

Sum of states approximation by Laplace method with estimated error and application to limit theorems

Vassili Kolokoltsov (v.kolokoltsov@warwick.ac.uk),
Tomasz M. Lapinski (84tomek@gmail.com)

University of Warwick,
Department of Statistics,
CV4 7AL Coventry, UK,

October 24, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we consider a discrete many states system with given Entropy. We approximate the systems sum of states by using methodology of Laplace method for integrals. The estimate of the error term is included and two cases of Entropy are considered. When the maximum of the Entropy is in the interior of the domain of summation of states and on the boundary. As an application we obtain systems most probable state and its fluctuations in the thermodynamic limit. For that we prove weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem. The second result gives different limiting distributions for two cases mentioned above. When the maximum of the Entropy is in the interior of the summation domain it is Normal distribution and if it is on the boundary, it is Exponential or Discrete in one direction of summation and Normal in other directions.

Key words— sum of states, entropy, law of large numbers, central limit theorem, laplace approximation

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification— 41A60, 41A63, 60F05, 82B20

1 Introduction

We consider a system with many accessible states, which are represented as the nodes on some lattice of rational numbers. For each node, i.e. state, we are given the number of configurations which system can take, i.e. Entropy. The number of accessible states and the Entropy depends on some parameter. As it increases the Entropy increases and the number of states also increase and the distances between nodes become smaller. The function representing Entropy has a unique maximum on appropriate domain and is sufficiently regular.

The main results of the paper are approximations of the sum of states, i.e. sum of exponent of Entropies. Let us start with a result for one dimensional Entropy with maximum on the boundary of the domain of states summation. The methodology of the

proof is based on analogical result for Laplace integral in [4]. Although this univariate case is rather insignificant in the physics context we need a specific estimates for further development.

Our main concern is with finite dimensional sums of states. For the integral instead of the sum it is proved in [4] and in simplified form also in [3]. Here we use the same method and also include reminder estimate.

Let us consider an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and lattice $L_N := \{\frac{x}{N} : x \in \mathbb{N}^m\}$ where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Particularly for the system of particles N can represent number of particles and the set $\Omega \cap L_N$ accesible states of the system. Then we define a sum of states

$$Z(N) := \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,N)}, \quad (1)$$

where functions f , g and h are sufficiently regular and $f(\cdot, N)$ has as a unique maximum in the interior of Ω . Functions from the exponent represents Entropy of particular state.

The next result is an approximation of the sum We also define

$$Z(N) := \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,N)}, \quad (2)$$

with g , h as in (1) and sufficiently regular f with unique non-critical maximum on the boundary $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$. Analogical result for integral is proved in [4]. This result, again, uses the same methodology and also includes the rate of convergence and explicit remainder estimate.

An alternative way of approximating sum of states of certain class of systems is developed in [6].

For the application, we consider probability mass function where the probability of an event is constructed by taking sum of certain stats for the event and normalizing with the whole sum of states. We also consider a random vector whose values are the points of the domain of summation. This is usual approach in statistical mechanics to find most probable or mean states, see e..g [5].

The first limit theorem, law of large numbers, gives us most probable state in the limit of N . It is equal to the point of maximum of the Entropy. The second result, central limit theorem, gives the distributions of the fluctuations from that state. They are different for two cases of maximum. When it is in the interior of the domain it is Normal, and when it is on the boundary there can be further two cases depending on how fast function h in the exponent increases. When it increases linearly it is Exponential in one direction and Normal in other directions. When slower than linearly it is Discrete in one direction and Normal in other directions.

Similar limit theorems but for integral instead of sum are developed in [4] and also for different class of systems are proved in [1]. Furthermore, the law of large numbers in this context is well understood in the theory of Large Deviation see e.g. [2], so our main contribution are the precise rate of convergence under certain regularity assumptions on f and g .

2 Approximation by Laplace method

We consider an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and a closed ball $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ with the center at the origin, radius ε and volume $|\Omega'|$. Further, for all $N \geq N_0$ with some $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we consider

a lattice $L_N := \{\frac{x}{N}, x \in \mathbb{N}^m\}$.

Then we introduce a function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which derivatives up to third order exists on Ω' and are uniformly bounded. For all $N \geq N_0$, function $f(\cdot, N)$ have a unique maximum at $x^*(N) \in \Omega'$ such that

$$\Delta := \inf_{N \geq N_0, x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega'} \{f(x^*(N), N) - f(x, N)\} > 0. \quad (3)$$

We choose the origin of our coordinate system to be the point $x^* = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} x^*(N)$.

Further, we introduce a positive and increasing function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(N)}{N} = 0$ or $h(N) = N$.

We also consider function $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable in Ω' and define constants

$$G := \sup_{x \in \Omega'} \|g(x)\| < \infty, \quad G^{(1)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega'} \|Dg(x)\| < \infty, \quad (4)$$

$$C > 0, \quad C \geq \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} \frac{1}{N^m} e^{h(N_0)f(x, N)}, \quad \text{for all } N \geq N_0. \quad (5)$$

Let us assume partition functions (1) and (2) are finite. Further,

- (a) for the partition functions (1) we assume $f(\cdot, N)$ has a nondegenerate maximum in the interior of Ω' and we introduce a constants

$$F^{(2)} := \inf_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D^2 f(x, N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|^{-2} > 0, \quad (6)$$

$$F'_{det}{}^{(2)} := \inf_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x, N)|} > 0, \quad (7)$$

$$F^{(2)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D^2 f(x, N)\| < \infty, \quad (8)$$

$$F^{(3)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D^3 f(x, N)\| < \infty, \quad (9)$$

- (b) for the partition function (2) we assume $f(\cdot, N)$ has unique maximum on the boundary, i.e. $x^*(N) \in \{x : x_1 = 0\}$ and $\frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} \neq 0$. We also introduce a constants

$$F^{(1)} := \inf_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \left| \frac{\partial f(x, N)}{\partial x_1} \right| > 0, \quad (10)$$

$$F^{(2)} := \inf_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D_y^2 f(x, N)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|^{-2} > 0, \quad (11)$$

$$F'_{det}{}^{(2)} := \inf_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \sqrt{|\det |D_y^2 f(x, N)|} > 0, \quad (12)$$

$$F^{(2)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D^2 f(x, N)\| < \infty, \quad (13)$$

$$F^{(3)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega', N \geq N_0} \|D^3 f(x, N)\| < \infty, \quad (14)$$

where $y = (x_2, \dots, x_m)$ and D_y is a differential operator in that coordinates.

