# **UNIQUENESS OF NONNEGATIVE WEAK SOLUTION TO**  $u^p \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u$  ON  $\mathbb{R}^N$

YUZHAO WANG AND JIE XIAO

ABSTRACT. This note shows that under  $(p, \alpha, N) \in (1, \infty) \times (0, 2) \times \mathbb{Z}_+$  the fractional order differential inequality

(†)  $u^p$  ≤ (−Δ)<sup> $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ </sup>*u* in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

has the property that if  $N \le \alpha$  then a nonnegative solution to (†) is unique, and if  $N > \alpha$  then the uniqueness of a nonnegative weak solution to (†) occurs when and only when  $p \le N/(N - \alpha)$ , thereby innovatively generalizing Gidas-Spruck's result for  $u^p + \Delta u \le 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  discovered in [\[11\]](#page-12-0).

### **CONTENTS**



## 1. Statement of Theorem

<span id="page-0-0"></span>In their 1981 paper [\[11\]](#page-12-0), B. Gidas and J. Spruck discovers that the Laplacian differential inequality on Euclidean *N*-space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ :

(‡)  $u^p \le -\Delta u$  under  $p \in (1, \infty)$ 

obeys the following law: if  $N \leq 2$  then a nonnegative weak solution to  $(\ddagger)$  is unique; and if  $N > 2$  then the uniqueness of a nonnegative weak solution to  $(\ddagger)$  happens when and only when  $p \leq N/(N-2)$ .

The fact that this result is extendable to more general differential inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and even Riemannian manifolds has been observed now by several authors with a variety of arguments; see [\[6,](#page-12-2) [7,](#page-12-3) [12,](#page-12-4) [13,](#page-12-5) [14,](#page-12-6) [15,](#page-12-7) [16,](#page-12-8) [17,](#page-12-9) [11,](#page-12-0) [18,](#page-12-10) [19,](#page-12-11) [20,](#page-12-12) [21\]](#page-12-13).

In this note, we will establish an analogue of Gidas-Spruck's discovery for fractional order Laplacian inequality. To state our main result, let us recall some notations and facts on fractional Laplacian.

<sup>2010</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J61, 35J70; 58J05.

*Key words and phrases.* nonnegative weak solution, fractional Laplacian, uniqueness.

YW was supported by NSFC No. 1120143 and AARMS Postdoctoral Fellowship; JX was supported by NSERC of Canada (FOAPAL # 202979463102000) and URP of Memorial University (FOAPAL # 208227463102000).

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$  let  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  be the  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  (fractional order) Laplacian which is determined via the Fourier transformation  $\hat{(\cdot)}$ : if *f* satisfies

(1) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1+|x|)^{N+\alpha}} dx < \infty
$$

then

<span id="page-1-4"></span>(2) 
$$
(-\widehat{\Delta})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha}\widehat{f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx \quad \forall \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N;
$$

alternatively, one has

<span id="page-1-5"></span>(3) 
$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(x) = C_{N,\alpha} p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}} dy \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N
$$

with  $C_{N,\alpha}$  being a normalization constant. The fractional Laplace operators arise in stochastic theory as the operators associated with symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable Levy processes. Applications also can be found in financial mathematics, such as the pricing model for American options, we refer the reader to [\[2,](#page-12-14) [8\]](#page-12-15) and reference therein.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** *For*  $(p, \alpha, N) \in (1, \infty) \times (0, 2) \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ *, the fractional differential inequality* 

$$
(4) \t\t up \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u \quad in \quad \mathbb{R}^N,
$$

*enjoys the following property:*

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(i) If  $N \leq \alpha$ , then a nonnegative weak solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2) is unique;

(ii) *If N* > α*, then the uniqueness of a nonnegative weak solution of* [\(4\)](#page-1-2) *occurs when and only when*  $p \leq N/(N - \alpha)$ *.* 

*Remark* 1. The notion of a nonnegative weak solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2) is deferred to Definition [2.2.](#page-3-0)

Notice that the case  $\alpha = 2$  of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-3) agrees with Gidas-Spruck's uniqueness result stated above. To prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-3) we utilize Section 2 to introduce three new results of independent interest: the first is Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) which, as a local version of [\(4\)](#page-1-2), is established by Caffarelli-Silvestre's  $\alpha$ -extension in [\[4\]](#page-12-16); the second is Lemma [2.3](#page-4-1) that lifts  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to a weighted function space in the upper-half-space via the  $\alpha$ -extension; and the third is Lemma [2.4](#page-6-1) which exists as a mixed trace inequality (inspired more or less by [\[22\]](#page-12-17)) for the  $\alpha$ -extension of a nonnegative weak solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2). With the help of those three lemmas, Section 3 is designed to validate Theorem [1.1](#page-1-3) - in particular - Theorem [1.1\(](#page-1-3)i) and the "when" part of Theorem [1.1\(](#page-1-3)ii) are demonstrated by showing " $u \ge 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$ ", and the "only when" part of Theorem [1.1\(](#page-1-3)ii) is checked through constructing a positive solution based on the fundamental solution to  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Notation.* In what follows,  $U \leq V$  means that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $U \leq CV$ . Moreover,  $U \approx V$  stands for  $U \le V \le U$ .

