

On fixed points of Ruelle operator.

Carlos Cabrera and Peter Makienko.

February 23, 2019

Abstract

We will discuss the relation between the existence of fixed points of the Ruelle operator, acting on different Banach spaces, with the Sullivan's conjecture in holomorphic dynamics.

1 Introduction.

Let $Rat_d(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the set of all rational maps on the Riemann sphere $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ of given degree d . Let R be an element in $Rat_d(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$, the postcritical set of R is given by

$$P(R) = \overline{\bigcup_k \bigcup_i R^i(c_k)}.$$

Where the union is taken over all critical points c_k of R . The Julia set $J(R)$ is the accumulation set of all periodic points of R . The map R is called *hyperbolic* if and only if $P(R) \cap J(R) = \emptyset$. The Fatou conjecture states that all hyperbolic maps are open and dense in $Rat_d(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$.

Remind that a rational map R is called *J-stable* if and only if there exist an open set U in $Rat_d(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$, containing R , such that for all $Q \in U$ there exist a homeomorphism $h_Q : J(R) \rightarrow J(Q)$ quasiconformal in Pesin's sense with

$$Q = h_Q \circ R \circ h_Q^{-1}.$$

Due to Mañé, Sad and Sullivan (see [9]), the set of all *J-stable* maps is open and everywhere dense in the space $Rat_d(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover, a *J-stable* map is hyperbolic if and only if the Julia set does not support an invariant Beltrami differential. Since hyperbolic maps are *J-stable*, then the Fatou conjecture implies that the set of *J-stable* maps is equal to the set of hyperbolic maps.

An invariant Beltrami differential is a $(-1, 1)$ differential form whose coefficient μ satisfies

$$\mu = \mu(R) \frac{\bar{R}'}{R'}.$$

In other words, μ is a fixed point of the Beltrami operator, defined below, on the space $L_\infty(J(R))$.

The Sullivan's conjecture states that there exist an invariant Beltrami differential supported on the Julia set if and only if R is a flexible Lattés map. For definitions and further properties of flexible Lattés maps see Milnor's [12]. Note that Sullivan's conjecture states not only the absence of fixed points for the Beltrami operator but also the absence of periodic cycles of this operator.

In other words, it states that the Beltrami operator does not have eigenfunctions with eigenvalues of rational angle. Hence, this conjecture is a spectral problem for a semigroup of Beltrami operators. Indeed, in the context of Fatou's conjecture we have the following simple fact: If a rational map R^n for $n > 1$ is J -stable then R^n and, hence, R are hyperbolic (see [1] and discussion there).

Under the observation above, in this article we will discuss the following question:

Which are the consequences that follow from the existence of common non-trivial fixed points for a representation of the dynamics as a semigroup of contractions on a suitable Banach space?

To keep the relation with Sullivan's conjecture we consider representations that arise as versions of complex pull-back or push-forward operators acting on either invariant spaces X in $L_p(W)$, not necessarily closed, or spaces which are dual or bidual to X . Here W is a R completely invariant set of positive measure and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Most results are given in terms of ergodic theory and suggests that the Sullivan's conjecture holds true.

1.1 Pull-back and Push-forward operators.

Let $F_{m,n}$ be the space of all (m,n) forms $\alpha = \phi(z)d\bar{z}^n dz^m$ where ϕ is a measurable function. The *pull-back* operator acting on $F_{m,n}$ is given by

$$R_{*(m,n)}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ R = \phi(R)\overline{(R')^n} (R')^m d\bar{z}^n dz^m.$$

The *push-forward* operator on $F_{m,n}$ is given by

$$R_{*(m,n)}^*(\alpha) = \sum \alpha(\zeta_i)$$

where the sum is taken over all branches ζ_i of R^{-1} . The *Beltrami operator* is $B = R_{*(-1,1)}$ with modulus $|B| = R_{*(0,0)}$. The *Ruelle operator* is $R^* = R_{(2,0)}^*$ with modulus $|R^*| = R_{(1,1)}^*$. The modulus of Ruelle operator is also known as the Perron-Frobenius operator of R .

In coefficients, the Beltrami operator satisfies the formula $B_R(\phi) = \phi(R)\frac{\bar{R'}}{R'}$. In turn, the Ruelle operator satisfies $R^*(\phi) = \sum \phi(\zeta_i)(\zeta_i')^2$ where the sum is taken over all branches ζ_i of R^{-1} .

If A is a completely invariant set then we have that R^* acts over $L_1(A)$ and $\|R^*\| \leq 1$. Also B_R acts over $L_\infty(A)$ and $\|B_R\| = 1$ and B_R is dual to R^* .

1.2 Action on L_p spaces.

Let ϕ be an element of $L_p(\mathbb{C})$. The action of R by pull-back on L_p is given by

$$R_{*p}\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{d}}\phi(R)|R'|^{2\frac{R'}{R}},$$

where $d = \deg(R)$. The push-forward action is defined by

$$R_p^*\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{d}}\sum \phi(\zeta_i)\frac{\zeta_i'}{\zeta_i}| \zeta_i'|^{2\frac{2}{p}}$$

where q satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and the sum is taken over all branches ζ_i such that $R(\zeta_i) = z$. Hence for p such that $0 < p \leq \infty$ we have a continuous family of contractions and their duals, depending on p , which includes the Ruelle operator and Beltrami operator for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ respectively. The operators R_p^* and R_{*q} are dual to each other. Moreover, $R_p^* \circ R_{*p} = Id$ on L_p .

1.3 Thurston operator.

Another important space, where the Ruelle operator acts, is the space of functions holomorphic on an open set. Let K be any forward invariant compact set containing the postcritical set $P(R)$. Let $H(K)$ be the subspace of $L_1(\mathbb{C})$ of all functions that are holomorphic outside of K with the restricted norm of $L_1(\mathbb{C})$. Then the Ruelle operator R^* is a contractive endomorphism of $H(K)$. Take $S_K = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$, and let $A(S_K)$ be the space of all integrable holomorphic functions on S_K . The Ruelle operator is also a contracting endomorphism of $A(S_K)$. Every element f in $A(S_K)$ extends to an element in $H(K)$, just put $f(k) = 0$ for all k in K . This extension gives a canonical inclusion from $A(S_K)$ into $H(K)$. This inclusion is an isomorphism if and only if the Lebesgue measure of K is 0.

Let us consider the dual space $A^*(S_K)$. Let $B(S_K)$ denote the Bergman space, that is the space of all holomorphic functions ϕ on S_K with the following L_∞ -norm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{z \in S_K} |\lambda_K^{-2} \phi(z)|$$

where λ_K denotes the complete hyperbolic metric on S_K . By the Bers's Embedding Theorem $A^*(S_K)$ is linearly isomorphic to $B(S_K)$. Also, there is an equivalent norm on $B(S_K)$ inherited from the dual norm on $A^*(S_K)$ which is called the *Teichmüller norm*.

The operator T , which is dual to R^* , acts on $B(S_K)$ as a power bounded operator and is a contraction in the Teichmüller norm. The operator T is called the *infinitesimal Thurston pull-back operator* or, for short, just the *Thurston operator*.

Let $B_0(S_K)$ be the subspace in $B(S_K)$ of all elements vanishing at infinity. In other words, $B_0(S_K)$ is the space of all ϕ in $B(S_K)$ such that $|\lambda_K^{-2} \phi(z_i)|$ converges to zero, whenever z_i converges to the boundary ∂S_K .

