

A NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF SOLUTIONS TO QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH HARDY POTENTIAL

CHENGJUN HE, CHANGLIN XIANG

ABSTRACT. Optimal estimates on asymptotic behaviors of weak solutions both at the origin and at the infinity are obtained to the following quasilinear elliptic equations

$$-\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + m |u|^{p-2} u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $1 < p < N, 0 \leq \mu < ((N-p)/p)^p, m > 0$ and f is a nonlinear function.

Keywords: Quasilinear elliptic equations; Hardy's inequality; Asymptotic behaviors

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40 35J70

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2	6
3. Proof of Theorem 1.3	10
4. Proof of Theorem 1.4	11
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

This note is devoted to the study of asymptotic behaviors of solutions to the following quasilinear elliptic equations

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + m |u|^{p-2} u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $1 < p < N, 0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = ((N-p)/p)^p, m > 0$,

$$\Delta_p u = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{x_i} u), \quad \nabla u = (\partial_{x_1} u, \dots, \partial_{x_N} u)$$

is the p -Laplacian operator and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, denoted by $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, satisfying the following condition

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} (H1). & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} |f(t)|/|t|^{q-1} < \infty \text{ for some } q > p, \text{ and} \\ (H2). & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/|t|^{p^*-1} < \infty \text{ with } p^* = Np/(N-p). \end{cases}$$

Equation (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy functional $E : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^p + m |u|^p \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u), \quad u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

Date: February 6, 2019.

where $F(u) \equiv \int_0^u f$, and $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Banach space of weakly differentiable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,\mathbb{R}^N} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is finite.

All of the integrals in energy functional E are well defined, due to the Sobolev inequality

$$C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi|^p, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $C = C(N, p) > 0$, and due to the Hardy inequality (see [3, Lemma 1.1])

$$\left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\varphi|^p}{|x|^p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi|^p, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and due to the assumption (1.2) which implies that

$$|F(t)| \leq C|t|^p + C|t|^{p^*}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some positive constant C .

We say that $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak subsolution of equation (1.1), if for every nonnegative function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the space of smooth functions in \mathbb{R}^N with compact support, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi + m |u|^{p-2} u \varphi \right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \varphi.$$

A function u is a weak supersolution of equation (1.1) if $-u$ is a weak subsolution, and u a weak solution of equation (1.1) if it is both a weak subsolution and a weak supersolution.

In this note, we study the asymptotic behaviors of weak solutions to equation (1.1). As to existence or nonexistence of solutions to equation (1.1), we refer to e.g. [1, 2, 3].

In the case when $\mu = 0$, weak solutions of equation (1.1) are bounded in the neighborhood of origin, which can be proved by applying the Moser's iteration method [9]. Consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions at the infinity. For positive radial solutions u , under the hypothesis

$$(1.3) \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [6, Theorem 2] implied that for $p = 2$,

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) |x|^{\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{\sqrt{m}|x|} = C$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$. In fact, Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [6, Theorem 2] also proved that under the assumption (1.3) and suitable conditions on f , positive C^2 solutions are radially symmetric with respect to some point in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus (1.4) also applies to positive C^2 solutions under assumption (1.3) and suitable conditions on f . Li and Zhao [8] obtained similar result to positive radial C^1 distribution solution when $1 < p < N$, which gave that

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) |x|^{\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |x|} = C$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$. For general weak solutions to equation (1.1), when $p = 2$, Berestycki and Lions [1, 2] proved that for every radially symmetric weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exist positive constants $\delta, C > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)| \leq C e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Li [7] considered the general case $p \in (1, N)$ and proved that every weak solution vanishes at the infinity, that is, u satisfies (1.3). Moreover, Li proved that there exist $t > 1$ and $R_0 = R_0(t) > 1$ such that for any $R > R_0$,

$$(1.6) \quad \|u\|_{\infty, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} \leq C \|u\|_{t, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R/2}(0)}$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$.

In the case when $\mu \neq 0$, Deng and Gao [5] studied positive radial solutions to the following equation

$$(1.7) \quad -\Delta u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u + u = u^{\alpha-1}, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where they assumed that

$$N \geq 3, 2 < \alpha < 2^* \text{ and } \mu \in [0, 3\bar{\mu}/4).$$

To the asymptotic behavior of solutions to equation (1.7) at the origin, they proved that if the solution u belongs to $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then u satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u(r) r^{\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}} = C,$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$. To the asymptotic behavior of solutions to equation (1.7) at the infinity, they proved, under the hypothesis (1.3), that u satisfies

$$u(r) = C e^{-r} r^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{(N-1)(N-3) - 4\mu}{8r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$. To the author's knowledge, there seems to have no result on general weak solutions to equation (1.1) when $\mu \neq 0$.

In this note, our first aim is to obtain estimates on asymptotic behaviors of weak positive radial solutions to equation (1.1). By analyzing an ordinary differential equation, the following theorem gives estimate for weak positive radial solutions at the origin, which extends the estimate (1.8) of [5] to cover the full range of the parameter $\mu \in [0, \bar{\mu}]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u(x) = u(r) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $r = |x|$ a weak positive radial solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists an exponent $\gamma_1 \in [0, (N-p)/p)$ such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u(r) r^{\gamma_1} = C$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$.

