

Mortality, Redundancy, and Diversity in Stochastic Search

Baruch Meerson¹ and S. Redner²

¹*Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel*

²*Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA and Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501, USA*

We investigate a stochastic search process in one dimension under the competing roles of mortality, redundancy, and diversity of the searchers. This picture represents a toy model for the fertilization of an oocyte by sperm. A population of N independent and mortal diffusing searchers all start at $x = L$ and attempt to reach the target at $x = 0$. When mortality is irrelevant, the search time scales as $\tau_D(\ln N)^{-5/4}$ for $N \gg 1$, where $\tau_D \sim L^2/D$ is the diffusive time scale. Conversely, when the mortality rate μ of the searchers is sufficiently large, the search time scales as $\sqrt{\tau_D/\mu}$. When the diffusivities of very short-lived searchers are distinct, the searchers with a non-trivial optimal diffusivity are most likely to reach the target. We also discuss the effect of chemotaxis on the search time and its fluctuations.

PACS numbers: 87.10.Mn, 05.40.-a, 87.16.A- 02.50.Ey, 05.40.Jc

Stochastic searching [1] underlies a wide variety of processes in biology [2–4], animal foraging [5–9], chemical reactions [10, 11], and search operations for missing people or lost items [12–14]. The basic goal is to minimize the time needed to successfully find a desired target. Through evolution and algorithmic developments, Nature and man have developed clever strategies to optimize searches. Many of these processes involve a single searcher that continues the search for as long as necessary to reach the target. In this Letter, we investigate the role of fundamental influences on stochastic search that have not yet received sufficient attention: mortality, redundancy, and diversity. The notion that a searcher dies if it does not reach a target within a fixed time epitomizes unsuccessful foraging. While the properties of random walks that die at a fixed rate has been recently investigated [15–18], the general problem of how to best conduct a search with mortal searchers is much less explored [19–21].

When a searcher can die before reaching a target, a natural way to promote success is by having many searchers. This feature of redundancy embodies the mammalian fertilization of an oocyte, where (in humans) roughly 3×10^8 sperm cells initially attempt to reach the oocyte after copulation. Oocyte fertilization is an extremely complex and multi-faceted process (see Ref. [22] for a review). We make no pretense of accounting for the multiple enigmatic steps that a sperm undergoes to reach and ultimately fertilize the oocyte. However, sperm mortality, redundancy, and possibly diversity play essential roles in this fertilization process. Inspired by these basic facts, we investigate an idealized scenario of fertilization that are driven by the above attributes.

The geometry of the system is extremely simple. An oocyte located at the origin, $x = 0$, is represented by an absorbing boundary condition. At time $t = 0$, N diffusing particles, each of which represents a sperm cell, are launched from $x = L$. The basic questions that we address are: (i) What is the probability that one of the particles reaches the origin (corresponding to successful

fertilization)? (ii) What is the average time to reach the origin as a function of N and the mortality rate of the sperm? If *immortal* searchers are uniformly distributed on the line, the survival probability of the target in one dimension is known to decay as $\exp(-\text{const.} \times \sqrt{t})$ [23–29]. However, the biologically relevant situation where the searchers all start at the same point has been less extensively investigated, even when the searchers are immortal [30–35].

It is worth noting two additional important points: First, if the searchers are immortal, then a basic result of first-passage processes is that a single searcher will eventually reach the target, but the average time for this successful search is infinite [36]. The average search time is again infinite for $N = 2$ searchers, but is *finite* for $N \geq 3$ [30]. Second, when the searchers are mortal and die at a fixed rate, the average search time, even for a single searcher, is finite and approaches zero as the mortality rate increases. In this high-mortality limit, the only way for a searcher to reach the target is to do so very quickly.

