arXiv:1502.01672v2 [cond-mat.soft] 11 May 2015

Curvature Capillary Migration of Microspheres

Nima Sharifi-Mood, Iris B. Liu, and Kathleen J. Stebe*

Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104

We address the question: How does capillarity propel microspheres along curvature gradients? For a particle on a fluid interface, there are two conditions that can apply at the three phase contact line: Either the contact line adopts an equilibrium contact angle, or it can be pinned by kinetic trapping, e.g. at chemical heterogeneities, asperities or other pinning sites on the particle surface. We formulate the curvature capillary energy for both scenarios for particles smaller than the capillary length and far from any pinning boundaries. The scale and range of the distortion made by the particle are set by the particle radius; we use singular perturbation methods to find the distortions and to rigorously evaluate the associated capillary energies. For particles with equilibrium contact angles, contrary to the literature, we find that the capillary energy is negligible, with the first contribution bounded to fourth order in the product of the particle radius and the deviatoric curvature. For pinned contact lines, we find curvature capillary energies that are finite, with a functional form investigated previously by us for disks and microcylinders on curved interfaces. In experiments, we show microsphere migrate along deterministic trajectories toward regions of maximum deviatoric curvature with curvature capillary energies ranging from $6 \times 10^3 - 5 \times 10^4 k_B T$. These data agree with the curvature capillary energy for the case of pinned contact lines. The underlying physics of this migration is a coupling of the interface deviatoric curvature with the quadrupolar mode of nanometric disturbances in the interface owing to the particle's contact line undulations. This work is an example of the major implications of nanometric roughness and contact line pinning for colloidal dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Capillary interactions are ubiquitous between particles on fluid interfaces. They trap particles at the interface [1] and determine their ensuing organization [2-4], allowing particles to be widely exploited in technological applications such as stabilization of foams and emulsions [5], and in settings as diverse as the food [6], pharmaceutical [7], mineral recovery [8], and petroleum industries [9]. For microparticles of radius a at planar interfaces of tension γ , gravity is irrelevant, as the Bond number $Bo = \frac{\Delta \rho g a^2}{\gamma} \ll 1$, where g is the gravitational acceleration constant, and $\Delta \rho$ is the density difference between the two fluids on either side of the interface. In this limit, particles with undulated contact lines distort the interface around them, with an associated excess interfacial area. The deformation fields and interfacial area depend on the relative position of the particles, yielding decreasing capillary energy as particles approach [10].

In this research we are interested in the behavior of isolated microparticles trapped on curved interfaces. In experiment, microparticles migrate along curvature gradients to sites of high curvature, as has now been observed for microcylinders [2], microspheres [11], and microdisks [12]. Theoretically, the curvature capillary energy driving this migration is simply the sum of the surface energies and pressure work for particles at the interface. When particles attach to their host interfaces, they change the interface shape owing to the boundary condition at the contact line where the interface meets the particle. There are two limits for this boundary condition. The interface can intersect the particle with an equilibrium contact angle θ_0 , determined by the balance of surface energies according to Young's equation [13]. Alternatively, the contact line can be pinned by kinetic trapping at heterogeneities, roughness or other pinning sites on the particle surface [10, 14–18]. Curvature capillary migration depends on the coupling of the particle-sourced distortion with the host interface shape. For a particle which is much smaller than the capillary length, far from pinning boundaries, and therefore small compared to the prevailing curvature fields, particle-sourced distortions decay over distances comparable to the particle radius. This scenario lends itself to analysis by a singular perturbation method [19, 20]. In a particle-fixed reference frame defined in the plane tangent to the interface, the host interface shape around the particle can be locally decomposed into terms proportional to the host interface mean curvature and deviatoric curvature. By rescaling the governing equations with respect to the particle radius, the shape of the interface around the particle can be found over length scales comparable to this radius. This approach allows clear treatment of limiting boundary conditions on particle sourced distortions and the ranges of validity of local expansions of the host interfaces. It also clarifies the appropriate outer limits on (area or contour) integrals used to evaluate the excess area of the interface created by the particle. Using this method, we have previously solved the curvature capillary energy for disks with pinned contact lines, which applies equally to spheres with pinned contact lines in the limit of weak undulations^[12]. We apply the approach to derive the

^{*} kstebe@seas.upenn.edu



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of a sphere trapped at a curved interface and its mapping to the tangent plane.

curvature capillary energy for spheres with equilibrium contact angles. While the curvature capillary energies for this scenario have been derived previously and reported to be quadratic in the deviatoric curvature of the interface [11, 21–23], we find that this term has prefactor zero. We identify the source of the discrepancy between our result and that published previously. We predict that spheres migrate by capillarity on constant mean curvature interfaces only if their contact lines are pinned, and that spheres with equilibrium contact lines would have energies several orders of magnitude weaker than is observed in experiment.

We perform experiments in which we record the trajectories of polystyrene microspheres at hexadecanewater interfaces with well defined curvature fields. We compare the energy dissipated by particle migration to the curvature capillary energy expressions, and find that the spheres migrate in agreement with the expression for pinned contact lines.

II. THEORY

Here we give a concise derivation of the capillary energy of a sphere trapped on a curved fluid interface. Without loss of generality, we focus on interfaces with zero mean curvature as the role of finite mean curvature gradient has been addressed in the literature [12, 22].

We first consider the free energy of a system including a free interface with a spherical colloidal particle submerged in subphase fluid 1. In this case, the free energy can be written as,

$$E_1 = \gamma \oint_D (1 + \frac{\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0}{2}) dA + \gamma_1 A_s, \qquad (1)$$

where h_0 is the Monge representation of the host interface height prior to particle attachment, D denotes the entire interfacial domain, dA = dxdy, γ_1 is the surface energy of the colloid with fluid 1, and $A_s = 4\pi a^2$ accounts for the total area of the colloid. In writing the above expression we have assumed small slopes, i.e. $|\nabla h_0| \ll 1$. Upon attaching to the host interface, the particle creates a disturbance to satisfy its boundary condition on the three phase contact line. The free energy of the system in this case is,

$$E_2 = \gamma_1 A_1 + \gamma_2 A_2 + \gamma \oiint_{D-P} \left(1 + \frac{\nabla h \cdot \nabla h}{2}\right) dA, \quad (2)$$