There exist a subspace $A_*(S_K)$, of $A^*(S_K)$, such that the dual space $(A_*(S_K))^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to $A(S_K)$ (see for example Theorem 5 on page 52 of [3]). Let us recall the definition of $A_*(S_K)$. A sequence $\{\phi_i\}$ in $A_*(S_K)$ is called a *degenerated sequence* if and only if $\|\phi_i\| = 1$ and ϕ_i pointwise converges to 0 on S_K as i tends to infinity. Then $A_*(S_K)$ is the kernel of the following seminorm on $A^*(S_K)$:

$$\beta(l) = \sup |l(\phi_i)|,$$

where l is an element in $A^*(S_K)$ and the supremum is taken over all degenerated sequences $\{\phi_i\}$ in $A^*(S_K)$. In particular, in this article we will consider the surface $S_R = \mathbb{C} \setminus P(R)$.

If the Lebesgue measure of K is zero then from Bers's Embedding Theorem and Theorem 1 in [5] we have the following diagram which serves as guide between the topologies and Banach structures on the spaces we are dealing with:

$$\begin{aligned}
B(S_K) &\simeq B_0(S_K)^{**} \xleftarrow{f^{**}} A^*(S_K) \xrightarrow{g^{**}} \ell_\infty = c_0^{**} \\
B_0(S_K)^* &\xleftarrow{f^*} A(S_K) \xleftarrow{g^*} \ell_1 \\
B_0(S_K) &\xleftarrow{f} A_*(S_K) \xrightarrow{g} c_0
\end{aligned}$$

The Bers's Embedding Theorem implies that the map f is an isomorphism and that g is a linear isomorphism onto its image. Here ℓ_∞ , ℓ_1 and c_0 denote the spaces of complex valued sequences that are bounded, of absolutely summable sequences and, of sequences converging to 0 respectively.

The $*$ -weak topology on $A(S_K)$ induced by $A^*(S_K)$ is equivalent to the topology of pointwise convergence of bounded sequences.

Remark: Every continuous endomorphism E on any of the spaces c_0 , $B_0(S_K)$ or $A_*(S_K)$ is either compact or there is an infinitely dimensional subspace W such that the restriction of E on W is an isomorphism onto its image.

2 Mean ergodicity in holomorphic dynamics.

Given an operator S on a Banach space X . Recall that the n Cesàro average of S is the operator A_n defined by

$$A_n(S)(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S^i(f).$$

Definition. An operator S on a Banach space X is called *mean ergodic* if S is power bounded, that is $\|S^n\| < M$ for some number M independent of n , and the Cesàro averages $A_n(S)(f)$ converges in norm for every f .

The topology of convergence in norm is also called the strong topology on X . If $A_n(S)$ converges uniformly on the closed unit ball on X (that is $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - A_m\| = 0$), then the operator S is called *uniformly ergodic*. The following facts can be found on Krengel's book [6].

1. (**Separation Principle**) The operator S is *mean-ergodic* if satisfies the principle of separation of points: That is, if x^* is a fixed point of S^* , then there exist x , a fixed point of S , such that $\langle x, x^* \rangle \neq 0$.
2. The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(S)(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{(Id - S)(X)}$.
3. (**Mean Ergodicity Lemma.**) Let $Conv(S, x)$ be the Convex Hull of the orbit of a point x under S . Then y belongs to the weak closure of $Conv(S, x)$ if and only if y is a fixed point of S . In this situation, $A_n(S)(x)$ converges to y in norm. If X is a dual space, then y belongs to the $*$ -weak closure of $Con(S, x)$ if and only if y is a fixed point of S .

Let $Hol(P(R))$ be the space of all integrable rational functions ϕ with poles in the direct orbit of all critical values $V(R)$. Note that $Hol(P(R))$ is a normed vector space with the norm inherited from the norm of $L_1(\mathbb{C})$. The space $Hol(P(R))$ is not complete and by Bers's Density Theorem its completion contains $A(S_R)$. The completion of $Hol(P(R))$ is equal to $A(S_R)$ if and only if the Lebesgue measure of $P(R)$ is 0.

A positive measure set $K \subset J(R)$ is called *weakly wandering* if and only if $R^{-j}(K)$ contains infinitely many disjoint elements whose pairwise intersections have measure 0. The set $W(R)$ consisting of the union of all weakly wandering sets is called the *weakly dissipative set*, the complement $SC(R) = J(R) \setminus W(R)$ is called the *strongly conservative set*.

The following proposition appears as Theorem 4.6 in [6].

Proposition 1. *If $m(SC(R)) > 0$ then $m(R(SC(R)) \Delta SC(R)) = 0$ and there exist an integrable function P which is positive in $SC(R)$ so that $Pdz \wedge d\bar{z}$ is an invariant probability measure supported on $SC(R)$. Moreover, if for a given positive measurable ϕ function we have that $\phi dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ is an invariant probability measure then $\text{supp}(\phi)$ is contained in $SC(R)$.*

Using this proposition, we reformulate results of Lyubich and McMullen to obtain the following dichotomy:

Lemma 2. *Let R be a rational map.*

- *Either $SC(R) \subset P(R)$ or $SC(R) = \bar{\mathbb{C}}$.*
- *In the last case, there exist an invariant line field supported on the Julia set if and only if R is a flexible Lattés map.*

Proof. By Lyubich Theorem in [8], either the accumulation set of almost every orbit in the Julia set belongs to the postcritical set or the Julia set is the whole sphere and the action is conservative and ergodic. If the measure of $SC(R) \setminus P(R)$ is positive then by Proposition 1, the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem and McMullen's arguments in Theorem 3.9 in McMullen's book [11], we have that $SC(R) = \bar{\mathbb{C}}$. Last part of the lemma is shown in Theorem 3.17 of [11]. \square

We also use the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let R be a rational map and let f in $L_1(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ be a fixed point of the Ruelle operator R^* . Then there exist μ in $L_\infty(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ a fixed point of Beltrami operator such that $\int f d\mu \neq 0$. Moreover $|R^*|f| = |f|$, in other words, $|f|$ defines an absolutely continuous finite invariant measure and $\frac{|f|}{f} = \mu$ on the support of f .*

Proof. This resumes the results given in Lemma 11 and Corollary 12 in [10]. \square

The following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 5 (3) and Theorem 3 (1) of [10]. Let us define the function γ_v for a point v in \mathbb{C} by $\gamma_v(z) = \frac{v(v-1)}{z(z-1)(z-v)}$.

Lemma 4. *If μ is a fixed point of the Beltrami operator in $L_\infty(J(R))$, then $\mu \neq 0$ if and only if there exist a critical value v_0 such that $\int \mu \gamma_{v_0} \neq 0$.*

Next theorem gives the connection between Sullivan's conjecture and mean ergodicity.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a rational map such that the measure of $P(R)$ is zero. Then R satisfies Sullivan's conjecture if and only if R^* is mean ergodic in $\text{Hol}(P(R))$ with the topology inherited by $L_1(J(R))$.*

Proof. Assume that R satisfies Sullivan's conjecture. Then either there are no invariant line fields supported on the Julia set or, R is a flexible Lattés map. If there are no invariant line fields on the Julia set then, the Beltrami operator on $L_\infty(J(R))$ does not have fixed points. Hence $(Id - R^*)(L_1(J(R)))$ is dense in $L_1(J(R))$. This implies that $A_n(f)$ converges to 0 as n goes to infinity for all f in $L_1(J(R))$. In particular when $f \in Hol(P(R))$. Thus R^* is mean ergodic.

If R is a flexible Lattés, then since R is postcritically finite the space $A(S_R)$ is finitely dimensional, so it is the subspace $Hol(P(R))$ and, by the Mean Ergodicity Lemma, R^* is mean ergodic.

Now assume that R^* is mean ergodic in $Hol(P(R))$. By Lemma 4, every fixed point defines a non-zero functional in $Hol(P(R))$. Hence there exist a ϕ in $Hol(P(R))$ such that $A_n(\phi)$ converges to some non-zero element f in $A(S_R)$ such that $R^*(f) = f$. By Lemma 2 and Proposition 3, the map f is a flexible Lattés map. \square

Next we give some conditions for which the Ruelle operator does not have a fixed point. We call an integrable function f *regular* if the derivative $\bar{\partial}f$, in distributions sense, is a finite complex valued measure. Examples of non regular functions are given by characteristic functions of certain measurable sets.