Let us first study the search process of a single mortal diffusing particle. Let $\rho(x, t)$ be the probability density to observe the particle at $0 < x < \infty$ at time t . Its dynamics is determined by the diffusion-decay equation $\partial_t \rho = D \partial_x^2 \rho - \mu \rho$, where we assume a constant diffusivity D and a fixed mortality rate μ . The target is at $x = 0$ and the particle starts at $x = L$. By using the image method [36], the solution to the diffusion-decay equation subject to these conditions is

$$\rho(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{4\pi D t}} \left[e^{-(x-L)^2/4Dt} - e^{-(x+L)^2/4Dt} \right]. \quad (1)$$

The flux at $x = 0$ yields the probability density that the target is reached at time t :

$$f_1(t) = \frac{L}{\sqrt{4\pi D t^3}} e^{-\mu t - L^2/4Dt}. \quad (2)$$

The probability $F_1(t) = \int_0^t dt' f_1(t')$ that the particle

reaches the target by time t is given by

$$F_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{D}} L} \operatorname{erfc}(L/\sqrt{4Dt} + \sqrt{\mu t}) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{D}} L} \operatorname{erfc}(L/\sqrt{4Dt} - \sqrt{\mu t}), \quad (3)$$

where $\operatorname{erfc}(z) = 1 - \operatorname{erf}(z)$, and $\operatorname{erf} z = (2/\sqrt{\pi}) \int_0^z e^{-u^2} du$ is the error function. In the limit of an immortal searcher ($\mu = 0$), the above corresponds to the more familiar expression $F_1(t) = \operatorname{erfc}(L/\sqrt{4Dt})$ for the probability that a diffusing particle reaches the origin by time t . The probability that the target is *eventually* found is

$$F_1(t = \infty) \equiv F_1 = \int_0^\infty dt f_1(t) = e^{-\sqrt{\mu/D} L}. \quad (4)$$

For an immortal particle $F_1 = 1$, whereas for high mortality rate F_1 is exponentially small.

From the distribution (2), the average and the variance of the search time are

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt t f_1(t)}{\int_0^\infty dt f_1(t)} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{4D\mu}} \equiv \sqrt{\tau_D \tau_\mu}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\Sigma^2 = \langle T^2 \rangle - \langle T \rangle^2 = \frac{2DL}{(4D\mu)^{3/2}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \tau_D^{1/2} \tau_\mu^{3/2}, \quad (5b)$$

where $\tau_D = L^2/4D$ is the characteristic diffusion time and $\tau_\mu = 1/\mu$ is the characteristic lifetime of the mortal searcher. Notice that the low-order moments of the search-time distribution involve non-trivial combinations of τ_D and τ_μ . Also notice that as $\mu \rightarrow 0$, corresponding to immortal particles, both $\langle T \rangle$ and Σ^2 diverge.

Now consider N identical and immortal particles that all start from $x = L$. The probability that at least one of them eventually reaches the target is

$$p = \sum_{k=1}^N \binom{N}{k} F_1^k (1 - F_1)^{N-k} = 1 - (1 - F_1)^N, \quad (6)$$

with F_1 given by Eq. (4). We are interested in the limit of $NF_1 \ll 1$, where we approximate Eq. (6) by $p \simeq NF_1$.

For N immortal searchers, the probability that one of them first reaches the target at time t is [37]

$$\begin{aligned} f_N(t) &= N f_1(t) [1 - F_1(t)]^{N-1} \\ &= N \frac{L}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} e^{-L^2/4Dt} \left[\operatorname{erf}(L/\sqrt{4Dt}) \right]^{N-1} \\ &\simeq N \frac{L}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} \left(\frac{L}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \right)^{N-1}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the third line uses the $z \ll 1$ asymptotic of $\operatorname{erf}(z)$. An important feature of $f_N(t)$ is that it has an algebraic long-time tail, $f_N(t) \sim t^{-(N+2)/2}$. Thus, as mentioned in the introduction, the average search time is divergent for $N \leq 2$, but finite for $N \geq 3$ [30].

