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#### Abstract

A three-dimensional unilateral contact problem for articular cartilage layers attached to subchondral bones shaped as elliptic paraboloids is considered in the framework of the biphasic cartilage model. The main novelty of the study is in accounting not only for the normal (vertical), but also for tangential vertical (horisontal) displacements of the contacting surfaces. Exact general relationships have been established between the contact approach and some integral characteristics of the contact pressure, including the contact force. Asymptotic representations for the contact pressure integral characteristics are obtained in terms of the contact approach and some integral characteristics of the contact zone. The main result is represented by the first-order approximation problem.


## 1 Introduction

Biomechanical contact problems involving transmission of forces across biological joints are of considerable practical interest (see, e.g. [2, 3, 11, 13]). Many analytical solutions to the problem of contact interaction of articular cartilage surfaces in joints are available. In particular, Ateshian et al. [8] obtained an asymptotic solution for the axisymmetric contact problem for two identical biphasic cartilage layers consisting of a solid phase and a fluid

[^0]phase and attached to two rigid impermeable spherical bones of equal radii. Later, Wu et al. [14] extended this solution to a more general axisymmetric model by combining the assumption of the kinetic relationship from classical contact mechanics [12] with the joint contact model [8] for the contact of two biphasic cartilage layers. An improved solution for the contact of two biphasic cartilage layers in the axisymmetric setting, which can be used for dynamic loading, was obtained by Wu et al. 15].

An asymptotic modeling approach to study the contact problem for biphasic cartilage layers has been performed by Argatov and Mishuris in a series of articles (see [4, 5, 7). In particular, it was shown [4] that accounting for the tangential displacements is important in the case of diseased cartilage where the measurement of indentation depth may differ even as much as $10 \%$ in comparison with the healthy case. In [5], the unilateral contact problem for articular cartilages bonded to subchondral bones with a contact zone in the shape of an arbitrary ellipse has been considered, and a closed form analytic solution was found. Exploiting this exact result, Argatov and Mishuris [7] have performed perturbation analysis of the contact problem with approximate geometry of the contact surfaces. Other analytic solutions for the contact problem were found using the viscoelastic cartilage model for elliptic contact zone in [6]. A new methodology for modeling articular tibio-femoral contact based on the developed asymptotic model of frictionless elliptical contact interaction between thin biphasic cartilage layers was presented in [2]. The mathematical model of articular contact was extended to the case of contact between arbitrary viscoelastic incompressible coating layers.

In this study we extend results obtained in papers [4] and [5] by considering the influence of the tangential displacements on the contact problem for cartilage layers with the contact zone of elliptic shape based on the biphasic material model. Note that the perturbation method proposed in [7] could be one of the options for the analysis, however, the procedure is too complex to perform even a few asymptotic steps. Here, employing some technique and ideas from [4] and [5], we propose another way to construct the asymptotics which utilises the assumption that the shape of the contact zone is an ellipse at the initial stage of deformation and can be regarded as a small perturbation of the ellipse at any other stage of deformation.

The paper is organized as follows. The unilateral contact problem formulation and its linearization are presented in Section 2, where a special case of the contact configuration with one cartilage layer being plane and rigid is also considered in detail. In Section 3, we derive exact general relationships between the contact approach and some integral characteristics of the contact pressure, including the contact force. In Section 3.3, we obtain asymptotic representations for the contact pressure integral characteristics in terms of the contact approach and some integral characteristics of the contact zone. The zero-order and first-order asymptotic approximations for the solution to the contact problem are obtained in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, respectively. Namely, the first-order approximation problem constitutes the main result of the present study.

## 2 Formulation of the contact problem

We consider a frictionless contact between two thin linear biphasic cartilage layers firmly attached to rigid bones shaped like elliptic paraboloids. In the Cartesian co-ordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right)=(\mathbf{x}, z)$ the equations for the two cartilage surfaces can be written in the form $z=(-1)^{n} \Phi^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}), n=1,2$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{(n)}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2 R_{1}^{(n)}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{2 R_{2}^{(n)}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{1}^{(n)}, R_{2}^{(n)}$ being the curvature radii of the $n$-th bone surface at its apex.
In the undeformed state, the cartilage-bone systems occupy convex domains $z \leq-\Phi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $z \geq \Phi^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. They are in the initial contact with the plane $z=0$ at the origin of the co-ordinate system.

We denote by $w_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t), w_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ the local vertical displacements of the corresponding cartilage surfaces. Let also $\mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be the local horizontal (tangential) displacements of the corresponding surface of the cartilages. Finally, we denote by $P(\mathbf{x}, t)$ the contact pressure density. In this notation the equations for the cartilage surfaces can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
z & =\delta_{1}(t)-\Phi^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)+w_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\
z & =-\delta_{2}(t)+\Phi^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)-w_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ are some (positive) vertical displacements of the rigid bones. Note also that the vertical displacements $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are positive, while the tangential displacements $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}$ are directed outside of the contact zone. Denoting by $\delta_{*}(t)=\delta_{1}(t)+\delta_{2}(t)$ the contact approach of the bones, we get from (2.2) the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{*}(t)+w_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)+w_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq \Phi^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)+\Phi^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in [8] (see also [4]) that vertical and the tangential displacements of each bone can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{n}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{h_{n} \epsilon_{n}^{2}}{3 \mu_{s, n}}\left\{\Delta P(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{3}{H_{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau\right\}, \quad n=1,2  \tag{2.4}\\
\mathbf{u}_{n}(\mathbf{x}, t)=-\frac{h_{n} \epsilon_{n}}{2 \mu_{s, n}} \nabla P(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad n=1,2 \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\epsilon_{n}=h_{n} / a_{0}$ are dimensionless small parameters, $h_{1}, h_{2}$ mean the thicknesses of the cartilage layers, and $a_{0}$ denotes a characteristic measure of the contact zone (see the detailed description of the role of this parameter in [4]), $H_{n}=\left(\lambda_{s, n}+2 \mu_{s, n}\right) / \mu_{s, n}$ are material parameters of cartilages, where $\lambda_{s, n}$ and $\mu_{s, n}$ represent the first Lame coefficient and the shear
modulus of the solid phase of the $n$-th cartilage tissue. Note that $\mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2}$ in (2.5) do not necessarily coincide, they depend on both spatial variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and on the time variable $t$.

