ON THE NON-ROBUSTNESS OF INTERMINGLED BASINS

RAÚL URES AND CARLOS H. VÁSQUEZ

ABSTRACT. It is well-known that it is possible to construct a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the 3-torus in a similar way than in Kan's example. It has two hyperbolic physical measures with intermingled basins supported on two embedded tori with Anosov dynamics. A natural question is how robust is the intermingled basins phenomenon for diffeomorphisms defined on boundaryless manifolds? In this work we study partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on the 3-torus and show that the intermingled basins phenomenon is not robust.

1. INTRODUCTION

Attractors play a key role in the study of non-conservative dynamics. The description of attractors and the properties of their basins help predict the future behaviour of the orbits of a system. In this work we deal with physical measures i.e. an ergodic measure μ is physical if its basin of attraction has positive volume (see Section [2](#page-2-0) for precise definitions). We will think these measures as the attractors of our systems.

In many cases, basins are (essentially) open sets and it is clear that if a point belongs to certain regions its trajectory goes, almost surely, to an attractor that is well determined. For instance, uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms exhibit a finite number of physical measures and the union of their basins cover Lebesgue almost every point the ambient manifold. Moreover, each one of their basins is an open set (modulo a set of null volume) and then, we can clearly distinguish one attractor from the others.

Outside the uniformly hyperbolic world, this kind of behaviour of the basins of attractors is no longer true. Open sets of diffeomorphisms of manifolds with boundary may have attractors with intermingled basins. More specifically, two or more basins are dense in the same open set. It was I. Kan [\[17\]](#page-17-0) (See also [\[3\]](#page-17-1) for a description of the example in terms of the partial hyperbolicty and Lyapunov exponents) who showed for the first time the existence of examples of partially hyperbolic endomorphisms defined on a surface and exhibiting two hyperbolic physical measures whose basins are intermingled. Moreover, he showed that

Date: May 7, 2019.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37H15, 37D25, 37D30.

Key words and phrases. partial hyperbolicity, physical measures, intermigled basins, Kan example.

CV was supported by the Center of Dynamical Systems and Related Fields código ACT1103 PIA -Conicyt and Proyecto Fondecyt 1130547.

2 R. URES AND C. H. VASQUEZ ´

such phenomenon is robust among the maps preserving the boundary. We refer the reader to $\left[16\right]$ for a rigorous proof of Kan example and $\left[4\right]$ for a generalization of the Kan example and its relation with the sign of the Schwarzian derivative. In [\[18\]](#page-17-4) the authors shown that the set of points that are not attracted by either of the components in the Kan's example has Hausdorff dimension less than the dimension of the phase space itself. Following the same type of arguments, it is possible to construct a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism defined on a 3-manifold with boundary exhibiting two intermingled physical measures, and such phenomenon still can be made robust. Furthermore, it is well known that it is possible to extend such example to the 3-torus, but in this case it is no longer robust. We describe these examples in Section [3.](#page-6-0)

The existence of these examples rise the question of how robust are the intermingled basins phenomenon for diffeomorphisms defined on boundaryless manifolds. In this work we show that partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on the 3-torus having hyperbolic physical measures with intermingled basins are not robust.

In a recent work, Okunev [\[22\]](#page-18-0), studied attractors in the sense of Milnor in the most restrictive case of C^r partially hyperbolic skew products on \mathbb{T}^3 with an Anosov diffeomorphisms acting on the base \mathbb{T}^2 . The author obtains results with the same flavour as ours without any explicit hypotheses about Lyapunov exponent in the central direction.

We are interested in diffeomorphisms defined on a 3-dimensional manifold M , in particular we put our focus on $M = \mathbb{T}^3$. We give some basic definitions necessary to formulate the results, but the reader can find the precise definitions, properties and more detailed information in Section [2](#page-2-0) and the references therein.

A diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is partially hyperbolic if the tangent bundle splits into three non trivial sub-bundles

$$
TM = E^{uu} \oplus E^c \oplus E^{ss}
$$

such that the strong stable sub-bundle E^{ss} is uniformly contracted, the strong unstable sub-bundle E^{uu} is uniformly expanded and the center sub-bundle E^c may contract or expand, but this contractions or expansions are weaker than the strong expansions and contractions of the corresponding strong sub-bundles.

It is known that there are unique foliations W^{uu} and W^{ss} tangent to E^{uu} and E^{ss} respectively [\[6,](#page-17-5) [15\]](#page-17-6) but in general, E^c , $E^{cu} = E^c \oplus E^{uu}$, and $E^{cs} = E^c \oplus E^{ss}$ do not integrate to foliations (see [\[31\]](#page-18-1)). The system is said to be dynamically coherent if there exist invariant foliations W^{cu} and W^{cs} tangent to E^{cu} and E^{cs} respectively. Of course, if this is the case, there exists an invariant foliation tangent to E^c obtained just by intersecting W^{cu} and W^{cs} . We will study dynamically coherent diffeomorphism with compact center leaves. As we mentioned above these diffeomorphisms are not always dynamically coherent although there are some results providing this property. Just to mention one result, Brin, Burago, and Ivanov have shown that every absolute partially hyperbolic system (see Subsection [2.1](#page-2-1) for the definition) on the 3-torus is dynamically coherent [\[5\]](#page-17-7).

A set $K \subseteq M$ is *u-saturated* if it is the union of complete strong unstable leaves. The diffeomorphism f is accessible if every pair of points $x, y \in M$ can be joined by an arc consisting of finitely many segments contained in the leaves of the strong stable and strong unstable foliations. Assuming that the center bundle is one-dimensional, K. Burns, F. R. Hertz, J. R. Hertz, A. Talitskaya and R. Ures [\[8\]](#page-17-8) proved that the accessibility property is open and dense among the C^r -partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (see also $[21]$). Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem A. Let $f \in \text{Diff}^r(\mathbb{T}^3)$, $r \geq 2$, be partially hyperbolic, dynamically coherent with compact center leaves. Let μ be a physical measure with negative center Lyapunov exponent. Assume that $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3$ is a compact, f-invariant and u-saturated subset such that $K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}(\mu)} \setminus \text{supp }\mu$. Then, K contains a finite union of periodic 2-dimensional C^1 -tori, tangent to $E^u \oplus E^s$. In particular f is not accessible.

We say that two physical measures μ and ν with disjoint supports have *intermingled* basins [\[17\]](#page-17-0) if for an open set $U \subseteq M$ we have Leb $(V \cap \mathcal{B}(\mu)) > 0$ and Leb $(V \cap \mathcal{B}(\nu)) > 0$ for any open set $V \subset U$.