Theorem 6. *Let R be a rational map. Assume that the postcritical set $P(R)$ is such that either*

- *the limit*

$$\liminf \text{diam}(D) > 0$$

where the limit is taken over all components D of $\mathbb{C} \setminus P(R)$ or

- *$J(R) \cap P(R) \subset \bigcup \partial V$ for V a component of the Fatou set $F(R)$.*

Then R^ does have a regular non-zero fixed point if and only if R is a Lattés map.*

Proof. Assume that R is a Lattés map. If f is a fixed point of the Ruelle operator, then f is a integrable rational function. In this case $\bar{\partial}f$ is a finite combination of Dirac measures supported on the poles of f .

Let f be a non-zero regular fixed point of the Ruelle operator. Then by Lemma 2 either R is a flexible Lattés map or $\text{supp}(f)$ belongs to the postcritical set. Without loss of generality we can think that $P(R)$ is a subset of the plane \mathbb{C} . Let $\nu = \bar{\partial}f$ and put $l(z) = \int \frac{\nu(dt)}{t-z}$, since ν is a finite measure, then $l(z)$ is holomorphic outside $P(R)$. We claim that $l(z) = f(z)$ on Lebesgue almost every point. By Weyl's lemma $h(z) = l(z) - f(z)$ is an entire function. Also $l(z)$ converges to 0 as z tends to infinity. Then $h(z) = 0$ for almost every z . Hence, $l(z)$ is identically 0 outside the support of ν , the first condition contradicts Mergelyan's theorem. In case of the second condition also contradicts Mergelyan's theorem by the arguments of (3) Proposition 14 in [10]. \square

On the discussion above we saw that the convergence of Cesàro averages on subspaces of $L_1(\mathbb{C})$ is tightly related to the existence of invariant line fields under different conditions.

Corollary 7. *Let R be a rational map as in Theorem 6. Suppose there exist a critical value $v \in V(R)$ such that the total variation of $\bar{\partial}A_n(\gamma_v)$ is uniformly bounded. Then the map R is not structurally stable.*

Proof. Since the sequence $\bar{\partial}A_n(\gamma_v)$ is uniformly bounded then it is *-weakly precompact on continuous functions. Let m_0 be a non-zero limiting point of this sequence. Again, as in Theorem 6, the integral $\int \frac{m_0(dt)}{t-z}$ is not zero and gives a limit of $A_n(\gamma_v)$ in the pointwise topology and thus gives a regular non-zero fixed points which contradicts Theorem 6. Therefore $\bar{\partial}A_n(\gamma_v)$ converges to 0 in the *-weak topology.

Let $F_\mu(z) = -\frac{z(z-1)}{\pi} \int \frac{\mu dz d\bar{z}}{\zeta(\zeta-1)(\zeta-z)}$ the potential of μ in L_∞ , this potential is continuous on \mathbb{C} and satisfies $\bar{\partial}F_\mu(z) = \mu(z)$, in the sense of distributions. We claim that for every invariant Beltrami differential μ , we have that $\int \mu \gamma_v = 0$. Indeed, since $\int F_\mu \bar{\partial}A_n(\gamma_v)$ converges to 0, we have

$$\int F_\mu \bar{\partial}A_n(\gamma_v) = - \int \bar{\partial}F_\mu A_n(\gamma_v) = - \iint \mu A_n(\gamma_v).$$

Since μ is invariant,

$$\iint \mu A_n(\gamma_v) = \int \mu \gamma_v = 0$$

as we claimed. If R is structurally stable, then we have a contradiction with Theorem 3 and item (3) of Lemma 5 in [10]. \square

Corollary 8. *Let R be a rational function as in Theorem 6. Then there are no invariant line fields on the Julia set if and only if for any given critical value v of R , the Cesàro averages $A_n(\gamma_v)$ *-weakly converges to 0 on continuous functions.*

Proof. If there are no invariant line fields, then every Cesàro averages strongly converges to 0 on $L_1(J(R))$ and hence every Cesàro averages converges to 0 on continuous functions. Assume that for every critical value v , the sequence $\{A_n(\gamma_v)\}$ *-weakly converges to 0 on continuous functions. Given a continuous function α , let ϕ be a continuous function such that $\bar{\partial}(\phi) = \alpha$ in the sense of distributions. Then the sequence of integrals

$$\int \bar{\partial}(\phi) A_n(\gamma_v) = - \int \alpha \bar{\partial}(A_n(\gamma_v))$$

converges to 0. That means that the sequence of measures $\bar{\partial}(A_n(\gamma_v))$ converges to 0 on the space of continuous functions and, in particular, the total variation $\|\bar{\partial}(A_n(\gamma_v))\|$ is uniformly bounded. Then, by using the argument in Corollary 7 and Lemma 4 we conclude that there is no invariant line field on the Julia set. \square

In the following proposition we show that there are no fixed points of Ruelle operator among the examples of non regular functions mentioned above.

Proposition 9. *The characteristic function χ_A of a measurable set A is a fixed point of the modulus Ruelle operator if and only if the Lebesgue measure of A is 0.*

Proof. Assume that χ_A is a fixed point of the modulus Ruelle operator such that A has positive measure. Then for almost every point z in A , we have that $\sum \zeta'_i \bar{\zeta}'_i(z) = 1$ where the sum is taken over all branches ζ_i of R . Then the ∂z derivative along A gives the equation

$$\sum \zeta''_i \bar{\zeta}'_i(z) = 0.$$

Differentiation with respect to $\partial\bar{z}$ gives

$$\sum \zeta_i'' \bar{\zeta}_i'' = \sum |\zeta_i''|^2 = 0$$

for almost all points of A . Then A belongs to $\bigcup (\zeta_i'')^{-1}(0)$ which is a discrete set. The converse is clear. \square

We endow the space $Hol(P(R))$ with two non complete norms. The first is by restricting the L_1 norm on the Fatou set, and the second by restricting the same norm on the Julia set. Let us call Hol_1 and Hol_2 be the respective normed spaces. The operator R^* is a contraction on each space.

On the following proposition we show that any compatibility between these two topologies on $Hol(P(R))$ gives a sort of rigidity on the dynamics of R .

Proposition 10. *Let R be a rational map.*

1. *If the map $Id : Hol_1 \rightarrow Hol_2$ is either continuous or weakly continuous, then there are no fixed points for the Beltrami operator on the Julia set $J(R)$.*
2. *If the Fatou set $F(R)$ admits a non trivial quasiconformal deformation, then $Id : Hol_2 \rightarrow Hol_1$ is either continuous or weakly continuous if and only if the Lebesgue measure of $J(R)$ is zero.*

Proof. For the first part, suppose that there is a non-zero fixed point μ for the Beltrami operator on the Julia set, then μ defines a non trivial continuous linear functional l_μ on Hol_2 . Since Id is continuous then l_μ defines a continuous linear functional on the completion of Hol_1 . By Hahn-Banach Theorem and Riesz Representation Theorem there exist a Beltrami differential ν such that

$$l_\mu(\phi) = \int_{F(R)} \phi d\nu$$

for all $\phi \in \overline{Hol_1}$. Then ν is a fixed point of the Beltrami operator supported on the Fatou set. This contradicts Theorem 3 in [10].

