

# **A topological perspective into the sequence and conformational space of proteins**

K.Silpaja Chandrasekar and M.V Sangaranarayanan\*

Department of Chemistry

Indian Institute of Technology-Madras Chennai-600036 India

## **Abstract**

The precise sequence of aminoacids plays a central role in the tertiary structure of proteins and their functional properties. The Hydrophobic-Polar lattice models have provided valuable insights regarding the energy landscape. We demonstrate here the isomorphism between the protein sequences and designable structures for two and three dimensional lattice proteins of very long aminoacid chains using exact enumerations and intuitive considerations. It is customarily thought that computations of this magnitude will require ‘million years’ with the present day computers. We emphasize that the topological arrangement of the aminoacid residues alone is adequate to deduce the designable and non-designable sequences without explicit recourse to energetics and degeneracies. The results indicate the computational feasibility of realistic lattice models for proteins in two and three dimensions and imply that the fundamental principle underlying the designing of structures is the connectivity of the hydrophobic and polar residues.

\*E-mail: [sangara@iitm.ac.in](mailto:sangara@iitm.ac.in)

## 1. Introduction

The prediction of tertiary structures of proteins from the constituent aminoacid sequences constitutes a major challenging problem in science, on account of its complexity and importance<sup>1</sup>. Despite considerable efforts in unraveling the mysterious connection between the native structures of proteins and their most stable sequences, the mechanism underlying the energy landscape is not yet clear<sup>2</sup>. However, valuable insights have hitherto been gathered from minimalistic lattice models of proteins and simple fundamental rules seem to govern the native structures. The highly popular Hydrophobic-Polar (HP) model<sup>3</sup> for a chain of  $N$  aminoacid residues (or nodes) has provided information regarding the designable protein sequences *vis a vis* conformations for two and three dimensional lattices of moderate chain lengths. The lattice models provide a basis for comprehending the ground state of the native structures of proteins if systematically and exhaustively analyzed. The exact enumeration has been recognized as an NP hard problem<sup>4</sup> which restricts the conformational analysis to chains of small lengths. Further, the tertiary structures of proteins is dictated solely by the HP sequence<sup>5</sup> and a recent *experimental* evidence highlights the importance of the sequence alignment using a protein-like polymer sequence for the coil to globule transition<sup>6</sup>. It is therefore imperative to carry out an *exact* sequence-conformation mapping from a new perspective for fairly long aminoacid chain lengths. The applicability of spin glass models to coil to globule transitions has also been demonstrated<sup>7</sup>. In the case of protein sequences, the *energies and degeneracies* of  $2^N$  states need to be computed for identifying the native states. This can be carried out either using Monte Carlo simulations<sup>8</sup> or graph theoretical procedures<sup>9</sup>.

Here, we report a new method of comprehending the conformational and sequence spaces of proteins for typical two and three dimensional lattices within a *modified* HP model framework, the chain lengths considered here for exact analysis being noticeably very large. In contrast to the hitherto-known lattice models which emphasize the pairwise interaction energies of various contacts, the present analysis demonstrates that the mere enumeration of the topological arrangements

is adequate to decipher the native sequences and conformations. While the number of hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts are exactly enumerated here for two-dimensional square lattices (with aminoacid chain lengths  $N = 64, 144$  and  $256$ ) and cubic lattices (with  $N = 27$  and  $64$ ), the *most designable and non-designable sequences* are deduced for  $N = 16$ ;  $N = 25$  and  $N = 36$  in two dimensions and for  $N = 27$  and  $N = 64$  in three dimensions.

### **Enumeration of topological arrangement of protein sequences**

The enumeration of the black-white edges  $\{A(p,q)\}$  for large lattice sizes in two and three dimensions is a challenging task and plays a central role in the calculation of the associated partition functions<sup>10</sup>. When this counting is accomplished, the number of ways of obtaining different hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts becomes available for all compositions of proteins. Hence a high level of discrimination among the protein sequences arises in this approach due to the exact counting of the hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts. As is well-known, different compositions lead to dissimilar sequences<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, the exact analysis pertaining to long chains is essential in order to investigate the cooperative phenomena<sup>12</sup>.