## 2. Lemmas and Their Proofs

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>2.1. **A reduction for**  $u^p \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u$ . Such a reduction comes from another look at Caffarelli-Silvestre's extension technique (cf. [\[4\]](#page-12-16)) that reduces the fractional Laplacian to a local problem through bringing one more variable into play. To be more precise, the fractional Laplacian can be characterized as a Dirichlet-Neumann operator for an appropriate differential equation of divergence form: if  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,  $u = u(x)$  is a function defined in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\omega = \omega(x, y)$  is a solution to the

boundary value problem

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(5) 
$$
\begin{cases}\n-div(y^{1-\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+} = \mathbb{R}^{N} \times (0, \infty); \\
\omega(x, 0) = u(x) & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\n\end{cases}
$$

then there is a constant  $C_\alpha$  depending on  $\alpha$  such that

(6) 
$$
\lim_{y \to 0^+} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) = -C_\alpha (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Observe the divergence form in [\(5\)](#page-2-0) is not only the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
J(u) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla \omega|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dx dy
$$

with  $\nabla$  being the gradient in  $(x, y)$ , but also it can be rewritten as

(7) 
$$
\Delta_x \omega + (1 - \alpha) y^{-1} \omega_y + \omega_{yy} = 0,
$$

which may be viewed as a harmonic function in  $N + 2 - \alpha$  dimensions. This observation leads us to the fundamental solution to [\(7\)](#page-2-1):

$$
\Gamma(x, y) = \frac{\pi^{(N+2-\alpha)/2} \Gamma((N-\alpha)/4)}{(|x|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}}} \quad \text{under} \quad N - \alpha > 1,
$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the standard gamma function. Furthermore, upon setting

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
P(x, y) = -y^{-1+\alpha} \partial_y \Gamma(x, y) = \left(\frac{\Gamma((N+\alpha)/2)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)}\right) \frac{y^{\alpha}}{(|x|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}}
$$

be the Poisson kernel, we find that

(8) 
$$
\omega(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x - z, y) u(z) dz,
$$

solves [\(5\)](#page-2-0). Due to [\(8\)](#page-2-2), the solution  $\omega(x, y)$  of (5) is also called the  $\alpha$ -extension of  $u(x)$ .

One major advantage with the use of representing the fractional Laplacian as a divergenceform differential equation [\(5](#page-2-0)[-6\)](#page-2-3) instead of a nonlocal operator [\(2\)](#page-1-4) or [\(3\)](#page-1-5) is that one can localize the related problems. This method has a great deal of applications in equations involving the fractional Laplacian; see [\[5,](#page-12-18) [8,](#page-12-15) [1\]](#page-12-19) and their references. Our current concern is the inequality [\(4\)](#page-1-2) (but not its equality) that is also nicely connected to the extension method in [\[4\]](#page-12-16). After extending the inequality to  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , it suffices to deal with a local equation [\(7\)](#page-2-1) by using an inequality as the boundary condition. In view of [\(5\)](#page-2-0) and [\(6\)](#page-2-3), the inequality [\(4\)](#page-1-2) can be rewritten as

(9) 
$$
\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{1-\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0 & \forall \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}; \\ \lim_{y \to 0^{+}} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) + u^{p}(x) \le 0 & \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}. \end{cases}
$$

Naturally,  $\omega$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  via putting

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\tilde{\omega}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \omega(x, y), & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \& y \ge 0; \\ \omega(x, -y), & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \& y < 0. \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** *Given*  $(p, \alpha) \in (1, \infty) \times (0, 2)$ *. Suppose that u is a nonnegative solution to* [\(4\)](#page-1-2)*,*  $\omega$  *is its*  $\alpha$ -extension, and  $\overline{V}$  stands for the full gradient operator in  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ . If  $|y|^{1-\alpha}|\nabla \tilde{\omega}(x, y)| \in$  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ , then for any nonnegative continuous function h satisfying  $|y|^{1-\alpha}|\nabla h(x, y)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ , *one has*

<span id="page-3-2"></span>(10) 
$$
2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}u^p(x)h(x,0)\,dx\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}\nabla\tilde{\omega}(x,y)\cdot \nabla h(x,y)|y|^{1-\alpha}\,dxdy.
$$

*Proof.* Without losing of generality, we may assume that *h* supports in the origin-centered Euclidean ball  $B_R := B(0, R)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  with radius  $R > 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we utilize  $div(|y|^{1-\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0$ and the Stokes formula to obtain

$$
\iint_{B_R} \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x, y) \cdot \nabla h(x, y) |y|^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= \iint_{B_R \setminus \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} + \iint_{B_R \cap \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x, y) \cdot \nabla h(x, y) |y|^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= \iint_{B_R \setminus \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} div(|y|^{1-\alpha} h \nabla \tilde{\omega}) dxdy + \iint_{B_R \cap \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x, y) \cdot \nabla h(x, y) |y|^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= - \iint_{B_R \cap \{|y| = \varepsilon\}} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} h(x, \varepsilon) \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}}{\partial y}(x, \varepsilon) dx + \iint_{B_R \cap \{|y| < \varepsilon\}} \nabla \tilde{\omega}(x, y) \cdot \nabla h(x, y) |y|^{1-\alpha} dxdy.
$$

Upon noticing that the last integral goes to 0 as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  because of  $|y|^{1-\alpha} |\nabla \omega(x, y)| \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ and that the inequality in [\(9\)](#page-2-4) gives

$$
u^{p}(x) \leq -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, \varepsilon),
$$

we get  $(10)$ .

