

ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

F. GÖTZE, A. NAUMOV, AND V. ULYANOV

*Dedicated to Professor Yuri Prokhorov on the occasion of his 85th birthday
(15.12.1929–16.07.2013)*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider asymptotic expansions for a class of sequences of symmetric functions of many variables. Applications to classical and free probability theory are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Most limit theorems such as the central limit theorem in finite dimensional and abstract spaces and the functional limit theorems admit refinements in terms of asymptotic expansions in powers of $n^{-1/2}$, where n denotes the number of observations. Results on asymptotic expansions of this type are summarized in many monographs, see for example [3].

These expansions are obtained by very different techniques such as expanding the characteristic function of the particular statistic for instance in the case of linear statistics of independent observations, see e.g. Ch. 2, in [3] and § 1, Ch. 6, in [19]. Other techniques combine convolutions and characteristic functions to develop expansions for quadratic forms, see e.g. [14] and [20], or for some discrete distributions expansions are derived starting from a combinatorial formula for its distribution function, see e.g. [6] and [17]. Alternatively one might use an expansion for an underlying empirical process and evaluate it on a domain defined by a functional or statistic of this process. In those cases one would need to make approximations by Gaussian processes in suitable function spaces.

The aim of this paper is to show that for most of these expansions one could safely ignore the underlying probability model and its ingredients (like e.g. proof of existence of limiting processes and its properties). Indeed, similar expansions can be derived in all of these models using a general scheme reflecting some (hidden) common features. This is the universal collective behavior caused by many independent asymptotically negligible variables influencing the distribution of a functional.

The results of this paper may be considered as the extension of the results given by F. Götze in [8] where the following scheme of sequences of symmetric functions is studied. Let $h_n(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, $n \geq 1$ denote a sequence of real functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that the

Date: July 4, 2018.

Key words and phrases. Symmetric functions, asymptotic expansions, functional limit theorems, Edgeworth expansion, U-statistics, invariance principle.

F. Götze was supported by CRC 701 “Spectral Structures and Topological Methods in Mathematics”, Bielefeld. A. Naumov and V. Ulyanov were supported by RSCF 14-11-00196.

following conditions hold:

$$(1.1) \quad h_{n+1}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_j, 0, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n);$$

$$(1.2) \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_j} h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_j, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \right|_{\varepsilon_j=0} = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(1.3) \quad h_n(\varepsilon_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\pi(n)}) = h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \text{ for all } \pi \in S_n,$$

where S_n denotes the symmetric group.

Consider the class of examples. Put

$$(1.4) \quad h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \mathbb{E}_P F(\varepsilon_1(\delta_{X_1} - P) + \dots + \varepsilon_n(\delta_{X_n} - P)),$$

where F denotes a smooth functional defined on the space of signed measures and X_j denote random elements (in an arbitrary space) with common distribution P . Thus h_n is the expected value of the functional F of a *weighted* empirical process (based on the Dirac-measures in X_1, \dots, X_n). Here property (1.1) is obvious. Property (1.2), that is the locally quadratic dependence on the weights around zero, is a consequence of the smoothness of F and the centering in P -mean of the signed random measures $\delta_{X_j} - P$ and (1.3) follows from the identical distribution of the X_j -s. Properties (1.1) and (1.3) suggest to consider the argument ε_j of h_n as a weight which controls the effect that X_j has on the distribution of the functional. In [8] the first author considered limits and expansions for functions h_n of equal weights $\varepsilon_j = n^{-1/2}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ with applications to the case (1.4). Those results explained the common structure of expansions for identical weights developed e.g. in [3] and [7, 9, 12]. In the following this scheme will be extended to the case of *non identical* weights ε_j , like in the class of examples where the functions h_n are given by (1.4). Moreover, the dependence of F on the elements X_j may be non linear.

Denote by ε the n -vector ε_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ and by $\varepsilon^d := \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j^d$, $d \geq 1$ the d -th power sum. In the following we shall show that (1.1)-(1.3) ensure the existence of a "limit" function $h_\infty(\varepsilon^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ as a first order approximation of h_n together with "Edgeworth-type" asymptotic expansions, see e.g. the case of sums of non identically distributed random variables in Ch.6, [19]. These expansions are given in terms of polynomials of power sums ε^d , $d \geq 3$. The coefficients of these "Edgeworth"-Polynomials, defined in (2.9) below, are given by derivatives of the limit function h_∞ at $\lambda_1 = 0, \dots, \lambda_s = 0$.

Remark (Algebraic Representations). In case that h_n is a *multivariate polynomial* of ε , satisfying (1.1)-(1.3), we may express it as polynomial in the algebraic base, ε^d , $d \geq 1$, of symmetric power sums of ε with constant coefficients. Note that

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_j} \varepsilon^d \right|_{\varepsilon_j=0} = \delta_{d,1},$$

where $\delta_{d,1} = 1$, if $d = 1$ and zero otherwise. Hence, (1.2) entails that in this representation h_n is a polynomial of ε^d , $d \geq 2$ only and does not depend on ε^1 . Now we may write

$$h_n(\varepsilon) = P_{\varepsilon^2}(\varepsilon^3, \dots, \varepsilon^n),$$

where P_{ε^2} denotes a polynomial with coefficients in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^2]$ of the variable ε^2 . Restricting ourselves to the sphere $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ for convenience, P_{ε^2} is the desired "Edgeworth" expansion, provided we introduce the following grading of monomials in the variables ε^d , $d \geq 3$ via $\deg(\varepsilon^d) := d - 2$ and expand the polynomial h_n in monomials of $\varepsilon^3, \dots, \varepsilon^n$ by collecting terms according to this grading.

