

Parameter estimation for SDEs related to stationary Gaussian processes

Khalifa Es-Sebaiy¹ and Frederi G. Viens²
Cadi Ayyad University and Purdue University

Abstract: In this paper, we study central and non-central limit theorems for partial sum of functionals of general stationary Gaussian fields. We apply our result to study drift parameter estimation problems for some stochastic differential equations related to stationary Gaussian processes.

Key words: Central and non-central limit theorems; stationary Gaussian processes; Stein's method; drift parameter estimation; fractional Gaussian processes.

1 Introduction

While the statistical inference of Itô type diffusions has a long history, the statistical analysis for equations driven by fractional Brownian motion (fBm) is obviously more recent. The development of stochastic calculus with respect to the fBm allowed to study such models. We will recall several approaches to estimate the parameters in fractional models but we mention that the below list is not exhaustive:

- The MLE approach in [17], [26]. In general the techniques used to construct maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) for the drift parameter are based on Girsanov transforms for fBm and depend on the properties of the deterministic fractional operators (determined by the Hurst parameter) related to the fBm. In general, the MLE is not easily computable.
- A least squares approach has been proposed in [14]. The study of the asymptotic properties of the estimator is based on certain criteria formulated in terms of the Malliavin calculus (see [23]). In the ergodic case, the statistical inference for several fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (fOU) models has been recently developed in the papers [14], [2], [3], [13], [15], [9]. The case of non-ergodic fOU process of the first kind and of the second kind can be found in [4] and [12] respectively.

Our aim is to bring a new idea to develop the statistical inference for stochastic differential equations related to stationary Gaussian processes by proposing a suitable criteria. Our approach is based on Malliavin calculus and it makes in principle our estimator easier to be simulated. Moreover, the models studied in [14], [2], [3], [13] become particular cases in our approach (see section 4).

¹National School of Applied Sciences - Marrakesh, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakesh, Morocco. Email: k.essebaiy@uca.ma

²Dept. Statistics and Dept. Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University St., West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA. E-mail: viens@purdue.edu

2 Elements of Gaussian analysis and Malliavin calculus

For the essential elements of Gaussian analysis and Malliavin calculus that are used in this paper see the references ([24], [23]).

Now recall that, if X, Y are two real-valued random variables, then the total variation distance between the law of X and the law of Y is given by

$$d_{TV}(X, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P[X \in A] - P[Y \in A]|.$$

If X, Y are two real-valued integrable random variables, then the Wasserstein distance between the law of X and the law of Y is given by

$$d_W(X, Y) = \sup_{f \in Lip(1)} |Ef(X) - Ef(Y)|$$

where $Lip(1)$ indicates the collection of all Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant ≤ 1 .

The following well-known direct consequence of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma (see e.g. [18]), will allow us to turn convergence rates in the p -th mean into pathwise convergence rates.

Lemma 1 *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover let $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables. If for every $p \geq p_0$ there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(\mathbb{E}|Z_n|^p)^{1/p} \leq c_p \cdot n^{-\gamma},$$

then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a random variable η_ε such that

$$|Z_n| \leq \eta_\varepsilon \cdot n^{-\gamma+\varepsilon} \quad \text{almost surely}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}|\eta_\varepsilon|^p < \infty$ for all $p \geq 1$.

3 General context

3.1 Central and non-central limit central theorems

Consider a centered stationary Gaussian process $Z = (Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with covariance

$$r_Z(k) := E(Z_0 Z_k) \text{ and } |r_Z(k)| \leq 1 \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let us define the following normalized process

$$Y_k := \frac{Z_k}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1}$$

We also define

$$V_{q,n}(Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} I_{2k}(f_{2k,n}) \tag{2}$$

where

$$f_{2k,n} := d_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k}$$

with $Y_i = Y(\varepsilon_i)$ and $d_{q,2k}(Z)$ are constants.

If $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$, we define

$$v_q(Z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E [V_{q,n}^2(Z)].$$

We have the following central and non-central limit theorems.

Theorem 2 Let $(V_{2,n}(Z))_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence defined in (2), we let $Y_k = \frac{Z_k}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with covariance $r_Y(k) = E(Y_0 Y_k)$. Denote $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

1) Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on q and $r_Z(0)$ such that,

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E [V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) \leq \frac{C}{E [V_{2,n}^2(Z)]} \sqrt{E [V_{2,n}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}}. \quad (3)$$

We also have in the case when, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{v_q(Z)}}, N \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{v_q(Z)} \left[\sqrt{E [V_{2,n}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}} + |v_q(Z) - E [V_{2,n}^2(Y)]| \right]. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

In addition:

- If $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (r_Y(k))^2 < \infty$,

$$\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) \leq C n^{-1} \left(\sum_{|k| < n} (r_Y(k))^{4/3} \right)^3. \quad (5)$$

- If $r_Y(k) = k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$\frac{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}{(E [V_{2,n}^2(Y)])^2} \leq \frac{C}{\log(n)}, \quad (6)$$

where $E [V_{2,n}^2(Z)] \sim 4d_{q,2}^2(Z) \log(n)$.

2) Assume that $r_Y(k) = |k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \frac{d_{q,2}(Z)}{\sqrt{D}} F_\infty \quad (7)$$

where $D = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iy} |y|^{\alpha-1} dy = 2\Gamma(\alpha) \cos(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})$, and

$$F_{\infty} = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x+y)} \frac{e^{i(x+y)} - 1}{i(x+y)} |xy|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} W(dx)W(dy)$$

with W is a random spectral measure of the white noise on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Since $\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, by [21, Proposition 2.4] we have

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) \leq 2E \left| 1 - \left\langle D \frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}}, -DL^{-1} \frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right|.$$