#### **(A) Square lattice**

Elsewhere, the counting of the black-white edges for the estimation of the partition function of two-dimensional nearest neighbor Ising models has been reported in the case of a square lattice of 36 sites<sup>13</sup>. We have carried out here this enumeration for the chain lengths ( $N = 64$ ; 144 and 256) for each composition of the protein using the *complete sequence space* ( $2^N$ ) and Fig 1 depicts the dependence of  $A(p,q)$  on the fraction of the hydrophobic residues ( $p/N$ ) and H-P contacts ( $q/N$ ) for a square lattice of 64 sites, the numbers being ferreted out from the exact enumeration. There is a symmetry in the entries of  $A(p, q)$  at the midpoint viz  $p = N/2$  indicating that the labeling of the H and P residues is interchangeable. The total number of the arrangements ( $\sum A(p,q)$ ) is maximum when the ratio of hydrophobic to polar residues is unity (i.e.  $p = N/2$ ) whatever be the chain length and it is well known that unique optimum embedding occurs when the H/P ratio is nearly unity<sup>14</sup>. When  $N$  is odd, the frequency of

occurrence is maximum at  $p=(N-1)/2$ . It is inferred that for a square lattice of 16 amino acid chains, when the number of hydrophobic residues ( $p$ ) is 12, five different arrangements are possible with  $q = 8;10;12;14$  and  $16$ , consistent with an earlier analysis<sup>15</sup>.

### **(B) Simple cubic lattice**

While the methodology for simple cubic lattices is identical as for square lattices, the maximum value of  $N$  was fixed as 64 and Fig 1b depicts the variation of  $A(p, q)$  with the fraction of the hydrophobic residues ( $p/N$ ) and H-P contacts ( $q/N$ ) for a simple cubic lattice of 64 sites, as an illustration.

### **Identification of favorable protein sequences**

In the original HP model<sup>3</sup>, the origin of the native structures consists in the favorable negative interaction energy between H-H contacts ( $E_{H-H}$ ) while the H-P ( $E_{H-P}$ ) and P-P ( $E_{P-P}$ ) energies were assigned zero. Subsequently, other parametrization schemes for the interaction energies were envisaged<sup>16-18</sup>. An alternate method advocated in dimensionless units<sup>19</sup> is the following:  $E_{H-H} = -2.3$ ;  $E_{H-P} = -1$  and  $E_{P-P} = 0$ . Thus, the elucidation of the precise energetic factors which control the design of protein sequences is a non-trivial endeavor.

### **(A) Square lattice**

For any fraction of the hydrophobic residues ( $p/N$ ), the arrangements with the least number of H-P contacts can be deciphered. This number represents the upper limit of the H-P contacts for any favorable sequence. If the value of  $p$  is chosen as  $N/2$  for brevity,  $q \geq 8$  (for  $N=16$ ),  $q \geq 12$  (for  $N=36$ ),  $q \geq 16$  (for  $N=64$ ),  $q \geq 20$  (for  $N=144$ ) and  $q \geq 24$  (for  $N=256$ ) are considered as non-favorable. This assumption is consistent with the fact that an alternating sequence of polar and non-polar amino acids hinders protein folding<sup>20</sup>. Typically, in the case of 16-residue amino acids, the total number of sequences is 12870 when  $p=8$  and hence the percentage of favorable sequences is 0.062. While the total number of sequences (viz 12,870) can easily be obtained using the binomial theorem, the precise manner in which these 12,870 sequences comprise different types of black-white edges is required for identifying the favorable and non-

favorable sequences. The identification of such favorable sequences is feasible for all compositions of proteins till  $N=256$  for square lattices.

### **(B)Simple cubic lattice**

As in the above square lattice case, the arrangements wherein  $q \geq 14$  (for  $N=3^3$ ) and  $q \geq 18$  (for  $N=4^3$ ), are considered *non-favorable sequences* for respective  $p/N$  values of  $13/27$  and  $32/64$ . We emphasize that the enumeration of the hydrophobic-polar contacts is essentially a preliminary step, since the designable sequences should take into account, (i) the self-avoiding nature; (ii) the hydrophobic-polar ratio and (iii) the connectivity of the favorable sequence(s). This *inter alia* requires the enumeration of the conformations in two and three dimensions, confined to the lattice.

### **Enumeration of all conformations**

The conformations of lattice proteins are often analyzed using two or three dimensional self-avoiding random walks<sup>21</sup> and these estimates are essential to deduce the percentage of designable conformations. The composition of the lattice protein is given by  $p$  while  $q$  is a measure of the topological contact; hence the designable sequences need to be encoded, consistent with the favorable sequence(s).