1.1. Notations. Throughout the paper we will use the following notations. We denote $\varepsilon^d := \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^d$ and $|\varepsilon|^d := \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_i|^d$. Furthermore, we denote by $(\varepsilon)_d$ and $|\varepsilon|_d$ the d -th root of ε^d and $|\varepsilon|^d$ respectively, i.e. $(\varepsilon)_d := (\varepsilon^d)^{1/d}$ and $|\varepsilon|_d := (|\varepsilon|^d)^{1/d}$. By c or C with indices or without we denote absolute constants, which can be different in different places. Let D^α , where α is a nonnegative integral vector, denote partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial \varepsilon_1^{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_m}}{\partial \varepsilon_m^{\alpha_m}}$, and finally let $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j$.

2. RESULTS

Introduce for an integer $s \geq 0$ the functions,

$$(2.1) \quad h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s, \lambda) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k+s} \left(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}} \right).$$

Thus we consider the limit functions of h_{k+s} as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where all but s arguments are equal, asymptotically negligible and taken from a $k-1$ -sphere. In Theorems below we give sufficient conditions for the existence of the limits. The following theorem is an analogue of the Berry-Esseen type inequality for sums of non identically distributed independent random variables in probability theory, see e.g. Ch.6 in [19].

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $h_n(\cdot)$, $n \geq 1$, satisfies conditions (1.1)–(1.3) and with some positive constant B we have*

$$(2.2) \quad |D^\alpha h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)| \leq B,$$

for all $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, and for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ with $r \leq 3$ such that

$$\alpha_j \geq 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \quad \sum_{j=1}^r (\alpha_j - 2) \leq 1.$$

Then there exists $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$ defined by (2.1) with $s = 0$ and

$$|h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)| \leq c \cdot B \cdot \max(1, |\varepsilon|_2^3) |\varepsilon|^3,$$

where c is an absolute constant.

In case that ε depends on n , this theorem shows that if

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varepsilon|_3 = 0$$

then $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ converges to the limit function $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$, which depends on $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ via the l_2 -norm $|\varepsilon|_2$ only. This means that the sequence of symmetric functions (invariant with respect to S_n) may be approximated by a rotationally invariant function (invariant with respect to the orthogonal group \mathcal{O}_n).

Note though that if (2.3) holds, Theorem 2.1 doesn't provide an explicit formula for the function $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$, but guarantees its existence.

Remark. Investigating distributions of weighted sums, it has been shown in [16, Lemma 4.1], that (2.3) holds with high probability under the uniform measure, see as well inequality (3.4) below.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We divide the proof into three steps. In the first step we substitute each argument ε_j by a block of the length k of equal variables ε_j/\sqrt{k} . This procedure doesn't change the l_2 -norm $|\varepsilon|_2$. After n steps we arrive at a function which depends on $n \times k$ arguments

$$(2.4) \quad h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right).$$

We show that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right| \leq c \cdot B \cdot \max(1, |\varepsilon|_2^3) |\varepsilon|^3.$$

Hence this approximation step corresponds to Lindeberg's scheme of replacing the summands in the central limit theorem in probability theory by corresponding Gaussian random variables one by one (see, e.g., [18] and further development in [2] and extension to an invariance principle in [4]). Here the replacement is performed not with a Gaussian variable but with a large block of equal weights of corresponding l_2 -norm. In the *second step*, still fixing n , we determine the limit of the sequence of functions (2.4), as k goes to infinity. We will show that in this case the limit depends on $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, through its l_2 -norm $|\varepsilon|_2$ only. It will be shown that

$$(2.6) \quad \left| h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right) - h_k \left(\frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right| \leq c(\varepsilon) \cdot B \cdot k^{-1/2},$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ is some positive constant depending on ε only.

Finally, we may apply the arguments from Proposition 2.1 in [8]. We show that there exists some function $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \left| h_k \left(\frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}} \right) - h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2) \right| \leq c(\varepsilon) \cdot B \cdot k^{-1/2}.$$

From (2.5)–(2.7) it follows that

$$|h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)| \leq C \cdot B \cdot \max(1, |\varepsilon|_2^3) |\varepsilon|^3 + c(\varepsilon) \cdot B \cdot k^{-1/2}.$$

Taking the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude the statement of the Theorem. In the following we shall provide the details for proof of the steps outlined above.

First step. We introduce additional notations. For simplicity let us denote $f_k(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) := h_{n+k-1}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ and

$$\underline{\delta}_k := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} \right), \quad \underline{\delta}_k^0 := (\delta_1^0, \dots, \delta_k^0) := (\varepsilon_1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Using Taylor's formula we may write

$$f_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0)}{\partial \delta_j} (\delta_j - \delta_j^0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l=1}^k \frac{\partial^2 f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0)}{\partial \delta_j \partial \delta_l} (\delta_j - \delta_j^0)(\delta_l - \delta_l^0) + R_{31},$$

where R_{31} is a remainder term which will be estimated later. In what follows we shall denote by R_{3i} , for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the remainder terms in Taylor's expansion. By (1.1) all summands in the first sum equals zero except for $j = 1$. Consider the second sum. If $j \neq l$ and $j, l \neq 1$ then the corresponding summand equals zero. Condition (1.2) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_l} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = 0$$

provided that $j \neq l$, $j, l \neq 1$ and

$$\sum_{l=2}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_l} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = R_{32}.$$

for all $l = 2, \dots, k$. Expanding the non zero terms in the first and second sum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta_1} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \delta_1^2} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) \Big|_{\delta_1^0=0} \delta_1^0 + R_{33}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \delta_j^2} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \delta_1^2} f_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) \Big|_{\delta_1^0=0} + R_{34}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying condition (1.3) we may sum the coefficients of the second derivatives of f_k and get

$$(2.8) \quad \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} - \varepsilon_1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} - \varepsilon_1 \right)^2 + \frac{k-1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^2 = 0.$$

It remains to investigate the terms R_{3l} , $l = 1, \dots, 4$, and show that

$$|R_{3l}| \leq C \cdot B \cdot (|\varepsilon_1|^3 + |\varepsilon_1|^4 + |\varepsilon_1|^6).$$

