Multigrid Methods for Space Fractional Partial Differential Equations[∗]

Yingjun Jiang†and Xuejun Xu‡

Abstract

We propose some multigrid methods for solving the algebraic systems resulting from finite element approximations of space fractional partial differential equations (SFPDEs). It is shown that our multigrid methods are optimal, which means the convergence rates of the methods are independent of the mesh size and mesh level. Moreover, our theoretical analysis and convergence results do not require regularity assumptions of the model problems. Numerical results are given to support our theoretical findings.

Keywords. fractional differential equations, multigrid methods, optimal convergence

1 Introduction

Fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs) have found many impressive applications in lots of fields, such as finance, phase transitions, stratified materials, anomalous diffusions (see [\[29\]](#page-22-0) and references therein). To solve them, both analytical and numerical methods are used in the literature. The analytical methods like the Fourier transform method, the Laplace transform method and the Mellin transform method have been developed to seek closed-form analytical solutions [\[32\]](#page-22-1). Since such closed-form analytical solutions are unavailable in most cases, extensive researches have already been carried out on the development of numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations like finite difference methods (see e.g., [\[5,](#page-20-0) [11,](#page-21-0) [18,](#page-21-1) [26,](#page-21-2) [27,](#page-21-3) [36,](#page-22-2) [39\]](#page-22-3)), finite element methods (see e.g., [\[12,](#page-21-4) [14,](#page-21-5) [23\]](#page-21-6)), and spectral methods [\[19,](#page-21-7) [21\]](#page-21-8).

Let Ω be a polyhedral domain in \mathbb{R}^d , we consider the space fractional partial differential equations (SFPDEs): find $u(x)$ such that (see [\[16\]](#page-21-9))

$$
-\int_{S^{d-1}} D_z^{2\alpha} u(x) \tilde{M}(z) dz + cu(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
$$
\n(1.1)

$$
u|_{R^d \setminus \Omega} = 0,\tag{1.2}
$$

where $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1, c \geq 0, f$ is a source term, $|| \cdot ||_2$ denotes the standard Euclidean norm, $S^{d-1} = \{z \in R^d; ||z||_2 = 1\}, \tilde{M}(z)$ is a probability density function on S^{d-1} , and $D_z^{2\alpha}$, which will be given later, denotes the directional derivative of order 2α in the direction of the unit vector z.

[∗]The work of the first author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10901027). The work of second author is supported by the National Basic Research Program under the Grant 2011CB30971 and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171335, 11225107).

[†]Department of Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha, 410076, China (jiangyingjun@csust.edu.cn).

[‡]LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2719, Beijing, 100190, P.R. China (xxj@lsec.cc.ac.cn).

Here we assume \tilde{M} is symmetric about origin, i.e., $\tilde{M}(z) = \tilde{M}(z')$ if $z, z' \in S^{d-1}$ satisfy $z + z' = 0$, which means that the considered problem is a symmetric one.

One special case of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) is

$$
-\sum_{i=1}^{d} (p_i -\infty D_{x_i}^{2\alpha} + q_i \, x_i D_{\infty}^{2\alpha})u + cu = f \tag{1.3}
$$

and $p_i, q_i \geq 0$ satisfying $p_i = q_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d (p_i + q_i) = 1$, where $-\infty D_{x_i}^{2\alpha}, x_i D_{\infty}^{2\alpha}$ denote Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. Actually, [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0) can be obtained from [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) by taking $\tilde{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i \delta(z (e_i) + q_i \delta(z + e_i)$, where e_i is the *i*th column of identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and δ the Dirac function on S^{d-1} . The corresponding time-dependent equation of (1.1) can be used to describe a general super-diffusion process (see [\[24\]](#page-21-10)), which is an appropriate extension from one dimensional problem

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - (p_{-\infty}D_x^{2\alpha} + q_x D_\infty^{2\alpha})u + cu = f.
$$
\n(1.4)

As to the super-diffusion, please refer to [\[28\]](#page-22-4) for details.

One of the greatest challenges for numerically solving SFPDEs is how to reduce the computation costs. Due to the nonlocal properties of fractional differential operators, numerical methods for linear SFPDEs tend to yield the linear equations $Ax = b$ with the following characteristics: 1). the coefficient matrix A is dense or full; 2). the condition number of A increases fast, as the mesh becomes fine. Reducing the computation costs for SFPDEs is harder than doing it for the integer order PDEs. Some methods have already been designed to overcome this difficulty, such as alternating-direction implicit methods (ADI) [\[27,](#page-21-3) [42,](#page-22-5) [43\]](#page-22-6), and iterative methods [\[20,](#page-21-11) [31,](#page-22-7) [43–](#page-22-6)[46\]](#page-22-8).

Iterative methods seem to be efficient tools for solving SFPDEs. Actually two issues in this situation need to be concerned for efficiency: one is to do the matrix-vector multiplications efficiently, and the other is to find good preconditioners. As to the first issue, some literatures are contributed: in [\[41\]](#page-22-9), with the notice of Toeplitz-like structure of the coefficient matrix, the matrix-vector multiplications are done with $O(N \log N)$ complexity by using a fast Fourier transform (FFT) [\[8,](#page-21-12)9]. This technique of "matrix-vector multiplication" has been widely used to improve the efficiency of iterative methods for the SFPDEs [\[20,](#page-21-11) [31,](#page-22-7) [43–](#page-22-6)[46\]](#page-22-8). As regards the second issue, some literatures should be listed as follows: the first relevant paper may be [\[2\]](#page-20-1) in which a multilevel preconditioner of fractional power was put forward; in [\[20\]](#page-21-11), the authors propose preconditioners constructed by some banded matrices of fixed band width; in [\[45\]](#page-22-10), the authors present a preconditioner by some symmetric positive Toeplitz matrixs; moreover a new preconditioner is designed in [\[17\]](#page-21-14) through some circulant matrixs.

