BIRKHOFF SPECTRUM FOR HÉNON-LIKE MAPS AT THE FIRST BIFURCATION

HIROKI TAKAHASI

ABSTRACT. We effect a multifractal analysis for a strongly dissipative Hénon-like map at the first bifurcation parameter at which the uniform hyperbolicity is destroyed by the formation of tangencies inside the limit set. We decompose the set of non wandering points on the unstable manifold into level sets of Birkhoff averages of continuous functions, and derive a formula for the Hausdorff dimension of the level sets in terms of the entropy and unstable Lyapunov exponent of invariant probability measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The multifractal analysis of chaotic dynamical systems consists in the study of fine geometric structures of invariant sets. One considers the so-called multifractal decompositions of an invariant set, and the associated multifractal spectra which encodes this decomposition. By connecting the spectra to other characteristics of the system, such as entropy and Lyapunov exponents of invariant measures, one tries to get more refined description of the underlying dynamics than purely stochastic considerations.

In this paper we treat the Birkhoff averages of continuous functions. Although this type of problem is well-understood for uniformly hyperbolic systems, much less is known for non hyperbolic ones. We treat certain non-hyperbolic two-dimensional maps at the boundary of uniform hyperbolicity, having quadratic tangencies between invariant manifolds.

We are concerned with a family of Hénon-like diffeomorphisms

$$f_a: (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (1 - ax^2, 0) + b \cdot \Phi(a, b, x, y), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \ 0 < b \ll 1.$$

Here, Φ is bounded continuous in (a, b, x, y) and C^2 in (a, x, y). We assume there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all a near 2 and small b,

$$||D\log|\det Df_a||| \le C.$$

This family describes the transition from uniformly hyperbolic to non hyperbolic regimes. It is known [2, 4, 7, 24] that there is a first bifurcation parameter $a^* = a^*(b)$ with the following properties: the non wandering set of f_a is a uniformly hyperbolic horseshoe for $a > a^*$; for $a = a^*$ there is a unique orbit of homoclinic or heteroclinic tangency, and the tangency is quadratic. The aim of this paper is to perform the multifractal analysis of f_{a^*} . Although the dynamics of f_{a^*} resembles that of the horseshoe before the first bifurcation, the presence of tangency presents novel obstructions for understanding the global dynamics.

We state our settings and goals in more precise terms. Write f for f_{a^*} . Let P, Q denote the fixed saddles of f near (1/2, 0), (-1, 0) respectively. The orbit of tangency intersects a small neighborhood of the origin exactly at one point, denoted by ζ_0 (FIGURE 1). If f preserves

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37D25, 37E30, 37G25.



FIGURE 1. Organization of invariant manifolds of the fixed saddles P, Q of $f = f_{a^*}$ near (1/2, 0), (-1, 0) respectively. In the case det Df > 0 (left), the stable and unstable manifolds of Q meet each other tangentially. In the case det Df < 0 (right), the stable manifold of Q meets the unstable manifold of P tangentially. The shaded regions represent the region R containing the non wandering set Ω (see Sect.2.1).

orientation, then $\zeta_0 \in W^s(Q) \cap W^u(Q)$. If f reverses orientation, then $\zeta_0 \in W^s(Q) \cap W^u(P)$. The sole obstruction to uniform hyperbolicity is the orbit of the tangency ζ_0 .

Let Ω denote the non wandering set of f, which is a compact set. If f preserves orientation, let $W^u = W^u(Q)$. Otherwise, let $W^u = W^u(P)$. The (non-uniform) expansion along W^u is responsible for the chaotic behavior of f. Hence, a good deal of multifractal information of Ω is contained in its unstable slice

$$\Omega^u = \Omega \cap W^u.$$

Given a continuous function $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ consider *level sets* of the Birkhoff averages of φ :

$$\Omega^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) = \left\{ x \in \Omega^{u} \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_{n} \varphi(x) = \beta \right\}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $S_n \varphi = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ f^i$. Define

$$c_{\varphi} = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi(x) \text{ and } d_{\varphi} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi(x).$$

In what follows we assume $c_{\varphi} < d_{\varphi}$. For otherwise the Birkhoff averages of φ along all orbits are equal. Set $I_{\varphi} = [c_{\varphi}, d_{\varphi}]$. Consider the multifractal decomposition

$$\Omega^{u} = \left(\bigcup_{\beta \in I_{\varphi}} \Omega^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta)\right) \cup \hat{\Omega}^{u}_{\varphi},$$

where $\hat{\Omega}^{u}_{\varphi}$ denotes the set of points in Ω^{u} for which $(1/n)S_{n}\varphi(x)$ does not converge. This decomposition has extremely complicated topological structures: each $\Omega^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta)$ is nonempty

(See Sect.3.2 for details); one can show that each set appearing in the decomposition is dense in Ω^{u} ; namely, a decomposition into an uncountable number of dense subsets.

Let $\mathcal{M}(f)$ denote the set of *f*-invariant Borel probability measures. The entropy of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ is denoted by $h(\mu)$. An unstable Lyapunov exponent of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ is the number $\lambda^u(\mu)$ defined by

$$\lambda^u(\mu) = \int \log J^u(x) d\mu(x).$$

Here, $J^u(x) = \|D_x f| E_x^u\|$, and E_x^u is a one-dimensional subspace of $T_x \mathbb{R}^2$ called an *unstable* direction at $x \in \Omega$ that is characterized by the following backward contraction property [20]:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|D_x f^{-n} | E_x^u \| < 0.$$

By a result of [4], $\inf\{\lambda^u(\mu): \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)\} > 0$. Relationships between entropy, unstable Lyapunov exponents and dimension of invariant probability measures were established in [15]. Our main result connects these characteristics to the Hausdorff dimension of $\Omega^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$ that is defined as follows. Given $p \in (0, 1]$ the unstable Hausdorff *p*-measure of a set $A \subset W^u$ is defined by

$$m_p^u(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left(\inf \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{length}(U)^p \right),$$

where length(·) denotes the length on W^u with respect to the induced Riemannian metric, and the infimum is taken over all coverings \mathcal{U} of A by open sets of W^u with length $\leq \delta$. The unstable Hausdorff dimension of A, denoted by \dim_H^u , is the unique number in [0, 1] such that

$$\dim_{H}^{u}(A) = \sup\{p \colon m_{p}^{u}(A) = \infty\} = \inf\{p \colon m_{p}^{u}(A) = 0\}.$$

Now, set

$$B^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) = \dim^{u}_{H}(\Omega^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta)),$$

and

$$I'_{\varphi} = \{ \beta \in I_{\varphi} \colon B^u_{\varphi}(\beta) \le 2/\log(1/b) \}.$$

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem. Let b > 0 be sufficiently small and $f = f_{a^*(b)}$ as above. For any continuous function $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and all $\beta \in I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi}$,

$$B^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup \left\{ \frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda^{u}(\mu)} \colon \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f), \left| \int \varphi d\mu - \beta \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

This type of formula has been proved under different settings and assumptions on the hyperbolicity of the systems: uniformly hyperbolic ones [1, 16, 18, 27] (a more complete list of previous results can be found in [17]); maps with parabolic fixed points [5, 12]; certain non-uniformly expanding quadratic maps on the interval [5, 6]. Up to present, many of the known results for non hyperbolic systems are limited to the Lyapunov spectrum [9, 10, 11, 14, 25].