Corollary B. The set of dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic C^r -diffeomorphisms defined on \mathbb{T}^3 , $r \geq 2$, exhibiting intermingled hyperbolic physical measures has empty interior. Moreover, if $f: \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ is isotopic to a hyperbolic automorphism, there do not exist hyperbolic physical measures with intermingled basins.

Closely related, Hammerlindl and Potrie [\[14\]](#page-17-9) showed that partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-nilmanifold admit a unique u-saturated minimal subset. Then, f has a unique hyperbolic physical measure (see Section [2.2](#page-3-0) for more details) and thus, it is not possible to have the intermingled basins phenomenon. We have as corollary of their work:

Corollary C. If M is a 3-nilmanifold, then there does not exist hyperbolic physical measures with intermingled basins.

This paper is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-2-0) is devoted to introduce the main tools in the proof: partial hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, physical measures, u -measures and Lyapunov exponents. A toy example as well as Kan-like examples are revisited in Section [3.](#page-6-0) Proofs of Theorem [A](#page-2-2) and Corollary [B](#page-2-3) are developed in Section [4.](#page-11-0)

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Partial hyperbolicity.** Throughout this paper we shall work with a *partially hy*perbolic diffeomorphism f , that is, a diffeomorphism admitting a nontrivial Tf -invariant

splitting of the tangent bundle $TM = E^{ss} \oplus E^c \oplus E^{uu}$, such that all unit vectors $v^{\sigma} \in E_x^{\sigma}$ $(\sigma = ss, c, uu)$ with $x \in M$ satisfy:

$$
\|T_x f v^{ss}\| < \|T_x f v^c\| < \|T_x f v^{uu}\|
$$

for some suitable Riemannian metric. f also must satisfy that $||Tf|_{E^{ss}}|| < 1$ and $||Tf^{-1}|_{E^{uu}}|| <$ 1. We also want to introduce a stronger type of partial hyperbolicity. We will say that f is absolutely partially hyperbolic if it is partially hyperbolic and

$$
||T_x f v^{ss}|| < ||T_y f v^c|| < ||T_z f v^{uu}||
$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$.

For partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, it is a well-known fact that there are foliations W^{σ} tangent to the distributions E^{σ} for $\sigma = ss, uu$. The leaf of W^{σ} containing x will be called $W^{\sigma}(x)$, for $\sigma = ss, uu$.

In general it is not true that there is a foliation tangent to E^c . Sometimes there is no foliation tangent to E^c . Indeed, there may be no foliation tangent to E^c even if dim $E^c = 1$ (see $[31]$). We shall say that f is *dynamically coherent* if there exist invariant foliations $W^{c\sigma}$ tangent to $E^{c\sigma} = E^c \oplus E^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma = ss, uu$. Note that by taking the intersection of these foliations we obtain an invariant foliation W^c tangent to E^c that subfoliates $W^{c\sigma}$ for $\sigma = s, u$. In this paper all partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms will be dynamically coherent.

We shall say that a set X is σ -saturated if it is a union of leaves of the strong foliations W^{σ} for $\sigma = ss$ or uu. We also say that X is su-saturated if it is both s- and u-saturated. The accessibility class of the point $x \in M$ is the minimal su-saturated set containing x. In case there is some $x \in M$ whose accessibility class is M, then the diffeomorphism f is said to have the accessibility property. This is equivalent to say that any two points of M can be joined by a path which is piecewise tangent to E^{ss} or to E^{uu} .

2.2. Physical measures, u-measures, Lyapunov exponents. In this section we consider $f: M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism, not necessarily partially hyperbolic, defined on the riemannian manifold M. We denote by Leb the normalized volume form on M.

A point $z \in M$ is *Birkhoff regular* if the Birkhoff averages

(2.1)
$$
\varphi^{-}(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^{-k}(z)),
$$

(2.2)
$$
\varphi^+(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^k(z));
$$

are defined and $\varphi^{-}(z) = \varphi^{+}(z)$ for every $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(f)$ the set of Birkhoff regular points of f. Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem $[19, 33]$ $[19, 33]$ $[19, 33]$, implies that the set

$$
\varphi^-(z) = \varphi^+(z) = \int_M \varphi \, d\xi,
$$

for every z in a ξ -full measure set $\mathcal{R}(\xi)$.

If ξ is an f-invariant measure, the basin of ξ is the set

$$
\mathcal{B}(\xi) = \{ z \in M : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^k(z)) = \int_M \varphi \, d\xi, \text{ for all } \varphi \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R}) \}
$$

If ξ is an f-invariant ergodic measure, then $\mathcal{R}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\xi)$, and so $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ has full ξ -measure.

An f-invariant probability measure μ is physical if its basin $\mathcal{B}(\mu)$ has positive Lebesgue measure on M [\[3,](#page-17-1) [34\]](#page-18-4). A physical measure is said to be *hyperbolic* if all its Lyapunov exponents are nonzero [\[2\]](#page-17-11). In the setting of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphims defined on a 3-dimensional manifold, a physical measure is hyperbolic if

$$
\lambda^{c}(\mu) = \int \log \|Df| E^{c} \| d\mu \neq 0.
$$

A point $x \in M$ is Lyapunov regular if there exist an integer $p(x) \leq \dim M$, numbers

$$
\chi_1(x) < \cdots < \chi_{p(x)}(x),
$$

and a decomposition

(2.3)
$$
T_x M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p(x)} H_i(x)
$$

into subspaces $H_i(x)$ such that $Df(x)H_j(x) = H_j(f(x))$, and for every $v \in H_j(x) \setminus \{0\}$

(2.4)
$$
\chi_j(x) = \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Df^n(x)v\|.
$$

Denote by $\Lambda(f)$ the set of Lyapunov regular points. The numbers $\chi_1(\xi) < \cdots < \chi_{p(x)}(x)$, are called the Lyapunov exponents of x. The splitting (2.3) is called Oseledets decomposition and the subspaces $H_i(x)$ are called *Oseledets subespaces* at x. Oseledet's Theorem [\[23,](#page-18-5) [19\]](#page-17-10) guarantee that the set $\Lambda(f)$ has full measure with respect any invariant measure. In general the functions $x \to \chi_j(x)$, $x \to H_j(x)$, $x \to p(x)$ and $x \to \dim H_j(x)$ are measurable. Nevertheless, if ξ is an ergodic invariant measure for f, there is a subset $\Lambda(\xi) \subseteq \Lambda(f)$, such that $\xi(\Lambda(\xi)) = 1$ and there exist an integer $p(\xi) \leq \dim M$, subspaces $H_1(\xi), \dots H_p(\xi)$, numbers $\chi_1(\xi) < \cdots < \chi_p(\xi)$ such that for every $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$, we have

