

# IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF THE SPACE OF FOLIATIONS BY SURFACES

W. COSTA E SILVA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be written as  $f^*(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a 1-dimensional foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  a non-linear generic rational map. We use local stability results of singular holomorphic foliations, to prove that: if  $n \geq 4$ , a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  by complex surfaces on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is globally stable under holomorphic deformations. As a consequence, we obtain irreducible components for the space of two-dimensional foliations in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . We present also a result which characterizes holomorphic foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 4$  which can be obtained as a pull back of 1- foliations in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of degree  $d \geq 2$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	1-dimensional foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$	3
3.	Rational maps	4
4.	Generic pull-back components - Generic conditions	5
5.	Description of generic pull-back foliations on $\mathbb{P}^n$	6
	References	13

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A two singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a holomorphic manifold  $M$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \geq 3$ , may be defined by:

- (1) A covering  $\mathcal{U} = (U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  of  $M$  by open sets.
- (2) A collection  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  of integrable  $(n-2)$ -forms,  $\eta_{\alpha} \in \Omega^{n-2}(U_{\alpha})$ , where  $\eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$  and defines a 2-dimensional foliation in  $U_{\alpha}$ .
- (3) A multiplicative cocycle  $G := (g_{\alpha\beta})_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset}$  such that  $\eta_{\alpha} = g_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\beta}$ .

If  $N_{\mathcal{F}}$  denotes the holomorphic line bundle represented by the cocycle  $G$ , the family  $(\eta_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ , defines a holomorphic section of the vector bundle  $\Omega^{n-2}(M) \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}}$  i.e. an element  $\eta$  of the cohomology vector space  $H^0(M, \Omega^{n-2}(M) \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}})$ . The analytic subset  $Sing(\eta) := \{p \in M | \eta(p) = 0\}$  is the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In the case of  $M = \mathbb{P}^n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space, we have a theorem of Chow-type. Denote by  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  the natural projection, and consider  $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$  of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\pi$ ; with the previous notations,  $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$  is defined by  $(n-2)$ -forms,  $\pi^* \eta_{\alpha} \in \Omega^{n-2}[\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha})]$ . Recall that for  $n \geq 2$  we have  $H^1(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}^*) = \{1\}$ : it is a result from Cartan. As a consequence, there exists a global holomorphic  $(n-2)$ -form  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  which defines  $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . By Hartog's extension

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75 (primary); 32G34, 32S65 (secondary).

theorem,  $\eta$  can be extended holomorphically at 0. By construction we have  $i_R\eta = 0$ , where  $R$  is the radial vector field. This fact and the integrability condition imply that each coefficient of  $\eta$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $\deg(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ . Moreover, if we take a section by a generic immersion of hyperplane  $H := (i : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n)$ , this procedure gives a foliation by curves  $i^*(\mathcal{F})$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We then define the degree of  $\mathcal{F}$ , for short  $\deg(\mathcal{F})$ , as the degree of a generic section as before. From now on we will always assume that the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}$  has codimension greater or equal than two. The projectivisation of the set of  $n-2$ -forms which satisfy the previous conditions will be denoted by  $\mathbb{Fol}(d; 2, n)$ , the space of 2-dimensional foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $d$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Fol}(d; 2, n)$  can be considered as a quasi projective algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^{n-2}(\mathbb{P}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d+n-1))$ . In this scenario we have the following:

**Problem:** *Describe and classify the irreducible components of  $\mathbb{Fol}(d; 2, n)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , such that  $n \geq 3$ .*

We observe that the classification of the irreducible components of  $\mathbb{Fol}(0; 2, n)$  is given in [2, Th. 3.8 p. 46] and that the classification of the irreducible components of  $\mathbb{Fol}(1; 2, n)$  is given in [17, Th. 6.2 and Cor. 6.3 p. 935-936]. We refer the reader to [2] and [17] and references therein for a detailed description of them. In the case of foliations of codimension 1, the definitions of foliation and degree are analogous and we denote by  $\mathbb{Fol}(k, n)$  the space of codimension 1 foliations of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , such that  $n \geq 3$ . The study of irreducible components of these spaces has been initiated by Jouanolou in [10], where the irreducible components of  $\mathbb{Fol}(k, n)$  for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  are described. In the case of codimension one foliations one can exhibit some kind of list of irreducible components in every degree, but this list is incomplete. In the paper [3], the authors proved that  $\mathbb{Fol}(2, n)$  has six irreducible components, which can be described by geometric and dynamic properties of a generic element. We refer the reader to [3] and [11] for a detailed description of them. There are known families of irreducible components in which the typical element is a pull-back of a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by a rational map. Given a generic rational map  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $\nu \geq 1$ , it can be written in homogeneous coordinates as  $f = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$  where  $F_0, F_1$  and  $F_2$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\nu$ . Now consider a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $d \geq 2$ . We can associate to the pair  $(f, \mathcal{G})$  the pull-back foliation  $\mathcal{F} = f^*\mathcal{G}$ . The degree of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\nu(d+2) - 2$  as proved in [4]. Denote by  $PB(d, \nu; n)$  the closure in  $\mathbb{Fol}(\nu(d+2) - 2, n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$  of the set of foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  of the form  $f^*\mathcal{G}$ . Since  $(f, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{G}$  is an algebraic parametrization of  $PB(d, \nu; n)$  it follows that  $PB(d, \nu; n)$  is an unirational irreducible algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{Fol}(\nu(d+2) - 2, n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . We have the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.**  *$PB(d, \nu; n)$  is a unirational irreducible component of  $\mathbb{Fol}(\nu(d+2) - 2, n)$ ;  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\nu \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 2$ .*

The case  $\nu = 1$ , of linear pull-backs, was proven in [1], whereas the case  $\nu > 1$ , of nonlinear pull-backs, was proved in [4]. The search for new components of pull-back type for the space of codimension 1 foliations was considered in the Ph.D thesis of the author [6] and after in [7]. There we investigated branched rational maps and foliations with algebraic invariant sets of positive dimensions.

Recently, A.Lins Neto in [14] generalized the results contained in [12] about singularities of integrable 1-forms for the 2-dimensional case and he has obtained as a corollary components of linear pull-back type for the case of 2-dimensional

foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . In the present work we will explore the result contained in [14] and some ideas contained in [4] to show that, in fact, there exist families of irreducible components of non-linear pull-back type for the 2-dimensional case. We would like to mention that in [4] the authors have shown that linear pull-back components exist in all codimension. However, the techniques that they use to prove this fact can not be applied to the non-linear case.

