

QUASI-ADELIC MEASURES AND EQUIDISTRIBUTION ON  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 

HEXI YE

ABSTRACT. We generalize adelic measure to quasi-adelic measure on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and show that arithmetic equidistribution of small points holds for quasi-adelic measure. In the end, we briefly describe an application of the arithmetic equidistribution theorem for quasi-adelic measures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a product formula field, such as a number field or function field of a curve. Adelic measures on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  were introduced in [BR2, FRL], where the authors proved independently the arithmetic equidistribution of points with small heights, on the Berkovich compactification of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . Similar notions (the adelic metrized line bundle [Zh1, Zh2]) appear as a hypothesis in the equidistribution results on more general varieties [CL, Yu]. In this article, we examine the equidistribution of small points on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in a more general setting, that of quasi-adelic measures.

Roughly, a quasi-adelic measure is a collection of probability measures  $\mu_v$  on the Berkovich projective line  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ , indexed by the places  $v$  of  $k$ , so that their potential functions satisfy a summability condition. By contrast, an adelic measure is required to have “trivial” contributions at all but finitely many places. The measures determine a height function  $\hat{h}_\mu$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ , given by the sum of the potential functions of the measures  $\mu_v$ . From the height point of view, the height of a quasi-adelic measure allow nontrivial contributions from local heights for infinitely many places, which is prohibited for the heights of adelic measures. Precise definitions are given in Section 2.

The arithmetic equidistribution theorems play an important role in the recent study of complex dynamics and number theory; see, e.g. [BD1, DWY1, GHT, FG, Ull, Zh3]. However, in attempts to apply the theory to more general families of rational functions on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we discovered that the “adelic” hypothesis of the equidistribution theorems will not always be satisfied [DWY2]. The main result of this article, which is used to prove one of the main results of [DWY2], extends the equidistribution theorems (and proofs) of [BR2, FRL] to the quasi-adelic setting:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a product formula field and  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in M_k}$  be a quasi-adelic measure. Suppose  $S_n$  is a sequence of  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -invariant subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$  with*

$|S_n| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\hat{h}_\mu(S_n) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , the sequence of probability measures  $[S_n]_v$ , weighted equally on the points in  $S_n$ , converges weakly to  $\mu_v$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Matthew Baker, Laura DeMarco, Dragos Ghioca and Xiaoguang Wang for many helpful comments and suggestions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND SOME PROPERTIES

In this section, we introduce quasi-adelic measures, quasi-adelic sets, define canonical heights and show some properties.

**2.1. Preliminaries and basic notations.** A *product formula field* is a field  $k$  together with

- a set  $\mathcal{M}_k$  of pairwise inequivalent non-trivial absolute values on  $k$  (we call them places). And a unique positive integer  $N_v$  associated to each place  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ .
- for each  $\alpha \in k^*$ ,  $|\alpha|_v = 1$  for all but finitely many places  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , and  $\alpha$  satisfies the following *product formula*:

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} |\alpha|_v^{N_v} = 1.$$

The most important product formula fields are number fields and function fields of curves. Let  $\bar{k}$  be the algebraic closure of  $k$ , and  $k^{\text{sep}}$  be the separable closure of  $k$ . If the characteristic of  $k$  is zero, then it is well known that  $\bar{k} = k^{\text{sep}}$ . For each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , let  $k_v$  be the completion of  $k$  at  $v$ , let  $\bar{k}_v$  be an algebraic closure of  $k_v$ , and let  $\mathbb{C}_v$  denote the completion of  $\bar{k}_v$ . We let  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  denote the Berkovich projective line over  $\mathbb{C}_v$ , which is a canonically defined path-connected compact Hausdorff space containing  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$  as a dense subspace. For each  $v$ , we fixed an embedding of  $\bar{k}$  to  $\mathbb{C}_v$ . If  $v$  is archimedean, then  $\mathbb{C}_v \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ .

For each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  there is a distribution-valued Laplacian operator  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ . For example, the function  $\log^+ |z|_v := \max\{\log |z|_v, 0\}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$  extends naturally to a continuous real valued function  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta \log^+ |z|_v = \delta_\infty - \lambda_v,$$

where  $\lambda_v$  is the uniform probability measure on the complex unit circle  $\{|z| = 1\}$  when  $v$  is archimedean and  $\lambda_v$  is a point mass at the Gauss point of  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  when  $v$  is non-archimedean.