Let us consider R_{31} . First we note that R_{31} is the sum of the third derivatives of f_k at some intermediate point $\hat{\underline{\delta}}_k^0$:

$$\sum_{j,l,m=1}^k \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \delta_j \partial \delta_l \partial \delta_m} f_k(\hat{\underline{\delta}}_k^0) (\delta_j - \hat{\delta}_j^0) (\delta_l - \hat{\delta}_l^0) (\delta_m - \hat{\delta}_m^0).$$

If the partial derivative with respect to δ_j (or δ_l, δ_m) is of order one we need to add an additional expansion with respect to this variable around zero using (1.2). In this way, we finally get

$$\left| \sum_{j,l,m=1}^k \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \delta_j \partial \delta_l \partial \delta_m} f_k(\hat{\underline{\delta}}_k^0) (\delta_j - \hat{\delta}_j^0) (\delta_l - \hat{\delta}_l^0) (\delta_m - \hat{\delta}_m^0) \right| \leq C \cdot B \cdot (|\varepsilon_1|^3 + |\varepsilon_1|^4 + |\varepsilon_1|^6).$$

The other terms, that is R_{3l} , $l = 2, 3, 4$, may be treated in a similar way. Repeating this procedure $n-1$ times we arrive at the function (2.4) and the bound (2.5).

Second step. The proof is similar to the previous step. Here n is fixed and we derive bounds in terms of powers of $k^{-1/2}$. Applying assumption (1.3) we may rearrange the arguments in $h_{nk}(\cdot)$ and get

$$h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right) = h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right).$$

Let us denote

$$f_n(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) := h_{nk} \left(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right)$$

and choose the following argument vectors

$$\underline{\delta}_n := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right), \quad \underline{\delta}_n^0 := (\delta_1^0, \dots, \delta_n^0) := \left(\frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

We shall estimate $f_n(\underline{\delta}_n) - f_n(\underline{\delta}_n^0)$ by repeating the same arguments as in the first step. We omit the details, but would like mention that instead of (2.8) we shall use here that

$$\frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{|\varepsilon|_2}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\sqrt{k}} \right)^2 = 0.$$

Thus, we finally arrive at the following bound

$$|f_n(\delta_n) - f_n(\delta_n^0)| \leq c(\varepsilon) \cdot B \cdot k^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Repeating this procedure $k - 1$ times we obtain the bound (2.6).

Third step. We consider the difference of the value of h_k at the point

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_k = (|\varepsilon|_2 k^{-1/2}, \dots, |\varepsilon|_2 k^{-1/2})$$

and the value of h_{k+r} at the point

$$\underline{\varepsilon}_{k+r} = (|\varepsilon|_2 (k+r)^{-1/2}, \dots, |\varepsilon|_2 (k+r)^{-1/2}).$$

We show, similar to the arguments in the previous steps, that (compare as well the proof in [8][Proposition 2.1]),

$$|h_k(\underline{\varepsilon}_k) - h_{k+r}(\underline{\varepsilon}_{k+r})| \leq c(\varepsilon) \cdot B \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{k+r-1} p^{-3/2}.$$

Thus, $h_k(\underline{\varepsilon}_k)$ is a Cauchy sequence in k with a limit, say $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$. This fact concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

To formulate the asymptotic expansion of the function $h_n(\cdot)$, $n \geq 1$, we have to introduce additional notations. We introduce the following differential operators by means of formal power series identities. Define cumulant differential operators $\kappa_p(D)$ by means of

$$\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} p!^{-1} \varepsilon^p \kappa_p(D) = \ln \left(1 + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} p!^{-1} \varepsilon^p D^p \right)$$

in the formal variable ε . One may easily compute the first cumulants. For example, $\kappa_2 = D^2$, $\kappa_3 = D^3$, $\kappa_4 = D^4 - 3D^2D^2$. Define Edgeworth polynomials by means of the following formal series in κ_r, τ_r and the formal variable ε

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^r P_r(\tau_* \kappa_*) = \exp \left(\sum_{r=3}^{\infty} r!^{-1} \varepsilon^{r-2} \kappa_r \tau_r \right)$$

which yields

$$(2.9) \quad P_r(\tau_* \kappa_*) = \sum_{m=1}^r m!^{-1} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m} (j_1 + 2)!^{-1} \tau_{j_1+2} \kappa_{j_1+2} \\ \times (j_2 + 2)!^{-1} \tau_{j_2+2} \kappa_{j_2+2} \dots (j_m + 2)!^{-1} \tau_{j_m+2} \kappa_{j_m+2},$$

where the sum \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m} extends over all m -tuples of positive integers (j_1, \dots, j_m) satisfying $\sum_{q=1}^m j_q = r$ and $\kappa_* = (\kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_{r+2})$, $\tau_* = (\tau_3, \dots, \tau_{r+2})$. For example,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1(\tau_* \kappa_*) &= \frac{1}{6} \tau_3 \kappa_3 = \frac{1}{6} \tau_3^3 D^3, \\ P_2(\tau_* \kappa_*) &= \frac{1}{24} \tau_4 \kappa_4 + \frac{1}{72} \tau_3^2 \kappa_3 \kappa_3 = \frac{1}{24} \tau_4 (D^4 - 3D^2 D^2) + \frac{1}{72} \tau_3^2 D^3 D^3. \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem we will assume that ε is a vector on the unit sphere, i.e. $|\varepsilon|_2 = 1$. It is also possible to consider the general case $|\varepsilon|_2 = r, r > 1$, but then the remainder terms will have a more difficult structure. In what follows we shall drop the dependence of h_∞ on the argument $|\varepsilon|_2$ in the notation of this function.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n), n \geq 1$, satisfies conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) together with $|\varepsilon|_2 = 1$. Suppose that*

$$(2.11) \quad |D^\alpha h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)| \leq B,$$

for all $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, where B denotes some positive constant, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), r \leq s$, and

$$\alpha_j \geq 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \quad \sum_{j=1}^r (\alpha_j - 2) \leq s - 2.$$

Then

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = h_\infty + \sum_{l=1}^{s-3} P_l(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \Big|_{\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_l = 0} + R_s,$$

where $P_l(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*)$ is defined in (2.9) with $\varepsilon^* = (\varepsilon^3, \dots, \varepsilon^{l+2})$, $\kappa_* = (\kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_{l+2})$ and

$$|R_s| \leq c_s \cdot B \cdot |\varepsilon|^s.$$

with some absolute constant c_s .

