

# Fast Low Rank Approximation Based on Spectral Norm

**Haishan Ye**

*Department of Computer Science  
Shanghai Jiaotong University*

YHS12354123@GMAIL.COM

**Zhihua Zhang**

*Department of Computer Science  
Shanghai Jiaotong University*

ZHANG-ZH@CS.SJTU.EDU.CN

**Editor:** .....

## Abstract

In this paper, we study subspace embedding problem and obtain the following results:

1. We extend the results of approximate matrix multiplication from the Frobenius norm to the spectral norm. Assume  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are stable rank of matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a matrix satisfying  $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{k_1 k_2}, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property. Then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 < \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2.$$

2. We develop a class of fast approximate generalized linear regression algorithms with respect to the spectral norm. We design a new least square regression algorithm in which subspace embedding matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  has  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon/r}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. Here  $r$  is the stable rank  $\mathbf{A}$ , which is never greater than rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, we obtain

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{b}\|_2 \leq \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2.$$

3. We design a fast low rank approximation algorithm with relative error based on spectral norm and the stable rank. For  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , given  $k$ , and  $\varepsilon$ , we get a decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathbf{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}$ , such that

$$\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{W}^T\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2,$$

and our algorithm runs in  $O(nnz(\mathbf{A}) + (n + d)poly(r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 / \varepsilon))$ . Here  $r_1$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ ,  $r_2$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$ ,  $r_3$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}$ , and  $r_4$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A})^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}$ . And  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse subspace embedding matrix with  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon/(2r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property.

4. We give a concise proof which has more tighter bound for the randomized SVD of Halko et al. (2011). Besides gaussian random projection and Subsample Randomized Hadamard Transform in Halko et al. (2011), we find that a large class of matrices which have oblivious  $\ell_2$ -subspace embedding property can be used in randomized SVD. We give a framework that composing different subspace embedding matrices still has the same relative error bound.

**Keywords:** Spectral norm, approximate SVD, subspace embedding, JL moment property, matrix product, linear regression

## 1. Introduction

This paper studies fast approximate matrix algorithms. Singular value decomposition (SVD), linear regression and matrix products are basic problems in numerical linear algebra. How to compute them fast is challenging since they are widely used in various areas. For example, SVD is an important tool in data mining (Azar et al., 2001), information retrieval using Latent Semantic Indexing (Papadimitriou et al., 1998), spectral clustering, and projective clustering (Feldman et al., 2013). Besides, PCA widely used in statistics and machine learning is closely related to SVD. Many classification problems can be reduced to regularized regression problems (Drineas et al., 2006b). Text database querying is a matrix-vector products process.

The computation mentioned above is intensive when performed exactly. Dense SVD methods need  $O(m^2n)$  time; similarly, matrix product is of the same order (Golub and Van Loan, 2012). Hence, much work comes out to approximate matrix operations with much faster speed (Clarkson and Woodruff, 2013; Cohen and Lewis, 1999; Drineas et al., 2006a, 2011; Sarlos, 2006; Drineas and Mahoney, 2005). The previous work (Drineas et al., 2006a; Sarlos, 2006; Cohen and Lewis, 1999; Magen and Zouzias, 2011) gave fast approximate matrix products. Much work (Drineas et al., 2006b, 2011; Nelson and Nguyen, 2013; Halko et al., 2011; Clarkson and Woodruff, 2013; Martinsson et al., 2011; Woolfe et al., 2008) focus on the fast  $l_2$  regression and SVD problem. In the work of Clarkson and Woodruff (2013), they gave an approximate SVD with relative error with respect to the Frobenius norm in input sparsity time using sparse sketching method. On the other hand, the work of Halko et al. (2011) give a fast randomized methods to approximate SVD with relative error with respect to the spectral norm.

A fast relative SVD algorithm based on spectral norm is raised. For a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , an approximate SVD satisfies  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{LDW}^T\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$ , and  $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{W}$  can be computed in  $O(nnz(\mathbf{A}) + (n + d)poly(r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 / \varepsilon))$ . Here  $r_1$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ ,  $r_2$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$ ,  $r_3$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{SA}$ , and  $r_4$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{SA})^\dagger \mathbf{SA}$ . And  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse subspace embedding matrix with  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon/(2r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. To the best of our knowledge, the best approximate SVD with respect to the spectral norm is based on a gaussian random projection method combining power method proposed by Halko et al. (2011), and improved in the work of Boutsidis et al. (2014). The algorithm outputs a rank  $k$  orthormal matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{ZZ}^T \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$ , The algorithm can be implemented in  $O(nnz(\mathbf{A})k \log(nd)/\varepsilon)$ . Our algorithm can run in input sparsity time that is very fast if the singular values of matrix decay quickly which is almost satisfied in real application matrix.

Furthermore, we give a concise proof which leads to a more tighter bound for the main theorem using gaussian random projection and Subsample Randomized Hadamard Transform in Halko et al. (2011). In the framework of our proof, we show that composing different kinds of subspace embedding matrices still has the same relative error bound.