On the other hand, exploiting the fact that

$$E \left[E \left[(I_{2k}(f_{2k,n}))^2 \right] - \langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), -DL^{-1}I_{2k}(f_{2k,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right] = 0$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| 1 - \left\langle D \frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}}, -DL^{-1} \frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q/2} \sqrt{\text{Var}((2k)^{-1} \|DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2)} + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq q/2} (2l)^{-1} E |\langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), DI_{2l}(f_{2l,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq q/2} (2l)^{-1} E |\langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), DI_{2l}(f_{2l,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [22, Lemma 3.1] we have

$$\sqrt{\text{Var}((2k)^{-1} \|DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2)} = (2k)^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} j^2 j!^2 \binom{2k}{j}^4 (4k-2j)! \|f_{2k,n} \tilde{\otimes}_j f_{2k,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 4k-2j}}^2,$$

and for $k < l$

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[((2l)^{-1} \langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), DI_{2l}(f_{2l,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})^2 \right] \leq (2k)! \binom{2l-1}{2k-1}^2 (2l-2k)! E \left[(I_{2k}(f_{2k,n}))^2 \right] \|f_{2k,n} \otimes_{2l-2k} f_{2k,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 4k}}^2 \\ & + 2k^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} (l-1)!^2 \binom{2k-1}{j-1}^2 \binom{2l-1}{j-1}^2 (2k+2l-2j)! \left(\|f_{2k,n} \otimes_{2k-j} f_{2k,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2j}}^2 + \|f_{2k,n} \otimes_{2l-j} f_{2k,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2j}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this together with the fact that for every $1 \leq s \leq 2k-1$ with $k \in \{1, \dots, q/2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2k,n} \otimes_s f_{2k,n}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 4k-2s}}^2 & \leq d_{q,2k}^4(Z) n^{-2} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4=1}^n r_Y^s(k_1-k_2) r_Y^s(k_3-k_4) r_Y^{2k-s}(k_1-k_3) r_Y^{2k-s}(k_2-k_4) \\ & \leq d_{q,2k}^4(Z) n^{-2} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4=1}^n r_Y(k_1-k_2) r_Y(k_3-k_4) r_Y(k_1-k_3) r_Y(k_2-k_4) \\ & = d_{q,2k}^4(Z) \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that there exists a constant C depending on q and $r_Z(0)$ such that

$$\sqrt{\text{Var}((2k)^{-1}\|DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2)} \leq C\sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2l)^{-1}E|\langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), DI_{2l}(f_{2l,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| &\leq \left(E \left[((2l)^{-1}\langle DI_{2k}(f_{2k,n}), DI_{2l}(f_{2l,n}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{E \left[(I_{2k}(f_{2k,n}))^2 \right]} \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) \\ &\leq C\sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} r_Y^{2k}(i-j) \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} r_Y^2(i-j) \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{q,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) &\leq \frac{C}{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]} \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} r_Y^2(i-j) \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} \\ &= \frac{C}{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)]} \sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]} \sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))} + \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the estimate (3) is obtained. By a similar argument we obtain (4). Moreover, if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (r_Y(k))^2 < \infty$, it follows from [6] that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y)) &\leq \frac{Cn^{-1}}{E[V_{2,n}^2(Y)]} \left(\sum_{|k|<n} (r_Y(k))^{4/3} \right)^3 \\ &\leq Cn^{-1} \left(\sum_{|k|<n} (r_Y(k))^{4/3} \right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

and if $r_Y(k) = k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, it follows from (23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V_{q,n}^2(Z)] &= d_{q,2}^2(Z) \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{q/2} d_{q,2k}^2(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^{2k} \\
&= 2d_{q,2}^2(Z) \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)j^{-1}\right) + \sum_{k=2}^{q/2} d_{q,2k}^2(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^{2k} \\
&= 2d_{q,2}^2(Z) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{-1} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{-2}\right) + \sum_{k=2}^{q/2} d_{q,2k}^2(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^{2k} \\
&\sim 4d_{q,2}^2(Z) \log(n).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Thus, as in [7] we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}{\left(E[V_{2,n}^2(Y)]\right)^2} &= \frac{\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))}{\left(r_Z^{-1}(0)E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]\right)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 \left(r_Z^{-1}(0)E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]\right)^2} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4=1}^n r_Y(k_1 - k_2)r_Y(k_3 - k_4)r_Y(k_1 - k_3)r_Y(k_2 - k_4) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{n^2 \log^2(n)} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4=1}^n r_Y(k_1 - k_2)r_Y(k_3 - k_4)r_Y(k_1 - k_3)r_Y(k_2 - k_4) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\log(n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence the inequalities (5) and (6) are satisfied.

The convergence (7) is a direct consequence of [11, Theorem 1]. ■

3.2 Hermite variation

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be even, and let H_q be the q th Hermite polynomial. So, H_q has the following decomposition

$$H_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{q!(-1)^k}{k!(q-2k)!2^k} x^{q-2k}.$$

Define for every $q \geq 2$ even,

$$Q_{q,n}(Z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_q(Z_k) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\gamma_Z(q) := E[H_q(Z_0)].$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_Z(q) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{q!(-1)^k}{k!(q-2k)!2^k} E\left(Z_0^{q-2k}\right) \\
&= \frac{q!}{2^{q/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(\frac{q}{2}-k)!} [E(Z_0^2)]^{\frac{q}{2}-k} \\
&= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})!2^{q/2}} (E(Z_0^2) - 1)^{q/2}, \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following almost sure convergence.

Theorem 3 *Suppose that Z is ergodic. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$Q_{q,n}(Z) \longrightarrow \gamma_Z(q) \tag{12}$$

almost surely.

Let Y_i be the process defined in (1). We have,

$$H_q(Z_i) - EH_q(Z_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k} H_{2k}(Y_i)$$

where for any $k \in \{1, \dots, \frac{q}{2} - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{q,q-2k}(Z) &= (-1)^k \left(r_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}}(0) - r_{\frac{q}{2}-1}^{\frac{q}{2}-1}(0) \right) a_{q-2}^q a_{q-4}^{q-2} \dots a_{q-2k}^{q-2k+2} \\
&+ (-1)^{k-1} \left(r_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}}(0) - r_{\frac{q}{2}-2}^{\frac{q}{2}-2}(0) \right) a_{q-4}^q a_{q-6}^{q-4} \dots a_{q-2k}^{q-2k+2} \\
&+ \dots \\
&+ (-1)^1 \left(r_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}}(0) - r_{\frac{q}{2}-k}^{\frac{q}{2}-k}(0) \right) a_{q-2k}^q
\end{aligned}$$

and $b_{q,q}(Z) = r_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}}(0)$, with for every p even

$$a_{p-2k}^p = \frac{p!(-1)^k}{k!(p-2k)!2^k} \quad k = 0, \dots, p/2$$

which verify

$$H_p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p/2} a_{p-2k}^p x^{p-2k}.$$

Define

$$V_{Q_{q,n}}(Z) := \sqrt{n} (Q_{q,n}(Z) - \gamma_Z(q)).$$

As consequence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{Q,q,n}(Z) &= \sqrt{n}(Q_{q,n}(Z) - \gamma_Z(q)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [H_q(Z_i) - EH_q(Z_i)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}(Z) H_{2k}(Y_i) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{2k}(\varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} I_{2k} \left(b_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_i = Y(\varepsilon_i)$.
Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[V_{Q,q,n}^2(Z) \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}^2(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^{2k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}^2(Z) (2k)! \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-1-j) |r_Y(j)|^{2k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}^2(Z) (2k)! \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |r_Y(j)|^{2k} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j |r_Y(j)|^{2k} \right). \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