Furthermore, we assume than on every section $\Omega'(x_1) = \{y : (x_1, y) \in \Omega'\}$, $x_1 \in [0, \varepsilon]$ we have a unique nondegenerate maximum.

Remark 1. *The situation when the boundary of the domain is $\{x : x_1 = a\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ can be reduced to the case of the boundary $\{x : x_1 = 0\}$ if we only consider N such that $Na \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is due to the fact that for those values the lattice L_N is preserved after appropriate shift of the coordinate system.*

2.1 Univariate partition function

For the function f , h in (2) with $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ we define a set

$$U_N := \left\{ x : x \leq \frac{1}{h(N)^{1-\delta}}, x \in \Omega \right\},$$

where parameter $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Additionally, let us define $N_1 := \max \{ \lceil h^{-1}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\delta-1}}) \rceil, N_0 \}$. For all $N \geq N_1$ set $U_N \subset \Omega'$ and we have following results

Proposition 1. *For the function f in (2) with $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ following approximation holds*

$$\sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f'(0,N)x} = \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)} \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp\left(-|f'(0, N)|h(N)^\delta\right)\right),$$

where $\omega_{UB}(N) = O(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$|\omega_{UB}(N)| \leq \frac{N}{h(N)} \frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)}{|f'(0, N)|} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}|f'(0, N)|\right).$$

Theorem 1. *For the partition function (2) with $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ following approximation holds*

$$\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} g(x)e^{h(N)f(x,N)} = e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)} \left(g(0) + \frac{\omega_B(N)}{h(N)^{1-2\delta}}\right),$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\omega_B(N) = O(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_B(N)| &\leq \left(\frac{GF^{(2)}}{2} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-\delta}\right) \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}}\right) \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp\left(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta\right)\right) + \\ &+ \omega_{UB}(N)Gh(N)^{1-2\delta} \exp\left(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta\right) + Gh(N)^{1-2\delta} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)\right) \times \\ &\times \left(\exp\left(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta\right)(N\varepsilon + 1) + NC \exp\left(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(0, N) - \Delta)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

where ω_{UB} is defined in Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let us consider that $x = \frac{i}{N}$, $i = 0, \dots, I_N$, with $I_N = \lfloor \frac{N}{h(N)^{1-\delta}} \rfloor$, then we have

$$\sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f'(0,N)x} = \sum_{i=0}^{I_N} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)i\right)$$

which is equal

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f'(0,N)x} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)i\right) - \sum_{i>I_N} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)i\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)} - \sum_{i>I_N} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)i\right), \end{aligned}$$

by the formula for the geometric series.

Then we estimate the last term by simple approximation of the sum with an integral

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i>I_N} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right) &\leq \int_{I_N}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)y\right) dy \leq \\ &\leq \frac{N}{h(N)|f'(0, N)|} \exp\left(-|f'(0, N)|\left(h(N)^\delta - \frac{h(N)}{N}\right)\right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{N}{h(N)|f'(0, N)|} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}|f'(0, N)|\right) \exp(-|f'(0, N)|h(N)^\delta). \end{aligned}$$

where the expression in the exponent is negative since by (10) derivative f' is negative in Ω' and as $I_N \geq \frac{N}{h(N)^{1-\delta}} - 1$.

Hence we get the result of preposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us introduce $S_B(N)$, $Z_B(N)$ and using Taylor's Theorem decompose $Z(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} S_B(N) &:= g(0)e^{h(N)f(0, N)} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)\right)} = g(0)e^{h(N)f(0, N)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N}f'(0, N)i\right), \\ Z_B(N) &:= g(0)e^{h(N)f(0, N)} \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp(h(N)f'(0, N)x), \\ Z(N) &= Z_{11}(N) + Z_{12}(N) + Z_2(N) + Z_3(N) := g(0) \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \\ &+ \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} Dg(x_\theta(N))^T x e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \sum_{(\Omega' \setminus U_N) \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \sum_{(\Omega' \setminus \Omega') \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x, N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and everywhere in the proofs x_θ denotes a point between x and the point at which the expansion is performed. It might be different in different instances.

Now we put together above expressions

$$|Z(N) - S_B(N)| \leq |Z_{11}(N) - Z_B(N)| + |Z_B(N) - S_B(N)| + |Z_{12}(N)| + |Z_2(N)| + |Z_3(N)|.$$

For $|Z_{11}(N) - Z_B(N)|$ we use second order Taylor's Theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{11}(N) - Z_B(N)| &\leq |g(0)| \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp\left(h(N)f(0, N) + h(N)f'(0, N)x\right) \times \\ &\times \left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)f''(x_\theta(N), N)x^2\right) - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The the second term in the Taylor's Theorem can be bounded, $|f''(x_\theta, N)x^2| \leq F^{(2)}x^2$, where $F^{(2)}$ is defined by (13).

