

# Critical fluctuations of noisy period-doubling maps

Andrew E. Noble,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Saba Karimeddiny,<sup>2,†</sup> Alan Hastings,<sup>1,‡</sup> and Jonathan Machta<sup>2,3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Environmental Science and Policy,  
University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, NM 87501, USA*

(Dated: December 3, 2024)

We extend the theory of quasipotentials in dynamical systems by calculating an exact potential for the critical fluctuations of pitchfork bifurcations of period-doubling maps in the weak noise limit. These far-from-equilibrium fluctuations are described by finite-size mean field theory, placing their static properties in the same universality class as the Ising model on a complete graph. We demonstrate that the effective system size of noisy period-doubling bifurcations exhibits universal scaling behavior along period-doubling routes to chaos.

PACS numbers: 64.60.-i, 02.50.-r, 05.45.-a, 87.23.-n

Period-doubling bifurcations have been observed in a wide variety of natural systems spanning many areas of science [1]. Univariate, discrete-time maps are the simplest dynamical systems to exhibit a period-doubling route to chaos [2, 3]. Applications range from the population dynamics of species with non-overlapping generations [4, 5] to the oscillators of rf-driven Josephson junctions [6, 7]. The impact of noise on period-doubling maps has been extensively studied in both ecology [8–11] and physics [12–30], including the universal scaling of the Lyapunov exponent along period-doubling routes to chaos [31–36]. Connections between such noisy dynamical systems and the universality classes of equilibrium statistical physics has been a subject of great fascination [37–48]. The theory of quasipotentials, providing a formal link between equilibrium and nonequilibrium physics, has been applied to many systems [49, 50]. In particular, the theory of quasipotentials has been used to estimate escape times from the attractors of noisy period-doubling maps [51, 52] and to estimate the invariant probability distributions of their strange attractors in the chaotic regime [51, 53]. Here, we investigate the invariant probability distributions that characterize critical fluctuations in the pitchfork bifurcations of period-doubling maps far from the chaotic threshold. In the limit of weak noise, we find an exact correspondence between the static behavior of fluctuations at a pitchfork bifurcation and the critical behavior of finite-size mean field theory [54]. This correspondence places pitchfork bifurcations in the same universality class as the Ising model on a complete graph [55–59]. We also show that the dynamic behavior of a pitchfork bifurcation is described by the mean-field limit of Model A [37]. Analytical estimates of critical exponents and amplitudes agree well with the results of numerical simulations. We conclude with evidence of universal scaling behavior in the effective system size of critical fluctuations along period-doubling routes to chaos.

We start by considering a noisy, one-dimensional map

that can be written as

$$x_{t+1} = \mu(r, x_t) + \lambda \sigma(r, x_t) \xi_t, \quad (1)$$

where the  $x_t$  are random variables,  $r$  is the control parameter,  $\mu(r, x_t)$  is a deterministic period-doubling map,  $\lambda$  is an overall constant factor setting the noise level,  $\sigma(r, x_t)$  is a nonnegative function, and the  $\xi_t$  are independent, identically distributed standard normal random variables. We restrict our attention to fluctuations arising from the effects of weak noise on supercritical pitchfork bifurcations. A pitchfork bifurcation in a period-doubling sequence corresponds to a loss in stability of the steady state fixed points,  $x^*$ , with  $(r_c, x_c^*)$  being the critical point where [1, 61]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(r_c, x_c^*) &= x_c^*, \\ \partial_x \mu(r_c, x_c^*) &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The first bifurcation breaks a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  time-translation symmetry: below  $r_c$ , the stable attractor is a steady-state fixed point, and trajectories on the attractor are invariant to all time-translations; above  $r_c$ , the stable attractor is a two-cycle and corresponding trajectories are only invariant to translations by an even-number of time steps. We will investigate the critical fluctuations that emerge from this  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry breaking in the limit of weak noise ( $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ). Our results rely on the additional assumptions that  $\mu(r, x_t)$  is a  $C^3$  map [60], i.e. three-times differentiable with respect to  $x_t$ , and that the stable basin of attraction of  $\mu(r, x_t)$  is bounded on some finite interval.