Fast matrix products approximation is another important work in this paper. We extend the work with respect to the Frobenius norm Kane and Nelson (2014) to the spectral norm. Based on the JL moment property, we find that all matrices having JL moment property can be used to accelerate matrix products with good approximation accuracy as shown in Theorem 10. As proved by Kane and Nelson (2014), most matrices with the Johnson-

Lindenstrauss property have JL moment property. Hence, our work can be applied widely in approximate matrix products. To the best of our knowledge, the best result that subspace embedding matrix used to matrix products approximation based on spectral norm is given in Magen and Zouzias (2011), which uses a random signed matrix and needs at least  $r/\varepsilon^4$  where  $r$  is the  $\max(r_1, r_2)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 < \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2$ , and  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are stable ranks of matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , respectively. Our work shows that it is required that matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies  $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{r_1 r_2}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. If  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse embedding matrices, the algorithm needs  $O((r_1 r_2)/\varepsilon^2)$  by Theorem 13.

Generalized linear regression problems with respect to the spectral norm are studied. Our result is similar to that with respect to the Frobenius norm, except a slight difference that subspace embedding matrices have different JL moment properties, which leads to difference of algorithms of approximate SVD between the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm. In Theorem 18, we give a faster linear regression algorithm in which subspace embedding matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  has  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon/r}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. Here  $\varepsilon$  is relative error parameter, and  $r$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ , which is never greater than rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ . To the best of our knowledge, the previous best result is that  $\mathbf{S}$  has to satisfy  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon/\tilde{r}}, \delta)$ -JL moment property where  $\tilde{r}$  is the rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Besides, our result is meaningful since the stable rank of input matrix can be computed quickly contrast to the computation of rank of input matrix.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. After notation and preliminary which describes the basic fact about subspace embedding and related results, we give the result of approximate matrix products in Section 3. Based on the results in Section 3, we give our generalized linear regression results with respect to spectral norm in Section 4. The low rank approximation results are given in Section 5 where a fast SVD approximation algorithm implemented and time complexity is analyzed.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

### 2.1 Matrix

Given a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  of rank  $\rho$ , the SVD is given as  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U}_k \mathbf{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{V}_k^T + \mathbf{U}_{\rho-k} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\rho-k} \mathbf{V}_{\rho-k}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{U}_k$  and  $\mathbf{U}_{\rho-k}$  contain the left singular vector of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and, similarly,  $\mathbf{V}_k$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{\rho-k}$  contain right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is well known that  $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \mathbf{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{V}_k^T$  minimizes  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\|_F$  and  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{X}\|_2$  over all matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  of rank at most  $k \leq \rho$ . Besides, we define the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  as  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 / \|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2$ , and  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$  always holds.

The matrix norms are defined as follows.  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = (\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2)^{1/2} = (\sum_i \sigma_i^2)^{1/2}$  is the Frobenius norm,  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \sigma_1$  is the spectral norm.  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  denotes the so-called Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , i.e., the unique  $n \times m$  satisfying all four properties:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger$ ,  $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger)^T = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger$ ,  $(\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A})^T = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A}$ . It is easy to check that, for all  $i = 1, \dots, \rho = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^\dagger)$ ,  $\sigma_i(\mathbf{A}^\dagger) = 1/\sigma_{\rho-i+1}(\mathbf{A})$ . Besides, for any matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with full row rank, then  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{I}_m$ . Similarly, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is of full column rank, then  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_n$ . For all  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ :  $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B})^\dagger = \mathbf{B}^\dagger \mathbf{A}^\dagger$  if one of following three properties hold: (1)  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_n$ ; (2)  $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}_p$ , (3)  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) = n$ .

## 2.2 Subspace embedding

Subspace embedding is an important tool in the following work. Using subspace embedding, a matrix can be projected to a much lower dimension, leading to much faster operation on matrix, and most part of property of the matrix is preserved. Now, we give its definition.

**Definition 1 (Woodruff (2014))** *A  $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$   $l_2$ -subspace embedding for the column space of an  $n \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  for which for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

There are many ways to construct an  $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix. Oblivious embedding first introduced in Sarlos (2006) is a particularly useful form of  $l_2$ -subspace embedding.

**Definition 2 (Woodruff (2014))** *Suppose  $\Pi$  is a distribution on  $r \times n$  matrices  $\mathbf{S}$ , where  $r$  is a function of  $n, d, \varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ . Suppose that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , for any fixed  $n \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , a matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  drawn from distribution  $\Pi$  has the property that  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$   $l_2$ -subspace embedding for  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then we call  $\Pi$  an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  oblivious  $l_2$ -subspace embedding.*

For convenience, oblivious  $l_2$ -subspace embedding will be referred as  $l_2$ -subspace embedding. Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform has intrinsic subspace embedding property. Now, we give the definition of Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform.

