

Gene-Mating Dynamic Evolution Theory II: Global stability of N-gender-mating polyploid systems

Juven C. Wang^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

²*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON, N2L 2Y5, Canada**

(Dated: Work completed in 2007)

Extending the previous 2-gender dioecious biploid gene-mating evolution model, we attempt to answer “whether the Hardy-Weinberg global stability and the exact analytic dynamical solutions can be found in the generalized N-gender polyploid gene-mating system?” For a 2-gender gene-mating evolution model, a pair of male and female determines the trait of their offspring. Each of the pair contributes one inherited character, the allele, to combine into the genotype of their offspring. Hence, for an N-gender polyploid gene-mating model, each of N different genders contributes one allele to combine into the genotype of their offspring. We find the global stable solution as a manifold in the genotype frequency parameter space. We exactly solve the analytic solution of N-gender mating governing equations and find no chaos. The 2-gender to N-gender gene-mating equation is analogous to the 2-body collision to the N-body collision Boltzmann equations with discretized distribution.

PACS numbers: 87.10.-e, 87.23.-n, 83.80.Lz, 05.45.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous work[1], we had surveyed the time-evolution of genotype frequency in a 2-gender dioecious biploid population system. We derive our governing equations based on our four fundamental assumptions,

- (a)The mating of gene-holders is (approximately) closed in a population system.
- (b)The mating probability for certain gene-type to another gene-type, due to average-and-random mating mechanism, is proportional to the product of their population (quadratic form for 2-gender, cubic form for 3-gender, etc.).
- (c)The probability of a gene-type for newborn generation obeys the Mendelian inheritance.
- (d)The accumulation of human population obeys the exponential growth law, for both the birth and the death evolution.

We had derived the governing equations in the parameter space of the genotype frequencies, and had solved the analytical solution of the governing equations within a single locus and arbitrary number alleles mating process. However, our previous work only focus on 2-gender mating, normally one male and one female. We can analytically prove the global stability and the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium[2, 3]. For biological and mathematical interests, we ponder whether the N-gender case, where N is an arbitrary integer number, will bring interesting results. In other words, we like to consider a mating system of N different genders. The mating process of the system will be successful if and only if there is a group of N different genders participate in the mating process. For a certain genotype (or a biological trait), each of these different N genders contributes an allele, together combine into the inherited genotype of their offspring.

The questions we ask and aim to address are: Whether 2-gender mating is special for N=2, so that it assures the global stability for the system under time evolution? Whether 2-gender mating is special so that there exists exact analytic solutions? Whether there is chaos for N-gender mating system at some integer N? Is it possible to solve the analytic solution for arbitrary N? Is there a specific integer number M, such that for gender number smaller than M, the system has the global stability; if the gender number is larger than M, then the chaos appears (from global stability to chaos)? If so, what is the integer M?

Since 1-gender mating is just trivial asexual reproduction, and 2-gender mating is a case with global stable solution, one might expect that 2-gender mating is a transition. In other words, for $N > 2$, there might have chaos or more complicated time-evolution phase diagrams. However, as we will show later, for the general N-gender gene-mating system, there is always a global stable manifold as long as the system satisfies the previous four assumptions. Throughout the paper, we focus on the standard equations without considering the mutation and natural selection. From the mathematic viewpoint, the highly symmetric governing equations result in a continuous global stable manifold. This high-dimensional curved manifold is a global stable attractor, under time evolution attracting all points in the

*Electronic address: juven@mit.edu

Euclidean fiber attached at every manifold point. The stable manifold and the fibers together form a fiber bundle completely fill in the whole genotype-frequency parameter space. We solve the analytical solutions exactly for the general N-gender (n+1)-alleles gene-mating system.