Noisy maps in the form of Eq. 1 have been applied to the study of stochastic fluctuations in ecological population densities [8, 10]. If  $\mu(r, x_t) = rx_t(1 - x_t)$  and  $\sigma(r, x_t) = 1$ , then Eq. 1 is the logistic map with extrinsic additive noise. Non-constant choices for  $\sigma(r, x_t)$  model intrinsic noise or a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic noise. When applied to population dynamics, common choices might be  $\sigma(r, x_t) = \mu(r, x_t)$ , modeling multiplicative environmental noise, or  $\sigma(r, x_t) = \sqrt{\mu(r, x_t)}$ , modeling demographic noise.




FIG. 1. (Color online) Histogram of the order parameter,  $m$ , at a noisy supercritical pitchfork bifurcation. Blue points and error bars are estimated from numerical simulations of Eq. 1 at the first period-doubling transition of a logistic map,  $\mu(r, x) = rx(1 - x)$  and  $r = r_c = 3$ , with additive noise,  $\lambda = 10^{-4}$  and  $\sigma(r, x) = 1$ . The black line is a fit of a pure quartic distribution to the simulation results (chi-square per d.o.f. 1.6). Fluctuations in the pitchfork order parameter described by a quartic distribution suggest a correspondence between the critical behavior of noisy pitchfork bifurcations and finite-size mean field theory.

Over long enough time scales, a noisy trajectory,  $x_t$ , will depart from the stable basin of attraction of  $\mu(r, x_t)$ . However, for small enough  $\lambda$  and for initial conditions on the interval bounding the stable attractor of  $\mu(r, x_t)$ , a metastable probability distribution for  $x_t$  will persist over “intermediate” time periods that are much longer than the duration of initial transients but exponentially shorter than the characteristic time to depart from the stable attractor.

This separation of time scales allows us to quantify the critical fluctuations of pitchfork bifurcations. In both the steady-state and two-cycle regimes that lie to either side of the bifurcation, the metastable distribution of the twice-iterated map,  $x_{2t}$ , is approximately stationary at any given intermediate time,  $T$ . We define the pitchfork order parameter,  $\langle m \rangle$ , as the expected value of

$$m \equiv a(x_{2T} - x^*), \quad (3)$$

where  $a$  is a model-dependent scale factor and

$$x^* \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{2T} + x_{2T-1} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Fig. 1 is a histogram of  $m$  based on numerical simulations of Eq. 1 for a logistic map with weak additive noise. The distribution of the order parameter is highly symmetric and can be fit to a pure quartic. These numerical results motivate us to seek an analytical correspondence

between the critical fluctuations of pitchfork bifurcations and finite-size mean field theory.

We make the ansatz that critical fluctuations in the pitchfork order parameter are described by the distribution of finite-size mean field theory

$$p(m) = \exp(-Nf(m)), \quad (5)$$

where  $N$  is the system size and the free energy (the large-deviation potential) at reduced temperature  $\tilde{t}$  is

$$f(m) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{t}m^2 + \frac{1}{4!}m^4, \quad (6)$$

to leading order in  $N$ . Given the definition of  $m$  in Eq. 3, the distribution of the order parameter,  $p(m)$ , and the distribution of  $x_{2T}$ ,  $h(x)$ , are related by

$$h(x) dx = p(a[x - x^*(\lambda)]) a dx, \quad (7)$$

where

$$x^*(\lambda) = x_c^* + \lambda Z_\lambda + (r_c - r) Z_{\tilde{t}}, \quad (8)$$

to leading order in  $\lambda$  and  $r_c - r$ . The counter term  $Z_\lambda$  allows for corrections to  $x_c^*$  at nonzero noise levels, while  $Z_{\tilde{t}}$  allows for corrections away from the critical point. The distribution  $h(x)$  is a stationary solution to the integral equation for the twice-iterated map (two iterations of Eq. 1)

$$h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy dz g(x, y) g(y, z) h(z). \quad (9)$$

where

$$g(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\lambda\sigma(r, y)} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu(r, y))^2}{2\lambda^2\sigma(r, y)^2}\right). \quad (10)$$