where h is the interface height after the particle is adsorbed, $\gamma_1 A_1$ and $\gamma_2 A_2$ are the product of the surface energies and wetted areas for the solid in contact with the upper and lower fluids, respectively, and P denotes the domain under the particle. The capillary energy associated with adsorption of a particle to an interface can be found simply via subtraction of the two energies,

$$E = E_2 - E_1 = \gamma_1 A_1 + \gamma_2 A_2 - \gamma_1 A_s + \gamma[\iint_{D-P} (\frac{\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta}{2} + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla h_0) dA - \iint_{P} (1 + \frac{\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0}{2}) dA]$$
(3)

where η is the disturbance field defined as $\eta = h - h_0$. In the above expression, the first integral is due to the disturbance created by the particle and the second integral is the area of the hole in the host interface under the particle. We integrate the first integral by parts and then apply the divergence theorem to have,

$$\oint_{D-P} \left[\frac{\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta}{2} + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla h_0 \right] dA =
\oint_{\partial(D-P)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \eta \nabla \eta + \eta \nabla h_0 \right] \cdot \mathbf{m} ds,$$
(4)

where $\partial(D - P)$ denotes the contours enclosing the domain D - P (See Fig. 1). There are two contours enclosing this domain: one, not shown, infinitely far from the particle, with outward pointing vector in the radial direction, and the other enclosing the region P with outward-pointing unit normal vector **m**. Consequently the curvature capillary energy of the system can be expressed as,

$$E = E_2 - E_1 = \gamma_1 A_1 + \gamma_2 A_2 - \gamma_1 A_s + \gamma [\oint_{\partial(D-P)} \left[\frac{1}{2}\eta \nabla \eta + \eta \nabla h_0\right] \cdot \mathbf{m} ds - \oint_P (1 + \frac{\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0}{2}) dA].$$
(5)

We expand the interface locally around an arbitrary point to obtain a saddle shape,

$$h_0(x,y) = \frac{\Delta c_0}{4} (x^2 - y^2), \tag{6}$$



FIG. 2. Schematic representation of a sphere trapped at an interface with (a) a pinned undulated contact line and (b) an equilibrium wetting boundary condition with a contact angel θ_0 .

where (x, y) coordinate is tangent to the host interface, oriented along the principle curvatures c_1 and c_2 , and Δc_0 is the deviatoric curvature of the host interface defined as,

$$\Delta c_0 = c_1 - c_2,\tag{7}$$

where we adopt a convention such that c_1 is always positive. We discuss the range of validity of Eq. 6 in terms of an asymptotic treatment in Appendix A, and in terms of a comparison of host interface shape used in our experiments in Fig. 4. To evaluate the integrals in Eq. 5, we must define the boundary condition at the three phase contact line, and determine the associated disturbance field η . We discuss two distinct scenarios for this energy (see Fig. 2).

A. Pinned contact line

The curvature capillary energy E, for a particle with nearly circular cross section and a pinned contact line trapped on a host interface with arbitrary mean curvature H_0 and deviatoric curvature Δc_0 was derived previously [12]. The height of the pinned contact line contour can be decomposed into a multipole expansion with quadrupolar mode of amplitude h_p . The associated curvature capillary energy is,

$$E = E_0 - \gamma \pi a^2 \left(\frac{h_p \Delta c_0}{2} + \frac{3a^2 H_0^2}{4}\right).$$
(8)

In this expression, the first term E_0 is independent of the local curvature. The second term predicts that a particle will move to sites of high deviatoric curvature, while the third predicts particle migration along gradients of mean curvature. To understand the relevant importance of these terms, we consider $\frac{a^2H_0^2}{h_p\Delta c_0} \sim \frac{a}{h_p} \frac{a\Delta p}{\gamma}$ where Δp is the pressure jump across the interface (see Appendix C for non-dimensionalization scheme). This ratio suggests that, for sufficiently small pressure jump across the interface, the effect of mean curvature can be neglected. In section 3, we explore this regime in experiment.



FIG. 3. (a) Schematic view of a sphere of radius a at a liquid interface in x - z plane, where θ_0 and r_0 are the contact angle and the radius of the contact line for a flat interface. h_0 describes a curved interface without a particle, $h = h_0 + \eta$ accounts for the height of interface in presence of the particle. θ is the polar angle of the deformed contact line, and ρ is its radial position. (b) Schematic view of the contact lines in x - y plane in flat (in blue) and curved interfaces (in red).

B. Equilibrium wetting

In Appendix A, we use a formal matched asymptotic expansion [19, 20] to evaluate the curvature capillary energy in terms of the small parameter $\lambda = r_0 \Delta c_0$, where $r_0 = a \sin \theta_0$ is the radius of the hole made a sphere is a planar interface. Here, we give the main features of this derivation in dimensional form, and note the bounds on the solutions and ranges of validity implied by the asymptotics.

For a spherical particle in a curved interface with an equilibrium wetting condition, the fluid interface deforms until it satisfies the equilibrium contact angle at every point on the contact line. The contact line shape is not known *a priori* and must be determined as a part of analysis, as was originally done by Würger [21]. The shape of contact line can be deduced from geometrical relationships (see Fig. 3) to be,

$$\cos\theta = \cos\theta_0 + \frac{h(\mathbf{r}\in\rho)}{a},\tag{9}$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{\rho}{a},\tag{10}$$

where θ is a polar angle which located the contact line in a spherical coordinate system with respect to the particle center, $\cos \theta_0 = \frac{d}{a}$, and $\rho(\phi)$ is the contour of the contact line projected into a plane, which obeys,

$$\rho = a\sqrt{1 - \cos^2\theta}.\tag{11}$$

By substituting Eq. 9 and 10 in this expression and assuming $\frac{h(\mathbf{r}\in\rho)}{a}\sim O(\lambda)\ll 1$, the radial location of contact line projected into the x-y plane is,

$$\rho = r_0 - h(\mathbf{r}) \cot \theta_0 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{h^2(\mathbf{r})}{r_0} (\cot^2 \theta_0 + 1) + \dots |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{m} = -\left[\mathbf{e}_r - \frac{\cot\theta_0}{r_0} \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{e}_\phi\right]|_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},\tag{13}$$

$$ds = r_0 [1 - \cot \theta_0 \frac{h(\mathbf{r})}{r_0}] d\phi|_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho}.$$
 (14)