As an example consider the case $s = 5$. Then by (2.10)

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) &= h_\infty + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \lambda^3} h_\infty(\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &+ \left[\frac{\varepsilon^4}{24} \left(\frac{\partial^4}{\partial \lambda_1^4} - 3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_2^2} \right) + \frac{(\varepsilon^3)^2}{72} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \lambda_1^3} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \lambda_2^3} \right] h_\infty(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \Big|_{\lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=0} + \mathcal{O}(|\varepsilon|^5). \end{aligned}$$

Before we start proving Theorem 2.2 we have to introduce one more notation. For any sequence $\tau_p, p \geq 1$, of formal variables define $\tilde{P}(\tau_* \kappa_*)$ as a polynomial in the cumulant operators κ_p multiplied by τ_p by the following formal power series in μ :

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_j(\tau_* \kappa_*) \mu^j := \exp \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j!^{-1} \tau_j \kappa_j(D) \mu^j \right).$$

For example, $\tilde{P}_0 = 1, \tilde{P}_1 = 0, \tilde{P}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \tau_2 D^2, \tilde{P}_3 = \frac{1}{6} \tau_3 D^3$, and

$$\tilde{P}_4 = \frac{1}{24} \tau_4 (D^4 - 3D^2 D^2) + \frac{1}{8} \tau_2^2 D^2 D^2.$$

If $\tau_p = \tau^p, p \geq 1$ then

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{P}_j = j!^{-1} \tau_j D^j.$$

Furthermore, one may verify that functional identities of exp in (2.13) yield the identities

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{j+l=r} \tilde{P}_j(\tau_* \kappa_*) \tilde{P}_l(\tau'_* \kappa_*) = \tilde{P}_r((\tau_* + \tau'_*) \kappa_*).$$

There is a relation between the Edgeworth polynomials $P_r(\cdot)$ and $\tilde{P}_r(\cdot)$ which may be expressed in the following relation.

Statement 2.3. *We have*

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} [P_r(\tau_* \kappa_*)]_l = \sum_{r=1}^l \tilde{P}_r(\tau_* \kappa_*),$$

where $[\cdot]_l$ denotes the sum of all monomials $\tau_1^{p_1} \cdots \tau_{r+2}^{p_{r+2}}$ in $P_r(\tau_* \kappa_*)$ such that $p_1 + 2p_2 + \cdots + (r+2)p_{r+2} \leq l$.

We shall use (2.16) in the proof of Theorem 2.2. The following Lemma allows us to rewrite the derivatives of $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ via derivatives in additional variables using the definition of \tilde{P}_r .

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) hold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon^j} h_n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} (\eta^j - \varepsilon^j) \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^m \tilde{P}_r((\eta^* - \varepsilon^*) \kappa_*(D)) h_{n+m}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \Big|_{\lambda_1=\dots=\lambda_m=0} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^m). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This relation is a consequence of the following simple computations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^m \tilde{P}_r((\eta^* - \varepsilon^*) \kappa_*(D)) h_{m+n}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \\ & \stackrel{(2.14)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\substack{l+r=j \\ r \geq 1}} \tilde{P}_r((\eta^* - \varepsilon^*) \kappa_*(D)) \tilde{P}_l(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*(D)) \\ & \quad \times h_{m+n}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m+1}, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^m). \\ & \stackrel{(2.15)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^m (\tilde{P}_r(\eta^* \kappa_*(D)) - \tilde{P}_r(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*(D))) h_{m+k}(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m+1}, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^m). \\ & \stackrel{(2.14)}{=} \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon^j} h_n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} (\eta^j - \varepsilon^j) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^m). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We prove this theorem by induction on the length of the expansion. Consider the difference

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right)$$

and divide it into a sum of n terms, replacing successively the argument $\varepsilon_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ by the k -vector $(k^{-1/2}\varepsilon_j, \dots, k^{-1/2}\varepsilon_j)$, similar to the arguments used in the previous Theorem 2.1. We start with the case $j = 1$ and denote $h_k(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) := h_{n+k-1}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. Set

$$\underline{\delta}_k := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} \right), \quad \underline{\delta}_k^0 := (\delta_1^0, \dots, \delta_k^0) := (\varepsilon_1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

A Taylor expansion yields

$$h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{0 < |\alpha| < s} \alpha!^{-1} D^\alpha h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) ((\underline{\delta}_k - \underline{\delta}_k^0)^\alpha) + R_{1,s},$$

with a remainder term $R_{1,s}$ such that $|R_{1,s}| \leq c_s \cdot B \cdot |\varepsilon_1|^s$. To simplify our notations we introduce the following convention. By $R_l, l \geq 1$ we shall denote a remainder term which is of order $\mathcal{O}(|\varepsilon|^l)$ omitting the explicit dependence on B . By $R_{1,l}, l \geq 1$ we shall denote a remainder term of order $\mathcal{O}(|\varepsilon_1|^l)$.

In order to use condition (1.2) we expand each derivative $D^\alpha h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0)$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_p}), 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_p \leq k$, around $\delta_{j_r} = 0, r = 1, \dots, p$. This yields

$$(2.17) \quad D^\alpha h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{0 < |\alpha| + |\beta| < s} \beta!^{-1} D^{\alpha+\beta} h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) (\underline{\delta}_k^0)^\beta + R_{1,s},$$

The binomial formula implies

$$\sum_{j+k=r, j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j! \cdot k!} (\varepsilon - \eta)^j \eta^k = \frac{1}{r!} (\varepsilon^r - \eta^r).$$

Applying this relation to (2.17) we get

$$h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < s} \gamma!^{-1} D^\gamma h_k(0, \dots, 0) \prod_{i=1}^k [\delta_i^{\gamma_i} - (\delta_i^0)^{\gamma_i}] + R_{1,s}.$$

In order to use the induction assumption which will be formulated later in terms of the function values $h_k(\underline{\delta}_k)$, we have to use expansions and derivatives in additional variables at zero.