For the second part of the Proposition. If the Julia set has measure zero then Hol_2 consists of only one point. Assume that the Lebesgue measure of the Julia set is not zero. Since there is a deformation on the Fatou set then there is a fixed point μ of the Beltrami operator supported on the Fatou set. Again μ defines a continuous linear functional on Hol_2 . Then we have a invariant line field on the Julia set which contradicts Theorem 3 in [10]. Then the Lebesgue measure of $J(R)$ is zero. \square

Now define $X = (Id - R^*)(Hol(P(R)))$, and let X_1 and X_2 be the closures of X in the spaces of Hol_1 and Hol_2 respectively. By the argument of the proof of Theorem 5, we have that if there is no invariant line field supported on the Julia set and if $J(R)$ has positive measure, then $X_2 = Hol(P(R))$ and hence $X_1 \subset X_2$. We will prove the converse in Proposition 12 below. But first we need the following lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let l be a linear functional on $Hol(P(R))$. If $X_1 \subset \ker(l)$ then l is continuous on Hol_1 .*

Proof. Let us show that X_1 has finite codimension on Hol_1 . That is $Hol_1 = X_1 \oplus F$ where F is a subspace the linear span of elements γ_v where v is a critical value of R . Indeed, the space Hol_1 is the linear span of $\gamma_a(z)$ where a is an element in the forward orbit of a critical value. By Lemma 5 in [10], for every critical value v of R we have

$$R^*(\gamma_v) = \frac{1}{R'(v)}\gamma_{R(v)} + f$$

where f is an element of F . Then $R^*(\gamma_v) - \gamma_v$ belongs to X_1 and $-f + \gamma_v$ belongs to F . Thus $\gamma_{R(v)}$ can be decomposed as a sum of elements in X_1 and F . Since X_1 is invariant under R^* , by an induction argument we have $Hol_1 = X_1 \oplus F$.

The space X_1 has finite codimension on Hol_1 and is closed in Hol_1 . If $X_1 \subset \ker(l)$ then l projects to a linear functional L defined on the finite dimensional space Hol_1/X_1 . This implies that L and l are continuous. \square

Proposition 12. *There is no invariant fixed point of the Beltrami operator supported on the Julia set if and only if $X_1 \subset X_2$.*

Proof. If there are no invariant line fields on the Julia set then X_2 is equal to the whole space $Hol(P(R))$ and contains X_1 . Assume that $X_1 \subset X_2$. By arguments of the proof of Lemma 11, we have that $Hol(P(R)) = X + F$ and some finite dimensional vector space F . Assume that μ is an invariant line field supported on the Julia set, then $l_\mu(\phi) = \int_{J(R)} \phi d\mu$ defines a linear continuous functional on Hol_2 and hence $X_2 \subset \ker(l_\mu)$.

By Lemma 11, l_μ is a continuous invariant functional on Hol_1 . By Riesz Representation Theorem, there is a measure ν supported on the Fatou set $F(R)$. Such that $l_\mu(\phi) = \int_{F(R)} \phi d\nu$, since μ is invariant, then ν is also invariant under the Beltrami operator. This contradicts Theorem 3 in [10]. \square

2.1 Action of Ruelle operator on L_p spaces.

Next theorem is the L_p version of the action of Ruelle operator. Unfortunately, the Ruelle operator on L_p , for $1 < p < \infty$, can not detect whether there is an invariant Beltrami differential without an invariant quadratic differential.

Theorem 13 (Version L_p). *Let K be a positive measure completely invariant set under R . The Ruelle operator has a fixed point in $L_p(K)$ if and only if R is a flexible Lattés map.*

Proof. If R_p^* has a fixed point then its dual $R_{*,q}$ has a fixed point on $L_q(K)$. Let ψ be the fixed point of $R_{*,q}$ then $\frac{|\psi|}{\psi}$ is an invariant line field. On the other hand, $f = |\psi|^q$ is an integrable function and satisfies

$$f = \frac{f(R)|R'|^2}{degR}.$$

Now, this implies that $supp(f)$ belongs to the conservative set, and hence $supp(f) \subset J(R)$. Then by Lyubich's Theorem [8], $f dz$ is a multiple maximal entropy measure. By Zdunik's Theorem [13] this is a postcritically finite rational map, then by Lemma 2 is a flexible Lattés map.

Conversely, if the map R is a flexible Lattés then there exist an invariant Beltrami differential μ and f_0 such that $f_0 = \frac{f_0(R)(R')^2}{\deg R}$ then $\psi = |f_0|^{\frac{1}{p}}\mu$ is a fixed point for the operator $R_{*,p}$ on $L_p(K)$ and induces a fixed point of the Ruelle operator in $L_p(K)$. Since the dual operator satisfies $R_q^* \circ R_{*q} = Id$, the converse follows. \square

3 Fixed points of bidual actions.

The following facts are consequences of the definition of the corresponding operators.

1. The bidual of the modulus of Ruelle operator $|R^*|^{**} : L_1(K)^{**} \rightarrow L_1(K)^{**}$ always has a non trivial fixed point.
2. The bidual of Ruelle operator $B^* : L_1(K)^{**} \rightarrow L_1(K)^{**}$ has a non trivial fixed point if and only if 1 belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(R^*)$.
3. The bidual of Ruelle operator T^* on $H^{**}(P(R))$ has a non trivial fixed point if and only if 1 belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(R^*)$.
4. We can restrict the action of T^* on $A^{**}(S_R)$ to get the same conclusion as in fact 3.

Where K is a completely invariant set of positive Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 14. *Assume that the conservative set does not contain any Fatou component and that 1 belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(R^*)$ on $A(S_R)$. The following four conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The space $Fix(T^*)$ is separable.*
2. *The operator T is mean ergodic.*
3. *The Ruelle operator R^* is uniformly ergodic.*
4. *The map R is a flexible Lattés map.*

Proof. (1) implies (2), (3) and (4). Assume that the space $Fix(T^*)$ is separable. By Bers Theorem $L_\infty(S_R) = B(S_R) \oplus N$ where $N = A(S_R)^\perp$. Since the space $B(S_R)$ is a complementary space in $L_\infty(S_R)$ it is a so called Grothendieck space (see definition in [7]), hence by Lotz Theorem in [7], (1) is equivalent to (2) and (3). Since R^* is a contraction, then is a power bounded operator. By Krengel Theorem 2.7 the value 1 is an isolated point of $\sigma(R^*)$. Hence Ruelle's operator R^* has a non trivial fixed point ϕ in $A(S_R)$ since any isolated point in the spectrum is an eigenvalue. Then $|\phi|$ by Proposition 3 defines an invariant finite measure such that the support of $|\phi|$ is S_R . By Lemma 2 the map R is a flexible Lattés map.

(4) implies (1). Since R is a flexible Lattés map, the space $A(S_R)$ is finitely dimensional, and hence $A^*(S_R)$ is finitely dimensional which implies (1). \square

Corollary 15. *If R is J -stable. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *The space $Fix(T^*)$ is separable.*

2. The operator R^* is uniformly ergodic.

3. The map R is hyperbolic and postcritically finite.

Proof. (3) Implies (2) and (1) since in this case $A(S_R)$ is finitely dimensional. Lotz theorem gives that (1) is equivalent to (2). (1) implies (3), by Sullivan's theorem if a map is J -stable and there is no invariant line field on $J(R)$ then R is hyperbolic. If there is an invariant line field then R^* has a fixed point and by the Theorem 14, the map R is a flexible Lattés which is not J -stable. Now, again by J -stability the Fatou set is dissipative. This means that if γ is an element of $A(S_R)$ then the series $\sum R^{*n}(\gamma)$ converges absolutely pointwise. Then $R^{*n}(\gamma)$ converges to 0 pointwise and the Cesàro averages of R^* converges to 0 in norm by uniform ergodicity, . This implies that R does not accept a non trivial quasiconformal deformation. By Theorem D in [9], the map R is hyperbolic and has no critical points with infinite forward orbit. \square

As a counterpart of the previous theorem, we show the following fact.