*Remark* 2. As a matter of fact, when  $h(x, y)$  is the  $\alpha$ -extension of  $h(x, 0)$ , the inequality [\(10\)](#page-3-2) is equivalent to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^p(x)h(x,0)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{\alpha} \hat{u}(\xi)\overline{\hat{h}}(\xi,0)d\xi,
$$

which implies  $u \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2} \cap L^{p+1}$  immediately. One way to verify this is to show that the corresponding energy functionals coincide through the energy functional formula in [\[4\]](#page-12-16):

(11) 
$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} |\nabla \omega(x, y)|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{\alpha} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,
$$

where  $\omega$  is the  $\alpha$ -extension of  $\mu$ . A similar argument gives

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}}\nabla\omega(x,y)\cdot\nabla w(x,y)y^{1-\alpha} dxdy=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\xi|^{\alpha}\hat{u}(\xi)\overline{\hat{v}}(\xi)d\xi,
$$

where  $v \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}$  and *w* is its  $\alpha$ -extension.

More importantly, Lemma [2.1](#page-3-1) suggests us to adopt the local version of a nonnegative weak solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2) via the  $\alpha$ -extension.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Definition 2.2.** We say that *u* on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is a nonnegative weak solution of [\(4\)](#page-1-2) provided that *u* is a nonnegative function and its extension  $\omega$  satisfies both  $|y|^{1-\alpha}|\nabla \omega(x, y)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$  and

<span id="page-3-3"></span>(12) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^p h|_{y=0} dx \le \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \nabla \omega \cdot \nabla h |y|^{1-\alpha} dx dy,
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>for any compactly supported nonnegative function *h* satisfying  $|y|^{1-\alpha} |\nabla h(x, y)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ .

2.2. An extension for  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is presented in the following result.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** *For*  $(p, \alpha) \in (1, \infty) \times (0, 2)$  *and*  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *, let*  $\omega(x, y)$  *be given by* [\(8\)](#page-2-2)*. Then* 

(13) 
$$
\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} |\omega(x,y)|^{\frac{(N+2-\alpha)p}{N}} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy\right)^{\frac{N}{(N+2-\alpha)p}} \lesssim ||u||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}.
$$

*Proof.* The argument is split into two parts.

*Part 1:*  $2N/(N + 2 - \alpha) \le p \le \infty$ . This follows from the well-known complex interpolation theorem. So it suffices to prove the endpoint cases, which are  $(\infty, \infty)$ -estimate and  $(2, \frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha})$ estimate.

Let us first consider the  $(\infty, \infty)$ -estimate. According to [\(8\)](#page-2-2), one has

$$
\omega(x,y) = \left(\frac{\Gamma((N+\alpha)/2)}{\pi^{N/2}\Gamma(\alpha/2)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{y^{\alpha}u(\xi)}{\left(|x-\xi|^2 + y^2\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}}d\xi.
$$

It is easy to get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{y^{\alpha}}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}} dx < \infty,
$$

and then by Young's inequality

$$
\|\omega(x,y)\|_{L^\infty_{x,y}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})}\lesssim \|u(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
$$

This in turn implies

(14) 
$$
\|\omega(x,y)\|_{L_{x,y}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1},y^{1-\alpha}dxdy)} \lesssim \|u(x)\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}.
$$

Next, we make the *L<sup>p</sup>*-estimate with  $p = \frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha}$ . After taking the Fourier transform, [\(7\)](#page-2-1) becomes

$$
-|\xi|^2 \hat{\omega}(\xi, y) + (1 - \alpha)^{-1} y \hat{\omega}_y(\xi, y) + \hat{\omega}_{yy}(\xi, y) = 0,
$$

which is an ordinary differential equation for each  $\xi$ . Suppose now that  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is the minimizer of the functional

$$
J(\phi) := \int_0^\infty (|\phi|^2 + |\phi'|^2) y^{1-\alpha} dy \quad \text{subject to} \quad \phi(0) = 1.
$$

Then  $\phi$  solves the following equation (cf. [\[4\]](#page-12-16)),

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\hat{\phi}(y) + \frac{1-\alpha}{y}\hat{\phi}_y(y) + \phi_{yy}(y) = 0; \\
\phi(0) = 1; \\
\lim_{y \to \infty} \phi(y) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
\hat{\omega}(\xi, y) = \hat{u}(\xi)\phi(|\xi|y).
$$

Thus the energy functional of  $\omega$  is

(15)  
\n
$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} |\omega(x, y)|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\hat{\omega}(\xi, y)|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dyd\xi
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} |\phi(|\xi|y)|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dyd\xi
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\xi|^{\alpha-2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\phi(y)|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dyd\xi
$$
\n
$$
\leq J(\phi) ||u||_{\dot{L}^{\frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha}}_{\frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha}}},
$$

where we have used the fractional Sobolev imbedding for  $\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 < 0$  in the last step.