- $p(x) = p(\xi);$
- dim $H_i(x) = \dim H_i(\xi)$, for every $j = 1, \ldots, p(\xi)$;
- $\chi_j(x) = \chi_j(\xi)$, for every $j = 1, \ldots, p(\xi)$;

An ergodic measure ξ is *hyperbolic* if $\chi_i(\xi) \neq 0, j = 1, \ldots, p(\xi)$. In such case, for each $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$ we set

$$
Hs(x) = \bigoplus_{\chi_j(\xi) < 0} H_j(x), \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
H^u(x) = \bigoplus_{\chi_j(\xi) > 0} H_j(x).
$$

We have dim $H^s(x) = s(\xi)$, dim $H^u(x) = u(\xi)$ are constant and $s(\xi) + u(\xi) = \dim M$. The function $x \to H^s(x)$ and $x \to H^u(x)$ are measurables. If f is C^r , $r > 1$, Pesin's Theory [\[10,](#page-17-12) [24,](#page-18-6) [25,](#page-18-7) [29\]](#page-18-8) guarantee the existence of invariant sub-manifolds $W^s(x)$, $W^u(x)$ tangent to $H^{s}(x)$ and $H^{u}(x)$ respectively. More precisely, for every $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$ there is a C^r embedded disk $W^s_{\text{loc}}(x)$ through x such that

- $W^s_{\text{loc}}(x)$ is tangent to $H^s(x)$ at x,
- $f(W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)) \subseteq W_{\text{loc}}^s(f(x)),$
- The stable set $W^s(x) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(W^s_{loc}(f^n(x))).$
- • There exist constant $C(x) > 0$, $\tau(x)$ such that, for every $x_1, x_2 \in W^s_{loc}(x)$

(2.5)
$$
\text{dist}(f^k(x_1), f^k(x_2)) \le C(x)e^{-k\tau(x)}\text{dist}(x_1, x_2).
$$

The C^r disk $W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$ is called *Pesin stable manifold*. Similarly, every $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$ has an Pesin unstable manifold $W^u_{\text{loc}}(x)$ satisfying the corresponding properties with f^{-1} in place of f .

The Pesin manifolds above may be arbitrarily small, and they vary measurably on x . For any integer $n \geq 1$, we may find *hyperbolic blocks* $\Lambda_n(\xi) \subseteq \Lambda(\xi)$ such that

- $\Lambda_n(\xi) \subseteq \Lambda_{n+1}(\xi)$,
- $\xi(\Lambda_n(\xi)) \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$.
- The the size of the embedded disk $W^s_{\text{loc}}(x)$ is uniformly bounded from zero for each $x \in \Lambda_n(\xi)$. Moreover, for every $x \in \Lambda_n(\xi)$, $C(x) < n$ and $\tau(x) > 1/n$ in [\(2.5\)](#page-5-0). Analogous properties are satisfied by the unstable Pesin's manifold $W^u_{\text{loc}}(x)$.
- The disk $W^s_{\text{loc}}(x)$ and $W^u_{\text{loc}}(x)$ vary continuously with $x \in \Lambda_n(\xi)$.

Most important, the holonomy maps associated to the Pesin stable lamination $\mathcal{W}_{P}^{s} =$ ${W}_{\text{loc}}^{s}(x)$ are absolutely continuous. More precisely, fix an integer $n \geq 1$, a hyperbolic block $\Lambda_n(\xi)$ and a point $x \in \Lambda_n(\xi)$. For $x_1, x_2 \in W^s_{loc}(x)$ close to x , let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be small smooth discs transverse to $W_{\text{loc}}^{s}(x)$ at x_1 and x_2 respectively. The holonomy map

$$
\pi^s: \tilde{\Sigma_1} \subseteq \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2
$$

defined on the points $y_1 \in \tilde{\Sigma}_1 = \Sigma_1 \cap \Lambda_n(\xi)$ by associate $\pi^s(y_1)$, the unique point in $\Sigma_2 \cap W^s(y_1)$. If f is C^r , $r > 1$, then every holonomy map π^s as before is absolutely continuous [\[24,](#page-18-6) [29\]](#page-18-8). Of course, a dual statement holds for the unstable lamination.

In our setting, f is a C^r-partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, with splitting $TM = E^{ss} \oplus E^c \oplus E^{uu}$, where dim $E^{\sigma} = 1$, $\sigma = ss$, c, uu. Let ξ be an ergodic measure and we consider any point $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$. Then $p(x) = p(\xi) = 3$ and $H_1(x) = E^s(x)$, $H_2(x) = E^c(x)$ and $H_3(x) = E^{uu}(x)$. Moreover $\chi_1(\xi) =: \lambda^s$, $\chi_3(\xi) =: \lambda^u$ and

$$
\chi_2(x) = \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||Df^n(x)| E_x^c|| =: \lambda^c(x),
$$

is called the *center Lyapunov exponent* at x. If we take $x \in \mathcal{R}(\xi) \cap \Lambda(\xi)$, since dim $E^c = 1$ we obtain that

(2.6)
$$
\chi_2(\xi) = \lambda^c(\xi) := \lambda^c(x) = \int \log \|Df| E^c \|d\xi.
$$

If we assume $\lambda^c(\xi) < 0$, then $H^s(x) = E^{ss}(x) \oplus E^c(x)$ and $H^u(x) = E^{uu}(x)$ for every $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$. The local strong stable manifold $W^{ss}_{loc}(x)$ is an embedded curve inside the Pesin stable manifold $W^s_{\text{loc}}(x)$ which is a surface. On the other hand, the Pesin unstable manifold $W^u_{\text{loc}}(x)$ coincides with the strong unstable manifold $W^{uu}_{\text{loc}}(x)$, for every $x \in \Lambda(\xi)$. Of course, analogous statement holds if we assume $\lambda^c(\xi) > 0$.

Assume now that f is partially hyperbolic and dim $E^{uu} \geq 1$. An f-invariant probability measure μ is a *u-measure* if the conditional measures of μ with respect to the partition into local strong-unstable manifolds are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure along the corresponding local strong-unstable manifold. If f is a C^r partially hyperbolic diffemorphism, $r \geq 2$, then there exist u-measures for f [\[26\]](#page-18-9). Several properties of the u-measures are well know (see for instance $\lceil 3 \rceil$, Section 11.2.3 and the references therein, for a detailed presentation of such properties). For instance, the support of any u-measure is a u-saturated, f-invariant, compact set. If μ is a u-measure, then its ergodic components are u-measures as well. Furthermore, the set of u-measures for f is a compact, convex subset of the invariant measures. Moreover, every physical measure for f must be a u-measure.