Next, using above result, inequality $|e^t - 1| \leq |t|e^{|t|}$ and the fact that $x \leq h(N)^{-1+\delta}$ for any $x \in U_N$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{11}(N) - Z_B(N)| &\leq \frac{1}{2}GF^{(2)}e^{h(N)f(0, N)}h(N)^{-1+2\delta} \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp(h(N)f'(0, N)x) \times \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}F^{(2)}h(N)^{-1+2\delta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where G is defined by (4). We need the last term in above inequality to be bounded, hence we set $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Then with use of Preposition 1 we obtain an estimate

$$|Z_{11}(N) - Z_B(N)| \leq \frac{1}{2} G F^{(2)} \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-2\delta}}\right) e^{h(N)f(0,N)} h(N)^{-1+2\delta} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} f'(0, N)\right)} \times \\ \times \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp\left(-|f'(a, N)|h(N)^\delta\right)\right).$$

Next expression to approximate, $|Z_B(N) - S_B(N)|$, can be directly obtained from Preposition 1

$$|Z_B(N) - S_B(N)| \leq |g(0)| e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} f'(0, N)\right)} \omega_{UB}(N) \exp\left(-|f'(0, N)|h(N)^\delta\right),$$

Now let us consider sum $Z_{12}(N)$. Here again we apply second order Taylor's Theorem to obtain

$$|Z_{12}(N)| \leq G^{(1)} h(N)^{-1+\delta} e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f'(0,N)x} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} h(N) F^{(2)} x^2\right),$$

since g has bounded derivative in U_N and $G^{(1)}$ is defined by (4). Applying Preposition 1 and using that $x \leq h(N)^{-1+\delta}$ we obtain

$$|Z_{12}(N)| \leq G^{(1)} \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{-1+2\delta}}\right) e^{h(N)f(0,N)} h(N)^{-1+\delta} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} f'(0, N)\right)} \times \\ \times \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp\left(-|f'(0, N)|h(N)^\delta\right)\right).$$

For $|Z_2(N)|$ we apply first order Taylor's Theorem which yields

$$f(x, N) \leq f(x^*(N), N) - F^{(1)}x,$$

where $F^{(1)}$ is defined by (10) and then

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \sum_{(\Omega' \setminus U_N) \cap L_N} g(x) \exp\left(-h(N)F^{(1)}x\right).$$

Since in the set $\Omega' \setminus U_N$ function g is bounded by G and $x > \frac{1}{h(N)^{1-\delta}}$ hence

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq e^{h(N)f(0,N)} G \exp\left(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta\right) \sum_{\Omega' \cap L_N} 1.$$

The size of the set $\Omega' \cap L_N$, which is the number of points of the lattice L_N in the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$, is bounded by $\varepsilon N + 1$. Hence we get

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq N e^{h(N)f(0,N)} G \exp\left(-h(N)^\delta F^{(1)}\right) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N}\right).$$

In case of $|Z_3(N)|$ we have following upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z_3(N)| &\leq e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \sum_{\Omega \setminus \Omega' \cap L_N} |g(x)| \exp(h(N_0)(f(x, N) - f(0, N)) - (h(N) - h(N_0))\Delta) \leq \\
&\leq \exp((h(N) - h(N_0))(f(a, N) - \Delta)) \sum_{\Omega \setminus \Omega' \cap L_N} |g(x)| e^{h(N_0)f(x,N)} \leq \\
&\leq N e^{h(N)f(0,N)} GC \exp(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(0, N) - \Delta)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to assumption (5).

Then we combine above approximations

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z(N) - S_B(N)| &\leq h(N)^{-1+2\delta} e^{h(N)f(0,N)} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} f'(0, N)\right)} \left[\left(\frac{GF^{(2)}}{2} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-\delta} \right) \times \right. \\
&\times \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}}\right) \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp(-F^{(1)}N^\delta)\right) + G\omega_{UB}(N)h(N)^{1-2\delta} \exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta) + \\
&+ Nh(N)^{1-2\delta} G \left(\exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{N}\right) + C \exp(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(0, N) - \Delta)) \right) \times \\
&\left. \times \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} f'(0, N)\right)\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2 Multivariate partition function with the maximum in the interior

For the function f in (1) we define sets

$$\begin{aligned}
U_N &:= \left\{ x : |x - x^*(N)| \leq \frac{1}{h(N)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}} \right\}, \\
V_{N,y} &:= \left\{ x : y_i - \frac{1}{2N} \leq x_i < y_i + \frac{1}{2N}, i \in 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}, \\
V_N &:= \{x : x \in V_{N,y}, y \in U_N \cap L_N\},
\end{aligned}$$

where parameter $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Further, let us define $N_1 := \max\{\lceil h^{-1}(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2\delta-1}}) \rceil, N_0\}$. For all $N \geq N_1$ set $U_N \subset \Omega'$ and we have following results

Proposition 2. *For the functions f, h in (1) following approximation holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) = \\
&= N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2(m+1)})$, $\omega_{UI}(N) = O(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_{UI}(N)| &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{m}{2}} F^{(2)}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m}h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N}\right)^{m+1} + \\
&+ \frac{N}{h(N)^{1/2+\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}h(N)^{2\delta} F^{(2)}\right) \left[\frac{2^{-\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}F^{(2)}h(N)^{1/2+\delta}}{N} + \frac{mF^{(2)}h(N)}{2N^2}\right) \times \right. \\
&\times \left[\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m}h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N}\right)^m - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{m}h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N}\right)^m \right] + \\
&\left. + \frac{1}{F_{det}^{(2)}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N_0)^{2\delta} F^{(2)}\right) \frac{1}{h(N)^{m\delta}} \left(\frac{h(N)}{h(N_0)}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. For the partition function (1) following approximation holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,N)} &= N^m e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \times \\
&\times \left(\frac{g(x^*(N))}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_I(N) \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + G\omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$, $\omega_I(N) = O(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_I(N)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right) \exp\left(\frac{F^{(3)}}{6h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}}\right) \times \\
&\times \left(\frac{GF^{(3)}}{6} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-2\delta} \right) + G \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)}\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} h(N)^{1/2-3\delta} \left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}h(N)^{2\delta} F^{(2)}\right) \times \right. \\
&\left. \times \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \right)^m + C \exp(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(x^*, N) - \Delta)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{UI}(N)$ is defined in Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2. Let us define $I_G(N)$ and $Z_G(N)$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_G(N) &:= N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} = \\
&= N^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) dx, \\
Z_G(N) &:= \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and decompose I_G into four integrals with indicator function

