Isolated Singularities of Polyharmonic Operator in Even Dimension

Dhanya Rajendran^a, Abhishek Sarkar^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, Karnataka, India. ^bTIFR Centre For Applicable Mathematics Post Bag No. 6503, Sharda Nagar, Bangalore-560065, Karnataka, India.

Abstract

We consider the equation $\Delta^2 u = g(x, u) \ge 0$ in the sense of distribution in $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ where u and $-\Delta u \ge 0$. Then it is known that u solves $\Delta^2 u = g(x, u) + \alpha \delta_0 - \beta \Delta \delta_0$, for some nonnegative constants α and β . In this paper we study the existence of singular solutions to $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u) + \alpha \delta_0 - \beta \Delta \delta_0$ in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, a is a non-negative measurable function in some Lebesgue space. If $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u)$ in Ω' , then we find the growth of the nonlinearity f that determines α and β to be 0. In case when $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we will establish regularity results when $f(t) \le Ce^{\gamma t}$, for some $C, \gamma > 0$. This paper extends the work of Soranzo (1997) where the author finds the barrier function in higher dimensions $(N \ge 5)$ with a specific weight function $a(x) = |x|^{\sigma}$. Later we discuss its analogous generalization for the polyharmonic operator.

Keywords: Elliptic system; polyharmonic operator; existence of solutions; singularity 2010 MSC: 35J40, 35J61, 35J91

1. Introduction

Isolated singularities of elliptic operators are studied extensively, see for eg. [2],[10], [14], [15] and [16]. In this paper we wish to address the following problem and the questions related to it for the biharmonic(polyharmonic) operator in $\mathbb{R}^4(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$:-

Question: If a non negative measurable function u is known to solve a PDE in the sense of distribution in a punctured domain, then what can one say about the differential equation satisfied by u in the entire domain?

In [2], Brezis and Lions answered this question for the Laplace operator with the assumption that

$$0 \leq -\Delta u = f(u) \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \{0\} \ , \ u \geq 0 \ , \ \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} > -\infty \ , \ \Omega \subset I\!\!R^N.$$

With the above hypotheses it was proved that both u and f(u) belong to $L^1(\Omega)$, and satisfy $-\Delta u = f(u) + \alpha \delta_0$, for some $\alpha \ge 0$. For the dimension $N \ge 3$, P.L.Lions[10] found a sharp condition on f that determines whether α is zero or not in the previous expression. In [5], the authors further extended the result for dimension N = 2 by finding the minimal growth rate of the function f which guranteed α to be 0.

Taliaferro, in his series of papers (see for e.g. [15], [16], [8]) studied the isolated singularities of non-linear elliptic inequalities. In [16] the author studied the asymptotic behaviour of the positive solution of the differential inequality

$$0 \le -\Delta u \le f(u) \tag{1.1}$$

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: dhanya.tr@gmail.com (Dhanya Rajendran), abhishek@math.tifrbng.res.in (Abhishek Sarkar)

in a punctured domain under various assumptions on f. If $N \ge 3$ and the function f has a "supercritical" growth as in Lions[10], (i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{N}{N-2}}} = \infty$,) then there exists arbitrarily 'large solutions' of (1.1). When N = 2, it was proved that there exists a punctured neighborhood of the origin such that (1.1) admits arbitrarily large solutions near the origin, provided that $\log f(t)$ has a superlinear growth at infinity. Moreover author characterizes the singularity at the origin of all solutions u of (1.1) when $\log f(t)$ has a sublinear growth. Later Taliaferro, Ghergu and Moradifam in [8] generalized these results to polyharmonic inequalities.

The study of the polyharmonic equations of the type $(-\Delta)^m u = h(x, u)$ is associated to splitting the equation into a non-linear coupled system involving Laplace operator alone. Orsina and Ponce[12] proved the existence of solutions to

(1)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u, v) + \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = g(u, v) + \eta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

with the assumption that the continuous functions f and g are non increasing in first and second variables respectively with f(0,t) = g(s,0) = 0. But here the authors assumed that μ and η are diffusive measures and Dirac distribution is not a diffusive measure. Considerable amount of existence/non-existence results have been proved for the problem (1) when f is a function of valone and g depends only on u and μ, η are Radon measures. For eg. see [1] where the authors assumed $f(u, v) = v^p$, $g(u, v) = u^q$ and with non-homogenous boundary condition. In [6] authors dealt with sign changing functions f and g, with a polynomial type growth at infinity and the measure μ and η were assumed to be multiples of δ_0 .

