

K3 SURFACES WITH AN ORDER 50 AUTOMORPHISM

JONGHAE KEUM

ABSTRACT. In any characteristic p different from 2 and 5, Kondō gave an example of a K3 surface with a purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 50. The surface was explicitly given as a double plane branched along a smooth sextic curve. In this note we show that, in any characteristic $p \neq 2, 5$, a K3 surface with a cyclic action of order 50 is isomorphic to the example of Kondō.

Let X be a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$. An automorphism g of X is called *symplectic* if it preserves any regular 2-form on X , and *purely non-symplectic* if no power of g is symplectic except the identity.

In any characteristic $p \geq 0$, $p \neq 2, 5$, Kondō [8] gave an example of a K3 surface X_{50} with a purely non-symplectic automorphism g_{50} of order 50:

$$(0.1) \quad X_{50} = (w^2 = x^6 + xy^5 + yz^5) \subset \mathbf{P}(1, 1, 1, 3),$$

$$(0.2) \quad g_{50}(x, y, z, w) = (x, \zeta_{50}^{40}y, \zeta_{50}^2z, \zeta_{50}^{25}w)$$

where $\zeta_{50} \in k$ is a primitive 50th root of unity. In characteristic $p = 2$ and 5 the automorphism degenerates and the equation does not even define a K3 surface.

The result of this short note is the following.

Theorem 0.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \neq 2, 5$. Let X be a K3 surface defined over k with an automorphism g of order 50. Then*

- (1) *g is purely non-symplectic;*
- (2) *the pair $(X, \langle g \rangle)$ is isomorphic to the pair $(X_{50}, \langle g_{50} \rangle)$.*

The first statement of Theorem 0.1 was proved in a previous paper [4, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.7].

Over $k = \mathbb{C}$ the second statement of Theorem 0.1 was proved by Machida and Oguiso [9], under the assumption that g is purely non-symplectic. Our proof is characteristic free, does not use lattice theory and the holomorphic Lefschetz formula.

A similar characterization of K3 surfaces with a tame cyclic action of order 60 (resp. 66) was given in [5] (resp. [6]), where it was proven that for

Date: Dec 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J28, 14J50, 14J27.

Research supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF grant).

such a pair $(X, \langle g \rangle)$ the K3 surface X admits a g -invariant elliptic fibration, thus can be given by a g -invariant Weierstrass equation. The case of order 50 is similar to the case of order 40 in [7], and the K3 surface admits a g -invariant double plane presentation.

Remark 0.2. (1) In characteristic 5 it was shown in the previous paper [4, Main Theorem and Lemma 9.6] that no K3 surface admits a cyclic action of order 25.

(2) In characteristic 2 there is a K3 surface with a cyclic action of order 50:

$$(0.3) \quad Y = (w^2 + x^3w = x^6 + xy^5 + yz^5) \subset \mathbf{P}(1, 1, 1, 3),$$

$$(0.4) \quad f_{50}(x, y, z, w) = (x, \zeta_{25}^{20}y, \zeta_{25}z, w + x^3)$$

where $\zeta_{25} \in k$ is a primitive 25th root of unity. Is this the unique pair up to isomorphism in characteristic 2?

Notation

For a variety X with an automorphism g , we use the following notation:

- $\text{NS}(X)$: the Néron-Severi group of X ;
- $X^g = \text{Fix}(g)$: the fixed locus of g in X ;
- $e(g) := e(\text{Fix}(g))$, the Euler characteristic of $\text{Fix}(g)$ for g tame;
- $\text{Tr}(g^*|H^*(X)) := \sum_{j=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^j \text{Tr}(g^*|H_{\text{et}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_l))$;
- $[g^*] = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{b_2}]$: the list of eigenvalues of $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ where b_2 is the second Betti number of X ;
- ζ_a : a primitive a -th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$;
- $[\zeta_a : \phi(a)] \subset [g^*]$: all primitive a -th roots of unity appear in $[g^*]$ where $\phi(a)$ indicates the number of them;
- $[\lambda.r] \subset [g^*]$: the eigenvalue λ repeats r times in $[g^*]$;
- $[(\zeta_a : \phi(a)).r] \subset [g^*]$: the list $\zeta_a : \phi(a)$ repeats r times in $[g^*]$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We first recall the following basic result used in the paper [4].