A probability measure  $\mu_v$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  is said to have *continuous potentials* if  $\mu_v - \lambda_v = \Delta g$  for some continuous function  $g : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mu_v$  has continuous potentials, then there is a unique function  $g_{\mu_v}^o : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that

$$g(z) = \log^+ |z|_v - g_{\mu_v}^o(z)$$

is a continuous potential for  $\mu_v$ , and the set

$$(2.3) \quad M_{\mu_v} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2 \mid G_{\mu_v}(x, y) \leq 0\}$$

has capacity  $\text{Cap}(M_{\mu_v}) = 1$ ; see [De2] and [BR1, §3.3] for the definition of homogeneous capacity. Here  $G_{\mu_v}(x, y)$  is a function uniquely determined by  $g_{\mu_v}^o$  as follows

$$(2.4) \quad G_{\mu_v}(x, y) := \begin{cases} g_{\mu_v}^o(x/y) + \log |y|_v, & \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{C}_v \text{ and } y \neq 0 \\ \log |x|_v - g(\infty), & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{C}_v, x \neq 0 \text{ and } y = 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{for } x = y = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $g(\infty) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (\log^+ |z|_v - g_{\mu_v}^o(z))$ . The function  $G_{\mu_v}$  *scales logarithmically*, in the sense that

$$G_{\mu_v}(\alpha x, \alpha y) = G_{\mu_v}(x, y) + \log |\alpha|_v.$$

We call the continuous function  $G_{\mu_v}$  on  $\mathbb{C}_v^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  *normalized homogeneous potential* for  $\mu_v$ . And homogeneous potentials of  $\mu_v$  are all functions differ from  $G_{\mu_v}$  by a constant. For example, from (2.2), we know the normalized homogeneous potential for  $\lambda_v$  is

$$G_{\lambda_v}(x, y) = \log \|(x, y)\|_v, \text{ and } M_{\lambda_v} = \bar{D}^2(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}_v^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_v$  is the maximal norm, i.e.  $\|(x, y)\|_v := \max\{|x|_v, |y|_v\}$ .

For each probability measure  $\mu_v$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  with continuous potentials, there is a unique *normalized Arakelov-Green function*  $g_{\mu_v} : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  which is characterized by the differential equation  $\Delta_x g_{\mu_v}(x, y) = \delta_y - \mu_v$  and the normalization

$$(2.5) \quad \iint g_{\mu_v}(x, y) d\mu_v(x) d\mu_v(y) = 0.$$

For points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2$ , the normalized Arakelov-Green function  $g_{\mu_v}$  is exactly given by:

$$(2.6) \quad g_{\mu_v}(x, y) = -\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y}),$$

where  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$  are any lifts of  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $|\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}| := |x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2|$  for  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ , by continuity, it is easy to see that the function  $g_{\mu_v}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  is uniquely determined by  $G_{\mu_v}$ . The fact that the capacity of the set  $M_{\mu_v}$  defined in (2.3) is one guarantees the function  $g_{\mu_v}$  defined in (2.6) satisfies the integral formula (2.5); see [BR1, BR2] for more details.

**2.2. Quasi-adelic measure and canonical height function.** Let  $\mu_v$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  with continuous potentials. We define the *outer radius* and *inner radius* for  $\mu_v$  as

$$r_{\text{out}}(\mu_v) := \inf\{r > 0 \mid M_{\mu_v} \subset \bar{D}(0, r) \times \bar{D}(0, r)\}$$

$$r_{\text{in}}(\mu_v) := \sup\{r > 0 \mid \bar{D}(0, r) \times \bar{D}(0, r) \subset M_{\mu_v}\}.$$

A *quasi-adelic measure* on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (with respect to a product formula field  $k$ ) is a collection  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  of probability measures on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ , one for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , such that

- $\mu_v$  has continuous potentials for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ ; and
- $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{\text{in}}(\mu_v)^{N_v} > 0$  and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{\text{out}}(\mu_v)^{N_v} < \infty$ .

*Remark.* Since  $\text{Cap}(M_{\mu_v}) = 1$  and  $\text{Cap}(\bar{D}^2(0, r)) = r^2$ , the radii  $0 < r_{\text{in}}(\mu_v) \leq 1 \leq r_{\text{out}}(\mu_v)$ . The measure  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is *adelic* if we replace the second condition by  $\mu_v = \lambda_v$  for all but finitely many  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , or equivalently  $r_{\text{in}}(\mu_v) = r_{\text{out}}(\mu_v) = 1$  for all but finitely many places  $v$ ; see [BR2, FRL].

If  $\rho, \rho'$  are probability measures on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ , we define

$$(\rho, \rho')_{\mu_v} := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \text{Diag}} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) d\rho(x) d\rho'(y).$$

and the  $\mu_v$ -energy of  $\rho$  is defined as

$$I_{\mu_v}(\rho) := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) d\rho(x) d\rho(y).$$

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$  be a finite set which is  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -invariant and  $|S| > 1$ . Here  $|S|$  is the cardinality of the set  $S$ . We denote  $[S]_v$  as the discrete probability measure on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ , supported equally on all elements of  $S$ . The *canonical height* of  $S$  associated to an adelic measure  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is an number given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_\mu(S) &:= \frac{|S|}{|S| - 1} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \cdot ([S]_v, [S]_v)_{\mu_v} \\ &= \frac{|S|}{|S| - 1} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{N_v}{2|S|^2} \sum_{x, y \in S, x \neq y} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{x, y \in S, x \neq y} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{N_v \cdot (-\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y}))}{2|S|(|S| - 1)}, \text{ by (2.1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2|S|(|S| - 1)} \sum_{x, y \in S, x \neq y} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \cdot (G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y})) \\ (2.7) \quad &= \frac{1}{|S|} \cdot \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$  are fixed lifts of  $x, y$  in  $k^{\text{sep}} \times k^{\text{sep}}$ . Hence, from (2.7), for any  $x \in k^{\text{sep}}$ , let  $S = \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \cdot x$ , we can defined the canonical height for  $x$ :

$$\hat{h}_\mu(x) := \hat{h}_\mu(S).$$

The constants  $N_v$  are the same as those appearing in the product formula (2.1).