In [5], Chung established the formula for a class of one-dimensional maps admitting "nice" induced Markov maps. A strategy for a proof of our theorem is to use a (locally defined) stable foliation to identify points on the same leaf (called *long stable leaves* in [25, Sect.2.8]), and to extend the one-dimensional argument in [5]. The same strategy has been taken in [25] in which the non continuous function $\log J^u$ was treated instead of φ . Since the stable foliation is not globally defined, it is not possible to tell whether such a leaf through a given

point exist. The argument in [25] to handle this difficulty consists of three steps: (i) introduce dynamically critical points in the spirit of Benedicks and Carleson [3], and define a bad set in terms of the recurrence to the critical points; (ii) show that long stable leaves exist for points outside of the bad set; (iii) show that the Birkhoff averages of log J^u do not converge on the bad set. A novel obstruction in dealing with continuous φ is that the Birkhoff averages of φ can converge, for points in the bad set (denoted by Ω^u_* in Sect.3.1). What we can do at best is to show that the dimension of Ω^u_* is small, and establish the formula for those β for which $B_{\varphi}(\beta)$ is not too small. This is the reason for the restriction on β in the theorem.

To clarify the range of β for which the formula in the theorem holds, let us recall the thermodynamic formalism of f developed in [20, 21]. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$P(t) = \sup \left\{ h(\mu) - t\lambda^u(\mu) \colon \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f) \right\}.$$

A measure which attains this supremum is called an *equilibrium measure* for $-t \log J^u$. The function $t \mapsto P(t)$ is convex. One has P(0) > 0, and Ruelle's inequality [19] gives $P(1) \leq 0$. Since f has no SRB measure [23], P(1) < 0 holds. Hence the equation P(t) = 0 has a unique solution in (0, 1), denoted by t^u . There exists a unique equilibrium measure for $-t^u \log J^u$ ([21, Theorem A]), denoted by μ_{t^u} , and $t^u = \dim_H^u(\Omega^u), t^u \to 1$ as $b \to 0$ ([21, Theorem B]). From the theorem and the Ergodic Theorem, $B^u_{\varphi}(\int \varphi d\mu_{t^u}) \geq h(\mu_{t^u})/\lambda(\mu_{t^u}) = t^u$. It follows that B^u_{φ} takes its maximum at $\beta = \int \varphi d\mu_{t^u}$. Similarly to the proof of [25, Theorem C] one can show that B^u_{φ} is continuous on $I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi}$, increasing on $\{\beta \in I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi} \colon \beta < \int \varphi d\mu_{t^u}\}$ and decreasing on $\{\beta \in I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi} \colon \beta > \int \varphi d\mu_{t^u}\}$, so that the set $I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi}$ is an interval containing t^u .

The rest of this paper consists of two sections. Sect.2 is a preliminary, and the theorem is proved in Sect.3.

2. Preliminaries

The main reference of this section is [25]. We collect several results and constructions, and prove two lemmas needed for the proof of the theorem.

Throughout this paper we shall be concerned with positive constants δ , b, chosen in this order. The letter C is used to denote any positive constant which is independent of δ or b.

2.1. The non wandering set. By a *rectangle* we mean any compact domain bordered by two compact curves in W^u and two in the stable manifolds of P or Q. By an *unstable side* of a rectangle we mean any of the two boundary curves in W^u . A *stable side* is defined similarly.

By the result of [24, Lemma 3.2] there exists a rectangle R contained in the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 2, |y| < \sqrt{b}\}$ with the following properties (See FIGURE 1):

- $\Omega = \{ x \in R : f^n x \in R \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{Z} \};$
- one of the unstable sides of R contains ζ_0 ;
- one of the stable sides of R contains $f\zeta_0$. This side is denoted by α_0^+ . The other side, denoted by α_0^- , contains Q;
- $f\alpha_0^+ \subset \alpha_0^-$.

2.2. Critical points. Set

$$I(\delta) = \{(x, y) \in R \colon |x| < \delta\}$$

The derivatives grow exponentially, as long as the orbit is outside of $I(\delta)$. To treat returns to $I(\delta)$ we mimic the strategy of Benedicks & Carleson [3] and introduce the notion of critical points. The reference for the contents in this subsection is [25, Sect.2.4 & Sect.2.5].

From the hyperbolicity of the saddle Q, there exist two mutually disjoint connected open sets U^- , U^+ independent of b such that $\alpha_0^- \subset U^-$, $\alpha_0^+ \subset U^+$, $U^+ \cap fU^+ = \emptyset = U^+ \cap fU^-$ and a foliation \mathcal{F}^s of $U = U^- \cup U^+$ by one-dimensional leaves such that:

- $\mathcal{F}^{s}(Q)$, the leaf of \mathcal{F}^{s} containing Q, contains α_{0}^{-} ;
- if $x, fx \in U$, then $f(\mathcal{F}^s(x)) \subset \mathcal{F}^s(fx)$;
- Let $e^s(x)$ denote the unit vector in $T_x \mathcal{F}^s(x)$ whose second component is positive. Then $x \mapsto e^s(x)$ is C^1 , $||D_x f e^s(x)|| \le Cb$ and $||D_x e^s(x)|| \le C$;
- If $x, fx \in U$, then $s(e^s(x)) \ge C/\sqrt{b}$.

Definition 2.1. We say $\zeta \in W^u \cap I(\delta)$ is a critical point if $f\zeta \in U^+$ and $T_{f\zeta}W^u = T_{f\zeta}\mathcal{F}^s(f\zeta)$.

From the first two conditions on \mathcal{F}^s and $f\alpha_0^+ \subset \alpha_0^-$, there is a leaf of \mathcal{F}^s which contains α_0^+ . Since $f\zeta_0 \in \alpha_0^+$ we have $f\zeta_0 \in U^+$ and $T_{f\zeta_0}W^u = T_{f\zeta_0}\mathcal{F}^s(f\zeta_0)$, namely, ζ_0 is a critical point.

To locate all other critical points we need some preliminary considerations. Let α_1^+ denote the (connected) component of $W^s(P) \cap R$ containing P, and α_1^- the component of $f^{-1}\alpha_1^+ \cap R$ not containing P. Let Θ denote the rectangle bordered by α_1^- , α_1^+ and the unstable sides of R. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}^u$ denote the collection of components of $\Theta \cap W^u$. By a $C^2(b)$ -curve we mean a compact, nearly horizontal C^2 curve in R such that the slopes of its tangent directions are $\leq \sqrt{b}$ and the curvature is everywhere $\leq \sqrt{b}$. Let S denote the compact lenticular domain which is bounded by the parabola $f^{-1}\alpha_0^+ \cap R$ and the unstable side of R containing ζ_0 . Then the following holds [25, Lemma 2.5 & Lemma 2.8]:

- (Location) any element of $\tilde{\Gamma}^u$ is a $C^2(b)$ -curve with endpoints in α_1^- , α_1^+ , and contains a unique critical point;
- (Non recurrence) all critical points are contained in S.