The desired inequality follows from putting the above two estimates together and using [\[10,](#page-12-20) Theorem 1.3.4] with

$$
(X, d\mu) = (\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, y^{1-\alpha}dxdy); \ (Y, dm) = (\mathbb{R}^N, dx); \ (q_0, p_0, q_1, p_1) = \left(2, \frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha}, \infty, \infty\right)
$$

and  $T: u \mapsto \omega$  being the extension operator.

*Part 2:*  $1 < p < 2N/(N + 2 - \alpha)$ . This follows from the well-known real interpolation theorem. So it suffices to check the weak-type estimate at the endpoint  $p = 1$ .

Given a space *X* and a measurable function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ , we define the distribution function  $\lambda_f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to [0, +\infty]$  by the formula

$$
\lambda_f(t) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| \ge t\}).
$$

Recall the weak  $L^p$ -norm  $||f||_{L^{p,\infty}(X)}$  by

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(X,d\mu)}^p:=\|t^p\lambda_f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}.
$$

We say that *T* is of strong-type  $(p, q)$  if

$$
||Tf||_{L^q(X,d\mu)} \lesssim ||f||_{L^p(Y,dm)},
$$

and of weak-type  $(p, q)$  if the above inequality holds whenever  $L^q$  is replaced by  $L^{q, \infty}$ .

Suppose now  $d\mu = y^{1-\alpha}dxdy$ . Then the weak  $L^{r,\infty}$ -norm has an equivalent counterpart

(16) 
$$
||f||_{L^{r,\infty}} \approx \sup_{E} \mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{r'}} \left| \int_{E} f d\mu \right|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$ . If  $r_0 = \frac{N+2-\alpha}{N}$  $\frac{2-a}{N}$ , then for  $Tu = \omega$  we have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
||Tu||_{L^{r_0,\infty}} \approx \sup_E \mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{r_0'}} \left| \int_E Tu \, d\mu \right|.
$$

For the right side of the last equivalence, we use Fubini's theorem and [\(16\)](#page-5-0) to achieve

$$
\mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{r'_0}} \left| \int_E Tu \, d\mu \right| \leq \mu(E)^{-\frac{1}{r'_0}} \int_E \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x - z, y) |u(z)| \, dz \, d\mu
$$
  
=  $\left( \sup_{\tilde{z}} \mu(E - \tilde{z})^{-\frac{1}{r'_0}} \int_{E - \tilde{z}} P(x, y) \, d\mu \right) ||u||_{L^1}$   
 $\leq ||P||_{L^{r_0, \infty}} ||u||_{L^1},$ 

where  $\tilde{z} = (z, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}$ .

Now for the weak  $(r_0, 1)$ -estimate of  $T$ , it is sufficient to bound

$$
||P||_{L^{r_0,\infty}(X,d\mu)} \quad \text{for} \quad P(x,y) = \left(\frac{\Gamma((N+\alpha)/2)}{\pi^{N/2}\Gamma(\alpha/2)}\right) \frac{y^{-N}}{(|x/y|^2+1)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}},
$$

namely,

(17) 
$$
||P||_{L^{r_0,\infty}(X,d\mu)}^{r_0} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{r_0} \mu({(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+} : P(x,y) > \lambda}).
$$

Noticing

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
P(x, y) = \left(\frac{\Gamma((N + \alpha)/2)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)}\right) \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\left(|x|^2 + y^2\right)^{\frac{N + \alpha}{2}}} \lesssim |X|^{-N} \quad \text{where} \quad X = (x, y),
$$

we find that the right side of [\(17\)](#page-6-2) can be controlled by

$$
\mu(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ : P(x, y) > \lambda\}) \lesssim \mu\left(\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ : |X|^{-N} \gtrsim \lambda\}\right)
$$

$$
\approx \int_{|X| \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{N}}} d\mu
$$

$$
\lesssim \left(\int_{|x| \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{N}}} dx\right) \left(\int_{y \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{N}}} y^{1-\alpha} dy\right)
$$

$$
\approx \lambda^{r_0},
$$

thereby getting

$$
||P||_{L^{r_0,\infty}(X,d\mu)} \lesssim 1,
$$

which implies that  $T$  is of the weak  $(r_0, 1)$ -estimate. Therefore the strong-type estimate desired in *Part 2* follows from the strong  $(2, \frac{2N}{N+2-\alpha})$ -estimate proved in *Part 1* and Marcienkiewicz's real interpolation method [\[10,](#page-12-20) Theorem 1.3.2].