One remark is that the coefficients of the governing equations for N-gender mating can be derived explicitly from the well-known multinomial theorem:

$$(G_1 + \cdots + G_n)^N = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = N \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}}} \binom{N}{k_1, \dots, k_n} G_1^{k_1} \cdots G_n^{k_n} \quad (1)$$

Therefore, in the discussion below we will not explicitly write down the coefficients, except for the simple case of $N = 1, 2$. Another remark is that our governing equation shall also be viewed as generalizing the biploid system to the N-polyploid system. For the N-gender-mating N-polyploid system, we believe that if the previous four assumptions are obeyed, the global stability of genotype frequencies is robust.

II. 1-GENDER GENE-MATING MODEL (ASEXUAL)

Here we start from the asexual mating system. There exists only one gender in a system, such that each gender could self-produce its offspring exactly the same as itself. Then we denote the population number of certain genotype as G_α , where α is arbitrary interger number. Thus, parallel to the work [1], based on the assumptions done in Sec.I, we can derive the **governing equations for population**

$$\frac{d}{dt}G_\alpha = k_b G_\alpha - k_d G_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where k_b is birth rate and k_d is death rate. Apparently we can normalize the G_α by the total population P , and we will relabel $\frac{G_\alpha}{P} \rightarrow G_\alpha$ as the genotype frequency. Hereafter we will re-parameterize the equation in terms of the genotype frequency G_α , and we obtain the **governing equations for genotype frequencies**

$$\frac{d}{dt}G_\alpha = 0. \quad (3)$$

Hence, any point in the genotype frequency parameter space is stable for 1-gender asexual system. The genotype frequency is within the range of $[0, 1]$.

III. 2-GENDER GENE-MATING MODEL

This section briefly summarizes the analytic solution studied in our previous work[1]. We had studied the **governing equations for genotype frequencies**:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}G_{\alpha\alpha} = k_b(G_{\alpha\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^n G_{\alpha j} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i} G_{\alpha j} - G_{\alpha\alpha}), \\ \frac{d}{dt}G_{\alpha\beta} = k_b(2G_{\alpha\alpha} G_{\beta\beta} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i} G_{\beta\beta} + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq \beta}}^n G_{\alpha\alpha} G_{\beta j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq \beta}}^n G_{\alpha i} G_{\beta j} - G_{\alpha\beta}). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Recall that the death rate k_d has no net effect on the genotype frequencies, because it is a universal linear effect on each genotype population which does not change genotype frequency. Note that $G_{\alpha\alpha}$ means the genotype frequency contributed from the same alleles α from both parents. $G_{\alpha\beta}$ means the genotype frequency contributed from the alleles α and β from each of the parents respectively. Hereafter we will identify $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and its $G_{\beta\alpha}$ as the same genotype frequency, therefore the governing equations have taken account of the combined effects of $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and its $G_{\beta\alpha}$. We had found an exact analytic **parameterization of the global stable manifold**:

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^2(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^2} \right] \frac{\cos^2(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^2}, \\ G_{\alpha\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^2(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^2} \right] \frac{2 \cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^2} \cdot \left[\prod_{j=\alpha+2}^{\beta} \frac{\sin(\theta_j)}{\cos(\theta_j) + \sin(\theta_j)} \right] \frac{\cos(\theta_{\beta+1})}{\cos(\theta_{\beta+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\beta+1})}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Her θ_i is within the range $[0, \pi/2]$. More conveniently, we find that a **new parametrization of coordinates**,

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha} = G_{\alpha\alpha,eq}(\theta_\mu) + \sum_{i=0, i \neq \alpha}^n s_{\alpha i}, \\ G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) - 2s_{\alpha\beta}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

providing an **inverse transformation** mapping between the two kinds of parametrizations:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_k = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (2G_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n G_{ij}) \right] / \left(2G_{k-1, k-1} + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k-1}}^n G_{k-1, j} \right) \right\}, & 1 \leq k \leq n, \text{ with } n \text{ formulas} \\ s_{ij} \text{ can be solved from the following equations:} \\ \left\{ \begin{aligned} \tan^2(\theta_k) &= \frac{\sum_{k \leq i \leq j \leq n} G_{ij} - \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{ij}}{(G_{k-1, k-1} - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq k-1}}^n s_{k-1, i})}, & 1 \leq k \leq n, \text{ with } n \text{ equations} \\ \tan(\theta_k) &= \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (G_{mi} + 2s_{mi}) \right] / (G_{m, k-1} + 2s_{m, k-1}), & 2 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq k-2, \text{ with } \binom{n}{2} \text{ equations} \end{aligned} \right. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Given any given initial values of G_{ij} parameters as the input data and the starting point of time-evolution, we are able to find the final equilibrium point solution $G_{ij,eq}$:

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha,eq} = \frac{1}{4} \left(2G_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i} \right)^2, \\ G_{\alpha\beta,eq} = \frac{1}{2} \left(2G_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i} \right) \left(2G_{\beta\beta} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \beta}}^n G_{\beta i} \right). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

By the above relation, we can simplify the inverse transformation, the function $s_{\alpha\beta}(G_{ij})$

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{\mu+1} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \left[\sum_{\alpha=\mu+1}^n (2G_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i}) \right] / \left(2G_{\mu\mu} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu i} \right) \right\}, & 0 \leq \mu \leq n-1, \text{ with } n \text{ formulas} \\ s_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\alpha\beta,eq}(G_{ij}) - G_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(2G_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i} \right) \left(2G_{\beta\beta} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \beta}}^n G_{\beta i} \right) - G_{\alpha\beta} \right), & \text{with } \binom{n+1}{2} \text{ formulas} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The importance of the new parametrization is that the original governing equations are simplified to a set of **decoupled governing equations in the new coordinates**:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds_{\alpha\beta}}{dt} = -k_b s_{\alpha\beta}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \\ \frac{d\theta_\mu}{dt} = 0, & 1 \leq \mu \leq n \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Since the decoupled governing equations are the simple exponential-decay type differential equations, we obtain the **exact solution of governing equations**:

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq n} G_{ij}(t) \hat{G}_{ij} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq n} G_{ij,eq}(\theta_\mu = \tilde{\theta}_\mu) \hat{G}_{ij} + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \tilde{s}_{\alpha\beta} e^{-k_b t} (\hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha} + \hat{G}_{\beta\beta} - 2\hat{G}_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (11)$$

In the following sections, we will generalize the 2-gender gene-mating model to N-gender gene-mating model.

IV. 3-GENDER GENE-MATING MODEL

Hereafter we do not bother to write down the coefficients of the governing equations, which could be easily determined by the multinomial theorem Eq.(1), the basic mating rules and the Mendelian inheritance. The **governing equations of genotype frequencies** for 3-gender mating have the form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} = k_b (M_{i_1 i_2 i_3; i_4 i_5 i_6; i_7 i_8 i_9}^{\alpha\alpha\alpha} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} G_{i_4 i_5 i_6} G_{i_7 i_8 i_9} - G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}), \\ \frac{d}{dt} G_{\alpha\alpha\beta} = k_b (M_{i_1 i_2 i_3; i_4 i_5 i_6; i_7 i_8 i_9}^{\alpha\alpha\beta} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} G_{i_4 i_5 i_6} G_{i_7 i_8 i_9} - G_{\alpha\alpha\beta}), \\ \frac{d}{dt} G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = k_b (M_{i_1 i_2 i_3; i_4 i_5 i_6; i_7 i_8 i_9}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} G_{i_4 i_5 i_6} G_{i_7 i_8 i_9} - G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3$; $i_4 \leq i_5 \leq i_6$; $i_7 \leq i_8 \leq i_9$. We should remember that there will be a permutation factor for $G_{i_1 i_2 i_3} G_{i_4 i_5 i_6} G_{i_7 i_8 i_9}$, if genotypes of three genders are not the same. Again, we note that $G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ means the genotype frequency contributed from the alleles α , β and γ from each of the three genders respectively. Hereafter we will identify $G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and its index permutation $G_{\beta\alpha\gamma}$, $G_{\beta\gamma\alpha}$, etc., as the same genotype frequency. In our notation, we will define the genotype frequency $G_{\beta\alpha\gamma}$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$.