Proposition 1.1. (See [4, Proposition 2.1].) *Let g be an automorphism of a projective variety X over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let l be a prime $\neq p$. Then the following hold true.*

- (1) (See [3, 3.7.3].) *The characteristic polynomial of $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has integer coefficients for each j . The characteristic polynomial does not depend on the choice of cohomology, l -adic or crystalline. In particular, if a primitive m -th root of unity appears with multiplicity r as an eigenvalue of $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, then so does each of its conjugates.*
- (2) *If g is of finite order, then g has an invariant ample divisor, and $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has 1 as an eigenvalue.*
- (3) *If X is a K3 surface, g is tame and $g^*|H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ has $\zeta_n \in k$ as an eigenvalue, then $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has $\zeta_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ as an eigenvalue.*

The following is well known, see e.g., [1, Theorem 3.2].

Proposition 1.2. (Lefschetz fixed point formula) *Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and let g be a tame automorphism of X . Then $X^g = \text{Fix}(g)$ is smooth and*

$$e(g) := e(X^g) = \text{Tr}(g^*|H^*(X)).$$

Lemma 1.3. (See [5, Lemma 1.6].) *Let X be a K3 surface in characteristic $p \neq 2$, admitting an automorphism h of order 2 with $\dim H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)^h = 2$. Then h is non-symplectic and has an h -invariant elliptic fibration $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$,*

$$X/\langle h \rangle \cong \mathbf{F}_e$$

a rational ruled surface, and X^h is either a curve of genus 9 which is a 4-section of ψ or the union of a section and a curve of genus 10 which is a 3-section. In the first case $e = 0, 1$ or 2 , and in the second $e = 4$. Each singular fibre of ψ is of type I_1 (nodal), I_2 , II (cuspidal) or III , and is intersected by X^h at the node and two smooth points if of type I_1 , at the two singular points if of type I_2 , at the cusp with multiplicity 3 and a smooth point if of type II , at the singular point tangentially to both components if of type III . If X^h contains a section, then each singular fibre is of type I_1 or II .

Remark 1.4. If $e \neq 0$, the h -invariant elliptic fibration ψ is the pull-back of the unique ruling of \mathbf{F}_e . If $e = 0$, either ruling of \mathbf{F}_0 lifts to an h -invariant elliptic fibration.

The following easy lemmas also will be used frequently.

Lemma 1.5. (See [4, Lemma 2.10].) *Let S be a set and $\text{Aut}(S)$ be the group of bijections of S . For any $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and positive integers a and b ,*

- (1) $\text{Fix}(g) \subset \text{Fix}(g^a)$;
- (2) $\text{Fix}(g^a) \cap \text{Fix}(g^b) = \text{Fix}(g^d)$ where $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$;
- (3) $\text{Fix}(g) = \text{Fix}(g^a)$ if $\text{ord}(g)$ is finite and prime to a .

Lemma 1.6. (See [4, Lemma 2.11].) *Let $R(n)$ be the sum of all primitive n -th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$, where $\gcd(l, n) = 1$. Then*

$$R(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ has a square factor,} \\ (-1)^t & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } t \text{ distinct primes.} \end{cases}$$

For an automorphism g of finite order of a K3 surface X , tame or wild, we write

$$\text{ord}(g) = m.n$$

if g is of order mn and the natural homomorphism $\langle g \rangle \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^0(X, \Omega_X^2))$ has kernel of order m and image of order n .

2. PROOF: THE TAME CASE

Throughout this section, we assume that the characteristic $p > 0$, $p \neq 2, 5$. Let g be an automorphism of order 50 of a K3 surface. We first determine the list of eigenvalues of g^* acting on the second cohomology of X .

Lemma 2.1. $[g^*] \neq [1, 1, \zeta_{50} : 20]$.

Proof. Suppose that $[g^*] = [1, 1, \zeta_{50} : 20]$. Then

$$[g^{25*}] = [1, 1, -1.20], \quad e(g^{25}) = -16.$$

Thus $\text{Fix}(g^{25})$ is either a curve C_9 of genus 9 or the union of a smooth rational curve and a curve C_{10} of genus 10. Using Lemma 1.6, we compute $e(g) = 4$ and

$$[g^{5*}] = [1, 1, (\zeta_{10} : 4).5], \quad e(g^5) = 9.$$

Note that

$$\text{Fix}(g^d) \subset \text{Fix}(g^{25})$$

for any d dividing 25. If $\text{Fix}(g^{25})$ is a curve C_9 of genus 9, then the action of g (resp. g^5) on C_9 has 4 (resp. 9) fixed points, hence the degree 25 cover $C_9 \rightarrow C_9/\langle g \rangle$ has 4 points of ramification index 25 and 5 points of ramification index 5, which contradicts the Hurwitz formula. By Lemma 1.3, the quotient surface $X/\langle g^{25} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the rational ruled surface \mathbf{F}_4 , X has a g^{25} -invariant elliptic fibration

