ce^{-3D})$$

Using Taylor's series expansion of e^{-D} one gets:

$$\tau(D) = \frac{T}{D} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a(1 - D + \frac{D^2}{2!} - \frac{D^3}{3!} + \cdots) - b(1 - 2D + \frac{4D^2}{2!} - \frac{8D^3}{3!} + \cdots) \\ -c(1 - 3D + \frac{9D^2}{2!} - \frac{27D^3}{3!} + \cdots) \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore :

 $\lim \tau(v) = \lim \tau(D) = T(1+b+2c)$ Π $v \rightarrow A \qquad D \rightarrow 0$ It means that $\tau(v)$ has a bounded value $0 \le \tau(v) \le T(1+b+2c)$ for all $0 \le v \le A$.

Lemma 2.3.

Assume
$$a + b + c = 1$$
, and $t = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3})$, then:
 $\frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=A} = -\frac{T}{A}(1 + b + 2c)$, $\frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=0} = -a\frac{T}{A}$

Proof. Taking derivative of t with respect to v yields:

$$\frac{dt}{dv} = T\left(-\frac{a}{A} - \frac{2b}{A^2}v - \frac{3c}{A^3}v^2\right)$$
 Letting $v = A$ or $v = 0$, one gets the wanted result. \Box

Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4.
Assume
$$a + b + c = 1$$
, if $t = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3})$ then:
 $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} = -\frac{2T}{A^2}\left(b + \frac{3c}{A}v\right).$

Proof. Taking the second derivative of t with respect to v yields the wanted result. \Box

Lemma 2.5. If t(v) is a continuously differentiable and decreasing function then one will have:

$$\frac{d^2v}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{dv}{dt}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{d^2t}{dv^2} \; .$$

Proof.

We have
$$\frac{dt}{dv} \cdot \frac{dv}{dt} = 1$$
 therefore $\frac{d}{dv} (\frac{dt}{dv} \cdot \frac{dv}{dt}) = 0$ it means that:
 $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} \cdot \frac{dv}{dt} + \frac{dt}{dv} \cdot \frac{d}{dv} (\frac{dv}{dt}) = 0$ or $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} \cdot \frac{dv}{dt} + \frac{dt}{dv} \cdot \frac{dt}{dv} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{dv}{dt}) = 0$ therefore:
 $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} \cdot \frac{dv}{dt} + (\frac{dt}{dv})^2 \cdot \frac{d^2v}{dt^2} = 0$. Because $t(v)$ is a decreasing function, we have $\frac{dt}{dv} \neq 0$
and one can write $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} \cdot (\frac{dv}{dt})^3 + \frac{d^2v}{dt^2} = 0$ or $\frac{d^2v}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{dv}{dt}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{d^2t}{dv^2}$.

Let (2.2) be a model for the time evolution of a dynamical system (cell), then one can adjust each required morphology of v(t) (or equally t(v)) in Fig.2 using following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. Consider model (2.2), using lemma 2.1, one has $\tau(v) = t(\ln \frac{A}{v})^{-1}$. If we

$$let \ t = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3}) \text{ and } a + b + c = 1 \ therefore$$

$$\tau(v) = T\left(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3}\right) \left(Ln\frac{A}{v}\right)^{-1}.$$
(2.3)

Then, one can set up any wanted morphology of v in Fig.2 by adjusting a,b,c and preparing following conditions:

i) To get Fig.2.a we set: a = 1, b = c = 0. ii) To get Fig.2.b or Fig.2.c, we set: a+b=1, $a,b \neq 0,c=0$ and -1 < b < 1, 0 < a < 2iii) To get the morphology of v in Fig. 2.d or Fig. 2.e, we set: a+b+c=1, a > 0, b+2c > -1, $b^2 < 3ac$,

Proof *i* :

letting a = 1, b = c = 0. In (2.3) one gets $\tau(v) = T\left(1 - a\frac{v}{A}\right)\frac{1}{\ln\frac{A}{v}}$. Regarding lemma

(2.1) requires that $t(v) = T(1-a\frac{v}{A})$. In this case we are lucky because we not only are sure about the inverse ability of t(v) but also v(t) can be deduce easily from t(v) as: $v(t) = -\frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{A}{T}(t-T)$. It means that the general morphology of the time evolution of v in Fig. 2.a. is satisfied. \Box

Proof *ii* :

In this case we have:

$$\tau(v) = T \left(1 - a \frac{v}{A} - b \frac{v^2}{A^2} \right) \frac{1}{\ln \frac{A}{v}} , \quad a + b = 1, \text{ regarding lemma (2.1) requires that}$$

 $t = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2})$. In Fig.2.b and Fig.2.c it is clear that v(t) has the same morphology as t(v), it means that we can set up the time evolution of v; v(t) by setting the voltage evolution of t, i.e., t(v). This approach is valid if we be sure about the inverse ability of t(v) function. In other words t(v) must be so that its inverse; v(t) be a decreasing function. Here we are lucky because t(v) is a quadratic function of v and we can be sure about the $\frac{dv}{dt} < 0$, for all $0 \le v \le A$ as follow: Recalling lemma 2.3, we should prepare $\frac{dt}{dv} = -\frac{T}{A}(a+2b\frac{v}{A}) < 0$, for all $0 \le v \le A$. And because we have: $\frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=A} = -(1+b)\frac{T}{A} \quad , \quad \frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=0} = -a\frac{T}{A} \quad \text{Then it needs that } 0 < a < 2, -1 < b < 1.$

Preparing above conditions we are sure about the inverse ability of t(v).