*Remark* 3. In fact, if we interpolate between the weak  $(r_0, 1)$ -estimate and the strong  $(\infty, \infty)$ estimate, the strong-type estimate for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  can be obtained. However, the reason that we split the argument into two parts is that not only the proof of the first part is more direct, but also the exploited energy structure is a very powerful tool and has its own interest.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>2.3. **A mixed trace estimation for**  $u^p \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u$ . Such a type of estimate is given below.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 2.4.** *Let*  $(p, \alpha) \in (1, \infty) \times (0, 2)$  *and*  $\varphi$  *be a smooth function in*  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  *with the compact support supp* $(\varphi)$  *such that*  $0 \le \varphi \le 1$  *and*  $\varphi = 1$  *in an nonempty open subset of supp* $(\varphi)$ *. If u is a nonnegative weak solution to* [\(4\)](#page-1-2) *and* ω *is its* α*-extension, then for any* 0 < *t* ≪ 1 *and s* ≫ 1 *there is a constant C<sup>s</sup>*,*<sup>N</sup>* > 0 *depending on s and N such that* (18)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi^{s}|_{y=0} u^{p-t} dx \leq C_{s,N} \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \omega^{p'-t'} \varphi^{s} y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} \left( t^{-\frac{p'}{p'-1}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} |\nabla \varphi|^{ \frac{2p'-2t'}{p'-1+t-t'}} y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \right)^{\frac{p'-1+t-t'}{p'-t'}} ,
$$

*where*

$$
p' = \frac{(N+2-\alpha)p}{N} \quad \& \quad t' = \frac{(N+2-\alpha)t}{N}.
$$

*Proof.* Since *u* is nonnegative, so is  $\omega$ . For constructing a proper test function, we introduce a small number

$$
0<\delta\ll 1,
$$

and let

(19) 
$$
\omega_{\delta} = \omega + \delta \quad \& \quad \psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)^{s} \omega_{\delta}(x, y)^{-t},
$$

where  $0 < t \ll 1$ ,  $s \gg 1$ . A simple calculation derives

(20) 
$$
\nabla \psi = -t\omega_{\delta}^{-1-t}\varphi^{s}\nabla \omega + s\omega_{\delta}^{-t}\varphi^{s-1}\nabla \varphi.
$$

Using the compactness of supp $(\varphi)$ , we obtain  $\psi \in L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ . And since  $\omega_{\delta}$  is uniformly away from 0,  $\omega_{\delta}^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded from above, whence getting that for the fixed  $t > 0$  and  $s > 1$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
|\nabla \psi(x, y)|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+).
$$

The foregoing analysis tells us that such a  $\psi$  can be chosen a legal test function *h* for [\(12\)](#page-3-3). So, by  $(12)$  and  $(20)$  we obtain

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
(21) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_\delta^{-1-t} \varphi^s |\nabla \omega|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^s |_{y=0} (u+\delta)^{p-t} dx \leq s \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_\delta^{-t} \varphi^{s-1} (\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla \varphi) y^{1-\alpha} dx dy.
$$

By the Hölder inequality and then Young inequality, the right hand of  $(21)$  can be estimated as follows:

$$
s \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_{\delta}^{-t} \varphi^{s-1} \nabla \omega \cdot \nabla \varphi y^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} (t \omega_{\delta}^{-1-t} \varphi^{s})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla \varphi) st^{-1/2} \omega^{\frac{1-t}{2}} \varphi^{\frac{s}{2}-1} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left(t \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_{\delta}^{-1-t} \varphi^{s} |\nabla \omega|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy\right)^{1/2} \left(s^{2} t^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_{\delta}^{1-t} \varphi^{s-2} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{t}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_{\delta}^{-1-t} \varphi^{s} |\nabla \omega|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy + \frac{s^{2} t^{-1}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_{\delta}^{1-t} \varphi^{s-2} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy
$$

Bringing this last inequality into [\(21\)](#page-7-1) we obtain

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\frac{t}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \omega_\delta^{-1-t} \varphi^s |\nabla \omega|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^s |_{y=0} (u+\delta)^{p-t} dx \leq \frac{s^2 t^{-1}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \omega_\delta^{1-t} \varphi^{s-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dxdy.
$$

By the Hölder inequality, the right side of  $(22)$  can be estimated as follows:

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
(23) \qquad \begin{aligned} 2^{-1} s^2 t^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_\delta^{1-t} \varphi^{s-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 y^{1-\alpha} dxdy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} \omega_\delta^{1-t} \varphi^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} s \big( 2^{-1} s^2 t^{-1} \varphi^{s-2-\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} s |\nabla \varphi|^2 \big) y^{1-\alpha} dxdy \\ &\leq ||\omega_\delta^{1-t} \varphi^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} s||_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}, y^{1-\alpha} dxdy)} ||2^{-1} s^2 t^{-1} \varphi^{s-2-\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} s |\nabla \varphi|^2||_{L^{p'_1}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}, y^{1-\alpha} dxdy)}, \end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
p_1 =
$$

Note that for  $p > 1$ , we have

$$
p' \ge \frac{N+2-\alpha}{N} \implies (s^2 t^{-1})^{\frac{p'-t'}{p'-1+t-t'}} \le (s^2 t^{-1})^{\frac{p'}{p'-1}}.
$$

And for  $s \gg 1$  and  $0 < t \ll 1$ , we have

$$
\varphi^{s-2-\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}s}\leq 1.
$$

So, combining [\(22\)](#page-7-2) and [\(23\)](#page-7-3) we finally obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\varphi^{s}|_{y=0}(u+\delta)^{p-t}dx \\ \lesssim \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}}\omega_{\delta}^{p'-t'}\varphi^{s}y^{1-\alpha}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}}t^{-\frac{p'}{p'-1}}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}}|\nabla\varphi|^{\frac{2p'-2t'}{p'-1+t-t'}}y^{1-\alpha}dxdy\right)^{\frac{p'-1+t-t'}{p'-t'}}.
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Letting  $\delta \to 0$ , we obtain [\(18\)](#page-6-3), thereby completing the proof.