We will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4 *Let $(Z_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a stationary Gaussian sequence with $E(Z_0^2) < \infty$, and let $\lambda > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ even. Consider the sequence*

$$R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) := H_q(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - H_q(Z_k).$$

Then for every $p \geq 1$ there exists a constant $c(\lambda, q)$ depending on λ, q and $E(Z_0^2)$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{c(\lambda, q)}{n}. \quad (14)$$

Moreover for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{q!(-1)^i}{k!(q-2i)!2^i} \sum_{j=1}^{q-2i} (-1)^j \binom{q-2i}{j} e^{-\lambda j k} Z_0^j Z_k^{q-2i-j}.$$

Combining this with the fact that Z is stationary and Gaussian, we obtain

$$\|R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_0(\lambda, q) e^{-\lambda k}$$

Thus (14) is obtained.

For the convergence (15), it is a direct consequence of (14) and Lemma 1. ■

Applying Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 we conclude the following result.

Theorem 5 *Let $(V_{Q_{q,n}}(Z))_{n \geq 0}$ and $(R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k))_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequences defined in the above.*

1) *Then there exists C depending on λ, q and $r_Z(0)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(q) \right), N \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Y)]}{n}} + \sqrt{E[V_{Q_{2,n}}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{Q_{2,n}}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{Q_{2,n}}(Y))}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

On the other hand if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{v_{Q_q}(Z)}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(q) \right), N \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{v_{Q_q}(Z)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{Q_q}(Z)}{n}} + \sqrt{E[V_{Q_{2,n}}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{Q_{2,n}}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{Q_{2,n}}(Y))}} + \left| v_{Q_q}(Z) - E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Y)] \right| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $v_{Q_q}(Z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]$. Moreover, $\kappa_4(V_{Q_{2,n}}(Y))$ verifies (5) and (6).

2) *Assume that $r_Y(k) = |k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\frac{n^\alpha}{\sqrt{v_{Q_q}(Z)}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(q) \right) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \frac{b_{q,2}}{\sqrt{D}} F_\infty \quad (18)$$

where D and F_∞ are defined in Theorem 2.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(q) \right) \\ & = \sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z) - \gamma_Z(q) \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nE[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \\ & = \frac{V_{Q_{q,n}}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nE[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{q,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(q) \right), N \right) \\ & \leq d_W \left(\frac{V_{Q_{q,n}}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) + \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{nE[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3) and (14) we obtain (16). Similar argument leads to (17). Moreover, from (19), (7) and (14) we deduce (18). ■

3.3 Power variation

Consider, for every $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ even, the following power variation

$$P_{q,n}(Z) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (Z_i)^q. \quad (20)$$

Define

$$\delta_Z(q) := E[(Z_0)^q] = \frac{q!}{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)! 2^{q/2}} [E(Z_0^2)]^{q/2}. \quad (21)$$

We have the following almost sure convergence.

Theorem 6 *Suppose that Z is ergodic. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$P_{q,n}(Z) \longrightarrow \delta_Z(q) \quad (22)$$

almost surely.

Let Y_i be the process defined in (1). Let $c_{q,2k} = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^q H_{2k}(x) dx$ be the coefficients of the monomial x^q expanded in the basis of Hermite polynomials:

$$x^q = \sum_{k=0}^{q/2} c_{q,2k} H_{2k}(x).$$

Then we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{P_{q,n}}(Z) &= \sqrt{n} (P_{q,n}(Z) - E[(Z_0)^q]) \\
&= \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(E \left[\left(\frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}} \right)^q \right] - E \left[\left(\frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}} \right)^q \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}(Z) H_{2k}(Y_i) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_{2k}(\varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} I_{2k} \left(c_{q,2k}(Z) \frac{[r_Z(0)]^{q/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i^{\otimes 2k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_i = Y(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{r_Z(0)}}$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V_{Q_{q,n}}^2(Z)] &= [r_Z(0)]^q \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^2(Z) \frac{(2k)!}{n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |r_Y(i-j)|^{2k} \\
&= [r_Z(0)]^q \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^2(Z) (2k)! \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-1-j) |r_Y(j)|^{2k} \right) \\
&= [r_Z(0)]^q \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} c_{q,2k}^2(Z) (2k)! \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |r_Y(j)|^{2k} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j |r_Y(j)|^{2k} \right). \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

We will also need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 7 *Let $(Z_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a stationary Gaussian sequence with $E(Z_0^2) < \infty$, and let $\lambda > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ even. Consider the sequence*

$$R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k) := \left(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0 \right)^q - (Z_k)^q.$$

Then for every $p \geq 1$ there exists a constant $c(\lambda, q)$ depending on λ, q and $E(Z_0^2)$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{c(\lambda, q)}{n}. \quad (24)$$

Moreover for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\frac{1}{n^\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (25)$$

almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward by using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4. ■
Applying Theorem 2 and Lemma 7 we conclude.

Theorem 8 Let $(V_{P_{q,n}}(Z))_{n \geq 0}$ and $(R_{P,q}(\lambda, Z_k))_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequences defined in the above.
1) Then there exist C depending on λ , q and $r_Z(0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Z)]}} \left(P_{q,n} \left(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0 \right) - \delta_Z(q) \right), N \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{E[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Z)]} \left(\sqrt{\frac{E[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Y)]}{n}} + \sqrt{E[V_{P_{2,n}}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

On the other hand if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{v_{P_q}(Z)}} \left(P_{q,n} \left(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0 \right) - \gamma_Z(q) \right), N \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{v_{P_q}(Z)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{P_q}(Z)}{n}} + \sqrt{E[V_{P_{2,n}}^2(Y)] \sqrt{\kappa_4(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y)) + \kappa_4(V_{P_{2,n}}(Y))}} + \left| v_q(Z) - E[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Y)] \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $v_{P_q}(Z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[V_{P_{q,n}}^2(Z)]$. Moreover $\kappa_4(V_{2,n}(Y))$ verifies (5) and (6).

2) Assume that $r_Y(k) = |k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\frac{n^\alpha}{\sqrt{v_q(Z)}} \left(P_{q,n} \left(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0 \right) - \gamma_Z(q) \right) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \frac{c_{q,2}}{\sqrt{D}} F_\infty \quad (28)$$

where D and F_∞ are defined in Theorem 2.