It is known that multigrid methods are optimal iterative procedures, which have been widely used for integer order PDEs (see e.g., [\[3,](#page-20-2)[38\]](#page-22-11)). In recent years, some researchers begin to investigate multigrid methods for solving SFPDEs. For instance, in [\[49\]](#page-23-0), Zhou and Wu apply the multigrid method to solve one dimensional steady SFPDEs, and in [\[31\]](#page-22-7), the authors consider the V-cycle multigrid method for solving corresponding time-dependent problems. But till now, no satisfactory convergence results have been obtained for the multigrid methods for solving SFPDEs. Actually, in [\[31\]](#page-22-7), the authors only conduct the theoretical analysis for the two-level multi-grid method, and Zhou and Wu in [\[49\]](#page-23-0) get the convergence results only under the assumption that the adjoint problem hold sufficiently smooth solution.

In this paper, we introduce a V-cycle multigrid method with one smoothing step on each level to solve linear algebraic systems resulting from the finite element approximations of the SFPDEs [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0). It is shown that our V-cycle multigrid methods are optimal, which means the convergence rates are independent of the mesh size and mesh level. Moreover, our theoretical analysis and the convergence results in this paper do not require any regularity assumptions of the model problems. To the best of our knowledge, this paper is a first attempt to give a rigorous theoretical analysis for the V-cycle multigrid methods for the finite element approximations of SFPDEs in any dimensions.

This paper is also the first work to design the fast solver for the SFPDE (1.1) with M being a continuous function. Among the current numerical methods for SFPDEs, most of them are for one dimensional problems and for some special high dimensional problems like [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0), and only a few are for more general problems like [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0). Actually, only [\[16,](#page-21-9) [33\]](#page-22-12) study the numerical methods for (1.1) : in [\[16\]](#page-21-9), the authors consider the finite element approximation for (1.1) and in [\[33\]](#page-22-12), the author studies the corresponding time-dependent case.

In the rest of the paper, no loss of generality, we restrict ourselves to the case $d = 2$, namely, we consider the problem (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^2 . For $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, denote $L^2(\Lambda)$ the space of all measurable function v on Λ satisfying $\int_{\Lambda}(v(x))^2dx < \infty$, and $C_0^{\infty}(\Lambda)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Λ. Set

$$
(v, w)_{\Lambda} = \int_{\Lambda} v \, w \, dx \, dy, \quad ||v||_{\Lambda} = (v, v)_{\Lambda}^{1/2},
$$

and they are abbreviated as (v, w) and $||v||$ respectively if $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^2$.

To simplify our statement, we make a convention here: function v defined on a domain $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ also denotes its extension on \mathbb{R}^2 which extends v by zero outside Λ . The constant C with or without subscript will denote a generic positive constant which may take on different values in different places. These constants will always be independent of the mesh sizes and levels in the multigrid methods. Following [\[47\]](#page-22-13), we also use symbols \leq, \geq and \approx in this paper. That $a_1 \leq b_1$, $a_2 \gtrsim b_2$ and $a_3 \approx b_3$ mean that $a_1 \leq C_1b_1$, $a_2 \geq C_2b_2$ and $C_3b_3 \leq a_3 \leq C_3'b_3$ for some positives C_1, C_2, C_3 and C'_3 .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: for the sake of completeness, in section [2.1,](#page-2-0) we give our model problem and the corresponding finite element discretization. In section [3,](#page-8-0) we present our V-cycle multigrid methods and introduce some basic theoretical results. In section [4,](#page-11-0) we shall prove the convergence of the multigrid methods. Finally in section 5, the numerical results are given to verify our theoretical findings.

2 The model problem and its discretization

In this section, we shall present the SFPDE in \mathbb{R}^2 , and then introduce its variational formulation and corresponding finite element discretization.

2.1 The model problem

We first introduce the concepts of directional integrals and derivatives [\[16\]](#page-21-9).

Definition 2.1. [\[16\]](#page-21-9) Let $\mu > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. The μ th order fractional integral in the direction $z =$ $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ is defined by

$$
D_z^{-\mu}v(x,y) := D_\theta^{-\mu}v(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\tau^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)}v(x-\tau\cos\theta,y-\tau\sin\theta)d\tau,
$$

where Γ is the Gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [\[16\]](#page-21-9) Let n be a positive integer, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. The nth order derivative in the direction of $z = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ is given by

$$
D_{\theta}^{n}v(x,y) := \left(\cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{n} v(x,y).
$$

Definition 2.3. [\[16\]](#page-21-9) Let $\mu > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let n be the integer such that $n - 1 \leq \mu < n$, and define $\sigma = n - \mu$. Then the μ th order directional derivative in the direction of $z = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ is defined by

$$
D_z^{\mu}v(x,y) := D_{\theta}^{\mu}v(x,y) = D_{\theta}^n D_{\theta}^{-\sigma}v(x,y).
$$