Interestingly, regardless the non-linear governing equations are generalized to the more-complicated 3-gender cubic form (instead of the 2-gender quadratic form in Sec.III), we can still solve the governing equations and its global stability. We find an analytic **parametrization of a continuous global stable manifold** as follows:

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^3(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^3} \right] \frac{\cos^3(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^3}, \\ G_{\alpha\alpha\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^3(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^3} \right] \frac{3 \cos^2(\theta_{\alpha+1}) \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^3} \cdot \left[\prod_{j=\alpha+2}^{\beta} \frac{\sin(\theta_j)}{\cos(\theta_j) + \sin(\theta_j)} \right] \frac{\cos(\theta_{\beta+1})}{\cos(\theta_{\beta+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\beta+1})}, \\ G_{\alpha\beta\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^3(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^3} \right] \frac{3 \cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) \sin^2(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^3} \cdot \left[\prod_{j=\alpha+2}^{\beta} \frac{\sin^2(\theta_j)}{(\cos(\theta_j) + \sin(\theta_j))^2} \right] \frac{\cos^2(\theta_{\beta+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\beta+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\beta+1}))^2}, \\ G_{\alpha\beta\gamma,eq}(\theta_\mu) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\sin^3(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))^3} \right] \frac{3 \cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) \sin^2(\theta_{\alpha+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha+1}))^3} \cdot \left[\prod_{j=\alpha+2}^{\beta} \frac{\sin^2(\theta_j)}{(\cos(\theta_j) + \sin(\theta_j))^2} \right] \frac{2 \cos(\theta_{\beta+1}) \sin(\theta_{\beta+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\beta+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\beta+1}))^2} \cdot \\ \left[\prod_{k=\beta+2}^{\gamma} \frac{\sin(\theta_k)}{(\cos(\theta_k) + \sin(\theta_k))} \right] \frac{\cos(\theta_{\gamma+1})}{\cos(\theta_{\gamma+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\gamma+1})}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

For the later convenience to decouple the governing equations, we find that a **new parametrization of coordinates**:

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} = G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha,eq}(\theta_\mu) + \sum_{i=0, i \neq \alpha}^n s_1 \alpha_i + \sum_{i=0, i \neq \alpha}^n \text{sgn}(i - \alpha) u_1 \alpha_i + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i, j \neq \alpha}} m_{\alpha ij}, \\ G_{\alpha\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\alpha\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) - s_1 \alpha\beta - 3u_1 \alpha\beta, \\ G_{\alpha\beta\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta\beta,eq}(\theta_\mu) - s_1 \alpha\beta + 3u_1 \alpha\beta, \\ G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = G_{\alpha\beta\gamma,eq}(\theta_\mu) - 3m_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$. Given any initial input G_{ijk} parameters, we are able to find the final equilibrium $G_{ijk,eq}$:

$$\begin{cases} G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha,eq} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 (3G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha\alpha i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha ij})^3 \\ G_{\alpha\alpha\beta,eq} = \frac{3!}{2!1!} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 (3G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha\alpha i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha ij})^2 (3G_{\beta\beta\beta} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\beta\beta i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\beta ij}) \\ G_{\alpha\beta\gamma,eq} = \frac{3!}{1!1!1!} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 (3G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha\alpha i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha ij}) (3G_{\beta\beta\beta} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\beta\beta i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\beta ij}) \\ \cdot (3G_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\gamma\gamma i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\gamma ij}) \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