Proof. Similar proof as in Theorem 8. ■

3.4 Quadratic case

In this subsection we suppose that $q = 2$. Then, in this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{Q_{2,n}}(Z) = V_{P_{2,n}}(Z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (Z_k^2 - E[Z_k^2]) \\ &= \frac{E[Z_0^2]}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_2(Y_k) = E[Z_0^2] V_{2,n}(Y) \\ &= V_{2,n}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 9 We have

- if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_Y(k)|^2 < \infty$, there exists $C > 0$, $n_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{2,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) \leq C \frac{\left(\sum_{|k| < n} |r_Y(k)|^{3/2} \right)^2}{\left(\sum_{|k| < n} |r_Y(k)|^2 \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{n}}, \quad (29)$$

and hence also

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{2,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(2) \right), N \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \left[1 + \left(\sum_{|k| < n} |r_Y(k)|^{3/2} \right)^2 \right]; \quad (30)$$

- if $|r_Y(k)| = |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{2,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}}, N \right) \leq \frac{C}{(\log n)^{1/4}}; \quad (31)$$

and also

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{2,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(2) \right), N \right) \leq \frac{C}{(\log n)^{1/4}}; \quad (32)$$

where in this case $E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)] \sim 4b_{2,2}^2(Z) \log(n) = 4r_Z^2(0) \log(n)$.

- if $r_Y(k) = |k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $C > 0$ depending on α

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{2,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-2\alpha}{D}} F_\infty \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log n}}, \quad (33)$$

and also

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}} \left(Q_{2,n}(Z_k - e^{-\lambda k} Z_0) - \gamma_Z(2) \right), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-2\alpha}{D}} F_\infty \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log n}}, \quad (34)$$

where D and F_∞ are defined in Theorem 2.

Moreover in this case $E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)] \sim 4 \frac{n^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} |r_Z(0)|^2$.

Proof. Since $\frac{V_{2,n}(Z)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z)]}} = \frac{V_{2,n}(Y)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Y)]}}$, then (29) is a direct consequence of [19, Theorem 3] (see also [6], [21]). Hence also, from (19), (29) and (14) we deduce (30).

Combining (3) and (6) we obtain (31), and also the estimates (19), (31) and (14) lead to (32). Now, suppose that $r_Y(k) = |k|^{-\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. It is easy to see that (23) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E [V_{2,n}^2(Z)] &= 2|r_Z(0)|^2 \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |r_Y(j)|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j |r_Y(j)|^{2k} \right) \\ &\sim 4 \frac{n^{1-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} |r_Z(0)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from [19, Theorem 5] and [11, Theorem 1], the estimate (33) is obtained. Hence also, from (19), (33) and (14) we deduce (34) ■

3.5 Improve the rate convergence

Consider a centered stationary Gaussian process $Z = (Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with covariance $r_Z(k) = E(Z_0 Z_k) < \infty$ and $|r_Z(k)| \leq 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the centered stationary Gaussian process $Z^{(p)}$ as follows:

$$Z_k^{(1)} = Z_{k+1} - Z_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and for every $p \geq 2$

$$Z_k^{(p)} = Z_{k+1}^{(p-1)} - Z_k^{(p-1)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, applying Theorem 2 we conclude the following result.

Theorem 10 *Assume that $k^{-\alpha} r_Z(k)$ converges to a constant for some $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$. Then for every $p \geq 2$ there exists C depending on p, q and $r_Z(0)$ such that*

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{Q_{q,n}}((Z^{(p)}))}{\sqrt{E [V_{Q_{q,n}}^2((Z^{(p)}))]}}, N \right) \leq C n^{\alpha - 2p + \frac{5}{2}}. \quad (35)$$

In particular, if $\alpha = 2H - 2$ then for every $p \geq 2$ there exists C depending on p, q and $r_Z(0)$ such that

$$d_{TV} \left(\frac{V_{Q_{q,n}}((Z^{(p)}))}{\sqrt{E [V_{Q_{q,n}}^2((Z^{(p)}))]}}, N \right) \leq C n^{2H - 2p - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (36)$$

This leads that if $p \geq 2$, then $\frac{V_{Q_{q,n}}((Z^{(p)}))}{\sqrt{E [V_{Q_{q,n}}^2((Z^{(p)}))]}}$ is asymptotically normal for every $H \in (0, 1)$.

4 Applications to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

4.1 Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

In this section suppose that $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by a fractional Brownian motion $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \geq 0\}$ of Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$. That is, X is the solution of the following linear stochastic differential equation

$$X_0 = 0; \quad dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dB_t^H, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (37)$$

where whereas $\theta > 0$ is considered as unknown parameter. The solution X has the following explicit expression:

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dB_s^H.$$

We can also write

$$X_t = Z_t^\theta - e^{-\theta t} Z_0^\theta \quad (38)$$

where

$$Z_t^\theta = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dB_s^H.$$

Moreover, Y^θ is an ergodic stationary Gaussian process. We will need the following result.

Lemma 11 *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $m, m' > 0$ and $-\infty \leq a < b \leq c < d < \infty$. Then*

$$E \left(\int_a^b e^{ms} dB^H(s) \int_c^d e^{m't} dB^H(t) \right) = H(2H-1) \int_a^b ds e^{ms} \int_c^d dt e^{m't} (t-s)^{2H-2}$$

Proof. We use the same argument as in the proof of [10, Lemma 2.1] ■

Lemma 12 *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $m, m' > 0$ and let Z^θ be the process defined in (39). Then,*

$$E \left[\left(Z_0^\theta \right)^2 \right] = H\Gamma(2H)\theta^{-2H} \quad (39)$$

and for large $|t|$

$$E \left[Z_0^\theta Z_t^\theta \right] \sim \frac{H(2H-1)}{\theta^2} |t|^{2H-2}. \quad (40)$$

Proof. see [10, Theorem 2.3] or Lemma 17. ■

4.2 Construction and asymptotic behavior of the estimators

Fix $q \geq 2$ and assume that q is even.

From (38) we can write

$$Q_{q,n}(X) = Q_{q,n}(Z^\theta) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{Q,q}(\theta, Z_k^\theta) \quad (41)$$

Combining (41), Lemma 4 and the fact that Z^θ is ergodic we conclude that, almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{q,n}(X) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{q,n}(Z^\theta) \\ &= \gamma_{Z^\theta}(q) \\ &= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})! 2^{q/2}} (H\Gamma(2H)\theta^{-2H} - 1)^{q/2} \\ &:= \mu_q(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the following estimator for θ

$$\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} = \mu_q^{-1} [Q_{q,n}(X)].$$

As consequence, we have the following strong consistence of $\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}$.

