

ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON GENERALIZED JULIA SETS

GÖKALP ALPAN AND ALEXANDER GONCHAROV

ABSTRACT. We extend results by Barnsley et al. about orthogonal polynomials on Julia sets to the case of generalized Julia sets. The equilibrium measure is considered. In addition, we discuss optimal smoothness of Green's functions and Parreau-Widom criterion for a special family of real generalized Julia sets.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a rational function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the set of all points $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the sequence of iterates $(f^n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is normal in the sense of Montel is called the *Fatou* set of f . The complement of the Fatou set is called the *Julia* set of f and we denote it by $J_{(f)}$. We use the adjective *autonomous* in order to refer to these usual Julia sets in the text.

Potential theoretical tools for Julia sets of polynomials were developed in [8] by Hans Brolin. Orthogonal polynomials for polynomial Julia sets were considered in [4, 5]. Barnsley et al. show how one can find recurrence coefficients when the Julia set $J_{(f)}$ corresponding to a nonlinear polynomial is real. Mañé and Rocha, in [22], show that Julia sets are uniformly perfect in the sense of Pommerenke and in particular they are regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem.

Let (f_n) be a sequence of rational functions. Define $F_0(z) := z$ and $F_n(z) = f_n \circ F_{n-1}(z)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, recursively. The union of the points z such that the sequence $(F_n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is normal is called the Fatou set for (f_n) and the complement of the Fatou set is called the Julia set for (f_n) . We use the notation $J_{(f_n)}$ to denote it. These sets were introduced in [15]. For a general overview we refer the reader to the paper [10]. For a recent discussion of Chebyshev polynomials on these sets, see [1].

In this paper, we consider orthogonal polynomials with respect to the equilibrium measure of $J_{(f_n)}$ where (f_n) is a sequence of nonlinear polynomials satisfying some mild conditions. To our knowledge, this paper is the first attempt dealing with the orthogonal polynomials in this generality although considerable work (see e.g. [4, 5, 6]) has been done for the autonomous case and there are some results (see e.g. [2, 26]) concerning the orthogonal polynomials on sets constructed using compositions of infinitely many polynomials. While the focus of [26] is quite different than what we discuss, a particular family of sets considered in [2, 19] clearly presents generalized Julia sets.

In Section 2, we give background information about the properties of $J_{(f_n)}$ regarding potential theory. In Section 3, we prove that for certain degrees, orthogonal polynomials associated with the equilibrium measure of $J_{(f_n)}$ are given explicitly in terms of the compositions F_n . In Section 4, we show that the recurrence coefficients can be calculated provided that

Date: Received: date / Accepted: date.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37F10, 42C05 and 30C85.

Key words and phrases. Julia sets, Parreau-Widom sets, orthogonal polynomials, Jacobi matrices.

The authors are partially supported by a grant from Tübitak: 115F199.

$J_{(f_n)}$ is real. These two results generalize Theorem 3 in [4] and Theorem 1 in [5] respectively. In addition to these results we discuss resolvent functions and a general method to construct real Julia sets. Techniques that we use here are rather different compared to those of autonomous setting. This is mostly due to the fact that, in the generalized case, Julia sets do not have complete invariance but we only have the properties given in part (e) of Theorem 1.

In Section 6, we consider a quadratic family of polynomials (f_n) such that the set $K_1(\gamma) = J_{(f_n)}$ is a modification of the set $K(\gamma)$ from [19]. In terms of the parameter γ we give a criterion for the Green function $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ to be optimally smooth. In the last section, a criterion is presented for $K_1(\gamma)$ to be a Parreau-Widom set.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Polynomial Julia sets are one of the most studied objects in one dimensional complex dynamics. For classical results related to potential theory, see [8]. For a more general exposition we refer to the monograph [25] and the survey [21].

In this paper, we study in the more general framework of Julia sets. Clearly, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 are also valid for the autonomous Julia sets.

Let the polynomials $f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} a_{n,j} \cdot z^j$ be given where $d_n \geq 2$ and $a_{n,d_n} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following [10], we say that (f_n) is a *regular polynomial sequence* if for some positive real numbers A_1, A_2, A_3 , the following properties are satisfied:

- $|a_{n,d_n}| \geq A_1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $|a_{n,j}| \leq A_2 |a_{n,d_n}|$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, d_n - 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\log |a_{n,d_n}| \leq A_3 \cdot d_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We use the notation $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ if (f_n) is a regular polynomial sequence. We remark that, for a sequence $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$, the degrees of polynomials need not to be the same and they do not have to be bounded above either. Julia sets $J_{(f_n)}$ when $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ were introduced and considered in [11] and all results given in the next theorem are from Section 2 and Section 4 of the paper [10]. While (2.1) is contained in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [10], (2.2) follows by comparing the right parts of these two equations, using that $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}$ has a logarithmic singularity at infinity and $F_k(z)$ goes locally uniformly to ∞ for such z .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then the following propositions hold:*

- (a) *The set $\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : F_k(z) \text{ goes locally uniformly to } \infty\}$ is an open connected set containing ∞ . Moreover, for every $R > 1$ satisfying the inequality*

$$A_1 R \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{R-1} \right) > 2,$$

the compositions $F_n(z)$ goes locally uniformly to infinity whenever $z \in \Delta_R$ where $\Delta_R = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > R\}$.

- (b) *$\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^{-1}(\Delta_R)$ and $f_n(\overline{\Delta_R}) \subset \Delta_R$ if $R > 1$ satisfies the inequality given in part (a). Furthermore, we have $J_{(f_n)} = \partial \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty)$.*

(c) $J_{(f_n)}$ is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. The Green function for the complement of the set is given by

$$(2.1) \quad G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(z) = \begin{cases} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_k} \log |F_k(z)| & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$(2.2) \quad G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_k} G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(F_k(z))$$

where $z \in \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty)$. In both (2.1) and (2.2), limits hold locally uniformly in $\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty)$.

(d) The logarithmic capacity of the compact set $J_{(f_n)}$ is given by the expression

$$\text{Cap}(J_{(f_n)}) = \exp \left(- \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\log |a_{j,d_j}|}{d_1 \cdots d_j} \right).$$

(e) $F_k^{-1}(F_k(J_{(f_n)})) = J_{(f_n)}$ and $J_{(f_n)} = F_k^{-1}(J_{(f_{k+n})})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we use the notation $(f_{k+n}) = (f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, f_{k+3}, \dots)$.

We have to note that for the sequences $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ satisfying the additional condition $d_n = d$ for some $d \geq 2$, there is a nice theory concerning topological properties of Julia sets. For details, see [13, 23].

Before going any further, we want to mention the results from [4] and [5] concerning orthogonal polynomials for the autonomous Julia sets. Let $f(z) = z^n + k_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + k_n$ be a nonlinear monic polynomial of degree n and let P_j denote the j -th monic orthogonal polynomial associated to the equilibrium measure of $J_{(f)}$. Then we have,

- (a) $P_1(z) = z + k_1/n$.
- (b) $P_n(z) = P_l(f(z))$, for $l = 0, 1, \dots$
- (c) $P_{n^l}(z) = f^l(z) + k_1/n$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots$, where f^l is the l -th iteration of the function f .

In Theorem 3.3, we recover parts (a) and (c) in a more general setting. Even without having the analogous equations to part (b), recurrence coefficients appear as the outcome of Theorem 4.1.