Here it is easy to see that $\frac{d^2t}{dv^2} = -\frac{T}{A^2}2b$, that means the sign of *b* determines the curvature of v(t) i.e., one can set the morphologies like Fig. 2.b or Fig. 2.c by setting the sign of b. \Box

Proof *iii* :

In this case we have:

$$\tau(v) = T \left(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3} \right) \frac{1}{\ln \frac{A}{v}} \quad , \quad a+b+c=1. \text{ Recalling lemma 2.1 it means:}$$

 $t = T(1 - a\frac{v}{A} - b\frac{v^2}{A^2} - c\frac{v^3}{A^3})$. Here t is a cubic function of v and we need to add a

condition for ensuring the inverse ability of t(v); that is $\frac{dt}{dv} \neq 0$, $\forall 0 \le v \le A$.

Regarding lemma 2.3 it needs that: $\frac{dt}{dv} = -\frac{T}{A} \left(\frac{3c}{A^2} v^2 + \frac{2b}{A} v + a \right) \neq 0, \quad \forall 0 \le v \le A,$ satisfying this condition we should have $\frac{b^2}{A^2} - a\frac{3c}{A^2} < 0$ or $b^2 < 3ac$.

To prepare t(v) as a decreasing function, recalling lemma 2.3, we should provide : $\frac{dt}{dv} = -\frac{T}{A}(a+2b\frac{v}{A}+3c\frac{v^{2}}{A^{2}}) < 0, \forall 0 \le v \le A \text{ And because we have:}$ $\frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=A} = -\frac{T}{A}(1+b+2c) , \quad \frac{dt}{dv}\Big|_{v=0} = -a\frac{T}{A} \text{ then it needs that } 0 < a, -1 < b + 2c \text{ .}$

In Fig.2.d and Fig.2.e the graph of t(v) has an inflection point. To set the inflection point of v(t) we let:

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{A}{T} \frac{1}{a+2b\frac{v}{A}+3c\frac{v^2}{A^2}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{T}{A^2}(2b+\frac{6c}{A}v)\right) = 0, \text{ that means } \frac{T}{A^2}(2b+\frac{6c}{A}v) = 0$$

It leads to $v_{infl} = -\frac{b}{3c}A$ that means one can set the desired inflection point in Fig.2.d and Fig.2.e. \Box

3.Simulation results

For validation of our model, we implemented it with Matlab codes. In Table.1 computed parameters of our model for a sample example of each morphologies in Fig.2, are summarized.

Table.1 Model parameters for a typical simulation of our model. Parameters are for $A = 11, T = 4$	
Morphology	parameters
Fig. 2.a	a=1, $b=c=0$
Fig. 2.b	$dv/dt\Big _{v=A} = -0.7 \frac{A}{T}$, $a = 0.571, b = 0.428, c = 0$
Fig. 2.c	$dv/dt\Big _{v=A} = -1.5 \frac{A}{T}$, $a = 1.333, b = -0.333, c = 0$
Fig. 2.d	$dv/dt\Big _{v=A} = -0.6\frac{A}{T}$, $dv/dt\Big _{v=0} = -0.6\frac{A}{T}$ inflection point = 5.5 $a = 1.666$, $b = -2$, $c = 1.333$
Fig. 2.e	$dv/dt\Big _{v=A} = -1.6\frac{A}{T}$, inflection point = 0.72 A $a = 3.718, b = -5.062, c = 2.343$

References

[1] K.ten Tusscher, D. Noble, P. J. Noble and A. V. Panfilov, A model for human ventricular tissue, AJP - Heart 286(2004)1573-1589.

[2] Rudy. Y, Computational biology in the study of cardiac ion channels and cell electrophysiology. Q Rev Biophys. 39(2006), 57–116.

[3] Eugene M. Izhikevich, Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience: The Geometry of Excitability and Bursting, October 8, (2004), Springer-Verlag.

[4] Hodgkin. A.L., Huxley.A.F, A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. J Physiol (London) 117(1952), 500–544.

[5] E.M. Cherry, Steven J. Evansc, Properties of Two Human Atrial Cell Models in Tissue: Restitution, Memory, Propagation, and Reentry J Theor Biol. October 7; 254(3)(2008),674–690. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.06.030.

[6] K Ten Tusscher and A V Panfilov, Cell model for efficient simulation of wave propagation in human ventricular tissue under normal and pathological conditions, Phys. Med. Biol. 51 (2006) 6141–6156. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/51/23/014.

[7] E.M. Cherry et al. Dynamics of human atrial cell models: Restitution, memory and intracellular calcium dynamics in single cells, Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 98 (2008) 24–37.