Theorem 13 *Let $H \in (0, 1)$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} \rightarrow \theta \quad (42)$$

almost surely.

Combining (41) and Theorem 8 we conclude the following result.

Theorem 14 *Denote $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$, then there exists C depending on q , H and θ such that*

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z^\theta)]}} \left(\mu_q(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}) - \mu_q(\theta) \right), N \right) \leq C \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{1}{4}}, & \text{if } 0 < H < \frac{5}{8} \\ n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log^{\frac{3}{4}}(n), & \text{if } H = \frac{5}{8} \\ n^{2H - \frac{3}{2}}, & \text{if } \frac{5}{8} < H < \frac{3}{4} \\ \log^{-\frac{1}{4}}(n), & \text{if } H = \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

In particular,

- If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_q(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \sigma_q^2(Z^\theta) \right) \quad (44)$$

where $\sigma_q^2(Z^\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}^2(Z^\theta) (2k)! \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|r_{Z^\theta(j)}|^{2k}}{r_{Z^\theta(0)}^2} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[V_{q,n}^2(Z^\theta)]$,

- if $H = \frac{3}{4}$

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log(n)}} \left(\mu_q(\hat{\theta}_{q,n}) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}\left(0, 4b_{q,2}^2(Z^\theta)\right) \quad (45)$$

where in this case $E[V_{q,n}^2(Z^\theta)] \sim 4b_{q,2}^2(Z^\theta) \log(n)$.

In the case when $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\mu_q(\hat{\theta}_{q,n}) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow{law} \frac{b_{q,2}(Z^\theta)}{\sqrt{D}} F_\infty \quad (46)$$

where F_∞ is defined in Theorem 2.

Thus we deduce the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}_{q,n}$.

Theorem 15 If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$, then

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{q,n}^2(Z^\theta)]}} \left(\hat{\theta}_{q,n} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}\left(0, (\mu'_q(\theta))^{-2}\right). \quad (47)$$

If $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{2H-\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\hat{\theta}_{q,n} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{law} \frac{b_{q,2}}{\mu'_q(\theta)\sqrt{D}} F_\infty \quad (48)$$

Proof. We can write

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\mu_q(\hat{\theta}_{q,n}) - \mu_q(\theta) \right) = \mu'_q(\xi_{q,n}) \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{q,n} - \theta \right)$$

where $\xi_{q,n}$ is a random variable between θ and $\hat{\theta}_{q,n}$.

Combining this with Theorem 14 we obtain the desired conclusion. ■

Quadratic case: Now we will discuss the particular case when $q = 2$. Define

$$Y_t^\theta := \frac{Z_t^\theta}{\sqrt{\gamma_{Z^\theta}(2)}} = \frac{Z_t^\theta}{\sqrt{E[(Z_0^\theta)^2]}} = \frac{Z_t^\theta}{\sqrt{H\Gamma(2H)\theta^{-2H}}}. \quad (49)$$

Combining (41) and Theorem 9 we conclude the following result.

Theorem 16 If $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$, then

$$d_W \left(\frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z^\theta)]}} \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{2,n}^{2H} - \theta^{2H} \right), N \right) \leq C \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, & \text{if } 0 < H < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\log^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}}, & \text{if } H = \frac{2}{3} \\ n^{6H-\frac{9}{2}}, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} < H < \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{(\log(n))^{1/4}}, & \text{if } H = \frac{3}{4}. \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

As consequence, for every $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_{2,n} - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{\theta^{4H+2} \sigma_2^2(Z^\theta)}{(H\Gamma(2H+1))^2} \right) \quad (51)$$

and if $H = \frac{3}{4}$

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log(n)}} (\hat{\theta}_{2,n} - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{H}{\theta} \right) \quad (52)$$

where in this case $E[V_{2,n}^2(Z^\theta)] \sim (\Gamma(2H+1)\theta^{-2H})^2 \log(n)$.

If $\frac{3}{4} < H < 1$,

$$d_W \left(\frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{\sqrt{E[V_{2,n}^2(Z^\theta)]}} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_{2,n}^{-2H} - \theta^{-2H}), \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4H-3}{D}} F_\infty \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log(n)}} \quad (53)$$

where $E[V_{2,n}^2(Z^\theta)] \sim \frac{n^{4H-3}}{4H-3} (\Gamma(2H+1)\theta^{-2H})^2$.

As consequence

$$\sqrt{n} (\theta - \hat{\theta}_{2,n}) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \frac{\theta}{2H} F_\infty. \quad (54)$$

4.3 OU driven by fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

In this section suppose that $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $V = \{V_t, t \geq 0\}$ given by the following linear stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{cases} X_0 = 0; & dX_t = -\theta X_t dt + dV_t, & t \geq 0 \\ V_0 = 0; & dV_t = -\rho V_t dt + dB_t^H, & t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

where $B^H = \{B_t^H, t \geq 0\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$, whereas $\theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ are considered as unknown parameters such that $\theta \neq \rho$.

For [13] we have the following results related to X_t :

$$X_t = \frac{\rho}{\rho - \theta} X_t^\rho + \frac{\theta}{\theta - \rho} X_t^\theta \quad (56)$$

where for $m > 0$

$$X_t^m = \int_0^t e^{-m(t-s)} dB_s^H. \quad (57)$$

On the other hand, we can also write the system (55) as follows

$$dX_t = -(\theta + \rho) X_t dt - \rho \theta \Sigma_t dt + dB_t^H.$$

where for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\Sigma_t = \int_0^t X_s ds = \frac{V_t - X_t}{\theta} = \frac{X_t^\theta - X_t^\rho}{\rho - \theta} \quad (58)$$

We can also write

$$X_t^m = Z_t^m - e^{-mt} Z_0^m \quad (59)$$

where

$$Z_t^m = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-m(t-s)} dB_s^H. \quad (60)$$

As consequence

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \frac{\rho}{\rho - \theta} Z_t^\rho + \frac{\theta}{\theta - \rho} Z_t^\theta - \left(\frac{\rho e^{-\rho t}}{\rho - \theta} Z_0^\rho + \frac{\theta e^{-\theta t}}{\theta - \rho} Z_0^\theta \right) \\ &:= Z_t^{\theta, \rho} + \frac{\rho}{\rho - \theta} R(\rho, Z^\rho) + \frac{\theta}{\theta - \rho} R(\theta, Z^\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_t &= \frac{Z_t^\theta - Z_t^\rho}{\rho - \theta} - \frac{e^{-\theta t} Z_0^\theta - e^{-\rho t} Z_0^\rho}{\rho - \theta} \\ &:= \Sigma_t^{\theta, \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho - \theta} \left(R(\theta, Z^\theta) - R(\rho, Z^\rho) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

On the other hand, the process

$$\left(Z_t^m, Z_t^{m'} \right) \quad (63)$$

is an ergodic stationary Gaussian process.