Following [8], we introduce new spatial variables and time variable via formulas

$$
x_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{x_{j}}{a_{0}}, \quad j=1,2, \quad t^{\prime}=\frac{\chi t}{\mu_{0}},
$$

where

$$
\chi=\frac{3 \mu_{s, 1} k_{1}}{h_{1}^{2}}+\frac{3 \mu_{s, 2} k_{2}}{h_{2}^{2}}, \quad \mu_{0}=\frac{\mu_{s, 1}}{\lambda_{s, 1}+2 \mu_{s, 1}}+\frac{\mu_{s, 2}}{\lambda_{s, 2}+2 \mu_{s, 2}},
$$

$a_{0}$ is a characteristic measure of the contact zone, and $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are the cartilage's permeabilities. In these variables we have the following relations on the contact area $\omega(t)$ encircled by the curve $\Gamma(t)=\partial \omega(t)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
w_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)+w_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{h_{1}^{3}}{3 \mu_{s, 1}}+\frac{h_{2}^{3}}{3 \mu_{s, 2}}\right)\left\{\Delta P\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)+\chi \int_{0}^{t^{\prime}} \Delta P\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}\right\} \\
\Phi^{(n)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}+\mathbf{u}_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right) \simeq \Phi^{(n)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{h_{n}^{2} a_{0}}{2 \mu_{s, n}} \nabla \Phi^{(n)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \nabla P\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right), \quad n=1,2 \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

Further the equality in (2.3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{*}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+w_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)+w_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\Phi^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)+\Phi^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

determines the contact area $\omega(t)$.
Now we substitute (2.6), (2.7) into (2.8) and obtain the governing equation relating the contact pressure with the vertical approach of the bones $\delta_{*}(t)$ in the following form (from now on we keep the names of new unknown functions, e.g. $\Phi(\mathbf{x}):=\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} a_{0}\right)$ etc.):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P(\mathbf{x}, t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=m\left(\Phi(\mathbf{x})-\delta_{*}(t)-\nabla \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P(\mathbf{x}, t)\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$
\begin{gather*}
m=\left(\frac{h_{1}^{3}}{3 \mu_{s, 1}}+\frac{h_{2}^{3}}{3 \mu_{s, 2}}\right)^{-1}  \tag{2.10}\\
\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\Phi^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+\Phi^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, it follows from (2.1) and (2.11) that the functions $\Phi$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=A x_{1}^{2}+B x_{2}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
A=\frac{1}{2 R_{1}^{(1)}}+\frac{1}{2 R_{1}^{(2)}}, \quad B=\frac{1}{2 R_{2}^{(1)}}+\frac{1}{2 R_{2}^{(2)}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})=\widetilde{\Phi}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\widetilde{A} x_{1}^{2}+\widetilde{B} x_{2}^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the coefficients in $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ are positive dimensionless numbers, which are less than unit.

Without loss of generality, one can assume that $A>B$. Then, Eq. (2.9) can be rewritten in an equivalent form, using all dimensionless parameters』

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=\mu\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t)-\varepsilon \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the following notation has been introduced:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{j}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}^{2}+e_{j}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \quad \delta_{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{A} \delta_{*}(t)  \tag{2.15}\\
\mu=A m, \quad e_{1}=\sqrt{B / A}, \quad e_{2}=\sqrt{\widetilde{B} / \widetilde{A}}, \quad \varepsilon=\frac{\widetilde{A}}{A}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is important to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=O(1), \quad \mu \varepsilon \ll \chi \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discussion of the characteristic values of the introduced parameters is presented in Section 5 (see also [8, 4]).

Since the solution of (2.14) depends on the parameter $\varepsilon$, it is customer to denote an unknown contact pressure by $P=P_{\varepsilon}$ in what follows. Note that the problem for $\varepsilon=0$ coincides with that considered in [5], where an exact solution to this problem was found.

Equation (2.14) is the equation for determination of the contact pressure $P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) \geq 0$, $\mathbf{x} \in \omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$. In particular, in the case when the contact domain is represented by an ellipse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}(t, \varepsilon)}+\frac{\beta^{2}(t, \varepsilon) x_{2}^{2}}{b^{2}(t, \varepsilon)} \leq 1\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We supply Eq. (2.14) with the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)=0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(t)  \tag{2.18}\\
\frac{\partial P_{\varepsilon}}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}, t)=0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma(t) \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that in the axisymmetric case formula (2.14) coinsides with formula [4) (8)].

The equilibrium equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)} P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=F(t) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

connects the external load $F(t)$, unknown contact pressure $P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and unknown contact domain $\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$.