Throughout the whole article when we say that $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ then the sequences (d_n) , $(a_{n,j})$, $(A_i)_{i=1}^3$ will be used just as in the definition given in the beginning of this section and $F_n(z)$ will stand for $f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1(z)$. Thus F_n is a polynomial with the leading coefficient $(a_{1,d_1})^{d_2 \cdots d_1} (a_{2,d_2})^{d_3 \cdots d_1} \cdots a_{l,d_l}$ of degree $d_1 \cdots d_n$. For a compact non-polar set K , we denote the Green function of Ω with pole at infinity by $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K}$ where Ω is the connected component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ containing ∞ . We use μ_K to denote the equilibrium measure of K . Convergence of measures is considered in weak-star topology. In addition, we consider and count multiple roots of a polynomial separately.

3. ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

We begin with a lemma due to Brolin [8].

Lemma 3.1. *Let K and L be two non-polar compact subsets of \mathbb{C} such that $K \subset L$. Let $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of probability measures supported on L that converges to a measure μ supported on K . Suppose that the following two conditions hold where $U_n(z)$ stands for the logarithmic potential for the measure μ_n and V_K is the Robin constant for K :*

- (a) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(z) \geq V_K$ on K .
 (b) $\text{supp}(\mu_K) = K$.

Then $\mu = \mu_K$.

Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, by the fundamental theorem of algebra (FTA), $F_k(z) - a = 0$ has $d_1 \cdots d_k$ solutions counting multiplicities. For a fixed k , let us define the normalized counting measure as $v_k^a = \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_k} \sum_{l=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_k} \delta_{z_l}$ where $z_1, \dots, z_{d_1 \cdots d_k}$ are the roots of $F_k(z) - a$. In [8] and later on in [9], it is shown that $v_k^a \rightarrow \mu_{J(f_n)}$ for a proper a where in the first article $f_n = f$ with a monic nonlinear polynomial f and in the second one $f_n(z) = z^2 + c_n$. Our technique used below is the same in essence with the proofs in [8, 9]. Due to some minor changes and for the convenience of the reader, we include the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then for $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfying the condition*

$$(3.1) \quad |a|A_1 \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{|a|-1} \right) > 2,$$

we have $v_k^a \rightarrow \mu_{J(f_n)}$.

Proof. Choose a number $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfying (3.1). Let $K := J(f_n)$ and $L := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq |a|\}$. Then, by part (b) of Theorem 2.1, $K \subsetneq L$. Moreover, since K is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem and K is equal to the boundary of the component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ that contains ∞ , we have (see e.g. Theorem 4.2.3. of [27]) that $\text{supp}(\mu_K) = K$.

Observe that, $F_k^{-1}(a) \cap \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$ is contained in L for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by part (b) of Theorem 2.1. Thus, $(v_k^a)_{k=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence $(v_{k_l}^a)_{l=1}^\infty$ by Helly's selection principle (see e.g. Theorem 0.1.3. in [29]). Let us denote the limit by μ . The set $\cup F_k^{-1}(a)$ can not accumulate to a point z in $\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$, since this would contradict with the fact that $F_k(z)$ goes locally uniformly to ∞ by part (a) of Theorem 2.1. Thus, $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \partial \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty) = K$.

Now, we want to show that $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} U_{k_l}(z) \geq V_K$ for all $z \in K$. Let $z \in K$ where U_k denote the logarithmic potential for v_k^a . We have

$$|F_{k_l}(z) - a| = |(a_{1,d_1})^{d_2 \cdots d_{k_l}}| |(a_{2,d_2})^{d_3 \cdots d_{k_l}}| \cdots |a_{k_l,d_{k_l}}| \prod_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} |z - z_{j,k_l}|,$$

for some $z_{j,k_l} \in L$. Thus,

$$(3.2) \quad U_{k_l}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \log |z - z_{j,k_l}|}{-d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} = \sum_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \frac{\log |a_{j,d_j}|}{d_1 \cdots d_j} - \frac{\log |F_{k_l}(z) - a|}{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}}.$$

Using part (d) of Theorem 2.1 and the fact that $|F_k(z)| \leq |a|$ for $z \in K$, we see that the following inequality follows from (3.2):

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} U_{k_l}(z) \geq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \frac{\log |a_{j,d_j}|}{d_1 \cdots d_j} - \frac{\log |2a|}{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \right) \geq V_K.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we have $v_{k_l}^a \rightarrow \mu_K$. Since $(v_{k_l}^a)$ is an arbitrary convergent subsequence, $v_k^a \rightarrow \mu_K$ also holds. \square

In the next theorem, we use algebraic properties of polynomials as well as analytic properties of the corresponding Julia sets. Let $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ be a nonlinear polynomial of degree n and let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n be roots of f counting multiplicities. Then, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ we have the following Newton's identities:

$$(3.3) \quad s_k(f(z)) + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} s_{k-1}(f(z)) + \dots + \frac{a_{n-k+1}}{a_n} s_1(f(z)) = -k \frac{a_{n-k}}{a_n},$$

where $s_k(f(z)) := \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j)^k$.

For the proof of (3.3), see [24] among others. Note that, none of these equations include the term a_0 . This implies that the values $(s_k)_{k=1}^{n-1}$ are invariant under translation of the function f , i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad s_k(f(z)) = s_k(f(z) + c)$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(P_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ denote the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials associated to $\mu_{J(f_n)}$ where $\deg P_j = j$. Now we are ready to prove our first main result.

Theorem 3.3. *For $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$, we have the following identities:*

$$(a) \quad P_1(z) = z + \frac{1}{d_1} \frac{a_{1,d_1-1}}{a_{1,d_1}}.$$

$$(b) \quad P_{d_1 \dots d_l}(z) = \frac{1}{(a_{1,d_1})^{d_2 \dots d_l} (a_{2,d_2})^{d_3 \dots d_l} \dots a_{l,d_l}} \left(F_l(z) + \frac{1}{d_{l+1}} \frac{a_{l+1,d_{l+1}-1}}{a_{l+1,d_{l+1}}} \right).$$

Proof. (a) Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ be given and $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfy (3.1). Fix an integer m greater than 1. By FTA, The solutions of the equation $F_m(z) = a$ satisfy an equation of the form

$$(F_{m-1}(z) - \beta_{m-1}^1) \dots (F_{m-1}(z) - \beta_{m-1}^{d_m}) = 0,$$

where $\beta_{m-1}^1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}^{d_m} \in \mathbb{C}$. The $d_1 \dots d_{m-1}$ roots of the equation $F_{m-1} - \beta_{m-1}^j = 0$ are the solutions of an equation

$$(F_{m-2}(z) - \beta_{m-2}^{1,j}) \dots (F_{m-2}(z) - \beta_{m-2}^{d_{m-1},j}) = 0,$$

with some $\beta_{m-2}^{1,j}, \dots, \beta_{m-2}^{d_{m-1},j}$. Continuing this way, the points satisfying the equation $F_m(z) = a$ can be grouped into $d_2 \dots d_m$ parts of size d_1 such that each part consists of the roots of an equation

$$f_1(z) - \beta_1^j = 0,$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, d_2 \dots d_m\}$ and $\beta_1^j \in \mathbb{C}$. If for each j , we denote the normalized counting measure on the roots of $f_1(z) - \beta_1^j$ by λ_j , then

$$v_m^a = \frac{1}{d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \dots d_m} \lambda_j.$$

Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int z d v_m^a &= \frac{1}{d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \dots d_m} \int z d \lambda_j = \frac{1}{d_2 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \dots d_m} \frac{s_1(f_1(z) - \beta_1^j)}{d_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_1 \dots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \dots d_m} s_1(f_1(z)) = -\frac{1}{d_1} \frac{a_{1,d_1-1}}{a_{1,d_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since v_m^a converges to the equilibrium measure of $J(f_n)$ by Theorem 3.2, the result follows.