$$\begin{aligned}
I_G(N) &= I_{G1}(N) + I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N) + I_{G4}(N) := \\
&= N^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\mathbb{1}_{V_N}(x) + \mathbb{1}_{U_N \setminus V_N}(x) - \mathbb{1}_{V_N \setminus U_N}(x) + \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus U_N}(x) \right) \times \\
&\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then decompose $I_{G1}(N)$ into sum of integrals and use Taylor's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G1}(N) &= I_{G11}(N) + I_{G12}(N) := \\ &= N^m \sum_{y \in U_N \cap L_N} \int_{V_{N,y}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(y - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(y - x^*(N))\right) dx + \\ &+ N^m \sum_{y \in U_N \cap L_N} \int_{V_{N,y}} (x - y)^T D \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) \Big|_{x=x_\theta(y)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We combine above integrals into

$$|Z_G(N) - I_G(N)| \leq |Z_G(N) - I_{G11}(N)| + |I_{G12}(N)| + |I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N)| + |I_{G4}(N)|.$$

and approximate each term separately.

First we consider the expression $|Z_G(N) - I_{G11}(N)|$. For the integral $I_{G11}(N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G11}(N) &= N^m \sum_{y \in U_N \cap L_N} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(y - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(y - x^*(N))\right) \int_{V_{N,y}} 1 dx = \\ &= \sum_{y \in U_N \cap L_N} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(y - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(y - x^*(N))\right), \end{aligned}$$

as the integral of 1 on hypercube $V_{N,y}$ is equal to its volume N^{-m} . Hence $|Z_G(N) - I_{G11}(N)| = 0$.

Then we approximate $|I_{G12}(N)|$.

Since for any $x \in V_{N,y}$, $|x - y| \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{G12}(N)| &\leq \\ &\leq N^m \sum_{y \in U_N \cap \Lambda_N} \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \int_{V_{N,y}} \left| D \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) \Big|_{x=x_\theta(y)} \right| dx, \end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate above derivative

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| D \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N))\right) \Big|_{x=x_\theta(y)} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}h(N) \max_i \left| 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (x_j - x_j^*(N)) \right| \times \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x_\theta(y) - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x_\theta(y) - x^*(N))\right) \leq \\ &\leq h(N)mF^{(2)}|x - x^*(N)| \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x_\theta(y) - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x_\theta(y) - x^*(N))\right). \end{aligned}$$

where $F^{(2)}$ is defined by (8).

Since in the integration we include points outside U_N but within $V_{N,y}$ with $y \in U_N \cap L_N$ hence we have

$$|x - x^*(N)| \leq \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \leq \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m}h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N}\right).$$

Using that and the fact that volume of $V_{N,y}$ is N^{-m} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{G12}(N)| &\leq m^{3/2} F^{(2)} \frac{h(N)^{1/2+\delta}}{N} F^{(2)} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m} h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right) \times \\
&\times \sum_{y \in U_N \cap L_N} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N) (x_\theta(y) - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x_\theta(y) - x^*(N)) \right) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{h(N)^{1/2+\delta}}{N} m^{3/2} F^{(2)} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m} h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right) \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} 1.
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to fact that $D^2 f$ is negative definite in $U_N \subset \Omega'$, therefore occurring exponent can be bounded by 1.

In order to estimate the size of the above sum, note that it is bounded above by the number of hypercubes $V_{N,y}$, $y \in L_N$ that intersects U_N . The sphere of the radius $h(N)^{-1/2+\delta} + \sqrt{m} N^{-1}$ and dimension m contains all such $V_{N,y}$. Therefore its volume divided by volume N^{-m} of $V_{N,y}$, that is

$$\left(\frac{N}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} + \sqrt{m} \right)^m \frac{\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)}$$

is an upperbound for the number of $V_{N,y}$ that intersects U_N . Putting that into the previous estimate yields

$$|I_{G12}(N)| \leq \frac{m^{3/2} F^{(2)} h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1) N} N^m \left(\frac{\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{m} h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^{m+1}.$$

For the approximation of $|I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N)|$ let us introduce a set

$$\tilde{U}_N := \left\{ x : \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} - \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \leq |x - x^*(N)| \leq \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \right\}.$$

Since \tilde{U}_N contains the domains of the integration of $I_{G2}(N)$ and $I_{G3}(N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N)| &\leq N^m \int_{\tilde{U}_N} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right) dx \leq \\
&\leq N^m \int_{\tilde{U}_N} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N) F^{(2)} |x - x^*(N)|^2 \right) dx \leq \\
&\leq N^m \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F^{(2)} \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{m} h(N)^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right|^2 \right) \int_{\tilde{U}_N} 1 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \leq -\|D^2 f(x^*(N), N)\| |x - x^*(N)|^2 \leq -F^{(2)} |x - x^*(N)|^2,$$

and $F^{(2)}$ is defined by (6).

Next, we calculate the integral in the above expression by performing change of the system of coordinates to spherical which yields the estimate

$$\int_{\tilde{U}_N} 1 dx = \frac{2\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \right)^m - \left(\frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-\delta}} - \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \right)^m \right].$$

Hence we obtain following estimate for $|I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N)|$

$$|I_{G2}(N) - I_{G3}(N)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} h(N)^{m\delta} N^m \left(\frac{\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right|^2 \right) \times \\ \times \left[\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^m - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^m \right].$$

Finally we approximate $I_{G4}(N)$

$$|I_{G4}(N)| \leq N^m \int_{U_N \setminus \mathbb{R}^m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (h(N) - h(N_0)) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right) \times \\ \times \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N_0) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right) dx \leq \\ \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} h(N_0)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \right) \times \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N_0) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right) dx \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{F'_{det}{}^{(2)}} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N_0)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} h(N_0)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \right).$$

where $F'_{det}{}^{(2)}$ is given by (7).