### 2.1 Special case of the contact configuration

In order to check the content of formula (2.9) we consider here a special case, namely, we suppose that the lower part cartilage layer is plane and rigid (the same assumption was employed in [14]), it means that $\mu_{s, 2}=\infty$ and $R_{1}^{(1)}=R_{2}^{(1)}=\infty$, i.e.,

$$
\Phi^{(1)} \equiv 0, \quad \Phi \equiv \Phi^{(2)}
$$

In this case we have got the following equation for determination of the contact domain $\omega(t)$ in the form similar to (2.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P(\mathbf{x}, t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=m\left(\Phi(\mathbf{x})-\delta_{*}(t)-\nabla \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P(\mathbf{x}, t)\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{3 \mu_{s, 2}}{h_{2}^{3}}, \quad \chi=\frac{3 \mu_{s, 2} k_{2}}{h_{2}^{2}} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, small changes have to be made in the right-hand side of Eq. (2.21) as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2 R_{1}^{(2)}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{2 R_{2}^{(2)}} \\
\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{h_{2}^{2} a_{0} x_{1}^{2}}{2 \mu_{s, 2} R_{1}^{(2)}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2} a_{0} x_{1}^{2}}{2 \mu_{s, 2} R_{1}^{(2)}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus Eq. (2.21) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta P(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{3 \mu_{s, 2} k_{2}}{h_{2}^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=\frac{3 \mu_{s, 2}}{h_{2}^{3}}\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2 R_{1}^{(2)}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{2 R_{2}^{(2)}}-\delta_{*}(t)\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
&-\frac{3 a_{0}}{h_{2}}\left[\frac{x_{1}}{R_{1}^{(2)}} \partial_{x_{1}} P(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{x_{2}}{R_{2}^{(2)}} \partial_{x_{1}} P(\mathbf{x}, t)\right] \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be easily checked that in the axisymmetric case Eq. (2.23) reduces to the governing differential equation obtained in [4].

## 3 General relationships between the solution components

### 3.1 Determination of the contact approach

In our model we assume that the external load is non-decreasing. Thus, the contact domain is monotonically expanded, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{1}\right) \subseteq \omega_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{2}\right), \quad \forall t_{1} \leq t_{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to suppose also that the contact pressure is defined on the whole plane. For this we simply extend the density $P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ by assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)=0, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_{\varepsilon}(t) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.14) over contact domain $\omega(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}+\chi \iint_{\omega(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}=  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\mu \iint_{\omega(t)}\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) d \mathbf{x}-\varepsilon \mu \iint_{\omega(t)} \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we omit here (and everywhere in the next two sections) the subindex $\varepsilon$ in $\omega_{\varepsilon}$.

From the monotonicity of the contact domain (3.1) and assumption (3.2), it follows that the second integral on the left-hand side can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}=\int_{0}^{t} \iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \mathbf{x} d \tau \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the second Green's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)}(u(\mathbf{x}) \Delta v(\mathbf{x})-v(\mathbf{x}) \Delta u(\mathbf{x})) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Gamma(t)}\left(u(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x})-v(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x})\right) d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u \equiv 1$ and $v=P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ we get the following relation in view of the boundary condition (2.19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{\partial P_{\varepsilon}}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}, s) d s=0, \quad \forall \tau \leq t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the both integrals on the left-hand side of (3.3) vanish.

Further, we use the first Green's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)}(\varphi \Delta \psi+\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Gamma(t)} \varphi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} d s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi(\mathbf{x})=\Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{x})=P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. In this case the integral on the right-hand side vanishes in view of (2.18), and we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=-\iint_{\omega(t)} P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) \Delta \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}=-2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) F(t), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the equilibrium equation (2.20) and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x})=2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $e_{2}$ being defined in (2.15).
In what follows, it is convenient to have the following notation for the integrals of the product of $k$-th power of the function $\Psi_{1}$ and $l$-th power of the function $\Psi_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k, l}(\omega)=\iint_{\omega} \Psi_{1}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{2}^{l}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}>0, \quad k, l=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $A_{0,0}(\omega)$ is the area of the contact domain. It is to remember that the constants $A_{k, l}(\omega)$ depend finally on $t$, but we omitted this fact in the notation in order to avoid cumbersome expressions. Computations of $A_{k, l}(\omega)$ for the elliptic domain (2.17) we included into Appendix (see Section 6.1).

Taking into account Eqs. (3.6) and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{A_{1,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}{A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}+\frac{2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \varepsilon}{A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)} F(t) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula allows us to compute the contact approach $\delta_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as a function of the total external force $F(t)$ and the main axes of the ellipse describing the shape of the contact zone, which in fact depends on time too.

### 3.2 Some integral identity for the contact pressure

In order to write out a more informative equation for the contact load, we use the following trick. We multiply both sides of (2.14) by the function $v(\mathbf{x})=\Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ and integrate the
obtained equation over the contact domain $\omega(t)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}+ & \chi \iint_{\omega(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}= \\
= & \mu \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}-\mu \delta_{\varepsilon}(t) \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x} \\
& -\mu \varepsilon \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us calculate the integrals in this relation by using Green's formulas. For the first integral on the left-hand side we use formula (3.5) with $u=\Psi_{2}, v=P_{\varepsilon}$ and the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19). Hence, we obtain

$$
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=\iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

Now taking into account (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) F(t) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second integral on the left-hand side, we apply the same approach, but interchange first the integrals over $\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and over $\tau \in(0, t)$ exploiting the load monotonicity. Therefore, we arrive at the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}=\int_{0}^{t} \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}=2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} F(\tau) d \tau \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first and second integrals on the right-hand side, we simply use the notation (3.10), which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}=A_{1,1}(b ; \beta), \quad \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}=A_{0,1}(b ; \beta) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for the third integral on the right-hand side, we make use of the following simple formula which follows immediately from the definition of $\Psi_{2}$ :

$$
\Psi_{2} \nabla \Psi_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla \Psi_{2}^{2}
$$

Then we can apply Green's formula (3.7) and the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19) to find

$$
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=-\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta \Psi_{2}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

By applying the second Green's formula (3.5) with $u=P_{\varepsilon}, v=\Psi_{2}^{2}$, and the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19), we represent this integral in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=-\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral still contains the unknown density of contact pressure $P_{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{x}, t)$. Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}^{(j)} P_{\varepsilon}(t) \equiv \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we rewrite the relation (3.12) by using the results for all integrals (3.13)-(3.16) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K} F(t)=\mu A_{1,1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\mu \delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0,1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\frac{\mu \varepsilon}{2} \mathcal{M}^{(2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have introduced the Volterra operator $\mathcal{K}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K} F(t)=F(t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} F(\tau) d \tau \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the integral in the right-hand side of the equation (3.18) allows to continue the same procedure to deliver an asymptotic estimate for this equation.