Our paper is closely related to the work of Soranzo [14] where author considers the equation:

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^{\sigma} u^p \text{ with } u > 0, \ -\Delta u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, N \ge 4 \text{ and } \sigma \in (-4, 0).$$

A complete description of the singularity was provided when $1 for <math>N \ge 5$, or 1 when <math>N = 4. In this work we prove that the results of Soranzo can be improved for the dimension N = 4 by replacing u^p by more general exponential type function.

2. Preliminaries

We assume that Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 4$ with smooth boundary and $0 \in \Omega$. We denote Ω' to be $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$. In this section we discuss some of the well known results for biharmonic operator.

Theorem 2.1. (Brezis - Lions [2]) Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$ be such that $\Delta u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$ in the sense of distributions in Ω' , $u \ge 0$ in Ω such that

$$-\Delta u + au \ge g \ a.e \ in \ \Omega,$$

where a is a positive constant and $g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then there exist $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$-\Delta u = \varphi + \alpha \delta_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(B_R) \tag{2.1}$$

where δ_0 is the Dirac mass at origin. In particular, $u \in M^p_{loc}(B_R)^{-1}$ where p = N/N - 2 when $N \ge 3$ and $1 \le p < \infty$ is arbitrary when N = 2.

Theorem 2.2. (Weyl Lemma, Simader[13]) Suppose $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and let $u \in L^1_{loc}(G)$ satisfies

$$\int_{G} u\Delta^{2}\varphi dx = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G), \text{ i.e. } \Delta^{2}u = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(G).$$

Then there exists $\tilde{u} \in C^{\infty}(G)$ with $\Delta^2 \tilde{u} = 0$ and $u = \tilde{u}$ a.e in G.

 $^{^{1}} M^{p}_{loc}(B_{R})$ denotes the Marcinkeiwicz space

Theorem 2.3. (Weak maximum principle:) Let $u \in W^{4,r}(\Omega)$ be a solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^2 u = f(x) \geq 0 & \mbox{ in } \Omega \\ u \geq 0, -\Delta u \geq 0 & \mbox{ on } \partial \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

Then we have $u \ge 0$ and $-\Delta u \ge 0$ in Ω .

Proof of maximum principle easily follows by splitting the equation into a (coupled) system of second order PDE's say: $w = -\Delta u$ and $-\Delta w = f$ with the corresponding boundary conditions. Using similar ideas we can infact prove a maximum principle with weaker assumptions on the the smoothness of u, which is stated below:

Theorem 2.4. Let $u, \Delta u \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\Delta^2 u \ge 0$ in the sense of distributions. Also assume that $u, \Delta u$ are continuous near $\partial \Omega$ and $u > 0, -\Delta u > 0$ near $\partial \Omega$. Then $u(x) \ge 0$ in Ω .

Definition 2.1. Fundamental solution of Δ^2 is defined as a locally integrable function Φ in \mathbb{R}^N for which $\Delta^2 \Phi = \delta_0$ and precisely expressed as

$$\Phi(x) = a_N \begin{cases} |x|^{4-N} & \text{if} \quad N \ge 5\\ \log \frac{5}{|x|} & \text{if} \quad N = 4\\ |x| & \text{if} \quad N = 3\\ |x|^2 \log \frac{5}{|x|} & \text{if} \quad N = 2 \end{cases}$$

for some constant $a_N > 0$.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose $g: \Omega' \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a measurable function and let $u, \Delta u$ and $\Delta^2 u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$. Let $\Delta^2 u = g(x, u)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega')$ with $u \ge 0$ and $-\Delta u \ge 0$ a.e in Ω' . Then $u, g(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and there exist a non-negative constants α , β such that $\Delta^2 u = g(x, u) + \alpha \delta_0 - \beta \Delta \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Proof: Let us write $w = -\Delta u$. Then $-\Delta w = g(x, u) \ge 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega')$ and also given that $w, g(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$. Now as a direct application of Brezis-Lions Theorem 4.4, we obtain

$$-\Delta w = g(x, u) + \alpha \delta_0 \text{ for some } \alpha \ge 0$$
(2.2)

and $w, g(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Since $-\Delta u = w \ge 0$ in Ω' again by Theorem 4.4 $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and

$$-\Delta u = w + \beta \delta_0$$
 for some $\beta \ge 0$.