Young's equation requires,

$$\mathbf{n}_P \cdot \mathbf{n}_I = \cos \theta_0 \big|_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},\tag{15}$$

where \mathbf{n}_P is the unit normal to the particle and \mathbf{n}_I is the unit normal to the interface evaluated at the contact line. By applying this expression (see Appendix A for details), the boundary condition for the interface shape at the three phase contact line to the leading order is,

$$\frac{h}{r_0} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}|_{r=r_0}.$$
(16)

The height of interface satisfies the Young-Laplace equation, which, assuming small slopes, reduces to the Laplacian:

$$\nabla^2 h = 0. \tag{17}$$

The boundary condition for the particle-sourced distortion in the far field can be derived using a Van Dyke matching scheme [19, 20] to match the interface shape far from the particle to the host interface shape. The resulting boundary condition far from the particle is,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} h(r, \phi) = h_0 = \frac{\Delta c_0}{4} r^2 \cos 2\phi,$$
(18)

where the limit $r \to \infty$ implies exploring regions around the particle large compared to the particle radius. Using Eq. 16 and Eq. 18 the leading order interface shape is,

$$h(r,\phi) = \frac{\Delta c_0 \cos 2\phi}{4} (r^2 + \frac{r_0^4}{3r^2}), \qquad (19)$$

The corresponding disturbance to the interface is,

$$\eta = h - h_0 = \frac{\Delta c_0 \cos 2\phi}{12} \frac{r_0^4}{r^2}.$$
 (20)

Asymptotic analysis for the curved interface around the circular microcylinder (Appendix A) show that Eq. 18 remains valid everywhere the disturbance (Eq. 20) is finite. With this information, we determine the curvature capillary energy Eq. 5. In so doing, we can straight-forwardly apply the limits at the contact line and $r \to \infty$, knowing that these functions remain valid within this domain. This is indeed consistent with experiment. We mold the fluid interface by pinning it to a micropost. The interface forms a shape with a well defined curvature field. For micro-particles on interfaces with deviatoric curvatures like those in our experiments $\Delta c_0 \sim 400 - 3000 \ m^{-1}$, the distortions will be $\ll 10^{-10} m$ within 5 - 6 radii, a, from the the center of particle. Over such ranges, as shown in Fig. 4, the shape of the fluid interface Eq. 18

agrees well with the full function describing the interface shape $h^{\text{micropost}}$; the quadrupolar mode of $h^{\text{micropost}}$ agrees with Eq. 18 to better than 2% error. This is the sole mode that can couple to the quadrupolar undulation of the particle. While we perform this matching in detail only for the host interface used in our experiments, the asymptotic analysis can be applied similarly to any fluid interface provided $\frac{a}{R_c} \ll 1$, R_c is the characteristic length of the host interface. The deviatoric curvature part of far field boundary condition is given by Eq. 18, with the details for the curvature source (e.g. the micropost in our example) emerging in the expression for Δc_0 . In the event that the interface has finite mean curvature, an additional term emerges with the local mean curvature of the interface.

We evaluate

(i) the self-energy of the disturbance created in the host interface, the sum of the following two contour integrals:

$$\oint_{\rho} \frac{\eta}{2} \nabla \eta \cdot \mathbf{m} ds = r_0^4 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Delta c_0^2 \cos^2 2\phi}{144} d\phi = \frac{\pi \Delta c_0^2 r_0^4}{144} \quad (21)$$

$$\oint_{r \to \infty} \frac{\eta}{2} \nabla \eta \cdot \mathbf{m} ds = 0 \quad (22)$$

This term captures the increase in interfacial area owing to the particle sourced distortion.

(ii) the interaction of the disturbance and the host interface, given by the sum of the following two contour integrals:

$$\oint_{\rho} \eta \nabla h_0 \cdot \mathbf{m} ds = -r_0^4 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Delta c_0^2 \cos^2 2\phi}{24} d\phi = -\frac{\pi \Delta c_0^2 r_0^4}{24}$$
(23)

$$\oint_{r \to \infty} \eta \nabla h_0 \cdot \mathbf{m} ds = r_0^4 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Delta c_0^2 \cos^2 2\phi}{24} d\phi = + \frac{\pi \Delta c_0^2 r_0^4}{24}.$$
(24)

These terms are equal and opposite, and hence sum to zero. Thus, the net contribution of the particle induced disturbance, the sum of (i) and (ii), is

$$\oint_{\partial(D-P)} \left[\frac{1}{2}\eta\nabla\eta + \eta\nabla h_0\right] \cdot \mathbf{m}ds = \frac{\pi\Delta c_0^2 r_0^4}{144}.$$
 (25)

(iii) We calculate the energy decrease owing to the area of the hole under the particle as,

$$-\oint_{P} (1 + \frac{\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla h_0}{2}) dS = -\pi r_0^2 - \frac{\pi \Delta c_0^2 r_0^4}{144}.$$
 (26)

The second term in the above expression is equal and opposite to the term in Eq. 25. Summing the curvature dependent terms in (i),(ii) and (iii), the energy costs of increased area by the deformation field is shown to be offset by the energy decrease owing to the area eliminated



FIG. 4. Comparison of the shape of the host interface used in experiment, graphed with respect to the plane at an arbitrary point $L = 400 \ \mu m$ from the micropost center $h^{\text{micropost}}$ (Eq. 32) (right) and Eq. 18, the limiting form of the interface far from the particle $\lim_{r \to \infty} h(r, \phi)$ (left). The quadrupolar mode of $h^{\text{micropost}}$ agreees with Eq. 18 to within 2% error.

under the particle. This exact canceling of two effects occurs both for the pinned and equilibrium contact lines.

To complete this derivation, the contribution of the (curvature independent) wetting energies can be simplified by noting,

$$\gamma_1 A_1 = \gamma_1 2\pi a^2 (1 + \cos \theta_0),$$
 (27)

$$\gamma_2 A_2 = \gamma_2 2\pi a^2 (1 - \cos \theta_0), \tag{28}$$

$$\gamma_1 A_s = \gamma_1 4\pi a^2, \tag{29}$$

and

$$\cos\theta_0 = \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{\gamma},\tag{30}$$

summing these contributions, the net curvature capillary energy to order λ^2 is identically zero, i.e.,

$$\frac{E}{\gamma \pi r_0^2} = E_p + O(\lambda^4), \tag{31}$$

where the first term in this expression is Pieranski's trapping energy[1] for a sphere obeying an equilibrium contact angle in a planar interface $E_p = -\sin^{-2}\theta_0(1 - \cos\theta_0)^2$. The above expression is exact up to the λ^4 term; the determination of this bound is described in Appendix A.