We continue to proceed with Eq. (3.18) on the next steps.

### 3.3 Asymptotic estimates of the integral characteristics $\mathcal{M}^{(j)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)$

Now we proceed to calculate the last integral in (3.18). For this we multiply the governing integral equation (2.14) by $\Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x})(j \geq 2)$ and integrate over contact domain $\omega(t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}+ & \chi \iint_{\omega(t)} \int_{0}^{t} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau d \mathbf{x}= \\
= & \mu \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}-\mu \delta_{\varepsilon}(t) \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x} \\
& -\mu \varepsilon \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the same argument as on the previous step, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K} \mathcal{M}^{(j)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)=\mu A_{1, j}-\mu \delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0, j}(a ; \beta)-\mu \varepsilon \iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the last integral we use the relations

$$
\Psi_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{j+1} \nabla \Psi_{2}^{j+1}(\mathbf{x})
$$

and

$$
\iint_{\omega(t)} \nabla \Psi_{2}^{j+1}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=-\iint_{\omega(t)} \Delta \Psi_{2}^{j+1}(\mathbf{x}) P_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

Therefore, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}^{(j)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)=\mu \mathcal{K}^{-1}\left\{A_{1, j}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0, j}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{j+1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{M}^{(j+1)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been obtained as a solution of the integral equation (3.21). Here the inverse operator $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}^{-1} Y(t)=Y(t)-\chi \int_{0}^{t} Y(\tau) e^{-\chi(t-\tau)} d \tau \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Performing the same computation, we obtain the following representation for the integral in the right-hand side of (3.18):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}^{(2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} & \frac{2 \varepsilon^{j-1}}{(j+1)!} \mu^{j} \mathcal{K}^{-j}\left\{A_{1, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right\} \\
& +\frac{2 \varepsilon^{N}}{(N+2)!} \mu^{N} \mathcal{K}^{-N} \mathcal{M}^{(N+2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting this representation into Eq. (3.18), we finally get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K} F(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon^{j}}{(j+1)!} \mu^{j+1} \mathcal{K}^{-j}\left\{A_{1, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right\} \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon^{N+1}}{(N+2)!} \mu^{N+1} \mathcal{K}^{-N} \mathcal{M}^{(N+2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K}^{N+1} F(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon^{j}}{(j+1)!} \mu^{j+1} \mathcal{K}^{N-j}\left\{A_{1, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\delta_{\varepsilon}(t) A_{0, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{\varepsilon^{N+1}}{(N+2)!} \mu^{N+1} \mathcal{M}^{(N+2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter relation allows us to determine the problem parameters asymptotically with any prescribed accuracy.

Note that apart from the fact that the shapes of the contacting bones are elliptical paraboloids, no additional assumptions on the shape of the contact zone have been made. On the other hand, no proof was offered to show that the contact zone is approximately represented by an ellipse. This will be done later.

Remark 1. For every $t$ for which the contact pressure $P_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is bounded and the contact region $\omega(t)$ belongs to a bounded domain, the remainder $\frac{\varepsilon^{N+1}}{(N+2)!} \mu^{N+1} \mathcal{M}^{(N+2)} P_{\varepsilon}(t)$ in formula (3.26) tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the series corresponding to the sum on the right hand-side of (3.26) is converging.

## 4 Asymptotic solution to the contact problem

### 4.1 Zero-order approximation

First, we get solution of the problem for $\varepsilon=0$. In this case Eq. (2.14) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} \Delta P^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=\mu\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta^{(0)}(t)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is defined in (2.15). Since we know from [5] that the contact zone is an ellipse at this stage of approximation we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\varepsilon}=\delta^{(0)}(t)=\delta_{\varepsilon}\left(b_{0}(t) ; \beta_{0}(t)\right)=\frac{A_{1,0}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)}{A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using formula (4.2) and calculations presented in Section 6.1 (see formula (6.6)), one can find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)=\frac{\pi b_{0}^{2}}{\beta_{0}}, \quad A_{1,0}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)=\frac{\pi b_{0}^{4}}{4 \beta_{0}^{3}}\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+e_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(0)}(t)=\frac{b_{0}^{2}\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+e_{1}^{2}\right)}{4 \beta_{0}^{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that formulas (4.3) and (4.4) contain two known constants $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ defined in (2.15) and two still unknown functions $b_{0}(t)$ and $\beta_{0}(t)$, which are the main semi-axis and the eccentricity of the ellipse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}(t)=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}+\frac{\beta_{0}^{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)} \leq 1\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading terms in (3.26) imply (for $N=0$ ) the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K} F(t)=\mu A_{1,1}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)-\mu \delta^{(0)}(t) A_{0,1}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{K}$ is the Volterra integral operator defined in (3.19).
Analogously, using some results from Section 6.1 (see, in particular, formula (6.6)), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0,1}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)=\frac{\pi b_{0}^{4}}{4 \beta_{0}^{3}}\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1,1}\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)=\frac{\pi b_{0}^{6}}{24 \beta_{0}^{5}}\left\{3 \beta_{0}^{4}+\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right) \beta_{0}^{2}+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K} F(t)=\mu \frac{\pi b_{0}^{6}}{48 \beta_{0}^{5}}\left\{3 \beta_{0}^{4}-\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right) \beta_{0}^{2}+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the functions $b_{0}(t)$ and $\beta_{0}(t)$ together with the pressure distribution over the contact zone, $P^{(0)}(\mathrm{x}, t)$, we follow [5] and introduce a new unknown function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)=P^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} P^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d \tau=\mathcal{K} P^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of monotone external load, this function should satisfy the Poisson equation (following from (2.9))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta p^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\mu\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta^{(0)}(t)\right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \omega_{0}(t) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions (2.18), (2.19).
It is customary to rewrite this relation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t) & =G_{0}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& \equiv \Delta p^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)-\mu\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta^{(0)}(t)\right), \mathbf{x} \in \omega_{0}(t) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Bearing in mind that the function $\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is a quadratic polynomial (compare with (2.15)), it is natural to look for the solution of such problem in the form of a polynomial in $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of the fourth degree, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(0)}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}, \mathbf{x}, t\right)=\eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the term in the brackets vanishes on the boundary $\omega_{0}$, and thus the condition (2.18) is satisfied automatically.