By the above relation, we can define the **inverse transformation** from the old to the new coordinates:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{\mu+1} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \left[\sum_{\alpha=\mu+1}^n (3G_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha\alpha i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha ij}) \right] / (3G_{\mu\mu\mu} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu\mu i} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \\ i, j \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu ij}) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq n-1, \text{ } n \text{ formulas} \\ s_1 \alpha\beta = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\alpha\alpha\beta,eq}(G_{ijk}) + G_{\alpha\beta\beta,eq}(G_{ijk}) - G_{\alpha\alpha\beta} - G_{\alpha\beta\beta}), \quad \text{with } \binom{n+1}{2} \text{ formulas} \\ u_1 \alpha\beta = \frac{1}{6} (G_{\alpha\beta\beta} - G_{\alpha\alpha\beta} - G_{\alpha\beta\beta,eq}(G_{ijk}) + G_{\alpha\alpha\beta,eq}(G_{ijk})), \quad \text{with } \binom{n+1}{2} \text{ formulas} \\ m_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{3} (G_{\alpha\beta\gamma,eq}(G_{ijk}) - G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}), \quad \text{with } \binom{n+1}{3} \text{ formulas} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Our next key step is deriving the **decoupled governing equations in the new coordinates**:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds_{1\alpha\beta}}{dt} = -k_b s_{1\alpha\beta}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n, \\ \frac{du_{1\alpha\beta}}{dt} = -k_b u_{1\alpha\beta}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n, \\ \frac{dm_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}{dt} = -k_b m_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq n, \\ \frac{d\theta_\mu}{dt} = 0, & 1 \leq \mu \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Finally we obtain the **exact analytic solution of governing equations** for a 3-gender mating system:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq n} G_{ijk}(t) \hat{G}_{ijk} &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq n} G_{ijk,eq}(\theta_\mu = \tilde{\theta}_\mu) \hat{G}_{ijk} + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \tilde{s}_{1\alpha\beta} e^{-k_b t} (\hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} - \hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} - \hat{G}_{\alpha\beta\beta} + \hat{G}_{\beta\beta\beta}) \\ + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \tilde{u}_{1\alpha\beta} e^{-k_b t} (\hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} - 3\hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} + 3\hat{G}_{\alpha\beta\beta} - \hat{G}_{\beta\beta\beta}) &+ \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq n} \tilde{m}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} e^{-k_b t} (\hat{G}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + \hat{G}_{\beta\beta\beta} + \hat{G}_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} - 3\hat{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Due to the exponential decay to the equilibrium manifold, we have proved the global stability of 3-gender mating system.

V. N-GENDER GENE-MATING MODEL

Now we will generalize the above analysis to the N-gender gene-mating model. Each genotype frequency parameter can be written as, $\underbrace{G_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \underbrace{\alpha_3 \cdots \alpha_3}_{n_3} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}$, each is within the range $[0, 1]$. We find the existence of the global stable fixed points as a continuous manifold and the **parametrization of the global stable manifold** as:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} G_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}(\theta_\mu) &= \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^{\alpha_1} \frac{\sin^N(\theta_{i_1})}{(\cos(\theta_{i_1}) + \sin(\theta_{i_1}))^N} \right) \frac{\binom{N}{n_1} \cos^{n_1}(\theta_{\alpha_1+1}) \sin^{N-n_1}(\theta_{\alpha_1+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha_1+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha_1+1}))^N} \\ &\left(\prod_{i_2=\alpha_1+2}^{\alpha_2} \frac{\sin^{N-n_1}(\theta_{i_2})}{(\cos(\theta_{i_2}) + \sin(\theta_{i_2}))^{N-n_1}} \right) \frac{\binom{N-n_1}{n_2} \cos^{n_2}(\theta_{\alpha_2+1}) \sin^{N-n_1-n_2}(\theta_{\alpha_2+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha_2+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha_2+1}))^{N-n_1}} \\ &\cdots \left(\prod_{i_m=\alpha_{m-1}+2}^{\alpha_m} \frac{\sin^{n_m}(\theta_{i_m})}{(\cos(\theta_{i_m}) + \sin(\theta_{i_m}))^{n_m}} \right) \frac{\cos^{n_m}(\theta_{\alpha_m+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha_m+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha_m+1}))^{n_m}}, \\ G_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}(\theta_\mu) &= \prod_{k=1}^m \left[\left(\prod_{i=\alpha_{k-1}+2}^{\alpha_k} \frac{\sin(\theta_i)}{(\cos(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_i))} \right)^{N - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j} \binom{N - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j}{n_k} \frac{\cos^{n_k}(\theta_{\alpha_k+1}) \sin^{N - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j}(\theta_{\alpha_k+1})}{(\cos(\theta_{\alpha_k+1}) + \sin(\theta_{\alpha_k+1}))^{N - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j}} \right]. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (19)$$