Then we combine above approximations

$$|Z_G(N) - I_G(N)| \leq \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left[\frac{2^{-\frac{m}{2}} F'^{(2)} m^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^{m+1} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2^{-\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \frac{N}{h(N)^{1/2+\delta}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right|^2 \right) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^m - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{mh(N)}^{1/2-\delta}}{N} \right)^m \right] + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{F'_{det}{}^{(2)}} \frac{N}{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}} \left(\frac{h(N)}{h(N_0)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} h(N_0)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \right) \right].$$

and for the error term to decrease as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we set $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2(m+1)})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us introduce $I_G(N)$, $Z_G(N)$ and using Taylor's Theorem decompose $Z(N)$

$$I_G(N) := N^m g(x^*(N)) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} = \\ = N^m g(x^*(N)) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right) dx, \\ Z_G(N) := g(x^*(N)) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} h(N) (x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N) (x - x^*(N)) \right), \\ Z(N) = Z_{11}(N) + Z_{12}(N) + Z_2(N) + Z_3(N) := g(x^*(N)) \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \\ + \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} Dg(x_\theta(N))^T (x - x^*(N)) e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \sum_{(\Omega' \setminus U_N) \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x, N)} + \sum_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega') \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x, N)}.$$

We combine above integrals into

$$|Z(N) - I_G(N)| \leq |Z_{11}(N) - Z_G(N)| + |Z_G(N) - I_G(N)| + |Z_{12}(N)| + |Z_2(N)| + |Z_3(N)|.$$

For $|Z_{11}(N) - Z_G(N)|$ we use third order Taylor's Theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{11}(N) - Z_G(N)| &= \\ &= |g(x^*(N))| \sum_{U_N} \exp \left(h(N)f(x^*(N), N) + \frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N)) \right) \times \\ &\times \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{6}h(N)D^3 f(x_\theta(N), N)(x - x^*(N))^3 \right) - 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

due to $Df(x^*(N), N)^T(x - x^*(N)) = 0$, since $x^*(N)$ is a critical point.

The the third term in the Taylor's Theorem can be bounded

$$|D^3 f(x_\theta)x^3| \leq \|D^3 f(x_\theta)\| |x|^3 \leq F^{(3)}|x|^3,$$

where $F^{(3)}$ is defined by (9).

Next, using above result, inequality $|e^t - 1| \leq |t|e^{|t|}$ and the fact that $|x - x^*(N)| \leq h(N)^{-1/2+\delta}$ for $x \in U_N$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{11}(N) - Z_G(N)| &\leq \frac{1}{6}GF^{(3)}e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)}h(N)^{-1/2+3\delta} \times \\ &\times \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N)) \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{6}F^{(3)}h(N)^{-1/2+3\delta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where G is defined by (4).

In order to bound the last term in above estimate we set $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$. Then with use of Preposition 2 we obtain an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{11}(N) - Z_G(N)| &\leq \frac{1}{6}GF^{(3)} \exp \left(\frac{F^{(3)}}{6h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} \right) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} h(N)^{-1/2+3\delta} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

but here $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2(m+1)})$. Therefore we set $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$ for the estimate to be valid for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Next expression to approximate, $|Z_G(N) - I_G(N)|$, can be directly obtained from Preposition 2

$$|Z_G(N) - I_G(N)| \leq N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} g(x^*(N)) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}.$$

Now, let us consider the sum $Z_{12}(N)$. Here again, we apply third order Taylor's Theorem and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{12}(N)| &\leq G^{(1)}e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)}h(N)^{-1/2+\delta} \exp \left(\frac{1}{6}h(N)F^{(3)}|x - x^*(N)|^3 \right) \times \\ &\times \sum_{U_N \cap L_N} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}h(N)(x - x^*(N))^T D^2 f(x^*(N), N)(x - x^*(N)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

since g has bounded derivative in U_N by $G^{(1)}$, which is defined by (4). Further, applying Proposition 2 and using that $|x - x^*(N)| \leq h(N)^{-1/2+\delta}$ in U_N we obtain

$$|Z_{12}(N)| \leq G^{(1)} \exp\left(\frac{F^{(3)}}{6h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}}\right) e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} h(N)^{-1/2+\delta} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \times \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}\right).$$

For $|Z_2(N)|$ we apply second order Taylor's Theorem for f which yields

$$f(x, N) \leq f(x^*(N), N) - \frac{1}{2} F^{(2)} |x - x^*(N)|^2,$$

and then estimate

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \sum_{(\Omega' \setminus U_N) \cap L_N} g(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} N F^{(2)} |x - x^*(N)|^2\right).$$

Since in the set $\Omega' \setminus U_N$ function g is bounded by G and $|x - x^*(N)| > \frac{1}{N^{1/2-\delta}}$ hence

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq G e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F^{(2)}\right) \sum_{\Omega' \cap L_N} 1.$$

In order to estimate the size of the above sum, note it is bounded above by the number of hypercubes $V_{N,y}$, $y \in L_N$ that intersect Ω' . The sphere of the radius $\varepsilon + \sqrt{m}N^{-1}$ and dimension m contains all such $V_{N,y}$'s. Therefore its volume, divided by N^{-m} , volume of $V_{N,y}$ that is

$$\frac{\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} (N\varepsilon + \sqrt{m})^m$$

is an upperbound for number of $V_{N,y}$'s that intersect Ω' . Adding that to the previous estimate yields

$$|Z_2(N)| \leq \frac{G\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} N^m e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} h(N)^{2\delta} F^{(2)}\right) \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N}\right)^m$$

In case of $|Z_3(N)|$ we have following upper bound

$$|Z_3(N)| \leq e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \sum_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega') \cap L_N} |g(x)| \exp(h(N_0)(f(x, N) - f(x^*(N), N)) - (h(N) - h(N_0))\Delta) \leq \\ \leq \exp((h(N) - h(N_0))(f(x^*(N), N) - \Delta)) \sum_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega') \cap L_N} |g(x)| e^{h(N_0)f(x, N)} \leq \\ \leq GN^m e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} C \exp(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(x^*(N), N) - \Delta)),$$

where the last inequality is due to assumption (5).