In Section 6.2, it has been shown that $Q_{0}$ is a polynomial of the second order having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; t\right)=\eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taken into account this representation we arrive at the following relations (see Section 6.3):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{0}(t)=\frac{\mu \delta^{(0)}(t)}{4\left(1+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)} b_{0}^{2}  \tag{4.18}\\
\eta_{0}(t)=\frac{\mu b_{0}^{4}}{2\left(6+2 \beta_{0}^{2}\right)}=\frac{\mu b_{0}^{4}}{4\left(3+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)},  \tag{4.19}\\
\eta_{0}(t)=\frac{\mu b_{0}^{4} e_{1}^{2}}{2\left(2 \beta_{0}^{2}+6 \beta_{0}^{4}\right)}=\frac{\mu b_{0}^{4} e_{1}^{2}}{4 \beta_{0}^{2}\left(1+3 \beta_{0}^{2}\right)} . \tag{4.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

This system allows us to determine the unknown functions $b_{0}(t)$ and $\beta_{0}(t)$. Indeed, eliminating $\eta_{0}$ from the last two equations, we get a bi-quadratic equation defining the value of the parameter $\beta_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \beta_{0}^{4}+\left(1-e_{1}^{2}\right) \beta_{0}^{2}-3 e_{1}^{2}=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, $\beta_{0}$ is a positive parameter, thus the unique positive solution of (4.21) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(e_{1}^{2}-1\right)+\sqrt{e_{1}^{4}+34 e_{1}^{2}+1}}{6}} . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that at the zero-approximation the parameter $\beta_{0}$ does not depend on time. The other parameter, $\eta_{0}(t)$, can be computed directly from (4.19) or (4.20), if one knows the remaining constant $b_{0}(t)$. Moreover, taking into account (4.18) and (4.4), one can use an equivalent formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}(t)=\frac{\mu b_{0}^{4}\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+e_{1}^{2}\right)}{16 \beta_{0}^{2}\left(1+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, one can offer, in addition to (4.4), two equivalent representations for the indentation parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(0)}(t)=\frac{1+\beta_{0}^{2}}{3+\beta_{0}^{2}} b_{0}^{2}(t)=\frac{\left(1+\beta_{0}^{2}\right) e_{1}^{2}}{\beta_{0}^{2}\left(1+3 \beta_{0}^{2}\right)} b_{0}^{2}(t) . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the major semi-axis $b_{0}$ of the ellipse $\omega_{0}$ is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}(t)=\left[\left(F(t)+\chi \int_{0}^{t} F(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\frac{96 \beta_{0}^{5}\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right)}{\mu \pi\left(3 \beta_{0}^{4}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right)+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}\right)}\right)\right]^{1 / 6} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the parameters $b_{0}, \eta_{0}$ as well as the indentation, $\delta_{0}$, depend on time $t$ in contrast to the ellipse eccentricity $\beta_{0}$.

Now, it remains only to find the pressure over the contact area. Using (4.10) and (4.17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(0)}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\eta_{0}(t) Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) belongs to the initial contact zone, i.e. $1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}\right)^{2}-\chi \int_{0}^{t} \eta_{0}(\tau)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(\tau)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(\tau)}\right)^{2} e^{-\chi(t-\tau)} d \tau \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ lies outside of the initial contact zone, i.e. $1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}<0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(t)}\right)^{2}-\chi \int_{t_{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{t} \eta_{0}(\tau)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(\tau)}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}(\tau)}\right)^{2} e^{-\chi(t-\tau)} d \tau \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical moment of time $t_{*}$ is determined by the formula

$$
b_{0}^{2}\left(t_{*}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}
$$

Using (4.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{*}\right)+\chi \int_{0}^{t_{*}} F(\tau) d \tau=\frac{\mu \pi}{96 \beta_{0}^{5}}\left(\frac{3 \beta_{0}^{4}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right)+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}}{1+e_{2}^{2}}\right)\left(x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{3} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the load is stepwise, we have $F(t)=F_{0}$. Hence, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{*}=\frac{\mu \pi}{96 \beta_{0}^{5} \chi F_{0}}\left[\frac{\left(3 \beta_{0}^{4}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right)+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}\right)}{1+e_{2}^{2}}\left(x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{3}\right]-\frac{1}{\chi} . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}^{6}\left(t_{*}\right)=\frac{96 \beta_{0}^{5}\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1+\chi t_{*}\right)}{\mu \pi\left(3 \beta_{0}^{4}-\beta_{0}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}\right)+3 e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2}\right)} F_{0} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This finishes the zero iteration step. Note that the results of this Section after changing the notation coincide with those obtained in [4].