Now substituting $w = -\Delta u - \beta \delta_0$ in (2.2) we get

$$\Delta^2 u = g(x, u) + \alpha \delta_0 - \beta \Delta \delta_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Extending g(x, u) to be zero outside Ω we get $\Delta^2(u - f(u) * \Phi - \alpha \Phi - \beta \Gamma) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. By Weyl's lemma for biharmonic operators, there exists a biharmonic function $h \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$u = g(x, u) * \Phi + \alpha \Phi + \beta \Gamma + h \ a.e \ in \ \Omega.$$

Note that $\Gamma(x)$ belongs to Marcinkeiwicz space $M^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$ when $N \geq 2$. By the property of the convolution of an L^1 function with the functions in $M^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we obtain $u \in M^{\frac{N}{N-2}}_{loc}(\Omega)$. \Box . The above result has been proved in [14](see Theorem 2) as an application of their main result on the system of equations. Proof is essentially based on the idea of Brezis-Lions type estimates. We have instead given a direct alternative proof for the same result. Theorem 2.5 can be extended for polyharmonic operator in a standard way, for details see Theorem 4.1.

3. Biharmonic operator in $I\!\!R^4$

In this section we will restrict ourselves to the dimension N = 4 and g(x, u) to take a specific form g(x, u) = a(x)f(u). Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^4 , $0 \in \Omega$ and denote $\Omega' = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. We assume

- (H1) $f: [0,\infty) \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ is a continuous function which is nondecreasing in \mathbb{R}^+ and f(0) = 0.
- (H2) a(x) is a non-negative measurable function in $L^k(\Omega)$ for some $k > \frac{4}{3}$.
- (H3) There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\operatorname{essinf}_{B_{r_0}} a(x) > 0$.

Let u be a measurable function which solves the following problem:

$$(P) \qquad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u) \text{ in } \Omega' \\ u \ge 0 \quad , \quad -\Delta u \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega' \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2.5 we know that u is a distributional solution of $(P_{\alpha,\beta})$

$$(P_{\alpha,\beta}) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^2 u &= a(x)f(u) + \alpha\delta_0 - \beta\Delta\delta_0 \\ u \ge 0 & -\Delta u \ge 0 \\ \alpha, \beta \ge 0, \ u \text{ and } a(x)f(u) \in L^1(\Omega). \end{array} \right\} \text{ in } \Omega,$$

The assumption (H3) suggests that the presence of such a weight function does not reduce the singularity of a(x)f(u) at origin. In particular, if $a(x) = |x|^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in (-3,0)$, then a(x) satisfies (H2) and (H3).

Now assume that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^2} = c \in (0, \infty].$$
(3.1)

i.e. f(t) grows at least at a rate of t^2 near infinity. Then for some t_0 large enough, we have $f(t) \ge \frac{c}{2}t^2$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Suppose u is a solution of $(P_{\alpha,\beta})$ and f satisfies 3.1. Then we know that for some biharmonic function h

$$u(x) = a(x)f(u) * \Phi + \alpha \Phi + \beta \Gamma + h \ a.e \ in \ \Omega$$

where Φ is the fundamental solution of biharmonic operator in $\mathbb{I}\!\!R^4$ and Γ is the fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in $\mathbb{I}\!\!R^4$. Since α and a(x)f(u) are non-negative, we have $u(x) \ge \beta \Gamma(x) + h(x)$. If $\beta \ne 0$, fix an $\tilde{r} \in (0, r_0)$ such that $u(x) \ge \frac{\beta}{2\pi^2 |x|^2} \ge t_0$ whenever $|x| < \tilde{r}$. Now,

$$\int_{B_{\tilde{r}}} a(x)f(u) \ge C \int_{B_{\tilde{r}}} |x|^{-4} = \infty$$

which is a contradiction since $a(x)f(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Thus $\beta = 0$ if f(t) grows at a rate faster than t^2 near infinity. We state this result in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let f satisfies the condition (3.1) and u solves (P). Then for some α non-negative $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u) + \alpha\delta_0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Now onwards we assume that f satisfies (3.1). We would like to address following questions in this paper:

- 1. Can we find a sharp condition on f that determines whether $\alpha = 0$ or not in $(P_{\alpha,0})$?
- 2. If $\alpha = 0$, is it true that u is regular in Ω ?