It is well know that if μ is an ergodic u-measure with negative center Lyapunov exponent, then, μ is a physical measure [\[34\]](#page-18-4). Conversely, if μ is a physical measure with negative center Lyapunov exponent, then μ is an ergodic *u*-measure.

3. Examples

In this section we show some examples that motivated this paper. In the first example (Anosov times Morse-Smale) there are no intermingled basins but there is a u-saturated set in the boundary of one of them. Of course, we know a priori that this set consists of tori and it is not difficult to show that this situation is not robust. This example jointly with Kan's was a source of inspiration to obtain Theorem [A.](#page-2-2) This is the easiest case where the theorem works. Observe that there is only one physical measure. In the second case (Kan-like example) the basins are intermingled.

3.1. Toy Example. In the 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we consider the C^r-diffeomorphism, $r \geq 2$, $F: \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ defined by

$$
F(x,t) = (Ax,\xi(t)),
$$

where $A: \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is a linear Anosov diffeomorphism with eigenvalues $|\lambda^s_A| < 1 < |\lambda^u_A|$, and $\xi : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms with having exactly two hyperbolic fixed points, a source $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and a sink $q \in \mathbb{S}^1$, satisfying $\partial W^u(p,\xi) = \{q\}$ and $\partial W^s(q,\xi) = \{p\}.$ We assume that F satisfies:

$$
|\lambda_A^s| < |D\xi(t)| < |\lambda_A^u|, \text{ for every } t \in \mathbb{S}^1.
$$

That means, F is a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism exhibiting a center foliation by compact leaves (circles). Furthermore, F has a foliation by smooth 2-tori tangent to the $E^s \oplus E^u$ -sub-bundle. In particular, one of such leaves, the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{q\}$, is the only attractor of F. The dynamics restricted to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{q\}$ is hyperbolic, in fact, is given by A. Then, it supports the unique hyperbolic u-measure μ_q for F (actually the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{q\}$ having negative center Lyapunov exponent and so, it is physical. If $\mathcal{B}_A(\mu_1)$ denotes the basin of μ_1 in the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{q\}$ under the Anosov dynamics given by A then, the basin of μ_q in \mathbb{T}^3 is

$$
\mathcal{B}(\mu_q) = \mathcal{B}_A(\mu_q) \times \{\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{p\}\},\
$$

which is an open set modulus a set of zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{T}^3 . The boundary of $\mathcal{B}(\mu_q)$ contains the invariant 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{p\}$ which is the only hyperbolic repeller of F. This invariant torus is also a *u*-saturated set, tangent to $E^s \oplus E^u$. The dynamics restricted to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{p\}$ is again hyperbolic and then, it supports a *u*-measure μ_p for F (actually Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^2) but it is not physical.

Theorem A prevents the existence of such a *u*-saturated set from being robust. After a typical C^2 -perturbation, the new map G is partially hyperbolic and dynamically coherent. In fact, G has a center foliation by compact leaves by classical results of normally hyperbolic foliations [\[15\]](#page-17-6).

Typically G does not preserve the invariant foliation by 2-tori tangent to $E^s \oplus E^u$, which exists for F. Nevertheless G has two invariant compact subset Λ_p and Λ_q , the respective continuations of the hyperbolic basic sets $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{p\}$ and $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{q\}$. Of course, the dynamics of $F|\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{p\}$ and $G|\Lambda_p$ are C^0 -conjugated, so Λ_p is (homeomorphic to) a continuous torus, and the dynamics of G in Λ_p is uniformly hyperbolic. The set Λ_p remains to be a hyperbolic repeller and so s-saturated, but in general it is not u-saturated.

Similar conclusions hold for Λ_q , the hyperbolic attractor of G. It is a topological 2-torus, u-saturated, and it supports the unique physical measure of G . Note that the topological torus Λ_p is contained in the boundary of the basin $\mathcal{B}(\mu_q^G)$, but, in general, Λ_p is no longer a u-saturated set.

3.2. **Kan-like Examples.** In $\left| \frac{17}{17} \right|$ Kan provided the first examples of partially hyperbolic maps with intermingled basin. In this section we present the Kan's examples with some variations, following [\[3\]](#page-17-1), Section 11.1.1.

3.2.1. Kan's example: Endomorphism. The Kan's example corresponds to a partially hyperbolic endomorphism defined on a surface with boundary exhibiting two intermingled hyperbolic physical measures. Consider the cylinder $M = \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]$, and $K : M \to M$ the map defined by

$$
K(\theta, t) = (k\theta \text{ (mod } \mathbb{Z}), \varphi(\theta, t)),
$$

where $k \geq 3$ is some integer, $p, q \in \mathbb{S}^1$ are two different fixed points of $\theta \to k\theta \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\varphi: M \to [0, 1]$ is $C^r, r \geq 2$, satisfying the following conditions:

- [K1] For every $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ we have $\varphi(\theta,0) = 0$ and $\varphi(\theta,1) = 1$.
- [K2] The map $\varphi(p, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a hyperbolic source at $t = 1$ and a hyperbolic sink in $t = 0$. Analogously, the map $\varphi(q, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a hyperbolic sink at $t = 1$ and a hyperbolic source in $t=0.$

[K3] For every
$$
(\theta, t) \in M
$$
, $|\partial_t \varphi(\theta, t)| < k$, and

[K4]
$$
\int \log |\partial_t \varphi(\theta, 0)| d\theta < 0
$$
 and $\int \log |\partial_t \varphi(\theta, 1)| d\theta < 0$

The dynamics along the θ -direction is given by $\theta \to k\theta \pmod{2}$, so it is uniformly expanding. From [K3] we conclude that the map K is partially hyperbolic: The derivative in the t direction is dominated by the derivative in the θ -direction. Condition [K1] means K preserves the boundary. Then, each one of the boundary circles $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}$ supports an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure μ_0 and μ_1 , respectively. Condition [K4] implies that μ_0 and μ_1 have negative Lyapunov exponent in the t-direction. So they are physical measures. Moreover, their basin are intermingled. Magic comes from condition [K2]: Take any curve γ inside the open cylinder and transverse to the tdirection. We can assume, up to taking some forward iterates, that γ crosses (transversally) the segments $W^s(p,0) = \{p\} \times [0,1)$ and $W^s(q,0) = \{q\} \times [0,1]$. This is possible since f is uniformly expanding along the θ direction and the angle between γ and the t-direction goes to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ due to the domination. Then, there is a forward iterate of γ that intersects the basin of μ_0 , in a set of positive Lebesgue measure (in γ), because γ intersects transversally $W^{s}(p,0) = W^{ss}(p) \times [0,1)$. Since γ also intersects transversally $W^{s}(q,0) = W^{ss}(q) \times (0,1],$ then γ intersects the basin of μ_1 in a set of positive Lebesgue measure (See Figure [1\)](#page-9-0). Fubini's theorem completes the argument.