where we define $\alpha_0 \equiv -1$. All θ_μ are within the range $[0, \pi/2]$.

We extend the stable manifold through the following parametrized Euclidean fiber at each fixed point on the stable manifold. This procedure lead to our **new parametrization of coordinates**. For N-gender mating of $(n+1)$ alleles, we separate the parametrization of fiber space to two cases, for N is an even integer and for N is an odd integer.

- (1) For $N \in \text{even}$, $N = 2k$:

There are 3 types of vectors spanning the extended Euclidean bundle space.

(a) The symmetry type for $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$:

$$\hat{s}_{i\alpha\beta} = \hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{i-1}} - 2\hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{k} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{k}} + \hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{2k+1-i}}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k \quad (20)$$

(b) Th anti-symmetry type for $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$:

$$\hat{u}_{i\alpha\beta} = \hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{i-1}} - (k+1-i)\hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{k-1}} + (k+1-i)\hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{k-1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{k+1}} - \hat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{2k+1-i}}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \quad (21)$$

(c) The mixed of multiple-genes (mixed type number ≥ 3) vector:

$$\widehat{m}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}} = n_1 \widehat{G}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1} + n_2 \widehat{G}_{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2} + \cdots + n_m \widehat{G}_{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m} - n \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}},$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ (22)

• (2) $N \in \text{odd}$, $N = 2k + 1$: There are 3 types of vectors spanning the extended Euclidean bundle space.

(a) The symmetry type for $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$:

$$\widehat{s}_{i \alpha \beta} = \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{i-1}} - \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_k} - \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{k+1}} + \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{2k+2-i}}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k \quad (23)$$

(b) The anti-symmetry for $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$:

$$\widehat{u}_{i \alpha \beta} = \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{i-1}} - (2k+3-2i) \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_k} + (2k+3-2i) \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{k+1}} - \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_{2k+2-i}}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k \quad (24)$$

(c) The vector of mixed multiple-genes (mixed type number ≥ 3):

$$\widehat{m}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}} = n_1 \widehat{G}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1} + n_2 \widehat{G}_{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2} + \cdots + n_m \widehat{G}_{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m} - N \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}},$$

where $N = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$. (25)

For example, we denote $\sum_{i=0}^N c(i) \widehat{G}_{\underbrace{\alpha \cdots \alpha}_{N-i} \underbrace{\beta \cdots \beta}_i}$ as $(c(0), c(1), \cdots, c(n))$.

For $N = 2$, we have $\widehat{s}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, -2, 1)$.

For $N = 3$, we have $\widehat{s}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, -1, -1, 1)$, $\widehat{u}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, -3, 3, -1)$.

For $N = 4$, we have $\widehat{s}_{2 \alpha \beta} = (0, 1, -2, 1, 0)$, $\widehat{s}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, 0, -2, 0, 1)$, $\widehat{u}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, -2, 0, 2, -1)$.

For $N = 5$, we have $\widehat{s}_{2 \alpha \beta} = (0, 1, -1, -1, 1, 0)$, $\widehat{s}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1)$, $\widehat{u}_{2 \alpha \beta} = (0, 1, -3, 3, -1, 0)$, $\widehat{u}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, 0, -5, 5, 0, -1)$.