Eq. 31 shows that there is no quadratic term in Δc_0 for spheres with equilibrium contact lines, and that the curvature capillary energies for these particles are extremely weak. A similar conclusion has been found for perfect disks with circular pinned contact lines[12]. These results differ significantly from prior theory in the literature for this problem. The origin of the discrepancy is an inappropriate treatment of the contour integral given in Eq. 24, which was assumed to be zero in prior work. In Appendix B this discrepancy is explored in greater detail by evaluating the integrals in questions three ways, all of which agree with our result. We also follow the approach in the literature for two forms of the contour integral formulation that are, in principle, equivalent, and show that they lead to contradictory results.



FIG. 5. (a) Schematic view of curvature field around the micropost. (b) SEM image of a microsphere and PDMS negative of the air-water interface showing particle roughness and (C) AFM reveals nanoscopic roughness of the sphere surfaces with RMS values ranging of 15 - 21 nm.

III. EXPERIMENTS

We study migrations of polystyrene colloidal spheres (Polyscicences, Inc.) with mean diameter of $2a = 10 \ \mu m$. Fig. 5.(b) illustrates an SEM image of a microsphere revealing qualitatively the surface roughness of the particle. AFM measurement (Bruker Icon) indicates that the root mean squared roughness of the particles is $\sim 15 - 21 \ nm$ (see Fig. 5.(c)).

We impose a curvature field to the host interface using a technique reported previously [2] which we recapitulate briefly. A curved oil-water interface is formed around a micropost which is either circular or square in cross section (see the schematic in Fig. 5(a)). The interface pins to the edge of the post, and has a height H_m at the post's edge. The post is centered within a confining ring located several capillary lengths away $\frac{r_{ring}}{\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\Delta \rho_g}}} = 5.5$, where r_{ring} is the radius of the outer ring. By adjusting the volume of water, the slope of the interface at the post's edge is adjusted to be $\psi \sim 15 - 18^{\circ}$. This system is gently covered in hexadecane in order to prevent evaporation and to protect the interface from stray convection. The interface height in a region sufficiently close to the circular post is well approximated by:

$$h^{\text{micropost}} = H_m - R_m \tan \psi \ln(\frac{L}{R_m}), \qquad (32)$$

where L is the distance to the center of the post. This interface has zero mean curvature $H_0 = (c_1 + c_2)/2 = 0$, and finite deviatoric curvature $\Delta c_0 = c_1 - c_2$ varying with the radial position. Owing to the finite volume of fluid, there is a weak but negligible pressure drop across the interface as we confirm in numerical simulations described



FIG. 6. Trajectories of microspheres around a microcylinder. (a) Time stamped image of microspheres trajectory (scale bar = 100 μ m). (b) Trajectories for spheres migrating on the interface. Colors for trajectories in (b) correspond to trajectories of similar colors in (a).

in Appendix C.

A dilute suspension of microspheres in hexadecane is prepared. A drop of this suspension is carefully dropped on top of the oil phase. The particles then gently sediment under gravity. Once attached to the interface, they migrate uphill in a deterministic path along deviatoric curvature gradients. We only focus on isolated particles far from neighbors (distances greater than 10-15 radii) and the micropost ($L > R_m + 10a$) to rule out the pair capillary and hydrodynamic interactions [24]. The capillary energy was estimated by evaluating the total dissipation according to the appropriate drag formula (Stokes' law) along particle trajectories.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In Fig. 6(a), we illustrate the time stamped images of trajectories for migrating spheres for constant time increment $(\Delta t = 1 \ s)$. These images reveal that the spheres are propelled faster in the region closer to the post where the magnitude of deviatoric curvature is greater. Note that the size of the spheres are so small that the inertial effects can be neglected ($Re \sim 10^{-3}$) within the entire trajectory. These trajectories are nearly radial, as the corresponding curvature field around the cylindrical post has no dependency on the azimuthal angle ϕ . Fig. 6(b) shows the radial distance of the migrating microspheres from the center of the post, L, as a function of time remaining until contact, $t - t_c$, where t_c is the time in which the sphere reached the edge of the post. Qualitatively, these trajectories are similar to those reported previously for microdisks with pinned contact lines migrating in curvature fields. To investigate this quantitatively, we compare energy dissipated along the particle trajectory to theory.

To do so, we note that, in the limit of zero inertia, and neglecting potential energy differences, the curvature capillary energy expended to drive the particles is



FIG. 7. Comparison of predicted curvature capillary energy for particles with pinned contact lines (Eq. 8) (solid lines) against those extracted from experiment for trajectories in Fig. 6(b) for isolated particles (symbols). The colors correspond to the trajectories in Fig. 6(b). The linear fit is excellent for all trajectories, with coefficient of linear regression $R^2 = 0.999$ for the worst case.

balanced by viscous dissipation. The total energy dissipated along the trajectories can be extracted from the trajectories according to $\Delta E = \int_{L_0}^{L} F_{drag} dL'$ where L_0 is the reference point and L is an arbitrary point along the trajectory. We used the Stokes' drag formula for a sphere equally immersed in the subphase fluids, $F_{drag} = 6\pi\mu Ua$, where μ is the average viscosity of oil and water evaluated at the temperature of the environment. The curvature capillary energy found over the trajectories beginning at $a\Delta c_0 = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ and ending ten particle radii from the micropost was plotted against $a\Delta c_0$ as open symbols in Fig. 7; this range of $a\Delta c_0$ was selected because all trajectories captured in experiment spanned this region. The relationship is linear and the total energy difference along a typical trajectory is thousands of times greater than thermal unit energy $k_B T$. The curvature capillary energy is presented normalized by $\gamma \pi a^2 = 8.8 \times 10^8 k_B T$; the energy for the segment of the particle paths shown in Fig. 7 is in the range of 6,000-50,000 k_BT . This magnitude indicates that the equilibrium wetting boundary condition cannot be responsible for the migration of the spheres in our experiment, as according to Eq. 31 for a typical microsphere $a = 5\mu m$ with equilibrium contact angle of $\theta = 90^{\circ}$, the curvature capillary energy is of magnitude $\Delta E \sim \gamma \pi a^2 \sin^2 \theta_0 \lambda^4 \sim 10 k_B T$. Moreover the relationship between ΔE and $r_0 \Delta c_0$ would be highly nonlinear. Hence, we conclude that the equilibrium contact angle boundary condition does not apply to our microspheres. Rather, the microspheres migrate with pinned contact



FIG. 8. (a) Time-stamped trajectories of microspheres around a square post illustrating that the microspheres follow complex trajectories as defined by the deviatoric curvature field (the scale bar is $100\mu m$). Numerically evaluated curvature gradient around (b) a square post and (c) a corner of the square post. The arrows scaled with the magnitude of deviatoric curvature gradient. Movies of particle migration are provided as supplementary material.

lines.