This example is robust among the maps defined on the cylinder preserving the boundaries. Indeed, for $r \geq 1$, any map $\tilde{K} : M \to M$, C^r close to K and preserving the boundaries can be written as

$$
\tilde{K}(\theta, t) = (F(\theta, t), \psi(\theta, t)),
$$

FIGURE 1. Kan example in the cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]$

where $F: M \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is expanding along the θ -direction and $\psi: M \to [0, 1]$ preservs the boundaries, that means ψ satisfies [K1]. Moreover, if ψ is chosen C^r close enough of φ , then also their derivatives $\partial_t \psi(\theta, t)$ and $\partial_t \varphi(\theta, t)$ are close for every $(\theta, t) \in M$ and so ψ satisfies [K3] and [K4] above. The two different fixed points of $\theta \to k\theta \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{S}^1$, have continuations $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and the map $\psi(\tilde{p}, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a hyperbolic source at $t = 1$ and a hyperbolic sink in $t = 0$. Analogously, the map $\psi(\tilde{q}, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a hyperbolic sink at $t = 1$ and a hyperbolic source in $t = 0$. Then, ψ satisfies [K2]. Arguing as before, we conclude that K exhibits two intermingled hyperbolic physical measures supported on the boundary.

3.2.2. Kan's example: Diffeomorphisms on a manifold with boundary. The next example, corresponds to a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism defined on a 3-manifold with boundary exhibiting two intermingled physical measures. The idea is to adapt the previous example, replacing \mathbb{S}^1 with the torus \mathbb{T}^2 and the expanding map $\theta \to k\theta$ (mod \mathbb{Z}) with a hyperbolic automorphism of the 2-torus having at least two fixed points. More precisely, we can consider $N = \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ and diffeomorphisms

$$
K_D(z,t) = (Az, \psi(\theta, t)),
$$

where $A: \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is a hyperbolic automorphism, and $\psi: N \to [0,1]$ is $C^r, r \geq 2$, satisfying the following conditions:

- [KD1] For every $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ we have $\psi(z,0) = 0$ and $\psi(z,1) = 1$.
- [KD2] For $p, q \in \mathbb{T}^2$, fixed points of A, we assume that the map $\psi(p, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a source at $t = 1$ and a sink in $t = 0$. Analogously, the map $\psi(q, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has exactly two fixed points, a sink at $t = 1$ and a source in $t = 0$.

[KD3] For every
$$
(z, t) \in M
$$
, $||A^{-1}||^{-1} < |\partial_t \psi(x, t)| < ||A||$, and [KD4] $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |\partial_t \psi(z, 0)| \, dz < 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |\partial_t \psi(z, 1)| \, dx < 0$

As before, the dynamics along the z-direction of K_D is uniformly hyperbolic. From [KD3] we conclude that the map K_D is partially hyperbolic: The derivative in the t-direction is dominated by the derivative in the unstable direction of A and the stable direction of A is dominated by the derivative in the t-direction. Condition [KD1] means K_D preserves each boundary torus. Then both boundary torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{1\}$ support the measures μ_0 and μ_1 corresponding to the Lebesgue measure in the torus. Condition [KD4] implies that μ_0 and μ_1 have negative Lyapunov exponent in the center direction. So they are physical measures.

As before, their basins are intermingled. The argument is the same: Take any curve γ in the interior of N and transverse to the E^{cs} distribution. Up to some forward iterates, γ crosses (transversally) the surfaces $W_{loc}^s(p,0) = W_{loc}^{ss}(p) \times [0,1)$ and $W_{loc}^s(q,0) = W_{loc}^{ss}(q) \times$ $(0, 1]$. This is possible since f is uniformly expanding along the unstable direction and the domination improves the angle between γ and the center-stable direction. Then, there is a forward iterate of γ that intersects the basin of μ_0 in a set of positive Lebesgue measure (in γ), because γ intersects transversally the stable manifold $W^s_{loc}(p,0)$. Since γ also intersects transversally the stable manifold $W^s(q,0)$, then γ intersects the basin of μ_1 in a set of positive Lebesgue measure. Fubini's theorem complete the argument.

As before, this example is robust among the diffeomorphisms defined on N preserving the boundary tori.

3.2.3. Kan-like example: Diffeomorphisms on a boundaryless manifold. The same construction can be done if N is replaced with $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (or even the mapping torus of a hyperbolic diffeomorphism) and $\psi : N \to [0,1]$ is replaced with $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$. Then, the four conditions are:

- [KB1] For every $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ we have $\varphi(z,0) = 0$ and $\varphi(z,\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- [KB2] For $p, q \in \mathbb{T}^2$, fixed point of A, we assume that the map $\varphi(p, \cdot) : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ has exactly two fixed points, a source at $t=\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and a sink in $t = 0$. Analogously, the map $\varphi(q, \cdot) : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ has exactly two fixed points, a sink at $t = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and a source in $t=0.$
- [KB3] For every $(z, t) \in M$, $||A^{-1}||^{-1} < |\partial_t \varphi(x, t))| < ||A||$, and

12 R. URES AND C. H. VÁSQUEZ

$$
\text{[KB4]} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |\partial_t \varphi(z, 0)| \, dz < 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |\partial_t \varphi(z, \frac{1}{2})| \, dx < 0.
$$

Exactly the same proof gives that the basins of the Lebesgue measures of the boundary tori are intermingled. The difference is that this phenomenon is no longer robust. In fact, there exists a unique physical measure after most perturbations (see, for instance, [\[9\]](#page-17-13)).

Recently, Bonatti and Potrie announced that they are able to construct diffeomorphisms on the torus \mathbb{T}^3 with exactly $k \geq 2$ hyperbolic physical measures μ_1, \ldots, μ_k whose basins are all intermingled (and dense on the whole torus), in fact, for every open set $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3$ and every $i \neq j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$

$$
Leb(A \cap B(\mu_i)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Leb(A \cap B(\mu_j)) > 0.
$$

Their example is partially hyperbolic in the following broad sense: the tangent space has an invariant splitting $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{cs} \oplus E^u$ where E^u dominates E^{cs} but the sub-bundle E^{cs} is indecomposable into dominated sub-bundles.