In above Theorem 1.1 and in the following, the exponent γ_1 with another exponent γ_2 used later are defined as follows. Define $\Gamma_\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(1.9) \quad \Gamma_\mu(\gamma) \equiv \gamma^{p-1} [(p-1)\gamma - (N-p)] + \mu, \quad \gamma \in [0, \infty).$$

Consider the equation

$$(1.10) \quad \Gamma_\mu(\gamma) = 0, \quad \gamma \in [0, \infty).$$

Due to our assumptions on N, p and μ , that is, $1 < p < N$, $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$, equation (1.10) admits two and only two nonnegative solutions, which are denoted by γ_1 and γ_2 , satisfying

$$0 \leq \gamma_1 < \frac{N-p}{p} < \gamma_2 \leq \frac{N-p}{p-1}.$$

Note that in the case when $\mu = 0$, $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 = (N-p)/(p-1)$, and in the case when $p = 2$, $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu}$ and $\gamma_2 = \sqrt{\mu} + \sqrt{\mu - \mu}$.

As to the asymptotic behavior of weak positive radial solutions of equation (1.1) at the infinity, we apply the method of Li and Zhao [8] and obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u(x) = u(r) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $r = |x|$ a positive radial solution of equation (1.1). Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) r^{\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right) \frac{1}{p} r} = C$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$.

Note that this result is the same as (1.5). Applying the method of Li and Zhao [8], more precise estimates are obtained in Theorem 2.1.

Next we give estimates on asymptotic behaviors of general weak solutions to equation (1.1). We have the following result on the asymptotic behavior of general weak solutions at the origin.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a weak solution to equation (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant C_1 depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and the solution u such that*

$$(1.11) \quad |u(x)| \leq C_1 |x|^{-\gamma_1} \quad \text{for } |x| < R_0,$$

for some constant $0 < R_0 < 1$ depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and the solution u . If, in addition, both u and $f(u)$ are nonnegative functions in a neighborhood of the origin, then

$$(1.12) \quad u(x) \geq C_2 \left(\inf_{B_{r_0}(0)} u \right) |x|^{-\gamma_1} \quad \text{for } |x| < r_0,$$

for some positive constants C_2 and r_0 depending on N, p, μ, m, q and A .

In the above Theorem 1.3, the constants C_1 and R_0 depend on the solution u . Indeed, we can show that the constants C_1 and R_0 depend on $\|u\|_{p^*, B_1(0)}$, the L^{p^*} -norm of u in the unit ball $B_1(0)$, and also on the modulus of continuity of the function $h(\rho) = \|u\|_{p^*, B_\rho(0)}^{p^*-p} + \rho^p$ at $\rho = 0$. Precisely, we can choose a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending on N, p, μ, m, q and A . Since $h(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{p^*, B_{\rho_0}(0)}^{p^*-p} + \rho_0^p < \epsilon_0.$$

The constants C_1, R_0 in Theorem 1.3 depend also on ρ_0 .

Our approach to Theorem 1.3 is as follows. We apply [4, Theorem 1.3] to prove (1.11). In [4], the following equation was considered:

$$(1.13) \quad -\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = g |u|^{p-2} u$$

in some domain Ω , where function g belongs to $L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$. Theorem 1.3 of [4] states that if $0 \in \Omega$ and if $g(x) \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}$ for some $C > 0$ and $\alpha < p$, and if u is a weak subsolution to equation (1.13), then $u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\gamma_1}$. Thus to prove (1.11), we will treat equation (1.1) as follows: Let u be a weak solution to equation (1.1) and define \bar{f} by

$$\bar{f}(x) = -m + \frac{f(u(x))}{|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)}.$$

Then u is weak solution to equation (1.13) in the unit ball $B_1(0)$ with $g = \bar{f}$. By the assumption (1.2), it is easy to see that $\bar{f} \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$. The rest thing is to verify that $|\bar{f}(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\alpha}$ for some $C > 0$ and $\alpha < p$. In view of the definition of \bar{f} , we need some apriori estimates on the weak solution u , which is given by Proposition 3.1. Then by applying [4, Theorem 1.3] we obtain that $\pm u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\gamma_1}$, which proves (1.11).

We apply [4, Theorem 3.2] to prove (1.12). Theorem 3.2 of [4] states that if u is a weak subsolution to equation (1.13) in Ω and v is weak supersolution to equation

$$-\Delta_p v - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |v|^{p-2} v = \bar{g} |v|^{p-2} v$$

in Ω and $\inf_{\Omega} v > 0$, where \bar{g} belongs to $L^{\frac{N}{p}}(\Omega)$, then if $g \leq \bar{g}$ in Ω and $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$, there holds $u \leq v$ in Ω . Since u and $f(u)$ are nonnegative in a neighborhood of the origin, u is a nonnegative supersolution to equation

$$(1.14) \quad -\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = -m |u|^{p-2} u$$

in $B_r(0)$ for some $r > 0$. To apply [4, Theorem 3.2] to prove (1.12), we will construct a subsolution v to equation (1.14) in $B_{r_0}(0)$ for some $r_0 \in (0, r)$ such that $v \leq u$ on $\partial B_{r_0}(0)$ and $v \geq C|x|^{-\gamma_1}$ in $B_{r_0}(0)$. See more details in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Then $u \geq v$ in $B_{r_0}(0)$ by [4, Theorem 3.2]. We are done.