If v is viewed as a function in x, D_0^{μ} $_{0}^{\mu}$, D_{π}^{μ} are just the left and the right Riemamm-Liouville derivatives (see e.g., [\[32,](#page-22-1) [35\]](#page-22-14)). The fractional derivative operators in problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) are related to the following fractional derivative:

Definition 2.4. [\[16\]](#page-21-9) Assume that $v : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$. The μ th order fractional derivative with respect to the measure \tilde{M} is defined as

$$
D_{\tilde{M}}^{\mu}v(x,y) := \int_{S^1} D_{\theta}^{\mu}v(x,y)\tilde{M}(\theta)d\theta,
$$

where $S^1 = [0 + \nu, 2\pi + \nu)$ with a suitable scalar ν , and $\tilde{M}(\theta)$, which satisfies $\int_{\nu}^{2\pi + \nu} \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta = 1$, is a periodic function with period 2π . Usually we take $\nu = 0$, if it causes no unreasonable expression $(see (2.2)).$ $(see (2.2)).$ $(see (2.2)).$

Remark 2.5. It is easy to check that

$$
D_{\tilde{M}}^2 v(x, y) = a_{11} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + a_{22} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + 2a_{12} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y},
$$

where $a_{11} = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 \theta \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta$, $a_{22} = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \theta \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta$ and $a_{12} = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \theta \sin \theta \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta$ (see $\sum_{k=1}^{L} p_k = 1$. Assume that D_{θ}^{μ} also [\[25\]](#page-21-15)). Denote L a positive integer, let $\theta_k \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $p_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, L$, satisfy $\theta_{\theta}^{\mu}v$ is continuous in θ , and then

$$
D_{\tilde{M}}^{\mu}v = \sum_{k=1}^{L} p_k D_{\theta_k}^{\mu} v(x, y),
$$
\n(2.1)

if

$$
\tilde{M} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} p_k \delta(\theta - \theta_k),
$$
\n(2.2)

where δ denotes Dirac delta function.

For $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, define differential operator L_{α} in \mathbb{R}^2 as

$$
L_{\alpha}u = -D_{\tilde{M}}^{2\alpha}u + cu.
$$

Denote Ω a polygonal domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , set $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, and then the model problem of this paper is to find $u : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\nL_{\alpha}u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.3)

where f is a source term and we assume that $\tilde{M}(\theta)$ satisfies $\tilde{M}(\theta) = \tilde{M}(\theta + \pi)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) is a symmetric problem. Here, we recall the convection made in Section [1,](#page-0-1) i.e., u also denotes its extension by zero outside Ω .

2.2 The variational formulation

Definition 2.6. [\[40\]](#page-22-15) Let $\mu \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F}v(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be the Fourier transform of $v(x, y)$, $|\xi| = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}$. Define norm

$$
||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} := ||(1+|\xi|^2)^{\mu/2}|\mathcal{F}v||.
$$

Let $H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2); ||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \infty\}.$

For $v \in H_0^{\mu}$ $0 \n\in (0, 0)$, we also denote $||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ by $||v||_{H^{\mu}(\Omega)}$. It is known that $H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product $(v, w)_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = ((1 + |\xi|^2)^{\mu} \mathcal{F}v, \overline{\mathcal{F}w})$ and $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see [\[40\]](#page-22-15)). Now, we introduce and prove some useful results for the fractional directional derivatives of functions in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lemma 2.7. [\[16\]](#page-21-9) For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Fourier transform of D_{θ}^{μ} $^{\mu}_{\theta}v$ is

> $\mathcal{F}(D_\theta^\mu$ $_{\theta}^{\mu}v(x,y)) = (2\pi i(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta))^{\mu} \mathcal{F}v(\xi_1, \xi_2).$

Lemma 2.8. For $\mu, s > 0$, $v, w \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$
(D^{\mu}_{\theta}v, w) = (D^{\mu - s}_{\theta}v, D^s_{\theta + \pi}w),
$$

where $D^0_{\theta}v = v$.

Proof. By lemma [2.7](#page-4-0) and [\(A.1\)](#page-20-3), we know FD^{μ}_{θ} $\frac{\mu}{\theta}v = (2\pi i(\xi_1\cos\theta + \xi_2\sin\theta))^{\mu}Fv, \ \ \mathcal{F}D_{\theta}^{\mu-s}$ $\int_{\theta}^{\mu-s}v =$ $(2\pi i(\xi_1\cos\theta+\xi_2\sin\theta))^{\mu-s}\mathcal{F}v$, $\mathcal{F}D_{\theta+\pi}^s w = \overline{(2\pi i(\xi_1\cos\theta+\xi_2\sin\theta))^s}\mathcal{F}w$. Then the lemma follows by Parseval's formula.