**1.1. The present work.** Let us describe, briefly, the type of pull-back foliation that we shall consider.

Let us fix some homogeneous coordinates  $Z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $X = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  be a rational map represented in the coordinates  $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\tilde{f} = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1})$  where  $F_i \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  are homogeneous polynomials without common factors of degree  $\nu$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a foliation by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . This foliation can be represented in these coordinates by a homogenous polynomial  $(n-2)$ -form of the type

$$\Omega = (-1)^{i+k+1} \sum_{i,k} x_k P_i dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_k} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}$$

for all  $i, k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  where each  $P_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ . The pull back foliation  $f^*(\mathcal{G})$  is then defined in homogeneous coordinates by the  $(n-2)$ -form

$$\tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]}(Z) = \left[ (-1)^{i+k+1} \sum_{i,k} F_k (P_i \circ \tilde{f}) dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_k} \wedge \dots \wedge dF_{n-1} \right],$$

$i, k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  where each coefficient of  $\tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]}(W)$  has degree  $\Theta(\nu, d, n) + 1 = [(d+n-1)\nu - 2]$ . Let  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  be the closure in  $\mathbb{F}ol(\Theta; 2, n)$  of the set  $\{[\tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]}]\}$ , where  $\tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]}$  is as before. The pull-back foliation's degree is  $\Theta(\nu, d, n) = [(d+n-1)\nu - 3]$  and for simplicity we will denote it by  $\Theta$ . Let us state the main result of this work.

**Theorem A.**  *$PB(\Theta; 2, n)$  is a unirational irreducible component of  $\mathbb{F}ol(\Theta; 2, n)$  for all  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\nu \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ .*

It is worth pointing out that the case  $n = 3$  is also true and it is contained in theorem 1.1. So we can think this result as the  $n \geq 4$ -dimensional generalization of [4] for 2-dimensionoal foliations in  $\mathbb{P}^n$

*Acknowledgments:* I am deeply grateful to D. Cerveau for the discussions, suggestions and comments. I would also like to thank E. Goulart for the corrections in the manuscript. This work was developed at IRMAR(Rennes, France) and was supported by Capes-Brasil, process number (9814-13-2).

## 2. 1-DIMENSIONAL FOLIATIONS ON $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

**2.1. Basic facts.** We recall the basic definitions and properties of foliations by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  that we will use in this work. Proofs and details can be found in [16] and [14].

Let  $R = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  be the radial vector field in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote by  $\Sigma(R, d-1) = \{\mathcal{Z} | [R, \mathcal{Z}] = (d-1)\mathcal{Z}\}$ , where  $[R, \mathcal{Z}]$  stands for the Lie's bracket between the two vector fields  $R$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Observe that  $\Sigma(R, d-1)$  is a finite dimensional vector space whose elements are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ . Let us write  $\mathcal{X} =$

$(\mathcal{X}_0, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)$  and  $\nabla \mathcal{X} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}_i}{\partial x_i}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(R, d-1) = \{\mathcal{X} \in \Sigma(R, d-1) | \nabla \mathcal{X} = 0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}(R, d-1) = \{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{E}(R, d-1) | \mathcal{X} \text{ has an isolated singularity at } 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ . It can be verified that  $\mathcal{K}(R, d-1)$  is a Zariski open and dense subset of  $\Sigma(R, d-1)$  and for each  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{K}(R, d-1)$  then the  $(n-2)$ -form

$$\Omega = {}_i R^i \mathcal{X} d\sigma = (-1)^{i+k+1} \sum_{i,k} x_k P_i dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_k} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1},$$

where  $d\sigma = dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}$  is the volume form in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = \sum_i P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  and  $0 \in \text{Sing}(\Omega)$  is a n.g.K singularity, with rotational  $(d+n)\mathcal{X}$  (see section 5.2) and [14] for more details. Observe that if  $\text{cod } \text{Sing}(\Omega) \geq 2$  then  $\Omega$  defines a 1-dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of degree  $d$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let us denote by  $\text{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$  the set of 1-dimensional foliations on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [16] *Given,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $d \geq 2$  there exists a Zariski open subset  $\mathcal{M}(d)$  of  $\text{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$  such that any  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  has exactly  $N = \frac{d^n - 1}{d-1}$  hyperbolic singularities and is regular on the complement.
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}$  has no invariant algebraic curve.

Let  $X$  be a germ of vector field at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  with an isolated singularity at 0 and denote by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  the spectrum of its linear part. We say that  $X$  is hyperbolic at 0 if none of the quotients  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$  are real. We have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a germ of vector field with a hyperbolic singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and denote by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  its spectrum. Then, there are exactly  $n-1$  germs of irreducible invariant analytic invariant curves  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1}$  at 0 where each  $\Gamma_l$  is smooth and tangent to the eigendirection corresponding to  $\lambda_l$ .*

In a local coordinate system near the singularity for instance,  $0 \in (\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, u)$  where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$  the foliation can be written as

$$Q(u) = (\lambda_1 u_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \dots + (\lambda_{n-1} u_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}} + h.o.t,$$

where *h.o.t* stands for higher order terms. Let us denote by  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{K}(R, d-1) = L(d)$  and let  $A(d) := \mathcal{M}(d) \cap L(d)$  be their intersection. An element of the open and dense subset  $A(d) \subset \text{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$  is the well-known generalized Joanoulu's example, see [16] and [14].

### 3. RATIONAL MAPS

Let  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  be a rational map and  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  its natural lifting in homogeneous coordinates. We are considering the same homogeneous coordinates used in the introduction.

The *indeterminacy locus* of  $f$  is, by definition, the set  $I(f) = \Pi_n(\tilde{f}^{-1}(0))$ . Observe that the restriction  $f|_{\mathbb{P}^n \setminus I(f)}$  is holomorphic. We characterize the set of rational maps used throughout this text as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** We denote by  $RM(n, n-1, \nu)$  the set of maps  $\{f : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$  of degree  $\nu$  given by  $f = (F_0 : F_1 : \dots : F_{n-1})$  where the  $F_{j_s}$ , are homogeneous polynomials without common factors, with the same degree.

Let us note that the indeterminacy locus  $I(f)$  is the intersection of the hypersurfaces  $\Pi_n(F_i = 0)$  and  $\Pi_n(F_j = 0)$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 3.2.** We say that  $f \in RM(n, n-1, \nu)$  is *generic* if for all  $p \in \tilde{f}^{-1}(0) \setminus \{0\}$  we have  $dF_0(p) \wedge dF_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge dF_{n-1}(p) \neq 0$ .