We also have the following result on asymptotic behavior of general weak solutions at the infinity.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a weak solution to equation (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant C depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and the solution u such that*

$$(1.15) \quad |u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| > R_1,$$

for some constant $R_1 > 1$ depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and the solution u . If, in addition, both u and $f(u)$ are nonnegative functions in a neighborhood of the infinity, then there exists a positive constant C depending on N, p, μ, m, q and A such that

$$(1.16) \quad |u(x)| \geq C \left(\inf_{\partial B_{r_1}(0)} u \right) |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| > r_1,$$

where $r_1 > 1$ depends on N, p, μ, m, q and A .

We prove (1.15) of Theorem 1.4 by comparison argument. Our starting point is the result of Li [7] who proved that $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, as mentioned before. Then we prove that u decays exponentially to zero at the infinity, that is, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $|u(x)| \leq C e^{-\alpha|x|}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Finally we apply comparison argument to obtain (1.15). The estimate (1.16) is proved similarly.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3. In the last section we prove Theorem 1.4.

Our notations are standard. $B_R(x)$ is an open ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at x with radius $R > 0$ and $\bar{B}_R(x)$ is the closure of $B_R(x)$. We use $B_R^c(x)$ to denote the complement of $\bar{B}_R(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^N , that is, $B_R^c(x) = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{B}_R(x)$. We write $|E|$ to denote the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of E whenever $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Lebesgue measurable set. Let Ω be an arbitrary domain in \mathbb{R}^N . We denote by $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of smooth functions with compact support in Ω . For any $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $L^r(\Omega)$ is the Banach space of Lebesgue measurable functions u such that the norm

$$\|u\|_{r,\Omega} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \infty \\ \text{esssup}_{\Omega} |u| & \text{if } r = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite. A function u belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ if $u \in L^r(\Omega)$ and its first order weak partial derivatives also belong to $L^r(\Omega)$. We endow $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,r,\Omega} = \|u\|_{r,\Omega} + \|\nabla u\|_{r,\Omega}.$$

For the properties of the Sobolev functions, we refer to the monograph [10]. Throughout the paper, we use the notation $h(r) = O(r^{-k})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ to denote that when $r \rightarrow \infty$, the function h satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r)r^k = C$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.2

We prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in this section. Let $u(x) = u(r)$ be a positive radial solution of equation (1.1) with $r = |x|$ and satisfy (1.3). Then u solves the ordinary differential equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} (r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')' + r^{N-1} \left(\frac{\mu}{r^p} u^{p-1} - m u^{p-1} + f(u) \right) = 0, & r > 0, \\ u = u(r) > 0 & \text{for } r > 0, \\ u(r) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

In the case when $\mu = 0$, Theorem 1.1 can be proved easily, and Theorem 1.2 has been proved in [8]. In this section we always assume that $0 < \mu < \bar{\mu}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only need the hypothesis (H2) of (1.2).

First we show that $u' < 0$ in a neighborhood of zero. Since $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a radial function, following the proof of [1, Radial Lemma A.III], there holds

$$(2.2) \quad u(r)r^{\frac{N-p}{p}} = o(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus by (H2),

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{f(u(r))r^p}{u^{p-1}(r)} \right| \leq Ar^p u(r)^{p^*-p} = o(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\mu}{r^p} - m + \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = \frac{1}{r^p} \left(\mu - mr^p + \frac{f(u(r))r^p}{u^{p-1}(r)} \right) > \frac{\mu}{2r^p} > 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $(r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')' < 0$ for r small enough by equation (2.1). Hence $r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u'$ is strictly decreasing for r near zero and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u'$ exists. Moreover, since $\int_0^\infty |u'(r)|^p r^{N-1} dr < \infty$, it is easy to prove that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u' = 0$. Thus $r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u' < 0$ for r small which implies that $u'(r) < 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Define $w(r) = -r^{p-1}|u'|^{p-2}u'/u^{p-1}(r)$ for $r > 0$. Then $w(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ small and w satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad w'(r) = \frac{1}{r} \Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(r) \right) + r^{p-1} \left(-m + \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} \right).$$

Recall that Γ_μ is defined by (1.9). To prove Theorem 1.1, we prove that

$$(2.5) \quad w(r) = \gamma_1^{p-1} + o(r^\delta), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

First we prove that

$$(2.6) \quad w(0) \equiv \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} w(r) = \gamma_1^{p-1}.$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that $\beta \equiv \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} w > \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} w \equiv \alpha$. Then there exist two sequences $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$ such that $\eta_i > \xi_i > \eta_{i+1} > \dots \rightarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w(\xi_i) = \beta, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w(\eta_i) = \alpha,$$

and $w'(\xi_i) = w'(\eta_i) = 0$. Substituting ξ_i, η_i into equation (2.4), we get that

$$\Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\xi_i) \right) - m \xi_i^p + \frac{f(u(\xi_i)) \xi_i^p}{u^{p-1}(\xi_i)} = 0,$$

and that

$$\Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\eta_i) \right) - m \eta_i^p + \frac{f(u(\eta_i)) \eta_i^p}{u^{p-1}(\eta_i)} = 0.$$

By (2.3), we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\xi_i) \right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\eta_i) \right) = 0.$$

Since $\Gamma_\mu(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\{w(\xi_i)\}$ and $\{w(\eta_i)\}$ are bounded. So α, β are finite and $\Gamma_\mu(\beta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) = \Gamma_\mu(\alpha^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) = 0$. Recall that $\Gamma_\mu(\gamma_1) = \Gamma_\mu(\gamma_2) = 0$ and $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$. Thus $\beta = \lim w(\xi_i) = \gamma_2^{p-1}$ and $\alpha = \lim w(\eta_i) = \gamma_1^{p-1}$.