For $N = 6$, we have $\widehat{s}_{3 \alpha \beta} = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1, 0, 0)$, $\widehat{s}_{2 \alpha \beta} = (0, 1, 0, -2, 0, 1, 0)$, $\widehat{s}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, 0, 0, -2, 0, 0, 1)$, $\widehat{u}_{2 \alpha \beta} = (0, 1, -2, 0, 2, -1, 0)$, $\widehat{u}_{1 \alpha \beta} = (1, 0, -3, 0, 3, 0, -1)$.

The parametrization of the genotype frequency space for the N -gender gene-mating of $(n+1)$ alleles system is like the parametrization of the fiber bundle space including both the global stable base manifold and the fibers attached on the manifold. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_N \leq n} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N} \widehat{G}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_N \leq n} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N, eq}(\theta_\mu) \widehat{G}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n} s_{i \alpha \beta} \widehat{s}_{i \alpha \beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n} u_{i \alpha \beta} \widehat{u}_{i \alpha \beta} + \\ & \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n \leq n} \underbrace{\widehat{m}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}}_{n_1} \underbrace{\widehat{m}_{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{m}_{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}}_{n_m} \widehat{m}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Given any initial values of the genotype frequency G_{\dots} parameters, we are able to find the final equilibrium $G_{\dots,eq}$ via the **inverse transformation**:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \dots \underbrace{\alpha_m \dots \alpha_m}_{n_m}, eq} &= \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_m!} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^N (NG_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1} + (N-1) \sum_{\substack{i_1=0 \\ i_1 \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1 i_1} + \\
&\quad (N-2) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \\ i_1, i_2 \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1 i_1 i_2} + \dots + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{N-1} \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha_1 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{N-1}})^{n_1} (\dots)^{n_m} \\
&= \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_m!} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^N \prod_{j=1}^m [NG_{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j} + (N-1) \sum_{\substack{i_1=0 \\ i_1 \neq \alpha_j}}^n G_{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j i_1} \\
&\quad + (N-2) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \\ i_1, i_2 \neq \alpha_j}}^n G_{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j i_1 i_2} + \dots + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{N-1} \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} \neq \alpha_j}}^n G_{\alpha_j i_1 i_2 \dots i_{N-1}}]^{n_j} \\
&= \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_m!} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^N \prod_{j=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (N-k) \left[\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \neq \alpha_j}}^n G_{\alpha_j \dots \alpha_j i_1 \dots i_k} \right]^{n_j} \right\} \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

By the above relation, we can simplify the inverse transformation from the old to the new coordinates:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\theta_{\mu+1} = \tan^{-1} \left\{ \left[\sum_{\alpha=\mu+1}^n (NG_{\alpha \dots \alpha} + (N-1) \sum_{\substack{i_1=0 \\ i_1 \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha \dots \alpha i_1} + (N-2) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \\ i_1, i_2 \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha \dots \alpha i_1 i_2} + \dots + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{N-1} \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} \neq \alpha}}^n G_{\alpha i_1 i_2 \dots i_{N-1}}) \right] / \right. \\
\quad \left. [NG_{\mu \dots \mu} + (N-1) \sum_{\substack{i_1=0 \\ i_1 \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu \dots \mu i_1} + (N-2) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \\ i_1, i_2 \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu \dots \mu i_1 i_2} + \dots + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{N-1} \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_{N-1} \neq \mu}}^n G_{\mu i_1 i_2 \dots i_{N-1}}] \right\} \\
\text{For } N = 2k, \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
s_{i \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta \dots \beta}_{i-1}} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta \dots \beta}_{2k+1-i}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{i-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{2k+1-i}}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k \\
s_{1 \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta, eq}_k} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta}_k} - 2 \sum_{i=2}^k s_{i \alpha \beta}) \\
u_{i \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta}_{i-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta}_{2k+1-i}} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+1-i} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{i-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{2k+1-i}}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k-1 \\
u_{1 \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{N} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{k-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k-1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{k+1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta}_{k-1}} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k-1} \underbrace{\beta}_{k+1}} - 2 \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (k+1-i) u_{i \alpha \beta})
\end{array} \right. \\
\text{For } N = 2k+1, \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
s_{i \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta}_{i-1}} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta}_{2k+2-i}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{i-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{2k+2-i}}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k \\
s_{1 \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_k} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{k+1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta}_k} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta}_{k+1}} - 2 \sum_{i=2}^k s_{i \alpha \beta}) \\
u_{i \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta}_{i-1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta}_{2k+2-i}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{2k+2-i} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{i-1}} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{i-1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{2k+2-i}}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k \\
u_{1 \alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{N} (G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta, eq}_k} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta, eq}_{k+1}} - G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{k+1} \underbrace{\beta}_k} + G_{\underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_k \underbrace{\beta}_{k+1}} - 2 \sum_{i=2}^k (2k+3-2i) u_{i \alpha \beta})
\end{array} \right. \\
m = \frac{1}{N} (m_{eq} - m), \text{ where } m = m_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \dots \underbrace{\alpha_m \dots \alpha_m}_{n_m}}
\end{array} \right. \quad (28)$$