This is equivalent to saying that  $f \in RM(n, n-1, \nu)$  is *generic* if  $I(f)$  is the transverse intersection of the  $n$  hypersurfaces  $\Pi_n(F_i = 0)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Moreover if  $f$  is generic and  $\deg(f) = \nu$ , then by Bezout's theorem  $I(f)$  consists of  $\nu^n$  distinct points.

Now let  $V(f) = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus I(f)$ ,  $P(f)$  the set of critical points of  $f$  in  $V(f)$  and  $C(f) = f(P(f))$  the set of the critical values of  $f$ . If  $f$  is generic, then  $\overline{P(f)} \cap I(f) = \emptyset$ , so that  $\overline{P(f)} = P(f) \subset V(f)$  (where  $\overline{A}$  denotes the closure of  $A \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  in the usual topology). Since  $P(f) = \{p \in V(f); \text{rank}(df(p)) \leq (n-2)\}$ , it follows from Sard's theorem that  $C(f) = f(P(f))$  is a subset of Lebesgue's measure 0 in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , in fact  $C(f)$  is an algebraic curve.

The set of generic maps will be denoted by  $Gen(n, n-1, \nu)$ . We state the following result, whose proof is standard in algebraic geometry:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Gen*( $n, n-1, \nu$ ) is a Zariski dense subset of  $RM(n, n-1, \nu)$ .

#### 4. GENERIC PULL-BACK COMPONENTS - GENERIC CONDITIONS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f \in Gen(n, n-1, \nu)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{G} \in A(d)$  is in generic position with respect to  $f$  if  $Sing(\mathcal{G}) \cap C(f) = \emptyset$ .

In this case we say that  $(f, \mathcal{G})$  is a generic pair. In particular, when we fix a map  $f \in Gen(n, n-1, \nu)$  the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{G} \in A(d) \mid Sing(\mathcal{G}) \cap C(f) = \emptyset\}$  is an open and dense subset in  $A(d)$  [15], since  $C(f)$  is an algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The set  $U_1 := \{(f, \mathcal{G}) \in Gen(n, n-1, \nu) \times A(d) \mid Sing(\mathcal{G}) \cap C(f) = \emptyset\}$  is an open and dense subset of  $Gen(n, n-1, \nu) \times A(d)$ . Hence the set  $\mathcal{W} := \{\tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]} \mid (f, \mathcal{G}) \in U_1\}$  is an open and dense subset of  $PB(\Theta; 2, n)$ .

Consider the set of foliations  $\mathbb{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and the following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : RM(n, n-1, \nu) \times \mathbb{Fol}(d; 1, n-1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Fol}(\Theta; 2, n) \\ (f, \mathcal{G}) &\rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{G}) = \Phi(f, \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

The image of  $\Phi$  can be written as:

$$\left[ (-1)^{i+k+1} \sum_{i,k} F_k(P_i \circ \tilde{f}) dF_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dF_k} \wedge \dots \wedge dF_{n-1} \right],$$

$i, k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Recall that  $\Phi(f, \mathcal{G}) = \tilde{\eta}_{[f, \mathcal{G}]}$ . More precisely, let  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  be the closure in  $\mathbb{Fol}(\Theta; 2, n)$  of the set of foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  of the form  $f^*(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $f \in RM(n, n-1, \nu)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$ . Since  $RM(n, n-1, \nu)$  and  $\mathbb{Fol}(d; 1, n-1)$  are irreducible algebraic sets and the map  $(f, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{G}) \in \mathbb{Fol}(\Theta; 2, n)$  is an algebraic parametrization of  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$ , we have that  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  is an irreducible algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{Fol}(\Theta; 2, n)$ . Moreover, the set of generic pull-back foliations  $\{\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{F} = f^*(\mathcal{G}), \text{ where } (f, \mathcal{G}) \text{ is a generic pair}\}$  is an open (not Zariski) and dense subset of  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  for  $\nu \geq 2, d \geq 2$ .

**Remark 4.2.** We observe that if  $\nu = 1$  the theorem is also true and, in this case, we re-obtain the result [14, Cor. 1 p.7] and [4, Cor. 5.1 p. 426] for the case of bi-dimensional foliations.

**Remark 4.3.** *To visualize that the degree of a generic pull-back foliation is indeed  $\Theta(\nu, d, n) = [(d+n-1)\nu - 3]$ , do the pull-back of a generic map of the Joanoulou's foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to obtain that the degree of this generic element coincides with this number.*

## 5. DESCRIPTION OF GENERIC PULL-BACK FOLIATIONS ON $\mathbb{P}^n$

**5.1. The Kupka set of  $\mathcal{F} = f^*(\mathcal{G})$ .** Let  $q_i$  be a singularity of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $V_{q_i} = \overline{f^{-1}(q_i)}$ . If  $(f, \mathcal{G})$  is a generic pair then  $V_{q_i} \setminus I(f)$  is contained in the Kupka set of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Fix  $p \in V_{q_i} \setminus I(f)$ . Since  $f$  is a submersion at  $p$ , there exist local analytic coordinate systems  $(U, y, t), y : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, t : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and  $(V, u), u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ , at  $p$  and  $q_i = f(p)$  respectively, such that  $f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, t) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$ ,  $u(q) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is represented by the vector field  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_j(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}$  in a neighborhood of  $q_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is represented by  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} Y_j(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ . It follows that in  $U$ , the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to the product of two foliations of dimension one: the singular foliation induced by the vector field  $Y$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$  and the regular foliation of dimension one given by the fibers of the first projection  $F(y, t) = y$ . Note that the curve  $\gamma := \{(y, t) | y = 0\}$  is contained in the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, the condition  $\mathcal{G} \in A(d)$  implies that  $\text{Div}(Y(p)) \neq 0$ . This is the Kupka-Reeb phenomenon, and we have that  $p$  is in the Kupka set of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [14]). It is known that this local product structure is stable under deformations of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore if  $p$  is as before it belongs to the Kupka-set of  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is known that this local product structure is stable under small perturbations of  $\mathcal{F}$  [9],[18].