## 3. Verification of Theorem

*Proof of the case*  $N \le \alpha$  *or*  $N > \alpha$  *&*  $p \le N/(N - \alpha)$ *.* Assume that *u* is a nonnegative weak solu-tion to [\(4\)](#page-1-2). Then *u* satisfies [\(12\)](#page-3-3). If  $\omega$  is the  $\alpha$ -extension of *u*, then Lemma [2.4](#page-6-1) holds.

In what follows, we estimate the second factor of right side of [\(18\)](#page-6-3) by selecting a series of appropriate test functions. To be more explicit, for a large number  $R > 0$  let us consider the function

(24) 
$$
\varphi(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & |X| < R, \\ \left|\frac{X}{R}\right|^{-t}, & |X| \ge R, \end{cases}
$$

where

$$
X = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \& |X| = \sqrt{|x|^2 + y^2}.
$$

Since *R* is big enough,  $t = (\ln R)^{-1}$  is sufficiently small. For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  define a cutoff function  $\eta_n$ by

(25) 
$$
\eta_n(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le |X| \le nR; \\ 2 - \frac{|X|}{nR}, & nR \le |X| \le 2nR; \\ 0, & |X| \ge 2nR, \end{cases}
$$

and then consider the function

$$
\varphi_n(X) = \varphi(X)\eta_n(X)
$$

so that  $\varphi_n(X)$  approaches to  $\varphi(X)$  from below as  $n \to \infty$ . Notice that

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\nabla \varphi_n = \varphi \nabla \eta_n + \eta_n \nabla \varphi.
$$

Thus, for any  $a \geq 2$  one has

(26) 
$$
|\nabla \varphi_n|^a \lesssim |\varphi \nabla \eta_n|^a + |\eta_n \nabla \varphi|^a.
$$

Now we are ready to estimate the left side of [\(18\)](#page-6-3) by choosing  $\varphi_n$  to be the test functions. More precisely, we need to deal with the integral

$$
I_n(a) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} |\nabla \varphi_n|^a y^{1-a} dxdy.
$$

From [\(26\)](#page-8-1) we have

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
(27) \qquad I_n(a) \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \eta_n^a |\nabla \varphi|^a y^{1-\alpha} dx dy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \varphi^a |\nabla \eta_n|^a y^{1-\alpha} dx dy
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus B_R} |\nabla \varphi|^a y^{1-\alpha} dx dy + \iint_{(B_{2nR}\setminus B_{nR}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \varphi^a |\nabla \eta_n|^a y^{1-\alpha} dx dy.
$$

For the second integral in [\(27\)](#page-9-0), we use  $|\nabla \eta_n| \leq (nR)^{-1}$  to gain

<span id="page-9-1"></span>(28)  

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \varphi^{a} |\nabla \eta_{n}|^{a} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy \leq (nR)^{-a} \int_{(B_{2nR}\setminus B_{nR}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \varphi^{a} |X|^{1-\alpha} dX
$$

$$
\leq (nR)^{-a} \left( \sup_{(B_{2nR}\setminus B_{nR}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} \varphi^{a} \right) (nR)^{1-\alpha} |B(0, 2nR)|
$$

$$
\leq (nR)^{-a} \left( \frac{nR}{R} \right)^{-at} (nR)^{1-\alpha} (2nR)^{N+1}
$$

$$
\approx n^{N+2-\alpha-a-at} R^{N+2-\alpha-a}.
$$

For the first integral in [\(27\)](#page-9-0), we employ  $|\nabla \varphi| \leq R^t t |X|^{-1-t}$  to obtain that

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(29)  

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}\setminus B_{R}} |\nabla \varphi|^{a} y^{1-\alpha} dxdy \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}\setminus B_{R}} |\nabla \varphi|^{a} |X|^{1-\alpha} dX
$$

$$
\approx \int_{R/2}^{\infty} R^{at} t^{a} r^{-a-at} r^{N+1-\alpha} dr
$$

$$
\approx t^{a} R^{-a+N+2-\alpha}.
$$

provided  $a + at > N + 2 - \alpha$ . Combining [\(28\)](#page-9-1), [\(29\)](#page-9-2) with [\(27\)](#page-9-0) yields  $I_n(a) \le n^{N+2-\alpha-a-at} R^{N+2-\alpha-a} + t^a R^{-a+N+2-a},$ 

and consequently,

(30) 
$$
I_n(a) \leq n^{-at} + t^a \quad \text{under} \quad a \geq N + 2 - \alpha.
$$

Here, it should be pointed out that  $I_n(a)$  is uniformly bounded in *R* and *n*.