The average time for the first out of $N \geq 3$ immortal searchers to reach the target is given by [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_N \rangle &= \int_0^\infty dt t f_N(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt t \frac{NL}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} e^{-L^2/4Dt} \left[\operatorname{erf}(L/\sqrt{4Dt}) \right]^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We define the scaled variable $z = L/\sqrt{4Dt}$ to recast this expression as

$$\langle T_N \rangle = \frac{NL^2}{\sqrt{4\pi} D} \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{z^2} e^{-z^2} [\operatorname{erf}(z)]^{N-1} \equiv \frac{L^2}{\sqrt{4\pi} D} \Psi(N), \quad (9)$$

with

$$\Psi(N) = N \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{z^2} e^{-\Phi(N,z)}, \quad (10a)$$

$$\Phi(N, z) = z^2 - (N-1) \ln \operatorname{erf}(z). \quad (10b)$$

When $N \gg 1$, the integrand in Eq. (10a) has an increasingly sharp peak as N increases, and we may evaluate the integral by the standard Laplace method [38]. Differentiating Φ with respect to z , we obtain the condition for the saddle point of Φ and thus the maximum of the integrand: $2z - 2(N-1)e^{-z^2}/[\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(z)] = 0$. As $N \gg 1$, the saddle point is located at $z_* \simeq \sqrt{\ln N} \gg 1$. Therefore, to leading order, $\Phi(z_*, N) \simeq \ln N$ and $\Phi''(z_*, N) \simeq 4\sqrt{\ln N}/\pi$. Performing the Gaussian integration in (10a) that arises when Taylor expanding $\Phi(z, N)$ to second order in $z - z_*$, we obtain $\Psi(N)$, from which we deduce one of our main results:

$$\langle T_N \rangle \simeq \frac{\pi^{1/4}}{2^{3/2}} \frac{1}{(\ln N)^{5/4}} \frac{L^2}{D}, \quad \ln N \gg 1. \quad (11)$$

This asymptotic differs by a factor $(\ln N)^{1/4}$ from the result reported in Ref. [34]. The average search time (11) decays slowly with N but still leads to a reduction by a factor of 40 compared with the characteristic diffusion time $\tau_D = L^2/4D$ for the typical number of human sperm $N = 3 \times 10^8$ that attempt to fertilize an oocyte. The large- N asymptotic (11) becomes quite accurate already for $N = 10$ (Fig. 1).

To incorporate mortality requires a conceptually identical, albeit more tedious, calculation for the average search time. Now a single searcher is not guaranteed to reach the target. Therefore, the average time is

$$\langle T_N \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty t f_N(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty f_N(t) dt} \equiv \tau_D \frac{\Psi_1(N, M)}{\Psi_0(N, M)}, \quad (12)$$

where $\Psi_k(N, M) = \int_0^\infty dz z^{-2k} e^{-\Phi(N, M, z)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(N, M, z) &= z^2 + \frac{M^2}{z^2} \\ &- (N-1) \ln \left[1 - \frac{e^{2M}}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(z + \frac{M}{z}\right) - \frac{e^{-2M}}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(z - \frac{M}{z}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1: The function $\Psi(N)$ from Eqs. (9) and (10a) (symbols) and its large- N asymptotic (11) (line)

Here $M = \sqrt{\tau_D/\tau_\mu}$ is the dimensionless mortality rate and again $z = L/\sqrt{4Dt}$. As before, we apply the Laplace method to evaluate the integral in $\Psi_k(N, M)$, by minimizing $\Phi(N, M, z)$ with respect to z . We are interested in the high-mortality regime, $M \gg 1$, where the probability that a single searcher eventually reaches the target is exponentially small, see Eq. (4). Now the minimum of Φ is determined by the condition

$$\frac{d\Phi(N, M, z)}{dz} \simeq 2z - \frac{2M^2}{z^3} - \frac{2(N-1)}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-z^2+M^2/z^2}, \quad (13)$$

where we have used the $z \gg 1$ asymptotic of $\text{erfc}(z)$. There are two different limiting behaviors for the saddle point z_* that depend on the interplay between N and M . For N not too large (see below), z_* is determined by balancing the first two terms in Eq. (13). This yields $z_* \simeq \sqrt{M}$. In this regime, the second and third terms in square brackets of the function Φ can be neglected, and the remaining integral is exactly calculable to give

$$\Psi_0(N, M) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{-2M}, \quad \Psi_1(N, M) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2M} e^{-2M}. \quad (14)$$