**Definition 3 (Sarlos (2006))** *A random matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$  forms a Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform with parameters  $\varepsilon, \delta, f$ , if with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , for any  $f$ -element subset  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \in V$ ,  $|\langle \mathbf{S}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{v}' \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle| \leq \varepsilon\|\mathbf{v}\|_2\|\mathbf{v}'\|_2$*

When  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'$ , we can get the usual notation that  $\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2$ . There is much work to construct Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform. Random Gaussian matrix is a simple way to form Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon, \delta < 1$  and  $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ , where the entries of  $\mathbf{R}$  are independent standard normal random variables. Then if  $k = \Omega(\varepsilon^2 \log(f/\delta))$ , then  $\mathbf{S}$  is a JLT( $\varepsilon, \delta, f$ ). And also for all vectors  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(|\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - 1| > \varepsilon) < 2e^{-\Omega(\varepsilon^2 k)} \tag{1}$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathbf{S}$  in Theorem 4 has the  $l_2$ -subspace embedding property. For Oblivious subspace embedding,  $k$  can be reduced to  $k = \Theta((d + \log(1/\delta))\varepsilon^2)$ .

**Theorem 5 (Woodruff (2014))** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon, \delta < 1$  and  $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ , where the entries of  $\mathbf{R}$  are independent standard normal random variables. Then if  $k = \Theta((d + \log(1/\delta))\varepsilon^2)$ , for any fixed  $n \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$   $l_2$ -subspace embedding, that is for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ .*

In fact, the number of rows of  $\mathbf{S}$  in Theorem 5 is optimal up to a constant factor.

Following the work of Gaussian matrix, there are lots of work to construct  $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix. Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform is raised up by Ailon and Chazelle

(2006). In the work of Ailon and Chazelle (2006), subspace embedding matrix constructed in  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is a diagonal matrix with i.i.d entries that  $\mathbf{D}_{i,i} = 1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{i,i} = -1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$  is a Hadamard matrix which can be applied to an  $n$ -dimension vector in  $O(n \log n)$  time complexity,  $\mathbf{P}$  is a  $k \times n$  coordinate sampling matrix. Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform can be applied to a vector in  $O(n \log n)$  time and to a  $n \times d$  matrix in  $O(nd \log n)$ . In the following theorem, we give a slightly different version of Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform called Subsample Randomized Hadamard Transform or SRHT for short. The work related to SRHT can be found in the work of (Ailon and Chazelle, 2006; Sarlos, 2006; Tropp, 2011; Ailon and Liberty, 2009).

**Theorem 6** *Matrix  $\mathbf{S} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{D}$ , where  $\mathbf{D}$  is an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with i.i.d entries that  $\mathbf{D}_{i,i} = 1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{i,i} = -1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$  is an  $n \times n$  Hadamard matrix,  $\mathbf{P}$  is a  $k \times n$  coordinate sampling matrix to choose  $k$  rows uniformly at random and without replacement, where*

$$k = \Omega(\varepsilon^{-2}(\log d)(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{\log n})^2)$$

*Then for any fixed  $n \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , with probability at least 0.99, such that,*

$$\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

*And for any vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$  can be computed in  $O(n \log k)$ .*

Besides the Fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform, to construct sparse subspace embedding matrix is an important research topic in subspace embedding (Clarkson and Woodruff, 2013; Kane and Nelson, 2014; Dasgupta et al., 2010). Clarkson and Woodruff (2013) constructed an oblivious  $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  such that  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}$  can be computed in  $O(nnz(\mathbf{A}))$ . Every column of  $\mathbf{S}$  only has one non-zero element which is uniformly randomly chosen from  $\{-1, 1\}$ , and the number of rows of  $\mathbf{S}$  is  $O(d^2/\varepsilon^2 \text{poly}(\log(d/\varepsilon)))$ . In the work of (Nelson and Nguyen, 2013; Meng and Mahoney, 2013), the number of rows of  $\mathbf{S}$  reduced to  $O(d^2/(\delta\varepsilon^2))$ .

**Theorem 7** *For any  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is the error parameter.  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse embedding matrix with  $O(d^2/(\delta\varepsilon^2))$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$   $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix for any fixed matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}$  can be computed in  $O(nnz(\mathbf{A}))$ .*

### 3. Matrix multiplication

Given matrices  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , it is well known that the complexity of computing  $\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{B}$  is  $O(mnp)$ . Approximate matrix product problem is to output a matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  that  $\|\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C}\| \leq \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{B}\|$  with  $o(mnp)$  time complexity. Much work (Drineas et al., 2006a; Clarkson and Woodruff, 2013; Drineas et al., 2011; Sarlos, 2006; Kane and Nelson, 2014) has been done to get  $o(mnp)$  computing complexity for matrix multiplication for  $\|\cdot\|_F$  norm. Besides results for  $\|\cdot\|_2$  norm were shown in the work of Magen and Zouzias (2011).

In this section, we give some results of  $\|\cdot\|_2$  norm based on JL moment property (Kane and Nelson, 2014) and stable rank.

First, we give the definition of JL moment property .

**Definition 8 (Kane and Nelson (2014))** A distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on matrices  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  has the  $(\varepsilon, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property if for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left| \|\mathbf{S}x\|_2^2 - 1 \right|^l \leq \varepsilon^l \delta \quad (2)$$

For convenience, sometimes we just write  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -JL moment property, omitting  $l$ . Using this definition, we prove the matrix multiplication result using spectral norm. First we give an important work of approximate matrix multiplication based on Frobenius norm.