**Remark 5.1.** *Note that,  $\mathcal{F}$  has other singularities which are contained in  $f^{-1}(C(f))$ . We remark that  $\mathcal{F}$  has local holomorphic first integral in a neighborhood of each singularity of this type. In fact, this is the obstruction to try to apply the results contained in [4] to prove theorem A since these pull-back foliations do not have totally decomposable tangent sheaf.*

*Since  $\mathcal{G}$  has degree  $d$  and all of its singularities are non degenerate it has  $N = \frac{d^n - 1}{d - 1}$  singularities, say,  $q_1, \dots, q_N$ . We will denote the curves  $\overline{f^{-1}(q_1)}, \dots, \overline{f^{-1}(q_N)}$  by  $V_{q_1}, \dots, V_{q_N}$  respectively. We have the following:*

**Proposition 5.2.** *For each  $\{j = 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $V_{q_j}$  is a complete intersection of  $(n - 1)$  transversal algebraic hypersurfaces. Furthermore,  $V_{q_j} \setminus I(f)$  is contained in the Kupka set of  $\mathcal{F} = f^*\mathcal{G}$ .*

**5.2. Generalized Kupka singularities for 2-dimensional foliations.** In this section we will recall the generalized Kupka singularities of an integrable holomorphic  $(n - 2)$ -form, for more detail we refer the reader to [14]. They appear in the indeterminacy set of  $f$  and play a central role in great part of the proof of the main theorem. Let  $\Omega$  be an holomorphic integrable  $(n - 2)$ -form defined in a neighborhood of  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular, since  $d\Omega$  is a  $(n - 1)$ -form, there exists a holomorphic vector field  $\mathcal{Z}$  defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  such that:  $d\Omega = i_{\mathcal{Z}} dw_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dw_{n-1}$ .

**Definition 5.3.** We say that  $p$  is a singularity of generalized Kupka type of  $\Omega$  if  $\mathcal{Z}(p) = 0$  and  $p$  is an isolated zero of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** We say that  $p$  is a nilpotent generalized singularity, for short n.g.k singularity, if the linear part of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $D\mathcal{Z}(p)$  is nilpotent.

This definition is justified by the following result (that can be found in [14]).

**Theorem 5.5.** *Assume that  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a n.g.k singularity of  $\Omega$ . Then there exists two holomorphic vector fields  $S$  and  $Z$  and a holomorphic coordinate system  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  around  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $\Omega$  has polynomial coefficients. More precisely, there exists two polynomial vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that:*

- (a)  $Y = S + N$ , where  $S = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k_j w_j \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$  is linear semi-simple with eigenvalues  $k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $DN(0)$  is linear nilpotent and  $[S, N] = 0$ .
- (b)  $[N, X] = 0$  and  $[S, X] = kX$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words,  $X$  is quasi-homogeneous with respect to  $S$  with weight  $k$ .
- (c) In this coordinate system we have  $\Omega = i_Y i_X dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}$  and  $L_Y(\Omega) = (k + \text{tr}(S))\Omega$ .

In particular, the foliation given by  $\Omega = 0$  can be defined by a local action of the affine group.

**Definition 5.6.** In the situation of the theorem 5.5,  $S = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k_j x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  and  $L_S(X) = kX$ , we say that the n.g.K is of type  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}; k)$ .

**Remark 5.7.** *We would like to observe that in many cases it can be proved that the vector field  $N$  of the statement of theorem 2 vanishes. In order to discuss this assertion it is convenient to introduce some objects. Given two germs of vector fields  $Z$  and  $W$  set  $L_Z(W) := [Z, W]$ . Recall that  $\Sigma(S, \ell) = \{Z \in X \mid L_S(Z) = \ell Z\}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y = S + N$  be as in theorem 5.5. Observe that:*

- Jacobi's identity implies that if  $W \in \Sigma(S, k)$  and  $Z \in \Sigma(S, \ell)$  then  $[W, Z] \in \Sigma(S, k + \ell)$ .
- For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma(S, k)) < \infty$  (because  $k_0, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ ).
- $N \in \Sigma(S, 0)$ ,  $X \in \Sigma(S, \ell)$  and  $L_X(N) = 0$ , so that  $N \in \ker(L_X^0)$ , where  $L_X^0 := LX : \Sigma(S, 0) \rightarrow \Sigma(S, \ell)$ . In particular, the vector field  $N \in \Sigma(S, 0)$  of theorem 5.5 necessarily vanishes  $\iff \ker(L_X^0) = \{0\}$ .

In [14], § 3.2 it is shown that under a non-resonance condition, which depends only on  $X$ , then  $\ker(L_X^0) = \{0\}$ . Let us mention some correlated facts.

- (I) If  $S$  has no resonances of the type  $\langle \sigma, k \rangle - k_j = 0$ , where  $\langle \sigma, k \rangle = \sum_j \sigma_j \cdot k_j$ ,  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_{n-1})$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , then  $\ker(L_X^0) = \{0\}$ .
- (II) When  $n = 3$  and  $X$  has an isolated singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$  then  $\ker(L_X^0) = \{0\}$  (c.f [12]).
- (III) When  $N \neq 0$  and  $\text{cod}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{sing}(N)) = 1$ , or  $\text{sing}(N)$  has an irreducible component of dimension one then it can be proved that  $X$  cannot have an isolated singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

In fact, we think that whenever  $X$  has an isolated singularity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\nabla X = 0$  then  $\ker(L_X^0) = \{0\}$ .

The next result is about the nature of the set  $\mathcal{K}(S, \ell) := \{X \in \Sigma(S, \ell) \mid \ker(L_X^0) = \{0\} \text{ and } \nabla X = 0\}$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $\mathcal{K}(S, \ell) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\mathcal{K}(S, \ell)$  is a Zariski open and dense subset of  $\mathcal{E}(S, \ell)$ . In particular, if there exists  $X \in \mathcal{E}(S, \ell)$  satisfying the non-resonance condition mentioned in remark 5.7 then  $\mathcal{K}(S, \ell)$  is a Zariski open and dense in  $\mathcal{E}(S, \ell)$ . Proposition 5.8 is a straightforward consequence of the following facts:*

- (A) The set of linear maps  $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma(S, 0), \Sigma(S, \ell))$  is finite dimensional vector space. Moreover, the subspace  $\mathcal{NI} := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma(S, 0), \Sigma(S, \ell)) \mid T \text{ is not injective}\}$  is an algebraic subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma(S, 0), \Sigma(S, \ell))$ .
- (B) The map  $L : \mathcal{E}(S, \ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\Sigma(S, 0), \Sigma(S, \ell))$  defined by  $L(X) = L_X^0$  is linear. As a consequence, the set  $L^{-1}(\mathcal{NI})$  is an algebraic subset of  $\mathcal{E}(S, \ell)$ .
- (C)  $\mathcal{K}(S, \ell) = \mathcal{E}(S, \ell) \setminus L^{-1}(\mathcal{NI})$ .

We leave the details to the reader.

**Remark 5.9.** In the case of the radial vector field,  $R = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , we have  $\mathcal{K}(R, d-1) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $d \geq 2$ . In fact, it is proved in [14], § 3.2 that  $J_d \in (R, d-1)$ , where  $J_d$  is the generalized Jouanolou's vector field.