We find that Eq. 7 is indeed a solution to Eq. 9 at leading order in  $\lambda$  and  $r_c - r$  with the following correspondence between finite-size mean field theory and the noisy period-doubling bifurcation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t} &= r_c - r, \\ N &= \frac{1}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2(r_c, x_c^*)} \left[ \frac{(T\mu(r_c, x_c^*))^2}{-S\mu(r_c, x_c^*)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The model-dependent scale factor in Eq. 7 is

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{2S\mu(r_c, x_c^*)}{T\mu(r_c, x_c^*)}}, \quad (12)$$

and the counter terms in Eq. 8 are

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\lambda &= -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{8\pi} \sigma(r_c, x_c^*) \partial_{xx} \mu(r_c, x_c^*) \sqrt{\frac{3}{-2S\mu(r_c, x_c^*)}}, \\ Z_{\tilde{t}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4}{32\pi^2} \right) \partial_{xx} \mu(r_c, x_c^*) \frac{T\mu(r_c, x_c^*)}{S\mu(r_c, x_c^*)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Eqs. 11–13 depend on the critical values of the Schwarzian derivative [60]

$$S\mu(r_c, x_c^*) \equiv - \left( \partial_{xxx}\mu(r_c, x_c^*) + \frac{3}{2} (\partial_{xx}\mu(r_c, x_c^*))^2 \right), \quad (14)$$

and the “T-derivative”

$$T\mu(r_c, x_c^*) \equiv - \partial_r \partial_x \mu(r, \mu(r, x))|_{r=r_c, x=x_c^*}. \quad (15)$$

The Schwarzian derivative arises from a second-order stability analysis of the normal form of a pitchfork bifurcation and is always negative for the supercritical pitchfork bifurcations of  $C^3$  maps [60]. The T-derivative arises in our analysis due to the expansion in  $\tilde{t}$  and is always negative for pitchfork bifurcations with a symmetric phase below  $r_c$  and a broken symmetry above, as can be seen from a calculation using the normal form [61].

When  $r=r_c$ , the ansatz of Eq. 7 is an exact solution of the integral equation in Eq. 9 to leading order in  $\lambda$ . This establishes the free energy in Eq. 6, with the effective reduced temperature and system size of Eq. 11, as an exact potential for pitchfork bifurcations in the limit of weak noise. Away from the bifurcation, Eq. 7 is a second-order moment closure of Eq. 9. Note that the static behavior of critical fluctuations at a pitchfork bifurcation are entirely determined by the value of the effective system-size parameter,  $N$ . Consistent with previous calculations of quasipotentials in noisy dynamical systems [49–53], the expression in the exponent of Eq. 5 is inversely proportional to the variance of the noise,  $\lambda^2 \sigma^2(r, x_c^*)$ .

In addition to mapping the static properties of pitchfork bifurcations onto finite-size mean field theory, we find that the critical dynamics of noisy pitchfork bifurcation are the mean-field dynamics of Model A [37]. For weak noise and intermediate time scales, the order parameter changes very little in a single time step, and the difference equation for the twice-iterated map can be approximated as a differential equation

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -\Gamma N \frac{df}{dm} + \sqrt{2\Gamma} \eta, \quad (16)$$

with  $\eta dt$  being the Weiner process (white noise) and

$$\Gamma = \frac{-T\mu(r, x_c^*)}{N}. \quad (17)$$

Eq. 16 is the mean-field limit of Model A dynamics, a Langevin equation in which the coefficients of the friction and noise terms satisfy a fluctuation-dissipation relation.