**Theorem 9 (Kane and Nelson (2014))** For  $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1/2)$ , let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a distribution over the matrix with  $d$  columns that satisfies the  $(\varepsilon, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property for some  $l \geq 2$ . Then for  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  matrices each with  $d$  rows.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_F > 3\varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F] < \delta \quad (3)$$

Based on the above theorem, we give our result based on spectral norm.

**Theorem 10** For  $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $k_1, k_2$  are stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a distribution over the matrix with  $d$  columns that satisfies the  $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{k_1 k_2}, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property. Then for  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  matrices each with  $d$  rows.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 > 3\varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2] < \delta \quad (4)$$

**Proof** w.l.o.g, assuming that  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 = 1$ , it always holds that  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 \geq 3\varepsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_F \geq 3\varepsilon] \leq \delta$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_F \geq 3\varepsilon] &= \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_F \geq \frac{3\varepsilon}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F} \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_F \geq \frac{3\varepsilon}{\sqrt{k_1 k_2}} \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F] \end{aligned}$$

hence, when  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies the  $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{k_1 k_2}, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property, then  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 > 3\varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2] < \delta$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 11** For  $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $k$  is stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ . And let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a distribution over the matrix with  $d$  columns that satisfies the  $(\varepsilon/k, \delta)$ -JL moment property. Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}\|_2 > 3\varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2] < \delta$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}$  in Theorem 10, we get the result.  $\blacksquare$

Since JL moment property is very important for matrix product problem, now we give two lemmas describing how to construct matrices satisfying  $(\varepsilon, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property.

**Lemma 12 (Kane and Nelson (2014))**  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  is constructed based on a JL distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  over  $k \times d$ , that is for all  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$  and for all  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mathcal{D}}(|\|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - 1| > \varepsilon) < e^{-\Omega(\varepsilon^2 k + \varepsilon k)}$$

Then, any such distribution automatically satisfies the  $(\varepsilon, e^{-\Omega(\varepsilon^2 k + \varepsilon k)}, \min(\varepsilon^2 k, \varepsilon k))$ -JL moment property.

The following theorem describes the relation between sparse subspace embedding and JL moment property.

**Theorem 13 (Thorup and Zhang (2012))** If  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse embedding matrix with at least  $2/(\varepsilon^2 \delta)$  rows. Then  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies the  $(\varepsilon, \delta, 2)$ -JL moment property.

#### 4. Generalized Regression

The generalized regression problem based on spectral norm is

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_2$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are matrices rather than vectors. By multiplying a subspace embedding matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  which can guarantee regression accuracy, it makes the problem become

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}'} \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\|_2$$

The problem above is much easier than the original one if the dimension of  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}$  have much lower dimensions than  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ .

In this section, we give main condition and results for generalized regression in spectral norm. Similar work in Frobenius norm can be found in the work of Clarkson and Woodruff (2013); Drineas et al. (2011). It is important base work for the low rank approximation in spectral norm and also of independent interest.

**Lemma 14 (Woodruff (2014))** If  $\mathbf{X}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}\|_2$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{I}$ , then  $\mathbf{X}^*$  satisfies  $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{A}$

**Lemma 15** Given  $n \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $n \times d'$  matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  consider the regression problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d'}} \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{D}\|_2$$

Then  $\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{D}$  is a solution to this regression problem. Moreover,  $\mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}^* - \mathbf{D}) = 0$ , and

$$\|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{D}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^*)\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}^* - \mathbf{D}\|_2^2$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  is orthonormal basis for the column space of  $\mathbf{C}$ , then there exists  $\mathbf{Y}$  such that  $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}$ . Using Lemma 14,  $\mathbf{Y}^* = \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{D}$  is an solution since  $\mathbf{Y}^*$  has the property that  $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}^* = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{D}$ . Also  $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{D}$ , hence,  $\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{D}$  is a solution to this regression problem. ■

The following theorem gives the main result of generalized regression in spectral norm. There is a similar result for generalized regression in Frobenius norm (Clarkson and Woodruff, 2013).

**Theorem 16** *Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are matrices with  $n$  rows,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\dagger\mathbf{B}$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $t \times n$  matrix.  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(2r_1r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property and assume that the event in Theorem 10 occurs. And also that  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subspace embedding for  $\mathbf{A}$  with error parameter  $\epsilon_0 \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Then if  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is the solution to*

$$\min_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{B})\|_2$$

and  $\mathbf{Y}^*$  is the solution to

$$\min_{\mathbf{Y}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{B}\|_2$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{B}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* - \mathbf{B}\|_2$$

**Proof** Using Lemma 17 and Lemma 15,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{B}\|_2^2 &= \|\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* - \mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* - \mathbf{B}\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^*)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq (1 + 2\epsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* - \mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^2\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^* - \mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking square roots get the result. ■