*Remark.* The canonical height defined here is slightly different from the one appeared in [BR2, FRL], but agrees with the one defined in [DWY1, DWY2]. The factor  $\frac{|S|}{|S|-1}$  included here makes the canonical height a natural extension to all points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ .

**2.3. Quasi-adelic set.** Next, we introduce quasi-adelic sets. In many applications, it is much easier to deal with quasi-adelic set other than quasi-adelic measure.

Analog to the definition of the *homogeneous filled Julia set* in [BR1, §3.2], we define *homogeneous set with continuous potential* as:

$$M_v := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2 \mid G_{M_v}(x, y) \leq 0\},$$

with  $G_{M_v}$  being a homogeneous potential for a probability measure (denote as  $\mu_{M_v}$ ) with continuous potentials on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$ .

When  $v$  is an archimedean place (see [De2]), it is equivalent to say that  $M_v \subset \mathbb{C}_v^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  is a compact, circled and pseudoconvex set, or  $G_{M_v}$  is a continuous and plurisubharmonic function satisfying

- (1)  $G_{M_v}(\alpha z) = G_{M_v}(z) + \log |\alpha|_v$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_v$ , and
- (2)  $G_{M_v}(z) = \log \|z\|_v + O(1)$ .

In reality, there are many homogeneous sets with continuous potential. For example, suppose we have a sequence of non-degenerate homogeneous polynomials  $F_n : \mathbb{C}_v^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_v^2$ , such that the sequence  $\frac{\log \|F_n\|_v}{\deg(F_n)}$  converges uniformly to  $G_v$  on  $\mathbb{C}_v^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Then  $G_v$  is a homogeneous potential for some probability measure with continuous potentials on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$ ; see [BR1, §3]. Hence  $M_v = \{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}_v^2 : G_v(\tilde{x}) \leq 0\}$  is a homogeneous set with continuous potential, and the capacity is computed by the limit of the resultants (see [DWY1]):

$$\text{Cap}(M_v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\text{Res}(F_n)|_v^{-\frac{1}{\deg(F_n)^2}}.$$

Analog to the definition of the radii of  $\mu_v$ , we define the *outer* and *inner* radii of  $M_v$  as

$$r_{\text{out}}(M_v) := \inf\{r > 0 \mid M_v \subset \bar{D}(0, r) \times \bar{D}(0, r)\}.$$

$$r_{\text{in}}(M_v) := \inf\{r > 0 \mid \bar{D}(0, r) \times \bar{D}(0, r) \subset M_v\}.$$

A product  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_v^{N_v}$  converges strongly with  $r_v > 0$  for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  if

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \cdot |\log r_v| < \infty.$$

A *quasi-adelic set* (with respect to a product field  $k$ )  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is a collection of sets, one for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , such that

- $M_v$  is a homogeneous set with continuous potential, and
- the products  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{\text{out}}(M_v)^{N_v}$  and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{\text{in}}(M_v)^{N_v}$  converge strongly.

For each homogeneous set  $M_v$  with continuous potential, there is a unique probability measure  $\mu_{M_v}$  with continuous potentials associated to it. Hence, from a quasi-adelic set  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ , we get a measure  $\mu = \{\mu_{M_v}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $k$  be a product field and  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  be a collection of homogeneous sets with continuous potential, one for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . One has*

- *If the set  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic, then the corresponding measure  $\mu = \{\mu_{M_v}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic.*
- *Suppose that for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , there are radii  $r'_v, r_v$  with  $\bar{D}^2(0, r'_v) \subset M_v \subset \bar{D}^2(0, r_v)$  and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r'_v{}^{N_v}, \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_v{}^{N_v}$  converging strongly. Then the set  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic. Moreover, the product  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \text{Cap}(M_v)^{N_v}$  converges strongly, and for any  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -invariant  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$*

$$\hat{h}_\mu(S) = \frac{1}{|S|} \cdot \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v G_{M_v}(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \log \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \text{Cap}(M_v)^{N_v}.$$