We propose that this curvature migration is an assay for nanometric corrugations of the contact line in a trapped state. The magnitude of the quadrupolar mode h_p for the contact line undulations can be inferred from the trajectories in Fig. 6. While 7 of 10 of the reported trajectories have h_p similar in scale to the particle roughness (between 20-40 nm), magnitudes for the remaining trajectories were larger, with h_p as high as 130 nm for one trajectory. These results indicate that similar particles have differing pinning states at the interface, with significant consequences for their ensuing dynamics. The role of gravity for particles on curved interfaces has been addressed previously [11, 12]. Because the Bond number is negligible, particle weight plays no role in the deformation of the interface, and the analyses above are valid. For weak enough curvature gradients, however, particles cannot overcome potential energy barriers and thus can attain an equilibrium height.

This form for the curvature capillary energy has been

invoked previously to study curvature capillary migration of cylindrical microparticles which followed complex trajectories on interfaces around square microposts with associated complex curvature fields [2]. If the spheres indeed have identical physics, they, too, should migrate along complex contours in such a curvature field. We have studied trajectories of spheres in this setting (see Fig. 8(a), in which isolated particles migrate to corners, as does a pair of dimerized particles at the lower right hand corner). To compare particle trajectories to local curvatures, the curvature field around the square micropost was determined using a Galerkin finite element method, as discussed in Appendix C. Vectors indicating the magnitude and direction of gradients in deviatoric curvature are indicated in Fig. 8(b) and (c). The spheres migrate from their initial point of attachment along paths defined indeed by these vectors. The ability of the sphere to trace this complex trajectory confirms the underlying physics of microparticles with pinned contact lines is similar regardless of details of the particle shape, and is consistent with the concept that the particle quadrupolar mode couples to the underlying saddle shaped surface.

V. CONCLUSION

We study micropshere migration owing to curvature capillary energy in theory and experiment. We show that for equilibrium contact angles, the curvature capillary energy is very weak, with leading order contributions of fourth order in deviatoric curvature or higher, in contradiction to the accepted form in the literature. This leading order contribution would amount to roughly 10 $k_B T$ in our experiments, several orders of magnitude lower that we measure in experiment. In experiment, microspheres migrate along deterministic trajectories defined by curvature gradients. We find that the corresponding capillary curvature energy propelling the particles ranges from $6,000 - 50,000 \ k_B T$. We compare these observations to arguments derived previously for particles with pinned contact lines, in which the quadrupolar mode of the particle contact line undulation couples with the curvature field to yield an energy linear in the deviatoric curvature. The data indeed obey this form, allowing the magnitude of the particle induced quadrupole to be inferred. In many cases, it is comparable to particle roughness as determined by AFM. However, significantly larger magnitudes are also found, suggesting that similar particles can have different pinned states at the interface. These results imply that contact line pinning occurs for microparticles at these curved fluid interfaces with dramatic implications in their dynamics at interfaces.

VI. APPENDIX A

In this section, we provide a detailed derivation for the interface shape when a particle of radius a which at-



FIG. 9. Schematic representation of inner (x, y, z) and outer (X, Y, Z) coordinates.

tains an equilibrium contact angle is placed on a host interface. The magnitude of this disturbance created by the particle is comparable to the particle radius, a. The disturbance is a monotonically decaying function which decays over distances comparable to a. Moreover, the particles are small compared to the characteristic length of the host interface $R_c \sim 1/\Delta c_0$ and hence the interface domain can be divided into two distinct regions, an inner region close to the particle where the relevant length scale is a and an outer region further away where the relevant length scale is R_c . For small ratio of $\lambda = \frac{a \sin \theta_0}{R_c} = r_0 \Delta c_0 \ll 1$, the problem can be solved systematically by a matched asymptotic expansion scheme [19, 20]. We perform this matching here, in detail, for our particular interface shape: In the outer region (far from the particle) the shape of interface is known and the shape is given according to a logarithmic expression,

$$\hat{h}^{outer} = \frac{H_m}{R_c} - \frac{R_m \tan \psi}{R_c} \ln(\frac{R_c \hat{L}}{R_m}), \qquad (33)$$

please note that the above relation is given in the (outer) coordinate with origin at the center of the circular micropost $(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z})$ where $\hat{Z} = \hat{h}^{outer}$ and they are nondimensionalized with respect to the host interface radius of curvature R_c .

In the inner region on the other hand, we adopt inner coordinate $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ defined with respect to the tangent plane which has slope of $\epsilon = -\frac{R_m \tan \psi}{L_0}$ with respect to the outer coordinate (See Fig. 9) and L_0 is the location of particle center of mass with respect to the center of micropost.. This coordinates is made dimensionless with inner region length scale $r_0 = a \sin \theta_0$. The height of the interface in the presence of the particle is defined $\tilde{z} = \tilde{h}^{inner}$, where we utilize a Monge representation of the interface. The shape of interface in the inner region can be governed via Young-Laplace equation which, in the limit of small gradients and infinitesimal Bond numbers, reduces to:

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{h}^{inner} = 0. \tag{34}$$

We then expand the non-dimensional height of the interface in the inner region as a power series to yield,

$$\tilde{h}^{inner} = \tilde{h}_0 + \tilde{h}_1 \lambda + \tilde{h}_2 \lambda^2 + O(\lambda^3).$$
(35)