Definition 3.1. We call f a sub-exponential type function if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t)e^{-\gamma t} \le C \quad \text{for} \quad \text{some} \quad \gamma, \mathcal{C} > 0.$$

We call f to be of super-exponential type if it is not a sub-exponential type function.

We will show that the above two questions can be answered based on the non-linearity being a sub-exponential type function or not.

Theorem 3.1. (Removable Singularity) Let f be a super-exponential type function and u is a distributional solution of (P). Then u extends as a distributional solution of $(P_{0,0})$.

Proof: Given u solves (P), we know that $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u) + \alpha\delta_0 - \beta\Delta\delta_0$ for some $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$. To show the extendability of the distributional solution we need to prove $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Since f is of super exponential type function, from Lemma 3.1 it is clear that $\beta = 0$. Let us assume that $\alpha > 0$ and derive a contradiction. Note that we can find an r small enough such that $u(x) \ge -\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2} \log |x|$ whenever |x| < r. Since f is not a sub-exponential type function, for a given $\gamma > 0$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $f(t) \ge e^{\gamma t}$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Thus,

$$f(u(x)) \ge f\left(-\frac{\alpha}{16\pi^2}\log|x|\right) \ge e^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{16\pi^2}\log|x|}, \text{ for } |x| << 1.$$

Now if we choose $\gamma = \frac{64\pi^2}{\alpha}$ in the above inequality, it contradicts the fact $a(x)f(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Thus $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in $(P_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Theorem 3.2. If $f(t) = t^p$ where $1 \le p < \frac{4+\sigma}{2}$ and $a(x) = |x|^{\sigma}$, for $\sigma \in (-2,0)$ then $(P_{\alpha,\beta})$ is solvable for α, β small enough.

Proof follows from Theorem 4(ii)) of Soranzo[14]. The idea was to split the equation into a coupled system and find a sub and super solution for the system. In the next theorem when f satisfies 3.1, we find a super solution for $(P_{\alpha,0})$ directly without splitting the equation into a coupled system and then use the idea of monotone iteration to show the existence of a non-negative solution for α small enough. When $\beta \neq 0$, such a direct monotone iteration technique is not applicable as $\Delta \delta_0$ is not a positive or a negative distribution, ie $\phi \geq 0$, does not imply $\langle \Delta \delta_0, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ or ≤ 0 .

Theorem 3.3. Let f and a satisfy the hypotheses (H1)-(H3). Additionally assume $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^2} = c \in (0,\infty]$. Then there exists an $\alpha_* > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \leq \alpha_*$ the problem $(P_{\alpha,0})$ admits a solution in $B_r(0)$.

Proof: We use the idea of sub and super solution to construct a distributional solution for $(P_{\alpha,0})$ for α small enough. Clearly $u_0 = 0$ is a subsolution for $(P_{\alpha,0})$. Given that f is a sub-exponential type nonlinearity, there exists a $\gamma > 0$ and a C > 0, such that $f(t) \leq Ce^{\gamma t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Now define

$$\overline{u}(x) = \frac{-\log|x| + C\phi}{\gamma} \text{ in } B_1(0).$$
(3.2)

where ϕ is the unique solution of the following Navier boundary value problem,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 \phi = -\frac{a(x)}{|x|} \log |x| \text{ in } B_1(0) \\ \phi = 0 = \Delta \phi \text{ on } \partial B_1(0). \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