### 4.2 First-order approximation problem

For the next steps we consider an appropriately deformed contact domain $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$, defined as a perturbation of the zero-order one $\omega_{0}$. Namely, we assume that it can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}=\omega_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(t)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): Q_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\varepsilon Q_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t) \geq 0\right\} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where unknown polynomials are taken in the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=Q_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}, \beta_{1}, b_{1}\right)  \tag{4.33}\\
Q_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)=a_{40}(t) x_{1}^{4}+a_{22}(t) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{04}(t) x_{2}^{4} . \tag{4.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that for $\varepsilon=0$ the solution form coincides with (4.5), if one take $b_{1} \equiv b_{0}, \beta_{1} \equiv \beta_{0}$.
The idea behind such choice of the asymptotic anzatz is to satisfy the boundary conditions (2.18) and (2.19) automatically. This will be archived by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}=\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\eta^{(1)}(t)\left(Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \beta_{1}(t), b_{1}(t)\right)+\varepsilon Q_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, when the boundary conditions are valid, we will satisfy the governing equation (2.9). Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}=P_{0}+\varepsilon P_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}=\mathcal{K}\left(P_{j}\right), j=0,1$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0}=\eta^{(1)}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}(t)}\right)^{2},  \tag{4.37}\\
p_{1}=  \tag{4.38}\\
2 \eta^{(1)}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}(t)}-\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}(t) x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}(t)}\right) Q_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting this representation into Eq. (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}\left(\Delta\left(P^{(0)}+\varepsilon P_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right)=\mu\left(\Psi_{1}-\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}-\varepsilon \nabla \Psi_{2} \cdot\left(\nabla P^{(0)}+\varepsilon \nabla P^{(1)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)\right), \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$ is represented in the same form as $P_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}=\delta_{0}+\varepsilon \delta_{1}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)=\delta^{(1)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write Eq. (4.39) with the accuracy to the terms of $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta p^{(0)}+\varepsilon \Delta p_{1}=\mu\left(\Psi_{1}-\delta^{(1)}-\varepsilon \nabla \Psi_{2} \cdot \nabla P^{(0)}\right) \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

An extended variant of this equation can be written by using the definition of all components of the equation and by comparing coefficients at different powers of $x_{1}, x_{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{4 \eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{2}}\left(1+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)=-\mu \delta^{(1)},  \tag{4.42}\\
4 \eta^{(1)}\left[\frac{3+\beta_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{4}}+\varepsilon\left(6 a_{40}+a_{22}\right)\right]=\mu\left(1-8 \varepsilon \theta_{2,0}\right),  \tag{4.43}\\
4 \eta^{(1)}\left[\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}\left(1+3 \beta_{1}^{2}\right)}{b_{1}^{4}}+\varepsilon\left(a_{22}+6 a_{04}\right)\right]=\mu\left(e_{1}^{2}-8 \varepsilon e_{2}^{2} \theta_{2,2}\right),  \tag{4.44}\\
-\varepsilon \frac{24 \eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{2}}\left(a_{40} \beta_{1}^{2}+a_{22}\left(1+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+a_{04}\right)=8 \varepsilon \mu\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \theta_{4,2},  \tag{4.45}\\
-\varepsilon \frac{4 \eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{2}}\left(a_{40}\left(15+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+a_{22}\right)=8 \varepsilon \mu \theta_{4,0},  \tag{4.46}\\
-\varepsilon \frac{4 \eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{2}}\left(a_{04}\left(15 \beta_{1}^{2}+1\right)+a_{22} \beta_{1}^{2}\right)=8 \varepsilon \mu e_{2}^{2} \theta_{4,4}, \tag{4.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2 k, 2 l}(t)=\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\eta^{(1)} b_{1}^{-2 k} \beta_{1}^{2 l}\right), \quad k, l=0,1,2 \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the system (4.42)-(4.47) we have 6 equations and 7 unknowns: $\eta^{(1)}(t), \delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}, b_{1}(t), \beta_{1}(t)$, and $a_{40}, a_{22}, a_{04}$ (coefficients of the polynomial $Q_{1}$ ). Therefore, we have to add an extra equation to the above system, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(1)}(t)=\frac{A_{1,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}{A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}+\frac{2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \varepsilon}{A_{0,0}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)} F_{1}(t) \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(t)$ can be represented in the form

$$
F_{1}(t)=\iint_{\omega_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}} P_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

We also make use of Eq. (3.26) written for this approximation step with the accuracy of $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) \mathcal{K}^{2} F(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{j}}{(j+1)!} \mu^{j+1} \mathcal{K}^{1-j}\left\{A_{1, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)-\delta^{(1)}(t) A_{0, j+1}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right\} \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. Note that putting $\varepsilon=0$, the system (4.42)-(4.47), (4.49) transforms to the previous case evaluated in the previous section.

Remark 3. In the case when $\varepsilon>0$, the system (4.42)-(4.47), (4.49) has to be solved numerically. Note that the parameter $\varepsilon$ in the last three equations (4.45) - (4.47) can be canceled. We left these multipliers here to explain the limiting case $(\varepsilon=0)$.

## 5 Discussion and conclusion

First of all, observe that at $t=0$, the contact problem for biphasic layers reduces to that for elastic incompressible layers. The contact problem in the latter case were studied in a number of papers [1, 9, 10, 16], however, without taking into account the tangential displacements.

To solve the resulting problem (4.42) -(4.47) and (4.49), we suggest the following iterative algorithm:

- Taking $\varepsilon=0$, we have computed all values $\eta, b, \beta, \delta=\eta_{0}, b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}$ from the zero-order approximation.
- Having them we can compute the quantity $\theta_{2 k, 2 l}(t)$ from (4.48),
- Then, from the system of three equations (4.45)-(4.47) we compute the constants $a_{40}, a_{22}, a_{04}$ assuming the values of $\eta, b, \beta$ as above.
- Finally from the system of four equations (4.42)-(4.44) and (4.49) considering the righthand side known (computed by the values know from the previous computations), we found new values $\eta, b, \beta, \delta$ and compare them with the previous computations. If the required accuracy has achieved we stop the computation, if not we are going to the second step of this iterative procedure.