We remark that partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on surfaces do not admit intermingled hyperbolic physical measures [\[30\]](#page-18-10). The situation is different in the absence of domination as showed by Fayad [\[11\]](#page-17-14). Inspired in the Fayad example, Melbourne and Windsor [\[20\]](#page-18-11) give a family of C^{∞} -diffeomorphisms on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with arbitrary number of physical measures with intermingled basins.

Motivated by the latter situation, we say that a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f is a Kan-like differmorphisms if there exist, at least, two hyperbolic physical measures with intermingled basins.

4. Proof of Theorem [A](#page-2-2) and Corollary [B](#page-2-3)

Let $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r \geq 2$, be partially hyperbolic and dynamically coherent with compact center leaves. Let μ be a hyperbolic physical measure for f with $\lambda^c(\mu) < 0$. For further use let $\Lambda = \cup_n \Lambda_n$ where Λ_n are Pesin blocks and $\mu(\Lambda) = 1$. We assume that Λ is invariant and its points are regular both in the sense of Pesin's Theory as in the sense of Birkhoff's Theorem. Moreover, we will assume that every $x \in \Lambda_n$ is a Lebesgue density point of $W^u(x) \cap \Lambda_n$.

For $E \subseteq M$ measurable, $W^s(E)$ denotes the union of Pesin's stable manifolds $W^s(x)$ of points $x \in E$. Observe that $W^s(E)$ is invariant if E is invariant.

First, for the sake of completeness, we will prove the following lemma. We thank the referee for provide us the argument of the proof.

Lemma 4.1. $\mathcal{B}(\mu) \subset W^s(\Lambda)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mu)$. Fix $m \geq 1$. Then, it is not difficult to see there is a sequence n_k such that the distance between $f^{n_k}(x)$ and Λ_m converges to 0. Indeed, if there is $\delta > 0$ such that the distance of $f^{n}(x)$ to Λ_{m} is greater than 0 you can construct a continuous

which that takes the value 1 for every point in Λ_m and 0 if the distance to Λ_m is greater or equal to δ . Since Λ_m has positive μ -measure this contradicts the fact that $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mu)$.

As Pesin stable manifolds are of uniform size for points in Λ_m , there is $y_k \in W^u_{loc}(f^{n_k}) \cap$ $W^{s}(\Lambda)$ for any k large enough. Clearly $f^{-n_k}(y_k)$ converges to x proving the lemma.

Denote by M_c the space of center curves, that is, the quotient space obtained by the relation of equivalence $y \sim x$ if they are in the same center manifold. We denote by X the space of compact subsets of M. Given a u-saturated closed subset $K \subseteq M$, we define the function $\Phi_K : M_c \to X$ by $\Phi_K(\bar{x}) = K \cap \bar{x}$. Observe that this intersection is nonempty for every $\bar{x} \in M_c$.

Since K is closed we have that Φ_K is an upper semicontinuous function. This implies that Φ_K has a residual set of points of continuity.

On the other hand, since K is saturated by strong unstable leaves and the unstable holonomy is continuous, the set of continuity points of Φ_K is also saturated by strong unstable leaves. More precisely, if \bar{x} is a point of continuity of Φ_K , then for every $y \in W^u(\bar{x})$ we have that $\bar{y} \in M_c$ is also a point of continuity of Φ_K .

Lemma 4.2. For every $x \in W^s(\Lambda)$, there is a center arc $[x, y]_c \subseteq W^s(y)$ with $y \in \text{supp}(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $x \in W^{s}(\Lambda_{m})$. Taking iterates for the future, and recalling that almost every point returns infinitely many times to a positive measure set, we can assume that $x \in$ $W^s_{\varepsilon}(y')$ with $y' \in \Lambda_m$ where ε is the uniform size of the Pesin stable manifolds of the points of the block Λ_m . Close to y' we take $z \in W^s(y') \cap \text{supp } (\mu)$, with $\text{dist}(y', z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$, and such that $\bar{z} = W^c(z)$ is a continuity point of $\Phi_{\text{supp}(\mu)}$. In particular, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that, if $dist(z, w) < \delta$ then, there exists $p \in W^c(w) \cap supp (\mu)$ with $dist(p, z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$.

Let $H = \Lambda_m \cap W_{\frac{\varepsilon}{10}}^{uu}(y')$ and $G = W_{\varepsilon}^s(H) \cap B_{\delta/2}(z) \cap \text{supp } (\mu)$. The absolute continuity of the partition by Pesin' stable manifolds implies that $\mu(G) > 0$. Then, the ergodicity of the measure implies that there are infinitely many iterates of y' that belong to G . In particular, there is an *n* such that $f^{n}(y') \in G$ and $dist(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y')) < \delta/2$. Thus, we obtain that $f^{n}(x) \in B_{\delta}(z) \cap W_{\epsilon}^{s}(H)$. The fact that $f^{n}(x) \in B_{\delta}(z)$ implies that there is $v \in W^c(f^n(x)) \cap \text{supp }\mu$, such that $\text{dist}(f^n(x), v) < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$. Since $f^n(x) \in W^s_{\varepsilon}(H)$ we have that corresponding center arc $[f^n(x), v]_c$ is completely contained in a Pesin stable manifold. We take $y = f^{-n}(v)$ and this gives the conclusion of the lemma for the points of $W^s(\Lambda)$. \Box

In what follows we consider $K \subseteq \mathbb{T}^3$ satisfying the hypotheses in Theorem [A.](#page-2-2) That is, K is a compact, f-invariant and u-saturated subset such that $K \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mu) \setminus \text{supp }\mu$. Our strategy to prove Theorem \bf{A} \bf{A} \bf{A} will be to study the intersections of the set K with the center manifolds of f .

Lemma 4.3. There is a $h > 0$ such that if we have three distinct points $x, y, z \in \Phi_K(\bar{w})$ then at least two of them are a c-distance larger than h.

 \Box

Proof. As we have already mentioned we will use that $W^{ss}(W^{uu}(x))$, when considered in the universal cover, is topological surface topologically transverse to the center leaves [\[12,](#page-17-15) [13\]](#page-17-16).

Let's begin with the proof. Suppose on the contrary that for every h there are w and three points $x, y, z \in \Phi_K(\bar{w})$ with $dist_c(u, v) < h$ for every pair of points $\{u, v\} \subset \{x, y, z\}$. Take the topological surfaces $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(x))$, $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(y))$ and $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(z))$. Without loose of generality we can assume that y is in the center arc that joins x and z and has length less than h. Take $k > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(K, \text{supp }\mu) > k$ and suppose that $h \ll k$. Since $y \in \mathcal{B}(\mu)$, Lemma [4.1](#page-11-1) implies that it can be approximated by a point q belonging to $W^s(\Lambda)$. By Lemma [4.2](#page-12-0) we have that q can be joined to supp (μ) by a center arc completely contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mu)$. Observe that q is very close to $y \in K$ and then, the length of this center arc is greater than, say, $k/2$. Still much larger than h. This implies that the center arc joining q and supp (μ) must intersect either $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(x))$ or $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(z))$ (See Figure [2\)](#page-13-0).