Introduce for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $p = 3, \dots, s-1$,

$$\Delta_j^p := \delta_j^p - (\delta_j^0)^p \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j^* := (\Delta_j^3, \dots, \Delta_j^{s-1}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 we get

$$(2.18) \quad h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum \tilde{P}_{r_1}(\Delta_{j_1}^* \kappa_*) \cdots \tilde{P}_{r_m}(\Delta_{j_m}^* \kappa_*) h_{k+s}(\underline{\delta}_k, 0, \dots, 0) + R_{1,s},$$

where the sum extends over all combination of $r_1, \dots, r_m \geq 2, m = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $r_1 + \dots + r_m < s$ and all ordered m -tuples of positive induces $1 \leq j_r \leq m$ without repetition. Assume that for $l = 3, \dots, s-1$ we have already proved that

$$(2.19) \quad D^\alpha h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-3} P_j(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) h_\infty + R_{1,l}.$$

We start with the case $l = 3$, which follows from Theorem 2.1. Applying the induction assumption (2.19) to (2.18) we get

$$h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum \tilde{P}_{r_1}(\Delta_{j_1}^* \kappa_*) \cdots \tilde{P}_{r_k}(\Delta_{j_k}^* \kappa_*) P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) h_\infty + R_{1,s},$$

where the sum extends over all indices $r_1, \dots, r_m \geq 1, r_0 \geq 0$, such that $r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_m \leq s$. We shall rewrite this relation as follows

$$(2.20) \quad h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{r_0=0}^{s-4} P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \sum_j \left[\prod_{l=1}^{s-r_0} \left[\sum_{v_l=1}^s \tilde{P}_{v_l}(\Delta_{j_l}^* \kappa_*) \right] \right]_{s-r_0} h_\infty + R_{1,s},$$

where $[]_r$ denotes all terms of the enclosed formal power series which are proportional to monomials $\Delta_{j_1}^{r_1} \dots \Delta_{j_k}^{r_k}$ with $r_1 + \dots + r_k \leq r$ and $k \leq m$. The right hand side of (2.20) may be expressed as

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{r_0=0}^{s-4} P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \left[\exp \left[\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^p \right) p!^{-1} \kappa_p \right] - 1 \right]_{s-r_0} h_\infty + R_{1,s}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^2 = \varepsilon_1^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{k} - 1 \right) + \frac{k-1}{k} \right] = 0$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^p = \varepsilon_1^p \left[\left(\frac{1}{k^{p/2}} - 1 \right) + \frac{k-1}{k^{p/2}} \right] = -\varepsilon_1^p + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{|\varepsilon_1|^p}{k^{p/2}} \right), \quad p > 2.$$

Using (2.16) we get

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \left[\exp \left[\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^p \right) p!^{-1} \kappa_p \right] - 1 \right]_{s-r_0} h_\infty \\ &= P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \sum_{i=3}^{s-r_0-1} \tilde{P}_i \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^* \right) \kappa_* \right) h_\infty \\ &= P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[P_i \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^* \right) \kappa_* \right) \right]_{s-r_0-1} h_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) P_r \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^* \kappa_* \right) h_\infty = P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \left[P_r \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^* \kappa_* \right) \right]_{s-r_0-1} h_\infty + R_{1,s}$$

By (2.23)–(2.24) we may rewrite (2.21) in the following way

$$h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{r_0=0}^{s-4} P_{r_0}(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \sum_{r=1}^{s-3-r_0} P_r \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_j^* \kappa_* \right) h_\infty + R_{1,s}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{r+q=k} P_r(\tau_* \kappa_*) P_q(\tau'_* \kappa_*) = P_k((\tau_* + \tau'_*) \kappa_*), \quad q, r, k \geq 0.$$

we conclude

$$h_k(\underline{\delta}_k) - h_k(\underline{\delta}_k^0) = \sum_{r=1}^{s-3} [P_r((\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1^*)\kappa_*) - P_r(\varepsilon^*\kappa_*)] h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \Big|_{\lambda_1=\dots=\lambda_s=0} + R_{1,s},$$

where we have used (2.22).

Thus, we replaced ε_1 by $(\varepsilon_1/\sqrt{k}, \dots, \varepsilon_1/\sqrt{k})$ and found a corresponding expansion. We shall now repeat the same procedure for the remaining ε_j .

For all $j \geq 2$ we replace ε_j by $(k^{-1/2}\varepsilon_j, \dots, k^{-1/2}\varepsilon_j)$. It is easy to see that replacing $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ by $h_{n+k-1}(\underline{\delta}_k, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ with

$$\underline{\delta}_k := (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}} \right)$$

we can use (2.19) with $(\underline{\delta}_k, \varepsilon_{[2:n]})$ instead of ε , where $\varepsilon_{[2:n]} := (\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. The function h_∞ will be the same in both expansions since it depends on $|\varepsilon|_2$ only and $|\underline{\delta}_k|^2 + |\varepsilon_{[2:n]}|^2 = |\varepsilon|_2^2$. The same arguments may be applied for all $j \geq 2$. Hence, repeating this procedure $n-1$ times we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right) - h_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^{s-3} \left[P_r((\varepsilon_{[j:n]}^* - \varepsilon_j^*)\kappa_*) - P_r(\varepsilon_{[j:n]}^*\kappa_*) \right] h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \Big|_{\lambda_1=\dots=\lambda_s=0} + R_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{[j:n]} := (\varepsilon_j, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$.