### **(A)Square lattice**

We have enumerated the total number of conformations for aminoacid residues ranging from  $N=4$  to  $N=51$  (Table 1). While the conformations for  $N$  till 25 have been enumerated earlier<sup>22</sup>, those for  $N > 25$ , have been provided here for the first time. However, the scaling arguments using critical exponents can yield estimates till  $N=71$  by extrapolation<sup>23</sup>.

### **(B)Simple cubic lattice**

We have enumerated the number of conformations for  $N$  ranging from 4 to 40 in the case of simple cubic lattices (Table 2). The hitherto-known estimates<sup>24</sup> till  $N=36$  have been reproduced apart from new exact values till  $N=40$  here.

### **Enumeration of the Designable and Non-designable Sequences**

The foregoing analysis indicates a method of identifying favorable and non-favorable sequences for square and simple cubic

lattices, employing the information on H-P contacts. A given structure can in principle be designed from a large number of *designing sequences* ( $S_N$ ) and  $D_N$  denotes the number of *designable conformations* for chains of length  $N$ .

### (A) Square lattice

We establish here (Methods Section), the precise isomorphism between the favorable sequence (identified from the least number of black-white edges  $q_{\min}$ ) and the designing sequence (enumerated from the constraint  $\{q \leq (q_{\min} - 1)\}$ ). These values computed here (for  $p/N = 0.5$ ) solely from the topological contacts, are entirely in agreement with the hitherto-known values till  $N = 25$  ) while  $S_N$  for  $N > 25$  are provided here for the first time (Table 3). Hence it follows that all the hitherto-known values of  $S_N$  pertain to the H/P ratio of unity with the earlier-mentioned constraint on the topological contacts  $\{q \leq (q_{\min} - 1)\}$  being implicitly present and it is of interest to enquire the influence of H/P ratio on these. As anticipated, the maximum value of  $S_N$  occurs when H/P =1. From  $S_N$ , the *most designable sequences* (Table 4) are further deciphered by invoking the ‘adjacent sites’ assumption (Methods Section) and the flowchart shown in Fig 2 illustrates the *modus operandi* involved in the elucidation for  $N = 36$ . The other structures are designated as less designable. We note that the optimal structure for  $N = 36$  earlier deduced using a deterministic optimization approach<sup>25</sup> and a systematic designability criterion within a modified HP model<sup>26</sup> is consistent with the ‘adjacent sites’ assumption invoked here. A combined approach using genetic algorithm in conjunction with Taguchi method has also been studied<sup>27</sup> for  $N=64$ . Figs 3, 4 and 5 depict respectively the most designable sequences for  $N=16$ ,  $N=25$  and  $N=36$ . Figs 6, 7 and 8 depict respectively the non - designable sequences for  $N=16$ ,  $N=25$  and  $N=36$ .

### (B) Simple cubic lattice

The *non-favorable* sequences are those having H-P contacts  $\geq q_{\min}$  for any chosen hydrophobic ratio. In view of the lattice coordination number being six for simple cubic lattices, the earlier *ansatz* of estimating the self-avoiding walks with  $q \leq (q_{\min} - 1)$  is not valid for obtaining the designing sequences. Since protein folding is predominantly associated

with the hydrophobic phenomena it is appropriate to search for the least number of H-P contacts whereby the natural choices for  $q_{\min}$  are zero and unity for any composition of the protein. These values computed using self-avoiding walks under the constraint  $q \leq 1$  (for  $p/N = 0.5$ ) enumerated here (Table 5) solely from the H-P contacts, are entirely in agreement<sup>28</sup> with the hitherto-available values (till  $N=19$ ). However,  $S_N$  for *larger* aminoacid chain lengths ( $N > 19$ ) have been reported here for the first time. The total number of designable sequences for  $4*4*4$  lattice is 389 and the flow chart in Fig 2 depicts the methodology for deducing the most designable sequences. A Monte Carlo simulation incorporating the solvent-aminoacid interaction energies has been investigated for calculating the folding time and melting temperatures<sup>29</sup> restricting the analysis to 27 aminoacid chains. In a hybrid method involving genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization, the local search method with six internal coordinates has been invoked<sup>30</sup>. The influence of H/P ratio on  $S_N$  can also be deciphered as before and its value is maximum when the fraction of the hydrophobic residues is  $1/2$ . Figs 9 and 10 depict respectively the most designable sequences for  $N=27$  and  $N=64$ . Table 6 provides the partitioning of  $S_N$  into the most designable and less designable sequences for  $N$  ranging from 4 to 27 and for  $N=64$ . Figs 11 and 12 illustrate respectively typical non - designable sequences for  $N=27$  and  $N=64$ .