Note that $\gamma_1 < (N-p)/p < \gamma_2$. So there exists $\zeta_i \in (\eta_{i+1}, \xi_i)$ such that $w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\eta_{i+1}) < w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\zeta_i) = (N-p)/p < w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\xi_i)$ for i large enough. Then by (2.3) and equation (2.4),

$$\zeta_i w'(\zeta_i) = \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{N-p}{p} \right) - m \zeta_i^p + \frac{f(u(\zeta_i)) \zeta_i^p}{u^{p-1}(\zeta_i)} = -(\bar{\mu} - \mu) + o(1) < 0$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $w'(\zeta_i) < 0$. Since $w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\zeta_i) < w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\xi_i)$, there exists $\zeta'_i \in (\zeta_i, \xi_i)$ such that $w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(r) \leq w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\zeta_i)$ for $r \in (\zeta_i, \zeta'_i)$ and $w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\zeta'_i) = w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(\zeta_i)$. Then $\left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)'(\zeta'_i) \geq 0$, which implies that $w'(\zeta'_i) \geq 0$. However, substituting ζ'_i into equation (2.4) again, we obtain that $w'(\zeta'_i) < 0$. Contradiction.

Therefore $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} w(r)$ exists, say, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} w(r) = k$. Since $u \in W^{1,p}((0,1), r^{N-1}dr)$ and $w^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = -ru'/u$, it is easy to prove that $k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \frac{N-p}{p}$. Combining (2.3) and equation (2.4), we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} rw'(r) = \Gamma_\mu(k^{\frac{1}{p-1}}).$$

Then $\Gamma_\mu(k^{\frac{1}{p-1}}) = 0$ since $w(0) \equiv k$ is finite. Thus $k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \gamma_1$ as $k^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \frac{N-p}{p}$. This gives (2.6).

By (2.6), it is easy to show that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$Cr^{-\gamma_1+\epsilon} \leq u(r) \leq Cr^{-\gamma_1-\epsilon}$$

for $r > 0$ small enough. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $p - (p^* - p)(\gamma_1 + \epsilon) > 0$. Applying (H2) again, we obtain that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{|f(u(r))|r^p}{u^{p-1}(r)} \leq Ar^p u^{p^*-p}(r) \leq Cr^{p-(p^*-p)(\gamma_1+\epsilon)} \equiv Cr^{\delta_0}$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. Here $\delta_0 \equiv p - (p^* - p)(\gamma_1 + \epsilon) > 0$. Now we can show (2.5).

Let $w_1 = w - w(0)$. Then $w_1(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. By equation (2.4) and the definition of Γ_μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1'(r) &= w'(r) = \frac{1}{r} \Gamma_\mu \left(w^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(r) \right) + r^{p-1} \left(-m + \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left((p-1)w^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(r) - (N-p)w(r) + \mu - mr^p + \frac{f(u(r))r^p}{u^{p-1}(r)} \right) \\ &= \frac{A}{r} (1 + o(1))w_1 + B(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, $A = p\gamma_1 - (N-p) < 0$ and $B(r) = -mr^{p-1} + \frac{f(u)r^{p-1}}{u^{p-1}(r)}$. The last equality is obtained by the Taylor expansion of the function $t^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ at $t = w(0)$.

Now we prove (2.5). That is, $w_1(r) = o(r^\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Fix $r_0 > 0$ small and define $h(r) = -\int_{r_0}^r A(1+o(1))s^{-1}ds$. Then

$$\left(e^{h(r)} w_1(r) \right)' = e^{h(r)} B(r).$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, it is easy to prove that

$$C_2 r^{|A|(1+\epsilon)} \leq e^{h(r)} \leq C_1 r^{|A|(1-\epsilon)}$$

for r small. By (2.7), $|B(r)| \leq Cr^{\delta_0-1}$ for some constant $C > 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$e^{h(r)}|B(r)| \leq Cr^{|A|(1-\epsilon)+\delta_0-1}$$

for $r > 0$ small, which implies that $e^h B \in L^1(0, r_0)$. Recall that $w_1(r) = o(1)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$C_2 r^{|A|(1+\epsilon)}|w_1(r)| \leq |e^{h(r)}w_1(r)| \leq \int_0^r e^{h(s)}|B(s)|ds \leq Cr^{|A|(1-\epsilon)+\delta_0}.$$

Hence

$$|w_1(r)| \leq Cr^{\delta_0-2\epsilon|A|}, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose $\epsilon \leq \delta_0/(4|A|)$. We get that $|w_1(r)| \leq Cr^{\delta_0/2}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. This gives (2.5).