Then we combine above approximations

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z(N) - I_G(N)| &\leq h(N)^{-1/2+3\delta} e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \times \\
&\times \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right) \exp \left(\frac{F^{(3)}}{6h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} \right) \times \right. \\
&\times \left(\frac{GF^{(3)}}{6} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-2\delta} \right) + \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} h(N)^{1/2-3\delta} G \left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + 1)} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}h(N)^{2\delta} F'^{(2)} \right) \right) \times \\
&\times \left. \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{N} \right)^m + C \exp \left(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(x^*, N) - \Delta) \right) \right] + \\
&+ \omega_{UI}(N)g(x^*(N))e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} N^m \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Multivariate partition function with the maximum on the boundary

Theorem 3. *For the partition function (2) following approximation holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x_1: x_1 \geq 0\}} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,B)} &= e^{Nf(x^*(N),N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - \exp \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N),N)}{\partial x_1} \right)} \times \\
&\times \left(\frac{g(x^*(N))}{\sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \omega_1(N) \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + \omega_2(N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

valid for all $N \geq N_1$ with $N_1 := \max \{ \lceil h^{-1}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\delta-1}}) \rceil, \lceil h^{-1}(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{2\delta-1}}) \rceil, N_0 \}$, $\delta = (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$, $\omega_1(N) = O(1)$, $\omega_2(N) = O(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1(N) &= \omega_I(N) + \omega_I(N) \frac{\omega_{B2}(N)}{h(N)^{1-2\delta}} + \omega_{B1}(N) \frac{1}{F'_{det}} \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2+\delta}}, \\
\omega_2(N) &= \omega_{UI}(N)G + \omega_{UI}(N) \frac{G\omega_{B2}(N)}{h(N)^{1-2\delta}},
\end{aligned}$$

where ω_{UI} is defined in Proposition 2, ω_I in Theorem 2 and ω_{B1} , ω_{B2} are

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_{B1}(N)| &\leq \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp \left(-F'^{(1)}h(N)^\delta \right) \right) \exp \left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}} \right) \times \\
&\times \left(\frac{GF^{(2)}}{2} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-\delta} + \frac{m^2 GF^{(3)}}{2F'^{(2)}} h(N)^{-\delta} \right) + \\
&+ h(N)^{1-2\delta} G \exp \left(-F'^{(1)}h(N)^\delta \right) \left(\omega_{UB}(N) + (N\varepsilon + 1) \left(1 - \exp \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} \right) \right) \right). \\
|\omega_{B2}(N)| &\leq \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp \left(-F'^{(1)}h(N)^\delta \right) \right) \exp \left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}} \right) \frac{F^{(2)}}{2} + \\
&+ h(N)^{1-2\delta} \exp \left(-F'^{(1)}h(N)^\delta \right) \left(\omega_{UB}(N) + (N\varepsilon + 1) \left(1 - \exp \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. *The situation when the boundary is an arbitrary hyperplane with rational coefficients can be reduced to the case with boundary $\{x : x_1 \geq 0\}$. This is due to that after appropriate rotation of coordinate system structure of the lattice essential for the application of the Theorem is preserved. That is, all the point of the domain can be represented as a series of equally spaced hyperplanes parallel to the boundary.*

Proof. We decompose $Z(N)$ into

$$Z(N) = Z_1(N) + Z_2(N) := \sum_{\Omega' \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,N)} dx + \sum_{(\Omega \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}) \setminus \Omega' \cap L_N} g(x) e^{h(N)f(x,N)} dx,$$

and approximate $Z_2(N)$ as the sum $Z_3(N)$ in the previous proof.

Since $\Omega \setminus \Omega' \subset \Omega \setminus U_N$

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_2(N)| &\leq \exp((h(N) - h(N_0))(f(x^*(N), N) - \Delta)) \sum_{(\Omega \setminus \Omega') \cap L_N} |g(x)| e^{h(N_0)f(x,N)} dx \leq \\ &\leq N^m e^{h(N)f(x^*(N), N)} GC \exp(-h(N)\Delta - h(N_0)(f(x^*(N), N) - \Delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we express $Z_1(N)$ as

$$Z_1(N) = \sum_{x_1 \in \Omega' \cap L_N} Z_1(x_1, N),$$

where the sum is over possible values of coordinate x_1 for all the points in $\Omega' \cap L_N$ but evaluated is only the first coordinate and

$$Z_1(x_1, N) := \sum_{\Omega' \cap L_N(x_1)} g(x_1, y) e^{h(N)f(x_1, y, N)},$$

where $\Omega' \cap L_N(x_1) = \{y : (x_1, y) \in \Omega' \cap L_N\}$.

Next, we apply Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(x_1, N) &= e^{h(N)f(x_1, y^*(x_1, N), N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{g(x_1, y^*(x_1, N))}{\sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x_1, y^*(x_1, N), N)|}} + \omega_I(x_1, N) \frac{1}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + G\omega_{UI}(x_1, N) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $y^*(x_1, N) = \arg \max_{y \in \Omega'(x_1)} f(x_1, y, N)$.

Due to summation over the set $\Omega' \cap L_N(x_1)$ the constants which occurs as a result of application of Theorem 2 can be replaced by the appropriate constants for a larger set Ω' which are independent of x_1 , that is (11), (12), (13) and (14).

Then we apply Theorem 1 to $Z_1(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(N) &= e^{Nf(x^*(N), N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)} \left(\frac{g(x^*(N))}{\sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} + \right. \\ &+ \frac{\omega_{B1}(N)}{F_{det}^{(2)} h(N)^{1-2\delta}} + \frac{\omega_I(N)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + \frac{\omega_I(N)\omega_{B2}(N)}{h(N)^{\frac{3}{2}-5\delta}} + \\ &\left. + \frac{G\omega_{UI}(N)h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + \frac{G\omega_{UI}(N)\omega_{B2}(N)h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{h(N)^{1-2\delta}N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $(0, y^*(0, N)) = x^*(N)$.