In particular, all critical points never return to the interior of R under forward iteration. The dynamics of f is amenable to analysis primarily due to this non-recurrence of critical points. To recover the loss of derivatives suffered from the return to $I(\delta)$, we bind the point to a suitable critical point [25, Lemma 2.9], and let it copy the exponential derivative growth along the critical orbit [25, Lemma 2.6].

2.3. Inducing. We introduce an inducing scheme associated with the first return map to Θ . The reference for the contents in this subsection is [25, Sect.2.10].

Define a sequence $\{\tilde{\alpha}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of compact curves in $R \cap W^s(P)$ inductively as follows. First, set $\tilde{\alpha}_0 = \alpha_1^+$. Given $\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1}$, define $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ to be one of the two connected components of $f^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1} \cap R$ which is at the left of ζ_0 . Observe that $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1^-$. By the Inclination Lemma, the Hausdorff distance between $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ and α_0^- converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

For each $n \ge 0$ let α_n denote the connected component of $R \cap f^{-1} \tilde{\alpha}_n$ which is not $\tilde{\alpha}_{n+1}$. The set $R \cap f^{-1} \alpha_n$ consists of two curves, one at the left of ζ_0 and the other at the right. They are denoted by α_{n+1}^- , α_{n+1}^+ respectively. By definition, these curves obey the following diagram

$$\{\alpha_{n+1}^-, \alpha_{n+1}^+\} \xrightarrow{f^2} \tilde{\alpha}_n \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{\alpha}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{f} \cdots \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1^- \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{\alpha}_0 = \alpha_1^+.$$

Define $r: \Theta \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$r(x) = \inf(\{n > 0 \colon f^n x \in \Theta\} \cup \{\infty\}),$$

which is the first return time of x to Θ . Note that:



FIGURE 2. The proper rectangles (shaded) in \mathcal{P}_1 with inducing time *n* and their f^n -images

- r(x) = 1 if and only if $x \in \alpha_1^- \cup \alpha_1^+$; r(x) = n+1 $(n \ge 1)$ if and only if x is sandwiched by α_n^+ and α_{n+1}^+ , or by α_n^- and α_{n+1}^- ; $r(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x \in S$;
- each level set of r except S has exactly two connected components.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the partition of the set $\Theta \setminus (S \cup \alpha_1^- \cup \alpha_1^+)$ into connected components of the level sets of the function r. The \mathcal{P} is well-defined because the Hausdorff distance between α_n and α_0^+ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Set $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\omega = \overline{\eta} : \eta \in \mathcal{P}\}$, where the bar denotes the closure operation. For each $n \geq 2$ define

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ \omega_0 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} f^{-r(\omega_0)} \circ f^{-r(\omega_1)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{-r(\omega_{i-1})} \omega_i \colon \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_1 \right\}.$$

Elements of $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$ are called *proper rectangles*. The unstable sides of a proper rectangle are formed by two curves contained in the unstable sides of Θ . Its stable sides are formed by two curves contained in $W^s(P)$.

On the interior of each $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_1$, the value of r is constant. This value is denoted by $r(\omega)$. For each $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_n$ define its *inducing time* $\tau(\omega)$ by

$$\tau(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r(\omega_i).$$

Clearly, the unstable sides of $f^{\tau(\omega)}\omega$ are formed by two curves in $\tilde{\Gamma}^u$. Its stable sides are formed by two curves contained in the stable sides of Θ (See FIGURE 2).

The next bounded distortion result is contained in [25, Lemma 2.15].

Lemma 2.2. For any $\gamma^u \in \tilde{\Gamma}^u$ and any proper rectangle ω , $\gamma^u \cap \omega$ is a compact curve joining the stable sides of ω . In addition,

$$\sup_{x,y\in\gamma^u\cap\omega}\frac{\|D_yf^{\tau(\omega)}|E_y^u\|}{\|D_xf^{\tau(\omega)}|E_x^u\|} \le C|f^{\tau(\omega)}x - f^{\tau(\omega)}y|.$$

2.4. Horseshoes. We introduce a horseshoe structure which naturally comes from the inducing scheme in Sect.2.3. The reference for the contents in this subsection is [25, Sect.2.10].

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite collection of proper rectangles contained in the interior of Θ , labeled with $1, 2, \ldots, \ell = \#\mathcal{A}$. We assume any two elements of \mathcal{A} are either disjoint, or intersect each other only at their stable sides. Endow $\Sigma_{\ell} = \{1, \ldots, \ell\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the product topology of the

discrete topology, and let $\sigma \colon \Sigma_{\ell} \circlearrowleft$ denote the left shift. Define a coding map $\pi \colon \Sigma_{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\pi(\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = y$, where

$$\{y\} = \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k^s\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k^u\right)$$

and

$$\omega_k^s = \omega_{x_0} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k f^{-\tau(\omega_{x_0})} \circ \cdots \circ f^{-\tau(\omega_{x_{i-1}})} \omega_{x_i} \right) \text{ and } \omega_k^u = \bigcap_{i=1}^k f^{\tau(\omega_{x_{-1}})} \circ \cdots \circ f^{\tau(\omega_{x_{-i}})} \omega_{x_{-i}}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [25, Lemma 2.19] The map π is well-defined, continuous, injective, and satisfies $\pi(\Sigma_{\ell}) \subset \Omega$.

2.5. Bounded distortions. We need two more distortion results for points which are slow recurrent to the critical set. For $x \in \Omega^u$ define

$$d_{\rm crit}^u(x) = \begin{cases} |\zeta(x) - x| & \text{if } x \in I(\delta); \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta(x)$ is the critical point on the $C^2(b)$ -curve in $\tilde{\Gamma}^u$ containing x. The function d^u_{crit} is a "distance to the critical set". For each $m \ge 0$ define

$$G_m^u = \{ x \in \Omega^u \colon d^u_{\operatorname{crit}}(f^n x) > b^{\frac{n}{9}} \text{ for every } n \ge m \}.$$

The next lemma, the proof of which is a slight modification of [25, Lemma 2.20] and hence omitted here, gives a distortion bound for derivatives along the unstable direction.

Lemma 2.4. For every $m \ge 0$ there exists a constant $D_m > 0$ such that for any proper rectangle ω intersecting G_m^u and $\tau(\omega) > m$,

$$\sup_{x,y\in\Omega\cap\omega}\frac{\|D_yf^{\tau(\omega)}|E_y^u\|}{\|D_xf^{\tau(\omega)}|E_x^u\|} \le D_m$$

The next lemma gives a distortion bound for Birkhoff averages of Hölder continuous functions.