(b) Let $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ where $m > l + 1$. As above, the roots of the equation $F_m(z) = a$ where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfies (3.1), can be grouped into $d_{l+2} \cdots d_m$ parts of size $d_1 \cdots d_{l+1}$ such that each part obeys an equation of the form

$$F_{l+1}(z) - \beta_{l+1}^j = 0,$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d_{l+2} \cdots d_m$. Recall that $F_{l+1}(z) = f_{l+1}(t)$ with $t = F_l(z)$.

By FTA, we have $f_{l+1}(t) - \beta_{l+1}^j = (t - \beta_l^{1,j}) \cdots (t - \beta_l^{d_{l+1},j})$ for some $\beta_l^{1,j}, \dots, \beta_l^{d_{l+1},j}$. By (3.3) and (3.4), for $k \in \{1, \dots, d_{l+1} - 1\}$ and $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, d_{l+2} \cdots d_m\}$, we have

$$s_k(f_{l+1}(t) - \beta_{l+1}^j) := \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} (\beta_l^{r,j})^k = \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} (\beta_l^{r,j'})^k = s_k(f_{l+1}(t) - \beta_{l+1}^{j'}).$$

Now we can rewrite $F_{l+1}(z) - \beta_{l+1}^j = 0$ as $(F_l(z) - \beta_l^{1,j}) \cdots (F_l(z) - \beta_l^{d_{l+1},j}) = 0$ for j as above. Let us denote the normalized counting measures on the roots of $F_l(z) - \beta_l^{r,j} = 0$ by $\lambda_{r,j}$ for $r = 1, \dots, d_{l+1}$ and $j = 1, \dots, d_{l+2} \cdots d_m$. Clearly, this yields

$$(3.5) \quad v_m^a = \frac{1}{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \frac{1}{d_{l+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \lambda_{r,j} = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \lambda_{r,j}.$$

Thus, by using (3.5), (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int F_l(z) d\nu_m^a &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \int F_l(z) d\lambda_{r,j} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \beta_l^{r,j} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} s_1(f_{l+1}(t) - \beta_{l+1}^j) \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} s_1(f_{l+1}(t)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{d_{l+1}} \frac{a_{l+1, d_{l+1}-1}}{a_{l+1, d_{l+1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

To shorten notation, we write c instead of $\frac{1}{d_{l+1}} \frac{a_{l+1, d_{l+1}-1}}{a_{l+1, d_{l+1}}}$. Thus, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int (F_l(z) + c) d\nu_m^a = 0.$$

Let us show that the integrand is orthogonal to z^k with $1 \leq k \leq d_1 \cdots d_l - 1$ as well. For the same $\lambda_{r,j}$, as above, we have

$$\int (F_l(z) + c) \overline{z^k} d\lambda_{r,j} = \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_l} \left(\beta_l^{r,j} + c \right) \cdot \overline{s_k \left(F_l(z) - \beta_l^{r,j} \right)}.$$

By (3.4), $\overline{s_k(F_l(z) - \beta_l^{r,j})} = \overline{s_k(F_l(z))}$, so it does not depend on r or j . This and the representation (3.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int (F_l(z) + c) \overline{z^k} d\nu_m^a &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \cdots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \int (F_l(z) + c) \overline{z^k} d\lambda_{r,j} \\ &= \frac{\overline{s_k(F_l(z))}}{d_1 \cdots d_l} \int (F_l(z) + c) d\nu_m^a, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is equal to 0, by (3.6). It follows that $(F_l(z) + c) \perp z^k$ for $k \leq \deg F_l - 1$ in $L^2(\mu_{J(f_n)})$, since ν_m^a converges to the equilibrium measure of $J(f_n)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. MOMENTS AND RESOLVENT FUNCTIONS

In this section we consider Julia sets that are subsets of the real line.

If μ is a probability measure which has infinite compact support in \mathbb{R} , then the monic orthogonal polynomials $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfy a recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_{n+1})P_n(x) - a_n^2 P_{n-1}(x),$$

assuming that $P_0 = 1$ and $P_{-1} = 0$. If the moments $c_n = \int x^n d\mu$ are known for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then we have the formula

$$(4.1) \quad p_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n D_{n-1}}} \begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & c_n & \cdots & c_{2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^n \end{vmatrix}$$

where p_n is the n -th orthonormal polynomial and D_n is the determinant for the matrix M_n with the entries $(M_n)_{i,j} = c_{i+j}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. From (4.1), one can also calculate recurrence coefficients $(a_n, b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. See [35] for a detailed description of the orthogonal polynomials on the real line. In the next theorem, we show that the moments for the equilibrium measure of $J(f_n)$ can be calculated recursively whenever $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Note that $c_0 = 1$ since the equilibrium measure is of unit mass.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $l > 0$ be an integer. Furthermore, let*

$$\frac{F_l(z)}{p_l} = z^{d_1 \cdots d_l} + a_{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{l-1}} z^{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{l-1}} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0,$$

where p_l is the leading coefficient for F_l . Then, each moment $c_k = \int x^k d\mu_{J(f_n)}$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, (d_1 d_2 \cdots d_l) - 1\}$ is equal to $\frac{s_k(F_l(z))}{d_1 \cdots d_l}$ where $s_k(F_l(z))$ can be calculated recursively by Newton's identities.

Proof. Let m be an integer greater than l . Consider the roots of the equation $F_m(z) = a$ where $a \in \Delta_1$ satisfies the condition (3.1). Then, following the proof of Theorem 3.3, we

can divide these roots into $d_{l+1} \cdots d_m$ parts of size $d_1 \cdots d_l$ such that the nodes in each of the groups constitute the roots of an equation of the form

$$F_l(z) - \beta^j = 0,$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d_{l+1} \cdots d_m$. If for each j we denote the normalized counting measure on the roots of $F_l(z) - \beta^j$ by λ_j , then by (3.3) and (3.4), this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int x^k d\nu_m^a &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \int x^k d\lambda_j \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \frac{s_k(F_l(z) - \beta^j)}{d_1 \cdots d_l} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \frac{s_k(F_l(z))}{d_1 \cdots d_l} = \frac{s_k(F_l(z))}{d_1 \cdots d_l}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, (d_1 d_2 \cdots d_l) - 1$. Since the weak star limit of the sequence $(d\nu_m^a)$ is the equilibrium measure of the Julia set by Theorem 3.2, we have $\int x^k d\mu_{J(f_n)} = \frac{s_k(F_l(z))}{d_1 \cdots d_l}$ which concludes the proof. \square

In Sections 3-5 of [2], orthogonal polynomials and recurrence coefficients are discussed for the quadratic case. It would be interesting to obtain similar results for $\mu_{J(f_n)}$ if we only assume that $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $J_{(f_n)} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

For two bounded sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the associated (half-line) Jacobi operator $H : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is given by $(Hu)_n = a_n u_{n+1} + b_n u_n + a_{n-1} u_{n-1}$ for $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $a_0 := 0$. Here, $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ denotes the space of square summable sequences in \mathbb{N} . The spectral measure of H for the cyclic vector $\delta_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)^T$ is just the one which has a_n, b_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) as the recurrence coefficients.