Consider a holomorphic family of  $(n-2)$ -forms,  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in U}$ , defined on a polydisc  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where the space of parameters  $U$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{C}^k$  with  $0 \in U$ . Let us assume that:

- For each  $t \in U$  the form  $\Omega_t$  defines a 2-dimensional foliation  $\mathcal{F}_t$  on  $Q$ . Let  $(Z_t)_{t \in U}$  be the family of holomorphic vector fields on  $Q$  such that  $d\Omega_t = i_{Z_t} dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_0$  has a n.g.K singularity at  $0 \in Q$ .

We can now state the stability result, whose proof can be found in [14]:

**Theorem 5.10.** In the above situation there exists a neighborhood  $0 \in V \subset U$ , a polydisc  $0 \in P \subset Q$ , and a holomorphic map  $\mathcal{P} : V \rightarrow P \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$  and for any  $t \in V$  then  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  is the unique singularity of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  in  $P$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  is the same type as  $\mathcal{P}(0)$  in the sense that: If  $0$  is a n.g.K singularity of type  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}, k)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  then  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  is a n.g.K singularity of type  $(k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}, k)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ,  $\forall t \in V$ .

Let us now describe  $\mathcal{F} = f^*(\mathcal{G})$  in a neighborhood of a point  $p \in I(f)$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** If  $p \in I(f)$  then  $p$  is a n.g.K singularity of  $\Omega$  of type  $(1, \dots, 1, n)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to show that there exists a local chart  $(U, x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  around  $p$  such that the lifting  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  is of the form  $\tilde{f}|_U = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular  $\mathcal{F}|_{U(p)}$  is represented by the homogeneous  $(n-2)$ -form

$$\Omega = (-1)^{i+k+1} \sum_{i,k} x_k P_i dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_k \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1}.$$

Observe that  $L_R \Omega = (d+n)\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = \sum_i P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z} = (d+n)\mathcal{X}$ ,  $[R, \mathcal{X}] = d\mathcal{X}$ . Since we are considering  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$  we have that  $Y = R$ ,  $N = 0$  and hence we conclude that  $p$  is a n.g.K singularity of  $\Omega$  of type  $(1, \dots, 1, n)$ . In particular the vector field  $S$  as in the Theorem 5.5 is the radial vector field.  $\square$

It follows from theorem. 5.10 that this singularities are stable under deformations. Proposition 5.11 says that the germ  $f^*\mathcal{G}$ ,  $p$  of  $f^*\mathcal{G}$  at  $p \in I(f)$  is equivalent to the germ of  $\Pi_{n-1}^*(\mathcal{G}), 0$ , where  $\Pi_{n-1} : \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is the canonical projection. Therefore in this case we can see all the foliations  $\mathcal{G}$  in a neighborhood of each  $p \in I(f)$ .



5.2.1. *Deformations of the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}_0 = f_0^*(\mathcal{G}_0)$ .* We have constructed an open and dense subset  $\mathcal{W}$  inside  $PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  containing the generic pull-back foliations. We will show that for any rational foliation  $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{W}$  and any germ of a holomorphic family of foliations  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_{t=0}$  we have  $\mathcal{F}_t \in PB(\Theta, 2, n)$  for all  $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ .

Using the theorem. 5.10 with  $V = (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , it follows that for each  $p_j \in I(f_0)$  there exists a deformation  $p_j(t)$  of  $p_j$  and a deformation of  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p_j(t)}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{p_j}$  such that  $p_j(t)$  is a n.g.K singularity of  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p_j(t)} := \Omega_{p_j(t)}$  of type  $(1, \dots, 1, n)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_{t, p_j(t)})_{t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)}$  defines a holomorphic family of foliations in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We will denote by  $I(t) = \{p_1(t), \dots, p_j(t), \dots, p_\nu(t)\}$ .

**Remark 5.12.** *Since  $I(t)$  is not connected we can not guarantee a priori that  $\mathcal{F}_{t, p_i(t)} = \mathcal{F}_{t, p_j(t)}$ , if  $i \neq j$ .*

**Lemma 5.13.** *There exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and smooth isotopies  $\phi_{q_i} : D_\epsilon \times V_{q_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, q_i \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ , such that  $V_{q_i}(t) = \phi_{q_i}(\{t\} \times V_{q_i})$  satisfies:*

- (a)  $V_{q_i}(t)$  is an algebraic subvariety of dimension 1 of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $V_{q_i}(0) = V_{q_i}$  for all  $q_i \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}_0)$  and for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$ .
- (b)  $I(t) \subset V_{q_i}(t)$  for all  $q_i \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}_0)$  and for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$ . Moreover, if  $q_i \neq q_j$ , and  $q_i, q_j \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ , we have  $V_{q_i}(t) \cap V_{q_j}(t) = I(t)$  for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$  and the intersection is transversal.
- (c)  $V_{q_i}(t) \setminus I(t)$  is contained in the Kupka-set of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $q_i \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G}_0)$  and for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$ . In particular, the transversal type of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is constant along  $V_{q_i}(t) \setminus I(t)$ .

*Proof.* See [11, lema 2.3.3, p.83]. □

5.3. **End of the proof of Theorem A.** We divide the end of the proof of Theorem A in two parts. In the first part we construct a family of rational maps  $f_t : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $f_t \in \text{Gen}(n, n-1, \nu)$ , such that  $(f_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$  is a deformation of  $f_0$  and the subvarieties  $V_{q_i}(t)$  are fibers of  $f_t$  for all  $t$ . In the second part we show that there exists a family of foliations  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}, \mathcal{G}_t \in \mathcal{A}$  (see Section 4) such that  $\mathcal{F}_t = f_t^*(\mathcal{G}_t)$  for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$ .

5.3.1. *Part 1.* Once  $d = \text{deg}(\mathcal{G}_0) \geq 2$ , the number of singularities of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is  $N = \frac{d^n - 1}{d - 1} > n$ , so we can suppose that the singularities of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  are  $q_1 = [0 : 0 : \dots : 1], \dots, q_n = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0], \dots, q_N$ .