We note that formulas (2.4) and (2.5) for the vertical and tangential displacements contain different powers of parameters $\epsilon$, namely, $\epsilon^{2}$ and $\epsilon$, respectively. Note also that our analysis (with the values of another parameters taken into account) shows, that the role of these magnitudes (vertical and tangential displacements) is quite opposite. In the final equation (see (2.14)) the leading terms, corresponding to the vertical displacement, contain the zero power of the new small parameter $\varepsilon$, but the leading terms, corresponding to the tangential displacements, contain the first power of $\varepsilon$.
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## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 Calculation of the constants $A_{k l}$

Here, we compute the values of the constants

$$
A_{k, l}(b ; \beta)=\iint_{\omega(t)} \Psi_{1}^{k}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{2}^{l}(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}>0, \quad k, l=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

First of all, we note that an unknown contact domain $\omega(t)$ is of the same type as sections of the initial gap elliptical paraboloid, i.e., it is an ellipse coaxial to the ellipse

$$
\omega(t)=\omega_{\varepsilon}(t)=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}(t ; \varepsilon)}+\frac{x_{2}^{2} \beta^{2}(t ; \varepsilon)}{b^{2}(t ; \varepsilon)} \leq 1\right\}
$$

In order to avoid long formulas, we use the short notation for $\omega(t)$, writing all parameters without variables they depend on, i.e.,

$$
\omega(t)=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2} \beta^{2}}{b^{2}} \leq 1\right\}
$$

Performing the standard change of variables

$$
x_{1}=b r \cos \theta, \quad x_{2}=\frac{b}{\beta} \sin \theta,
$$

we represent the integral for $A_{k, l}(t)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k, l}(b ; \beta)= & \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(b^{2} r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{b^{2} e_{1}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{k}\left(b^{2} r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{b^{2} e_{2}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{l} \frac{b^{2}}{\beta} r d r d \theta \\
= & \frac{b^{2 k+2 l+2}}{\beta} \int_{0}^{1} r^{2 k+2 l+1} d r \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \frac{e_{1}^{2 i}}{\beta^{2 i}} \sin ^{2 i} \theta \cos ^{2 k-2 i} \theta  \tag{6.1}\\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{l!}{j!(l-j)!} \frac{e_{2}^{2 j}}{\beta^{2 j}} \sin ^{2 j} \theta \cos ^{2 l-2 j} \theta d \theta \\
= & \frac{b^{2 k+2 l+2}}{(2 k+2 l+2) \beta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \frac{e_{1}^{2 i}}{\beta^{2 i}} \sin ^{2 i} \theta \cos ^{2 k-2 i} \theta \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{l!}{j!(l-j)!} \frac{e_{2}^{2 j}}{\beta^{2 j}} \sin ^{2 j} \theta \cos ^{2 l-2 j} \theta d \theta \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the trigonometric functions are presented here only in even powers, then the last integration can be performed over the interval $[0, \pi / 2]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k, l}(b ; \beta)= & \frac{4 b^{2 k+2 l+2}}{(2 k+2 l+2) \beta} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \frac{e_{1}^{2 i}}{\beta^{2 i}} \sin ^{2 i} \theta \cos ^{2 k-2 i} \theta \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{l!}{j!(l-j)!} \frac{e_{2}^{2 j} \beta^{2 j}}{\sin ^{2 j} \theta \cos ^{2 l-2 j} \theta d \theta} \\
= & \frac{4 b^{2 k+2 l+2}}{(2 k+2 l+2) \beta} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \frac{e_{1}^{2 i}}{\beta^{2 i}} \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{l!}{j!(l-j)!} \frac{e_{2}^{2 j}}{\beta^{2 j}} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{2 i+2 j} \theta \cos ^{2 k-2 i+2 l-2 j} \theta d \theta \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals in (6.3) are calculated by using formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sin ^{2 p} \theta \cos ^{2 q} \theta d \theta=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(p+1 / 2) \Gamma(q+1 / 2)}{\Gamma(p+q+1)}, \quad p, q>0 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Legendre's duplication formula for the Gamma-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(n+1 / 2)=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} \Gamma(2 n)}{2^{2 n-1 / 2} \Gamma(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the relation $\Gamma(n+1)=n$ !. Finally, we arrive at the following representation of $A_{k, l}=A_{k, l}(b ; \beta)$ valid for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k, l}= & \frac{2 \pi b^{2}(b / 2)^{2 k+2 l}}{\beta(2 k+2 l+2)(k+l)!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \frac{e_{1}^{2 i}}{\beta^{2 i}}  \tag{6.6}\\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{l!}{j!(l-j)!} \frac{e_{2}^{2 j}}{\beta^{2 j}} \frac{(2 i+2 j)!(2 k-2 i+2 l-2 j)!}{(i+j)!(k-i+l-j)!} .
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.2 Computation of the polynomial $Q_{0}$

In order to determine the coefficients of the polynomial

$$
Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1+q_{1,0} x_{1}+q_{0,1} x_{2}+q_{2,0} x_{1}^{2}+q_{1,1} x_{1} x_{2}+q_{0,2} x_{2}^{2},
$$

we need to compute the normal derivative of the unknown functions $p^{(0)}$ (4.15) along the elliptic boundary $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial p^{(0)}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\nabla p^{(0)} \cdot \vec{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\eta_{0}(t)\left(-\frac{2 x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) Q_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=0 . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we take into account the fact that, since the contact domain is an ellipse (4.5), the tangential and normal vectors to the boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{r}=\left(-\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1}\right), \quad \vec{n}=\left(x_{1}, \beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, to satisfy the boundary condition (2.19) the following equation should be valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=0 \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, in turn, is equivalent to the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.3 Evaluation of the ellipse parameters