Lemma 2.5. If $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous, then for every $m \ge 0$ there exists $K_{m,\varphi} > 0$ such that for any proper rectangle ω intersecting G_m^u and $\tau(\omega) > m$,

$$\sup_{x,y\in\Omega\cap\omega}|S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(x)-S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(y)|\leq K_{m,\varphi}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega \cap \omega$. There exists a nearly horizontal C^1 -curve, denoted by $\gamma^u(f^{\tau(\omega)}x)$ (called a long unstable leaf through $f^{\tau(\omega)}x$ in [25]), which is contained in $f^{\tau(\omega)}\omega$, joins the stable sides of Θ and satisfies length $(f^{-n}\gamma) \leq C\rho_0^n$ for some C > 0, $\rho_0 \in (0,1)$ and all $n \geq 0$. Let \hat{x} denote the point of intersection between $\gamma^u(f^{\tau(\omega)}x)$ and α_1^+ , and set $x' = f^{-\tau(\omega)}\hat{x}$. Let $y \in \Omega \cap \omega$ and define y' in the same way. Let $\theta \in (0,1]$ be a Hölder exponent of φ . We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} |f^n x - f^n y|^{\theta} \le \sum_{n=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} |f^n x - f^n x'|^{\theta} + \sum_{n=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} |f^n x' - f^n y'|^{\theta} + \sum_{n=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} |f^n y' - f^n y|^{\theta}.$$

From the backward contraction, the first and the third summands are uniformly bounded. For the second one, by [25, Lemma 2.18] there exists $k \in [0, m]$ such that the curve $f^{k-\tau(\omega)}\alpha_1^+$

is contracted exponentially by a factor $b^{\frac{1}{2}}$ under forward iteration (i.e., $f^{k-\tau(\omega)}\alpha_1^+$ is contained in a *long stable leaf* [25, Sect.2.8]). Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} |f^n x - f^n y|^{\theta} \le 2C^{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_0^{\theta n} + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} |f^n x' - f^n y'|^{\theta} + C^{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^{\frac{\theta n}{2}}$$

which is bounded by a uniform constant depending only on b, m, θ . Since ω and x, y are arbitrary, the desired inequality follows.

Remark. The function $x \in \Omega \mapsto \log ||D_x f| E_x^u||$ is not covered by Lemma 2.5 since it is not continuous at Q.

2.6. Approximation of non ergodic measures by ergodic ones. Let $\mathcal{M}^{e}(f)$ denote the set of *f*-invariant ergodic Borel probability measures.

Lemma 2.6. For any continuous $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^{e}(f)$ such that $h(\nu) > 0$, $|h(\mu) - h(\nu)| < \varepsilon$, $|\lambda^{u}(\mu) - \lambda^{u}(\nu)| < \varepsilon$ and $|\int \varphi d\mu - \int \varphi d\nu| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. From [25, Lemma 2.23] there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ such that $h(\nu) > 0$, $\nu\{Q\} = 0$, $|h(\mu) - h(\nu)| < \varepsilon$ and $|\lambda^u(\mu) - \lambda^u(\nu)| < \varepsilon$. We note that $f|\Omega$ is a factor of the full shift on two symbols [21, Proposition 3.1], and therefore has the specification [22, Proposition 1(b)]. Hence, ergodic measures are entropy-dense [8]: there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}^e(f)$ such that $\xi_n \to \nu$ and $h(\xi_n) \to h(\nu)$ as $n \to \infty$. By [20, Lemma 4.4] and $\nu\{Q\} = 0$, we obtain $\lambda^u(\xi_n) \to \lambda^u(\nu)$. \Box

3. Proof of the theorem

In this section we complete the proof of the theorem.

3.1. **Outline.** For the rest of this paper we assume $\varphi \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\beta \in I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi}$. We prove the theorem by estimating $B^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$ from both sides. This is done along the line of [25], but there is one key difference.

In Sect.3.2 we estimate $B^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$ from below, by constructing a large subset of $\Omega^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$. For the upper estimate, define

$$\Omega^u_* = \Omega^u \setminus \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} G^u_m$$

and split $\Omega_{\varphi}^{u}(\beta) = \Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$, where

$$\Pi_1 = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \Omega^u(\beta) \cap G_m^u \text{ and } \Pi_2 = \Omega^u(\beta) \cap \Omega_*^u.$$

The upper estimate of $\dim_{H}^{u}(\Pi_{1})$ is done in Sect.3.3 in much the same way as in [25]. The key difference from [25] is that Π_{2} can be nonempty. To bypass this problem, in Sect.3.4 we estimate the dimension of the larger set Ω_{*}^{u} from above.

3.2. Lower estimate of $B^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$. Define

(1)
$$d_{\varepsilon}^{u} = \sup\left\{\frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda^{u}(\mu)} \colon \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f), \left|\int \varphi d\mu - \beta\right| < \varepsilon\right\}.$$

We also define $d_{\varepsilon}^{u,e}$ by restricting the range of the supremum to $\mathcal{M}^{e}(f)$. We shall show

(2)
$$B^u_{\varphi}(\beta) \ge \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^{u,e}_{\varepsilon}.$$

Since $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^u_{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^{u,e}_{\varepsilon}$ from Lemma 2.6, the desired lower estimate of $B^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$ follows. The idea is to construct a sequence of horseshoes in the sense of Sect.2.4 with Birkhoff averages arbitrarily close to β , and then glue these horseshoes together to construct a set of points whose Birkhoff averages are precisely β .

Let $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}^e(f)$ such that $|\int \varphi d\mu_n - \beta| \to 0$ and $h(\mu_n)/\lambda^u(\mu_n)$ converges as $n \to \infty$. Since φ is continuous and $\mathcal{M}(f)$ is compact with respect to the topology of weak convergence, $c_{\varphi} = \min\{\int \varphi d\mu \colon \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)\}$ and $d_{\varphi} = \max\{\int \varphi d\mu \colon \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)\}$. Since both c_{φ} and d_{φ} are attained by elements of $\mathcal{M}^{e}(f)$, considering linear combinations of them and then using Lemma 2.6 one can show there indeed exists such a sequence. If $h(\mu_n) \to 0$, then $h(\mu_n)/\lambda^u(\mu_n) \to 0$ from $\inf\{\lambda^u(\mu): \mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)\} > 0$, and so (2) is obvious. Hence we assume $h(\mu_n) > 0$. In what follows we first assume φ is Hölder continuous, and prove (2). Lastly we indicate necessary minor modifications to treat merely continuous φ .

If φ is Hölder continuous, then slightly modifying the proof of [25, Lemma 2.21] one can prove a variant of well-known Katok's theorem [13, Theorem S.5.9]: for each n there exist a positive integer q_n and a family \mathcal{R}_n of proper rectangles with the following properties:

- (i) for each $\omega \in \mathcal{R}_n$, $\tau(\omega) = q_n$;
- (ii) $|(1/q_n)\log \#\mathcal{R}_n h(\mu_n)| < 1/n;$ (iii) for any $x \in \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{R}_n} \Omega \cap \omega, |(1/q_n)S_{q_n}\log J^u(x) \lambda^u(\mu_n)| < 1/n;$ (iv) for any $x \in \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{R}_n} \Omega \cap \omega, |(1/q_n)S_{q_n}\varphi(x) \int \varphi d\mu_n| < 1/n.$

The only one difference from [25, Lemma 2.21] is (iv), which follows from Lemma 2.5.