To finish the proof we need to show that for fixed r the following identity holds

$$(2.25) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \left[P_r((\varepsilon_{[j:n]}^* - \varepsilon_j^*)\kappa_*) - P_r(\varepsilon_{[j:n]}^*\kappa_*) \right] = -P_r(\varepsilon^*\kappa_*).$$

The relation (2.25) follows from the following simple observation. Let $m \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and (j_1, \dots, j_m) be a vector of positive numbers such that $j_1 + \dots + j_m = r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_1+2} - \varepsilon_i^{j_1+2}) \dots (\varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_m+2} - \varepsilon_i^{j_m+2}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_1+2} \dots \varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_m+2} \\ &= -\varepsilon^{j_1+2} \dots \varepsilon^{j_m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

For a proof it is enough to note that for all $i \geq 1$

$$(\varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_1+2} - \varepsilon_i^{j_1+2}) \dots (\varepsilon_{[i:n]}^{j_m+2} - \varepsilon_i^{j_m+2}) = \varepsilon_{[i+1:n]}^{j_1+2} \dots \varepsilon_{[i+1:n]}^{j_m+2}.$$

Applying (2.25) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_{nk} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{s-3} P_r(\varepsilon^*\kappa_*) h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \Big|_{\lambda_1=\dots=\lambda_s=0} + R_s. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating now the last two steps in the proof of the previous Theorem 2.1 and taking the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_\infty = \sum_{r=1}^{s-3} P_r(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \Big|_{\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_s = 0} + R_s.$$

This proves (2.19) for $l = s$ and $\alpha = 0$. Hence, the induction is completed and the Theorem is proved. \square

3. APPLICATION OF THEOREM 2.2

In this section we illustrate in some examples how one may apply Theorem 2.2 to derive an asymptotic expansion of various functions in probability theory.

3.1. Expansion in the Central Limit Theorem for Weighted Sums. As the first example let us consider the sequence of independent random variables $X, X_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, taking values in \mathbb{R} with a common distribution function F . Suppose the $\mathbb{E}X = 0, \mathbb{E}X^2 = 1$. Consider the weighted sum $S_\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n X_n$. As h_n we may choose the characteristic function of S_ε , i.e.,

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \mathbb{E} e^{it(\varepsilon_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n X_n)}.$$

From Theorem 2.1 we know that $h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)$ exists provided that the condition (2.2) holds. In our setting this condition holds when $\mathbb{E}|X|^3 < \infty$. It is well known, see e.g. Ch.5 in [19], that

$$h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2) = \mathbb{E} e^{itG},$$

where $G \sim N(0, |\varepsilon|_2)$. In what follows we shall assume $|\varepsilon|_2 = 1$. The rate of convergence is given by $|\varepsilon|_3^3$. If ε is well spread, for example, when $\varepsilon_j = n^{-1/2}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$(3.1) \quad |h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - h_\infty(|\varepsilon|_2)| \leq C \cdot |t|^3 \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|^3}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Of course this bound does not hold for all $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} = \{\varepsilon : |\varepsilon|_2 = 1\}$. Consider a simple counter example. Let $X \sim \text{Uniform}([- \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}])$ and $\varepsilon = e_1$. Then $S_\varepsilon = X_1 \sim \text{Uniform}([- \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}])$, which is not Gaussian as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Concerning expansions for weighted linear forms, results of [16] imply that the left hand side of (3.1) has order $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ for a 'large' set of unit vectors ε . The size of this set is measured according to the uniform probability measure, say σ_{n-1} , on the unit sphere S^{n-1} .

Let us now construct an asymptotic expansion using Theorem 2.2. We have for any integer $s \geq 0$

$$h_\infty(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) = \mathbb{E} e^{it(\lambda_1 X_1 + \dots + \lambda_s X_s + G)}.$$

Taking derivatives with respect to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ at zero we get, for example, for $s \leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \lambda_1^3} h_\infty(\lambda_1) \right|_{\lambda_1=0} &= (it)^3 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_3, \\ \left. \frac{\partial^4}{\partial \lambda_1^4} h_\infty(\lambda_1) \right|_{\lambda_1=0} &= (it)^4 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_4, \\ \left. \frac{\partial^4}{\partial \lambda_1^2 \partial \lambda_2^2} h_\infty(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right|_{\lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=0} &= (it)^4 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_2^2, \\ \left. \frac{\partial^6}{\partial \lambda_1^3 \partial \lambda_2^3} h_\infty(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right|_{\lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=0} &= (it)^6 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_2 = \mathbb{E} X^2 = 1$, $\beta_3 = \mathbb{E} X^3$ and $\beta_4 = \mathbb{E} X^4$. Substituting these equations to (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) &= \mathbb{E} e^{itG} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6} (it)^3 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{24} [\beta_4 - 3] (it)^4 e^{-t^2/2} + \frac{(\beta_3 \varepsilon^3)^2}{72} (it)^6 e^{-t^2/2} + R_5. \end{aligned}$$

The expansion coincides with the well known Edgeworth expansion (involving cumulants) for characteristic functions of sums of random variables, see, e.g., § 1, Ch. 6, in [19]. It coincides as well with Edgeworth expansions for expectations of smooth functions of sums of random vectors in Euclidean, resp. Banach spaces, see e.g., [12] resp. [9].

Let us concentrate now on the so-called short asymptotic expansion

$$(3.2) \quad h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \mathbb{E} e^{itG} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6} (it)^3 e^{-t^2/2} \beta_3 + R_4,$$

where

$$|R_4| \leq C \cdot |t|^4 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k^4.$$

It follows from [16, Lemma 4.1] that for some constants C_1 and C_2 and for all $\rho : 1 > \rho > \exp(-C_1 n)$ there exists a subset $B \subset S^{n-1}$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in B$ one has

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k^3 \right| \leq \left(\log \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^2 \frac{C_2}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k^4 \leq \left(\log \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^2 \frac{C_2}{n}$$

and $\sigma_{n-1}(B) \geq 1 - \rho$ for the uniform probability measure σ_{n-1} on the unit sphere S^{n-1} .