Now, from [\(18\)](#page-6-3) and [\(30\)](#page-9-3) we obtain a constant  $\hat{C} > 0$  depending only on *s* and  $\hat{a} = \frac{p'-t'}{n'-1}$  $\frac{p-r}{p'-1}$  such that

<span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n^s u^{p-t} dx \leq C(n^{-at} + t^a) \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \omega^{p'-t'} \varphi_n^s y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}}.
$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we further obtain

(31) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^s u^{p-t} dx \lesssim t^a \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \omega^{p'-t'} \varphi^s y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}}
$$

Since  $u \in L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we conclude that  $\omega \in L^{\frac{(p+1)(N+2-\alpha)}{N}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, d\mu)$  by Lemma [2.3,](#page-4-1) and that the integral of right side of [\(31\)](#page-9-4) is uniformly bounded in *t*. By letting  $t \to 0^+$ , we discover

.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^s u^p \, dx = \lim_{t \to 0+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^s u^{p-t} \, dx \le \lim_{t \to 0+} t^a \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} \omega^{p'-t'} \varphi^s y^{1-\alpha} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1-t}{p'-t'}} = 0,
$$

whence reaching  $u = 0$  provided  $a + at > N + 2 - \alpha$ .

Note that

$$
a=\frac{2(p'-t')}{p'-1}.
$$

So, choosing *t* to be sufficiently small one gets

$$
a + at = \frac{2p'}{p' - 1} + 2t' - \frac{2tt'}{p' - 1} > \frac{2p'}{p' - 1}.
$$

Thus we should guarantee

$$
\frac{2p'}{p'-1} \ge N + 2 - \alpha, \text{ i.e., } (N - \alpha)p' \le N + 2 - \alpha.
$$

Recall that

$$
p' = \frac{p(N+2-\alpha)}{N}
$$

Thus we should have

$$
\frac{p(N+2-\alpha)(N-\alpha)}{N} \le N+2-\alpha, \text{ i.e., } \frac{p(N-\alpha)}{N} \le 1,
$$

.

as required.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of the case*  $p > N/(N - \alpha)$ *.* Trivially,  $u = 0$  is a solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2). But, a positive solution of [\(4\)](#page-1-2) will be provided below.

To do so, for  $0 < s < N$  let  $I_s$  be the Riesz potential determined by

$$
I_{s}f=(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}}f.
$$

Actually, one has

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
I_{s}f(x)=\left(\frac{\Gamma(N/2-s/2)}{\pi^{N/2}2^{s}\Gamma(s/2)}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{N-s}}dy \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.
$$

Of course, the following fact is well-known:

(32) 
$$
|\widehat{x|^{-N+s}} = c_{N,s}|x|^{-s} \& \widehat{I_s f}(x) = c_{N,s} \left( \frac{\Gamma(N/2 - s/2)}{\pi^{N/2} 2^s \Gamma(s/2)} \right) |x|^{-s} \widehat{f}(x)
$$

with  $c_{N,s}$  being a constant.

So, it is easy to find that the Riesz potential is the inverse of the fractional Laplacian in the sense of:

$$
I_{\alpha}((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}f) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(I_{\alpha}f) = f.
$$

By setting  $f = \delta_0$  (the Dirac point mass at the origin) in the last formula we get

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(I_{\alpha}\delta_0)=\delta_0,
$$

whence seeing that

(33) 
$$
W_{\alpha}(x) = I_{\alpha}\delta_0(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(N/2 - s/2)}{\pi^{N/2}2^{s}\Gamma(s/2)}\right)|x|^{\alpha - N}
$$

is the fundamental solution of  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u = 0$ .

The main idea of the remaining argument is to perturb the fundamental solution [\(33\)](#page-10-0) properly to construct a positive solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2) when  $p < N/(N - \alpha)$ . However, the fundamental solution is not a proper solution due to its singularity at the origin. So, in order to handle this issue, we need a smooth cutoff function  $\rho(x)$  that is defined by

$$
\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \le 1; \\ \text{smooth and radially decreasing}, & 1 \le |x| \le 2; \\ 0, & |x| \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$
u(x) = \rho * W_{\alpha}(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(N/2 - s/2)}{\pi^{N/2} 2^s \Gamma(s/2)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho(y)}{|x - y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

is well-defined when  $0 < \alpha < N$ , and solves the  $\alpha$ -fractional Laplacian equation, i.e.,

$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u(x)=\rho(x) \quad \forall \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Thus, for  $0 < \delta < N - \alpha$  we perturb  $\rho * W_{\alpha}(x)$  at infinity to get

$$
u_{\delta}(x) := \rho * \frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-\alpha-\delta}}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha-\delta}} dy \quad \forall \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

We next claim that  $u_{\delta}$  solves the inequality [\(4\)](#page-1-2) under  $p > \frac{N}{N-\alpha}$  when |*x*| is large. We observe

$$
u_\delta(x)=\int_{|y|\leq 2}\frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha-\delta}}dy\approx \frac{1}{|x|^{N-\alpha-\delta}}\quad\forall\quad \, |x|\gg 1,
$$

whence getting

(34) 
$$
u_{\delta}^{p}(x) \approx \frac{1}{|x|^{Np-\alpha p-\delta p}} \quad \forall \quad |x| \gg 1.
$$