We compare mean-field predictions of critical exponents and amplitudes to Monte Carlo simulations of the first period-doubling bifurcation in a logistic map with additive noise. Finite-size scaling and scaling collapse are as predicted by finite-size mean field theory (see Supplemental Material for details). Because numerical estimates of the pitchfork order parameter based on Eq. 3

require a precision estimate of  $x^*$ , we introduce an alternative estimator

$$\hat{m} \equiv \frac{a}{2} (x_{2t} - x_{2t-1}). \quad (18)$$

In the finite-size scaling of integrated autocorrelation times, the numerical results are consistent with the mean-field dynamical exponent  $z=2$  and an amplitude of  $\sqrt{\Gamma/2\pi}$ . In the finite-size scaling of the order parameter, the susceptibility, and the critical slope of the Binder cumulant, the numerical results are consistent with mean-field predictions for critical exponents and amplitudes. In the scaling collapse of susceptibility, the peak value defines the finite-size critical point

$$r_c^*(N) = r_c^* + \frac{0.88033 \dots}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad (19)$$

The scaling collapse of Monte Carlo estimates of  $x^* - x_c^*$  is consistent with the prediction of Eq. 8 precisely at  $r=r_c^*(N)$ . A prediction of the scaling collapse away from that point would require the introduction of higher-order counter terms.

We now extend the above results to show that finite-size mean field theory describes the critical fluctuations of all the pitchfork bifurcations of a period-doubling map in the limit of weak noise. Let  $r_n$  (dropping the subscript “c” for convenience) denote the  $n$ th critical point in a period-doubling sequence proceeding from a  $q$ -cycle of a deterministic map. On  $r_{n-1} < r < r_n$ , there exist  $2^{n-1}q$  stable fixed points of the  $n$ -times iterated map,  $x_{t+1} = \mu_n(r, x_t)$ . At  $r=r_n$ , stability is lost with pitchfork bifurcations occurring at the points  $x_{n,p}^*$ , where  $p \in \{1, \dots, 2^{n-1}q\}$ . With the addition of weak noise, the  $n$ -times iterated map is, to leading order in  $\lambda$

$$x_{t+1} = \mu_n(r, x_t) + \lambda \sigma_n(r, x_t) \xi_t, \quad (20)$$

where  $\sigma_n(r, x_t)$  is given by a recursion relation [34]

$$\sigma_{n+1}^2(r, x) = \sigma_n^2(r, x)^2 (\partial_x \mu_n(r, \mu_n(r, x)))^2 + \sigma_n(r, \mu_n(r, x))^2. \quad (21)$$

Upon substituting Eq. 20 for Eq. 1 and expanding around  $(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)$  rather than  $(r_c, x^*)$ , the above results for the critical fluctuations of the first pitchfork bifurcation in a period-doubling map apply to all the pitchfork bifurcations in that map. Note that the conditions of Eq. 2 apply to all supercritical bifurcations, and that if  $S\mu(r_c, x_c^*) < 0$ , then  $S\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*) < 0$  for all  $n$  and  $p$  [60].

We conclude with a numerical investigation of universal scaling behavior as the first sequence of period-doubling bifurcations ( $q=1$ ) approaches the chaotic threshold at  $r=r_\infty$ . First, in two different parameterizations of the logistic map, we find evidence (Fig. 2a) that, for large  $n$

$$-T\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*) \propto (r_\infty - r_n)^{-1} \propto \delta^n, \quad (22)$$




FIG. 2. (Color online) Numerical evidence of universality in the scaling behavior of (a)  $-T\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)$ , and (b)  $2\lambda^2 \bar{N}_n$ . Panel (a) plots values of  $-T\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)$  along the first period-doubling sequence ( $q=1$ ) in two different parameterizations of the logistic map. Panel (b) plots values of  $2\lambda^2 \bar{N}_n$  based on two types of deterministic map, each with three different types of noise. In both panels, we find evidence of universal scaling behavior in the the approach to the accumulation point,  $r=r_\infty$ .

where the Feigenbaum constant  $\delta=4.6692\dots$  and the value of  $-T\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)$  is independent of  $p$ . Second, we investigate scaling in the effective system size of period-doubling bifurcations

$$N_{n,p} = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2 \sigma_n^2(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)} \left[ \frac{(T\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*))^2}{-S\mu_n(r_n, x_{n,p}^*)} \right]. \quad (23)$$

For two different deterministic maps and three different types of noise, we calculate the geometric mean of  $N_{n,p}$  at fixed  $n$

$$\bar{N}_n \equiv \left( \prod_{p=1}^{2^{n-1}} N_{n,p} \right)^{1/2^{n-1}}, \quad (24)$$

and find evidence (Fig. 2b) that, roughly

$$\bar{N}_n \propto \frac{1}{2\lambda^2 3^n}, \quad (25)$$

independent of the details of both the type of deterministic map and the type of noise. This result is consistent with the intuition that the noise level,  $\lambda$ , must decrease exponentially with  $n$  to maintain the same effective system size,  $N_{n,p}$ , at each pitchfork bifurcation.