The foregoing analysis indicates that the precise counting of the topological contacts between the hydrophobic and polar residues for any lattice proteins is adequate to decipher their designable and non-designable sequences in two and three dimensions. Thus, the connectivity dictates the most designable protein structure as has been noted earlier<sup>31</sup>. The number of designable sequences is intimately linked to the composition of the proteins. Hence the hitherto-limited success in the protein folding problem may be not due to the limitation associated with the exhaustive searching of the sequence and conformational energy space.

While the final test regarding the accuracy of predicting protein structures from the constituent aminoacid sequences requires the analysis

of the actual database<sup>32</sup>, the method propounded here indicates the computational feasibility of lattice proteins as well as the existence of a general robust practical method for exactly enumerating the designable and non-designable sequences.

### **Methods**

We assume that a given protein consists of various compositions of hydrophobic (H) and polar (P) residues, spanning a lattice of  $N$  sites as in the original H-P model<sup>3</sup>; however, no pair-wise interaction energies have been explicitly invoked here. We consider here two-dimensional square and three-dimensional simple cubic lattices. Either a hydrophobic (designated as ‘black’) or hydrophilic part (denoted as ‘white’) occupies each vertex. The symbols ‘ $p$ ’ and ‘ $q$ ’ denote respectively the number of the hydrophobic residues and hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts (black-white edges). The combinatorial problem consists in counting all the arrangements of the black-white edges viz  $\{A(p, q)\}$ . In order to facilitate extrapolation to infinite sites, periodic boundary conditions are assumed as is customary in statistical physics<sup>33</sup>, Monte Carlo simulation<sup>34</sup> and protein folding<sup>35</sup>. This enumeration yields all the hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts  $\{A(p, q)\}$  when the fraction of the hydrophobic residues ( $p/N$ ) varies from 0 to 1. We have adopted a multi-step strategy to deduce the interdependence of sequences and conformations of proteins. Firstly, we enumerate exactly all the hydrophobic-hydrophilic contacts in lattice proteins of various compositions and this yields the topological alignment of sequences and their statistical weights. Secondly, we identify the most favorable sequence *vis a vis* native state of proteins for any given hydrophobic to polar ratio by identifying the number of arrangements with the least number of black-white edges ( $q_{\min}$ ). The sequences wherein  $q \geq q_{\min}$  are considered *non-favorable* for a chosen  $p/N$  value. Thirdly, for this *composition*, we compute the number of designing sequences ( $S_N$ ) by counting all the self-avoiding walks for the H-P contacts when (A)  $q \leq q_{\min} - 1$  for square and (B)  $q \leq 1$  for simple cubic lattices. Fourthly, we infer the most designable and less designable sequences for square lattices by postulating that the starting and destination sites should be either on the same row or column (‘adjacent’ arrangements) This ‘adjacent’



arrangement has the highest frequency of occurrence. The identification of the most designable sequences in simple cubic lattices is more involved due to the coordination number of the lattice being six; hence we follow a different approach wherein six different structures designated as  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $p_4$ ,  $p_5$  and  $p_6$  have been invoked.

### **Acknowledgements**

The financial support by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India is gratefully acknowledged.