On the other hand, we use [\(32\)](#page-10-1) to produce a constant  $c > 0$  such that

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\hat{u}_{\delta}(\xi) = c|\xi|^{-\alpha-\delta} \hat{\rho}(\xi),
$$

and thus

$$
(-\widehat{\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}u_{\delta}(\xi)=c|\xi|^{-\delta}\widehat{\rho}(\xi),
$$

which in turn implies

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{\delta}(x)=c\rho*\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{N-\delta}}(x).
$$

Similarly, we have

(35) 
$$
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{\delta}(x) \approx \frac{1}{|x|^{N-\delta}} \quad \forall \quad |x| \gg 1.
$$

If  $p > \frac{N}{N-1}$  $\frac{N}{N-\alpha}$ , then there exists a sufficiently small constant  $\delta$  such that  $(N - \alpha - \delta)p > N - \delta$ . This inequality, along with [\(34\)](#page-11-0) and [\(35\)](#page-11-1), implies

$$
u^p_\delta(x) \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_\delta(x) \quad \forall \quad |x| \gg 1,
$$

thereby verifying the claim.

Since we have showed that there is a positive *R* such that  $u_{\delta}$  solves [\(4\)](#page-1-2) with  $p > \frac{N}{N-\alpha}$  when  $|x| \ge R$ , for the rest part  $|x| \le R$  we need to exploit the scaling structure of the inequality. To be

more precise, observe that both  $u_\delta$  and  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_\delta$  are continues and positive, and decay radially. So, letting

$$
m = \max \left\{ \frac{\displaystyle \max_{|x| \leq R} u^p_{\delta}(x)}{\displaystyle \min_{|x| \leq R} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{\delta}(x)}, 1 \right\},
$$

we utilize the previous construction to deduce that  $u_{\delta}$  solves the inequality

$$
u^p \leq m(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Finally, choosing  $u = m^{\frac{1}{1-p}} u_\delta$  we obtain a positive solution to [\(4\)](#page-1-2) on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , as required.

#### <span id="page-12-1"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-19"></span><span id="page-12-14"></span>[1] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo and U. Sánchez, A concaveconvex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 143 (2013), 39–71.
- [2] S. I. Boyarchenko and S. Z. Levendorskii, Perpetual American Options Under Lvy Processes, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 40(2006), 1663-1696
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[3] X. Cabrèa and J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian, *Advances in Mathematics* 224(2010), 2052-2093
- [4] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. in PDEs* 32(2007), 1245–1260.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[5] L. Caffarelli, S. Salsa and L. Silvestre, Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian, *Inventiones mathematicae* 171(2008), 425–461
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[6] G. Caristi, L. D'Ambrosio and E. Mitidieri, Liouville theorems for some nonlinear inequalities, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 260(2008), 90-111.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>[7] G. Caristi and E. Mitidieri, Liouville theorems for quasilinear elliptic inequalities, *Doklady Math.* 79(2009), 118-124.
- [8] R. Cont and P. Tankov, Financial modelling with Jump Processes, *(Chapman* & *Hall* / *CRC Press, 2003)*
- [9] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 34(1981), 525–598.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>[10] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Grad. Texts in Math. (second edition), 249(2008), Springer, New York.
- [11] A. Grigor'yan and Y. Sun, On non-negative solutions of the inequality  $\Delta u + u^{\sigma} \le 0$  on Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 67(2014), 1336–1352.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[12] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, Absence of global positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic inequalities (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* 359(1998), 456-460.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[13] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, Absence of positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 227(1999), 186-216.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[14] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 234(2001), 1-362.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[15] S. I. Pohozaev, Essentially nonlinear capacities induced by differential operators (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* 357(1997), 592-594.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span><span id="page-12-8"></span>[16] S. I. Pohozaev, Critical non-linearities in partial differential equations, *Milan J. Math.* 77(2009), 127-150.
- [17] J. Serrin and H. Zou, Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities, *Acta Math.* 189(2002), 79-142.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[18] Y. Sun, Uniqueness result for non-negative solutions of semi-linear inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 419(2014), 643-661.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[19] Y. Sun, Uniqueness results for non-negative solutions of quasi-linear inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *Preprint*, 2014.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[20] Y. Sun, On the uniqueness of nonegative solutions of differential inequalities with gradient terms on Riemannian manifolds, *Preprint*, 2014.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[21] Y. Wang and J. Xiao, A constructive approach to positive solutions of  $\Delta_p u + f(u, \nabla u) \leq 0$  on Riemannian manifolds, *Preprint*, 2014.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[22] J. Xiao, A sharp Sobolev trace inequality for the fractional-order derivatives, *Bull. Sci. Math.*130(2006), 87-96.

## 14 YUZHAO WANG AND JIE XIAO

Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, China *Current address*: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

*E-mail address*: wangyuzhao2008@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada *E-mail address*: jxiao@mun.ca