Next we derive the **decoupled governing equations in the new coordinates**:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds_{i\alpha\beta}}{dt} = -k_b s_{i\alpha\beta}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \\ \frac{du_{i\alpha\beta}}{dt} = -k_b u_{i\alpha\beta}, & 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n \\ \frac{dm}{dt} = -k_b m, & \text{where } m = m_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}} \\ \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} = 0, & 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Parallel to Secs.III and IV's analysis, the decoupled governing equations are the exponential-decay type differential equations, we obtain the **exact analytic time-evolution solution of governing equations**:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_N \leq n} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N}(t) \widehat{G}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N} \\ = & \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_N \leq n} G_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N, eq}(\tilde{\theta}_\mu) \widehat{G}_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_{N-2} i_{N-1} i_N} + \sum_{i=10 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} \sum_{i=10 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n} \tilde{s}_{i\alpha\beta} e^{-k_b t} \widehat{s}_{i\alpha\beta} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{N-1}{2}]} \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n} \tilde{u}_{i\alpha\beta} e^{-k_b t} \widehat{u}_{i\alpha\beta} + \sum_{0 \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n \leq n} \tilde{m}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}} e^{-k_b t} \widehat{m}_{\underbrace{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_1}_{n_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_m \cdots \alpha_m}_{n_m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have found the exact analytic solutions and proved the global stability of an N-gender gene-mating N-polypoid system. Since there is no chaotic behavior, it seems to suggest that the natural law does not go against N-gender N-polypoid system as far as the global stability concerns. Presumably the extraterrestrial aliens or intelligence may have N-gender N-polypoid system with $N \geq 3$ while the mating system still enjoys the global stability. It is likely that when the mutation and the natural selection process sets in, it will alter the governing equations to be less-symmetric, and thus possibly result in richer or more chaotic behaviors. It will be interesting to study the perturbation away from the symmetric governing equations, with the help of our exact analytic solutions.

We can also compare the 2-gender to N-gender gene-mating equation to the Boltzmann-like equation. The 2-gender to N-gender gene-mating equations are basically the 2-body collision to the N-body collision Boltzmann equations with discretized variable distribution instead of continuous one. It may be interesting to find a set of exact solvable time-dependent Boltzmann equation by generalizing our discretized variables to continuous functional variables. Such a derived Boltzmann-like equation should still provide the global stability and a continuous fixed-point global stable manifold in the infinite-dimensional continuous parameter space. All these will be left open for future directions.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-1005541 and NSFC 11274192. It is also supported by the BMO Financial Group and the John Templeton Foundation. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research.

-
- [1] J. Wang and J. W. Chen, [arXiv:1410.3456 [q-bio.PE]].
[2] G. H. Hardy, "Mendelian proportions in a mixed population," *Science* **28**:4950 (1908).
[3] W. Weinberg, "Über den Nachweis der Vererbung beim Menschen," *Jahreshefte des Verein für vaterländische Naturkunde in Württemberg* **64**:368382 (1908).