We notice that since $a(x) \in L^k(\Omega)$, for some $k > \frac{4}{3}$, the term $a(x)|x|^{-1} \log |x| \in L^p(B_1)$ for some p > 1. Hence the existence of a unique weak solution $\phi \in W^{4,p}(B_1)$ is guarenteed by Gazzolla [7], Theorem 2.20. Now by maximum principle we have $\phi \ge 0, -\Delta\phi \ge 0$. Therefore,

$$\overline{u} \ge 0 \text{ in } B_1(0), \tag{3.4}$$

$$-\Delta \overline{u} = \frac{2}{\gamma |x|^2} - \frac{C}{\gamma} \Delta \phi \ge 0.$$
(3.5)

and

$$\Delta^2 \overline{u} = \frac{\delta_0}{8\pi^2 \gamma} + \frac{C}{\gamma |x|} a(x) \left| \log |x| \right|.$$
(3.6)

Note that $a(x)f(\overline{u}) \leq \frac{C}{|x|}a(x)e^{C\phi}$. By Sobolev embedding, we know $W^{4,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\Omega})$, and hence $e^{C\phi}$ is bounded in $B_1(0)$. Now we fix an r > 0 where $e^{C\phi} \leq \frac{|\log |x||}{\gamma}$ in $B_r(0)$. We let $\Omega = B_r(0)$ (where r depends only on γ and C) be a strict subdomian of $B_1(0)$ where $\frac{C}{\gamma|x|}a(x)|\log|x|| \geq$ $a(x)f(\overline{u})$. Now from the choice of r and equations 3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) it is obvious that \overline{u} is a super solution of $(P_{\alpha,0})$ where $\alpha = \frac{1}{8\pi^2\gamma}$. Now let us define inductively with $u_0 = 0$

$$(P_{\alpha,0}^n) \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_n = a(x)f(u_{n-1}) + \alpha\delta_0 & \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \\ u_n > 0, -\Delta u_n > 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ u_n = \Delta u_n = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Existence of such a sequence $\{u_n\}$ can be obtained by writing $u_n = w_n + \alpha \Phi$ where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 w_n = a(x)f(u_{n-1}) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ w_n = -\alpha \Phi, \Delta w_n = -\alpha \Delta \Phi \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ w_n \in W^{4,r}(\Omega) \text{ for some } r > 1. \end{cases}$$

Existence of w_1 is clear since f(0) = 0 and from Theorem 2.2 of [7]. First let us show the positivity of u_1 and $-\Delta u_1$ in Ω . Since w_1 is bounded, we can choose ϵ small enough so that $u_1 = w_1 + \alpha \Phi > 0$ and $-\Delta u_1 > 0$ in B_{ϵ} . In $\Omega \setminus B_{\epsilon}$ by weak comparison principle we can show that $u_1 > 0$ and $-\Delta u_1 > 0$. Next we need to show that $u_1 \leq \overline{u}$. Note that by construction, $\overline{u} > 0$ and $-\Delta \overline{u} > 0$ in $\overline{B_r} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $\overline{u} - u_1$ satisfies the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2(\overline{u} - u_1) \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \\ \overline{u} - u_1 > 0, -\Delta(\overline{u} - u_1) > 0 & \text{near } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Now using the maximum principle for distributional solutions (Theorem 2.4) we find $u_1 \leq \overline{u}$. Assume that there exists a function u_k solving $(P_{\alpha,0}^k)$ for $k = 1, 2 \cdots n$ and

that there exists a function
$$u_k$$
 solving $(P_{\alpha,0}^k)$ for $k = 1, 2 \cdots n$ a

$$0 \le u_1 \le u_2 \dots \le u_n \le \overline{u}$$
 in Ω .

Since f is non-decreasing we have $a(x)f(u_n) \in L^p(\Omega)$, for some p > 1. Thus by Sobolev embedding there exists a $w_{n+1} \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{4,p}(\Omega)$. Also,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2(u_{n+1} - u_n) = a(x)f(u_n) - a(x)f(u_{n-1}) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ u_{n+1} = u_n, \Delta u_{n+1} = \Delta u_n & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Again from weak comparison principle $0 < u_n \leq u_{n+1}$ and $0 \leq -\Delta u_n \leq -\Delta u_{n+1}$. As before one can show that $u_{n+1} \leq \overline{u}$. Now if we define $u(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(x)$ one can easily verify that u is a solution of $(P_{\alpha,0})$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 \gamma}$. For a given f sub-exponential type function we have found a ball of radius r such that $(P_{\alpha,0})$ posed on $B_r(0)$ has a solution u_α for $\alpha = \frac{1}{8\pi^2\gamma}$. This solution u_α is a supersolution for $(P_{\alpha',0})$ posed in $B_r(0)$ and for $\alpha' \in (0,\alpha)$. Thus one can repeat the previous iteration and show that for all $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$ there exists a weak solution for $(P_{\alpha',0})$ in $B_r(0)$.