Theorem 16. *Let R be a rational map and let K be a completely invariant measurable set. Then the space of fixed points of the bidual action of Ruelle operator, acting on the bidual space of $L_1(K)$, is separable if and only if K has measure zero.*

Proof. When the measure of K is 0 then the theorem is true. If the measure of K is positive and the space of fixed points on $L_1^{**}(K)$ is separable. We claim that R^* is an isomorphism. It is enough to show that R^* is injective since R^* is surjective by the formula $R^* \circ R_* = Id$. Let ϕ be a non-zero element in the kernel $ker(R^*)$. Then for all λ such that $|\lambda| < 1$ the element

$$\phi_\lambda = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n R_*^n(\phi)$$

is not zero and moreover $R^*(\phi_\lambda) = \lambda\phi_\lambda$. Thus 1 is not an isolated eigenvalue which contradicts the assumption by Lotz Theorem. So R^* is an isomorphism as we claimed. Then we have

$$R_* \circ R^* = Id$$

on $L(K)$. Now let c_1 and c_2 be fixed points of R , and let a be a point different from c_1 and c_2 . Let $\gamma_a(z) = \frac{(c_1-a)(c_2-a)}{(z-c_1)(z-c_2)(z-a)}$, then the restriction of γ_a on K is integrable. Since γ_a is holomorphic the equation $R_* \circ R^*(\gamma_a) = \gamma_a$ holds on the whole sphere. If we take a such that neither a , nor $R(a)$ nor $R^{-1}(a)$ is a critical value. Then $R^*(\gamma_a)$ has a non trivial pole on $R(a)$, hence $R^{-1}(R(a))$ has d poles which are different from the poles of γ_a . This is a contradiction, then the Lebesgue measure of K is 0. \square

Let us observe that that Thurston operator T leaves $B_0(S_R)$ invariant. Since the Ruelle operator is continuous in the $*$ -weak topology over $B_0(S_R)$ then R^* is dual to the restriction of T on $B_0(S_R)$ so we have $(T|_{B_0(S_R)})^{**} = T$.

Let us recall that any endomorphism Q on $B_0(S_R)$ is either compact or there exists an infinite dimensional space E such that the restriction $Q|_E : \rightarrow B_0(S_R)$ is an isomorphism.

Let us consider the case when $Q = Id - T$ and describe two extremal situations: First when $Q : B_0(S_R) \rightarrow B_0(S_R)$ is an isomorphism and when Q is a compact operator. We will show that for a rational map these two extremal situations coincide. In order to show that, we will show the following theorem:

Theorem 17. *If $Q := (Id - T)$ is either an isomorphism or a compact operator, then T is uniformly ergodic.*

Proof. If $Q : B_0(S_R) \rightarrow B_0(S_R)$ is an isomorphism, then Q^{-1} exists and this means that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n$ converges and implies that T is uniformly ergodic. Now, assume that Q is a compact operator. Then as a consequence of the Spectral Decomposition Theorem we have that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a decomposition $B_0(S_R) = F_\epsilon \oplus X_\epsilon$ such that both subspaces F_ϵ and X_ϵ are invariant under Q such that $\dim(X_\epsilon) < \infty$ and the norm of the operator Q restricted to F_ϵ is less than ϵ . As F_ϵ and X_ϵ are Q invariant these are also T invariant. Let us consider the restriction $Q|_{F_\epsilon}$, since the norm on F_ϵ is small then $(Id - Q)|_{F_\epsilon}$ is invertible. By the von Neumann formula we have $(Id - Q)^{-1}|_{F_\epsilon} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n$. Then $(Id - Q)^{-1}|_{F_\epsilon}$ is a compact operator since it is the uniform limit of compact operators in the norm operator topology. Since $(Id - Q) = T$, we have that $T|_{F_\epsilon}$ is a compact isomorphism, which implies that F_ϵ has finite dimension. So the dimension of $B_0(S_R)$ is finite and T as a contraction over a finitely dimensional space is uniformly ergodic by the Mean Ergodicity Lemma. \square

As an immediate corollary we have

Corollary 18. *Under conditions of Theorem above, the operator Q is compact if and only if R is postcritically finite.*

Proof. If R is postcritically finite, then $B_0(S_R)$ has finite dimension and hence Q is compact since it is continuous. If Q is compact, as in the proof of the previous theorem $A(S_R)$, which is the dual of $B_0(S_R)$, has finite dimension and hence $P(R)$ is finite. \square

The following is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 19. *Assume that the conservative set does not intersect the Fatou set $F(R)$. Then Thurston operator T is mean ergodic on $B_0(S_R)$. Moreover, T has a non zero fixed point in $B_0(S_R)$ if and only if R is a flexible Lattés map.*

Proof. Proposition 3, Lemma 2 and arguments of the proof of Theorem 14 imply the following facts, $R^* : B_0^*(S_R) \rightarrow B_0^*(S_R)$ has a non-zero fixed point if and only if R is a flexible Lattés map. Then, by the Separation Principle T in $B_0(S_R)$ is mean ergodic and has a non trivial fixed point if and only if R has a flexible Lattés map. \square

The following corollary shows that if T has a fixed point in $B(S_R)$ not far from $B_0(S_R)$ in the Teichmüller norm then R is a Lattés map.

Corollary 20. *Let R be as in Theorem 19. Let $\alpha \in B(S_R)$ with $T(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\|\alpha\|_T = 1$ where $\|\cdot\|_T$ is the Teichmüller norm. If the distance in*

$$\text{dist}(\alpha, B_0(S_R)) = \inf_{\phi} \|\alpha - \phi\|_T < 1$$

for $\phi \in B_0(S_R)$ then R is a flexible Lattés map.

Proof. By the conditions there exist $\phi_0 \in B_0(S_R)$ such that $\|\alpha - \phi_0\|_T < 1$. By Theorem 19 is Mean Ergodic, if T has a fixed point in $B_0(S_R)$ then R is a Lattés map. Otherwise, the Cesàro averages $A_n(\phi)$ converges to 0 for every ϕ in $B_0(S_R)$. In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \|\alpha\|_T = \lim \|A_n(\alpha - \phi_0)\|_T \\ &\leq \|\alpha - \phi_0\|_T < 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus T has a fixed point in $B_0(S_R)$ and R is a Lattés map. \square

We say that R is dissipative if the dissipative set of R is the whole Riemann sphere, in other words, the conservative set has Lebesgue measure zero. In the dissipative case we can show the following result.

Theorem 21. *Let R be a dissipative map, then for any α in $B_0(S_R)$, the Thurston operator orbit $T^n(\alpha)$ weakly converge to 0 in $B_0(S_R)$.*

Proof. Since the conservative set of R has Lebesgue measure 0 we have, by Theorem 1.6 of Krengel's book [6], that the sum $\sum_n |R^{*n}|f$ is finite almost everywhere for every non negative element f in $L_1(\mathbb{C})$. Thus the series $\sum_n R^{*n}f$ absolutely converges almost everywhere in \mathbb{C} . In particular for $f = |\phi|$ where ϕ belongs to $A(S_R)$, then $\sum R^{*n}\phi$ converges absolutely pointwise. Hence $R^{*n}\phi$ converges to 0 for any ϕ in $A(S_R)$. By duality, this means that the T orbit of any element in $B_0(S_R)$ weakly converge to 0. \square

An operator satisfying the conclusion of the previous theorem is called *weakly almost periodic*.