Using these in Eq. (12), we obtain

$$\langle T_N \rangle \simeq \frac{L}{\sqrt{4D\mu}}. \quad (15)$$

Notice that this result coincides with Eq. (5a) for the average search time of a *single* searcher. Indeed, in this high mortality regime, only the fastest searcher contributes to the average time, while the rest of the searchers (unless their number is huge, see below) are superfluous. The asymptotics of (14) and (15) are valid for $N \ll \sqrt{M}e^{2M}$, so that one can neglect the contribution of the last term in Eq. (13).

In the opposite limit of $N \gg \sqrt{M}e^{2M}$, but with M also large, the saddle point is given by $2z - 2(N-1)e^{-z^2}/\sqrt{\pi} \simeq 0$, which yields, to leading order, $z_* \simeq (\ln N)^{1/2}$. This is the same as the result for immortal searchers, so that the

final expression for $\langle T_N \rangle$ coincides with Eq. (11). Thus when the number of searchers is sufficiently large, their mortality (even when relatively high) is irrelevant in the determination of average search time.

Let us now discuss the biologically relevant situation where mortal searchers have distinct diffusivities D_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$. In this case, the probability that a searcher with diffusivity D_k eventually reaches the target is

$$F(D_k) = e^{-L\sqrt{\mu/D_k}} \ll 1. \quad (16)$$

When $NF(D_k) \ll 1$ for typical diffusivity values D_k (see below), we may again approximate the probability that one searcher reaches the target as

$$p \simeq \sum_{k=1}^N F(D_k). \quad (17)$$

For $N \gg 1$, it is convenient to use a continuum description for the diffusivity distribution. We assume that this distribution is a Gaussian that is centered about a typical value D_0 :

$$P(D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(D-D_0)^2/2\sigma^2}, \quad (18)$$

where $\sigma \ll D_0$. Then the discrete sum in Eq. (17) may be replaced by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} p &\simeq \int_0^\infty dD F(D)P(D) \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_0^\infty dD e^{-L\sqrt{\mu/D} - (D-D_0)^2/2\sigma^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Again, we evaluate the integral by the Laplace method, which is possible if either $L\sqrt{\mu/D_0} \gg 1$ or $D_0/\sigma_0 \gg 1$. By straightforward rescaling, we redefine the above integral as

$$p = \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_0^\infty dz e^{-\Phi(z)},$$

where

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} + A(z-1)^2, \quad (20)$$

with

$$A = \frac{D_0^2}{2\sigma^2} \times \frac{\sqrt{D_0}}{L\sqrt{\mu}} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \frac{D}{D_0}.$$

We find the saddle point z_* from the equation $\Phi'(z) = 2A(z-1) - (1/2)z^{-3/2} = 0$. The exact solution of this equation is cumbersome, and we confine ourselves to the asymptotics in the limits $A \gg 1$ and $A \ll 1$. In the low mortality limit where $A \gg 1$ (but still $L\sqrt{\mu/D_0} \gg 1$), z_* is very close to 1, and we find $z_* \simeq 1 + (4A)^{-1}$. As a result,

$$p \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{L\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{D_0}}\right), \quad A \gg 1. \quad (21)$$

In this case, the dominant contribution to p comes from “typical” searchers—those whose diffusivity is close to D_0 . This behavior is to be expected, as in the low-mortality limit the Gaussian in the integrand of Eq. (19) effectively acts as a delta-function peak centered at D_0 .