Corollary 3.1. Suppose for a given $\gamma > 0$ there exists a C_{γ} such that $f(t) \leq C_{\gamma} e^{\gamma t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $(P_{\alpha,0})$ has a solution in $B_{r_{\alpha}}(0)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$. In particular if $f(t) = t^p, p > 2$ or $e^{t^{\delta}}$, $\delta < 1$ then $(P_{\alpha,0})$ is solvable for all $\alpha > 0$.

Next we recall a Brezis-Merle [3] type of estimate for Biharmonic operator in \mathbb{R}^4 . Let h be a distributional solution of

(2)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 h = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ h = \Delta h = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{I}\!R^4$.

Theorem 3.4. (C.S Lin [9]) Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and h is a distributional solution of (2). For a given $\delta \in (0, 32\pi^2)$ there exists a constant $C_{\delta} > 0$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp\left(\frac{\delta h}{\|f\|_1}\right) dx \le C_{\delta}(diam\Omega)^4$$

where diam Ω denote the diameter of Ω .

Theorem 3.5. Let f be a sub-exponential type function. Let u be a solution of $(P_{0,0})$ with $u = \Delta u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then u is regular in Ω .

Proof: Let u be a solution of $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u)$ in Ω with Navier boundary conditions. Write g(x) = a(x)f(u), then $g \in L^1(\Omega)$. Fix a l > 0 and split $g = g_1 + g_2$ where $||g_1||_1 < \frac{1}{l}$ and $g_2 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let u_2 be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_2 = g_2 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_2 = 0, \Delta u_2 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_1 = g_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_1 = 0, \Delta u_1 = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Choosing $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 3.4, we find $\int_{\Omega} exp(\frac{|u_1|}{||g_1||_1}) < C_1(diam\,\Omega)^4$. Thus $e^{l|u_1|} \in L^1(\Omega)$. Since $u_2 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $e^{l|u|} \in L^1(\Omega)$ for all l > 0. We use this higher intergrability property of u in establishing its regularity.

We can show that $a(x)f(u) \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some r > 1. In fact,

$$\int_{\Omega} (a(x)f(u))^r \leq \tilde{C} \int_{\Omega} a(x)^r e^{\gamma r u}$$

$$\leq C_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} a(x)^{pr} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} e^{p' \gamma r u} \right)^{1/p'} < \infty$$

if we choose p, r > 1 close enough to 1 so that $1 < p.r \le k$, where $a(x) \in L^k(\Omega)$. Now let v be the unique weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v = a(x)f(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ v = 0, \Delta v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have $v \in C^{3,\gamma'}(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $\gamma' \in (0,1)$. Now u = v + h for some biharmonic function h. Therefore $u \in C^{3,\gamma'}(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.1. The previous theorem is true even if $a(x) \in L^k(\Omega)$ for some k > 1.

When f is super exponential in nature an arbitrary solution of $\Delta^2 u = a(x)f(u)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ need not be bounded. We consider the following example.

Example 3.1. Let $w(x) = (-4 \log |x|)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}$ for some $\mu > 1$. Then one can verify that whenever $x \neq 0$,

$$\Delta^2 w = b_1 e^{w^{\mu}} w^{1-4\mu} [b_2 w^{2\mu} - b_3]$$

for some positive constants b_i . Since $f(w) = b_1 e^{w^{\mu}} w^{1-4\mu} [b_2 w^{2\mu} - b_3]$ is super exponential in nature, w extends as an unbounded distributional solution of $\Delta^2 w = f(w)$ in $B_r(0)$ for r small enough.