This is a contradiction because these sets are in the complement of $\mathcal{B}(\mu)$, since the ω -limits of its points are in K. This ends the proof of the lemma.

 \Box

The preceding lemma has an immediate and important consequence that we state as proposition.

Proposition 4.4. Let μ be an ergodic u-measure with negative center exponent and K an invariant u-saturated set such that $K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}(\mu)} \setminus \text{supp }\mu$. Then, the intersection of K with each center manifold consists of finitely many points.

Our next lemma says that the number of points of the intersection of a u -minimal subset J of K with each center manifold is constant.

Lemma 4.5. Let $J \subseteq K$ be u-minimal compact set. Then $\#\Phi_J(\bar{x})$ does not depend on \bar{x}

Proof. We want to show that the function $\#\Phi_J$ is constant in an open set. If this is the case, the u-minimality of J and the u-invariance of $\#\Phi_J$ will imply the proposition.

Observe that, a priori, the semicontinuity of Φ_J does not imply directly the proposition because it is not enough to conclude the semicontinuity of $\#\Phi_J$.

Let \bar{x} be a point of continuity of Φ_J . Continuity at \bar{x} implies that $\#\Phi_J(\bar{y}) \geq \#\Phi_J(\bar{x})$ if \bar{y} is close enough to \bar{x} . The u-minimality, again, implies the inequality for every $\bar{y} \in M_c$.

Suppose that the function $\#\Phi_J$ is not constant. Then, there is a dense set $D \subseteq M_c$ such that for $\bar{y} \in D$ we have that $\#\Phi_J(\bar{y}) > \#\Phi_J(\bar{x})$. Continuity at \bar{x} implies that there are a point $x \in \bar{x}$, a sequence $\bar{y}_n \to \bar{x}$ and for each integer $n \geq 1$, a pair of points $y_n^1, y_n^2 \in \bar{y}_n \cap J$ so that both sequences (y_n^i) , $i = 1, 2$, converge to x. Then, taking N large enough we can choose a center curve with two points $y_N^1 := y^1$ and $y_N^2 := y^2$ a very small c-distance. We will argue in a similar way to the arguments of the proof of Lemma [4.3.](#page-12-1) We want to obtain three points that are very close to each other in the same center manifold and surfaces through them that are not in $\mathcal{B}(\mu)$, to arrive to a contradiction with Lemma [4.2.](#page-12-0)

Since *J* is u-minimal we can find $z \in W^{uu}(x)$ very close to y^1 . Continuity of the holonomy gives that there are center manifolds converging to the center manifold of z and pairs of points w_n^1 , w_n^2 of J in each of these center manifolds converging to z. Finally, fix an integer $L \geq 1$ large enough (in such a way that the c distance between $w_L¹$ and $w_L²$ is much smaller than the one between y^1 and y^2) and call $w^1 = w_L^1$ and $w^2 = w_L^2$. Denote \bar{w} the center leaf that contains $\{w^1, w^2\}$. Because of the choices we have made, $W^{ss}_{loc}(W^{uu}_{loc}(y^2))$ intersects \bar{w} in a point w^3 that is close to w^1 and w^2 but at a greater distance than $dist_c(w^1, w^2)$. That means that one of the two points w^1 , w^2 lies in between the other two (See figure [3\)](#page-15-0).

Now, arguing as in Lemma [4.3](#page-12-1) we arrive to a contradiction.

 \Box

Proof of Theorem [A.](#page-2-2) Let $J \subseteq K$ be u-minimal and closed. Lemma [4.5](#page-14-0) shows that J is locally the graph of a continuous function and then, it is a closed topological surface topologically transverse to the center foliation. Since it is foliated by unstable leaves, that are lines, we have that J is a torus. Moreover, Proposition [4.4](#page-14-1) implies that the torus J is periodic. Thus, all that remains is to prove that the strong stable manifolds of the points of J are completely contained in J.

FIGURE 3. $W_{loc}^{ss}(W_{loc}^{uu}(y^2))$ intersects \bar{w} in a point w^3 that is close to w^1 and w^2 .

As $J \subseteq K$ is periodic, we can take an iterate $n \geq 1$ such that $f^{n}(J) = J$. By simplicity we assume that $n = 1$. Suppose that there is a point $x \in J$ such that its strong stable manifold $W^{ss}(x)$ has a point y that does not belong to J. Since J is closed, there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq M$ of y such that $V \cap J = \emptyset$. By the continuity of the strong stable foliation, reducing V if necessary, we can find an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq M$ of x with the property that the strong stable manifold of every point in V has a point in U , in particular, in J. We know that $J \subseteq K \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}(\mu)} \setminus \text{supp }\mu$, then $V \cap \mathcal{B}(\mu) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, there is $z \in V \cap \mathcal{B}(\mu)$ and if we take $\tilde{z} \in W^{ss}(z) \cap J$, then $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{B}(\mu)$ (See Figure [4\)](#page-16-0).

In particular, $\tilde{z} \in J$ and its omega limit is contained in supp (μ) . Since J is f-invariant, then $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{supp} (\mu) \cap J \subseteq K$ which contradicts the hypotheses $J \subseteq K \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mu) \setminus \operatorname{supp} \mu$. This finishes the proof of the Theorem [A.](#page-2-2)

 \Box

Proof of Theorem [B.](#page-2-3) Let μ and ν be two hyperbolic physical measures. Recall that their supports are compact, f -invariant and u -saturated subsets.

First of all, observe that neither μ nor ν can have positive center Lyapunov exponent. This is a consequence of the well-known fact that under our hypotheses the basin of attraction of such a measure would be essentially open (See for instance [\[7\]](#page-17-17) where the conservative

FIGURE 4. Graphic representation of the proof of Theorem [A.](#page-2-2)

case is discussed with details and recently [\[1\]](#page-17-18) for a discussion about the non conservative case.) .

Suppose that the center exponents are negative. If their basins are intermingled then supp $\nu \subset \mathcal{B}(\mu) \setminus \text{supp } \mu$. Indeed, it is not difficult to see that the definition of intermingled basins implies that there is a point of the stable manifold (in the sense of Pesin) of a regular point of ν that is accumulated by points of the basin of μ . Since ν is ergodic the orbit of a regular point is dense in its support. By forward iteration we obtain the desired inclusion. Then, as consequence of Theorem [A](#page-2-2) applied to $K = \text{supp }\nu$, f is not accessible. As mentioned above accessibility is an open an dense property, and then we obtain the first assertion.