The equilibrium wetting boundary condition at three phase contact line is dictated by Young's equation,

$$\mathbf{n}_P \cdot \mathbf{n}_I = \cos \theta_0 |_{\mathbf{r} \in a},\tag{36}$$

where \mathbf{n}_{P} is the unit normal to the particle and \mathbf{n}_{I} is the unit normal to the interface which can be determined as,

$$\mathbf{n}_P = \mathbf{e}_R = \sin\theta \mathbf{e}_r + \cos\theta \mathbf{e}_z \tag{37}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_{I} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{z} - \nabla_{s} h}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\nabla_{s} h\right)^{2}}},\tag{38}$$

where (R, ϕ, θ) and (r, ϕ, z) are spherical and cylindrical coordinates located at the particle center of mass, respectively. The operator ∇_s is the surface gradient defined as,

$$\nabla_s = (I - \mathbf{e}_z \mathbf{e}_z) \cdot \nabla, \tag{39}$$

and I is the unit tensor. By replacing Eq. 9 and 10 in Eq. 37 and 38, Eq. 36 reduces to,

$$\mathbf{n}_{P} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{I} |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho} = \frac{\cos \theta_{0} + \frac{h(\mathbf{r})}{a} - \frac{\rho}{a} \mathbf{e}_{r} \cdot \nabla_{s} h(\mathbf{r})}{\sqrt{1 + (\nabla_{s} h)^{2}}} |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},$$

$$= \frac{\cos \theta_{0} + \sin \theta_{0} [\frac{h(\mathbf{r})}{r_{0}} - \frac{\rho}{r_{0}} \mathbf{e}_{r} \cdot \nabla_{s} h(\mathbf{r})]}{\sqrt{1 + (\nabla_{s} h)^{2}}} |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},$$

$$= \cos \theta_{0} \{1 - \frac{1}{2} [(\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r})^{2} + (\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \phi})^{2}]\} |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho},$$

$$+ \sin \theta_{0} [\frac{h(\mathbf{r})}{r_{0}} - (1 - \cot \theta_{0} \frac{h(\mathbf{r})}{r_{0}}) \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r}] |_{\mathbf{r} \in \rho}, \qquad (40)$$

where we have utilized the small gradient approximation $|\nabla_s h(\mathbf{r} \in \rho)| \ll 1$. Finally, the boundary condition (in dimensional form) at particle contact line can be written as,

$$\frac{\cos\theta_0}{2} [(\frac{\partial h}{\partial r})^2 + (\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \phi})^2] = \sin\theta_0 [\frac{h}{r_0} - (1 - \cot\theta_0 \frac{h}{r_0})\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}].$$
(41)

By recasting this expression in dimensionless form and substituting it in Eq. 35, we can collect terms of similar power in λ . One can show,

$$\tilde{h}_{1} = \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}\Big|_{\tilde{r}=1},$$

$$\tilde{h}_{2} - \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{2}}{\partial \tilde{r}}\Big|_{\tilde{r}=1} = \frac{\cot \theta_{0}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{r}}\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{1}}{\partial \phi}\right)^{2} - 2\tilde{h}_{1}\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}\right]\Big|_{\tilde{r}=1},$$
(42)
$$(42)$$

where Eq. 42 was derived by Würger [21], and is given in Section 2 in dimensional form as Eq. 16, the boundary condition at the three phase contact line. Eq. 43 is the first correction to this contact line boundary condition. In the above, we assumed $\tilde{h}_0 = 0$. This hypothesis is proven below. The far field boundary condition for the inner solution is obtained by matching the inner solution with the outer solution via Van Dyke's matching procedure[19, 20]. This can be done systematically by writing the outer solution in terms of inner variable; thereafter holding the inner variable fixed and expanding for small parameter λ . This provides the far field boundary condition for the inner region. Formally, this matching is written:

$$\lim_{\tilde{r}\to\infty}\lambda\tilde{h}^{inner}(\tilde{r},\phi) = \lim_{\substack{\lambda\to0\\\tilde{r}\text{ fixed}}}\hat{h}^{outer}(\tilde{r},\phi),\qquad(44)$$

note that in the above expression, \hat{h}^{outer} is made dimensionless with outer region length scales, and \tilde{h}^{inner} is made dimensionless with inner region length scales.

We demonstrate the execution of this matching procedure for our particular host interface, without loss of generality. The same procedure can be applied to any host interface provided $\frac{a}{R_c} \ll 1$. To perform this matching, we perform a coordinate transformation between the inner and the outer coordinates. The inner coordinate is tangent with respect to the outer coordinate with slope ϵ . The relationship between the two coordinates providing small slopes $|\epsilon| \ll 1$ (in dimensional form) can be written as,

$$X = L_0 + x + O(\epsilon),$$

$$Y = y,$$

$$Z = Z_0 + z + O(\epsilon),$$

(45)

where Z_0 is the interface height at the particle center of mass with respect to the outer coordinate. Utilizing the above transformation, the right hand side of matching condition expression in Eq. 44 can be written as,

$$\hat{h}^{outer} = \frac{H_m}{R_c} - \frac{R_m \tan \psi}{R_c} \ln(\frac{\sqrt{(x+L_0)^2 + y^2}}{R_m}) - \frac{H_0}{R_c}.$$
(46)

By nondimensionalizing and expanding the argument of the log term for small λ , one can show,

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \to 0\\\hat{r} \text{ fixed}}} \hat{h}^{outer}(\tilde{r},\phi) = \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \tilde{r}^2 \cos 2\phi + O(\epsilon,\lambda^3), \quad (47)$$

utilizing Eq. 44, this can be recast to specify the far field boundary condition for the disturbance in the inner region,

$$\lim_{\tilde{r}\to\infty}\tilde{h}^{inner}(\tilde{r},\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}\tilde{r}^2\cos 2\phi + O(\epsilon,\lambda^2), \quad (48)$$

in dimensional form, this becomes Eq. 18. This matching also clarifies the meaning of taking the limit $r \to \infty$. This

implies exploring regions around the particle large compared to the particle radius. To apply the boundary condition, we introduce a vertical shift factor $\omega_0 = \frac{\lambda^2 \cot \theta_0}{12}$ to the particle center of mass and therefore we have,

$$\tilde{h}^1 = \frac{\cos 2\phi}{4} (\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{1}{3\tilde{r}^2}), \tag{49}$$

$$\tilde{h}^2 = -\frac{\cot\theta_0}{36} \frac{\cos 4\phi}{\tilde{r}^4},\tag{50}$$

This is the inner solution to the shape of the interface up to λ^2 .