Thus combining (3.2) and (3.3) we get for any $\rho : 1 > \rho > 0$, and all $\varepsilon \in B$

$$(3.4) \quad |h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) - \mathbb{E} e^{itG}| \leq C (|t|^3 + t^4) \left(\log \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^2 \frac{\beta_4}{n}$$

for some constant C (cf. (3.1)).

This property may be generalized to arbitrary functions $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.

Extending this example it is possible to apply our result for asymptotic expansion in the central limit theorem for quadratic forms in sums of random elements with values in a Hilbert space including infinite dimensional cases, see, e.g. [3], [11], [14], [20], [21] and [22].

Moreover, our result could be helpful in study of asymptotic expansions for the functionals of weighted sums of *dependent* random variables.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be identically distributed symmetric random variables and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ be independent Rademacher random variables, i.e. δ_i takes values 1 and -1 with probabilities $1/2$. Assume that $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ are independent of X_1, \dots, X_n . We emphasize that here it is not necessary that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. In order to construct asymptotic expansions for $\mathbb{E}F(\varepsilon_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n X_n)$ with some smooth measurable function F , note that

$$F(\varepsilon_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n X_n) \stackrel{d}{=} F(\varepsilon_1 \delta_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \delta_n X_n),$$

where $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution. Consider functions

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \mathbb{E}F(\varepsilon_1 \delta_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n \delta_n X_n).$$

The function h_n satisfies the conditions (1.1)–(1.3) provided F is sufficiently smooth.

For instance, we can take for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$X_i = \frac{Y_i}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 Y_1^2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n^2 Y_n^2}},$$

where Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent random variables with common symmetric distribution. Then $F(\varepsilon_1 X_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n X_n)$ is a function of a self-normalized weighted sum, see e.g. [15].

On the other hand, in the special case $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$ we may consider $F(X_1/\sqrt{n} + \dots + X_n/\sqrt{n})$ as a function of exchangeable random variables, see e.g. [10].

3.2. Expansion in the Free Central Limit theorem. It has been shown in a recent paper [13] that one may apply the results of Theorem 2.2 in the setting of Free Probability theory.

Denote by \mathcal{M} the family of all Borel probability measures defined on the real line \mathbb{R} . Let X_1, X_2, \dots be free self-adjoint identically distributed random variables with distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. We always assume that μ has zero mean and unit variance. Let μ_n be the distribution of the normalized sum $S_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. In free probability the sequence of measures μ_n converges to Wigner's semicircle law ω . Moreover, μ_n is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure for sufficiently large n . We denote by p_{μ_n} the density of μ_n . Define the Cauchy transform of a measure μ :

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu(dx)}{z - x}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where \mathbb{C}^+ denotes the upper half plane.

In [5] Chistyakov and Götze obtained a formal power expansion for the Cauchy transform of μ_n and an Edgeworth type expansions for μ_n and p_{μ_n} . In [13] the general scheme from [8] was applied to derive a similar result.

3.3. Expansion of Quadratic von Mises Statistics. Let $X, \bar{X}, X_1, \dots, X_n$ be independent identically distributed random elements taking values in an arbitrary measurable space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$. Assume that $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are real-valued measurable functions. In addition we assume that h is symmetric. We consider the quadratic functional

$$w_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k g(X_k) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k h(X_j, X_k),$$

assuming that

$$\mathbb{E} g(X) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(h(X, \overline{X})|X) = 0.$$

We shall derive an asymptotic expansion of $h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) := \mathbb{E} \exp(itw_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n))$.

Consider the measurable space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with measure $\mu := \mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $L^2 := L^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ denote the real Hilbert space of square integrable real functions. A Hilbert-Schmidt operator $\mathbb{Q} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is defined via

$$\mathbb{Q}f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy) = \mathbb{E} h(x, X)f(X), \quad f \in L^2.$$

Let $\{e_j, j \geq 1\}$ denote a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of \mathbb{Q} ordered by decreasing absolute values of the corresponding eigenvalues q_1, q_2, \dots , that is, $|q_1| \geq |q_2| \geq \dots$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} h^2(X, \overline{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j^2 < \infty, \quad h(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j e_j(x) e_j(y)$$

If the closed span $\langle \{e_j, j \geq 1\} \rangle \subset L^2$ is a proper subset, it might be necessary to choose functions e_{-1}, e_0 such that $\{e_j, j = -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal system and

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k e_k(x), \quad h(x, x) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} h_k e_k(x).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{E} e_j(X) = 0$ for all j . Therefore $\{e_j(X), j = -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal system of mean zero random variables.

We derive an expression for the derivatives of $h_{\infty}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$. Since for every fixed k the sum $n^{-1/2}(e_k(X_1) + \dots + e_k(X_n))$ weakly converges to a standard normal random variable we conclude that $w_{n+r}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, n^{-1/2}, \dots, n^{-1/2})$ weakly converges to the random variable

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\infty}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) &:= w_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k Y_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k^2 (Y_k^2 - 1) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} h(X, X) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^r \lambda_l e_k(X_l) \right) Y_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_k, k \geq 0$ are independent standard normal random variables. For every fixed T we get by complex integration

$$\mathbb{E} \exp [itq_k(Y_k^2 - 1) + 2itTY_k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2itq_k}} \exp(-itq_k) \exp \left[-\frac{2t^2 T^2}{\sqrt{1 - 2itq_k}} \right].$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad h_{\infty}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) &= \varphi(t) \mathbb{E} \exp[itw_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \\ &\quad + (it)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k T_k(\lambda) (2q_k T_k(\lambda) + g_k) (1 - 2itq_k)^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where $T_k(\lambda) = \sum_{l=1}^r \lambda_l e_k(X_l)$ and

$$\varphi(t) = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2itq_k}} \exp(-itq_k) \right] \exp \left[it \mathbb{E} h(X_1, X_1) - t^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^2 (1 - 2itq_k)^{-1} / 2 \right].$$