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)=p^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{1}}=2 \eta_{0}(t)\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(-\frac{2 x_{1}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right),  \tag{6.12}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}=2 \eta_{0}\left[-\frac{2}{b_{0}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right)+\frac{2 x_{1}}{b_{0}^{2}} \frac{2 x_{1}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, by straightforward computations, we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}=2 \eta_{0}\left[-\frac{2}{b_{0}^{2}}+\frac{6 x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}+\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}\right] .  \tag{6.13}\\
\frac{\partial p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{2}}=2 \eta_{0}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(-\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right),  \tag{6.14}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=2 \eta_{0}\left[-\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right)+\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}}{b_{0}^{2}} \frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2} x_{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} p^{(0)}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=2 \eta_{0}(t)\left[-\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}+\frac{2 \beta_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}+\frac{6 \beta_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}\right] . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.13) and (6.15) into the main equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right) \equiv \Delta p^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)-\mu\left(\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})-\delta^{(0)}(t)\right)=0 \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G_{0}=2 \eta_{0}(t)\left[(-2) \frac{1+\beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}+\left(\frac{6+2 \beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}\right) x_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{6 \beta_{0}^{4}+2 \beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}\right) x_{2}^{2}\right]-\mu\left(\Psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\delta^{(0)}(t)\right)
$$

and taking into account that

$$
\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{x})=\Psi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+e_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}
$$

one concludes that the expression for $G_{0}$ is represented by a second order polynomial with respect to the independent variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}, \delta^{(0)}\right)=q_{0}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}, \delta^{(0)}\right)+q_{1}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}\right) x_{1}^{2}+q_{2}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}\right) x_{2}^{2} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the coefficients are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{0}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}, \delta^{(0)}\right)=\frac{4 \eta_{0}}{\mu b_{0}^{2}}\left(1+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)-\delta^{(0)}  \tag{6.18}\\
q_{1}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)=\frac{4 \eta_{0}}{b_{0}^{4}}\left(3+\beta_{0}^{2}\right)-\mu  \tag{6.19}\\
q_{2}\left(b_{0}, \beta_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)=\frac{4 \eta_{0} \beta_{0}^{2}}{b_{0}^{4}}\left(1+3 \beta_{0}^{2}\right)-\mu e_{1}^{2} \tag{6.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 6.4 Auxiliary computation

Taking into account (4.37), we can represent $p_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\eta^{(1)}(t)\left(1-\frac{2 x_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 \beta_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{4}}+\frac{x_{1}^{4}}{b_{1}^{4}}+\frac{\beta_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}}{b_{1}^{4}}\right) . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, applying the Laplace equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta p_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\eta^{(1)}(t)\left(-\frac{4}{b_{1}^{2}}\left(1+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+x_{1}^{2} \frac{4}{b_{1}^{4}}\left(3+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+x_{2}^{2} \frac{4 \beta_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{4}}\left(1+3 \beta_{1}^{2}\right)\right) . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by using representation (4.38), we can write $p_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)= & 2 \eta^{(1)}(t)\left(a_{40} x_{1}^{4}+a_{22} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+a_{04} x_{2}^{4}-\frac{a_{40} x_{1}^{6}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{a_{22} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{a_{04} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right.  \tag{6.23}\\
& \left.-\frac{a_{40} \beta_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{a_{22} \beta_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{a_{04} \beta_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta p_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)= & 2 \eta^{(1)}(t)\left(\left(12 a_{40}+2 a_{22}\right) x_{1}^{2}+\left(2 a_{22}+12 a_{04}\right) x_{2}^{2}\right.  \tag{6.24}\\
& -\frac{12 \beta_{1}^{2} a_{40}+12 a_{22}\left(1+\beta_{1}^{2}\right)+12 a_{04}}{b_{1}^{2}} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{a_{40}\left(30+2 \beta_{1}^{2}\right)+2 a_{22}}{b_{1}^{2}} x_{1}^{4}-\frac{2 a_{22} \beta_{1}^{2}+a_{04}\left(2+30 \beta_{1}^{2}\right)}{b_{1}^{2}} x_{2}^{4}\right) . \tag{6.25}
\end{align*}
$$

We also use the following representations:

$$
\Psi_{j}(\mathbf{x})=x_{1}^{2}+e_{j}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Thus, applying the gradient operator, we simply get

$$
\nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x})=\left(2 x_{1}, 2 e_{2}^{2} x_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\nabla P_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1} \nabla p_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)\right)(t)
$$

It yields the following representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \Psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla P_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t)=-8\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left[\eta^{(1)}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}+\frac{e_{2}^{2} \beta_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]\right)(t)  \tag{6.26}\\
& =-8 x_{1}^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right)\right)(t)-8 e_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta^{(1)} \beta_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}}\right)\right)(t)+8 x_{1}^{4}\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta^{(1)}}{b_{1}^{4}}\right)\right)(t) \\
& \quad+8\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta^{(1)} \beta_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{4}}\right)\right)(t)+8 e_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{4}\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\frac{\eta^{(1)} \beta_{1}^{4}}{b_{1}^{4}}\right)\right)(t) \\
& =:-8 x_{1}^{2} \theta_{2,0}(t)-8 e_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \theta_{2,2}(t)+8 x_{1}^{4} \theta_{4,0}(t)+8\left(1+e_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \theta_{4,2}(t)+8 e_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{4} \theta_{4,4}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$
\theta_{2 k, 2 l}=\left(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(\eta^{(1)} b_{1}^{-2 k} \beta_{1}^{2 l}\right)\right)(t) .
$$

Combining the above results we obtain the system of equations (4.42)-(4.47).
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