$$\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$$

and $\text{Fix}(g^{25})$ is the union of a section R and a curve C_{10} of genus 10 which is a 3-section of ψ . We also know that a fibre of ψ is of type I_0 (smooth), I_1 or II . The automorphism \bar{g} of \mathbf{F}_4 induced by g preserves the unique ruling, so g preserves the elliptic fibration. Since \bar{g}^{25} acts trivially on \mathbf{F}_4 , g^{25} acts trivially on the base \mathbf{P}^1 and the orbit of a singular fibre under the action of $g|\mathbf{P}^1$ has length 1 or 5. Thus $g^5|\mathbf{P}^1$ fixes all singular fibres and g^5 fixes the singular points of all singular fibres. Since ψ has at least 12 singular fibres, g^5 fixes at least 12 points, contradicting $e(g^5) = 9$. \square

Lemma 2.2. (1) $[g^*] = [1, -1, \zeta_{50} : 20]$
where the first eigenvalue corresponds to a g^* -invariant ample class;

- (2) $\text{Fix}(g^{25}) = C_{10}$, a curve of genus 10;
- (3) $\text{Fix}(g^{10}) = D_2 \cup \{q\}$ where D_2 is a curve of genus 2 intersecting C_{10} at 6 points, say p_1, \dots, p_6 , and q is a point on C_{10} , but not on D_2 ;
- (4) $\text{Fix}(g^5) = \{p_1, \dots, p_6, q\}$;
- (5) g fixes one of the six points p_i , say p_6 , rotates the remaining 5, and $\text{Fix}(g) = \{p_6, q\}$.

Proof. (1) By [4, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.7], g cannot be of order 2.25 or 5.10, hence is purely non-symplectic. By Proposition 1.1 the action of g^* on $H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has $\zeta_{50} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ as an eigenvalue. Thus $[g^*] = [1, \pm 1, \zeta_{50} : 20]$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.1.

(2) follows from (1), since $e(g^{25}) = -18$ and the invariant subspace of $g^*|H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has dimension 1.

(3)-(5) We compute

$$[g^{5*}] = [1, -1, (\zeta_{10} : 4).5], \quad e(g^5) = 7,$$

$$[g^{10*}] = [1, 1, (\zeta_5 : 4).5], \quad e(g^{10}) = -1.$$

Since $e(g^{10}) < 0$, $\text{Fix}(g^{10})$ contains a curve of genus > 1 . Since the invariant subspace of $g^{10*}|H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ has dimension 2, $\text{Fix}(g^{10})$ contains at most one smooth rational curve. Since $e(g^5) = 7$, $\text{Fix}(g^5)$ consists of 7 points of $C_{10} = \text{Fix}(g^{25})$. Suppose $\text{Fix}(g^{10})$ contains a rational curve R . Then

$$\text{Fix}(g^{10}) = R \cup D_{d+3} \cup \{2d+1 \text{ points}\}, \quad d \geq 0.$$

Since $C_{10} \cap D_{d+3} \subset \text{Fix}(g^{25}) \cap \text{Fix}(g^{10}) = \text{Fix}(g^5)$, we have

$$C_{10}D_{d+3} \leq 7,$$

then by Hodge index theorem

$$18(2d+4) = C_{10}^2 D_{d+3}^2 \leq (C_{10}D_{d+3})^2 \leq 7^2,$$

hence $d < 0$, absurd. Thus $\text{Fix}(g^{10})$ cannot contain a rational curve and

$$\text{Fix}(g^{10}) = D_{d+2} \cup \{2d+1 \text{ points}\}, \quad d \geq 0.$$

In the same way as above, we see that $C_{10}D_{d+2} \leq 7$. Then by the Hodge index theorem

$$18(2d+2) = C_{10}^2 D_{d+2}^2 \leq (C_{10}D_{d+2})^2 \leq 7^2,$$

hence $d = 0$ and $6 \leq C_{10}D_2$. Let

$$q \in \text{Fix}(g^{10})$$

be the isolated point. Then $g(q) = q$ and g^5 fixes 6 points on D_2 . Then $C_{10}D_2 \leq 6$ as $C_{10} \cap D_2 \subset \text{Fix}(g^{25}) \cap \text{Fix}(g^{10}) = \text{Fix}(g^5)$. Thus $C_{10}D_2 = 6$. Let p_1, \dots, p_6 be the 6 intersection points of C_{10} and D_2 . Then g^5 fixes the 7 points, p_1, \dots, p_6 and q . This proves (3) and (4). Since $e(g) = 2$, the action of g on $\{p_1, \dots, p_6\}$ fixes one and rotates five, proving (5) \square

Proof of the second statement of Theorem 0.1.