We have shown that the critical fluctuations of noisy pitchfork bifurcations are described by finite-size mean field theory. This correspondence establishes an effective system size,  $N$ , for period-doubling bifurcations that exhibits universal scaling behavior near the onset of chaos. In applications to population dynamics with demographic noise, the effective system size is directly proportional to population size. Further work is needed

to obtain a more precise understanding of universal scaling behavior in the critical fluctuations along period-doubling routes to chaos.

We are grateful for useful dialogue with Richard S. Ellis, William Klein, and Edward Ott. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation under INSPIRE Grant No. 1344187.

\* andrew.e.noble@gmail.com

† skarimeddiny@umass.edu

‡ amhastings@ucdavis.edu

§ machta@physics.umass.edu

- [1] E. Ott, *Chaos in Dynamical Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [2] M. J. Feigenbaum, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **21**, 669 (1979).
- [3] M. J. Feigenbaum, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **19**, 25 (1978).
- [4] R. M. May, *Science* **186**, 645 (1974).
- [5] A. Hastings, *Ecology* **74**, 1362 (1993).
- [6] B. A. Huberman, J. P. Crutchfield, and N. H. Packard, *Applied Physics Letters* **37**, 750 (1980).
- [7] R. L. Kautz, *Journal of Applied Physics* (1981).
- [8] W. M. Schaffer, S. Ellner, and M. Kot, *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **24**, 479 (1986).
- [9] J. M. Vilar and R. V. Sole, *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 4099 (1998).
- [10] B. A. Melbourne and A. Hastings, *Nature* **454**, 100 (2008).
- [11] U. Dobramysl and U. C. Täuber, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 048105 (2013).
- [12] G. Mayer-Kress and H. Haken, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **26**, 149 (1981).
- [13] T. Geisel and J. Nierwetberg, *Physical Review Letters*