Lemma 17 *Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $m, m' > 0$ and let Z^m be the process defined in (60). Then,*

$$\lambda(m, m') := E \left[Z_0^m Z_0^{m'} \right] = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{m + m'} \left(m^{1-2H} + (m')^{1-2H} \right) \quad (64)$$

and for large $|t|$

$$E \left[Z_0^m Z_t^{m'} \right] \sim \frac{H(2H - 1)}{mm'} |t|^{2H-2}. \quad (65)$$

This implies that for $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$

$$\eta_X(\theta, \rho) := E \left[\left(Z_0^{\theta, \rho} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{\rho^2 - \theta^2} [\rho^{2-2H} - \theta^{2-2H}],$$

$$\eta_\Sigma(\theta, \rho) := E \left[\left(\Sigma_0^{\theta, \rho} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{H\Gamma(2H)}{\rho^2 - \theta^2} [\theta^{-2H} - \rho^{-2H}],$$

and

$$E \left[\left(Z_0^{\theta, \rho} \Sigma_0^{\theta, \rho} \right) \right] = 0.$$

Proof. By using [10, Proposition A.1], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[Z_0^m Z_0^{m'} \right] &= mm' \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{mu} e^{m'v} E \left(B_u^H B_v^H \right) dudv \\ &= \frac{mm'}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{mu} e^{m'v} \left((-u)^{2H} + (-v)^{2H} - |v-u|^{2H} \right) dudv \\ &= \frac{mm'}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-mu} e^{-m'v} \left(u^{2H} + v^{2H} - |v-u|^{2H} \right) dudv \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2H+1)}{2(m+m')} \left(m^{1-2H} + (m')^{1-2H} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the estimate (64) is proved.

Now, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(Z_0^m Z_t^{m'} \right) &= e^{-m't} E \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{mu} dB_u^H \int_{-\infty}^t e^{m'v} dB_v^H \right) \\ &= e^{-m't} E \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{mu} dB_u^H \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon t} e^{m'v} dB_v^H \right) + e^{-m't} E \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{mu} dB_u^H \int_{\varepsilon t}^t e^{m'v} dB_v^H \right) \\ &:= A + B \end{aligned}$$

where, using [10, Proposition A.1] it is easy to see that

$$|A| = O \left(e^{-m't} \right).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 11 and integrations by part

$$\begin{aligned} B &= H(2H-1)e^{-m't} \int_{-\infty}^0 du e^{mu} \int_{\varepsilon t}^t dv e^{m'v} (v-u)^{2H-2} \\ &= H(2H-1)e^{-m't} \int_{-\infty}^0 du e^{mu} \int_{\varepsilon t-u}^{t-u} dz e^{m'(u+z)} z^{2H-2} \\ &= H(2H-1)e^{-m't} \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\infty} dz e^{m'z} z^{2H-2} \int_{\varepsilon t-z}^{0 \wedge (t-z)} du e^{(m+m')u} \\ &= \frac{H(2H-1)}{m+m'} \left(\int_t^{\infty} e^{-m(z-t)} z^{2H-2} dz + \int_{\varepsilon t}^t e^{-m'(t-z)} z^{2H-2} dz + e^{-m't(1-\varepsilon)} \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\infty} e^{-m(z-\varepsilon t)} z^{2H-2} dz \right) \\ &= \frac{H(2H-1)}{(m+m')} \left(\frac{t^{2H-2}}{m} + \frac{2H-2}{m} \int_t^{\infty} e^{-m(z-t)} z^{2H-3} dz + \frac{t^{2H-2}}{m'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(\varepsilon t)^{2H-2}}{m'} e^{-m'(1-\varepsilon)t} - \frac{2H-2}{m'} \int_{\varepsilon t}^t e^{-m'(t-z)} z^{2H-3} dz + e^{-m't(1-\varepsilon)} \int_{\varepsilon t}^{\infty} e^{-m(z-\varepsilon t)} z^{2H-2} dz \right) \\ &= \frac{H(2H-1)}{mm'} t^{2H-2} + o(t^{2H-2}), \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality comes from the fact that

$$\int_t^\infty e^{-m(z-t)} z^{2H-3} dz \leq t^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-my} dy$$

$$\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$t^{2-2H} \int_{\varepsilon t}^t e^{-m'(t-z)} z^{2H-3} dz \leq \varepsilon^{2H-3} t^{-1} \int_{\varepsilon t}^t e^{-m'(t-z)} dz$$

$$= \varepsilon^{2H-3} t^{-1} \int_0^{(1-\varepsilon)t} e^{-m'y} dy$$

$$\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$t^{2-2H} e^{-m't(1-\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, we conclude that the estimate (65) is obtained. ■

4.4 Construction and asymptotic behavior of the estimators

Case: X and Σ are observed

Combining (61), (62), (11), (63) and Lemma 4 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q_{q,n}(X), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q_{q,n}(Y^{\theta,\rho}), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma^{\theta,\rho})) \\ &= (\gamma_{Y^{\theta,\rho}}(q), \gamma_{\Sigma^{\theta,\rho}}(q)) \\ &= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})! 2^{q/2}} \left((\eta_X(\theta, \rho) - 1)^{q/2}, (\eta_\Sigma(\theta, \rho) - 1)^{q/2} \right) \\ &:= F_q(\theta, \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the following estimator for (θ, ρ) .

$$\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}, \widehat{\rho}_{q,n} \right) = G_q(Q_{q,n}(X), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma)).$$

where G_q is the inverse function of F_q .

By construction, we have the following strong consistence of $(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}, \widehat{\rho}_{q,n})$.

Theorem 18 *Let $H \in (0, 1)$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\left(\widehat{\theta}_{q,n}, \widehat{\rho}_{q,n} \right) \rightarrow (\theta, \rho) \tag{66}$$

almost surely.