Lemma 2.2 plays a key role in the proof. We modify the proof of [9, Section 4]. The quotient

$$X/\langle g^{25} \rangle$$

is a smooth rational surface with Picard number 1, hence is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^2 . The branch curve

$$B := \bar{C}_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^2$$

is a smooth sextic and the image

$$L := \bar{D}_2 \subset \mathbf{P}^2$$

of D_2 is a line. Let

$$\bar{p}_i, \bar{q} \in \mathbf{P}^2$$

be the images of p_i and q . Choose coordinates x, y, z of \mathbf{P}^2 such that

$$L = (z = 0), \quad \bar{q} = (0, 0, 1).$$

Our automorphism g induces an automorphism \bar{g} of \mathbf{P}^2 . The fixed locus of \bar{g}^5 is the image of

$$\text{Fix}(g^5) \cup \text{Fix}(g^{20}) = \text{Fix}(g^{20}) = \text{Fix}(g^{10}),$$

thus

$$\text{Fix}(\bar{g}^5) = L \cup \{\bar{q}\}.$$

The fixed locus of \bar{g} is the image of

$$\text{Fix}(g) \cup \text{Fix}(g^{24}) = \text{Fix}(g^{24}) = \text{Fix}(g^2) = \{p_6, q, q_1, q_2\}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are two points of D_2 which are interchanged by g , thus

$$\text{Fix}(\bar{g}) = \{\bar{p}_6, \bar{q}, \bar{q}_1\}.$$

We further may assume that

$$\bar{p}_6 = (0, 1, 0), \quad \bar{q}_1 = \bar{q}_2 = (1, 0, 0).$$

From these, we infer that

$$\bar{g}(x, y, z) = (x, \zeta_{25}^{20}y, \zeta_{25}^jz)$$

for some j . Since \bar{g} has order 25, $5 \nmid j$. The monomials x^6 and xy^5 are \bar{g} -invariant. We know that the branch $B = \bar{C}_{10}$ is a smooth sextic. Thus there must exist a \bar{g} -invariant monomial of the form $y^a z^{6-a}$. Then $20a + j(6-a) \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$. Since $5 \nmid j$, $a = 1$ and $j = 5i + 1$ for some i . Then

$$(\zeta_{25}^j)^{20} = (\zeta_{25}^{5i+1})^{20} = \zeta_{25}^{20},$$

we may assume that $j = 1$. The branch B is defined by $x^6 + \alpha xy^5 + \beta yz^5 = 0$ for some non-zero α and β . Replacing y and z by a scalar multiple, we may assume that $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Now the surface X and the automorphism g are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X : w^2 &= x^6 + xy^5 + yz^5 \\ g(x, y, z, w) &= (x, \zeta_{25}^{20}y, \zeta_{25}z, -w). \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOF: THE COMPLEX CASE

We may assume that X is projective, since a non-projective complex K3 surface cannot admit a non-symplectic automorphism of finite order ([11], [10]) and its automorphisms of finite order are symplectic, hence of order ≤ 8 . Now the same proof goes, once $H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is replaced by $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and Proposition 1.2 by the usual topological Lefschetz fixed point formula.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne, G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976) 103–161.
- [2] I. Dolgachev, J. Keum, *Finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. **169** (2009) 269–313.
- [3] L. Illusie, *Report on crystalline cohomology*, in: Algebraic Geometry, Arcata 1974, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 29, AMS, 1975, pp. 459–478.
- [4] J. Keum, *Orders of automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, arXiv:1203.5616 [math.AG]
- [5] J. Keum, *K3 surfaces with an order 60 automorphism and a characterization of supersingular K3 surfaces with Artin invariant 1*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014) 509–520.
- [6] J. Keum, *K3 surfaces with an automorphism of order 66, the maximum possible*, J. Alg. **426** (2015) 273–287.
- [7] J. Keum, *Order 40 automorphisms of K3 surfaces*, to appear in Advanced Studies in Pure Math., volume for Mukai 60.
- [8] S. Kondō, *Automorphisms of algebraic K3 surfaces which act trivially on Picard groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (1992) 75–98.
- [9] N. Machida, K. Oguiso, *On K3 surfaces admitting finite non-symplectic group actions*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **5** (1998) 273–297.
- [10] V. V. Nikulin, *Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian surfaces of type K3*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), no. 2; Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **38** (1980) 71–135.
- [11] K. Ueno, *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol.439, Springer, 1975.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 130-722, KOREA

E-mail address: `jhkeum@kias.re.kr`