The rest of the proof proceeds much in parallel to that of [25], and so we only give a sketch of the proof. For an integer $\kappa \geq 1$ let

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\kappa) = \{\omega_0 \cap f^{-q_n}\omega_1 \cap \cdots \cap f^{-(\kappa-1)q_n}\omega_{\kappa-1} \colon \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\kappa-1} \in \mathcal{R}_n\}.$$

Let $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers. For each $k \geq 1$ let (N, s) be a pair of integers such that $k = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \cdots + \kappa_{N-1} + s$ and $0 \le s < \kappa_N$. Define $\mathcal{S}(k)$ to be the collection of all proper rectangles of the form

$$\omega_0 \cap f^{-\kappa_1 q_1} \omega_1 \cap \cdots \cap f^{-\kappa_1 q_1 - \dots - \kappa_{N-1} q_{N-1}} \omega_N,$$

where $\omega_n \in \mathcal{R}_n(\kappa_{n+1})$ $(n = 0, \dots, N-1)$ and $\omega_N \in \mathcal{R}_N(s)$. The set $\bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}(k)} \omega$ is compact, and decreasing in k. Set

$$Z = \gamma^u(\zeta_0) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}(k)} \omega,$$

where $\gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ denotes the unstable side of Θ containing ζ_0 . By appropriately choosing $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ so that the orbits of points in Z spend longer and longer times around the horseshoes as n increases, one can make sure that $Z \subset \Omega^u_{\omega}(\beta)$ and

$$\dim_{H}^{u}(Z) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(\mu_{n})}{\lambda^{u}(\mu_{n})}.$$

Since $\{\mu_n\}_n$ is arbitrary, (2) holds.

If φ is merely continuous, then take a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ of real-valued Hölder continuous functions on Ω such that $\sup\{|\varphi(x) - \varphi_n(x)| : x \in \Omega\} < 1/n$. Find q_n and \mathcal{R}_n as above, satisfying (i) (ii) (iii) and (iv) with φ_n in the place of φ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{q_n} S_{q_n} \varphi(x) - \int \varphi d\mu_n \right| &< \left| \frac{1}{q_n} (S_{q_n} \varphi(x) - S_{q_n} \varphi_n(x)) \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{q_n} S_{q_n} \varphi_n(x) - \int \varphi_n d\mu_n \right| + \left| \int \varphi_n - \varphi d\mu_n \right| \\ &< \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the same argument prevails.

3.3. Upper estimate of $\dim_{H}^{u}(\Pi_{1})$. From the next proposition and the countable stability of \dim_{H}^{u} , we obtain $\dim_{H}^{u}(\Pi_{1}) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d_{\varepsilon}^{u}$.

Proposition 3.1. For every $m \ge 0$, $\dim^u_H(\Omega^u(\beta) \cap G^u_m) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^u_{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Recall that $\gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ denotes the unstable side of Θ containing ζ_0 . Since $\gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ contains a fundamental domain in W^u , for any $x \in \Omega^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$ which is not the fixed point in W^u there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f^n x \in \gamma^u(\zeta_0)$. From the countable stability and the *f*-invariance of $\dim^u_H, B^u_{\varphi}(\beta) = \dim^u_H(\Omega^u_{\varphi}(\beta) \cap \gamma^u(\zeta_0)).$

Set

 $\tilde{\Omega}^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) = \{ x \in \Omega^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) \cap \gamma^{u}(\zeta_{0}) \colon f^{n}x \in \Theta \text{ for infinitely many } n > 0 \}.$

Since points in $\Omega^u_{\varphi}(\beta) \cap \gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ which return to Θ under forward iteration only finitely many times form a countable subset, we have $B^u_{\varphi}(\beta) = \dim^u_H(\tilde{\Omega}^u_{\varphi}(\beta))$. From now on we restrict ourselves to $\tilde{\Omega}^u_{\varphi}(\beta)$.

For c > 0 let $D_c(\zeta_0)$ denote the closed ball in $\gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ of radius c about ζ_0 . Define

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon} = \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{P}_n \colon \omega \cap G_m^u \neq \emptyset, \ \omega \cap D_c(\zeta_0) = \emptyset, \ \inf_{x \in \omega \cap \gamma^u(\zeta_0)} \left| \frac{1}{\tau(\omega)} S_{\tau(\omega)} \varphi(x) - \beta \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ is a finite set, because its elements do not intersect $D_c(\zeta_0)$. For each $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ write $\omega^u = \omega \cap \gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ and set $\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^u = \{\omega^u \colon \omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}\}$. Clearly we have

$$(\tilde{\Omega}^u(\beta) \cap G^u_m) \setminus D_c(\zeta_0) \subset \limsup_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{\omega^u \in \mathcal{A}^u_{n,\varepsilon}} \omega^u,$$

and there exist C > 0 and $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^u$,

$$\operatorname{length}(\omega^u) \le C\rho_1^n$$

It is enough to show

(3)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega^u \in \mathcal{A}^u_{n,\varepsilon}} \operatorname{length}(\omega^u)^{d^u_{\varepsilon}} \le 0 \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Indeed, if this holds, then for any d > 0 we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^u} \operatorname{length}(\omega^u)^{d_{\varepsilon}^u + d} \le -d \log \rho_1.$$

It follows that $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^{u}} \operatorname{length}(\omega^{u})^{d_{\varepsilon}^{u}+d}$ has a negative growth rate as n increases. Therefore the Hausdorff $(d_{\varepsilon}^{u}+d)$ -measure of the set $(\tilde{\Omega}^{u}(\beta) \cap G_{m}^{u}) \setminus D_{c}(\zeta_{0})$ is 0. Since d > 0 is arbitrary, $\dim_{H}^{u}((\tilde{\Omega}^{u}(\beta) \cap G_{m}^{u}) \setminus D_{c}(\zeta_{0})) \leq d_{\varepsilon}^{u}$, and by the countable stability of \dim_{H}^{u} we obtain $\dim_{H}^{u}(\tilde{\Omega}^{u}(\beta) \cap G_{m}^{u}) \leq d_{\varepsilon}^{u}$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ yields the desired inequality in Proposition 3.1.