Theorem 22. *Suppose that the measure of the postcritical set $P(R)$ is 0 and the image of $(Id - T)$ of the unit ball in $B_0(S_R)$ is closed, then R satisfies Sullivan conjecture.*

Proof. By Theorem 19, the operator T is mean ergodic. By Corollary 3.4 in Fonf, Lin and Rubinov [4], under conditions of the theorem the operator T is uniformly ergodic on $B_0(S_R)$. Hence the Ruelle operator, dual to T in $B_0(S_R)$, is uniformly ergodic. Now the conclusion of the theorem follows from Theorem 5. \square

Since $B(S_R)$ is a dual space, the image of the unit ball of $(Id - T)$ is always closed. Hence if $X = cl((Id - T)^{-1}(B_0(S_R))) \subset B(S_R)$, then the image of $(Id - T)$ of the unit ball in X is also closed. But X is invariant under T , in the case T is mean ergodic in X , then by the Mean Ergodic Separation Lemma and the result of Fonf, Lin and Rubinov the corresponding rational map R satisfies Sullivan's conjecture. In the next section we analyze further properties of the space X in a more general setting.

4 Hamilton-Krushkal sequences.

In this section we assume that $P(R)$ does not support an finite absolutely continuous invariant measures with respect to Lebesgue. In other words, the measure of the intersection of $P(R)$ with the strongly conservative set $SC(R)$ is zero.

Let us consider the elements $\gamma_v(z)$ where v is a critical value. Let $D = \{A_n(\gamma_{v_i})\}$ the set of Césaro averages for all γ_{v_i} with v_i in the critical value set $V(R)$. Now a sequence $\{\phi_i\}$ in $A^*(S_R)$ is called degenerated (non normalized), if there are positive constants c and ϵ with $\epsilon < \|\phi_i\| < c$ and ϕ_i converges to 0 pointwise almost everywhere.

Now, as in the definition of $A_*(S_R)$ consider the seminorm on $A^*(S_R)$ with

$$K(l) = \sup |l(\phi)|$$

where l is an element in $A^*(S_R)$ and, in this case, the supremum is taken over all degenerated sequences in D .

Remark: A sequence in D is either degenerated or precompact in norm. Indeed, if the sequence $\{A_n(\gamma_v)\}$ is not degenerated, then either there is a subsequence which converges pointwise to a non-zero limit or the sequence converge by norm. In the case where there is a subsequence converging to a non-zero limit, by Lemma 2 and Proposition 3 the map R is a Lattés map and there are no degenerated sequences.

We define the Hamilton-Krushkal space as $HK(R)$ the zero set of K . Since $K(l) \leq \|l\|$, the space $HK(R)$ is a closed subspace of $A^*(S_R)$.

A subspace Y of a Banach space X is called *coseparable* if and only if X/Y is separable.

Theorem 23. *Let R be a rational map, then a map satisfies Sullivan's conjecture if and only if $HK(R)$ is coseparable in $A^*(S_R)$.*

Proof. If there are no invariant line fields supported on the Julia set then the space of degenerated sequences is empty. So $HK(R) = A^*(S_R)$ and the quotient is separable. If R is Lattés, then $A^*(S_R)$ is finitely dimensional and so is $HK(R)$. Conversely, assume that $HK(R)$ is coseparable, then there exist a countable set $\{\alpha_i\}$ of elements in $A^*(S_R)$ such that $S = \{\alpha_i\} \cup HK(R)$ is dense in $A^*(S_R)$.

By induction and a diagonal argument we can find a sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $\alpha_i(A_{n_k}(\gamma_v))$ converges for all i and critical value v when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since R^* is a contraction and S is an everywhere dense subset of $A^*(S_R)$ then the Cesàro averages $A_{n_k}(\gamma_v)$ weakly converges for every v . By the Mean Ergodicity Lemma, for every critical value v the sequence $A_{n_k}(\gamma_v)$ converges in norm. Let μ be a non-zero invariant Beltrami differential, by Lemma 4 there exist a critical value v_0 such that $\int \mu \gamma_{v_0} \neq 0$. Thus the limit $f_0 = \lim A_{n_k}(\gamma_{v_0})$ is a non-zero fixed point of Ruelle operator in $A^*(S_R)$. Since the measure of $P(R) \cap SC(R)$ is zero, then by Lemma 2 the map R is a Lattés map. \square

From the arguments in the proof we have the following corollary.

Corollary 24. *If $HK(R)$ is coseparable then the Ruelle operator R^* is mean ergodic on $A(S_R)$.*

Also, we have the following.

Corollary 25. *The Hamilton-Krushkal space $HK(R)$ is coseparable if and only if $\text{codim}(HK(R)) = 0$.*

Proof. By the previous Theorem, $HK(R)$ is coseparable if and only if R is either Lattés or there are no invariant line fields, if R is Lattés then R^* is mean ergodic, then there are no degenerated sequences and $HK(R) = B$. If there are no invariant line fields, then there are not degenerated sequences again $HK(R) = B$. So $\text{codim}(HK(R)) = 0$. \square

4.1 Amenability.

Let us assume that the map R has only one critical value v with infinite forward orbit and let $A_{n_j}(\gamma_v)$ be a degenerated sequence. Then we can define an operator $E : A^*(S_R) \rightarrow l_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$E(h) = (h(A_{n_j}(\gamma_v)))_{j=0}^\infty.$$

Now the space $HK(R)$ can be characterized by the following property. An element ϕ in $A^*(S_R)$ belongs to $HK(R)$ if and only if $E(\phi)$ is a sequence convergent to 0. On the image of E , Thurston operator T acts by the following formula

$$\hat{T}(h(A_{n_j}(\gamma_v))) = T(h)(A_{n_j}(\gamma_v)).$$

The operator \hat{T} defines a power bounded operator over the image of E .

Definition. A mean m on ℓ_∞ is a positive functional on ℓ_∞ that satisfies the following $m(1, 1, 1, \dots) = 1$, and $m(a_1, a_2, \dots) = m(a_2, a_3, \dots)$ and

$$\inf |a_i| \leq m(a_1, a_2, \dots) \leq \sup |a_i|.$$

A mean is also known as *Banach limit* on ℓ_∞ .

Definition. The operator $O : X \rightarrow X$ defined on a subspace X of ℓ_∞ has an invariant mean if there is a mean on ℓ_∞ such that the restriction on X is non-zero and $m(T(\alpha)) = m(\alpha)$.

Let $M(T)$ the set of invariant means for T .

Lemma 26. The set $M(T) = \emptyset$ if and only if $E(A^*(S_R))$ consist of sequences converging to 0.

Proof. If $E(A^*(S_R)) \subset c_0$, then there are no non-zero means on $E(A^*(S_R))$, thus $M(T) = \emptyset$. Conversely, if there is an element $E(h) \in E(A^*(S_R)) \setminus c_0$ then there is a subsequence $\{n_j\}$ such that $h(A_{n_j}(\gamma_v))$ converges to a non-zero number a . By duality, implies that $A_{n_j}^*(h)$ also has a subsequence which $*$ -weak converges to a non-zero element l_0 such that $T(l_0) = l_0$. Then $E(l_0) = (l_0(\gamma_v), l_0(\gamma_v), \dots)$ and, since $E(A^*(S_R))$ is a subspace of ℓ_∞ , we have that $E(A^*(S_R))$ contains the constant sequence 1. This implies that $E(A^*(S_R))$ intersects the space of convergent sequences in a non empty set. On convergent sequences the functional $l : \{c_i\} \mapsto \lim c_i$ is continuous. By Banach Theorem, there exist an extension L to all ℓ_∞ which is a mean. Now we show that L is \hat{T} invariant on $E(A^*(S_R))$. To see that, we note that by the use of Cesàro averages we have

$$|T(h)(A_n(\gamma_v)) - h(A_{n+1}(\gamma_v))| \leq \frac{2\|h\|\|\gamma_v\|}{n}$$

for $h \in E(A^*(S_R))$. So

$$|T(h)(A_n(\gamma_v)) - h(A_{n+1}(\gamma_v))|$$

uniformly converge to 0 on any ball on $A^*(S_R)$. Let σ be the shift on sequences given by $\sigma(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_2, a_3, \dots)$, then $(\sigma - \hat{T})E(A^*(S_R)) \subset c_0$. Thus we have that $(\sigma - \hat{T})E(A^*(S_R))$ is a subset of $\ker(L)$. The invariance of L with respect to σ implies the invariance of L with respect to \hat{T} . Therefore $M(T)$ is non empty. □

Next we show that $M(T)$ is finite in very special cases.