**Lemma 17** *Suppose  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}^*$  as in Theorem 16, Then*

$$\|\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^*)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2\epsilon}\|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^*\|_2$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T$  be the thin SVD of  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^*) = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^*) = \mathbf{U}\Sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*)$ , where  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma/\delta(A)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \delta(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{V}^T\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^* = \delta(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{Y}^*$ , then  $\|\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\|_2 = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}^*$ . We first bound  $\|\beta\|_2$  where  $\beta \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*$ . We have

$$\Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{B}) = \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

To bound  $\|\beta\|_2$ , we bound  $\|\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\beta\|_2$ , and then show that this implies that  $\|\beta\|_2$  is small. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\beta &= \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*) \\ &= \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}\mathbf{U}\Sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*) + \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &= \Sigma_1\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{U}\Sigma_1\mathbf{X}^*) \end{aligned}$$



Using the fact that  $\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \mathbf{X}^*) = \delta(A) \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \beta\|_2 &= \|\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \mathbf{X}^*)\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\epsilon/2} \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1\|_2 \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 = \sqrt{\epsilon/2} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality holds is due to  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(2r_1 r_2)}, \delta, l)$ -JL moment property and Theorem 10. To show that this bound implies that  $\|\beta\|_2$  is small, we use the subadditivity and submultiplicity of  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta\|_2 &\leq \|\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \beta\|_2 + \|\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \beta - \beta\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\epsilon/2} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 + \|\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 - \mathbf{I}\|_2 \|\beta\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,  $\|\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon_0) \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$  for all  $x$ , so that  $\Sigma_1 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 - \mathbf{I}$  has eigenvalue bounded in magnitude by  $\epsilon_0^2$ . Thus  $\|\beta\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon/2} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 + \epsilon_0^2 \|\beta\|_2$ , or

$$\|\beta\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon/2} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 / (1 - \epsilon_0^2) \leq \sqrt{2\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2$$

since  $\epsilon_0^2 \leq 1/2$ . Using the submultiplicity of  $\|\cdot\|_2$  and  $\|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1\|_2 = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^*)\|_2 &= \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*)\|_2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1 \beta\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma_1\|_2 \|\beta\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2\epsilon} \|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}^*\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 16 gives an improved result in least square regression.

**Theorem 18** *Given matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with stable rank  $r$ , and error parameter  $\epsilon$  and probability parameter  $\delta$ . If  $\mathbf{S}$  has  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(2r)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property, then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , the solution*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}'} \|\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{S} b\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - b\| \quad (5)$$

**Proof** The result can be easily followed from Theorem 16. The stable rank of  $b - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^*$  is 1, hence,  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(2r)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property meet the need. ■

Theorem 18 is meaningful in real application. To the best of our knowledge, the previous best result is that  $\mathbf{S}$  has to satisfy  $(O(\sqrt{\epsilon/\tilde{r}}), \delta)$ -JL moment property where  $\tilde{r}$  is the rank of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . The time complexity to determine the rank of input matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $O(mn^2)$  which has the same time complexity to solving least square regression, hence, it is common to relax the rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\min(m, n)$ . However, the stable rank of input matrix can be computed quickly, because  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = \sum a_{i,j}^2$ , it can be computed in  $O(mn)$ . Besides,  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2$  can be computed by power method which also runs in  $O(mn)$ .

## 5. Low rank approximation

In this section we will give a low rank approximation algorithm in spectral norm. First, we give a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$  rank- $k$  approximation to  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  in the row space of  $\mathbf{SA}$  in spectral norm, where  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subspace embedding matrix. Next, a tighter bound for randomized SVD in the work of Halko et al. (2011) is proved. Then, a low rank approximation algorithm with respect to spectral norm is given that approximation  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  can be calculated in  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}) + (n + d)\text{poly}(r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 / \varepsilon))$  and  $\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$ . Finally, a fast SVD algorithm is given based on the low rank approximation algorithm. A similar result with respect to Frobenius norm can be found in the work of Clarkson and Woodruff (2013); Woodruff (2014).

In this section, we almost use gaussian subspace embedding matrix and sparse subspace embedding matrix. The number of rows of gaussian subspace embedding matrix is  $k = \Theta((d + \log(1/\delta))\varepsilon^2)$ , hence the failure probability can be set an arbitrary small constant, by Theorem 5. Besides, for sparse subspace embedding matrix, the failure rate can be reduced to arbitrary small using the method in Liang et al. (2014). Hence, in this section, we sometimes use with high probability for convenience instead of writing down the success probability of random algorithm.