Combining (62), (61), Lemma 17 and Theorem 8 we conclude the following result

Theorem 19 Let $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$. Then

$$\sqrt{n} [(Q_{q,n}(X), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma)) - F_q(\theta, \rho)] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma(\theta, \rho)) \quad (67)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\theta, \rho) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_q^2(Y^{\theta, \rho}) & \sigma_q(Y^{\theta, \rho}, \Sigma^{\theta, \rho}) \\ \sigma_q(Y^{\theta, \rho}, \Sigma^{\theta, \rho}) & \sigma_q^2(\Sigma^{\theta, \rho}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (68)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_q^2(Y^{\theta, \rho}) &= \text{Var} [H_q(Y_0^{\theta, \rho})] + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Cov} [H_q(Y_0^{\theta, \rho}), H_q(Y_k^{\theta, \rho})] \\ \sigma_q^2(\Sigma^{\theta, \rho}) &= \text{Var} [H_q(\Sigma_0^{\theta, \rho})] + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Cov} [H_q(\Sigma_0^{\theta, \rho}), H_q(\Sigma_k^{\theta, \rho})] \\ \sigma_q(Y^{\theta, \rho}, \Sigma^{\theta, \rho}) &= \text{Cov} [H_q(Y_0^{\theta, \rho}), H_q(\Sigma_0^{\theta, \rho})] + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \text{Cov} [H_q(Y_0^{\theta, \rho}), H_q(\Sigma_k^{\theta, \rho})]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 20 Let $H \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$. Then

$$\sqrt{n} (\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} - \theta, \widehat{\rho}_{q,n} - \rho) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, J_{G_q}(\eta_X, \eta_\Sigma) \Gamma(\theta, \rho) {}^t J_{G_q}(\eta_X(\theta, \rho), \eta_\Sigma(\theta, \rho))) \quad (69)$$

Proof. By Taylor's formula we can write

$$\sqrt{n} (\widehat{\theta}_{q,n} - \theta, \widehat{\rho}_{q,n} - \rho) = \sqrt{n} (Q_{q,n}(X) - \gamma_{Y^{\theta, \rho}}(q), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma) - \gamma_{\Sigma^{\theta, \rho}}(q)) {}^t J_{G_q}(\eta_X, \eta_\Sigma) + d_n$$

where d_n converges in distribution to zero, because

$$\|d_n\| \leq c(\theta, \rho, H) \sqrt{T_n} \|Q_{q,n}(X) - \gamma_{Y^{\theta, \rho}}(q), Q_{q,n}(\Sigma) - \gamma_{\Sigma^{\theta, \rho}}(q)\|^2.$$

It is easy to see that if for any $w \in \Omega$ there exists $n_0(w) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_n(w) = Y_n(w)$ for all $n \geq n_0(w)$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining this and above estimates we obtain the desired result. ■

Quadratic case: Here we suppose that $q = 2$. In this case we have:

F_2 is a positive function of the variables (x, y) in $(0, +\infty)^2$ defined by: for every $(x, y) \in (0, +\infty)^2$

$$F_2(x, y) = H\Gamma(2H) \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y^2-x^2} (y^{2-2H} - x^{2-2H}, x^{-2H} - y^{-2H}) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ ((1-H)x^{-2H}, Hx^{-2H-2}) & \text{if } y = x. \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

Since for every $(x, y) \in (0, +\infty)^2$ with $x \neq y$

$$J_{F_2}(x, y) = \Gamma(2H + 1) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-H)x^{1-2H}(x^2-y^2)-x(x^{2-2H}-y^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & \frac{(1-H)y^{1-2H}(y^2-x^2)-y(y^{2-2H}-x^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \\ \frac{Hx^{-2H-1}(x^2-y^2)+x(x^{-2H}-y^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & \frac{Hy^{-2H-1}(y^2-x^2)+y(y^{-2H}-x^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

the determinant of $J_{F_2}(x, y)$ is non-zero on in $(0, +\infty)^2$. So, F_2 is a diffeomorphism in $(0, +\infty)^2$ and its inverse G_2 has a Jacobian

$$J_{G_2}(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(2H + 1)}{\det J_{F_2}(x, y)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{Hy^{-2H-1}(y^2-x^2)+y(y^{-2H}-x^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & -\frac{(1-H)y^{1-2H}(y^2-x^2)-y(y^{2-2H}-x^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \\ -\frac{Hx^{-2H-1}(x^2-y^2)+x(x^{-2H}-y^{-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} & \frac{(1-H)x^{1-2H}(x^2-y^2)-x(x^{2-2H}-y^{2-2H})}{(x^2-y^2)^2} \end{pmatrix};$$

where $(x, y) = G_2(a, b)$.

4.5 Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with the second kind

Let $U = \{U_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with the second kind defined as

$$U_0 = 0, \text{ and } dU_t = -\alpha U_t dt + dY_t^{(1)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (71)$$

where $Y_t^{(1)} = \int_0^t e^{-s} dB_{a_s}$ with $a_s = He^{\frac{s}{H}}$ and $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and where $\alpha > 0$ is a unknown real parameter.

The equation (71) admits an explicit solution

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} dY_s^{(1)} = e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{(\alpha-1)s} dB_{a_s} \\ &= H^{(1-\alpha)H} e^{-\alpha t} \int_{a_0}^{a_t} r^{(\alpha-1)H} dB_r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can also write

$$U_t = U_t^\alpha + R(\alpha, U^\alpha) \quad (72)$$

where

$$U_t^\alpha = e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\alpha-1)s} dB_{a_s} = H^{(1-\alpha)H} e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^{a_t} r^{(\alpha-1)H} dB_r.$$

Lemma 21 *Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then,*

$$E \left[(U_0^\alpha)^2 \right] = \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\alpha} \beta(1-H+\alpha H, 2H-1). \quad (73)$$

and for large $|t|$

$$r_{U^\alpha}(t) = E[U_0^\alpha U_t^\alpha] = O\left(e^{-\min\{\alpha, \frac{1-H}{H}\}t}\right). \quad (74)$$

Proof. We prove the first point (73). We have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[(U_0^\alpha)^2 \right] &= H(2H-1)H^{2(1-\alpha)H} \int_0^{a_0} dy y^{(\alpha-1)H} \int_0^{a_0} dx x^{(\alpha-1)H} |x-y|^{2H-2} \\ &= 2H(2H-1)H^{2(1-\alpha)H} \int_0^{a_0} dy y^{(\alpha-1)H} \int_0^y dx x^{(\alpha-1)H} (y-x)^{2H-2} \\ &= 2H(2H-1)H^{2(1-\alpha)H} \int_0^{a_0} dy y^{2\alpha H-1} \int_0^1 dz z^{(\alpha-1)H} (1-z)^{2H-2} \\ &= \frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\alpha} \beta(1-H+\alpha H, 2H-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (73) is obtained.