To construct a uniformly valid (uv) solution over the entire domain, we define a uniformly valid solution in dimensional form according to,

$$h^{\rm uv} = R_c \hat{h}^{outer} + a\tilde{h}^{inner} - R_c \lim_{\substack{\lambda \to 0\\\hat{r} \text{ fixed}}} \hat{h}^{outer}, \quad (51)$$

consequently, the (dimensional) disturbance will be,

$$\eta = h^{\rm uv} - R_c \hat{h}^{outer} = \lambda \frac{r_0}{12\tilde{r}^2} \cos 2\phi - \lambda^2 \frac{r_0 \cot \theta_0}{36\tilde{r}^4} \cos 4\phi,$$
(52)

the disturbance is solely a decaying function of inner variable \tilde{r} . This implies that its value is identically zero in the outer region. Thus, the particle results in a "local" disturbance which fades over a length scale comparable to the inner length scale r_0 . Furthermore, in evaluating the area owing to the particle disturbance Eq. 5, there is no coupling between \tilde{h}^1 and \tilde{h}^2 owing to orthogonality of $\cos n\phi$. Therefore, there is no term in the energy expression of order λ^3 . In the text, we evaluate the energy up to λ^2 and find this term to have prefactor zero. Hence, the leading order contribution to the curvature capillary energy for spherical particles with equilibrium contact lines is order λ^4 .

VII. APPENDIX B

According to the asymptotic discussion, all area integrals for the free energy can be decomposed to two contributions in the inner and outer regions:

$$\oint f dA = \oint f^{inner} dA + \oint f^{outer} dA. \quad (53)$$

In particular the integral which accounts for the interaction of the disturbance with the host interface can be written:

$$\oint_{D-P} \nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta dA =
\oint_{D-P} (\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta)^{inner} dA + \oint_{D} (\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta)^{outer} dA, \quad (54)$$

since, as shown in Appendix A, the disturbance decays to zero in the inner region, the second integral in the right hand side of Eq. 54 is zero. Asymptotics also establish that the description of the host interface, $\lim_{r\to\infty} h_0 = h_0^{inner} = \left(\frac{r_0^2 \Delta c_0}{4}\right) \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} \cos 2\phi$ remains valid everywhere that the disturbance is finite. This allows the first integral on the right hand side of Eq. 54 be evaluated. In the ensuing discussion, the superscript "inner" is removed, while understanding that the sole surviving integral is in fact in the inner region. Substituting h_0 and η , the integral can be evaluated directly. Or, the divergence theorem can be used to recast this integral in terms of contour integrals that bound the domain; there are two equivalent forms for this approach. We evaluate the integral all three ways:

(i) Direct integration over the angle ϕ shows this integral to be zero at all radial locations between r_0 and any location r^* in the domain.

$$\int_{r_0}^{r^*} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial r}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial h_0}{\partial \phi}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \phi}\right] r d\phi dr$$
$$= \int_{r_0}^{r^*} \frac{\Delta c_0^2}{12} \frac{r_0^4}{r} dr \int_0^{2\pi} (-\cos^2 2\phi + \sin^2 2\phi) d\phi$$
$$= \frac{r_0^4 \Delta c_0^2}{12} \ln(\frac{r^*}{r_0})(0) = 0.$$
(55)

Thus, for any slice of the interface around the particle, this integral is zero. One might at first be concerned about the logarithmic divergence in Eq. 55, but the factor multiplying it is identically zero for any value of r^* .

(ii) One form of integration by the Green's theorem. The integrand can be recast as: $\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta = \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) - (\eta \nabla^2 h_0)$, where the second term on the right hand side is zero since $\nabla^2 h_0 = 0$. The area integral can then be recast:

$$\iint_{D-P} \nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta dA = \iint_{D-P} \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) dA, \qquad (56)$$

the integrals can be written via application of Green's theorem as contour integrals:

$$\oint_{D-P} \nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) dA$$

$$= \lim_{r \to \infty} \oint_{r=r*} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) r \ d\phi - \oint_{r=r_0} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) r \ d\phi,$$
(57)

which ultimately yields the results given in Eq. 23 and 24.

(iii) Another form of integration by Green's theorem. The integrand can also be recast as $\nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta = \nabla \cdot (h_0 \nabla \eta) - (h_0 \nabla^2 \eta)$, where the second term on the right hand sides is zero since $\nabla^2 \eta = 0$. The area integral is then,

$$\oint_{D-P} \nabla h_0 \cdot \nabla \eta dA = \oint_{D-P} \nabla \cdot (h_0 \nabla \eta) dA, \qquad (58)$$

which, via application of Green's theorem as:

$$\oint_{D-P} \nabla \cdot (h_0 \nabla \eta) dA$$

$$= \lim_{r^* \to \infty} \oint_{r=r^*} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot (h_0 \nabla \eta) r d\phi - \oint_{r=r_0} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot (h_0 \nabla \eta) r d\phi,$$
(59)

the two contour integrals are:

$$\lim_{r*\to\infty} \oint_{r=r*} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot (\eta \nabla h_0) r d\phi = -\frac{\pi r_0^4 \Delta c_0^2}{24}, \quad (60)$$

$$-\oint_{r=r_0} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot h_0 \nabla \eta r d\phi = \frac{\pi r_0^4 \Delta c_0^2}{24}, \quad (61)$$

The terms are equal and opposite and hence the integral is zero. In the literature, this area integral was evaluated by first recasting it in contour integrals as in Eq. 57. Thereafter, the far field contour was neglected, and the quadratic term in Δc_0 was retained as the value for the integral (see Eq. 23). If the same logic is applied to the area integral as recast in Eq. 58, only Equation Eq. 61 would be retained. These two forms, which should be equivalent, are in fact equal in magnitude and opposite in sign. This clearly demonstrates that the neglect of the outer contour is improper, as it represents a failure to close the bounding contours on the integral, and violates the Green's theorem used to recast the area integral.