**2.4. Some properties.** Linear combination of quasi-adelic measures is a quasi-adelic measure.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $k$  be a product formula field. Suppose  $\mu_i = \{\mu_{v,i}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  are distinct quasi-adelic measures, for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  with  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $0 < \lambda_i < 1$  be non-negative numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ . Then  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} := \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_i$  is a quasi-adelic measure, and there is an  $L > 0$  depending on  $\mu_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  with*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \hat{h}_{\mu_i} = \hat{h}_\mu + L.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G_{\mu_{v,i}}$  be the normalized homogeneous potential for  $\mu_{v,i}$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad G_v := \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i G_{\mu_{v,i}}$$

is a homogeneous potential function (may not be normalized) for the probability measure  $\mu_v = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_{v,i}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ . Since  $M_{\mu_{v,i}}$  has inner and outer radii  $r_{\text{in}}(\mu_{v,i}), r_{\text{out}}(\mu_{v,i})$ . We know that

$$M_v := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2 \mid G_v(x, y) \leq 0\}$$

has inner and outer radii satisfying

$$r_{\text{in}}(M_v) \geq \min\{r_{\text{in}}(\mu_{v,1}), r_{\text{in}}(\mu_{v,2}), \dots, r_{\text{in}}(\mu_{v,m})\},$$

$$r_{\text{out}}(M_v) \leq \max\{r_{\text{out}}(\mu_{v,1}), r_{\text{out}}(\mu_{v,2}), \dots, r_{\text{out}}(\mu_{v,m})\}.$$

Because  $\mu_i$  is quasi-adelic, the above inequalities indicates that  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is a quasi-adelic set. Hence by Theorem 2.1,  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is a quasi-adelic measure.

Let  $g_{\mu_{i,v}}$  be the normalized Arakelov-Green function for  $\mu_{i,v}$ , the component of  $\mu_i$  for a place  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . By [BR1, Theorem 5.8],

$$\int \int g_{\mu_{i,v}} d\mu_v(x) d\mu_v(y) \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if  $\mu_{i,v} = \mu_v$ . Hence

$$L_v := \frac{1}{2} \int \int \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_{\mu_{i,v}} d\mu_v(x) d\mu_v(y) \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if  $\mu_{i,v} = \mu_v$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then by the definition of Arakelov-Green function,

$$g_{\mu_v} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_{\mu_{i,v}} - L_v$$

is the normalized Arakelov-Green function for  $\mu_v$ . Consequently, one has

$$\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{h}_{\mu_i} = \hat{h}_{\mu} + L,$$

with  $L = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \cdot L_v > 0$  since  $\mu_i$  are distinct.  $\square$

The canonical height function for a quasi-adelic measure is “almost” non-negative, in the following sense

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $k$  be a product formula field. Suppose  $\mu$  is a quasi-adelic measure. Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there are only finitely many  $x \in k^{\text{sep}}$  with*

$$\hat{h}_{\mu}(x) < -\delta.$$

*Proof.* We prove it by contradiction. Assume that there are infinitely many  $x \in k^{\text{sep}}$  such that  $\hat{h}_{\mu}(x) < -\delta$ . Let  $S_n = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \cdot x_n$ . Then  $S_n$  is  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -invariant and  $|S_n| \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, by (2.7),  $\hat{h}_{\mu}(S_n) \leq -\delta$ . From the proof of Lemma 3.1, it is not hard to see that for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} \geq 0$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} \leq -\delta.$$

So we get a contradiction.  $\square$

*Remark.* For quasi-adelic measures, the canonical heights don't need to be always non-negative on  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ ; see Example 4.2.

For any number field  $k$ , it is naturally equipped with a set of inequivalent norms  $\mathcal{M}_k$  and positive integers  $\{N_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  making it a product formula field. For any  $x \in k$ , the *logarithmic Weil height* of  $x$  is

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{[k : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \log^+ |x|_v.$$

The logarithmic Weil height is well defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and doesn't depend on the embedding of a number field  $k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . A good property of an adelic measure is that its canonical height is bounded by the Weil height. For quasi-adelic measures over a number field  $k$ , we have a similar result:

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $k$  be a number field. Suppose  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is a quasi-adelic measure. Then the canonical height  $\hat{h}_\mu$  is bounded by the logarithmic Weil height  $h$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , i.e.*

$$\log \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{in}(\mu_v)^{N_v} \leq [k : \mathbb{Q}]h(x) - \hat{h}_\mu(x) \leq \log \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{out}(\mu_v)^{N_v}$$

for all  $x \in \overline{k}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_o$  be an element in  $\overline{k}$  and its Galois orbits  $S = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \cdot x_o$ . For any lift  $\tilde{x} \in \overline{k}^2$  of  $x \in \overline{k}$ , by the definition of outer and inner radii,

$$\log r_{in}(\mu_v) \leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} (\log \|\tilde{x}\|_v - G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x})) \leq \log r_{out}(\mu_v)$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \log r_{in}(\mu_v) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \frac{N_v}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} (\log \|\tilde{x}\|_v - G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x})) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \log r_{out}(\mu_v)$$

By (2.7),

$$\log \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{in}(\mu_v)^{N_v} \leq [k : \mathbb{Q}]h(x_o) - \hat{h}_\mu(x_o) \leq \log \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{out}(\mu_v)^{N_v}.$$