Let $J_{(f_n)} \subset [-M, M]$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$ where $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. If we denote the Jacobi operator associated with $\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}$ by $H_{(f_n)}$ then the *resolvent function* $R_{(f_n)}$ is defined as

$$R_{(f_n)}(z) := \int \frac{d\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}(x)}{x - z} = \langle (H_{(f_n)} - z)^{-1} \delta_1, \delta_1 \rangle$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J_{(f_n)}$. Note that $R_{(f_n)}$ is an analytic function. If $f_n = f$ for a nonlinear polynomial f for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the resolvent function satisfies a functional equation:

$$(4.2) \quad R_{(f)}(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{\deg f} R_{(f)}(f(z)).$$

See e.g. [6] for a discussion of resolvent functions and operators associated with the equilibrium measure of autonomous polynomial Julia sets. It is well known that (see e.g. p. 53 in [31]) for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D_M(0)}$

$$(4.3) \quad R_{(f_n)}(z) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{-(n+1)}$$

where c_n is the n -th moment for $\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}$, $D_M(0)$ is the open ball centered at 0 with radius M in \mathbb{C} and the series at (4.3) is absolutely convergent in the corresponding domain.

We define the ∂ operator as

$$\partial = \frac{\partial_x - i\partial_y}{2}.$$

If g is a harmonic function on a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ then (see e.g. Theorem 1.1.2 in [27]) there is an analytic function h on D such that $g = \operatorname{Re} h$ holds. Moreover, we have $h'(z) = 2\partial g(z)$. Furthermore,

$$G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(z) = \log(\operatorname{Cap}(J_{(f_n)})^{-1}) - U_{\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}}(z)$$

holds where $U_{\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}}$ is the logarithmic potential for $\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}$. In addition, for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J_{(f_n)}$, there is a $\delta > 0$ and an analytic function h (which may depend on z_0) such that (see e.g. p. 87 in [14]) $h'(z) = R_{(f_n)}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Re} h = U_{\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}}$ for $z \in D_\delta(z_0)$. By harmonicity of $U_{\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}}$ this implies

$$(4.4) \quad 2\partial G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(z) = -2\partial U_{\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}}(z) = -R_{(f_n)}(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J_{(f_n)}$. The next theorem follows from the discussion above.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $J_{(f_n)} \subset \mathbb{R}$ provided that $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then the following functional equation holds where the limit exists locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus J_{(f_n)}$:*

$$(4.5) \quad R_{(f_n)}(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{(f_n)}(F_k(z))F'_k(z)}{d_1 \cdots d_k}.$$

Proof. If we apply ∂ to both sides of (2.2), it is permitted to change the differentiation and limit since (see e.g. p. 16 in [3]) $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}$ is harmonic in $\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) \setminus \infty$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) \setminus \infty = \mathbb{C} \setminus J_{(f_n)}$ here since $J_{(f_n)}$ lies on \mathbb{R} . Hence, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \partial G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_{(f_n)}}(F_k(z))F'_k(z)}{d_1 \cdots d_k}$$

where the limit on the right side of (4.6) holds locally uniformly. Using (4.4) and (4.6), we have (4.5) immediately. \square

Remark 4.3. Provided that $f_n = f$ for a fixed nonlinear polynomial f in Theorem 4.2, (4.5) reduces to (4.2) if we put $f(z)$ instead of z in both sides of (2.2) and follow the steps of the proof of Theorem 4.2.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF REAL JULIA SETS

Let f be a nonlinear real polynomial with real and simple zeros $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ and distinct extremas $y_1 < \dots < y_{n-1}$ with $|f(y_i)| > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then we say that f is an *admissible* polynomial. Note that in the literature the last condition is usually given as $|f(y_i)| \geq 1$. We list useful features of preimages of admissible polynomials.

Theorem 5.1. [16] *Let f be an admissible polynomial of degree n . Then*

$$f^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \cup_{i=1}^n E_i$$

where E_i is a closed non-degenerate interval containing exactly one root x_i of f for each i . These intervals are pairwise disjoint and $\mu_{f^{-1}([-1, 1])}(E_i) = 1/n$.

We say that an admissible polynomial f satisfies *the property (A)* if

- (a) $f^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset [-1, 1]$,
- (b) $f(\{-1, 1\}) \subset \{-1, 1\}$,
- (c) $f(a) = 0$ implies $f(-a) = 0$.

Clearly, (c) implies that f is even or odd.

Lemma 5.2. *Let g_1 and g_2 be admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then $g_3 := g_2 \circ g_1$ is also an admissible polynomial that satisfies (A).*

Proof. Let $\deg g_k = n_k$. Moreover, let $(x_{j,1})_{j=1}^{n_1}$, $(x_{j,2})_{j=1}^{n_2}$ be the zeros and $(y_{j,1})_{j=1}^{n_1-1}$ and $(y_{j,2})_{j=1}^{n_2-1}$ be the critical points of g_1 , g_2 respectively. Then the equation $g_3(z) = 0$ implies that $g_1(z) = x_{j,2}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n_2\}$. By (a) and (b), the equation $g_1(z) = \beta$ has n_1 distinct roots for $|\beta| \leq 1$ and the sets of roots of $g_1(z) = \beta_1$ and $g_1(z) = \beta_2$ are disjoint for different $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [-1, 1]$. Therefore, g_3 has $n_1 n_2$ distinct zeros. Similarly, $(g_3)'(z) = g_2'(g_1(z))g_1'(z) = 0$ implies $g_1'(z) = 0$ or $g_1(z) = y_{j,2}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n_2 - 1\}$. The equation $g_1'(z) = 0$ has $n_1 - 1$ distinct solutions in $(-1, 1)$. For each of them $|g_1(z)| > 1$ and $g_2'(g_1(z)) \neq 0$. On the other hand, for each $j \leq n_2 - 1$, the equation $g_1(z) = y_{j,2}$ has n_1 distinct solutions with $g_1'(y_{j,2}) \neq 0$. Thus, the total number of solutions for the equation $g_3'(z) = 0$ is $n_1 - 1 + n_1(n_2 - 1) = n_1 n_2 - 1$ which is required. Hence, g_3 is admissible. It is straightforward that for the function g_3 parts (a) and (b) are satisfied. The part (c) is also satisfied for g_3 , since arbitrary compositions of even and odd functions are either even or odd. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ be a sequence of admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then F_n is an admissible polynomial with the property (A). Besides, $F_{n+1}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset F_n^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset [-1, 1]$ and $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ is a Cantor set in $[-1, 1]$.*

Proof. All statements except the last one follow directly from Lemma 5.2 and the representation $F_n(z) = f_n \circ F_{n-1}(z)$. Let us show that K is totally disconnected.

If K is polar then (see e.g. Corollary 3.8.5. of [27]) it is totally disconnected. If K is non-polar, then (see e.g. Theorem A.16. of [30]), $\mu_{F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])} \rightarrow \mu_K$. Suppose that K is not totally disconnected. Then K contains an interval E such that $E \subset F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ for all n . Since we have $\mu_{F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])}(E) \leq 1/(d_1 \dots d_n)$ by Theorem 5.1, convergence of $(\mu_{F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])})$ implies that $\mu_K(E) = 0$. Thus all interior points of E in \mathbb{R} are outside of the support of μ_K . This is impossible by Theorem 4.2.3. of [27] since $K = \partial(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$ and $\text{Cap}(E) > 0$. \square

Here we consider admissible polynomials as polynomials of complex variable.