It is left to prove (3). Set $\ell = \# \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ and Write $\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon} = \{\omega(1), \omega(2), \dots, \omega(\ell)\}$ so that

(4)
$$\tau(\omega(1)) \ge \tau(\omega(s)) > m \text{ for every } s \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$$

Let $\pi_{\ell} \colon \Sigma_{\ell} \to \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \omega$ denote the coding map defined in Sect.2.4 and $\sigma \colon \Sigma_{\ell} \circlearrowleft$ the left shift. Define

$$B = \{ \underline{a} \in \Sigma_{\ell} \colon \pi \underline{a} \subset W^{s}(P) \setminus \{P\} \}.$$

Proper rectangles can intersect each other only at their stable sides, and there is only one proper rectangle containing P in its stable side. Hence, for any $\underline{a} \in \Sigma_{\ell} \setminus B$ there exists a unique element of $\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ containing $\pi \underline{a}$ which we denote by $\omega(\underline{a})$. Define $\Psi: \Sigma_{\ell} \setminus B \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi(\underline{a}) = -d_{\varepsilon}^{u} \sum_{i=0}^{\tau(\omega(\underline{a}))-1} \log J^{u}(f^{i}(\pi \underline{a})).$$

Since $\pi(\Sigma_{\ell}) \subset \Omega \setminus \{Q\}$ and $\log J^u$ is continuous except at Q, Ψ is continuous.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ denote the space of σ -invariant Borel probability measures on Σ_{ℓ} endowed with the topology of weak convergence. For each $k \geq 1$ define an atomic probability measure $\nu_k \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ concentrated on the set $E_k = \{\underline{a} \in \Sigma_{\ell} : \sigma^k \underline{a} = \underline{a}\}$ by

$$\nu_{k} = \left(\sum_{\underline{b}\in E_{k}} \exp\left(S_{k}\Psi(\underline{b})\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{\underline{a}\in E_{k}} \exp\left(S_{k}\Psi(\underline{a})\right) \delta_{\underline{a}},$$

where $S_k \Psi = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \Psi \circ \sigma^i$ and $\delta_{\underline{a}}$ denotes the Dirac measure at \underline{a} . Let ν_0 denote an accumulation point of the sequence $\{\nu_k\}_k$ in $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$. Taking a subsequence if necessary we may assume $\nu_k \to \nu_0$. We have $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma)$. Define a Borel probability measure $\overline{\mu}$ on $\pi(\Sigma_\ell)$ by

$$\overline{\mu} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \nu_0|_{\pi^{-1}\omega}.$$

By [25, Sublemma 3.5], $\nu_0(B) = 0$ and so $\overline{\mu}$ is indeed a probability. Define $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(f)$ by

$$\mu = \left(\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \tau(\omega)\overline{\mu}(\omega)\right)^{-1} \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^{\tau(\omega)-1} (f^i)_*(\overline{\mu}|_{\omega}).$$

We show

(

5)
$$h(\mu) - d^u_{\varepsilon} \lambda^u(\mu) \le 0.$$

To show this, let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ and $x \in \omega$. Choose $y \in \omega \cap \gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ such that $|(1/\tau(\omega))S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(y) - \beta| < \varepsilon/2$. If φ is Hölder continuous, then by Lemma 2.4 and $\tau(\omega) \geq 2n$ we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\tau(\omega)}S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(x) - \beta\right| \le \left|\frac{1}{\tau(\omega)}(S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(x) - S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(y))\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\tau(\omega)}S_{\tau(\omega)}\varphi(y) - \beta\right|$$
$$\le \frac{K_{m,\varphi}}{\tau(\omega)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \le \frac{K_{m,\varphi}}{2n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

If φ is merely continuous, then approximating φ by a Hölder continuous function we get the same inequality for sufficiently large n. Since $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}$ and $x \in \omega$ are arbitrary, this implies $|\int \varphi d\mu - \beta| < \varepsilon$. Then (5) follows from the definition of d_{ε}^{u} in (1).

Observe that

$$\log \sum_{\underline{a} \in E_k} \exp(S_k \Psi(\underline{a})) = -\sum_{\underline{a} \in E_k} \nu_k(\{\underline{a}\}) \log \nu_k(\{\underline{a}\}) + k \int \Psi d\nu_k.$$

A slight modification of the argument in [26, pp.220] shows that for any integer p with $1 \le p < k$,

(6)
$$\frac{1}{k}\log\sum_{\underline{a}\in E_k}\exp(S_k\Psi(\underline{a})) \le -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\underline{a}\in E_p}\nu_k(\{\underline{a}\})\log\nu_k(\{\underline{a}\}) + \int\Psi d\nu_k + \frac{2p\log\#E_p}{k}$$

Similarly to the proof of [25, Sublemma 3.7] one can show that $\int \Psi d\nu_k \to \int \Psi d\nu_0$ as $k \to \infty$. Letting $k \to \infty$ in (6),

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{\underline{a} \in E_k} \exp(S_k \Psi(\underline{a})) \le -\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\underline{a} \in E_p} \nu_0(\{\underline{a}\}) \log \nu_0(\{\underline{a}\}) + \int \Psi d\nu_0.$$

Letting $p \to \infty$ we get

(7)
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{\underline{a} \in E_k} \exp(S_k \Psi(\underline{a})) \le h(\sigma; \nu_0) + \int \Psi d\nu_0,$$

where $h(\sigma; \nu_0)$ denote the entropy of $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma)$.

To estimate the left-hand-side of (7) from below, set $E'_k = \{\underline{a} \in E_k : a_0 = 1\}$. Let $\underline{a} \in E'_k$, $\underline{b} \in E'_{k-1}$ be such that $a_i = b_i$ for every $0 \le i < k - 1$. Slightly modifying the proof of [25, Sublemma 3.8] one can show that $\pi \underline{a}$ and $\pi \underline{b}$ are contained in the same proper rectangle with inducing time > m and intersecting G^u_m . Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\frac{\exp(S_k\Psi(\underline{a}))}{\exp(S_{k-1}\Psi(\underline{b}))} = \frac{\exp(S_{k-1}\Psi(\underline{a}))}{\exp(S_{k-1}\Psi(\underline{b}))} \cdot \exp(S_0\Psi(\sigma^{k-1}\underline{a})) \ge D_m^{-d_\varepsilon^u} \cdot D_m^{-2d_\varepsilon^u} \text{length}(\omega^u(a_{k-1}))^{d_\varepsilon^u}.$$

Using this inequality repeatedly gives

$$\sum_{\underline{a}\in E_{k}} \exp(S_{k}\Psi(\underline{a})) > \sum_{\underline{a}\in E_{k}'} \exp(S_{k}\Psi(\underline{a})) = \sum_{\underline{b}\in E_{k-1}'} \exp(S_{k-1}\Psi(\underline{b})) \sum_{\substack{\underline{a}\in E_{k}'\\ 0\leq\forall i

$$\geq \sum_{\underline{b}\in E_{k-1}'} \exp(S_{k-1}\Psi(\underline{b})) \cdot D_{m}^{-3d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \operatorname{length}(\omega^{u})^{d_{\varepsilon}^{u}}$$

$$\geq \cdots \geq \sum_{\underline{b}\in E_{1}'} \exp(S_{0}\Psi(\underline{b})) \left(D_{m}^{-3d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \operatorname{length}(\omega^{u})^{d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \right)^{k-1}$$

$$\geq \left(D_{m}^{-3d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}} \operatorname{length}(\omega^{u})^{d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \right)^{k}.$$$$