**Proposition 5.14.** *Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$  be a deformation of  $\mathcal{F}_0 = f_0^*(\mathcal{G}_0)$ , where  $(f_0, \mathcal{G}_0)$  is a generic pair, with  $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_0 \in \text{Gen}(n, n-1, \nu)$  and  $\text{deg}(f_0) = \nu \geq 2$ . Then there exists a deformation  $(f_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$  of  $f_0$  in  $\text{Gen}(n, n-1, \nu)$  such that:*

- (i)  $V_{q_i}(t)$  are fibers of  $(f_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$ .
- (ii)  $I(t) = I(f_t), \forall t \in D_\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{f}_0 = (F_0, \dots, F_{n-1}) : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be the homogeneous expression of  $f_0$ . Then  $V_{q_1}, V_{q_2}, \dots,$  and  $V_{q_n}$  appear as the complete intersections  $V_{q_i} = (F_0 = F_1 = \dots = \widehat{F_{i-1}} = \dots = 0)$ . The remaining fibers,  $V_{q_i}$  for  $i > n$  are obtained in the same way. With this convention we have that  $I(f_0) = V_{q_i} \cap V_{q_j}$  if  $i \neq j$ . It follows from [19] (see section 4.6 pp 235-236) that each  $V_{q_i}(t)$  is also a smooth complete intersection generated by polynomials of the same degree. However we can not assure that the set of polynomials which define each  $V_{q_i}(t)$  have a correlation among them. In

the next lines we will show this fact. For this let us work firstly with two curves. For instance, let us take  $V_{q_1}(t)$  and  $V_{q_2}(t)$  which are deformations of  $V_{q_1}$  and  $V_{q_2}$  respectively. We will see that this two curves are enough to construct the family of deformations  $(f_t)_{t \in D_{e'}}$ . After that we will show that the remaining curves  $V_{q_i}(t)$  are also fibers of  $(f_t)_{t \in D_{e'}}$ . We can write  $V_{q_1}(t) = (F_1(t) = F_2(t) = \dots = F_{n-1}(t) = 0)$ , and  $V_{q_2}(t) = (\tilde{F}_0(t) = F_1(t) = \tilde{F}_2(t) \dots = \dots = \tilde{F}_{n-1}(t) = 0)$  where  $(F_i(t))_{t \in D_{e'}}$  and  $(\tilde{F}_i(t))_{t \in D_{e'}}$  are deformations of  $F_i$  and  $D_{e'}$  is a possibly smaller neighborhood of 0. Observe first that since the  $F_{is}(t)$  and  $\tilde{F}_{is}(t)$  are near  $F_{is}$ , they meet as a complete intersection at:

$$I(f_t) := (F_0(t) = 0) \cap V_1(t)$$

On the other hand we also have

$$I(t) = V_{q_1}(t) \cap V_{q_2}(t) = V_{q_1}(t) \cap [(F_0(t) = 0) \cap \{\tilde{F}_2(t) = \dots = \tilde{F}_{n-1}(t) = 0\}].$$

Let us write  $\{S(t) = 0\} = \{\tilde{F}_2(t) = \dots = \tilde{F}_{n-1}(t) = 0\}$ . Hence  $I(f_t) \cap \{S(t) = 0\} = V_{q_1}(t) \cap V_{q_2}(t) = I(t)$ , which implies that  $I(t) \subset I(f_t)$ . Since  $I(f_t)$  and  $I(t)$  have  $\nu^n$  points, we have that  $I(t) = I(f_t)$  for all  $t \in D_{e'}$ . In particular, we obtain that  $I(t) \subset \{S(t) = 0\}$ . We will use the following version of Noether's Normalization Theorem (see [11] p 86):

**Lemma 5.15.** (Noether's Theorem) *Let  $G_0, \dots, G_k \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$  be homogeneous polynomials where  $0 \leq k \leq m$  and  $m \geq 2$ , and  $X = (G_0 = \dots = G_k = 0)$ . Suppose that the set  $Y := \{p \in X \mid dG_0(p) \wedge \dots \wedge dG_k(p) = 0\}$  is either 0 or  $\emptyset$ . If  $G \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$  satisfies  $G|_X \equiv 0$ , then  $G \in \langle G_0, \dots, G_k \rangle$ .*

Take  $k = n - 1$ ,  $G_0 = F_0(t)$ ,  $G_1 = F_1(t) \dots G_{n-1} = F_{n-1}(t)$ . Using Noether's Theorem with  $Y = 0$  and the fact that all polynomials involved are homogeneous of the same degree, we have  $\tilde{F}_i(t) \in \langle F_0(t), F_1(t), \dots, F_{n-1}(t) \rangle$ . More precisely we conclude that each  $\tilde{F}_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{i,j}(t) F_j(t)$ ,  $g_{i,j}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$  and when  $t = 0$  for each  $i$ ,  $\tilde{F}_i(0) = F_i(0) = F_i$ . On the other hand, if  $V_{q_k}(t)$  is the deformation of another  $V_{q_k}$ , then  $V_{q_k}(t)$  is also a complete intersection, say,  $V_{q_k}(t) = (P_1^k(t) = \dots = P_{n-1}^k(t) = 0)$  where each  $P_i^k(t)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $\nu$ . Since  $I(t) \subset (P_i^k(t) = 0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we have that each  $P_i^k(t)$  is a linear combination of the  $F_{is}(t)$ , that is,  $P_i^k(t) \in \langle F_0(t), F_1(t), \dots, F_{n-1}(t) \rangle$ . This implies that  $V_{q_k}(t)$  is also a fiber of  $f_t$ , as the reader can check, say  $V_{q_k}(t) = f_t^{-1}(q_k(t))$ . Since  $q_k(t) = f_t(V_{q_k}(t))$  and  $f_t$  and  $V_{q_k}(t)$  are deformations of  $f_0$  and  $V_{q_k}$  we get that  $q_k(t)$  is a deformation of  $q_k$ , so that for small  $t$ ,  $q_k(t)$  is a regular value of  $f_t$ .  $\square$