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be an admissible polynomial satisfying (A). Then $|f(z)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon$ provided $|z| > 1 + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. If $|z| = 1$ then $|f(z)| > 1$ unless $z = \pm 1$.*

Proof. Let $\deg f = n$ and $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ be the zeros of f . By (c), $x_k = -x_{n+1-k}$ for $k \leq n$. In particular, if n is odd, then $x_{(n+1)/2} = 0$.

Let $x_i \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, by the law of cosines, the polynomial $P_{x_i}(z) := z^2 - x_i^2$ attains minimum of its modulus on the set $\{z : |z| = 1 + \varepsilon\}$ at the point $z = 1 + \varepsilon$. Therefore $|P_{x_i}(z)|/|P_{x_i}(\pm 1)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon$ for any z with $|z| = 1 + \varepsilon$. Using the symmetry of the roots of f about $x = 0$, we see that $|f(z)| = |f(z)/f(\pm 1)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon$ for such z .

If $|z| = 1$ then $|P_{x_i}(z)|$ attains its minimum at the points ± 1 . Hence we have $|f(z)| = |f(z)/f(\pm 1)| > 1$ if $|z| = 1$ and $z \neq \pm 1$. \square

In the next theorem we use the argument of Theorem 1 in [19].

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ be a sequence of admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-1}([-1, 1]) = J_{(f_n)}$.*

Proof. Let us prove first the inclusion $J_{(f_n)} \subset K$. Let $R > 1$ be any number satisfying $A_1 R(1 - (A_2/(R-1))) > 2$. Then by part (b) of Theorem 2.1, we have $\mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^{-1}(\Delta_R)$ and $f_n(\overline{\Delta_R}) \subset \Delta_R$ for all n . If we show that $|F_n(z)| > 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some positive ε , this implies that $F_{n+k}(z) \in \Delta_R$ for some positive k by Lemma 5.4 and thus $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$.

Let $|z| = 1 + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 5.4, $|F_1(z)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon$. Hence, $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$.

Let $|z| = 1$ where $z \neq \pm 1$. Then using Lemma 5.4, we see that $|F_1(z)| > 1$. Thus, $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$.

If we let $z \in [-1, 1] \setminus K$, then there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|F_N(z)| > 1$. As a result, $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$.

Letting $z = x + iy$ where $x \notin K$, $|y| > 0$ and $|z| < 1$ implies that there exists a positive number N such that $|F_N(x)| > 1$. Since all of the zeros of F_n are on the real line by Lemma 5.3, we have $|F_n(z)| > |F_n(x)| > 1$. Hence $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$.

Let $z = x + iy$ where $x \in K$, $|y| > 0$ and $|z| < 1$. Since K is a Cantor set by Lemma 5.3, there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N$ implies that each connected component of $F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ has length less than $y^2/8$. Let $x_1 < x_2 \dots < x_{d_1 \dots d_{N+1}}$ be the roots of the polynomial F_{N+1} and E_j denote the connected component of $F_{N+1}^{-1}([-1, 1])$ containing x_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d_1 \dots d_{N+1}$. Furthermore, let $E_s = [a, b]$ be the component containing the point x . Observe that $|F_{N+1}(a)| = |F_{N+1}(b)| = 1$. So, in order to show $z \notin J_{(f_n)}$, it is enough to show that $|F_{N+1}(z)| > |F_{N+1}(a)|$.

If $j < s$, then $|a - x_j| \leq |x - x_j| < |z - x_j|$.

If $j = s$, then $|a - x_j| < y^2/8 < |y| \leq |z - x_j|$.

If $j > s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a - x_j| &= \sqrt{|x_j - a|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + |x - a|^2 + 2|x_j - x||x - a|} \\ &< \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + \frac{y^4}{64} + \frac{y^2}{2}} \\ &< \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + y^2} = |z - x_j|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|F_n(z)| > 1$. Thus, we have $J_{(f_n)} \subset K$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K \subset \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty)$.

For the inverse inclusion, observe that $K \subset \{z : |F_n(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } n\}$ where $\{z : |F_n(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } n\} \cap \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) = \emptyset$. Since K is contained in the real line and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K \subset \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty)$ by the first part of the proof, we have $K \subset \partial \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) = J_{(f_n)}$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Orthogonal polynomials associated to the equilibrium measure of K and the corresponding recurrence coefficients (Jacobi coefficients) can be calculated by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1.*

6. SMOOTHNESS OF GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

For some generalized Julia sets a deeper analysis can be done. In this section we consider a modification $K_1(\gamma)$ of the set $K(\gamma)$ from [19] that will quite correspond to Theorem

5.5. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameters that makes the Green function $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ optimally smooth. Although smoothness properties of Green functions are interesting in their own rights, in our case the optimal smoothness of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ is necessary for $K_1(\gamma)$ to be a Parreau-Widom set.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a non-polar compact set. Then $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K}$ is said to be *Hölder continuous* with exponent β if there exists a number $A > 0$ such that

$$G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K}(z) \leq A(\text{dist}(z, K))^\beta,$$

holds for all z satisfying $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq 1$, where $\text{dist}(\cdot)$ stands for the distance function. For applications of smoothness of Green functions, we refer the reader to [7].

Smoothness properties of Green functions are examined for a variety of sets. For the complement of autonomous Julia sets, see [20] and for the complement of $J_{(f_n)}$ see [9, 10]. When K is a symmetric Cantor-type set in $[0, 1]$, it is possible to give a sufficient and necessary condition in order the Green function for the complement of the Cantor set is Hölder continuous with the exponent $1/2$, i.e. optimally smooth. See Chapter 5 in [34] for details.

We will use density properties of equilibrium measures. By the next theorem, which is proven in [33], it is possible to associate the density properties of equilibrium measures with the smoothness properties of Green's functions.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a non-polar compact set which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. Let $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ where Ω is the unbounded component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$. Then for every $0 < r < 1$ we have*

$$\int_0^r \frac{\mu_K(D_t(z_0))}{t} dt \leq \sup_{|z-z_0|=r} G_\Omega(z) \leq 3 \int_0^{4r} \frac{\mu_K(D_t(z_0))}{t} dt.$$

Let $\gamma := (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be given such that $0 < \gamma_n < 1/4$ for all n , $\varepsilon_n := 1/4 - \gamma_n$. Take $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_n}(z^2 - 1) + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $F_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_1}(z^2 - 1) + 1$ and similarly $F_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_n}(F_{n-1}^2(z) - 1) + 1$ for $n \geq 2$. It is easy to see that, as a polynomial of real variable, F_n is admissible, it satisfies (A) and, in addition, all minimums of F_n are the same and equal to $1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_n}$. Then $K_1(\gamma) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ is a stretched version of the set $K(\gamma)$ from [19]. Here,

$$G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \log |F_n(z)|.$$

Since the leading coefficient of F_n is $2^{1-2^n} \gamma_n \gamma_{n-1}^2 \cdots \gamma_1^{2^{n-1}}$, the logarithmic capacity of $K_1(\gamma)$ is $2 \exp(\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \log \gamma_n)$.