□

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we give proofs for Theorem 1.1 and 2.1.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By assumption,  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of subsets of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ , which are  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -invariant and  $|S_n|$  tends to infinity. For any  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , the  $\mu_v$ -energy of the probability measure  $[S_n]_v$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} &= \frac{1}{2|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} (-\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y})) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  being any lifts of  $x$  and  $y$ . We have the following lemma:



**Lemma 3.1.** *Take  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  satisfying the same conditions as in Theorem 1.1. For any place  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} = 0.$$

*Proof.* First, it can be shown that for any  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} \geq 0.$$

For this, we follow the proof of [BR1, Lemma 3.24]. By the definition of capacity,

$$(3.2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in M_{\mu_v}} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} -\log |\tilde{x}_i \wedge \tilde{x}_j| \geq -\log \text{Cap}(M_{\mu_v}) = 0.$$

Fix an arbitrary small  $\epsilon > 0$ . For any  $x, y \in S_n$ , since  $\{|x|_v : x \in \mathbb{C}_v\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we can take  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in M_{\mu_v}$  as lifts of  $x$  and  $y$ , such that

$$-\epsilon < G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) \leq 0, \quad -\epsilon < G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y}) \leq 0.$$

Hence by the definition of energy function and (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} (-\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y})) \\ &\geq -\epsilon + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\frac{1}{2|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} \log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v \right) \geq -\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we still need to show that for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , one has

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} \leq 0.$$

Assume that this lemma fails, then there exist an  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $v_o \in \mathcal{M}_k$  and a sequence of strictly increasing integers  $\{n_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ , such that

$$(3.3) \quad N_{v_o} \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_{v_o}, [S_{n_j}]_{v_o})_{\mu_{v_o}} > 3\epsilon, \text{ for all } j \geq 1.$$

For any  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , we lift  $x, y \in S_n$  to  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in M_{\mu_v}$  such that

$$G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) \geq -\delta, \quad G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y}) \geq -\delta.$$

Since we know that  $M_{\mu_v}$  is bounded by the polydisc with outer radius  $r_{out}(\mu_v)$ , then  $\|\tilde{x}\|_v, \|\tilde{y}\|_v \leq r_{out}(\mu_v)$  and hence

$$\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v \leq \log r_{out}(\mu_v)^2$$

when  $v$  is non-archimedean. For non-archimedean place  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , one has

(3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} &= \frac{1}{2|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} (-\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{x}) + G_{\mu_v}(\tilde{y})) \\ (3.5) \quad &\geq \frac{1 - |S_n|}{|S_n|} \delta - \frac{1}{|S_n|^2} \sum_{x \neq y \in S_n} \log r_{out}(\mu_v) = \frac{1 - |S_n|}{|S_n|} (\delta + \log r_{out}(\mu_v)) \end{aligned}$$

We shrink  $\delta$  to zero, then the above inequality becomes

$$(3.6) \quad ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} \geq \frac{1 - |S_n|}{|S_n|} \log r_{out}(\mu_v)$$

as long as  $v$  is non-archimedean.

It is well known that for product formula field  $k$ , there are only finite many archimedean places; see [Ar, Chapter 12, Theorem 3]. Since  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic, the product  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{out}(\mu_v)^{N_v}$  converges. Hence we can choose a set  $\mathcal{M}'_k \subset \mathcal{M}_k$  such that:

- $\mathcal{M}''_k := \mathcal{M}_k \setminus (\mathcal{M}'_k \cup \{v_o\})$  only has finitely many places.
- all places in  $\mathcal{M}'_k$  are non-archimedean and  $v_o \notin \mathcal{M}'_k$ .
- $\sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}'_k} N_v \cdot \log r_{out}(\mu_v) \leq \epsilon$ .

Hence, from the definition of the canonical height function  $\hat{h}_\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{v_o}([S_{n_j}]_{v_o}, [S_{n_j}]_{v_o})_{\mu_{v_o}} &= \hat{h}_\mu(S_{n_j}) - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k \setminus \{v_o\}} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} \\ &= \hat{h}_\mu(S_{n_j}) - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}''_k} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}'_k} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} \\ &\leq \hat{h}_\mu(S_{n_j}) - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}''_k} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} + \frac{(|S_{n_j}| - 1)\epsilon}{|S_{n_j}|}, \text{ by (3.6)} \\ &\leq \hat{h}_\mu(S_{n_j}) - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}''_k} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set  $\mathcal{M}''_k$  has only finitely many places and the height of  $S_{n_j}$  tends to zero, by (3.1), the inferior limit of the above inequality

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup N_{v_o} \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_{v_o}, [S_{n_j}]_{v_o})_{\mu_{v_o}} \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left( - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}''_k} N_v \cdot ([S_{n_j}]_v, [S_{n_j}]_v)_{\mu_v} + \epsilon \right) \leq \epsilon,$$

which contradicts to our assumption (3.3). We finish the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

The set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$  is compact in the weak topology. Hence, to show  $[S_n]_v$  converges weakly to  $\mu_v$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it suffices to show that any convergent subsequence of  $[S_n]_v$  converges to  $\mu_v$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $[S_n]_v$  converges to some  $\nu_v$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_n]_v = \nu_v.$$