For the point (74) see [16]. ■

Combining (72), Lemma 4 and the fact that U^α is ergodic we conclude that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{q,n}(U) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{q,n}(U^\alpha) \\
&= \gamma_{U^\alpha}(q) \\
&= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})! 2^{q/2}} \left(E \left[(U_0^\alpha)^2 \right] - 1 \right)^{q/2} \\
&= \frac{q!}{(\frac{q}{2})! 2^{q/2}} \left(\frac{(2H-1)H^{2H}}{\alpha} \beta(1-H+\alpha H, 2H-1) - 1 \right)^{q/2} \\
&:= \nu_q(\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the following estimator for α

$$\hat{\alpha}_{q,n} = \nu_q^{-1} [Q_{q,n}(U)].$$

By construction, we have the following strong consistence of $\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}$.

Theorem 22 *Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\hat{\alpha}_{q,n} \rightarrow \alpha \tag{75}$$

almost surely.

Combining (72) and Theorem 8, Lemma 21 and Lemma 4 we conclude the following result

Theorem 23 *Denote $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then there exist C depending on q, H and α such that*

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{q,n}^2(U^\alpha)]}} (\nu_q(\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}) - \nu_q(\alpha)), N \right) \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{76}$$

In particular,

$$\sqrt{n} (\nu_q(\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}) - \nu_q(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(U^\alpha)) \tag{77}$$

where $\sigma^2(U^\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{q/2} b_{q,2k}^2(U^\alpha) (2k)! \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|r_{U^\alpha}(j)|^{2k}}{r_{U^\alpha}^2(0)} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[V_{q,n}^2(U^\alpha)]$.

Theorem 24 *Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then*

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{q,n}^2(U^\alpha)]}} (\hat{\alpha}_{q,n} - \alpha) \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}\left(0, (\nu_q'(\alpha))^{-2}\right). \tag{78}$$

Proof. We can write

$$\sqrt{n} (\nu_q(\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}) - \nu_q(\alpha)) = \nu_q'(\xi_{q,n}) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\alpha}_{q,n} - \alpha)$$

where $\xi_{q,n}$ is a random variable between α and $\hat{\alpha}_{q,n}$.

Combining this with Theorem 23 we obtain the desired conclusion. ■

Quadratic case: Here we suppose that $q = 2$. We will study the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\alpha}_{2,n}$.

Theorem 25 *Let $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then there exists C depending on H and α such that*

$$d_W \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{E[V_{2,n}^2(U^\alpha)]}} (\nu_2(\hat{\alpha}_{2,n}) - \nu_2(\alpha)), N \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (79)$$

Proof. From Lemma 21 we have for any $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_{Z^\alpha}(k)|^{3/2} < \infty$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |r_{Z^\alpha}(k)|^2 < \infty$. Hence by Theorem 9 the conclusion is obtained. ■

References

- [1] Alòs, E. and Nualart, D. (2003). Stochastic integration with respect to the fractional Brownian motion. *Stoch. stoch. reports* **75**, no. 3, 129-152.
- [2] Azmoodeh, E. and Morlanes, G. I. (2013). Drift parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. *Statistics: A Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*. DOI: 10.1080/02331888.2013.863888.
- [3] Azmoodeh, E. and Viitasaari, L. (2013). Parameter estimation based on discrete observations of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.2466>.
- [4] Belfadli, R., Es-Sebaïy, K., and Ouknine, Y. (2011). Parameter Estimation for Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes: Non-Ergodic Case. *Frontiers in Science and Engineering (An International Journal Edited by Hassan II Academy of Science and Technology)*. 1, no. 1, 1-16.
- [5] Bercu, B., Proia, F., and Savy, N. (2012). On Ornstein-Uhlenbeck driven by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2800>.
- [6] Biermé, H., Bonami, A., Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G. (2012). Optimal Berry-Esseen rates on the Wiener space: the barrier of third and fourth cumulants. Preprint
- [7] Breton, J.-C. and Nourdin, I. (2008): Error bounds on the non-normal approximation of Hermite power variations of fractional Brownian motion. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* 13, 482-493.
- [8] Brouste, A., Iacus, S. M. (2012). Parameter estimation for the discretely observed fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and the Yuima R package. *Computational Statistics*. DOI 10.1007/s00180-012-0365-6.
- [9] Cénac, P. and Es-Sebaïy, K. (2015). Almost sure central limit theorems for random ratios and applications to LSE for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. To appear in *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*.
- [10] Cheridito, P., Kawaguchi, H., and Maejima, M. (2003). Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *Electr. J. Prob.* 8 114.

- [11] Dobrushin, R. L. and Major, P. (1979). Non-central limit theorems for nonlinear functionals of Gaussian fields. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete*, vol. 50, pp. 27-52. MR0550122.
- [12] El Onsy, B., Es-Sebaiy, K., and Tudor, C. (2014). Statistical analysis of the non-ergodic fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process of the second kind.
- [13] El Onsy, B., Es-Sebaiy, K., and Viens, F. (2014). Parameter Estimation for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck driven by fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Preprint.
- [14] Hu, Y. and Nualart, D. (2010). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 80, 1030-1038.
- [15] Hu, Y. and Song, J. (2013). Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations. F. Viens et al (eds), *Malliavin Calculus and Stochastic Analysis: A Festschrift in Honor of David Nualart*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **34**, 427-442.
- [16] Kaarakka, T. and Salminen, P. (2011). On fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes. *Communications on stochastic analysis* Vol. 5, No. 1, 121-133.
- [17] Kleptsyna, M. and Le Breton, A. (2002). Statistical analysis of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes* **5**, 229-241.
- [18] Kloeden, P. and Neuenkirch, A. (2007). The pathwise convergence of approximation schemes for stochastic differential equations. *LMS J. Comp. Math.* 10, 235-253.
- [19] Neufcourt, L. and Viens, F. (2014). A third-moment theorem and precise asymptotics for variations of stationary Gaussian sequences. Preprint
- [20] Neuenkirch, A. and Tindel, S. (2011). A least square-type procedure for parameter estimation in stochastic differential equations with additive fractional noise. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1816>.
- [21] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G. (2013). The optimal fourth moment theorem. Preprint
- [22] Nourdin, I., Peccati, G. and Podolskij, M. (2013). *Quantitative Breuer-Major Theorems*
- [23] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G. (2012). *Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus : from Stein's method to universality*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press.
- [24] Nualart, D. (2006). *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition.
- [25] Pipiras, V. and Taqqu, M.S. (2000). Integration questions related to fractional Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields.* 118, no. 2, 251-291.
- [26] Tudor, C. Viens, F. (2007). Statistical aspects of the fractional stochastic calculus. *Ann. Statist.* **35**, no. 3, 1183-1212.