5.3.2. *Part 2.* Let us now define a family of foliations  $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_t \in \mathcal{A}$  (see Section 4) such that  $\mathcal{F}_t = f_t^*(\mathcal{G}_t)$  for all  $t \in D_\epsilon$ . Let  $M(t)$  be the family of "rational varieties" obtained from  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by blowing-up at the  $\nu^n$  points  $p_1(t), \dots, p_j(t), \dots, p_{\nu^n}(t)$  corresponding to  $I(t)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ; and denote by

$$\pi(t) : M(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

the blowing-up map. The exceptional divisor of  $\pi(t)$  consists of  $\nu^n$  submanifolds  $E_j(t) = \pi(t)^{-1}(p_j(t))$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \nu^n$ , which are projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . More precisely, if we blow-up  $\mathcal{F}_t$  at the point  $p_j(t)$ , then the restriction of the strict transform  $\pi^*\mathcal{F}_t$  to the exceptional divisor  $E_j(t) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is up to a linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , the homogeneous  $(n - 2)$ -form that defines  $\mathcal{F}_t$  at the point  $p_j(t)$ . With

this process we produce a family of bidimensional holomorphic foliations in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This family is the “holomorphic path” of candidates to be a deformation of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . In fact, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an open set we can suppose that this family is inside  $\mathcal{A}$ . We fix the exceptional divisor  $E_1(t)$  to work with and we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_t$  the restriction of  $\pi^*\mathcal{F}_t$  to  $E_1(t)$ . Consider the family of mappings  $f_t : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $t \in D_\epsilon'$  defined in Proposition 5.14. We will consider the family  $(f_t)_{t \in D_\epsilon}$  as a family of rational maps  $f_t : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow E_1(t)$ ; we decrease  $\epsilon$  if necessary. We would like to observe that the mapping  $f_t \circ \pi(t) : M(t) \setminus \cup_j E_j(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  extends as holomorphic mapping  $\hat{f}_t : M(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  if  $|t| < \epsilon$ . This follows from the fact that  $dF_0(t)(p_j(t)) \wedge dF_1(t)(p_j(t)) \wedge \dots \wedge dF_{n-1}(t)(p_j(t)) \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \nu^n$ , if  $|t| < \epsilon$ . The mapping  $f_t$  can be interpreted as follows. Each fiber of  $f_t$  meets  $p_j(t)$  once, which implies that each fiber of  $\hat{f}_t$  cuts  $E_1(t)$  only one time. Since  $M(t) \setminus \cup_j E_j(t)$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus I(t)$ , after identifying  $E_1(t)$  with  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , we can imagine that if  $q \in M(t) \setminus \cup_j E_j(t)$  then  $\hat{f}_t(q)$  is the intersection point of the fiber  $\hat{f}_t^{-1}(\hat{f}_t(q))$  with  $E_1(t)$ . We obtain a mapping

$$\hat{f}_t : M(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

With all these ingredients we can define the foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = f_t^*(\mathcal{G}_t) \in PB(\Theta, 2, n)$ . This foliation is a deformation of  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Based on the previous discussion let us denote  $\mathcal{F}_1(t) = \pi(t)^*(\mathcal{F}_t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t) = \pi(t)^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ .

**Lemma 5.16.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_1(t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t)$  are the foliations defined previously, we have that*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(t)|_{E_1(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}} = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t)|_{E_1(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t$  is the foliation induced on  $E_1(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  by the homogeneous  $(n-2)$ -form  $\Omega_{p_1(t)}$ .

*Proof.* In a neighborhood of  $p_1(t) \in I(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is represented by the homogeneous  $(n-2)$ -form  $\Omega_{p_1(t)}$ . This  $(n-2)$ -form satisfies  $i_{R(t)}\Omega_{p_1(t)} = 0$  and therefore naturally defines a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . This proves the first equality. The second equality follows from the geometrical interpretation of the mapping  $\hat{f}_t : M(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , since  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t) = f_1(t)^*(\mathcal{G}_t)$ .  $\square$

Let  $q_1(t)$  be a singularity of  $\mathcal{G}_t$ . Since the map  $t \rightarrow q_1(t) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is holomorphic, there exists a holomorphic family of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $t \rightarrow H(t)$  such that  $q_1(t) = [0 : \dots : 1] \in E_1(t)$  is kept fixed. Observe that such a singularity has  $(n-1)$  non algebraic separatrices at this point. Fix a local analytic coordinate system  $(U_t = u_0(t), \dots, u_{n-1}(t))$  at  $q_1(t)$  such that the local separatrices are tangents to  $(u_i(t) = 0)$  for each  $i$ . Observe that the local smooth hypersurfaces along  $\hat{V}_{q_1(t)} = \hat{f}_t^{-1}(q_1(t))$  defined by  $\hat{U}_i(t) := (u_i(t) \circ \hat{f}_t = 0)$  are invariant for  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t)$ . Furthermore, they meet transversely along  $\hat{V}_{q_1(t)}$ . On the other hand,  $\hat{V}_{q_1(t)}$  is also contained in the Kupka set of  $\mathcal{F}_1(t)$ . Therefore there are  $(n-1)$  local smooth hypersurfaces  $U_i(t) := (u_i(t) \circ f_t = 0)$  invariant for  $\mathcal{F}_1(t)$  such that:

- (1) All the  $U_i(t)$  meet transversely along  $\hat{V}_{q_1(t)}$ .
- (2)  $U_i(t) \cap \pi(t)^{-1}(p_1(t)) = (U_i(t) = 0) = \hat{U}_i(t) \cap \pi(t)^{-1}(p_1(t))$  (because  $\mathcal{F}_1(t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(t)$  coincide on  $E_1(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ).
- (3) Each  $U_i(t)$  is a deformation of  $U_i(0) = \hat{U}_i(0)$ .

Choosing  $i = 0$  we shall prove that  $U_0(t) = \hat{U}_0(t)$  for small  $t$ . For our analysis this will be sufficient to finish the proof of Theorem A.

**Lemma 5.17.**  $U_0(t) = \hat{U}_0(t)$  for small  $t$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the projection  $\hat{f}_t : M(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  on a neighborhood of the regular fibre  $\hat{V}_{q_1(t)}$ , and fix local coordinates  $(U_t = u_0(t), \dots, u_{n-1}(t))$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $U_1(t) := (u_1(t) \circ \hat{f}_t = 0)$ . For small  $\epsilon$ , let  $H_\epsilon = (u_1(t) \circ \hat{f}_t = \epsilon)$ . Thus  $\hat{\Sigma}_\epsilon = \hat{U}_0(t) \cap H_\epsilon$  are (vertical) compact curves, deformations of  $\hat{\Sigma}_0 = \hat{V}_{q_1(t)}$ . Set  $\Sigma_\epsilon = U_0(t) \cap \hat{H}_\epsilon$ . The  $\Sigma'_\epsilon$ s, as the  $\hat{\Sigma}'_\epsilon$ s, are compact curves (for  $t$  and  $\epsilon$  small), since  $U_0(t)$  and  $\hat{U}_0(t)$  are both deformations of the same  $U_0$ . Thus for small  $t$ ,  $U_0(t)$  is close to  $\hat{U}_0(t)$ . It follows that  $\hat{f}_t(\Sigma_\epsilon)$  is an analytic curve contained in a small neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_t$  of  $q_1(t)$ , for small  $\epsilon$ . By the maximum principle, we must have that  $\hat{f}_t(\Sigma_\epsilon)$  is a point, so that  $\hat{f}_t(U_0(t)) = \hat{f}_t(\cup_\epsilon \Sigma_\epsilon)$  is a curve  $C \subset \tilde{U}_t$ , that is,  $U_0(t) = \hat{f}_t^{-1}(C)$ . But  $U_0(t)$  and  $\hat{U}_0(t)$  intersect the exceptional divisor  $E_1(t) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  along the separatrix  $(u_0(t) = 0)$  of  $\mathcal{G}_t$  through  $q_1(t)$ . This implies that  $U_0(t) = \hat{f}_t^{-1}(C) = \hat{f}_t^{-1}(u_0(t) = 0) = \hat{U}_0(t)$ .  $\square$