## References

1. Baker, D. A surprising simplicity to protein folding. *Nature* **405**, 49 – 42(2000).
2. Dobson, C.M. Protein folding and misfolding. *Nature* **426**,884-890(2003).
3. Kit Fun Lau & Dill, K.A. A Lattice Statistical Mechanics Model of the Conformational and Sequence Spaces of Proteins. *Macromolecules* **22**, 3986-3997(1989).
4. Paterson, M., & Przytycka, T. On the complexity of string folding. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **71**, 217-230 (1996).
5. Kaizhi Yue, Fiebig, K.M., Thomas, D.P., Hue Sun Chan., Shakhnovich, E.I., & Dill, K.A. *Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci.* **92**,325-329 (1995).
6. Murnen, H.K., Khokhlov, A. R., Khalatur, P.G., Segalman, R.A., & Zuckermann, R.N. Impact of Hydrophobic Sequence Patterning on the Coil-to-Globule Transition of Protein-like Polymers. *Macromolecules* **45**, 5229-5236(2012).
7. Bryngelson J.D., & Wolynes, P Spin glasses and the statistical mechanics of protein folding *Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci.* **84**, 7524-7528(1987).
8. Liang, F., & Wong, W.H. Evolutionary Monte Carlo for protein folding simulations. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **115**, (2001).
9. Paola, L.Di., ruva, M.De., Paci, P., Santoni, D., & Giuliani, A. Protein Contact Networks: An Emerging Paradigm in Chemistry. *Chemical Reviews* **113**, 1598-1613(2012).
10. Finch, S.R. *Mathematical Constants* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
11. Hue Sun Chan & Dill, K. A. “Sequence space soup” of proteins and copolymers. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **95**, 3775-3786(1991).
12. Karplus, M ., & Shakhnovich, E. in *protein folding* Creighton, T.E. Ed( W.H. Freeman and Company New York, 1992).
13. Nandhini , G., Vinoth Kumar , M., & Sangaranarayanan ,M.V . Estimation of Magnetization , Susceptibility and Specific heat for the Two-dimensional Ising Model in a Non-zero Magnetic field .*arXiv.org* **1006.0351** -0405(2010).
14. Aichholzer, O., Bremner, D., Demaine, E.D., Meijer, H., Sacristan, V., & Soss, M. Long proteins with unique optimal folding in the H-P model. *Computational Geometry* **25**,139-159 (2003).
15. Chikenji, G ., Fujitsuka, Y., & Takada, Y. Shaping up the protein folding funnel by local interaction: Lesson from a structure prediction study. *Proc.Natl.Acad. Sci.* **103**, 3141-3146 (2006).
16. Ejtehadi, M.R., Hamedani,N., Seyed-Allaei, H., Shahrezaei, V., & Yahyanejad, M . Stability of preferable structures for a hydrophobic-polar model of protein folding. *Physical Review E* **57** , 3298- 3301(1997).
17. Miyazawa, S., & Jernigan, R.L. Residue- Residue with a Favorable Contact Pair Term and an Unfavorable High Packing Density Term , for Simulation and Threading. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **256** ,623-644(1996).


18. Crippé, G.M., & Chhajter, M . Lattice models of protein folding permitting disordered native states. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **116** , 2261-2268(2002).
19. Li, H., Helling, R ., Tang, C & Wingren, N . Emergence of preferred Structures in a simple model of Protein Folding Science. *Science* **273** , 666-669(1996).
20. Broome, B.M., & Hecht, M.H . Nature disfavors sequence of Alternating Polar and Non-polar aminoacids: Implications for amyloid genesis. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **296** ,961-968(2000).
21. Baaquie, B.E & Willeboordsee, F.H . *Exploring Integrated Science* CRC Press (2010).
22. Irback, A., & Troein, C. Enumerating Designing Sequences in the HP Model. *Journal of Biological Physics* **28** , 1-15(2002).
23. Jensen, I. Enumeration of self-avoiding walks on the square lattice. *Journal of Physics A* **37**, 5503-5524(2004).
24. Schram, R.D., Barkema, G.T., & Bisseling, R.H. Exact enumeration of self – avoiding walks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics Theory and Experiment* pp.06019 (2011).
25. Koh, S.K., Ananthasuresh, G.K., & Vishveshwara, S. A deterministic optimization approach to protein sequence design using Continuous models. *International Journal of Robotics Research* **24** ,109-130(2005).
26. Li ,H., Helling, R., Tang, C., & Wingreen, N. Emergence of preferred structures in a simple model of protein folding. *Science* **273**,666(1996).
27. Lin, C.J., & Hsieh, M.H . An efficient hybrid Taguchi-genetic algorithm for protein folding simulation. *Expert systems with applications* **36**, 12446-12453(2009).
28. Schiemann, R ., Bachmann, M ., & Janke, W. Exact sequence analysis of three-dimensional lattice proteins. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **122**, 114705(2005).
29. Leonhard, K., Prausnitz , J.M., & Radke, C.J. Solvent-amino acid interaction energies in three-dimensional-lattice Monte Carlo simulations of a model 27-mer protein : Folding thermodynamics and kinetics. *Protein Science* **13**,358-369(2004).
30. Lin, C-J., & Su, S-C .Protein 3D HP Model Folding Simulation Using a Hybrid of Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems* **13**,140-147(2010).
31. Alm, E., & Baker, D. Matching theory and experiment in protein folding. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol* **9**, 186-196(1999).
32. Guillermin, A.C., Humberto, G.D., Molina, M., Javier, V.S., Uriarte, E., & Yenny, G.D. Novel 2D maps and coupling numbers for protein sequences. The first QSAR study of polygalacturonases; isolation and prediction of a novel sequence from *Psidium guajava* L. *Federation of European Biochemical Societies Letters* **580**,723-730(2006).
33. Pathria, R.K., & Beale, P.D. Statistical Mechanics (3<sup>rd</sup> edition). *Elsevier*, (2011).
34. Lucio, T., & Salvatore, T. A Lattice study of Multimolecular Ensembles of Protein Models. Effect of Sequence on the Final State: Globules, Aggregates, Dimers, Fibrillae. *Biomacromolecules* **1**,232-238 (2000).