Since Theorem 1 was applied where the integration domain was the curve $y^*(x_1, N)$, the constants in the estimate of $\omega_{B1}(N)$ and $\omega_{B2}(N)$ can be replaced by the constants for larger set Ω' i.e. (10), (11) and (13).

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_{B1}(N)| &\leq \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta)\right) \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}}\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{GF^{(2)}}{2} + G^{(1)}h(N)^{-\delta} + \frac{m^2GF^{(3)}}{2F^{(2)}}h(N)^{-\delta}\right) + \\
&\quad + h(N)^{1-2\delta}G \exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta) \left(\omega_{UB}(N) + (N\varepsilon + 1) \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)\right)\right). \\
|\omega_{B2}(N)| &\leq \left(1 + \omega_{UB}(N) \exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta)\right) \exp\left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{2h(N)^{1-\delta}}\right) \frac{F^{(2)}}{2} + \\
&\quad + h(N)^{1-2\delta} \exp(-F^{(1)}h(N)^\delta) \left(\omega_{UB}(N) + (N\varepsilon + 1) \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we combine above result with the estimate of $Z_2(N)$ to obtain the final result. \square

3 Limit theorems

For the function f in (1) and (2) let us assume

$$f(x, N) = f(x) + \epsilon(N)\sigma(x), \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma, f(x)$ are some functions with derivatives up to second order and $\epsilon(N) > 0$, $\epsilon(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, for f in (1) we assume that at x^* there is a nondegenerate maximum. In case of (2) we assume $\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} < 0$ and w.r.t. coordinates (x_2, \dots, x_m) there is a nondegenerate maximum at x^* .

Above equation implies

$$x^*(N) = x^* + \epsilon(N)O(1), \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

For every $N \geq N_0$, let $X(N)$ be a random vector with pmf defined using partition functions (1) and (2)

$$(a) P_N(X(N) = y) = \frac{e^{h(N)f(y, N)}}{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x, N)}}, \quad (17)$$

$$(b) P_N(X(N) = y) = \frac{e^{h(N)f(y, N)}}{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} e^{h(N)f(x, N)}}. \quad (18)$$

3.1 Weak law of large numbers

Theorem 4 (Weak law of large numbers). *As $N \rightarrow \infty$ the random vector $X(N)$ converges in distribution to a constant x^* and following estimate of the mgf holds*

$$M_{X(N)}(\xi) = e^{\xi^T x^*} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-2\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + O(1)\epsilon(N)\right), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$.

Remark 3. For this and three following limit theorems estimate of the convergence error term can be explicitly estimated with use of the previous results.

Proof. To prove the convergence of $X(N)$ it is sufficient to prove convergence of its moment generating functions

$$(a) M_{X(N)}(\xi) = \frac{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{\xi^T x} e^{h(N)f(x,N)}}{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x,N)}},$$

$$(b) M_{X(N)}(\xi) = \frac{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} e^{\xi^T x} e^{h(N)f(x,N)}}{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} e^{h(N)f(x,N)}},$$

where $|\xi| < h$, for some $h > 0$.

We approximate the denominator with use of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3

$$(a) \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x,N)} = e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} \times$$

$$\times \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),$$

$$(b) \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq 0\}} e^{h(N)f(x,N)} = e^{h(N)f(x^*(N),N)} \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\left(1 - \exp \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N),N)}{\partial x_1} \right) \right) \sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),$$

and numerator

$$(a) \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} \exp(\xi^T x + h(N)f(x, N)) = \exp(\xi^T x^*(N) + h(N)f(x^*(N), N)) \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),$$

$$(b) \sum_{\Omega \cap L_N \cap \{x: x_1 \geq a\}} \exp(\xi^T x + h(N)f(x, N)) = \exp(\xi^T x^*(N) + h(N)f(x^*(N), N)) \left(\frac{2\pi}{h(N)} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\left(1 - \exp \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N),N)}{\partial x_1} \right) \right) \sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right).$$

Dividing the approximations of denominators and numerators yields

$$M_{X(N)}(\xi) = \exp(\xi^T x^*(N)) \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right).$$

Next, we use estimate (16) and Taylor's expansion for the exponent function to obtain

$$M_{X(N)}(\xi) = \exp(\xi^T x^*) (1 + \epsilon(N) \xi^T O(1)) \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} \right),$$

which yields the result of the theorem. \square

3.2 Central limit theorem

Here, let us consider $X(N)$ with bounded range set Ω and for (15) let $\epsilon(N) = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h(N)}}\right)$. Then for f in (1) we have following preliminary result

Proposition 3. *For the function $\tilde{f}(x, N) := f(x, N) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(N)}}\xi^T(x^* - x)$ with $\xi > 0$ following approximations holds*

$$\tilde{x}^*(N) - x^*(N) = D^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{h(N)}} + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N) - f(x^*(N), N) = \frac{1}{2h(N)}\xi^T D^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{3/2}} + \frac{O(1)\epsilon(N)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)|}} = 1 + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}, \quad (21)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tilde{x}^*(N)$ is a maximum of \tilde{f} .

Proof. The proof is analogical to one for Proposition 1 in [4]. The difference is that the function \tilde{f} is defined with $h(N)$ instead of N . We replace it everywhere in the results as it is without a significant effect on the proof. \square

Now, with use of above result we can prove following limit theorems valid for sufficiently large N

Theorem 5 (Central limit theorem I). *For $X(N)$ with distribution (17) the random vector $Z(N) = \sqrt{h(N)}(x^* - X(N))$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{N}(0, D^2 f(x^*)^{-1})$ and following estimate of the mgf holds*

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi^T D^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi\right) \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + O(1)\epsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)}\right),$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$

Theorem 6 (Central limit theorem II). *For $X(N)$ with distribution (18) and assuming $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(N)}{N} = 0$, the random vector $Z(N) = (h(N)(x_1^* - X_1(N)), \sqrt{h(N)}(y^* - Y(N)))$ converges weakly to $\text{Exp}\left|\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right|$ for $Z_1(N)$ and to $\mathcal{N}(0, D_y^2 f(x^*)^{-1})$ for $(Z_2(N), \dots, Z_m(N))$. Furthermore, following estimate of the mgf holds*

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \frac{\left|\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right|}{\left|\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right|} e^{\frac{1}{2}\xi_y^T D_y^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi_y} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + O(1)\epsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)}\right),$$

where $\xi_y = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$, $X(N) = (X_1(N), Y(N))$, $x^* = (x_1^*, y^*)$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$.