If, in addition, for some $0 < c < 1/4$ we have $\gamma_n \geq c$ for all n , then $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and, by Theorem 5.5, $K_1(\gamma) = J_{(f_n)}$. Without this condition the sequence (f_n) is not regular, the set $K_1(\gamma)$ is not uniformly perfect (at least if we assume that $\gamma_n \leq 1/32$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see Theorem 3 in [19]), but polynomials from Theorem 3.3 are still orthogonal, by [2].

In the limit case, when all $\gamma_n = 1/4$, F_n is the Chebyshev polynomial (of the first kind) T_{2^n} and $K_1(\gamma) = [-1, 1]$.

Let $I_{1,0} := [-1, 1]$. The set $F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ is a disjoint union of 2^n non-degenerate closed intervals $I_{j,n} = [a_{j,n}, b_{j,n}]$ with length $l_{j,n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2^n$. We call them *basic intervals of n -th level*. The inclusion $F_{n+1}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ implies that $I_{2j-1, n+1} \cup I_{2j, n+1} \subset I_{j, n}$

where $a_{2j-1,n+1} = a_{j,n}$ and $b_{2j,n+1} = b_{j,n}$. We denote the gap $(b_{2j-1,n+1}, a_{2j,n+1})$ by $H_{j,n}$ and the length of the gap by $h_{j,n}$. Thus,

$$K_1(\gamma) = [-1, 1] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} H_{j,n} \right).$$

Let us consider the parameter function $v_\gamma(t) = \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma(1-t)}$ for $|t| \leq 1$ with $0 < \gamma \leq 1/4$. This increasing and concave function is an analog of u from [19]. By means of v_γ we can write the endpoints of the basic intervals of n -th level, which are the solutions of $F_k(x) = -1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ together with the points ± 1 . Namely, $F_n(x) = -1$ gives $F_{n-1}(x) = \pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1)$, then $F_{n-2}(x) = \pm v_{\gamma_{n-1}}(\pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1))$, etc. The iterates eventually give 2^n values

$$(6.1) \quad x = \pm v_{\gamma_1} \circ (\pm v_{\gamma_2} \circ (\cdots \pm v_{\gamma_{n-1}} \circ (\pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1) \cdots)),$$

which are the endpoints $\{b_{2j-1,n}, a_{2j,n}\}_{j=1}^{2^{n-1}}$. The remaining 2^n points can be found similarly, as the solutions of $F_k(x) = -1$ for $1 \leq k < n$ and ± 1 .

As in Lemma 2 in [19], $\min_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} l_{j,n}$ is realized on the first and the last intervals. Since the rightmost solution of $F_n(x) = -1$, namely $a_{2^n,n}$, is given by (6.1) with all signs positive, we have

$$(6.2) \quad l_{1,n} = l_{2^n,n} = 1 - v_{\gamma_1}(v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_{n-1}}(v_{\gamma_n}(-1) \cdots)).$$

The next lemma shows that $l_{1,n}$ can be evaluated in terms of $\delta_n := \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_n$.

Lemma 6.2. *For each γ with $0 < \gamma_k \leq 1/4$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$2\delta_n \leq l_{1,n} \leq (\pi^2/2)\delta_n.$$

Proof. Clearly, $1 - v_\gamma(t) = \frac{2}{1+v_\gamma(t)}\gamma(1-t)$. Repeated application of this to (6.2) gives the representation $l_{1,n} = 2\mathfrak{x}_n(\gamma)\delta_n$, where $\mathfrak{x}_n(\gamma)$ is equal to

$$\frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_1}(v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_n}(-1) \cdots))} \frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_n}(-1) \cdots)} \cdots \frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_n}(-1)}.$$

Since $v_{1/4}(t) \leq v_\gamma(t) \leq 1$, we have $1 \leq \mathfrak{x}_n(\gamma) \leq \mathfrak{x}_n(1/4)$, where the last denotes the value of \mathfrak{x}_n in the case when all $\gamma_k = 1/4$. This gives the left part of the inequality. Let C_{2^n} be the distance between 1 and the rightmost extrema of T_{2^n} . Hence, see e.g. p.7. of [28], $C_{2^n} = 1 - \cos(\pi/2^n) < \pi^2/(2 \cdot 4^n)$. On the other hand, $C_{2^n} = 2\mathfrak{x}_n(1/4)4^{-n}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{x}_n(1/4) < \pi^2/4$, and the lemma follows. \square

For the case $\gamma_n \leq 1/32$ for all n , smoothness of the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(\gamma)$ and related properties are examined in [18], [19]. The next theorem is complementary to Theorem 1 of [18] and examines the smoothness of the Green function as $\gamma_n \rightarrow 1/4$.

Theorem 6.3. *The function $G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ is Hölder continuous with the exponent $1/2$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Then $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 4\varepsilon_k) = a$ for some $0 < a < 1$, $\delta_n = 4^{-n} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - 4\varepsilon_k) > a4^{-n}$ and, by Lemma 6.2, $2a \cdot 4^{-n} \leq l_{1,n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let z_0 be an arbitrary point of $K_1(\gamma)$. We claim that $\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(z_0)) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{a}}\sqrt{t}$ for all $t > 0$. It is evident for $t \geq 1/32$, as $\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}$ is a probability measure. Let $0 < t < 1/32$. Fix n with $l_{1,n} < t \leq l_{1,n-1}$. We have $t > 2a \cdot 4^{-n}$.

On the other hand, $D_t(z_0)$ can contain points from at most 4 basic intervals of level $n-1$. Since $\mu_{F_n^{-1}([-1,1])} \rightarrow \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}$, by [30], we have $\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(I_{j,k}) = 1/2^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^k$. Therefore, $\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(z_0)) \leq 2^{3-n} < 8\sqrt{t/2a}$, which is our claim. The optimal smoothness of $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ follows from Theorem 6.1.

Conversely, suppose that, on the contrary, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k = \infty$. This is equivalent to the condition $4^n \delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for any $\sigma > 0$, there is a number N such that $n > N$ implies that $4^n \delta_n < \sigma$. For any $t \leq l_{1,N+1}$, there exists $m \geq N+1$ such that $l_{1,m+1} < t \leq l_{1,m}$. Then, $\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(0)) \geq \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(I_{1,m+1}) = 2^{-m-1}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.2, $t \leq 2\pi^2 \sigma 4^{-m-1}$. Therefore, for any $t \leq l_{1,N+1}$ we have $\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\pi\sqrt{2\sigma}} \leq \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(0))$. Hence, the inequality

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi\sqrt{\sigma}} \sqrt{r} \leq \int_0^r \frac{\mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(0))}{t} dt,$$

holds for $r \leq l_{1,N+1}$. By Theorem 6.1, $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(-r) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi\sqrt{\sigma}} \sqrt{r}$. Since σ is here as small as we wish, the Green function is not optimally smooth. \square

7. PARREAU-WIDOM SETS

Parreau-Widom sets are of special interest in the recent spectral theory of orthogonal polynomials. For different aspects of the theory, we refer the reader to the articles [12, 17, 32, 36] among others.

A compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem is called a *Parreau-Widom* set if $PW(K) := \sum_j G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}(c_j) < \infty$ where $\{c_j\}$ is the set of critical points of $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}$, which, clearly, is at most countable. A Parreau-Widom set has always positive Lebesgue measure, see [12].

Our aim is to give a criterion when $K_1(\gamma)$ is a Parreau-Widom set. Note that, since autonomous Julia-Cantor sets in \mathbb{R} have zero Lebesgue measure (see e.g. Section 1.19. in [21]), such sets can not be Parreau-Widom.