We define the weak fractional directional derivative according to the relation (D_{θ}^{μ}) $\frac{\mu}{\theta}v,w) =$ $(v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\mu}w)$ which is a special case of Lemma [2.8](#page-4-1) (see also Lemma 5.7 in [\[16\]](#page-21-9)). Let $L_{loc}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ denote the set of locally integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Definition 2.9. Given $\mu > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If there is a function $v_{\mu} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$
(v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\mu}w) = (v_{\mu}, w), \quad \forall w \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2),
$$

then v_{μ} is called the weak μ th order derivative in the direction of θ for v, denoted by D_{θ}^{μ} $^{\mu}_{\theta}v$, i.e., $v_\mu = \overset{\cdot }{D_\theta ^\mu }$ $^{\mu}_{\theta}v.$

It is not hard to see that the weak derivative D_{θ}^{μ} $\theta_{\theta}^{\mu}v$ is unique if it exists and that the weak derivative coincides with the correspondent derivative defined in Definition [2.3](#page-3-2) if $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In the following, we use D_{θ}^{μ} $\mu_{\theta}^{\mu}v$ to denote the weak derivative.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\mu > 0$. For any $v \in H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $0 < s \leq \mu$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, the weak derivative $D^s_{\theta}v$ exists and satisfies

$$
\mathcal{F}D^s_{\theta}v(\xi_1,\xi_2) = (2\pi i\xi_1\cos\theta + 2\pi i\xi_2\sin\theta)^s \mathcal{F}v(\xi_1,\xi_2),\tag{2.4}
$$

$$
||D_{\theta}^{s}v|| \le C||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}.
$$
\n(2.5)

Proof. Since $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there is a Cauchy sequence $\{v_n\} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $||v_n - v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \to 0$ as $n \to 0$. By lemma [2.7,](#page-4-0) $\mathcal{F}D^s_{\theta}w = (2\pi i(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta))^s \mathcal{F}w$ for $w \in$ $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By Parseval's formula and $0 < s \leq \mu$, it is not hard to see that $||D_{\theta}^s w|| = ||\mathcal{F}D_{\theta}^s w|| \leq$ $C||w||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. So we have $||D^s_{\theta}v_n - D^s_{\theta}v_m|| \leq C||v_n - v_m||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and $\{D^s_{\theta}v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Denote $v_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the function to which $\{D^s_{\theta}v_n\}$ converges to. By Lemma [2.8,](#page-4-1) for any $w \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2),$

$$
(v_n, D^s_{\theta+\pi}w) = (D^s_{\theta}v_n, w).
$$

Take the limits of both sides of the above equation, we obtain $(v, D^s_{\theta+\pi}w) = (v_s, w)$ for any $w \in$ $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. So $D_{\theta}^s v$ exists and is equal to v_s by Definition [2.9.](#page-4-2) By the definition of Fourier transform for the function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$
((2\pi i(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta))^s \mathcal{F} v_n, v) = (D_\theta^s v_n, \mathcal{F} v), \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{2.6}
$$

Because

$$
||v_n - v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = ||(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\mu/2} |\mathcal{F}(v_n - v)|| \to 0,
$$

it is not hard to see that $(2\pi i(\xi_1\cos\theta + \xi_2\sin\theta))^s \mathcal{F}v_n$ converges to $(2\pi i(\xi_1\cos\theta + \xi_2\sin\theta))^s \mathcal{F}v$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Take the limits of both sides of [\(2.6\)](#page-5-0), we obtain [\(2.4\)](#page-4-3) by the definition of Fourier transform. (2.5) can be proved directly by (2.4) and Parseval's formula. \Box

Lemma 2.11. Let μ , $s > 0$ with $\mu - s > 0$. For $v, w \in H^{\mu+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$
(D_{\theta}^{\mu}v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\mu}w) = (D_{\theta}^{\mu+s}v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\mu-s}w).
$$
\n(2.7)

Proof. For any $g \in H^{\mu+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $||D_{\theta}^{\mu}||$ $\frac{\mu}{\theta}g||, \; ||D^{\mu}_{\theta}$ $^{\mu}_{\theta+\pi}g||,||D^{\mu+s}_{\theta}$ $\|\theta^{u+s}g\|$ and $\|D^{\mu-s}_{\theta+\pi}\|$ $\left| \begin{array}{c} \mu -s \\ \theta + \pi g \end{array} \right|$ are all bounded by $C||g||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ by Lemma [2.10.](#page-4-5) Then the lemma follows from that $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $H^{\mu+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and Lemma [2.8.](#page-4-1) \Box

Assume that the solution u of [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) is sufficiently smooth (indeed, that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ with $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ is sufficient). Multiplying both sides of the first equation in [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) with $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and integrating over Ω give

$$
-\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^{2\alpha} u, v) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta + c(u, v) = (f, v), \quad v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega). \tag{2.8}
$$

Then employing the relation $(D^1_\theta w, v) = (w, D^1_{\theta + \pi} v)$ (it can be obtained by integration by parts), we obtain

$$
-\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^{2\alpha-1}u, D_{\theta+\pi}^1 v) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta + c(u, v) = (f, v), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.9}
$$

Then by Lemma [2.11,](#page-5-1) [\(2.9\)](#page-5-2) can be rewritten as

$$
-\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^\alpha u, D_{\theta+\pi}^\alpha v) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta + c(u, v) = (f, v), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.10}
$$

Define the bilinear form $\tilde{B}: H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega) \times H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\tilde{B}(u,v) := -\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^\alpha u, D_{\theta+\pi}^\alpha v) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta + c(u,v).
$$