Hence

(8)
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{\underline{a} \in E_k} \exp(S_k \Psi(\underline{a})) \ge \log \sum_{\omega^u \in \mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^u} \operatorname{length}(\omega^u)^{d_{\varepsilon}^u} - 3d_{\varepsilon}^u \log D_m.$$

Putting (7) (8) together and then using (5) yield

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}^{u}}\operatorname{length}(\omega^{u})^{d_{\varepsilon}^{u}} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(h(\sigma;\nu_{0})+\int\Psi d\nu_{0}\right)+\frac{3}{n}d_{\varepsilon}^{u}\log D_{m}$$
$$=\frac{1}{n}(h(\mu)-d_{\varepsilon}^{u}\lambda^{u}(\mu))\sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{A}_{n,\varepsilon}}\tau(\omega)\overline{\mu}(\omega)+\frac{3}{n}d_{\varepsilon}^{u}\log D_{m}$$
$$\leq \frac{3}{n}d_{\varepsilon}^{u}\log D_{m}.$$

This implies (3), and hence finishes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.4. Upper estimate of $\dim^u_H(\Omega^u_*)$. We finish by proving the next

Proposition 3.2. dim_H^u(Ω_*^u) $\leq 2/\log(1/b)$.

Proof. If $x \in \Omega_*^u$, then there exist infinitely many $n \ge 0$ such that $d_{\operatorname{crit}}(f^n x) \le b^{\frac{n}{9}}$. Define a sequence $k_i = k_i(x)$ (i = 1, 2, ...) of positive integers inductively as follows: $k_1 = \min\{n > 0: d_{\operatorname{crit}}(f^n x) \le b^{\frac{n}{9}}\}$. Given k_1, \ldots, k_i with $d_{\operatorname{crit}}(f^{k_1 + \cdots + k_i} x) \le b^{\frac{k_i}{9}}$, define $k_{i+1} = \min\{n > 0: d_{\operatorname{crit}}(f^{k_1 + \cdots + k_i + n} x) \le b^{\frac{n}{9}}\}$. Define $\eta = \eta(b) \gg 1$ by

(9)
$$\eta = \left[-\frac{1}{20} \log b \right],$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part. Since $b^{\frac{k_i}{9}} \cdot \|Df^{2\eta k_i}\| < b^{\frac{k_i}{9}} \cdot 5^{2\eta k_i} \ll 1$, $f^{k_1 + \dots + k_i}x$ shadows the forward orbit of the binding critical point at least up to time $2\eta k_i$, namely

(10)
$$k_{i+1}(x) \ge 2\eta k_i(x).$$

From (10) and $k_1(x) > 1$ we get $k_i(x) \ge (2\eta)^{i-1}$, and

(11)
$$k_1(x) + k_2(x) + \dots + k_i(x) \ge \eta^{i-1}.$$

Now, given a sequence $\{l_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers, define a collection $\mathcal{Q}(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_i)$ of pairwise disjoint compact curves in $\gamma^u(\zeta_0)$ inductively as follows. Start with

 $\mathcal{Q}(l_1) = \{\gamma_1 \subset \gamma^u(\zeta_0) \colon f^{l_1}\gamma_1 \in \tilde{\Gamma}^u \text{ and } k_1(x) = l_1 \text{ for some } x \in \gamma_1 \cap \Omega^u_* \}.$

Given $\mathcal{Q}(l_1,\ldots,l_i)$, for each $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\ldots,l_i)$ set

 $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i, l_{i+1}) = \{ \gamma_{i+1} \subset \gamma_i \colon f^{l_1 + \dots + l_i + l_{i+1}} \gamma_{i+1} \in \tilde{\Gamma}^u \text{ and } k_{i+1}(x) = l_{i+1} \text{ for some } x \in \gamma_{i+1} \cap \Omega^u_* \},$ and define

$$\mathcal{Q}(l_1,\ldots,l_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\ldots,l_i)} \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i,l_{i+1}).$$

Obviously,

(12)
$$\mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i, l_{i+1}) < 2^{l_{i+1}}$$

If $x \in \Omega^u_*$, then for each i = 1, 2, ... there exists a unique element of $\mathcal{Q}(k_1(x), k_2(x), ..., k_i(x))$ containing x. Hence

$$\Omega^u_* \subset \bigcup_{L=\eta^{i-1}}^{\infty} \bigcup_{l_1+\dots+l_i=L} \bigcup_{\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_i)} \gamma_i$$

Now, let $1 \le p < q$ and define

$$\Omega_*^{(p)} = \{ f^{k_1(x) + k_2(x) + \dots + k_p(x)} x \colon x \in \Omega_*^u \}.$$

If $x \in \Omega_*^{(p)}$, then $k_{p+1}(x) + k_{p+2}(x) + \dots + k_q(x) \ge \eta^{q-1}$. Hence

$$\Omega_*^{(p)} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma_q \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1, \dots, l_q)} f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_q = \bigcup_{L = \eta^{q-1}} \bigcup_{l_{p+1} + \dots + l_q = L} \bigcup_{\gamma_q \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1, \dots, l_q)} f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_q$$

From the countable stability and the *f*-invariance of \dim_{H}^{u} , $\dim_{H}^{u}(\Omega_{*}^{u}) = \dim_{H}^{u}(\Omega_{*}^{(p)})$. To get a better estimate, we shall work with large *p*.

Let $d \in (2/\log(1/b), 1)$. For each $i \ge p$ we have

$$\sum_{\gamma_{i+1}\in\mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_{i+1})} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_{i+1})^d = \sum_{\gamma_i\in\mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_i)} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_i)^d \times \sum_{\gamma_{i+1}\in\mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i,l_{i+1})} \frac{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_{i+1})^d}{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_i)^d}.$$

On the second sum of the fractions, we have $f^{k_1+\dots+k_i}\gamma_i \in \tilde{\Gamma}^u$ and $\operatorname{length}(f^{k_1+\dots+k_i}\gamma_{i+1}) < 2b^{\frac{k_{i+1}}{9}}$. From this and the bounded distortion in Lemma 2.2,

(13)
$$\frac{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_{i+1})}{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_i)} \le C \cdot \frac{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_i}\gamma_{i+1})}{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_i}\gamma_i)} \le 3b^{\frac{l_{i+1}}{9}}.$$

Using (12) (13) and $d \in (2/\log(1/b), 1)$,

$$\sum_{\gamma_{i+1} \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i, l_{i+1})} \frac{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_{i+1})^d}{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_i)^d} \le \# \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_i, l_{i+1}) 3^d b^{\frac{dl_{i+1}}{10}} < b^{\frac{dl_{i+1}}{20}}.$$

14

Plugging this into the right-hand-side of the above equality we get

(14)
$$\sum_{\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,...,l_{i+1})} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\cdots+l_p}\gamma_{i+1})^d \le b^{\frac{dl_{i+1}}{20}} \sum_{\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,...,l_i)} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\cdots+l_p}\gamma_i)^d.$$