The limit of very high mortality, $A \ll 1$, is more interesting. Here $z_* \gg 1$, and we can replace $z - 1$ with z in Eq. (20), to arrive at $z_* \simeq (4A)^{-2/5}$. In this case, a small number of very active searchers with $D \gg D_0$ give the dominant contribution to the probability that the target is found. Performing the Gaussian integral, we obtain in this case

$$p \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \exp \left[- \left(2^{1/5} + 2^{-9/5} \right) \left(\frac{\mu L^2}{\sigma} \right)^{2/5} \right], \quad A \ll 1. \quad (22)$$

Quite surprisingly, this result is independent of the average diffusivity D_0 .

There are two additional attributes that naturally arise in the phenomenon of oocyte fertilization by sperm that can be accounted for within the present framework. One is the possibility of a diversity in searcher lifetimes. If the mortality rate μ is normally distributed, we find that the search dynamics is only trivially modified; the derivation is similar to that for a distribution of diffusivities, as presented in the material following Eq. (18). In this case, the main contribution to the probability that an ensemble of searchers reaches the target comes from typical searchers.

The role of chemotaxis, which is known to be a dominant effect as sperm approach the fertilization site [22], has more interesting consequences. A simplistic way to model chemotaxis is to include a constant drift in the diffusion-decay model. The probability evolution of a single searcher is now governed by the equation of motion $\partial_t \rho - v \partial_x \rho = -\mu \rho + D \partial_x^2 \rho$, where $v > 0$. When a single searcher starts at $x = L$ and seeks a target that is fixed at the origin, the solution to this equation, subject to the boundary conditions $\rho(x=0, t) = \rho(x=\infty, t) = 0$ and the initial condition $\rho(x, t=0) = \delta(x-L)$, can again be found by the image method [36]. The result is

$$\rho(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} e^{-\mu t} \left[e^{-(x+vt-L)^2/4Dt} - e^{vL/D} e^{-(x+vt+L)^2/4Dt} \right]. \quad (23)$$

From this expression, the probability density that the target is reached at time t is

$$f_1(t) = \frac{L}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} e^{-\mu t - (L-vt)^2/4Dt}. \quad (24)$$

Consequently, the probability that the target is eventually reached is

$$F_1 = \int_0^\infty dt f_1(t) = e^{-\left(\sqrt{4D\mu+v^2}-v\right)L/2D}. \quad (25)$$

From Eq. (24), the average search time and its variance are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \rangle &= \frac{L}{\sqrt{4D\mu+v^2}}, \\ \Sigma^2 &= \frac{2DL}{(4D\mu+v^2)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Interestingly, the variance is non-monotonic in D , and the largest fluctuations of the search time occur when $D = v^2/(2\mu)$. One can extend this chemotactic search process to the case of many searchers, but the calculations become tedious.

To summarize, we elucidated the competing roles of the mortality, redundancy, and diversity of the searchers on a simple search process that can be viewed as a caricature of the fertilization of an oocyte by sperm. The fundamental issue is how to optimize this search process. One strategy is to have a sophisticated search algorithm; however, nature often seems to prefer the brute-force approach of dispatching many almost identical searchers that follow a relatively simple search algorithm. The effectiveness of this approach is counterbalanced by the mortality of the searchers, and it is quite miraculous that of the order of one sperm actually reaches the oocyte in human fertilization. While we do not offer much insight into why this miracle occurs, we quantified the dynamics of this search process as a function of the number of searchers and their mortality rate. We also investigated the role of diversity and found that a distribution of diffusion coefficients for the searchers may lead to the search being dominated by more active searchers.

SR thanks David Holcman for a discussion of the sperm search problem. Financial support for this research was provided in part by grant No. 2012145 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) (SR and BM) and Grant No. DMR-1205797 from the NSF (SR).