By $\tilde{M}(\theta) = \tilde{M}(\theta + \pi)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to check that $\tilde{B}(v, w)$ is a symmetric bilinear form, i.e., $\tilde{B}(v, w) = \tilde{B}(w, v)$ for $v, w \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. The variational formulation of (2.3) is (see also [\[16\]](#page-21-9)) to find $u \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\tilde{B}(u,v) = (f,v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega). \tag{2.11}
$$

Now we restate some results in [\[16\]](#page-21-9) about the solvability of [\(2.11\)](#page-5-3). To guarantee the existence of the solution of [\(2.11\)](#page-5-3), we assume that $\tilde{M}(\theta)$ satisfies

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} |(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta)|^{2\alpha} \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta \ge C_0 |\xi|^{2\alpha}
$$
 (2.12)

for some positive C_0 . Denote $\kappa = 2\pi (\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta)$, $E_1 = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta > 0\}$, $E_2 = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta < 0\}$, and then by Parseval's formula and Lemma [2.10,](#page-4-5)

$$
(D_{\theta}^{\alpha}v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\alpha}v) = ((i\kappa)^{2\alpha}Fv, \overline{Fv})
$$

\n
$$
= (|\kappa|^{2\alpha} \exp(i\alpha \sin(\kappa)\pi)Fv, \overline{Fv})
$$

\n
$$
= (|\kappa|^{2\alpha} \exp(i\alpha\pi)Fv, \overline{Fv})_{E_1} + (|\kappa|^{2\alpha} \exp(-i\alpha\pi)Fv, \overline{Fv})_{E_2}
$$

\n
$$
= \cos(\alpha\pi)(|\kappa|^{2\alpha}Fv, \overline{Fv})
$$

\n
$$
+ i\sin(\alpha\pi)((|\kappa|^{2\alpha}Fv, \overline{Fv})_{E_1} - (|\kappa|^{2\alpha}Fv, \overline{Fv})_{E_2})
$$

\n
$$
= \cos(\alpha\pi)(|\kappa|^{2\alpha}Fv, \overline{Fv}), \qquad (2.13)
$$

where the computation of complex please refer to Appendix, in the fourth equality, the Euler formula $\exp(i\kappa) = \cos(\kappa) + i\sin(\kappa)$ is used, the last equality is because the value of $(D_\theta^\alpha v, D_{\theta+\pi}^\alpha v)$ is real and the imaginary part must be zero (another proof for this equality please refer to [\[16\]](#page-21-9)). Furthermore, by (2.12) and $\cos(\alpha \pi) < 0$

$$
-\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^\alpha v, D_{\theta+\pi}^\alpha v) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta
$$

= $-\cos(\alpha \pi) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}v|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} |2\pi(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta)|^{2\alpha} \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta d\xi_1 d\xi_2$
 $\gtrsim \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}v|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$ (2.14)

For $v \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
||v||^2 \le C_1 ||D_\theta^\alpha v||^2 = C_1 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |2\pi(\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta)|^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}v|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2
$$

$$
\le C_2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}v|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \tag{2.15}
$$

where the inequality is by (5.15) in [\[16\]](#page-21-9) and the equality is by Parseval's formula. With the combination of [\(2.14\)](#page-6-0) and [\(2.15\)](#page-6-1), we conclude under condition [\(2.12\)](#page-5-4),

$$
\tilde{B}(v,v) \gtrsim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^2, \quad v \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega). \tag{2.16}
$$

By Lemma [2.10,](#page-4-5) it is easy to verify that

$$
\tilde{B}(v, w) \lesssim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} ||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, \quad v, w \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega). \tag{2.17}
$$

By [\(2.16\)](#page-6-2) and [\(2.17\)](#page-6-3), using Lax-Milgram theorem, we know that the variational formulation [\(2.11\)](#page-5-3) admits a unique solution in $H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.12. Condition [\(2.12\)](#page-5-4) is easily satisfied. For example, it holds if $\tilde{M}(\theta)$ is non-zero over a connected set of positive measure in $[0, 2\pi)$ (see [\[16\]](#page-21-9)), and it holds when $\tilde{M}(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} p_k \delta(\theta$ $k\pi/2d\theta$, with $p_k \ge 0$ and $p_1 + p_3 = 1$, $p_2 + p_4 = 1$.

2.3 The finite element discretization

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a quasi-uniform triangulation of Ω such that $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} K$, h_K be the maximal length of the sides of the triangle K and $h = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K$. Denote $P_l(K)$, $l \geq 1$, the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to l on $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Define the finite dimensional subspace V associated with \mathcal{T}_h as

$$
V := \{ v \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) : v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, v|_K \in P_l(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \}.
$$

It is known that $V \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \subset H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. Thus the finite element approximation for [\(2.11\)](#page-5-3) is to find $\tilde{u}_h \in V$ such that

$$
\tilde{B}(\tilde{u}_h, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V.
$$
\n(2.18)

The error estimates for the finite element solution \tilde{u}_h are given in [\[16\]](#page-21-9).