35. Choi, H.S., Huh, J., & Jo, H.W. Comparison between Denaturant- and Temperature – Induced Unfolding Pathways of Protein: A Lattice Monte Carlo Simulation. *Biomacromolecules* **5**, 2289-2296 (2004).

**Fig 1:** Exact enumeration of the number of ways of obtaining hydrophobic - hydrophilic contacts  $\{A(p, q)\}$  for a chain length of 64 aminoacids for any composition of lattice protein: (a) square and (b) simple cubic lattice. Typically for  $(p/N) = 0.0625$ , in (a), the fraction of hydrophobic – hydrophilic contacts  $(q/N)$  is 0.125, 0.156, 0.187, 0.218 and 0.25; the corresponding total number of ways of obtaining these are 64; 1152; 575408; 33920 and 24832. In (b), the fraction of hydrophobic – hydrophilic contacts  $(q/N)$  is 0.218, 0.281, 0.343 and 0.406; the total number of ways of obtaining these are respectively 324; 4096; 4056 and 248064 respectively. The complete set of  $2^{64}$  values for all compositions has been enumerated.




(a)




(b)


**Fig 2:** Elucidation of the most designable sequences for a chain length of  $N$  aminoacids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues: (a) square lattice with  $N = 36$  and (b) simple cubic lattice with  $N = 64$ .



**Fig 3:** Different designable sequences of a two-dimensional square lattice of 16 amino acids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues: (a) most designable, (b) and (c) less designable sequences. The frequency of occurrence of a, b and c are 1027, 256 and 256 respectively. The blue and red circles denote respectively the hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts.




**Fig 4:** Different designable sequences of a two-dimensional square lattice of 25 amino acids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues: (a) most designable, (b) and (c) less designable sequences. The frequency of occurrence of a, b and c are 236973, 264087 and 264087 respectively. The blue and red circles denote respectively the hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts.







**Fig 5:** Different designable sequences of a two-dimensional square lattice of 36 amino acids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues: (a) most designable, (b) and (c) less designable sequences. The frequency of occurrence of a, b and c are 1632682560, 60466176 and 60466176 respectively. The blue and red circles denote respectively the hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts.




**Fig 6:** Typical non – designable sequences for a two – dimensional square lattice of 16 aminoacids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. The total number of non - designable sequences is 241 wherein  $q \geq q_{\min}$




**Fig 7:** Typical non – designable sequences for a two – dimensional square lattice of 25 aminoacids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. The total number of non - designable sequences is 577, wherein  $q \geq q_{\min}$




**Fig 8:** Typical non – designable sequences for a two – dimensional square lattice of 36 aminoacids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. The total number of non - designable sequences is 21655, wherein  $q \geq q_{\min}$




**Fig 9:** Different designable sequences of a simple cubic lattice of 27 amino acid chains with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. p4 denotes a typical most - designable sequence while p1, p2, p3 and p5 denote less designable sequences. The frequency of occurrence of p1, p2, p3, p4 and p5 are 22, 35, 16, 60 and 24.



**Fig 10:** Different designable sequences of a simple cubic lattice of 64 amino acid chains with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. p2 denotes a most - designable sequence while p1, p3, p4, p5 and p6 denote less designable sequences. The frequency of occurrence of p1, p2, p3, p4, p5 and p6 are 64, 108, 8, 47, 4 and 50.