VIII. APPENDIX C

In this section we discuss the numerical method used to calculate the height of interface around the square post. The height of interface in this case can be governed via the linearized Young-Laplace equation according to,

$$\gamma \nabla^2 h = \Delta \rho g h + \Delta p, \tag{62}$$

where $\Delta \rho$ is the density difference of subphase fluids and Δp is the pressure jump across the interface. We scaled the variables as follows,

$$\tilde{h} = \frac{h}{\frac{|\Delta p|}{\Delta \rho g}}, \qquad \tilde{\nabla} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\Delta \rho g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla.$$
(63)

Eq. 62 can be rewritten as,

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{h} - \tilde{h} = \pm 1, \tag{64}$$

where ± 1 on the right hand side stands for negative and positive pressure jumps across the interface. To obtain the discretized form of Eq. 64 in the weak form to implement the finite element algorithm for solution, we first multiply both sides of the Eq. 64 by a weighting function φ_i and then integrate over the area of element,

$$\oint \oint \varphi_i \tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{h} dA - \oint \oint \varphi_i \tilde{h} dA = \pm \oint \oint \varphi_i dA.$$
(65)



FIG. 10. Numerical solution of the curvature field via finite element analysis around a square post. (a) Deviatoric curvature and (b) mean curvature. The magnitudes of the deviatoric and mean curvatures are in m^{-1} .

Having utilized integration by parts and the divergence theorem, one can show,

$$\oint \varphi_i \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{h} \cdot \mathbf{m} ds - \oint \tilde{\nabla} \varphi_i \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{h} dA - \oint \varphi_i \tilde{h} dA = \\
\pm \oint \varphi_i dA,$$
(66)

where **m** is the unit normal vector of the enclosing contours which are pointing outward from the domain of interest. In the above expression, the first term only applies to the boundaries and therefore we neglect it since we have Dirichlet boundary conditions in our problem. Utilizing the Galerkin method [25], the non-dimensionalized height \tilde{h} were approximated by a piecewise quadratic polynomial function where $\varphi_i = 1$ at vertex i and $\varphi_i = 0$ at all other vertices. Thus, the non-dimensional height can be approximated as $\tilde{h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j \tilde{h}_j$, where N is the total number of vertices in the mesh and \tilde{h}_i is the value

of the height at the *j*th vertex. Since the problem is 2D, we generated a triangular structured mesh by discretizing the domain. This was done in such a way that more nodes were available in the transition region (sharp corner) to capture the rapid change in height. The pressure

jump was not known *a priori*; it is found from the slope condition at the middle of the square post. To do so, we solve the entire problem in an iterative manner. We guess a value for the pressure jump across the interface, solve the problem by applying the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the post and the outer ring, and subsequently calculate the slope at the middle of the square post. The slope is compared to the measured value in the experiment with $\psi = 15^{\circ}$. The new pressure jump is estimated according to the following,

$$\Delta p^{new} = \frac{-\tan\psi}{\frac{\partial\tilde{h}}{\partial\tilde{x}}\Big|_{\text{midplane}}} \sqrt{\gamma\Delta\rho g},\tag{67}$$

We follow this procedure until we reach the convergence and the difference between two consecutive iterations becomes less than 1%. The pressure jump we found is $\Delta p = -0.2170 \frac{N}{m^2}$. The solution was also tested against the number of triangular meshes to confirm that the final result is independent of number of meshes in the domain of interest. Furthermore, to evaluate the principle curvatures, we evaluated higher order partial derivatives in each individual mesh according to,

$$h_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} h_i \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_i}{\partial x^2} \tag{68}$$

Thereafter, we calculate the principle curvature according to appropriate relations. The resulting deviatoric and mean curvature fields are shown in Fig. 10; the deviatoric curvature diverges near the corners, spanning values ranging from 400 m^{-1} to 3000 m^{-1} whereas mean curvature varies from 3 m^{-1} to 5 m^{-1} . It is therefore safe to ignore capillary energies owing to mean curvature gradients.

Discussions with Dr. Lu Yao and Dr. Ravi Radhakrishnan are gratefully acknowledged. This work is partially supported by NSF grant CBET-1133267 and GAANN P200A120246 and MRSEC grant DMR11-20901.

- [1] P. Pieranski, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1980, 45, 569.
- [2] M. Cavallaro, L. Botto, E. P. Lewandowski, M. Wang and K. J. Stebe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2011, **108**, 20923.
- [3] L. Botto, E. P. Lewandowski, M. Cavallaro and K. J. Stebe, *Soft Matter*, 2012, 8, 9957.
- [4] P. A. Kralchevsky and K. Nagayama, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 2000, 85, 145.
- [5] R. Aveyard, B. P. Binks and J. H. Clint, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 2003, 100, 503.
- [6] F. Gibbs, S. Kermasha, I. Alli and C. N. B. Mulligan, Int. J. Food. Sci. Nutr., 1999, 50, 213–224.
- [7] R. G. Willaert and G. V. Baron, *Rev. Chem. Eng.*, 1996, 22, 1–205.
- [8] A. Bhargava, A. Francis and B. A.K., J. Colloid Interface

Sci., 1978, 64, 214 – 227.

- [9] A. P. Sullivan and P. K. Kilpatrick, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2002, 41, 3389–3404.
- [10] D. Stamou, C. Duschl and D. Johannsmann, *Phys. Rev.* E, 2000, **62**, 5263.
- [11] C. Blanc, D. Fedorenko, M. Gross, M. In, M. Abkarian, M. Gharbi, J. Fournier, P. Galatola and M. Nobili, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **111**, 058302.
- [12] L. Yao, N. Sharifi-Mood, I. B. Liu and K. J. Stebe, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2014, 449, 436–442.
- [13] T. Young, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., 1805, 95, 65-87.
- [14] D. M. Kaz, R. McGorty, M. Mani, M. P. Brenner and V. N. Manoharan, *Nat. Mater*, 2012, **11**, 138.
- [15] B. Park and E. M. Furst, Soft Matter, 2011, 7, 7676.

- [16] B. Park, J. Vermant and E. M. Furst, Soft Matter, 2010, 6, 5327.
- [17] C. E. Colosqui, J. F. Morris and J. Koplik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **111**, 028302.
- [18] S. Razavi, I. Kretzschmar, J. Koplik and C. E. Colosqui, J. Chem. Phys., 2014, 140, 014904.
- [19] E. J. Hinch, *Perturbation Methods*, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [20] A. H. Nayfeh, Purturbation Methods, Wiley, New Yrok, NY, USA, 2000.
- [21] A. Würger, Phys. Rev. E, 2006, 74, 041402.
- [22] J. Léandri and A. Würger, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2013, 405, 249.
- [23] P. Galatola and J. Fournier, Soft Matter, 2014, 10, 2197.
- [24] H. Brenner, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1961, 16, 242 251.
- [25] J. N. Reddy, An Introduction to the Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, 1993.