We have proved that the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$  have a common local leaf: the leaf that contains  $\pi(t) \left( U_0(t) \setminus \hat{V}_{q_1(t)} \right)$  which is not algebraic. Let  $D(t) := \text{Tang}(\mathcal{F}(t), \hat{\mathcal{F}}(t))$  be the set of tangencies between  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ . This set can be defined by  $D(t) = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}; \Omega(t) \wedge \hat{\Omega}(t) = 0\}$ , where  $\Omega(t)$  and  $\hat{\Omega}(t)$  define  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ , respectively. Hence it is an algebraic set. Since this set contains an immersed non-algebraic surface  $U_0(t)$ , we necessarily have that  $D(t) = \mathbb{P}^n$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{F}_t = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ .

Recall from Definition 3.2 the concept of a generic map. Let  $f \in RM((n, n-1, \nu))$ ,  $I(f)$  its indeterminacy locus and  $\mathcal{F}$  a foliation by complex surfaces on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Consider the following properties:

$\mathcal{P}_1$  : Any point  $p_j \in I(f)$   $\mathcal{F}$  has the following local structure: there exists an analytic coordinate system  $(U^{p_j}, x^{p_j})$  around  $p_j$  such that  $x^{p_j}(p_j) = 0 \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{F}|_{(U^{p_j}, x^{p_j})}$  can be represented by a homogeneous  $(n-2)$ -form  $\Omega_{p_j}$  (as described in the Lemma 5.11) such that

- (a)  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{Z}_{p_j}) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_{p_j}$  is the rotational of  $\Omega_{p_j}$ .
- (b) 0 is a n.g.K singularity of the type  $(1, \dots, 1, n)$

$\mathcal{P}_2$  : There exists a fibre  $f^{-1}(q) = V(q)$  such that  $V(q) = f^{-1}(q) \setminus I(f)$  is contained in the Kupka-Set of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

$\mathcal{P}_3$  :  $V(q)$  has transversal type  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is a germ of vector field on  $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$  with at least a non algebraic separatrix and such that the Camacho-Sad index of  $\mathcal{G}$  with respect to this separatrix is non-real.

$\mathcal{P}_4$  :  $\mathcal{F}$  has no algebraic hypersurface.

Lemma 5.17 allows us to prove the following result:

**Theorem B.** *In the conditions above, if properties  $\mathcal{P}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_3$  and  $\mathcal{P}_4$  hold then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a pull back foliation,  $\mathcal{F} = f^*(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a 1-dimensional foliation of degree  $d \geq 2$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .*

Note that the situation  $n = 3$  is proved in [4, Th. B p. 709]. So we can think this result as  $n \geq 4$ -dimensional generalization of [4] for bi-dimensional foliations in  $\mathbb{P}^n$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto. “*The topology of integrable differential forms near a singularity*”; Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 55 (1982) 5-35.
- [2] D. Cerveau, J. Déserti. “*Feuilletages et actions de groupes sur les espaces projectifs*”; Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. 103, 2005.
- [3] D. Cerveau, A. Lins-Neto. “*Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree two in  $\mathbb{P}^n$* ”; Annals of Mathematics 143, no.2 (1996) 577-612.
- [4] D. Cerveau, A. Lins-Neto, S.J. Edixhoven. “*Pull-back components of the space of holomorphic foliations on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$* ”; J. Algebraic Geom. 10, no. 4 (2001) 695-711.
- [4] F. Cukierman, J. V. Pereira. “*Stability of holomorphic foliations with split tangent sheaf*”; Amer. J. Math. 130, (2008) 413-439.
- [5] F. Cukierman, J. V. Pereira, I. Vainsencher. “*Stability of foliations induced by rational maps*”; Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. Vol. 18, Issue 4 (2009) 685-715.
- [6] W. Costa e Silva, “*Branched pull-back components of the space of holomorphic foliations on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$* ”; Submitted
- [7] W. Costa e Silva, “*Stability of branched pull-back projective foliations*”; Submitted
- [8] Morris W. Hirsch. “*Differential Topology*”; Springer-Verlag, New York, (1976). Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 33.
- [9] I. Kupka; “*The singularities of integrable structurally stable Pfaffian forms*”; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A, 52 no.6 (1964) 1431-1432.
- [10] J.-P. Jouanolou; “*Equations de Pfaff algébriques*”; Lecture Notes in Mathematics **708** (1979).
- [11] A. Lins Neto; “*Componentes Irreduzíveis dos Espaços de Folheações*”; 26 Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, (2007).
- [12] A. Lins Neto, “*Finite determinacy of germs of integrable 1-forms in dimension 3 (a special case)*”; Geometric Dynamics, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 1007 no. 6 (1981) 480-497.
- [13] A. Lins Neto. “*Algebraic solutions of polynomial differential equations and foliations in dimension two*”; Holomorphic Dynam., Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 1345 (1988) 192-232.
- [14] A. Lins Neto. “*Germes of complex 2-dimensional foliations*”; <http://preprint.impa.br/visualizar?id=6119>
- [15] A. Lins Neto, B.A. Scárdua. “*Folheações Algébricas Complexas*”; 21º Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, IMPA (1997).
- [16] A. Lins Neto, M.G. Soares. “*Algebraic solutions of one-dimensional foliations*”; J. Differential Geom. 43, no.3 (1996) 652-673.
- [17] F. Loray, J.Pereira, F. Touzet “*Foliations with trivial canonical bundle on Fano 3-folds.*”; Mathematische Nachrichten (6) 9 (2013), Volume 286, Issue 8-9, 921-940.
- [18] A. de Medeiros “*Singular foliations and differential p-forms*”; Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 9 (2000), no.3, 451-466.
- [19] E.Sernesi. “*Deformations of Algebraic Schemes*”; Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, 334. Springer-Verlag, 2006.

IRMAR, UNIVERSITÉ RENNES 1, CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, 35042 RENNES CEDEX FRANCE

*Current address:* IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex France

*E-mail address:* wancossil@gmail.com