In practical applications, we use the finite element discretization [\(2.18\)](#page-7-0) only when the probability density function \tilde{M} has the discrete form as that in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) (when $\tilde{M}(\theta)$ is a continuous function, the finite element discretization [\(2.18\)](#page-7-0) can hardly be realized). For the case that $M(\theta)$ is the continuous function, we propose an alternative finite element discretization instead of [\(2.18\)](#page-7-0). Here we focus on the case $\tilde{M}(\theta) \in C^1[0, 2\pi]$ is a periodic function with period 2π to present our alternative finite element problem: find $\bar{u}_h \in V$ such that

$$
\bar{B}(\bar{u}_h, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V,
$$
\n(2.19)

where $\bar{B}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is an approximation of $\tilde{B}(\cdot,\cdot)$. Exactly in this paper, set a positive integer N_{θ} such that N_{θ} is a multiple of 4. Letting $\theta_i = 2i\pi/N_{\theta}$, $i = 0, \ldots, N_{\theta} - 1$ and denoting $\Delta \theta = 2\pi/N_{\theta}$, we use the compound trapezoid formula to get $\overline{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$, i.e., for $v, w \in V$,

$$
\tilde{B}(v, w) = -\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^\alpha v, D_{\theta + \pi}^\alpha w) \tilde{M}(\theta) d\theta + c(v, w) \n\approx -\Delta \theta \sum_{i=0}^{N_\theta - 1} (D_{\theta_i}^\alpha v, D_{\theta_i + \pi}^\alpha w) \tilde{M}(\theta_i) + c(v, w) := \bar{B}(v, w).
$$

The fact that $\tilde{M}(\theta) = \tilde{M}(\theta + \pi)$ and N_{θ} is a multiple of 4 guarantees that $\bar{B}(v, w)$ is a symmetric bilinear form as well, i.e., $\bar{B}(v, w) = \bar{B}(w, v)$. By Parseval's formula, we have

$$
(D_{\theta}^{\alpha}v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\alpha}w)_{\Omega} = ((2\pi i\xi_1 \cos \theta + 2\pi i\xi_2 \sin \theta)^{2\alpha} \mathcal{F}v, \overline{\mathcal{F}w})
$$

\n
$$
\leq C||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}
$$
\n(2.20)

and

$$
\frac{d}{d\theta}(D_{\theta}^{\alpha}v, D_{\theta+\pi}^{\alpha}w)_{\Omega} = 2\alpha \left((-2\pi i\xi_1 \sin \theta + 2\pi i\xi_2 \cos \theta)(2\pi i\xi_1 \cos \theta + 2\pi i\xi_2 \sin \theta)^{2\alpha - 1} \mathcal{F}v, \overline{\mathcal{F}w} \right) \leq C||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}.
$$
\n(2.21)

By the error formula for the compound trapezoid formula, it is easy to verify that

$$
|\tilde{B}(v,w) - \bar{B}(v,w)| \le C\Delta\theta ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} ||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)},
$$
\n(2.22)

where C is a positive constant independent of θ , v and w. Combining [\(2.22\)](#page-7-1) with [\(2.16\)](#page-6-2) and [\(2.17\)](#page-6-3), we know for sufficiently small $\Delta\theta$,

$$
\bar{B}(v,v) \gtrsim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^2, \quad v \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega),
$$

$$
\bar{B}(v,w) \lesssim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, \quad v, w \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega).
$$
 (2.23)

By Lax-Milgram theorem, [\(2.19\)](#page-7-2) has a unique solution. The first Strang lemma (see [\[10\]](#page-21-16)) holds here, i.e.,

$$
||u - \bar{u}_h||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C \inf_{v \in V} \left\{ ||u - v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} + \sup_{w \in V} \frac{|B(v, w) - \bar{B}(v, w)|}{||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}} \right\}
$$

$$
\leq C \inf_{v \in V} \left\{ ||u - v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} + \Delta \theta ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \right\}.
$$

Finally, the finite element approximation of [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) is unitedly presented as: find $u_h \in V$ such that

$$
B(u_h, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V,
$$
\n
$$
(2.24)
$$

where $B(v, w) = -\int_0^{2\pi} (D_\theta^\alpha v, D_{\theta+\pi}^\alpha w) M(\theta) d\theta + c(v, w), M(\theta)$ is equal to a discrete form $\sum_{k=1}^L p_k \delta(\theta-\theta)$ θ_k) such that $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric bilinear form,

$$
B(v,v) \gtrsim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^2, B(v,w) \lesssim ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}||w||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, \quad v, w \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega), \tag{2.25}
$$

and $\int_0^{2\pi} M(\theta) d\theta \lesssim 1$. Specially for the cases mentioned above, the finite element problem [\(2.24\)](#page-8-1) represents problem [\(2.18\)](#page-7-0) if $M(\theta) = \tilde{M}(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} p_k \delta(\theta - \theta_k)$ and problem [\(2.19\)](#page-7-2) if $M(\theta) =$ $\Delta\theta \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\theta}-1} \delta(\theta - \theta_i) \tilde{M}(\theta_i).$

3 Multigrid algorithm

In this section, for (2.24) , we shall present our V-cycle multigrid algorithm and a general framework for our convergence analysis.