Now Theorem 1.1 is an easy consequence of (2.5). We finish the proof. \square

Next we prove Theorem 1.2. In fact, following the argument of [8], we have the following result, which implies Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u(x) = u(r) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $r = |x|$ a weak positive radial solution of equation (1.1). Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $k \leq p < k+1$.*

Then there exists a sequence of constants $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$ depending only on N, p and m , and $c_k = c_k(N, p, m, \mu)$ such that if $k < p < k+1$, then

$$\left(-\frac{u'(r)}{u(r)}\right)^{p-1} = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{r^i} + \frac{(m/(p-1))^{1/p}\mu}{pr^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{k+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and if $p = k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\left(-\frac{u'(r)}{u(r)}\right)^{p-1} = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{r^i} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{k+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$c_0 = \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \quad c_1 = \frac{N-1}{p} \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}},$$

and $\{c_i\}_{i=2}^{k-1}$ are determined uniquely by

$$(N-1)c_{i-1} - p \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} c_i = \sum_{n=2}^i \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_n=i \\ j_1, \dots, j_n > 0}} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} \right),$$

and c_k is determined by

$$(N-1)c_{k-1} - p \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} c_k = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_n=i \\ j_1, \dots, j_n > 0}} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} \right) + \mu \chi_k(p),$$

where $\chi_k(p) = 0$ if $k < p < k+1$ and $\chi_k(p) = 1$ if $p = k$, and $F(t) = (p-1)(c_0 + t)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. In particular, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) r^{\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} r} = C$$

for some constant $0 < C < \infty$.

Proof. Since $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, applying [7, theorem 1.1] gives us that $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, that is, u satisfies (1.3). Thus we can follow the argument of [8] to prove Theorem 2.1. So we only give a sketch of the proof.

First we show that $u' < 0$ in a neighborhood of the infinity. Combining (1.3) and (H1) implies that $\frac{\mu}{r^p}u^{p-1} - mu^{p-1} + f(u) < 0$ for r large. Hence $(r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')' > 0$ for r large. Thus $r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u'$ increases to a limit $l \leq \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. By (1.3), it is easy to see that $l = 0$. Hence $r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u' < 0$ for r large, which implies that $u'(r) < 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\phi = -|u'|^{p-2}u'/u^{p-1}$. Then $\phi(r) > 0$ for r large and ϕ satisfies equation

$$(2.9) \quad \phi' = (p-1)\phi^{\frac{p}{p-1}} - \frac{N-1}{r}\phi + \frac{\mu}{r^p} - m + \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}.$$

From (H1), $\frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = O(u^\delta)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\delta = q - p > 0$.

Following the argument of [8], we obtain from (2.9) that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(r) = \left(\frac{m}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \equiv \phi_\infty,$$

and $u(r) \leq Ce^{-\delta_1 r}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $\delta_1 = \left(\frac{m}{2(p-1)} \right)^{1/p}$.

Let $\phi_1 = \phi - \phi_\infty$ and $F(t) = (p-1)(t + \phi_\infty)^{p/(p-1)}$ for $t > 0$. Equation (2.9) gives

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \phi_1'(r) - \alpha_0 \phi_1 + \frac{N-1}{r} \phi_1 \\ & = F(\phi_1) - F(0) - F'(0)\phi_1 - \frac{N-1}{r} \phi_\infty + \frac{\mu}{r^p} + O(u^\delta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_0 = p\phi_\infty^{1/(p-1)}$. Note that $F(0) = m$ and $F'(0) = \alpha_0$. By the same argument of [8], we obtain that

$$\phi_1(r) = \frac{c_1}{r} + \frac{c_p}{r^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $c_1 \equiv (N-1)\phi_\infty/\alpha_0$, $c_p = 0$ if $p \geq 2$ and $c_p = -\mu/\alpha_0$ if $1 < p < 2$.

In general, let $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $k \leq p < k+1$. By the Taylor expansion of the function F at zero, we obtain that

$$(2.11) \quad \phi_1'(r) - \alpha_0 \phi_1 + \frac{N-1}{r} \phi_1 = -\frac{N-1}{r} \phi_\infty + \frac{\mu}{r^p} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \phi_1^n(r) + O(u^\delta).$$

If $k < p < k+1$, let

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{r^i} + \frac{d_0}{r^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{k+1}}\right),$$

and if $p = k$, let

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{r^i} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{k+1}}\right).$$

Substituting ϕ_1 into equation (2.11) we get by comparing the coefficients of r^{-l} ($l = 1, 2, \dots, k$)

$$c_1 = \frac{(N-1)\phi_\infty}{\alpha_0},$$

and c_i ($i = 2, \dots, k-1$) is determined by

$$(N-1)c_{i-1} - \alpha_0 c_i = \sum_{n=2}^i \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_n = i \\ j_1, \dots, j_n > 0}} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \dots c_{j_n} \right),$$

and if $k < p < k + 1$, c_k, d_0 are determined by

$$(N-1)c_{k-1} - \alpha_0 c_k = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_n=k \\ j_1, \dots, j_n > 0}} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} \right), \quad d_0 = -\frac{\mu}{\alpha_0};$$

if $p = k$, c_k is determined by

$$(N-1)c_{k-1} - \alpha_0 c_k = \sum_{n=2}^k \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{j_1+\dots+j_n=k \\ j_1, \dots, j_n > 0}} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} \right) + \mu.$$

Now (2.8) follows easily. This finishes the proof. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We need the following estimate.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (1.2) and $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a weak solution to equation (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant C depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and u such that*

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-p}{p} + \tau_0} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq R_0,$$

where $R_0 \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant depending on N, p, μ, m, q, A and u and $\tau_0 \in (0, 1)$ depend on N, p, μ, m, q and A .