- 48**, 7 (1982).
- [14] F. Baras, M. M. Mansour, and C. Van den Broeck, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **28**, 577 (1982).
  - [15] C. Van den Broeck, M. M. Mansour, and F. Baras, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **28**, 557 (1982).
  - [16] H. Svensmark and M. R. Samuelsen, *Physical Review A* **36**, 2413 (1987).
  - [17] H. Svensmark and M. R. Samuelsen, *Physical Review B* **41**, 4181 (1990).
  - [18] J. B. Weiss, *Physical Review A* **35**, 879 (1987).
  - [19] A. Neiman, V. Anishchenko, and J. Kurths, *Physical Review E* **49**, 3801 (1994).
  - [20] A. Neiman, P. I. Saparin, and L. Stone, *Physical Review E* **56**, 270 (1997).
  - [21] T. N. Wang, R.-R. Hsu, H.-T. Su, W.-F. Lin, J.-L. Chern, and C.-C. Chen, *Chinese Journal of Physics* **37**, 535 (1999).
  - [22] L. Omberg, K. Dolan, A. Neiman, and F. Moss, *Physical Review E* **61**, 4848 (2000).
  - [23] J. V. Kapustina and A. P. Kuznetsov, *Nonlinear Phenomena in Complex Systems* **5**, 181 (2002).
  - [24] A. J. McKane and T. J. Newman, *Physical Review Letters* **94**, 218102 (2005).
  - [25] C. Giardinà, J. Kurchan, and L. Peliti, *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 120603 (2006).
  - [26] R. V. Sole, *Self-Organization in Complex Ecosystems* (Princeton University Press, 2006).
  - [27] R. V. Sole, *Phase Transitions* (Princeton University Press, 2011).
  - [28] J. D. Challenger, D. Fanelli, and A. J. McKane, *Physical Review E* **88**, 040102 (2013).
  - [29] J. D. Challenger, D. Fanelli, and A. J. McKane, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **156**, 131 (2014).
  - [30] C. Parra-Rojas, J. D. Challenger, D. Fanelli, and A. J. McKane, *Physical Review E* (2014).
  - [31] J. P. Crutchfield and B. A. Huberman, *Physics Letters A* **77**, 407 (1980).
  - [32] B. A. Huberman and J. Rudnick, *Physical Review Letters* **45**, 154 (1980).
  - [33] J. Crutchfield, M. Nauenberg, and J. Rudnick, *Physical Review Letters* **46**, 933 (1981).
  - [34] B. Shraiman, C. Wayne, and P. Martin, *Physical Review Letters* **46**, 935 (1981).
  - [35] J. P. Crutchfield, J. D. Farmer, and B. A. Huberman, *Physics Reports* **92**, 45 (1982).
  - [36] M. J. Feigenbaum and B. Hasslacher, *Physical Review Letters* **49**, 605 (1982).
  - [37] Hohenberg, P.C. and B. I. Halperin, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **49**, 435 (1977).
  - [38] G. Grinstein, C. Jayaprakash, and Y. He, *Physical Review Letters* **55**, 2527 (1985).
  - [39] U. C. Täuber and F. Schwabl, *Physical Review B* **46**, 3337 (1992).
  - [40] C. Van den Broeck, J. Parrondo, and R. Toral, *Physical Review Letters* **73**, 3395 (1994).
  - [41] P. Marcq, H. Chaté, and P. Manneville, *Physical Review Letters* **77**, 4003 (1996).
  - [42] C. Van den Broeck, J. Parrondo, R. Toral, and R. Kawai, *Physical Review E* (1997).
  - [43] D. A. Egolf, *Science* **287**, 101 (2000).
  - [44] F. Sastre and G. Pérez, *Physical Review E* **64**, 016207 (2001).
  - [45] A. Pikovsky, A. Zaikin, and M. de la Casa, *Physical Review Letters* **88**, 050601 (2002).
  - [46] T. Risler, J. Prost, and F. Julicher, *Physical Review Letters* **93**, 175702 (2004).
  - [47] P. Marcq, H. Chaté, and P. Manneville, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **161**, 244 (2006).
  - [48] K. Wood, C. Van den Broeck, R. Kawai, and K. Lindenberg, *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 145701 (2006).
  - [49] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems* (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
  - [50] Y. Kifer, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems* (Boston, 1988).
  - [51] R. Graham, A. Hamm, and T. Tél, *Physical Review Letters* **66**, 3089 (1991).
  - [52] P. D. Beale, *Physical Review A* **40**, 3998 (1989).
  - [53] A. Hamm and R. Graham, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **66**, 689 (1992).
  - [54] E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, *Nuclear Physics B* **257**, 867 (1985).
  - [55] R. S. Ellis and C. M. Newman, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* **44**, 117 (1978).
  - [56] W. Klein, H. Gould, N. Gulbahce, J. B. Rundle, and K. Tiampo, *Physical Review E* **75**, 031114 (2007).
  - [57] R. S. Ellis, J. Machta, and P. T.-H. Otto, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **133**, 101 (2008).
  - [58] R. S. Ellis, J. Machta, and P. T.-H. Otto, *The Annals of Applied Probability* **20**, 2118 (2010).
  - [59] L. Colonna-Romano, H. Gould, and W. Klein, *Physical Review E* **90**, 042111 (2014).
  - [60] D. Singer, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **35**, 260 (1978).
  - [61] Y. A. Kuznetsov, *Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory*, 3rd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2004).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

This supplement summarizes the predictions of finite-size mean field theory [54] and compares numerical estimates of critical fluctuations near a noisy pitchfork bifurcation to mean-field predictions.