Theorem 7 (Central limit theorem III). *For $X(N)$ with distribution (18) and assuming $h(N) = N$ the random vector $Z(N) = (h(N)(x_1^* - X_1(N)), \sqrt{h(N)}(y^* - Y(N)))$ converges weakly to a discrete distribution with pmf*

$$P(Z_1(N) = i) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} i\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right)\right),$$

for $Z_1(N)$ and to $\mathcal{N}(0, D_y^2 f(x^*)^{-1})$ for $(Z_2(N), \dots, Z_m(N))$.
Furthermore, following estimate of the mgf holds

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} - \varepsilon_1\right)} e^{\frac{1}{2}\xi_y^T D_y^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi_y} \times \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + \varepsilon(N) \sqrt{h(N)} O(1)\right),$$

where $\xi_y = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$, $X(N) = (X_1(N), Y(N))$, $x^* = (x_1^*, y^*)$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3(m+1)})$.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let us define $\tilde{f}(x, N) := f(x, N) + \frac{1}{h(N)} \xi^T (x^* - x)$. Then the mgf of $Z(N)$ can be expressed

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \frac{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{h(N)\tilde{f}(x, N)}}{\sum_{\Omega \cap L_N} e^{h(N)f(x, N)}}.$$

First, we approximate the numerator and denominator of the mgf using Theorem 2

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \exp\left(h(N)\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N) - h(N)f(x^*(N), N)\right) \frac{\sqrt{|\det D^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}}{\sqrt{|\det D^2 \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)|}} \times \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}\right).$$

Next, we insert the estimates (20) and (21) from Proposition 3

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi^T D^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}} + O(1)\varepsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)}\right) \times \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}\right),$$

and use estimate

$$\exp\left(\frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}} + O(1)\varepsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)}\right) = 1 + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}} + O(1)\varepsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)},$$

which leads to the final result. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. This proof is analogical. Here we define $\tilde{f}(x, N) := f(x, N) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(N)}} \xi^T (\sqrt{h(N)}(x_1^* - x_1), x_2^* - x_2, \dots, x_m^* - x_m)$. Then approximate the numerator and denominator of the mgf using Theorem 3

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \exp\left(h(N)\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N) - Nf(x^*(N), N)\right) \frac{1 - \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)} \times \\ \times \frac{\sqrt{|\det D_y^2 f(x^*(N), N)|}}{\sqrt{|\det D_y^2 \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)|}} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N}\right).$$

Since the first coordinate of $x^*(N)$ is independent of N we can consider f as a function of remaining $n - 1$ coordinates. In that case it will have properties of f in (1) and we can apply results of Proposition 3 to obtain

$$M_{Z(N)}(\xi) = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{h(N)}{N} \xi_1\right)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_y^T D^2 f(x^*)^{-1} \xi_y\right) \times \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{h(N)^{1/2-3\delta}} + O(1) \frac{h(N)^{1/2+(m+1)\delta}}{N} + O(1)\epsilon(N)\sqrt{h(N)}\right),$$

where $\xi_y = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$.

Next, we use first order Taylor's Theorem to obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f(x^*, N)}{\partial x_1} \right| \leq F^{(2)}(|\tilde{x}^*(N) - x^*(N)| + |x^*(N) - x^*|),$$

and with use of (15), (16) and (19) get

$$\frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}.$$

Analogical estimation procedure is for other derivative

$$\frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} + O(1)\epsilon(N).$$

Using above estimates and approximation $e^x = 1 + x + O(x^2)$ if $x \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{h(N)}{N} \xi_1\right)} \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}}{\frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1 + \frac{h(N)}{N} \frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}} \right| = \\ = \left| \frac{\frac{f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} (1 + O(1)\epsilon(N) + O(1)\frac{h(N)}{N})}{\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right) \left(1 + O(1)\frac{h(N)}{N} + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}\right)} \right| = \frac{\left|\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right|}{\left|\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right|} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}} + O(1)\frac{h(N)}{N}\right),$$

and substituting that into last estimate of mgf yields the final result. \square

Proof of Theorem 7. The proof is similar to the previous one. Here we have $h(N) = N$ and therefore the main difference is in the last step. That is, using $e^x = 1 + O(x)$ if $x \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*(N), N)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right)} \right| = \frac{\left|1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right)\right|}{\left|1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right) + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}\right|} (1 + O(1)\epsilon(N)) = \\ = \frac{\left|1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_1}\right)\right|}{\left|1 - \exp\left(\frac{\partial f(\tilde{x}^*)}{\partial x_1} - \xi_1\right)\right|} \left(1 + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{h(N)}}\right),$$

and substituting that into last estimate of mgf yields the final result. \square

References

- [1] Ellis, R. and Rosen, J. (1982). Laplace's method for gaussian integrals with an application to statistical mechanics. *The Annals of Probability*, 10(1):47–66.
- [2] Kallenberg, O. (1997). *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Springer-Verlag.
- [3] Kolokoltsov, V. N. (2000). *Semiclassical Analysis for Diffusions and Stochastic processes*. Springer.
- [4] Kolokoltsov, V. N. and Lapinski, T. M. (2018). Multivariate laplace approximation with estimates and application to limit theorems. *ArXiv*.
- [5] Pathria, R. and Beale, P. (2011). *Statistical Mechanics, third edition*. Elsevier.
- [6] Werpachowska, A. (2011). Laplace's method revisited: Weak convergence of probability measures. *Physics Review E*, 84(4):041125.