By Lemma 3.1, for the  $\mu_v$ -energy  $I_{\mu_v}(\nu_v)$  of  $\nu_v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([S_n]_v, [S_n]_v)_{\mu_v} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \text{Diag}} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) d[S_n]_v(x) d[S_n]_v(y) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1} g_{\mu_v}(x, y) d\nu_v(x) d\nu_v(y), \text{ by [BR1, Lemma 3.46]} \\ &= I_{\mu_v}(\nu_v). \end{aligned}$$

By [BR1, Theorem 3.45],  $\mu_v$  is the unique probability measure on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$  minimizing the  $\mu_v$ -energy function  $I_{\mu_v}(\cdot)$ . Then from above discussion,  $I_{\mu_v}(\mu_v) = 0 \geq I_{\mu_v}(\nu_v)$  guarantees  $I_{\mu_v}(\mu_v) = I_{\mu_v}(\nu_v)$ . Hence  $\nu_v = \mu_v$ . We finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We show first that  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic implies that  $\mu = \{\mu_{M_v}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic. We assume that  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is a quasi-adelic set. For any  $r > 0$ , let

$$rM_v := \{(\alpha x, \alpha y) | (x, y) \in M_v, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_v \text{ with } |\alpha|_v \leq r\}.$$

For the definition of capacity, it is clear  $\text{Cap}(rM_v) = r^2 \text{Cap}(M_v)$ . Since  $G_{M_v}$  is a homogeneous potential for  $\mu_{M_v}$ , the normalized homogeneous potential is

$$(3.7) \quad G_{\mu_{M_v}}(x, y) = G_{M_v}(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Cap}(M_v),$$

and  $M_{\mu_{M_v}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v)}} M_v$ . As a consequence,

$$(3.8) \quad r_{in}(\mu_{M_v}) = \frac{r_{in}(M_v)}{\sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v)}}, \quad r_{out}(\mu_{M_v}) = \frac{r_{out}(M_v)}{\sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v)}}$$

Moreover, as  $\text{Cap}(\bar{D}^2(0, r)) = r^2$  for polydiscs, one has

$$(3.9) \quad r_{in}(M_v) \leq \sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v)} \leq r_{out}(M_v).$$

Then by (3.8),

$$\frac{r_{in}(M_v)}{r_{out}(M_v)} \leq r_{in}(\mu_{M_v}) \leq 1 \leq r_{out}(\mu_{M_v}) \leq \frac{r_{out}(M_v)}{r_{in}(M_v)}$$

As  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic, the products of inner and outer radii converge strongly. Then the above inequalities implies the products of inner and outer radii of  $\mu = \{\mu_{M_v}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  converge, i.e.  $\mu = \{\mu_{M_v}\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic.

Second, assume that  $\bar{D}^2(0, r'_v) \subset M_v \subset \bar{D}^2(0, r_v)$  and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r'_v{}^{N_v}$ ,  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_v{}^{N_v}$  converge strongly. Then the products  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{out}(M_v)^{N_v}$  and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_{in}(M_v)^{N_v}$  converge strongly, since

$$r'_v \leq r_{in}(M_v) \leq r_{out}(M_v) \leq r_v.$$

Hence  $\mathbb{M} = \{M_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic by definition. Moreover, by (3.9), the product of the capacities converges strongly, and then the last formula for the canonical height is clear by (2.7) and (3.7). We finish the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we briefly describe an application in [DWY2], and give some examples of quasi-adelic measures.

An interesting question in dynamics is to classify algebraic subvarieties of  $M_d$  (the moduli space of degree  $d$  rational maps on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ ) with Zariski-dense postcritically finite maps (PCF for short); see [BD2, Conjecture 1.4] and [Si, §6.5]. A rational map is PCF if all its critical points are preperiodic under iteration. Milnor [Mi1] introduced lines  $\text{Per}_1(\lambda) \subset M_2 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ , with  $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$  being the set of conjugacy classes  $[f]$  such that  $f'(p) = \lambda$  for some fixed point  $p$  of  $f$ . In [DWY2, Theorem 1.1], we addressed a special case of Conjecture 1.4 in [BD2], by showing that PCF maps are Zariski-dense in  $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lambda = 0$ . A key ingredient is the arithmetic equidistribution theorem for quasi-adelic measures.