### FINITE-SIZE MEAN FIELD THEORY

The finite-size scaling of mean field theory follow from the large-deviation function, Eq. 6, of the order parameter distribution, Eq. 5. We will compare numerical estimates for the finite-size scaling behavior of noisy pitchfork bifurcations to mean-field predictions for the absolute value of the order parameter,  $\langle |m| \rangle$ , the susceptibility,  $\chi$

$$\chi \equiv N \left( \langle |m|^2 \rangle - \langle |m| \rangle^2 \right), \quad (\text{S1})$$

and the Binder cumulant,  $U$

$$U \equiv -3 + \frac{\langle |m|^4 \rangle}{\langle |m|^2 \rangle^2}. \quad (\text{S2})$$

When evaluated at the critical point,  $\tilde{t} = 0$ , the mean-field observables depend only on the system size,  $N$

$$\langle |m| \rangle|_c = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})} \left( \frac{24\pi^2}{N} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (\text{S3a})$$

$$\chi|_c = \frac{4\pi}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \sqrt{3N}, \quad (\text{S3b})$$

$$U|_c = -3 + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^4}{8\pi^2}, \quad (\text{S3c})$$

$$\partial_{\tilde{t}} U|_c = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^4}{16\pi^2} - 1 \right) \sqrt{3N}. \quad (\text{S3d})$$

Near the critical point, expressions for observables obey the finite-size scaling relationships

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |m| \rangle &= (N^{1/4})^{-\beta/\nu} G_m \left( (N^{1/4})^{1/\nu} \tilde{t} \right), \\ \chi &= (N^{1/4})^{\gamma/\nu} G_\chi \left( (N^{1/4})^{1/\nu} \tilde{t} \right), \\ U &= G_U \left( (N^{1/4})^{1/\nu} \tilde{t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4})$$

where  $\nu = 1/2$ ,  $\beta = 1/2$ , and  $\gamma = 1$  are the mean-field critical exponents, and the  $G_X$  are universal scaling functions. We find that Eqs. S3 and S4, with  $N$  as defined by Eq. 11 or 23, describe the critical fluctuations of pitchfork bifurcations of period-doubling maps in the weak noise limit.

## COMPARING MEAN-FIELD PREDICTIONS OF CRITICAL BEHAVIOR TO NUMERICAL ESTIMATES





FIG. S3. Finite-size scaling of (a) the integrated autocorrelation time,  $\tau_{\text{int}}$ , (b) the absolute value of the pitchfork order parameter,  $\langle |m| \rangle$ , (c) the susceptibility,  $\chi$ , and (d) the critical slope of the Binder cumulant,  $\partial_t U$ . Numerical estimates (symbols and error bars) are based on samples of the order parameter, as defined in Eq. 18, along Monte Carlo trajectories for the first period-doubling pitchfork bifurcation of a logistic map with weak additive noise, i.e. Eq. 1 with  $\mu(r, x) = rx(1 - x)$ ,  $\sigma(r, x) = 1$ , and  $r = r_c = 3$ . We find that numerical estimates of  $\tau_{\text{int}}$  are consistent (chi-square per d.o.f. 2.8) with mean-field predictions for the dynamical exponent,  $z=2$ , and an amplitude of  $\sqrt{\Gamma/2\pi}$ . Numerical estimates of  $\langle |m| \rangle$ ,  $\chi$ , and  $\partial_t U$  are consistent (chi-square per d.o.f. of 0.35, 2.5, and 1.1, respectively) with the predictions of finite-size mean field theory (Eq. S3a, S3b, and S3d, respectively) and  $N$  as defined by Eq. 11.






FIG. S5. Scaling collapse of finite-size corrections to  $x^*$ . Numerical estimates (symbols and error bars) are based on sampling values of  $x^*$ , as defined in Eq. 4, along Monte Carlo trajectories for the first period-doubling pitchfork bifurcation of a logistic map with weak additive noise, i.e. Eq. 1 with  $\mu(r, x) = rx(1 - x)$ ,  $\sigma(r, x) = 1$ ,  $r = r_c = 3$ , and  $x_c^* = 2/3$ . Those numerical estimates are consistent with the leading-order theoretical estimate (Eq. 8 with Eqs. 11 and 13, black line) at the finite-size critical point,  $r_c^*(N)$  (Eq. 19, gray line). Theoretical estimates for scaling collapse away from the finite-size critical point require the introduction of higher-order counter terms to Eq. 8.