**Lemma 19** *Let  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$  approximates matrix products as in Theorem 10 and is subspace embedding with error  $\varepsilon$  and failure probability  $\delta_{\mathbf{S}}$ .  $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1 \times k}$  approximates matrix products and is subspace embedding with error  $\varepsilon$  and failure probability  $\delta_{\Pi}$ , Then  $\Pi\mathbf{S}$  approximate matrix products with error  $O(\varepsilon)$  and failure probability is at most  $\delta_{\mathbf{S}} + \delta_{\Pi}$ .*

**Proof** Using Theorem 10 and  $\mathbf{S}$  has the property that  $\|\mathbf{SA}\|_2 = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{SAx}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\|_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \Pi^T \Pi \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \Pi^T \Pi \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B}\|_2 + \|\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}\|_2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\mathbf{SA}\|_2 \|\mathbf{SB}\|_2 + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \\ & \leq \varepsilon (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \\ & = O(\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 20** *Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be an  $l_2$ -subspace embedding for any fixed  $k$  dimensional subspace  $\mathbf{M}$  with probability at least  $\delta$ . And  $\varepsilon_0$  is the error parameter, so that  $\|\mathbf{S}y\|_2 = (1 \pm \varepsilon_0)\|y\|_2$  for all  $y \in \mathbf{M}$ . For any fixed matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \Sigma_k \mathbf{V}_k^T$  is the best rank  $k$  approximation matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k$ . Besides,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$  respectively. If  $\mathbf{S}$  also has the  $((1 - \varepsilon_0)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon / (r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property, then the row space of  $\mathbf{SA}$  contains a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$  rank- $k$  approximation to  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e.*

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2$$

**Proof** Consider the quantity

$$\|(\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \quad (6)$$

The goal is to show quantity 6 is at most  $(1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$ . Note that this implies the lemma, since  $\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}$  is a rank- $k$  matrix inside of the rowspace of  $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k - (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 + \|(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows that  $(\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k)^T (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k) = 0$ . It is sufficient to show  $\|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 = O(\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2$ . And  $(\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) = \mathbf{I}$ , since  $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$  is of full column rank.  $\mathbf{S}$  is an  $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix for  $k$ -dimension space, hence,  $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$  has the same rank with  $\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$  which is of full column rank.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{V}_k^T + \mathbf{A}_{d/k}) - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{V}_k^T + \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}_{d/k} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}_{d/k}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that if  $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k$  has full column rank then  $(\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger = ((\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^{-1} (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^T \mathbf{S}^T$ . And  $\mathbf{S}$  is an  $l_2$ -subspace embedding matrix with parameters  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\delta$ , hence, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\|\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\|_2 = (1 \pm \varepsilon_0)\|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\|_2$ . So,  $\|((\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^{-1}\|_2 \leq 1/((1 - \varepsilon_0)\|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\|_2)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^\dagger \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}_{d/k}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{((1 - \varepsilon_0)\|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\|_2)^4} \cdot \|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k (\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k)\|_2^2 \quad (7) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{((1 - \varepsilon_0)\|\mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\|_2)^4} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon_0)^4 \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^2\|_2^2 \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 \\ &= \varepsilon \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Combine Theorem 10,  $\mathbf{S}$  must have  $((1 - \varepsilon_0)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon/(r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. ■

Theorem 20 has close relation to the work of Halko et al. (2011), where matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  multiplies a gaussian matrix or Subsample Randomized Hadamard Transform matrix to realize dimension reduction. In the following work, we will give a concise proof with tighter bound related to stable rank where subspace embedding matrix is  $\mathbf{S}$  is a gaussian matrix.

**Theorem 21** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,  $k$  is the target rank.  $\varepsilon < 1$  is the error parameter. And  $\varepsilon_0 < 1$  is the error parameter for subspace embedding. And  $\delta < 1$  is failure rate.  $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k \mathbf{V}_k^T$  is the best rank  $k$  approximation matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k$ . Besides,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$  respectively. Let  $l = \Omega((r_1 r_2 \log(1/\delta))/\varepsilon)$ .  $\mathbf{S}$  is a gaussian subspace embedding matrix which has  $l$  rows, then with probability at least  $\delta$*

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$$

**Proof** This theorem is immediately following Theorem 20. Due to Theorem 4 and Lemma 12,  $((1 - \epsilon_0)^2 \sqrt{\epsilon/(r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property has  $\exp(-\Omega(\frac{\epsilon}{r_1 r_2} l)) = \delta$ , hence  $l = \Omega((r_1 r_2 \log(1/\delta))/\epsilon)$ .  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 21 is meaningful because in application, matrix almost has low stable rank. Besides, the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$  is smaller than  $\mathbf{A}_k$ . As we can see, if  $\mathbf{S}$  has  $((1 - \epsilon_0)^2 \sqrt{\epsilon/(r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property, randomized SVD constructed by  $\mathbf{S}$  shares the same the upper bound to gaussian matrix in Theorem 21. For example, if the input matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is sparse, then a sparse embedding matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  is better than gaussian matrix sine  $\mathbf{SA}$  can be computed very fast.

**Corollary 22** *Given matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{U}_k \Sigma_k \mathbf{V}_k^T$  is the best rank  $k$  approximation matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k$ . Besides,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$  respectively. If  $\mathbf{S}$  is a sparse embedding matrix described in Theorem 7, with  $l = O(\epsilon/(r_1 r_2))$  rows, then with high probability*

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$$

and  $\mathbf{SA}$  can be computed in  $\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A})$ .