-
- [1] For a recent review from the physics perspective, see, e.g., O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 81 (2011).
 [2] O. G. Berg, R. B. Winter and P. H. Von Hippel, *Biochem.*

- 20**, 6929 (1981).
 [3] P. H. Von Hippel, *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **36**, 79 (2007).
 [4] L. Mirny, *Nature Physics* **4**, 93 (2008).

- [5] E. L. Charnov, *Theor. Popul. Biol.* **9**, 129 (1976).
- [6] W. J. Bell, *Searching Behaviour: the Behavioural Ecology of Finding Resources* (Chapman and Hall, London, 1991).
- [7] W. J. O'Brien, H. I. Browman, and B. I. Evans, *Am. Sci.* **78**, 152 (1990).
- [8] G. M. Viswanathan, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. G. E. Da Luz, E. P. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley, *Nature* **401**, 911 (1999).
- [9] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 020102 (2006).
- [10] M. von Smoluchowski, *Zeitschrift für physikalische chemiestoichiometrie und verwandtschaftslehre* **92**, 129 (1917).
- [11] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 251 (1990).
- [12] H. R. Richardson and L. D. Stone, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **18**, 141 (1971).
- [13] J. R. Frost and L. D. Stone, <http://www.rdc.uscg.gov/reports/2001/cgd1501dpexsum.pdf>.
- [14] M. F. Shlesinger, *J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor.* **42**, 2009.
- [15] R. F. Bonner, R. Nossal, S. Havlin, and G. H. Weiss, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **A4**, 423 (1987).
- [16] S. B. Yuste, E. Abad, and K. Lindenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 220603 (2013).
- [17] E. Abad, S. B. Yuste, and K. Lindenberg, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 062110 (2013).
- [18] B. Meerson, arXiv:1502.02813.
- [19] S. B. Yuste, J. J. Ruiz-Lorenzo, and K. Lindenberg, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 046119 (2006).
- [20] S. B. Yuste, E. Abad, and K. Lindenberg, in *First-Passage Phenomena and Their Applications*, Eds. R. Metzler, G. Oshanin, and S. Redner (World Scientific, Singapore, 2014).
- [21] O. Bénichou and S. Redner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 238101 (2014).
- [22] M. Eisenbach and L. C. Giojalas, *Nature Reviews Molecular and Cell Biology* **7**, 276 (2006).
- [23] G. Zumofen, J. Klafter, and A. Blumen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **79**, 5131 (1983).
- [24] S. Redner and K. Kang, *J. Phys. A* **17**, L451 (1984).
- [25] A. Blumen, G. Zumofen, and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 5379(R) (1984).
- [26] R. A. Blythe and A. J. Bray, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 041101 (2003).
- [27] A. J. Bray, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, *Adv. Phys.* **62**, 225 (2013).
- [28] S. Redner and B. Meerson, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2014) P06019.
- [29] B. Meerson, A. Vilenkin, and P.L. Krapivsky, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 022120 (2014).
- [30] K. Lindenberg, V. Seshadri, K. E. Shuler, and G. H. Weiss, *J. Stat. Phys.* **23**, 11 (1980).
- [31] S. Redner and P. L. Krapivsky, *Am. J. Phys.* **67**, 1277 (1999).
- [32] G. Oshanin, O. Vasilyev, P. L. Krapivsky, J. Klafter, *Proc. Natl. Acad. (USA)* **106** 13696 (2009).
- [33] P.L. Krapivsky, S.N. Majumdar, and A. Rosso, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 315001 (2010).
- [34] C. M.-Monasterio, G. Oshanin, and G. Schehr, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2011) P06022.
- [35] B. Meerson and S. Redner, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2014) P08008.
- [36] S. Redner, *A Guide to First-Passage Processes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- [37] Related features of this problem were investigated in Ref. [35].
- [38] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 7th ed. (Academic Press, New York, 2012).