4. Polyharmonic Operator in \mathbb{R}^{2m}

We suppose Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2m$ with smooth boundary and $0 \in \Omega$. We denote Ω' as $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $g: \Omega' \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a measurable function and $\Delta^k u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega')$ for k = 0, 1, ...m. Let $(-\Delta)^m u = g(x, u)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega')$ with $(-\Delta)^k u \ge 0$ for k = 0, 1, ..., m-1 a.e in Ω' . Then $u, g(x, u) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and there exist non-negative constants $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{m-1}$ such that $(-\Delta)^m u = g(x, u) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i (-\Delta)^i \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Now we restrict ourselves to dimension N = 2m and g(x, u) to take a specific form g(x, u) = a(x)f(u). Throughout this section we make the following assumption:

(H1') $f:[0,\infty)\mapsto [0,\infty)$ is a continuous function which is non-decreasing in \mathbb{R}^+ and f(0)=0.

(H2') a(x) is non negative measurable function in $L^k(\Omega)$ for some $k > \frac{2m}{2m-1}$.

(H3') There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\operatorname{essinf}_{B_{r_0}} a(x) > 0$.

Let u be a measurable function which satisfies the problem below,

$$(P^1) \qquad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = a(x)f(u) & \text{in } \Omega' \\ (-\Delta)^k u \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega', \quad k = 0, .., m-1 \\ u \in C^{2m}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}). \end{cases}$$

Then by 4.1 we know that u is a distribution solution of $(P^1_{\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_{m-1}})$

$$(P^{1}_{\alpha_{0},..,\alpha_{m-1}}) \qquad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{m}u &= a(x)f(u) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i}(-\Delta)^{i}\delta_{0} \text{ in } \Omega \\ (-\Delta)^{k}u \geq 0, \quad k = 0,..,m-1 \text{ in } \Omega' \\ \alpha_{i} \geq 0, \text{ for } i = 0,..,m-1 \text{ and } u, a(x)f(u) \in L^{1}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

In [4], Soranzo et.al considered a specific equation $(-\Delta)^m u = |x|^{\sigma} u^p$ in Ω' , with $\sigma \in (-2m, 0)$ and $(-\Delta)^k u \ge 0$, for $k = 0, 1, \ldots m$. By Corollary 1 of [4], if N = 2m and $p > \max\{1, \frac{N+\sigma}{2}\}$ then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_{m-1} = 0$ in $(P^1_{\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_{m-1}})$. This result can be sharpened for any weight function a(x) satisfying (H3) in a standard way and we skip the details of the proof.

Remark 4.1. Let u satisfy (P^1) and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^m} = c \in (0,\infty]$. Then we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = ... = \alpha_{m-1} = 0$ in $(P^1_{\alpha_0,...,\alpha_{m-1}})$ and hence u is a distributional solution of $(-\Delta)^m u = a(x)f(u) + \alpha_0\delta_0$ in Ω .

Now the following theorem gives us a sharp condition on f which determines $\alpha_0 = 0$ in $(P^1_{\alpha_0,0,\ldots,0})$ and the proof is as similar to *Theorem* 3.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let f be a super-exponential type function and u is distribution solution of (P^1) . Then u extends as a distributional solution of $(P^1_{0,0,\ldots,0})$.

Theorem 4.3. Let f and a satisfy the hypotheses (H1') - (H3'). Additionally assume $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^m} = c \in (0,\infty]$. Then there exists an $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$ the problem $(P^1_{\alpha,0,\ldots,0})$ admits a solution in $B_r(0)$, where the radius of the ball depends on the nonlinearity f.

Proof: We proceed as in Theorem 3.3, by constructing sub and super distributional solution for $(P_{\alpha,0,\ldots,0}^1)$ for all α small enough. We note that $u_0 = 0$ is a sub-solution, and let

$$\overline{u}(x) = \frac{-\log|x| + C\phi}{\gamma} \text{ in } B_1(0)$$
(4.1)

where ϕ is the unique solution of the following Navier boundary value problem,

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m \phi = -\frac{a(x)}{|x|} \log |x| \text{ in } B_1(0) \\ \phi = \Delta \phi = \dots = (\Delta)^{m-1} \phi \text{ on } \partial B_1(0). \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

Then \overline{u} is a supersolution of $(P^1_{\alpha,0\ldots 0})$ in a small ball $B_r(0)$. Rest of the proof follows exactly as in the case of biharmonic operator.