**Proof** The result directly follows Theorem 20 and Theorem 13.  $\blacksquare$

As we can see, beyond a gaussian matrix or Subsample Randomized Hadamard Transform matrix, any matrix with subspace embedding property can be used to construct randomized SVD algorithm. And it is better to choose the subspace embedding matrix according to the structure of the input matrix, for example, if the input matrix is sparse, then a sparse subspace embedding matrix is a good choice.

**Remark 23** *The SRHT case is almost the same to the gaussian case except the number of rows of SRHT matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $l = \Omega(r_1 r_2 \log(nd)/\epsilon)$  to satisfy  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(r_1 r_2)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property which proved by Drineas et al. (2011).*

Now, we give our result of low rank matrix approximation.

**Theorem 24** *Given  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $r_1$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ . And  $r_2$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a matrix satisfies the property described in Theorem 20.  $r_3$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{SA}$ . And  $r_4$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{SA})^\dagger \mathbf{SA}$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be a  $(1 \pm \sqrt{1/2})$ -approximation  $l_2$ -subspace embedding for the row space of  $\mathbf{SA}$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  has  $(\sqrt{\epsilon/(2r_3 r_4)}, \delta)$ -JL moment property. Then*

$$\|\mathbf{AR}^T(\mathbf{SAR}^T)^\dagger \mathbf{SA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \quad (8)$$

**Proof** Theorem 20 implies that

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \quad (9)$$

One minimizer of problem  $\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSAR}^T - \mathbf{AR}^T\|_2$  is  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{AR}^T(\mathbf{SAR}^T)^\dagger$ . Using Theorem 16, we have

$$\|\mathbf{AR}^T(\mathbf{SAR}^T)^\dagger \mathbf{SA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$$

which implies the equation 8. ■

Now we give a fast low rank approximation algorithm, the running time depends input sparsity and distribution of singular values.

**Theorem 25** *For  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , given  $k$ , and  $\varepsilon$ ,  $r_1$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ . And  $r_2$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A}_{d/k}$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be sparse subspace embedding matrix satisfying the property described in Theorem 20.  $r_3$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{SA}$ . And  $r_4$  is the stable rank of  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{SA})^\dagger \mathbf{SA}$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be a sparse  $(1 \pm \sqrt{1/2})$ -approximation  $l_2$ -subspace embedding for the row space of  $\mathbf{SA}$ .  $\mathbf{R}$  has  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/(2r_3r_4), \delta)$ -JL moment property. Then there is an algorithm that computes an approximate SVD that finds  $\mathbf{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}$ , such that  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{LDW}^T\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , and the factorization can be computed in  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}) + (n + d)\text{poly}(r_1r_2r_3r_4/\varepsilon))$ .*

**Proof** Now, we give the approximate SVD algorithm in following,

1. Compute QR decomposition of  $\mathbf{SA}$  in rowspace, and get  $\mathbf{V}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{S}$  has the property as the one in Theorem 24.
2. Compute  $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  has the property as the one in Theorem 24.
3. Compute SVD  $\mathbf{LDW}_1^T$  of  $\mathbf{AR}^T (\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T)^\dagger$
4. Return  $\mathbf{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{VW}_1$

To satisfy  $((1 - \varepsilon_0)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}/(r_1r_2), \delta)$ -JL moment property in and  $\varepsilon_0$  is a const, hence  $\mathbf{S}$  must have  $O(r_1r_2/\varepsilon)$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{R}$  must have  $O(r_3r_4/\varepsilon)$ . The computation time of  $\mathbf{SA}$  is  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}))$  and that of  $\mathbf{AR}^T$  is  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}))$ . Computing QR decomposition of  $\mathbf{SA}$  costs  $O(d(r_1r_2/\varepsilon)^2)$  time. Computing  $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T$  costs  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{V}))$  time and pseudo inverse of  $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T$  costs

$$\min(O((r_1r_2)^2 r_3r_4/\varepsilon^3), O(r_1r_2(r_3r_4)^2/\varepsilon^3))$$

Computing SVD of  $\mathbf{AR}^T (\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T)^\dagger$  costs  $O(n(r_1r_2/\varepsilon)^2)$  and the cost of computing  $\mathbf{VW}_1$  is  $O(dr_1r_2r_3r_4/\varepsilon^2)$ . Hence all the cost of algorithm is  $O(\text{nnz}(\mathbf{A}) + (n + d)\text{poly}(r_1r_2r_3r_4/\varepsilon))$ . Next, we prove the correctness of the approximate SVD algorithm. Theorem 20 guarantees that

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \quad (10)$$

Let  $\mathbf{SA} = \mathbf{PV}^T$  is the QR decomposition of  $\mathbf{SA}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{XP}$ , then Equation 10 can be transform to

$$\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{V}^T - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \quad (11)$$

By Lemma 14,

$$\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T - \mathbf{AR}^T\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \min_{\mathbf{X}} \|\mathbf{XSA} - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \quad (12)$$

$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^* = \mathbf{AR}^T (\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T)^\dagger = \arg\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T - \mathbf{AR}^T\|_2$ , and  $\mathbf{LDW}_1^T$  is the SVD of  $\mathbf{AR}^T (\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{R}^T)^\dagger$ , hence  $\|\mathbf{LDW}^T - \mathbf{A}\|_2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^* \mathbf{V}^T - \mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2$  ■

## Acknowledgments

We thank a bunch of people.