Let us introduce the following functions of X and \bar{X} :

$$h_t(X, \bar{X}) := h(X, \bar{X}) + 2it \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k^2 e_k(X) e_k(\bar{X}) (1 - 2itq_k)^{-1},$$

$$g_t(X) := g(X) + it \mathbb{E} (h_t(X, \bar{X}) g(\bar{X}) | X).$$

Applying these notations we may rewrite (3.5) in the following way

$$h_{\infty}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) = \varphi(t) \mathbb{E} \exp \left[it \sum_{j,k=1}^n h_t(X_j, X_k) \lambda_j \lambda_k + it \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j g_t(X_j) \right].$$

Taking derivatives of h_{∞} with respect with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ at zero we get

$$h_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \varphi(t) \sum_{r=0}^{s-3} a_r(t, h, g) + R_s,$$

where

$$a_r(t, h, g) := P_r(\varepsilon^* \kappa_*) \mathbb{E} \exp \left[it \sum_{j,k=1}^n h_t(X_j, X_k) \lambda_j \lambda_k + it \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j g_t(X_j) \right] \Big|_{\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 0}.$$

Higher order U -statistics may be treated by similar arguments. See, for example, the result of [9] and [1].

3.4. Expansions for Weighted One Sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistics. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be an independent identically distributed random variables with uniform distribution in $[0, 1]$. Consider the following statistic $D^+(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j (\mathbb{I}(X_j \leq t) - t)$. For example, if $\varepsilon_j = n^{-1/2}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ then we have $D^+(t) = n^{1/2}(F_n(t) - t)$, where $F_n(t)$ denotes the empirical distribution function of X_1, \dots, X_n . We are interested in the asymptotic expansion of

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} D^+(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, t) > a), \quad a > 0.$$

It is well known that $h_{\infty}(0) = \exp[-2a^2]$ and

$$h_{\infty}(\lambda) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(x(t) + \lambda(\mathbb{I}(s < t) - t) > a, 0 \leq t \leq 1) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} f_a(s, x(s), \lambda) f_a(1-s, x(s), -\lambda) ds,$$

where $f_a(s, x, \lambda) = \mathbb{P}(x(t) > a + \lambda t, 0 \leq t \leq s | x(s) = x) = \exp(-2a(a + \lambda s - x)/s)$ and $x(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ is a Brownian bridge. For more details, see [8]. Then it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} D^+(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, t) > a) = \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \mathcal{O}(|\varepsilon|^4) \right] \exp(-2a^2).$$

Such expansions for equal weights have been derived for example by combinatorial and analytic techniques in [17] and [6].

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Associate Editor and the Reviewer for helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bentkus and F. Götze. Optimal bounds in non-Gaussian limit theorems for U -statistics. *Ann. Probab.*, 27(1):454–521, 1999.
- [2] H. Bergström. On the central limit theorem. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 1944(3-4):139–153, 1944.
- [3] R. N. Bhattacharya and R. Ranga Rao. Normal approximation and asymptotic expansions. *SIAM-Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 2010.
- [4] S. Chatterjee. A generalization of the Lindeberg principle. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(6):2061–2076, 2006.
- [5] G. P. Chistyakov and F. Götze. Asymptotic expansions in the CLT in free probability. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 157(1-2):107–156, 2013.
- [6] B. V. Gnedenko, V. S. Koroluk, and A. V. Skorohod. Asymptotic expansions in probability theory. In *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob.*, Vol. II, pages 153–170. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1961.
- [7] F. Götze. On Edgeworth expansions in Banach spaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 9(5):852–859, 1981.
- [8] F. Götze. Asymptotic expansions in functional limit theorems. *Journal of Multivariate analysis*, 16:1–20, 1985.
- [9] F. Götze. Edgeworth expansions in functional limit theorems. *Ann. Probab.*, 17(4):1602–1634, 1989.
- [10] M. Bloznelis and F. Götze. An Edgeworth expansion for symmetric finite population statistics. *Ann. Probab.*, 30(3):1238–1265, 2002.
- [11] F. Götze. Lattice point problems and values of quadratic forms. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 157:195–226, 2004.
- [12] F. Götze, and C. Hipp. Asymptotic expansions in the central limit theorem under moment conditions. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 42(1):67–87, 1978.
- [13] F. Götze and A. Reshetenko. Asymptotic expansions in free limit theorems. *arXiv:1408.1360*.
- [14] F. Götze and A. Yu. Zaitsev. Explicit rates of approximation in the CLT for quadratic forms. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(1):354–397, 2014.
- [15] B.-Y. Jing and Q. Wang. A unified approach to Edgeworth expansions for a general class of statistics. *Statistica Sinica*, 20:613–636, 2010.
- [16] B. Klartag and S. Sodin. Variations on the Berry-Esseen theorem. *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 56(3):514–533, 2011.
- [17] H. A. Lauwerier. The asymptotic expansion of the statistical distribution of N. V. Smirnov. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 2:61–68, 1963.
- [18] J.W.. Lindeberg. Eine neue Herleitung des Exponentialgesetzes in der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung *Math. Z.*, 15:211–225, 1922.
- [19] V. V. Petrov. *Sums of independent random variables*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [20] Y. V. Prokhorov and V. V. Ulyanov. Some approximation problems in statistics and probability. In *Limit theorems in probability, statistics and number theory*, volume 42 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 235–249. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [21] V.V. Ulyanov. Normal approximation for sums of nonidentically distributed random variables in Hilbert spaces. *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, 50(3-4):411–419, 1986.
- [22] V.V. Ulyanov. Asymptotic expansions for distributions of sums of independent random variables in H. *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, 31(1):25–39, 1987.

F. GÖTZE, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY, BIELEFELD, 33615, GERMANY
E-mail address: `goetze@math.uni-bielefeld.de`

A. NAUMOV, FACULTY OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND CYBERNETICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA, AND INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS RAS, MOSCOW, 127051, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `anaumov@cs.msu.su`

V. ULYANOV, FACULTY OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND CYBERNETICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA, AND NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS (HSE), MOSCOW, 101000, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `vulyanov@cs.msu.su`