Fix  $0 \neq \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the family  $\text{Per}_1^{cm}(\lambda)$  parametrized by  $t$

$$f_t(z) := \frac{\lambda z}{z^2 + tz + 1}$$

is a double cover of  $\text{Per}_1(\lambda) \subset M_2$ , with marked critical points  $\pm 1$ . We lift  $f_t$  to homogeneous function on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with homogeneous parameters  $(t_1, t_2)$

$$F_{t_1, t_2}(z_1, z_2) := (\lambda t_2 z_1^2, t_2 z_1^2 + t_1 z_1 z_2 + t_2 z_2^2).$$

Iterate the homogeneous lifts  $(\pm 1, 1)$  of the critical points  $\pm 1$ , and get rid of common factors, we get

$$F_n^\pm(t_1, t_2) = F_{t_1, t_2}^n(\pm 1, 1) / \gcd F_{t_1, t_2}^n(\pm 1, 1).$$

In the rest of this article, we fix  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$  and not being a root of unity. Let  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . Then  $F_n^\pm(t_1, t_2)$  is a non-degenerated homogeneous function over  $\mathbb{C}_v^2$  for each  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  with degree  $\deg F_n^\pm$ , i.e.

$$F_n^\pm(t_1, t_2) : \mathbb{C}_v^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_v^2.$$

In [DWY2, Theorem 5.1], it was proved that  $\frac{\log^+ \|F_n^\pm(t_1, t_2)\|_v}{\deg F_n^\pm}$  converges uniformly to a function  $G_v^\pm$  on  $\mathbb{C}_v^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , i.e.

$$G_v^\pm(t_1, t_2) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|F_n^\pm(t_1, t_2)\|_v}{\deg F_n^\pm}.$$

Hence  $G_v^\pm$  are homogeneous continuous potentials for some probability measures (denoted as  $\mu_v^\pm$ ) on  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$ . Moreover, it was shown (in Proposition 6.3 and Section 7.2 of [DWY2]) that there are  $0 < r'_v \leq r_v$  such that  $\bar{D}^2(0, r'_v) \subset M_v^\pm \subset \bar{D}^2(0, r_v)$ , and  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r'_v^{N_v}$ ,  $\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} r_v^{N_v}$  converge strongly, where  $M_v^\pm$  are sets defined as

$$M_v^\pm := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{C}_v^2 \mid G_v^\pm(t_1, t_2) \leq 0\}.$$

By Theorem 2.1,  $\mathbb{M}^\pm := \{M_v^\pm\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  are quasi-adelic sets, and then

$$\mu^\pm := \{\mu_v^\pm\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$$

are quasi-adelic measures. Hence the equidistribution theorem holds for measures  $\mu^\pm = \{\mu_v^\pm\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ . The measure  $\mu^\pm := \{\mu_v^\pm\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is adelic if and only if  $\mu_v^\pm = \lambda_v$  for all but finitely many  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ , or equivalently  $M_v^\pm = \bar{D}^2(0, \sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v^\pm)})$  for all but finitely many  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . Next, we show that  $\mu^\pm$  are not adelic measures.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\lambda$  is not a root of unity, both  $\mu^\pm$  are quasi-adelic but not adelic. Actually, for all but finitely many places  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ ,  $\mu_v^\pm \neq \lambda_v$ , where  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any non-archimedean place  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  with  $|\lambda|_v = 1$  and  $|1 - \lambda|_v = 1$ , we have  $\mu_v^\pm \neq \lambda_v$ , i.e.  $\mu_v^\pm$  is not the point mass measure supported on the Gauss point in  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O} := \{x \in k : |x|_v \leq 1\}$  be the valuation ring of  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}$  be its unique maximal ideal which is given by  $\mathfrak{m} := \{x \in \mathcal{O} : |x|_v < 1\}$ . The residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  is of finite order  $m := |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}| < \infty$ . Since  $|\lambda|_v = 1$ ,  $\lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence  $\lambda^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ , i.e.  $1 - \lambda^{m-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$  or equivalently  $|1 - \lambda^{m-1}|_v < 1$ . And because  $|1 - \lambda|_v = 1$  by assumption, the integer  $m \geq 3$ . Now we can show that  $M_v^\pm \neq \bar{D}^2(0, \sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v^\pm)})$ , which is the same as  $\mu_v^\pm \neq \lambda_v$ . By [DWY2, Theorem 5.1],  $G_v^\pm(1, 0) = \gamma_v(\lambda)$ , where

$$\gamma_v(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \log |1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^i|_v < 0$$

since  $|1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^i|_v \leq 1$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $|1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^{m-2}|_v = \frac{|1 - \lambda^{m-1}|_v}{|1 - \lambda|_v} < 1$ . Hence  $G_v^\pm(1, 0) < 0$ . Also, from the proof of [DWY2, Proposition 6.3], we know that  $G_v^\pm(0, 1) = 0$ . Then from the definition of  $M_v^\pm$ ,  $M_v^\pm \neq \bar{D}^2(0, \sqrt{\text{Cap}(M_v^\pm)})$ . Otherwise we must have  $G_v(x, y) = \log \|(x, y)\|_v + \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Cap}(M_v^\pm)$ . Hence  $G_v^\pm(0, 1) = G_v^\pm(1, 0)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We define the *Call-Silverman* canonical heights  $\hat{h}^\pm$  on the parameter space  $t \in \text{Per}_1^{cm}(\lambda)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  as

$$\hat{h}^\pm(t) := \hat{h}_{f_t}(\pm 1) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h(f_t^n(\pm 1)),$$

where  $h$  is the logarithmic Weil height and  $\hat{h}_{f_t}$  is the canonical height of the morphism  $f_t$ . By [Si, Corollary 1.1.1],  $\hat{h}^\pm(t) \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  with equality if and only if the critical point  $\pm 1$  is preperiodic for the morphism  $f_t$ . In [DWY2, Proposition 7.6], it was shown that

$$\hat{h}_{\mu^\pm}(t) = 2[k : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot \hat{h}^\pm(t) \text{ with } k = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda).$$