Theorem 27. *The set $M(T)$ is finite if and only if $M(T)$ contains at most one element and if and only if $E(A^*(S_R))$ consists only of convergent sequences.*

Proof. The first equivalence is clear after one notices that $M(T)$ is convex. By the arguments on Lemma 26, if $E(A^*(S_R)) \subset c$, then the only invariant mean is given by the \lim functional. Reciprocally, assume that there is one invariant mean m and let $X = \overline{E(A^*(S_R))}$. Again, by arguments of Lemma 26 the space X contains the element $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$. Hence we can decompose $X = \mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1, \dots) \oplus (\ker(m) \cap X)$ and so there is a Beltrami fixed point μ such that $E^{-1}(X) = \mathbb{C}\mu \oplus E^{-1}(\ker(m))$. By Banach Limit Theorem (see [6]), for every $h \in E^{-1}(\ker(m))$ and every critical value v the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h(A_j(\gamma_v)) = 0.$$

But

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} h(A_j(\gamma_v)) = h\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(\gamma_v)\right).$$

So the sequence $f_k := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(\gamma_v)$ is a weakly convergent sequence of integrable functions. By Dunford-Pettis's Theorem, the sequence f_k is uniformly integrable. Since $A_j(\gamma_v)$ is degenerated, bounded and holomorphic then f_k converges uniformly to 0 on compact sets. So f_k converges to 0 in norm. Which implies that $\mu\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(\gamma_v)\right) = \mu(\gamma_v) = 0$. Then the sequence $A_n(\gamma_v)$ is degenerated which contradicts the existence of m . Hence $E(A^*(S_R)) \subset c$. \square

We have the following.

Theorem 28. *Assume that R has only one critical value. The map R is Sullivan if and only if $M(T)$ is finite.*

Proof. If $M(T)$ is finite, then by Theorem 27 we have that $E(A^*(S_R))$ only consists of convergent sequences. The space $E(HK(R))$ has codimension at most 1 in $E(A^*(S_R))$. This implies that $HK(R)$ has codimension at most 1, then by Corollary 25 the map R is Sullivan. The converse is also clear. \square

Now let us assume that R has many critical points. In this case, we consider the following construction to generalize the previous discussion. Let $G = \langle T^n \rangle$ the cyclic semigroup generated by T , define $\ell_\infty(G)$ the space of all bounded functions $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the norm $\|\phi\| = \sup_{g \in G} |\phi(g)|$. For each $l \in A^*$ and $v_i \in V(R)$, define $\phi_{l,v_j}(T^n) = l(A_n(\gamma_{v_j}))$, then $\phi_{l,v_j} \in \ell_\infty(G)$. Let

$$E(R) = \overline{\text{linearspan}\{\phi_{l,v_j} \in \ell_\infty(G) : l \in A^*(S_R), v_j \in V(R)\}}.$$

Note that $\ell_\infty(G)$ is isomorphic to some subspace of ℓ_∞ . A mean m for $E(R)$ is a mean in ℓ_∞ such that, on the generators of $E(R)$, we have $T(\phi_{l,v_j}) = \phi_{T(l),v_j}$. Let $M(T)$ be the set of all means for $E(R)$. Now we are on the same scenario as in the case of only one critical value. With small modifications on the arguments we can prove the following version of Theorem 28 for a map with several critical values.

Theorem 29. *Let R be a rational map. The map R is Sullivan if and only if $M(T)$ is finite.*

Let us again assume that R has only one critical value with infinite forward orbit, and consider the operator $E(Id - T)$. Let us show that $E(Id - T)$ is a compact operator.

Lemma 30. *The operator $E(Id - T) : B(S_R) \rightarrow c_0$ is compact.*

Proof. Let μ_i be a bounded sequence of $B(S_R)$, assume that μ_0 is a limit in the $*$ -weak topology. By taking subsequence we can assume that μ_i $*$ -weakly converges to μ_0 . Let $\omega_i = E(Id - T)(\mu_i)$ and $\omega_0 = E(Id - T)(\mu_0)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_i - \omega_0\| &= \sup_n \left| \int A_n(\gamma_v)(Id - T)\mu_i - A_n(\gamma_v)(Id - T)\mu_0 \right| \\ &= \sup_n \left| \int A_n(\gamma_v)(Id - T)(\mu_i - \mu_0) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since T is dual to the Ruelle operator we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int A_n(\gamma_v)(Id - T)(\mu_i - \mu_0) \right| &= \left| \int (Id - R^*)A_n(\gamma_v)(\mu_i - \mu_0) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\|\gamma_v\|}{n} \|\mu_i - \mu_0\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\gamma_v\| \|\mu_i - \mu_0\|$ is bounded and since μ_i converges $*$ -weakly to μ_0 then $\|\omega_i - \omega_0\|$ converges to 0 as i tends to infinity, hence $E(Id - T)$ is compact. \square

In general, whenever the composition of two operators is compact it does not follow that any one of the factors is compact. Previously we discussed the situation when $(Id - T)$ is compact, this is the case when T is uniformly ergodic. Now we show the following theorem.

Theorem 31. *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The restriction $E|_{B_0(S_R)} : B_0(S_R) \rightarrow c_0$ is weakly compact.*
2. *The restriction $E_{HK(R)} \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is compact.*
3. *The operator $E : B(S_R) \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is compact.*
4. *The space $HK(R) = B(S_R)$.*

Proof. By definition (2) implies (1) and, also, (3) implies both (1) and (2). (1) implies (3). If E is weakly compact then the dual $E^* : \ell_1 \rightarrow A(S_R)$ is weakly compact. As $E(h) = (h(A_n(\gamma_v)))_{n=0}^\infty$ and $E^*((a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots)) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i A_i(\gamma_v)$ is weakly precompact. The image of the canonical base of ℓ_1 consists of the elements $A_n(\gamma_v)$, which has to be a weakly precompact set. By the Mean Ergodicity Lemma, the sequence $A_n(\gamma_v)$ converge in norm, and then E^* is compact. Hence E is compact on B . (4) implies (3). By Corollary 24, if $HK(R) = B(S_R)$ then the Ruelle operator R^* is mean ergodic then E maps $B(S_R)$ on c . Similar arguments as in Lemma 30 show that E is compact.

(3) implies (4). If $E : B(S_R) \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ the dual and bidual operators of E are also compact. Since $E(HK(R))$ belongs to c_0 and E is compact, then $HK(R)$ is $*$ -weak closed. Since elements in $(Id - T)B(S_R)$ annihilate all Cesàro averages, we have that $\overline{(Id - T)B(S_R)}$ is contained in $HK(R)$. Let Y be the $*$ -weak closure of $\overline{(Id - T)B(S_R)}$, then Y is a subspace of $HK(R)$. Define $Y_\perp = \{g \in B_0^*(S_R) : \langle g, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in Y\}$. If Y is $*$ -weak dense in $B(S_R)$, then $HK(R) = B(S_R)$. Otherwise, by the Hanh-Banach Separation Theorem the space $Y_\perp \neq 0$. Moreover, any element in Y_\perp is a fixed point of Ruelle operator. The dimension of Y_\perp is finite. Every fixed point of T gives a non-zero functional on Y_\perp . By the Separation Principle of mean ergodicity we have that R^* is mean ergodic, then there are no degenerated sequences. Thus $HK(R) = B(S_R)$. \square

5 A “mixing” condition.

In this section we show that there is no fixed point of the Ruelle operator under a kind of mixing condition over the action of R on its strongly conservative set.

Let us define the following condition.