We begin with a technical lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b_0 = 1$ and $b_{k+1} = b_k(1 + 4^{-p+k} b_k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then $b_p < 2$.*

Proof. We have $b_1 = 1 + 4^{-p}$, $b_2 = 1 + (1+4)4^{-p} + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4^{-2p} + 4 \cdot 4^{-3p}, \dots$, so $b_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N_k} a_{n,k} 4^{-np}$ with $N_k = 2^k - 1$ and $a_{0,k} = 1$. Let $a_{n,k} := 0$ if $n > N_k$. The definition of b_{k+1} gives the recurrence relation

$$(7.1) \quad a_{n,k+1} = a_{n,k} + 4^k \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n-j,k} a_{j-1,k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq N_{k+1}.$$

If $N_k < n \leq N_{k+1}$, that is $n = N_k + m$ with $1 \leq m \leq N_k + 1$, then the formula takes the form $a_{n,k+1} = 4^k \sum_{j=m}^{n-m+1} a_{n-j,k} a_{j-1,k}$, since $a_{n-j,k} = 0$ for $j < m$ and $a_{j-1,k} = 0$ for $j > n-m+1$. In particular, $a_{N_{k+1},k+1} = 4^k a_{N_k,k}^2$ and $a_{1,k+1} = a_{1,k} + 4^k$. Therefore, $a_{1,k} = 1 + 4 + \dots + 4^{k-1} < 4^k/3$. Let us show that $a_{n,k} < C_n 4^{nk}$ with $C_n = 4^{1-n}/3$ for $n \geq 2$. This gives the desired result, as $b_p = \sum_{n=0}^{N_p} a_{n,p} 4^{-np} < 1 + 1/3 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} 4^{1-n} < 2$.

By induction, suppose the inequality $a_{j,k} < C_j 4^{jk}$ is valid for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and for all $k > 0$. We consider $j = n$. The bound $a_{n,i} < C_n 4^{ni}$ is valid for $i = 1$, as $a_{n,1} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Suppose it is valid as well for $i \leq k$.

We use (7.1) repeatedly, in order to reduce the second index, and, after this, the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,k+1} &= \sum_{q=1}^k 4^q \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n-j,q} a_{j-1,q} < \sum_{q=1}^k 4^{nq} \sum_{j=1}^n C_{n-j} C_{j-1} < \sum_{q=1}^k 4^{nq} \\ &< C_n 4^{n(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 := 1$. Therefore the desired bound is valid for all positive n and k . \square

Theorem 7.2. $K_1(\gamma)$ is a Parreau-Widom set if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} < \infty$.

Proof. Let $E_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |F_n(z)| \leq 1\}$. Then $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E_n}(z) = 2^{-n} \log |F_n(z)|$. Clearly, the critical points of $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E_n}$ coincide with the critical points of F_n and thus they are real. Let $Y_n = \{x : F_n'(x) = 0\}$, $Z_n = \{x : F_n(x) = 0\}$. Clearly, $Y_n \cap Z_n = \emptyset$ and $Z_k \cap Z_n = \emptyset$ for $n \neq k$. Since $F_n' = F_{n-1} F_{n-1}' / \gamma_n$, we have $Y_n = Y_{n-1} \cup Z_{n-1}$, so $Y_n = Z_{n-1} \cup Z_{n-2} \cup \dots \cup Z_0$, where $Z_0 = \{0\}$. We see that $Y_n \subset Y_{n+1}$, so the set of critical points for $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ is $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n$ and $PW(K_1(\gamma)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in Z_{n-1}} G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z)$. In addition, for each $k \geq n$ the function F_k is constant on the set Z_{n-1} which contains 2^{n-1} points. Let $s_n = 2^{n-1} G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z)$, where z is any point from Z_{n-1} . Then

$$(7.2) \quad PW(K_1(\gamma)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k.$$

We can assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty$. Indeed, it is immediate if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} < \infty$. On the other hand, if $z \in Z_{n-1}$, that is $F_{n-1} = 0$, then $F_n(z) = 1 - 1/2\gamma_n = -1 - \frac{8\varepsilon_n}{1-4\varepsilon_n}$. Since $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E_n} \nearrow G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$, we have $s_n > 1/2 \log |F_n(z)| > 1/2 \log(1 + 8\varepsilon_n) > 2\varepsilon_n$, as $\log(1+t) > t/2$ for $0 < t < 2$. Therefore the supposition $PW(K_1(\gamma)) < \infty$ implies, by (7.2), that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \infty$.

Let $a = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 4\varepsilon_k)$. By the remark above, $0 < a < 1$. Our aim is to evaluate s_n from both sides for large n . Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N$ implies that $\varepsilon_n \leq a/36$. We consider only such n after this point of the proof. Then $1 - 4\varepsilon_n > 8/9$ and for $\sigma_n := \frac{8\varepsilon_n}{1-4\varepsilon_n}$ there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad a \cdot 4^{-1-p} < \sigma_n \leq a \cdot 4^{-p}.$$

Consider the function $f(t) = \frac{1}{2\beta}(t^2 - 1) + 1$ for $t > 1$, where $\beta = 1/4 - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon < 1/36$. Thus, $F_{k+1}(z) = f(F_k(z))$ for $\beta = \gamma_{k+1}$. If $t = 1 + \sigma$ for small σ , then we will use the representation $f(t) = 1 + \sigma_1$ with $4\sigma < \sigma_1 = 4\sigma \frac{1+\sigma/2}{1-4\varepsilon}$. Also, for each $t \geq 1$ we have $t^2 \leq f(t) < \frac{1}{2\beta} t^2 < \frac{9}{4} t^2$.

Let us fix $z \in Z_{n-1}$. Then, as above, $|F_n(z)| = 1 + \sigma_n$. Then $F_{n+1}(z) = 1 + \sigma_{n+1}$ with $4\sigma_n < \sigma_{n+1} = 4\sigma_n \frac{1+\sigma_n/2}{1-4\varepsilon_{n+1}}$. We continue in this fashion to obtain $F_{n+p}(z) = 1 + \sigma_{n+p}$ with

$$(7.4) \quad 4^p \sigma_n < \sigma_{n+p} = 4^p \sigma_n \cdot \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \frac{1 + \sigma_k/2}{1 - 4\varepsilon_{k+1}}.$$

After that we use the second estimation for f . This gives $F_{n+p}^2(z) \leq F_{n+p+1}(z) < \frac{9}{4} F_{n+p}^2(z)$ and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_{n+p}^{2^k}(z) \leq F_{n+p+k}(z) < (9/4)^{2^k-1} F_{n+p}^{2^k}(z).$$

From this, we have

$$2^{-n-p} \log F_{n+p}(z) \leq G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E_{n+p+k}}(z) \leq 2^{-n-p} [\log(9/4) + \log F_{n+p}(z)].$$

Recall that

$$G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E_{n+p+k}}(z) \nearrow G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z),$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_n = 2^{n-1} G_{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z)$. Hence,

$$2^{-p-1} \log F_{n+p}(z) \leq s_n \leq 2^{-p-1} [\log(9/4) + \log F_{n+p}(z)].$$