Then by [DWY2, Lemma 2.1], there are infinitely many  $t \in \overline{k}$  with  $\hat{h}_{\mu^+}(t) = 0$  (or  $\hat{h}_{\mu^-}(t) = 0$ ).

Let  $\mu$  be the average of  $\mu^\pm$ , i.e.

$$\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} := \frac{1}{2}\mu^+ + \frac{1}{2}\mu^- = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\mu_v^+ + \frac{1}{2}\mu_v^-\right\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$$

By Theorem 2.2,  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$  is quasi-adelic, and

$$\hat{h}_\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu^+}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu^-}(t) - L$$

for a constant  $L > 0$  since  $\mu_v^+ \neq \mu_v^-$  when  $v$  is archimedean; see [DWY2, Theorem 1.2]. The function  $f_t$  is PCF if and only if  $\hat{h}_{\mu^+}(t) = \hat{h}_{\mu^-}(t) = 0$ , or equivalently if and only if

$$\hat{h}_\mu(t) = -L.$$

But there are only finitely many such  $t$  by Proposition 2.3.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\lambda = 2$ . When  $t = 0$ , both the critical points  $\pm 1$  are fixed by  $f_0(z) = 2z/(z^2 + 1)$ . Hence  $\hat{h}_{\mu^+}(0) = \hat{h}_{\mu^-}(0) = 0$ . As a consequence,

$$\hat{h}_\mu(0) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu^+}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu^-}(0) - L = -L < 0$$

is negative. So canonical heights associated to quasi-adelic measures can be negative on some points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ .

*Remark.* When  $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$  is archimedean, the measure  $\mu_v$ , as a component of  $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ , is the normalized (total mass 1) bifurcation measure on  $\text{Per}_1^{cm}(\lambda)$ , which is a well known object in complex dynamics. And the support of  $\mu_v$  is the set of parameters  $t$ , roughly speaking, where the dynamics of  $f_t$  is not stable for small perturbation of  $t$ . See [De1, De2, DF] for details.

## REFERENCES

- [Ar] E. Artin. *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Reprint of the 1967 original.
- [BD1] M. Baker and L. DeMarco. Preperiodic points and unlikely intersections. *Duke Math. J.* **159**(2011), 1–29.
- [BD2] M. Baker and L. DeMarco. Special curves and postcritically-finite polynomials. *Forum of Math. Pi* **1**(2013), 35 pp.
- [BR1] M. Baker and R. Rumely. Equidistribution of small points, rational dynamics, and potential theory. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **56**(2006), 625–688.
- [BR2] M. Baker and R. Rumely. *Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line*, volume 159 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [CL] A. Chambert-Loir. Mesures et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **595** (2006), 215–235.
- [De1] L. DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus. *Math. Res. Lett.* **8**(2001), 57–66.
- [De2] L. DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity. *Math. Ann.* **326**(2003), 43–73.
- [DF] R. Dujardin and C. Favre. Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point. *Amer. J. Math.* **130**(2008), 979–1032.
- [DWY1] L. DeMarco, X. Wang, and H. Ye. Torsion points and the Lattès family. To appear, *Amer. J. Math.*.

- [DWY2] L. DeMarco, X. Wang, and H. Ye. Bifurcation measures and quadratic rational maps. *Preprint*, 2014.
- [FG] C. Favre and T. Gauthier. Distribution of postcritically finite polynomials. *Preprint*, 2013.
- [FRL] C. Favre and J. Rivera-Letelier. Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective. *Math. Ann.* **335**(2006), 311–361.
- [GHT] D. Ghioca, L.-C. Hsia, and T. Tucker. Preperiodic points for families of rational maps. To appear, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*.
- [Mi1] J. Milnor. Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps. *Experiment. Math.* **2**(1993), 37–83. With an appendix by the author and Lei Tan.
- [Si] J. H. Silverman. *Moduli spaces and arithmetic dynamics*, volume 30 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [Ull] E. Ullmo. Positivité et discrétion des points algébriques des courbes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **147**(1998), 167—179.
- [Yu] X. Yuan. Big line bundles over arithmetic varieties. *Invent. Math.* **173** (2008), no. 3, 603–649.
- [Zh1] S. Zhang. Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **8**(1995), 187–221.
- [Zh2] S. Zhang. Small points and adelic metrics. *J. Algebr. Geom.* **4**(1995), 281–300.
- [Zh3] S. Zhang. Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **147**(1998), 159–165.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

*E-mail address*: yehexi@math.ubc.ca