For the second statement, the works of A. Hammerlindl [\[12\]](#page-17-15) and R. Potrie [\[27\]](#page-18-12) proved that the center foliation of every dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the 3-torus is homeomorphic to the corresponding foliation of a linear toral automorphism. As a consequence, there are two possibilities: either the center foliation is by circles or the diffeomorphism is homotopic to a hyperbolic automorphism, it is always dynamically coherent and the center foliation is by lines. We have already studied the first case. In the second case, Potrie $[28]$ (see also $[32]$) proved that if f is isotopic to a hyperbolic automorphism, there is a unique minimal u-saturated set. This implies that f has at most one physical measure with negative center exponent.

 \Box

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for their helpful and constructive comments.

18 R. URES AND C. H. VÁSQUEZ

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Andersson and C. H. Vásquez. On mostly expanding diffeomorphisms. $ArXiv$ e-prints:1512.01046, December 2015.
- [2] L. Barreira and Y. Pesin. Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory, volume 23 of University Lecture Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [3] C. Bonatti, L. Díaz, and M. Viana. Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity, volume 102 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. A global geometric and probabilistic perspective, Mathematical Physics, III.
- [4] A. Bonifant and J. Milnor. Schwarzian derivatives and cylinder maps. In Holomorphic dynamics and renormalization, volume 53 of Fields Inst. Commun., pages 1–21. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [5] M. Brin, D. Burago, and S. Ivanov. Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of the 3-torus. J. Mod. Dyn., $3(1):1-11$, 2009.
- [6] M. Brin and Y. Pesin. Partially hyperbolic dynamical systems. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 38:170–212, 1974.
- [7] K. Burns, D. Dolgopyat, and Y. Pesin. Partial hyperbolicity, Lyapunov exponents and stable ergodicity. J. Statist. Phys., 108(5-6):927–942, 2002. Dedicated to David Ruelle and Yasha Sinai on the occasion of their 65th birthdays.
- [8] K. Burns, F. Rodriguez Hertz, J. Rodriguez Hertz, A. Talitskaya, and R. Ures. Density of accessibility for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with one-dimensional center. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 22(1-2):75–88, 2008.
- [9] D. Dolgopyat, M. Viana and J. Yang. Geometric and measure-theoretical structures of maps with mostly contracting center. Comm. Math. Phys. 341(3): 991-1014, 2016.
- [10] A. Fathi, M. Herman, and J. Yoccoz. A proof of Pesin's stable manifold theorem. In Geometric dynamics (Rio de Janeiro, 1981), volume 1007 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 177–215. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [11] B. Fayad. Topologically mixing flows with pure point spectrum. In *Dynamical systems. Part II*, Pubbl. Cent. Ric. Mat. Ennio Giorgi, pages 113–136. Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2003.
- [12] A. Hammerlindl. Leaf conjugacies on the torus. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009. Thesis (Ph.D.)– University of Toronto (Canada).
- [13] A. Hammerlindl. Leaf conjugacies on the torus. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 33(3):896–933, 2013.
- [14] A. Hammerlindl and R. Potrie. Pointwise partial hyperbolicity in three-dimensional nilmanifolds. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 89(3):853–875, 2014.
- [15] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh, and M. Shub. Invariant manifolds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583.
- [16] Y. Ilyashenko, V. Kleptsyn, and P. Saltykov. Openness of the set of boundary preserving maps of an annulus with intermingled attracting basins. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 3(2):449–463, 2008.
- [17] I. Kan. Open sets of diffeomorphisms having two attractors, each with an everywhere dense basin. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 31(1):68–74, 1994.
- [18] V. Kleptsyn and P. Saltykov. On C^2 -stable effects of intermingled basins of attractors in classes of boundary-preserving maps. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., vol 17, pages 193–217, 2011.
- [19] R. Ma˜n´e. Ergodic theory and differentiable dynamics, volume 8 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [20] I. Melbourne and A. Windsor. A C^{∞} diffeomorphism with infinitely many intermingled basins. *Ergodic* Theory Dynam. Systems, 25(6):1951–1959, 2005.
- [21] V. Nitică and A. Török. An open dense set of stably ergodic diffeomorphisms in a neighborhood of a non-ergodic one. Topology, 40(2):259–278, 2001.
- [22] A. Okunev. Milnor attractors of circle skew products. $ArXiv$ e-prints:1508.02132., August 2015.
- [23] V. Oseledec. A multiplicative ergodic theorem. Characteristic Ljapunov, exponents of dynamical systems. Trudy Moskovskogo Matemativceskogo Obvsvcestva, 19:179–210, 1968.
- [24] Y. Pesin. Families of invariant manifolds that correspond to nonzero characteristic exponents. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 40(6):1332–1379, 1440, 1976.
- [25] Y. Pesin. Characteristic Ljapunov exponents, and smooth ergodic theory. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 32(4 (196)):55–112, 287, 1977.
- [26] Y. Pesin and Y. Sinai. Gibbs measures for partially hyperbolic attractors. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 2(3-4):417–438, 1982.
- [27] R. Potrie. Partial hyperbolicity and foliations in \mathbb{T}^3 . J. Mod. Dyn. 9(8): 81-121, 2015.
- [28] R. Potrie. A few remarks on partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T}^3 isotopic to Anosov. J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 26(3):805–815, 2014.
- [29] C. Pugh and M. Shub. Ergodic attractors. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 312(1):1–54, 1989.
- [30] F. Rodriguez Hertz, J. Rodriguez Hertz, A. Tahzibi, and R. Ures. Uniqueness of SRB measures for transitive diffeomorphisms on surfaces. Comm. Math. Phys., 306(1):35–49, 2011.
- [31] F. Rodriguez Hertz, J. Rodriguez Hertz, and R. Ures. A non-dynamically coherent example on \mathbb{T}^3 . Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire. To appear.
- [32] R. Ures. Intrinsic ergodicity of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a hyperbolic linear part. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 140(6):1973–1985, 2012.
- [33] Peter Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory, volume 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [34] L. Young. What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them? Journal of Statistical Physics, 108(5-6):733–754, 2002.

RAÚL URES, IMERL, FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, CC 30, MONTEVIDEO-URUGUAY.

E-mail address: ures@fing.edu.uy

CARLOS H. VÁSQUEZ, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE VALparaíso, Blanco Viel 596, Cerro Barón, Valparaíso-Chile.

E-mail address: carlos.vasquez@ucv.cl