## References

- Nir Ailon and Bernard Chazelle. Approximate nearest neighbors and the fast johnson-lindenstrauss transform. In *Proceedings of the thirty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 557–563. ACM, 2006.
- Nir Ailon and Edo Liberty. Fast dimension reduction using rademacher series on dual bch codes. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 42(4):615–630, 2009.
- Yossi Azar, Amos Fiat, Anna Karlin, Frank McSherry, and Jared Saia. Spectral analysis of data. In *Proceedings of the thirty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 619–626. ACM, 2001.
- Christos Boutsidis, Petros Drineas, and Malik Magdon-Ismael. Near-optimal column-based matrix reconstruction. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 43(2):687–717, 2014.
- Kenneth L Clarkson and David P Woodruff. Low rank approximation and regression in input sparsity time. In *Proceedings of the forty-fifth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 81–90. ACM, 2013.
- Edith Cohen and David D Lewis. Approximating matrix multiplication for pattern recognition tasks. *Journal of Algorithms*, 30(2):211–252, 1999.
- Anirban Dasgupta, Ravi Kumar, and Tamás Sarlós. A sparse johnson: Lindenstrauss transform. In *Proceedings of the forty-second ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 341–350. ACM, 2010.
- Petros Drineas and Michael W. Mahoney. Approximating a gram matrix for improved kernel-based learning. In Peter Auer and Ron Meir, editors, *COLT*, volume 3559 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 323–337. Springer, 2005. ISBN 3-540-26556-2.
- Petros Drineas, Ravi Kannan, and Michael W Mahoney. Fast monte carlo algorithms for matrices i: Approximating matrix multiplication. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 36(1):132–157, 2006a.
- Petros Drineas, Michael W. Mahoney, and S. Muthukrishnan. Sampling algorithms for l2 regression and applications. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithm*, SODA '06, pages 1127–1136, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2006b. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. ISBN 0-89871-605-5.
- Petros Drineas, Michael W Mahoney, S Muthukrishnan, and Tamás Sarlós. Faster least squares approximation. *Numerische Mathematik*, 117(2):219–249, 2011.

- Dan Feldman, Melanie Schmidt, and Christian Sohler. Turning big data into tiny data: Constant-size coresets for k-means, pca and projective clustering. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1434–1453. SIAM, 2013.
- Gene H Golub and Charles F Van Loan. *Matrix computations*, volume 3. JHU Press, 2012.
- Nathan Halko, Per-Gunnar Martinsson, and Joel A Tropp. Finding structure with randomness: Probabilistic algorithms for constructing approximate matrix decompositions. *SIAM review*, 53(2):217–288, 2011.
- Daniel M Kane and Jelani Nelson. Sparser johnson-lindenstrauss transforms. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 61(1):4, 2014.
- Yingyu Liang, Maria-Florina F Balcan, Vandana Kanchanapally, and David Woodruff. Improved distributed principal component analysis. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 3113–3121, 2014.
- Avner Magen and Anastasios Zouzias. Low rank matrix-valued chernoff bounds and approximate matrix multiplication. In *Proceedings of the twenty-second annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1422–1436. SIAM, 2011.
- Per-Gunnar Martinsson, Vladimir Rokhlin, and Mark Tygert. A randomized algorithm for the decomposition of matrices. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 30(1): 47–68, 2011.
- Xiangrui Meng and Michael W Mahoney. Low-distortion subspace embeddings in input-sparsity time and applications to robust linear regression. In *Proceedings of the forty-fifth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 91–100. ACM, 2013.
- Jelani Nelson and Huy L Nguyễn. Osnap: Faster numerical linear algebra algorithms via sparser subspace embeddings. In *Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), 2013 IEEE 54th Annual Symposium on*, pages 117–126. IEEE, 2013.
- Christos H Papadimitriou, Hisao Tamaki, Prabhakar Raghavan, and Santosh Vempala. Latent semantic indexing: A probabilistic analysis. In *Proceedings of the seventeenth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems*, pages 159–168. ACM, 1998.
- Tamas Sarlos. Improved approximation algorithms for large matrices via random projections. In *Foundations of Computer Science, 2006. FOCS'06. 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on*, pages 143–152. IEEE, 2006.
- Mikkel Thorup and Yin Zhang. Tabulation-based 5-independent hashing with applications to linear probing and second moment estimation. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 41(2): 293–331, 2012.
- Joel A Tropp. Improved analysis of the subsampled randomized hadamard transform. *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis*, 3(01n02):115–126, 2011.

David P Woodruff. Sketching as a tool for numerical linear algebra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.4357*, 2014.

Franco Woolfe, Edo Liberty, Vladimir Rokhlin, and Mark Tygert. A fast randomized algorithm for the approximation of matrices. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 25(3):335–366, 2008.