To prove Proposition 3.1, we write equation (1.1) in the unit ball $B_1(0)$ as

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = \bar{f}(x) |u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text{in } B_1(0),$$

where \bar{f} is given by

$$\bar{f}(x) = -m + \frac{f(u(x))}{|u(x)|^{p-2} u(x)}.$$

Then by (1.2), \bar{f} satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad |\bar{f}(x)| \leq C(1 + |u(x)|^{p^*-p}).$$

Thus we have $\bar{f} \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}(B_1(0))$. By considering the equation (3.1) and with little modification of the argument of [4, Proposition 2.1], we can easily prove Proposition 3.1. Thus we omit the details here. We remark that from the argument of [4, Proposition 2.1], we find that the constants C and R_0 in the Proposition 3.1 depend on $\|u\|_{p^*, B_1(0)}$ and on the modulus of continuity of the function $h(\rho) = \|u\|_{p^*, B_\rho(0)}^{p^*-p} + \rho^p$ at $\rho = 0$. Precisely, we can choose a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending on N, p, μ, m, q and A . Since $h(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{p^*, B_{\rho_0}(0)}^{p^*-p} + \rho_0^p < \epsilon_0.$$

The constants C, R_0 in Proposition 3.1 depend also on ρ_0 .

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to equation (1.1) and f satisfies the growth condition (1.2). Then u is a solution to equation (3.1). By Proposition 3.1 and (3.2),

$$|\bar{f}(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{R_0}(0),$$

for some $R_0 > 0$, where $\alpha = (p^* - p)(\frac{N-p}{p} - \tau_0) < p$. Thus applying [4, Theorem 1.3], we obtain (1.11).

Next we prove (1.12). Suppose that u and $f(u)$ are nonnegative functions in a neighborhood of the origin, say, in $B_r(0)$ for some $r > 0$. Then u is a nonnegative supersolution to equation

$$(3.3) \quad -\Delta_p u - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u = -m |u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text{in } B_r(0).$$

Let us now construct a function v such that v is a subsolution to equation (3.3) in $B_{r_0}(0)$ for some $r_0 < r$.

In fact, define $v(x) = |x|^{-\gamma_1} (1 + \delta |x|^\epsilon)$ for some $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ to be determined. Applying the argument of [4, Proposition 2.4], we obtain that for some $1/2 > \delta > 0$ sufficiently small and $\epsilon = p/2$, v is a subsolution to equation

$$-\Delta_p v - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |v|^{p-2} v = -|x|^{-p/2} |v|^{p-2} v$$

in a neighborhood of the origin. Hence we can choose $0 < r_0 < r$ such that v is a subsolution to equation (3.3) in $B_{r_0}(0)$.

Let $m' = \inf_{B_{r_0}(0)} u$. We may assume that $m' > 0$, otherwise (1.12) is obvious. Now applying [4, Theorem 3.2] to the supersolution u and the subsolution $\tilde{v} \equiv C m' v$ in the ball $B_{r_0}(0)$ of equation (3.3) respectively, where $C = \inf_{\partial B_{r_0}(0)} v^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$u \geq \tilde{v}, \quad \text{in } B_{r_0}(0).$$

This proves (1.12). We finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. We write $a^+ = \max(a, 0)$ and $B_\rho^c = B_\rho^c(0)$ for $\rho > 0$. The following result will be used.

Lemma 4.1. (1) Let $\alpha = ((m - \epsilon)/(p - 1))^{1/p}$ for $m > \epsilon > 0$. Then function $v(x) = e^{-\alpha|x|}$ is a positive supersolution to equation

$$-\Delta_p v + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|v|^{p-2} v = \epsilon|v|^{p-2} v$$

in B_ρ^c for some constant $\rho > 1$ depending only on N, p, μ, m and ϵ .

(2) Let function

$$v(x) = |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}|x|} (1 - |x|^{-2}), \quad |x| > 2.$$

Then v satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad -\Delta_p v + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})v^{p-1} = \left(\frac{C_1}{|x|} - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right) \right) v^{p-1} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad C_1 = (N - 1) \left(\frac{m}{p - 1} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\frac{N - 1}{p} - \left(\frac{m}{p - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right).$$

Proof. (1) Direct computation shows that, for $v(x) = e^{-\alpha|x|}$,

$$-\Delta_p v + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|v|^{p-2} v = \left(\epsilon + \frac{(N - 1)\alpha^{p-1}}{|x|} - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} \right) |v|^{p-2} v.$$

Note that

$$\frac{(N - 1)\alpha^{p-1}}{|x|} - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq \left(\frac{\mu}{(N - 1)\alpha^{p-1}} \right)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Choose $\rho = (\mu/(N - 1))^{1/(p-1)}/\alpha$. We finish the proof of (1).