Take $f_h \in V$ such that $(f_h, v) = (f, v), \forall v \in V$ and define a linear operator $A: V \to V$ as follows:

$$
(Av, w) = B(v, w), \quad \forall v, w \in V.
$$
\n
$$
(3.1)
$$

The finite element approximation of system [\(2.24\)](#page-8-1) can be restated as to find $u_h \in V$ such that

$$
Au_h = f_h. \tag{3.2}
$$

In the following, we shall use the operator equation [\(3.2\)](#page-8-2) to construct our multigrid algorithm. Since $B(v, w)$ is a symmetric bilinear form, we know, by [\(2.25\)](#page-8-3), that $A: V \to V$ is symmetric positive definite with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) , i.e.,

$$
(Av, w) = (v, Aw), v, w \in V; (Av, v) > 0, 0 \neq v \in V.
$$

Then bilinear form

$$
(v, w)_A := (Av, w), \quad v, w \in V,
$$

also induces an inner product on V . Set norm

$$
||v||_A = (Av, v)^{1/2}, \quad v \in V.
$$

By (2.25) , we have

$$
||v||_A \approx ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in V.
$$
\n(3.3)

3.1 Algorithm

Assume that the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h of Ω is constructed by a successive refinement process. To be precise, let $\mathcal{T}_J = \mathcal{T}_h$ for some $J > 1$, and \mathcal{T}_k for $k \geq 0$ be a nested sequence of quasi-uniform triangulations, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_k = \{\tau_k^i\}$ consists of simplexes τ_k^i of size h_k such that $\Omega = \cup_i \tau_k^i$; τ_{k-1}^l is a union of simplexes of τ_k^i . We further assume that there is a positive constant $\gamma < 1$, independent of k, such that h_k is proportional to γ^k and the simplexes in \mathcal{T}_1 are of diameter ≈ 1 .

For each partition \mathcal{T}_k , we may define finite element spaces V_k by

$$
V_k = \{ v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) : v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, v|_{\tau} \in P_l(\tau), \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_k \}. \tag{3.4}
$$

Obviously, the following inclusion relation holds: $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_J = V$. Our V-cycle multigrid methods are based on the subspace decomposition $V = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_J$.

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, J\}$, define projectors $Q_k, P_k : V \to V_k$ by

$$
(Q_k v, w) = (v, w),
$$
 $(P_k v, w)_A = (v, w)_A, v \in V, w \in V_k,$

specially, set $Q_0: V \to V$ as $Q_0v = 0$, and define the linear operator $A_k: V_k \to V_k$

$$
(A_kv, w) = (Av, w), \quad v, w \in V_k.
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
A_k P_k = Q_k A, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, J.
$$
\n(3.5)

It is obvious that A_k is symmetric and positive definite with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) . Denote $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, J$, the maximal eigenvalue of A_k .

Let $u_k = P_k u_h$ and $f_k = Q_k f_h$, we may get the operator equation in subspace

$$
A_k u_k = f_k. \tag{3.6}
$$

Our multigrid algorithm is essentially an iterative procedure in which the subspace equation [\(3.6\)](#page-9-0) is approximately solved successively to get new approximations to [\(3.2\)](#page-8-2) from old approximations. More precisely, denote $R_k : V_k \to V_k$ the approximate inverse of A_k , and u^{old} the old approximation to u. Correcting the residual of u^{old} in V_k gives

$$
u^{new} = u^{old} + R_k Q_k (f_h - A u^{old}).
$$

We take R_k to be symmetric with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) such that

$$
(R_k v, v) \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_k}(v, v), \quad \forall v \in V_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, J.
$$
\n
$$
(3.7)
$$

Remark 3.1. In this paper, we have $h_1 = O(1)$ and take $R_1 = A_1^{-1}$. By Lemma [4.3,](#page-13-0) [\(3.3\)](#page-8-4) and the definition of norm $|| \cdot ||_{H^{\mu}(\Omega)}$, we know that $(v, v) \lesssim (A_1v, v) \lesssim h_1^{-2\alpha}(v, v)$, and $\lambda_1 = O(1)$. Then we have $(R_1^{-1}v, v) \approx \frac{1}{\lambda}$ $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(v,v).$

Next we give our V-cycle multigrid algorithm.

V-cycle Multigrid Algorithm. Let $u^0 = 0 \in V$, assume that $u^k \in V$ has been obtained. Then u^{k+1} is generated by

$$
u^{k+1} = u^k + B_J(f_h - Au^k),
$$
\n(3.8)

where B_J is defined inductively: Let $B_1 = A_1^{-1}$, and assume that $B_{k-1} : V_{k-1} \to V_{k-1}$ has been defined; then for $g \in V_k$, $B_k : V_k \to V_k$ is defined as follows:

Step 1. $v^1 = R_k g$; Step 2. $v^2 = v^1 + B_{k-1}Q_{k-1}(g - A_k v^1);$ Step 3. $B_k g = v^2 + R_k (g - A_k v^2)$.

3.2 A general framework

For the V-cycle multigrid method, we have

$$
u_h - u^{k+1} = (I - B_J A)(u_h - u^k).
$$

Denote

$$
E_J = (I - T_J)(I - T_{J-1}) \cdots (I - T_1), \quad E_J^* = (I - T_1) \cdots (I - T_{J-1})(I - T_J) \tag{3.9}
$$

.

with $T_1 = P_1, T_k = R_k A_k P_k, k = 2, 3, ..., J$. Then we have $(I - B_J A) = E_J E_J^*$. Define the operator norm as

$$
||E_J||_A = \sup_{v \in V} \frac{||E_J v||_A}{||v||_A}
$$

It is easy to see that E_J^* is the $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$ -adjoint of E_J , i.e.,

$$
(E_J v, w)_A = (v, E_J^* w)_A, \quad v, w \in V
$$

and that

$$
||E_J||_A = ||E_J^*||_A, \quad ||E_J E_J^*||_A \le ||E_J||_A^2.
$$

The main work in this paper is to establish the contraction property: there is a constant $0 < \delta < 1$ independent of the mesh size and mesh level such that

$$
||E_J||_A \le \sqrt{\delta}.\tag{3.10}
$$

By [\(3.10\)](#page-10-0), we may obtain $||u_h - u^k||_A \le \delta^k ||u_h - u^0||$.