**Fig 11:** Typical non–designable sequences for a simple cubic lattice of 27 amino-acids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. The total number of non - designable sequences is 121 wherein  $q > 1$ .



**Fig 12:** Typical non – designable sequences for a simple cubic lattice of 64 amino-acids with equal number of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues. The total number of non - designable sequences is 173 wherein  $q > 1$ .






Table 1- Total number of conformations in square lattices for various chain lengths (N).

Number of Amino acid residues (N)	Total Number of conformations
4	5
5	13
6	36
7	98
8	272
9	704
10	2034
11	5513
12	15037
13	40617
14	110188
15	296806
16	802075
17	2155667
18	5808335
19	15582342
20	41889578
21	112212146
22	301100754
23	805570061
24	2158326727
25	5768299665
26	9378272603
27	$1.298824552 * 10^{10}$
28	$1.659821848 * 10^{10}$
29	$2.020819142 * 10^{10}$
30	$2.381816436 * 10^{10}$
31	$2.742813729 * 10^{10}$
32	$3.194703212 * 10^{10}$
33	$3.582466094 * 10^{10}$
34	$3.646592696 * 10^{10}$
35	$4.209591389 * 10^{10}$
36	$5.224479567 * 10^{10}$
37	$2.26774391 * 10^{11}$

38	$2.502910876 \cdot 10^{11}$
39	$3.600356717 \cdot 10^{11}$
40	$4.305857616 \cdot 10^{11}$
41	$1.026342076 \cdot 10^{12}$
42	$3.636695399 \cdot 10^{12}$
43	$1.026659714 \cdot 10^{30}$
44	$9.810623334 \cdot 10^{44}$
45	$7.823443122 \cdot 10^{48}$
46	$3.026769726 \cdot 10^{55}$
47	$4.568680244 \cdot 10^{60}$
48	$8.726623484 \cdot 10^{66}$
49	$2.246669926 \cdot 10^{70}$
50	$3.325586728 \cdot 10^{74}$
51	$9.568832546 \cdot 10^{77}$

Table 2 - Total number of conformations in simple cubic lattices for various chain lengths (N).

Number of Amino acid residues (N)	Total Number of conformations
2	25
3	121
4	589
5	2821
6	13565
7	64661
8	308981
9	1468313
10	6989025
11	33140457
12	157329085
13	744818613
14	3529191009
15	3529191009
16	16686979329
17	78955042017
18	372953947349
19	1762672203269
20	8319554639789
21	39285015083693
22	185296997240401
23	874331369198569
24	4121696814263465
25	19436473616738893
26	91582299477850157
27	431645810810533429
28	2033030798029977817
29	9577818849158639505
30	45094984254242445769
31	212365177454402817661
32	999559910134936147749
33	4705624045427280858353
34	22142271604470539035097
35	104208021575426329129665
36	490228476055783621093445
37	230590095492334523392000
38	10845142436567878766570033
39	51001165482563218900000002

40	23981659235433476636670000
----	----------------------------

*Table 3* -Total number of designing sequences, designable conformations and non-designable sequences for various amino acid chain lengths (N) for square lattices. The chain length varies from 4 to 36. The designing sequences, non-designable sequences and designable conformations are calculated when  $p=N/2$  and  $p=(N-1)/2$  for even and odd values of N respectively.

<b>Number of Amino acid residues(N)</b>	<b>Number of conformations</b>	<b>Number of designing sequences(<math>S_N</math>)</b>	<b>Number of designable conformations(<math>D_N</math>)</b>	<b>Number of non-designable sequences</b>
4	5	4	1	8
5	13	0	0	20
6	36	7	3	29
7	98	10	2	39
8	272	7	5	57
9	740	6	4	73
10	2034	6	4	94
11	5513	62	14	110
12	15037	87	25	132
13	40617	173	52	156
14	110188	386	130	182
15	296806	857	218	210
16	802075	1539	456	241
17	2155667	3404	787	273
18	5808335	6349	1475	299
19	15582342	13454	2726	341
20	41889578	24900	5310	379
21	112212146	52183	9156	419
22	301100754	97478	17881	471
23	805570061	199290	31466	506