(2) also follows from a direct calculation. We omit the details. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that $\mu|x|^{-p} \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. To prove Theorem 1.4, it is enough to consider equation (1.1) in the neighborhood $B_{\rho_0}^c$ of infinity, where $\rho_0 > 1$ is so large that $\mu|x|^{-p} \leq m/4$ if $|x| \geq \rho_0$.

We prove (1.15) first. We only need to show (1.15) for u^+ , that is, to show that

$$u^+(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-(\frac{m}{p-1})^{1/p}|x|} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some $C > 0$, since we can prove similarly the same estimate for $(-u)^+$. Therefore we assume that u is a nonnegative subsolution to equation (1.1).

We claim that u decays exponentially to zero at infinity. Indeed, by [7, theorem 1.1], $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then (H1) of (1.3) implies that

$$\frac{|f(u(x))|}{|u(x)|^{p-1}} \leq C|u(x)|^{q-p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus for any $m/4 > \epsilon > 0$, we can choose $\rho > \rho_0$ such that $|f(u(x))|/|u(x)|^{p-1} \leq \epsilon$ if $|x| \geq \rho$, and

$$(4.3) \quad a(x) \equiv m - \epsilon - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} \geq \frac{m}{2} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho.$$

Then u is a subsolution to equation

$$(4.4) \quad -\Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2}u = 0$$

in the exterior ball B_ρ^c .

By Lemma 4.1, function $v(x) = e^{-\alpha|x|}$ with $\alpha = ((m-\epsilon)/(p-1))^{p-1}$ is a positive supersolution to equation (4.4) in the exterior ball B_ρ^c .

Let $\tilde{v}(x) = CMv(x)$, where $C = \sup_{\partial B_\rho^c} v^{-1}$ and $M = \sup_{\partial B_\rho^c} u$. Then $u \leq \tilde{v}$ on ∂B_ρ and $(u - \tilde{v})^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(B_\rho^c)$. Thus we have that

$$\int_{B_\rho^c} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla (u - \tilde{v})^+ + \int_{B_\rho^c} a(x)|u|^{p-2}u(u - \tilde{v})^+ \leq 0,$$

and that

$$\int_{B_\rho^c} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla (u - \tilde{v})^+ + \int_{B_\rho^c} a(x)|\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}(u - \tilde{v})^+ \geq 0.$$

Combining above two inequalities together we obtain that

$$\int_E \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \tilde{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{v}, \nabla (u - \tilde{v}) \rangle + \int_E a(x) (|u|^{p-2}u - |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}) (u - \tilde{v}) \leq 0,$$

where $E = \{x \in B_\rho^c : u(x) \geq \tilde{v}(x)\}$. By the elementary inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \langle |\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\eta|^{p-2}\eta, \xi - \eta \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and by (4.3), we have that either $|E| = 0$ or $u \equiv \tilde{v}$ on the set E . Therefore, we always have that

$$u(x) \leq \tilde{v}(x) = CM e^{-\alpha|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho.$$

This proves that u decays exponentially to zero at the infinity.

Now rewrite equation (1.1) as follows:

$$(4.6) \quad -\Delta_p u + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|u|^{p-2}u = c(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$c(x) = \frac{f(u(x))}{|u(x)|^{p-2}u(x)}.$$

Then for $\rho > \rho_0$ large enough,

$$|c(x)| \leq C e^{-\alpha|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho,$$

for some $\alpha > 0$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1, the function

$$v(x) = |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-\left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}|x|} (1 - |x|^{-2})$$

is a positive solution to the equation (4.1).

Let $m_* = (p-1)((N-1)/p)^p$. Suppose now $m < m_*$. Then by (4.2), the coefficient C_1 of equation (4.1) is positive. Thus for $\rho > \rho_0$ large enough, we have

$$\frac{C_1}{|x|} - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right) \geq \frac{C_1}{2|x|}, \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho.$$

Let $g(x) = C_1/(2|x|)$. Then equation (4.1) implies that v is a supersolution to equation

$$(4.7) \quad -\Delta_p v + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|v|^{p-2}v = g(x)|v|^{p-2}v$$

in the exterior ball B_ρ^c . It is easy to see that, for ρ large enough,

$$(4.8) \quad g(x) \geq c(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \rho.$$

Now let $\tilde{v} = CMv$, where $C = \sup_{\partial B_\rho^c} v^{-1}$, $M = \sup_{\partial B_\rho^c} u$. Then \tilde{v} is supersolution to equation (4.7) and $u \leq \tilde{v}$ on ∂B_ρ^c . Let $w = (u - \tilde{v})^+$. Then $w \in W_0^{1,p}(B_\rho^c)$. Thus

$$\int_{B_\rho^c} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w + \int_{B_\rho^c} (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|u|^{p-2}uw = \int_{B_\rho^c} c(x)|u|^{p-2}uw,$$

and

$$\int_{B_\rho^c} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla w + \int_{B_\rho^c} (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}w \geq \int_{B_\rho^c} g(x)|\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}w.$$