Definition. *We say that R satisfies the M -condition if R satisfies the following two conditions:*

1. *For every invariant ergodic probability measure m which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure supported on the Julia set $J(R)$ and, for every pair of measurable sets A and B on $J(R)$ such that $m(A) > 0$ and $m(B) > 0$ we have*

$$\lim m(B \cap R^n(A)) = m(A)m(B).$$

2. *On the strongly conservative set $SC(R)$ the sequence of the functions*

$$B^n(\chi_{SC(R)}) = \frac{\overline{(R^n)'(x)}}{(R^n)'(x)}$$

is precompact in the topology of convergence in measure.

If there is no invariant probability measure, then the M -condition is satisfied by vacuity. In particular, this is the case if the Lebesgue measure of the strongly conservative set is zero.

Proposition 32. *Assume that R satisfies the item (1) of the M -condition. Let ν be an invariant ergodic probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue. Let $W = \text{supp}(\nu)$, then for every ϕ in $L_\infty(W, \nu)$, we have that*

$$(|R^*|)^{*n}(\phi)$$

converges in $$ -weak topology to a constant as n tends to infinity.*

The proof follows from classical ergodic theory, for convenience we include it here.

Proof. Let ϕ be a non negative function such that $\nu = \phi dz \wedge d\bar{z}$. Since ν is an invariant probability measure we have $|R^*|\phi = \phi$. Now consider the space $L_1(W, \nu)$ and the operator S on $L_1(W, \nu)$ given by $S(g) = \frac{1}{\phi}|R^*|(g\phi)$ with dual $S^*(\omega) = \omega(R)$ for ω in $L_1^*(W, \nu)$. Note that S and S^* are contractions in both $L_1(W, \nu)$ and $L_\infty(W, \nu)$. By ergodic theorems (see for example Chapter 6 of the book of Dunford and Schwartz [2]) both S and S^* are contracting mean ergodic operators on all $L_p(W, \nu)$ spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The first part of the M -condition implies that for every f and g in $L_2(W, \nu)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \int S^n(f)\bar{g} &= \lim \int \overline{fS^{*n}(g)} = \\ &= \lim \int \overline{fg(R^n)}d\nu = \int fd\nu \int g d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Since ν is a probability measure then $L_\infty(W, \nu) \subset L_2(W, \nu) \subset L_1(W, \nu)$ and $L_2(W, \nu)$ defines an everywhere dense subspace in $L_1(W, \nu)$. Hence the orbits of S and S^* weakly converges in $L_1(W, \nu)$ and $*$ -weakly converges in $L_\infty(W, \nu)$. Let f_0 be an element in $L_2(W, \nu)$ then the weak limits of $S^n(f_0)$ or $S^{*n}(f_0)$ are fixed points for S and S^* , respectively. But ν is ergodic, thus the spaces of fixed points of S and S^* consists of constants. The conclusion of the proposition follows from the fact that $S^*(\mu) = \mu(R) = |R^*|*(\mu)$. □

Theorem 33. *Assume that R satisfies the M -condition and the strongly conservative set $SC(R)$ is bounded. Then there is no non-zero fixed point for the Ruelle operator in $L_1(J(R))$.*

Proof. Assume that there exist a non-zero fixed point f in $L_1(J(R))$ for the Ruelle operator. Then by Lemma 2 and Proposition 3 and the conditions of the Theorem, the support of f is a bounded measurable subset of the postcritical set $P(R)$ and $\mu = \frac{\bar{f}}{|f|}$ is a fixed point of the Beltrami operator. Let ν be the invariant probability measure with density $|f|$.

Let S and S^* be as in the proof of Proposition 32. Let us consider the operator given by

$$Z(g) = \frac{1}{|f|}R^*(g|f).$$

The operator Z defines an endomorphism of $L_1(supp(f), \nu)$. In this situation,

$$Z^*(\alpha) = B(\alpha) = \alpha(R) \frac{\bar{R}'}{R'}$$

and defines an endomorphism of $L_\infty(supp(f), \nu)$. Then $Z(g) = \bar{\mu}S(\mu g)$ and $Z^*(\alpha) = \mu S^*(\bar{\mu}\alpha)$. By Proposition 32, the orbits of S and S^* weakly converge to constants, hence the orbits of the operators Z and Z^* weakly converge to scalar multiples of $\bar{\mu}$ and μ respectively. Let c_g be the constant such that $Z^{*n}(g)$ weakly converge to μc_g .

Let z_0 be a density point of $supp(f)$ and a continuity point of μ . Since $supp(f)$ is a subset of the strongly conservative set $SC(R)$ and almost every point of $supp(f)$ is recurrent, we can assume that z_0 is also recurrent. This implies that there exist a sequence $\{n_i\}$ such that

$$|\mu(R^{n_i}(z_0)) - \mu(z_0)| \rightarrow 0.$$

But μ is invariant, so we have that the differences

$$\left| \frac{\overline{(R^{n_i})'}}{R^{n_i}}(z_0) - 1 \right|$$

converge to 0. Using that $\text{supp}(f)$ is bounded and the M -condition we can assume that the previous sequence convergence pointwise for almost every point in $\text{supp}(f)$. Now let g be the characteristic function $\chi_{\text{supp}(f)}$. In this case, $\frac{\overline{(R^{n_i})'}}{R^{n_i}}(z_0) = Z^{n_i}(\chi_{\text{supp}(f)})(z_0)$. Hence $c_{\chi_{\text{supp}(f)}} = \frac{1}{\mu(z_0)}$, but $c_{\chi_{\text{supp}(f)}}$ does not depend on the point z_0 and sequence $\{n_i\}$. Therefore $\mu(z) = \frac{1}{c_{\chi_{\text{supp}(f)}}$ for almost every z in $\text{supp}(f)$. The invariance of μ implies that R' is real valued on $\text{supp}(f)$, then $\text{supp}(f)$ is a subset of $(R')^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. So $\text{supp}(f)$ has Lebesgue measure 0. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] C. Cabrera and P. Makienko, *On decomposable rational maps*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **15** (2011), 210–218. MR 2869014 (2012k:37104)
- [2] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988, General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1009162 (90g:47001a)
- [3] F. Gardiner and N. Lakic, *Quasiconformal Teichmüller theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 76, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR 1730906 (2001d:32016)
- [4] V. Fonf, M. Lin, and A. Rubinov, *On the uniform ergodic theorem in Banach spaces that do not contain duals*, Studia Math. **121** (1996), no. 1, 67–85. MR 1414895 (97i:47014)
- [5] J. Bonet and E. Wolf, *A note on weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), no. 6, 650–654. MR 2029241 (2004i:46037)
- [6] U. Krengel, *Ergodic theorems*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 6, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985, With a supplement by Antoine Brunel. MR 797411 (87i:28001)
- [7] H. P. Lotz, *Uniform convergence of operators on L^∞ and similar spaces*, Math. Z. **190** (1985), no. 2, 207–220. MR 797538 (87e:47032)
- [8] M. Yu. Lyubich, *Typical behavior of trajectories of the rational mapping of a sphere*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **268** (1983), no. 1, 29–32. MR 687919 (84f:30036)
- [9] R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan, *On the dynamics of rational maps*, Ann. Scien. Ec. Norm. Sup. Paris(4) (1983).

- [10] P. Makienko, *Remarks on the Ruelle operator and the invariant line fields problem: II*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **25** (2005), no. 05, 1561–1581.
- [11] C. McMullen, *Complex dynamics and renormalization*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 135, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [12] J. Milnor, *On Lattès maps*, Dynamics on the Riemann sphere, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 9–43. MR 2348953 (2009h:37090)
- [13] A. Zdunik, *Parabolic orbifolds and the dimension of the maximal measure for rational maps*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), no. 3, 627–649. MR 1032883 (90m:58120)