Using (14) inductively yields

$$\sum_{\gamma_q \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_q)} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_q)^d \le L_p b^{\frac{d}{20}(l_{p+1}+\dots+l_q)}$$

where

$$L_p = \sum_{\gamma_{p+1} \in \mathcal{Q}(\gamma_p, l_{p+1})} \frac{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_{p+1})^d}{\operatorname{length}(f^{l_1 + \dots + l_p} \gamma_p)^d}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{L=\eta^{q-1}}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{p+1}+\dots+l_q=L} \sum_{\gamma_q \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_q)} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_q)^d \le L_p \sum_{L=\eta^{q-1}}^{\infty} b^{\frac{dL}{20}} \# \left\{ (l_{p+1},\dots,l_q) \colon \sum_{i=p+1}^q l_i = L \right\}.$$

To estimate the right-hand side we use the following from Stirling's formula for factorials: for sufficiently small $\chi > 0$ there exist $c(\chi) > 0$ with $c(\chi) \to 0$ as $\chi \to 0$ such that for any two positive integers p, q with $q/p \leq \chi$ one has $\binom{p+q}{q} \leq e^{c(\chi)p}$. The number of all feasible (l_{p+1}, \ldots, l_q) with $\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} l_i = L$ is bounded by the number of

The number of all feasible (l_{p+1}, \ldots, l_q) with $\sum_{i=p+1}^q l_i = L$ is bounded by the number of ways of dividing L objects into q-p groups, which is $\binom{L+q-p}{q-p}$. Since $l_i \geq \eta^p$ for $i = p+1, \ldots, q$, we have $(q-p)/L \leq \eta^{-p}$, which goes to 0 as $p \to \infty$. In particular, there exists p_0 such that for all p, q with $p_0 \leq p < q$,

$$\#\left\{ (l_{p+1}, \dots, l_q) \colon \sum_{i=p+1}^q l_i = L \right\} \le \binom{L+q-p}{q-p} \le b^{-\frac{dL}{30}}.$$
$$\sum_{L=\eta^{q-1}}^\infty \sum_{l_{p+1}+\dots+l_q=L} \sum_{\omega_q \in \mathcal{Q}(l_1,\dots,l_q)} \operatorname{length}(f^{l_1+\dots+l_p}\gamma_q)^d \le L_p \sum_{L=\eta^{q-1}}^\infty b^{\frac{bdL}{60}}$$

The summand of the right-hand-side decays exponentially in q, and so the Hausdorff d-measure of $\Omega_*^{(p)}$ is zero.

From the lower estimate in Sect.3.2, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^u_{\varepsilon} \le B^u_{\varphi}(\beta) = \max\{\dim^u_H(\Pi_1), \dim^u_H(\Pi_2)\} \le \max\{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} d^u_{\varepsilon}, 2/\log(1/b)\}.$$

Since $I_{\varphi} \setminus I'_{\varphi}$ we have $B^{u}_{\varphi}(\beta) > 2/\log(1/b)$, and so the above two inequalities are equalities. This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Acknowledgments. Partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) of the JSPS, Grant No.23740121.

References

- Barreira, L. and Saussol, B.: Variational principles and mixed multifractal spectra. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353, 3919-3944 (2001)
- 2. Bedford, E. and Smillie, J.: Real polynomial diffeomorphisms with maximal entropy: II. small Jacobian. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **26**, 1259–1283 (2006)
- 3. Benedicks, M. and Carleson, L.: The dynamics of the Hénon map. Ann. Math. 133, 73–169 (1991)
- Cao, Y., Luzzatto, S. and Rios, I.: The boundary of hyperbolicity for Hénon-like families. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 28, 1049–1080 (2008)
- Chung, Y. M.: Birkhoff spectra for one-dimensional maps with some hyperbolicity. Stochastics and Dynamics 10, 53–75 (2010)
- Chung, Y. M. and Takahasi, H.: Multifractal formalism for Benedicks-Carleson quadratic maps. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 34, 1116–1141 (2014)
- Devaney, R. and Nitecki, Z.: Shift automorphisms in the Hénon mapping. Commun. Math. Phys. 67, 137–146 (1979)
- Eizenberg, A., Kifer, Y. and Weiss, B.: Large deviations for Z^d-actions. Commun. Math. Phys. 164, 433–454 (1994)
- Gelfert, K., Przytycki, F. and Rams, M.: On the Lyapunov spectrum for rational maps. Math. Ann. 348, 965–1004 (2010)
- Gelfert, K. and Rams, M.: The Lyapunov spectrum of some parabolic systems. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 19, 919–940 (2009)
- Iommi, G. and Todd, M.: Dimension theory for multimodal maps. Ann. Henri Poincaré 12, 591–620 (2011)
- Johansson, A., Jordan, T., Öberg, A. and Pollicott, M.: Multifractal analysis of non-uniformly hyperbolic systems, Israel J. Math. 177, 125–144 (2010)
- 13. Katok, A. and Hasselblatt, B.: Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. Cambridge University Press (1995)
- Kesseböhmer, M. and Stratmann, O.: A multifractal formalism for growth rates and applications to geometrically finite Kleinian groups. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 24, (2004), 141–170.
- 15. Ledrappier, F. and Young, L.-S.: The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. Ann. Math. 122, 509-574 (1985)
- Olsen, L.: Multifractal analysis of divergence points of deformed measure theoretical Birkhoff averages. J. Math. Pures Appl. 82, 1591–1649 (2003)
- 17. Pesin, Y.: Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1997.
- Pesin, Y. and Weiss, H.: The multifractal analysis of Birkhoff averages and large deviations, in *Global Analysis of Dynamical Systems*, Inst. Phys., Bristol (2001), pp. 419–431.
- 19. Ruelle, D.: An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math. 9, 83–87 (1978)
- Senti, S. and Takahasi, H.: Equilibrium measures for the Hénon map at the first bifurcation. Nonlinearity 26, 1719-1741 (2013)
- 21. Senti, S. and Takahasi, H.: Equilibrium measures for the Hénon map at the first bifurcation: uniqueness and geometric/statistical properties. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, published online
- Sigmund, K.: On dynamical systems with the specification property. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190, 285– 299 (1974)
- Takahasi, H.: Prevalent dynamics at the first bifurcation of Hénon-like families. Commun. Math. Phys. 312, 37–85 (2012)
- 24. Takahasi, H.: Prevalence of non-uniform hyperbolicity at the first bifurcation of Hénon-like families. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4199
- 25. Takahasi, H.: Lyapunov spectrum for Hénon-like maps at the first bifurcation. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1813
- Walters, P.: An introduction to ergodic theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 79, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- Weiss, H.: The Lyapunov spectrum for conformal expanding maps and Axiom A surface diffeomorphisms. J. Stat. Phys. 95, 615–632 (1999)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA, 223-8522, JAPAN E-mail address: hiroki@math.keio.ac.jp