Combining the above two inequalities together with (4.8), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\rho^c} \langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla \tilde{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \tilde{v}, \nabla w \rangle + \int_{B_\rho^c} (m - \mu|x|^{-p}) (|u|^{p-2}u - |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}) w \\ & \leq \int_{B_\rho^c} (c(x)|u|^{p-2}uw - g(x)|\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}w) \\ & = \int_{B_\rho^c} c(x) (|u|^{p-2}u - |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}) w + \int_{B_\rho^c} (c(x) - g(x)) |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}w \\ & \leq \int_{B_\rho^c} c(x) (|u|^{p-2}u - |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}) w. \end{aligned}$$

By the elementary inequality (4.5) and the fact that $m - \mu|x|^{-p} - c(x) \geq m/2$ on B_ρ^c , we get that

$$\int_{\tilde{E}} (|u|^{p-2}u - |\tilde{v}|^{p-2}\tilde{v}) (u - \tilde{v}) \leq 0,$$

where $\tilde{E} = \{x \in B_\rho^c : u(x) \geq \tilde{v}(x)\}$. Thus either $|\tilde{E}| = 0$ or $u \equiv \tilde{v}$ on the set \tilde{E} , which implies that

$$u \leq \tilde{v} \quad \text{in } B_\rho^c.$$

This proves (1.15) for $m < m_*$.

In the case when $m \geq m_*$, we define $v(x) = u(\tau x)$. Then v satisfies

$$-\Delta_p v - \frac{\mu}{|x|^p}|v|^{p-2}v + \tilde{m}|v|^{p-2}v = \tilde{f}(v)$$

in \mathbb{R}^N , where $\tilde{m} = m\tau^p$ and $\tilde{f}(t) = \tau^p f(\tau t)$. Thus we can choose τ small enough such that $\tilde{m} = m\tau^p < m_*$. Then we have

$$|v(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-(\frac{\tilde{m}}{p-1})^{1/p}|x|}, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that

$$|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-(\frac{m}{p-1})^{1/p}|x|}, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

This proves (1.15) for $m \geq m_*$. We finish the proof of (1.15).

Now we prove (1.16). Suppose that both u and $f(u)$ are nonnegative functions in a neighborhood of the infinity. Then u is a nonnegative supersolution of equation

$$(4.9) \quad -\Delta_p u + (m - \mu|x|^{-p})|u|^{p-2}u = 0$$

in the exterior ball B_ρ^c for some $\rho > 1$ large enough. Suppose that $m > m_*$ such that the coefficient C_1 defined by (4.2) is negative. By Lemma 4.1, the function

$$v(x) = |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{p(p-1)}} e^{-(\frac{m}{p-1})^{p-1}|x|} (1 - |x|^{-2})$$

is a subsolution to equation (4.9) in the exterior ball B_ρ^c for some $\rho > 1$ large enough. We can choose $\rho > 1$ large enough such that u is a supersolution and v is a subsolution to equation (4.9) in B_ρ^c respectively, and $m - \mu|x|^{-p} \geq m/2$ for $|x| \geq \rho$. Then applying the same argument as before, we obtain that

$$u \geq \tilde{v} = Cm'v, \quad \text{in } B_\rho^c,$$

where $C = \inf_{\partial B_\rho^c(0)} v^{-1}$ and $m' = \inf_{\partial B_\rho^c(0)} u$. This proves (1.16) for $m > m_*$.

In the case when $m \leq m_*$, we can apply the scaling argument as in the proof of (1.15) to prove (1.16). This finishes the proof of (1.16). We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Xiao Zhong for his guidance during the preparation of this paper. Some of this research took place during a six-months stay, by the first author at the University of Jyväskylä. The first author would like to thank the institute for the gracious hospitality during this time. The second author is financially supported by the Academy of Finland, project 259224.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BERESTYCKI, P.-L. LIONS, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345.
- [2] H. BERESTYCKI, P.-L. LIONS, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. II. Existence of infinitely many solutions.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 347–375.
- [3] A. BOUMEDIENE, F. VERONICA, I. PERAL, *Existence and nonexistence results for quasilinear elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian.* Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) **9** (2006), no. 2, 445–484.
- [4] D.M. CAO, C.L.XIANG, S.S. YAN, *Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth and Hardy potential.* In preparation.
- [5] Y. DENG, Q. GAO, *Asymptotic behavior of the positive solutions for an elliptic equation with Hardy term.* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **24** (2009), no. 2, 367–380.
- [6] B. GIDAS, W.M. NI, L. NIRENBERG, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n .* Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A, pp. 369–402, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., 7a, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.
- [7] G.B. LI, *Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations.* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **15** (1990), no. 1, 27–36.

- [8] Y. LI, C.S. ZHAO, *A note on exponential decay properties of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no.7, 2005-2012.
- [9] J. MOSER, *A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960) 457-468.
- [10] W.P. ZIEMER, *Weakly differentiable functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 120. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[CHENGJUN HE] WUHAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 71010, WUHAN, 430071, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: [Chengjun He] `cjhe@wipm.ac.cn`

[CHANGLIN XIANG] DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, PO Box 35 (MAD) FI-40014, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND
E-mail address: [Changlin Xiang] `changlin.c.xiang@jyu.fi`