Now suppose that $K_1(\gamma)$ is a Parreau-Widom set, so, by (7.2), the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k$ converges. Then, by (7.4), we have $s_n \geq 2^{-p-1} \log(1 + 4^p \sigma_n)$. By (7.3), $4^p \sigma_n < 1$ and $\log(1 + 4^p \sigma_n) > 4^p \sigma_n / 2$. Therefore, $s_n \geq 2^p \sigma_n / 4$. We use (7.3) once again to obtain $s_n \geq \sqrt{a \sigma_n} / 8$, which implies the convergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\varepsilon_k} < \infty$. Then $s_n \leq 2^{-p} \log(3/2) + 2^{-p-1} \sigma_{n+p}$. By (7.3), the first summand on the right is the general term of a convergent series. For the addend we have

$$2^{-p-1} \sigma_{n+p} < 1/2a \cdot 2^p \sigma_n \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} (1 + \sigma_k/2),$$

by (7.4). From (7.3) it follows that $2^p \sigma_n \leq \sqrt{a \sigma_n} < 3\sqrt{a \varepsilon_n}$, as $\varepsilon_n < 1/36$. Let us show that

$$(7.5) \quad \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} (1 + \sigma_k/2) < 2.$$

This will give the estimation $2^{-p-1} \sigma_{n+p} < 3\sqrt{\varepsilon_n/a}$, where the right part is the general term of a convergent series. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k < \infty$, which is the desired conclusion, by (7.2).

Thus, it remains to prove (7.5). We use notations of Lemma 7.1. By (7.3), we have $1 + \sigma_n/2 \leq 1 + a4^{-p}/2 < b_1$. Then,

$$1 + \sigma_{n+1}/2 < 1 + \frac{a}{1 - 4\varepsilon_{n+1}} 4^{-p+1} (1 + \sigma_n/2) < 1 + 4^{-p+1} b_1 = b_2/b_1$$

and $(1 + \sigma_n/2)(1 + \sigma_{n+1}/2) < b_2$. Similarly, by (7.4) and (7.3),

$$1 + \sigma_{n+k+1}/2 < 1 + \frac{a}{(1 - 4\varepsilon_{n+1}) \cdots (1 - 4\varepsilon_{n+k})} 4^{-p+k} b_k < b_{k+1}/b_k$$

for $k \leq p-2$. Lemma 7.1 now yields (7.5). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alpan, G: Chebyshev polynomials on generalized Julia sets, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, (2015), doi: 10.1007/s40315-015-0145-8
- [2] Alpan, G., Goncharov, A.: Orthogonal polynomials for the weakly equilibrium Cantor sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, electronically published on May 6, 2016, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/13025> (to appear in print).

- [3] Axler, S., Bourdon, P., Ramey, W.: Harmonic function theory, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 137. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2001)
- [4] Barnsley, M.F., Geronimo, J.S., Harrington, A.N.: Orthogonal polynomials associated with invariant measures on Julia sets, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7**(2), 381–384 (1982)
- [5] Barnsley M.F., Geronimo, J.S., Harrington, A.N.: Infinite-dimensional Jacobi matrices associated with Julia sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88**(4), 625–630 (1983)
- [6] Bessis, D: Orthogonal polynomials Padé approximations, and Julia sets, in: *Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory & Practice*, 294 (P. Nevai ed.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 55–97 (1990)
- [7] Biaś-Cieź, L.: Smoothness of Green’s functions and Markov-type inequalities, *Banach Center Publ.* **92**, 27–36 (2011)
- [8] Brolin, H.: Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions, *Ark. Mat.* **6**(2), 103–144 (1965)
- [9] Brück, R.: Geometric properties of Julia sets of the composition of polynomials of the form $z^2 + c_n$, *Pac. J. Math.* **198**, 347–372 (2001)
- [10] Brück, R., Büger, M.: Generalized iteration, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **3**, 201–252 (2003)
- [11] Büger, M.: Self-similarity of Julia sets of the composition of polynomials, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **17**, 1289–1297 (1997)
- [12] Christiansen, J.S.: Szegő’s theorem on Parreau-Widom sets, *Adv. Math.* **229**, 1180–1204 (2012)
- [13] Comerford, M.: Hyperbolic non-autonomous Julia sets, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **26**, 353–377 (2006)
- [14] Finkelstein, A. M.: Equilibrium problems of potential theory in the complex plane. Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1883, Springer, Berlin, 79117 (2006)
- [15] Fornæss, J.E., Sibony, N.: Random iterations of rational functions, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **11**, 687–708 (1991)
- [16] Geronimo, J.S., Van Assche, W.: Orthogonal polynomials on several intervals via a polynomial mapping, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **308**, 559–581 (1988)
- [17] Gesztesy, F., Zinchenko, M.: Local spectral properties of reflectionless Jacobi, CMV, and Schrödinger operators, *J. Differ. Equations.* **246**, 78–107 (2009)
- [18] Goncharov, A.: Best exponents in Markov’s inequalities, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **17**, 1515–1527 (2014)
- [19] Goncharov, A.: Weakly equilibrium Cantor type sets, *Potential Anal.* **40**, 143–161 (2014)
- [20] Kosek, M.: Hölder exponents of the Green functions of planar polynomial Julia sets, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **193**, 359–368 (2014)
- [21] Lyubich, M.: The dynamics of rational transforms: the topological picture, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **41**(4), 43–118 (1986)
- [22] Mañé, R., Da Rocha, L.F.: Julia sets are uniformly perfect, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**(1), 251–257 (1992)
- [23] Mayer, V., Skorulski, B., Urbański, M.: Regularity and irregularity of fiber dimensions of non-autonomous dynamical systems, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **38**, 489–514 (2013)
- [24] Mead, D. G.: Newton’s identities, *Amer. Math. Monthly.* **99**, 749–751 (1992)
- [25] Milnor, J.: Dynamics in one complex variables, Princeton Universty Press, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, **160**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (2006)
- [26] Peherstorfer, F., Volberg, A., Yuditskii, P.: Limit periodic Jacobi matrices with a prescribed p -adic hull and a singular continuous spectrum. *Math. Res. Lett.* **13**, 215–230 (2006)
- [27] Ransford, T.: Potential theory in the complex plane, Cambridge University Press, (1995)
- [28] Rivlin, T.J.: Chebyshev polynomials : from approximation theory to algebra and number theory, Second Edition, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, (1990)
- [29] Saff, E.B., Totik, V.: Logarithmic potentials with external fields, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997)
- [30] Simon, B.: Equilibrium measures and capacities in spectral theory, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **1**, 713–772 (2007)
- [31] Simon, B.: Szegő’s Theorem and Its Descendants: Spectral Theory for L^2 Perturbations of Orthogonal Polynomials, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NY (2011)
- [32] Sodin, M., Yuditskii, P.: Almost periodic Jacobi matrices with homogeneous spectrum, infinite-dimensional Jacobi inversion, and Hardy spaces of character-automorphic functions, *J. Geom. Anal.* **7**, 387–435 (1997)

- [33] Toókos, F., Totik, V.: Markov inequality and Green functions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo math. 2 Suppl.* **76**, 91–102 (2005)
- [34] Totik, V.: Metric properties of harmonic measures, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **184** (2006)
- [35] Van Assche, W.: Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987)
- [36] Volberg, A., Yuditskii, P.: Kotani-Last problem and Hardy spaces on surfaces of Widom type, *Invent. Math.* **197**, 683–740 (2014)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BILKENT UNIVERSITY, 06800 ANKARA, TURKEY
E-mail address: gokalp@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BILKENT UNIVERSITY, 06800 ANKARA, TURKEY
E-mail address: goncha@fen.bilkent.edu.tr