Next we state a Brezis-Merle type of type of estimates for poly-harmonic operator in \mathbb{R}^{2m} .

Theorem 4.4. (Martinazzi [11]) Let $f \in L^1(B_R(x_0)), B_R(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, and let v solve

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m v = f \text{ in } B_R(x_0), \\ v = \Delta^2 v = \dots = \Delta^{m-1} v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_R(x_0) \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $p \in (0, \frac{\gamma_m}{\|f\|_{L^1(B_R(x_0))}})$, we have $e^{2mp|v|} \in L^1(B_R(x_0))$ and $\int_{B_R(x_0)} e^{2mp|v|} dx \le C(p)R^{2m},$

where $\gamma_m = \frac{(2m-1)!}{2} \left| S^{2m} \right|.$

Finally with the help of above theorem we prove a regularity result for the polyharmonic operator.

Theorem 4.5. Let a(x) and f satisfies the properties as in (H1') - (H3') and also assume that f be a sub-exponential type function. Let u be a solution $(P_{0,0,..,0}^1)$ with $u = \Delta u = ... = \Delta^{m-1}u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then $u \in C^{2m-1,\gamma'}(\Omega)$, for all $\gamma' \in (0,1)$.

Acknowledgement : Dhanya.R was supported by UGC under Dr.D.S Kothari Postdoctoral fellowship scheme No.F.4-2/2006(BSR)/13-1045. Both the authors would like to thank Prof. S. Prashanth for various useful discussions.

References

- Bidaut-Véron, Marie Françoise; Yarur, Cecilia; Semilinear elliptic equations and systems with measure data: existence and a priori estimates. Adv. Differential Equations 7 (2002), no. 3, 257-296.
- [2] H. Brezis and P.L Lions, A Note on Isolated Singularities for Linear Elliptic Equations, Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Part A Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies, Vol. 7A,263-266.
- [3] H. Brezis and Frank Merle, Uniform Estimates and blow-up behaviour for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions, Communication in Partial Differential Equations, 16,(8 and 9), 1223-1253 (1991).
- [4] Caristi, Gabriella; Mitidieri, Enzo; Soranzo, Ramo;n Isolated singularities of polyharmonic equations. Dedicated to Prof. C. Vinti (Italian) (Perugia, 1996). Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), suppl., 257-294.

- [5] Dhanya, R.; Giacomoni, J.; Prashanth, S., Isolated singularities for the exponential type semi-linear elliptic equation in ℝ². Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 12, 4099-4107.
- [6] García-Huidobro, Marta; Yarur, Cecilia; Existence of singular solutions for a Dirichlet problem containing a Dirac mass. Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), no. 8, 2831-2843.
- [7] F. Gazzola, H.-C. Grunau, G. Sweers, Polyharmonic Boundary Value Problems, 1st edition, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1991, Springer, 2010.
- [8] Ghergu, Marius; Moradifam, Amir; Taliaferro, Steven D., Isolated singularities of polyharmonic inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 3, 660-680.
- [9] C.S. Lin, A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in \mathbb{R}^n . Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998), no. 2, 206-231.
- [10] P.L. Lions, Isolated Singularities in Semilinear Problems ,Journal of Differial Equations 38, 441-450(1980).
- [11] Luca Martinazzi, Concentration-compactness phenomena in the higher order Liouville's equation, Journal of Functional Analysis 256(2009), 3743-3741.
- [12] Orsina, Luigi; Ponce, Augusto C., Semilinear elliptic equations and systems with diffuse measures. J. Evol. Equ. 8 (2008), no. 4, 781-812.
- [13] Simader, Christian G., Mean value formulas, Weyl's lemma and Liouville theorems for Δ^2 and Stokes' system. Results Math. 22 (1992), no. 3-4, 761-780.
- [14] Soranzo, Ramon Isolated singularities of positive solutions of a superlinear biharmonic equation. Potential Anal. 6 (1997), no. 1, 57-85.
- [15] Taliaferro, Steven D., On the growth of superharmonic functions near an isolated singularity.
 I. J. Differential Equations 158 (1999), no. 1, 28-47.
- [16] Taliaferro, Steven D., On the growth of superharmonic functions near an isolated singularity.
 II. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), no. 5-6, 1003-1026.