24	2158326727	380382	61086	548
25	5768299665	765147	107336	577
26	9378272603	1544312	219300	705
27	$1.298824552 * 10^{10}$	3365700	391217	802
28	$1.659821848 * 10^{10}$	6739200	758597	922
29	$2.020819142 * 10^{10}$	13858416	1307420	10810
30	$2.381816436 * 10^{10}$	27793600	2405004	11282
31	$2.742813729 * 10^{10}$	53729200	4660252	13341
32	$3.194703212 * 10^{10}$	109724224	9301076	14874
33	$3.582466094 * 10^{10}$	278586544	18853380	16453
34	$3.646592696 * 10^{10}$	444393600	36945640	18744
35	$4.209591389 * 10^{10}$	877203600	72564464	19452
36	$5.224479567 * 10^{10}$	1753614912	145675380	21655

Table 4 -Total number of most designable, less designable and designing sequences, along with the number of designable conformations for various amino acid chain lengths (N) in square lattices. These numbers are valid when  $p=N/2$  and  $p=(N-1)/2$  for even and odd values of N respectively.

Number of Amino acid residues(N)	Number of most designable sequences	Number of less designable sequences	Number of designing sequences( $S_N$ )	Number of designable conformations( $D_N$ )
4	2	2	4	1
5	0	0	0	0
6	1	6	7	3
7	3	7	10	2
8	0	7	7	5
9	1	5	6	4
10	4	2	6	4
11	44	18	62	14
12	71	16	87	25
13	95	78	173	52
14	190	196	386	130
15	450	407	857	218
16	1027	512	1539	456
17	1381	2023	3404	787
18	3109	3240	6349	1475
19	4429	9025	13454	2726
20	8900	16000	24900	5310
21	34543	17640	52183	9156
22	74246	23232	97478	17881
23	162260	37030	199290	31466
24	131550	248832	380382	61086
25	236973	528174	765147	107336
26	369096	1175216	1544312	219300
27	1771377	1594323	3365700	391217
28	2260992	4478208	6739200	758597
29	7883111	5975305	13858416	1307420
30	11431600	16362000	27793600	2405004
31	20106657	33622543	53729200	4660252
32	54598272	55125952	109724224	9301076
33	178265230	100321314	278586544	18853380
34	219889152	224504448	444393600	36945640

35	340421200	536782400	877203600	72564464
36	1632682560	120932352	1753614912	145675380



Table 5 - Total number of designing, non-designable sequences as well as designable conformations for various amino acid chain lengths (N) for simple cubic lattices. The chain length varies from 4 to 27. These values are valid when  $p=N/2$  and  $p=(N-1)/2$  for even and odd values of N respectively.

<b>Number of Amino acid residues (N)</b>	<b>Number of conformations</b>	<b>Number of designing sequences(<math>S_N</math>)</b>	<b>Number of designable conformations(<math>D_N</math>)</b>	<b>Number of non-designable sequences</b>
4	121	3	1	0
5	589	0	0	0
6	2821	0	0	0
7	13565	0	0	0
8	64661	2	2	3
9	308981	0	0	0
10	1468313	0	0	0
11	6989025	0	0	0
12	33140457	2	2	1
13	157329085	0	0	0
14	744818613	1	1	1
15	3529191009	1	1	0
16	16686979329	1	1	0
17	78955042017	8	8	4
18	372953947349	29	28	13
19	1762672203269	47	42	19
20	8319554639789	64	60	33
21	39285015083693	73	72	37
22	185296997240401	<sup>33</sup> 88	85	43
23	874331369198569	103	98	79

24	4121696814263465	121	104	91
25	19436473616738893	133	124	103
26	91582299477850157	139	131	114
27	431645810810533429	157	149	121

Table 6: Total number of *most designable*, *less designable* and *designing sequences* as well as *designable conformations* for various amino acid chain lengths (N) in simple cubic lattices. These values are valid when  $p=N/2$  and  $p= (N-1)/2$  for even and odd values of N respectively.

Number of Amino acid residues(N)	Number of most designable sequences	Number of less designable sequences	Number of designing sequences( $S_N$ )	Number of designable conformations( $D_N$ )
4	2	1	3	1
5	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0
8	2	0	2	2
9	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0
12	2	0	2	2
13	0	0	0	0
14	1	0	1	1
15	1	0	1	1
16	1	0	1	1
17	4	4	8	8
18	16	13	29	28
19	24	23	47	42
20	20	44	64	60
21	16	57	73	72
22	45	43	88	85
23	44	59	103	98
24	56	65	121	104
25	63	70	133	124
26	66	73	139	131
27	60	97	157	149
<b>64</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>156</b>