Remark 3.2. For the V-cycle multigrid method, the spectral radius of the iterative matrix $\rho =$ $\rho(I - B_J A) \leq \delta$. It is known that the condition number $\kappa(B_J A) \leq \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta}$ and $B_J A$ is selfadjoint and positive with respect to inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$. The δ 's independence of the mesh size implies that B_J is a good preconditioner for A which can be used to design efficient preconditioned conjugate gradient methods.

Define K_0 and K_1 as two smallest positive constants satisfying the following conditions:

1. For any $v \in V$, there exists a decomposition $v = \sum_{i=1}^{J} v_i$ for $v_i \in V_i$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{J} (R_i^{-1}v_i, v_i) \le K_0(Av, v). \tag{3.11}
$$

2. For any $S \subset \{1, 2, ..., J\} \times \{1, 2, ..., J\}$ and $v_i, w_i \in V$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., J$,

$$
\sum_{(i,j)\in S} (T_i v_i, T_j w_j)_A \le K_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^J (T_i v_i, v_i)_A \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^J (T_j w_j, w_j)_A \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
\n(3.12)

The estimate of the upper bound of $||E_J||_A$ relies on the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. [\[2,](#page-20-1) [47\]](#page-22-13) Let E_J be defined by [\(3.9\)](#page-10-1). We have

$$
||E_J||_A \le 1 - \frac{2 - \omega_1}{K_0(1 + K_1)^2},
$$

where $\omega_1 = \max_k \rho(R_k A_k)$, $\rho(R_k A_k)$ denotes the spectral radius of $R_k A_k$.

The estimate of the parameter ω_1 is straightforward. Since $R_1 = A_1^{-1}$, $\rho(R_1A_1) = 1$. From [\(3.7\)](#page-9-1), for $v \in V_k$ $(k = 2, ..., J)$

$$
\frac{C_1}{\lambda_k}(v,v) \le (R_k v, v) \le \frac{C_2}{\lambda_k}(v,v),
$$

and furthermore

$$
(R_k A_k v, v)_A = (R_k A_k v, A_k v) \le \frac{C_2}{\lambda_k} (A_k v, A_k v) \le C_2 (v, A_k v) = (v, v)_A,
$$
\n(3.13)

where the last inequality is obtained from that A_k is symmetric positive matrix and λ_k is the maximal eigenvalue of A_k . Combining [\(3.13\)](#page-11-1) with the fact that R_kA_k is symmetric with respect to inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$, we have $\rho(R_k A_k) \leq C_2$. Taking R_k such that C_2 is suitably small can guarantee the $\omega_1 < 2$.

Next, we shall estimate the parameters K_1, K_2 . The following Lemma is helpful for the analysis. **Lemma 3.4.** [\[2,](#page-20-1) [47\]](#page-22-13) Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{ij}) \in R^{J \times J}$ be a nonnegative symmetric matrix, with components ϵ_{ij} being the smallest constant satisfying

$$
(T_i v, T_j w)_A \le \epsilon_{ij} (T_i v, v)_A^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_j w, w)_A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v, w \in V.
$$
\n(3.14)

Then we have

$$
K_1 \leq \rho(\epsilon),
$$

where $\rho(\epsilon)$ denotes the spectral radius of matrix ϵ . Furthermore, if $\epsilon_{ij} \lesssim \gamma^{|i-j|}$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$, then $\rho(\epsilon) \lesssim (1 - \gamma)^{-1}$.

4 Convergence Analysis

We here first introduce two interpolation norms and relevant Sobolev spaces (see e.g., [\[40\]](#page-22-15)). Let Λ be a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . For integer m, denote by $|| \cdot ||_{\tilde{H}^m(\Lambda)}$ the Sobolev norm of integer order m, i.e.,

$$
||v||_{\tilde{H}^m(\Lambda)} := \left(\sum_{|l| \leq m} ||D^l v||^2_{L^2(\Lambda)}\right)^{1/2},\,
$$

with $l = (l_1, l_2), |l| = l_1 + l_2$ and $D^l = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^{l_1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial y})^{l_2}$. Let $\mu > 0$ be a non-integer and $0 < s < 1$, n is a non-negative integer such that $n < \mu < n + 1$. We introduce the interpolation norms

$$
||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda)} := \left(\int_0^{\infty} \tilde{K}(v,t)t^{-2\mu-1}dt\right)^{1/2}, ||v||_{\hat{H}^s(\Lambda)} := \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{K}(v,t)t^{-2s-1}dt\right)^{1/2}
$$
(4.1)

where

$$
\tilde{K}(v,t) := \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda)} \left(||v - w||_{\tilde{H}^{n}(\Lambda)}^2 + t^2 ||w||_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda)}^2 \right),
$$

$$
\hat{K}(v,t) := \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}_0^1(\Lambda)} \left(||v - w||_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 + t^2 ||w||_{\tilde{H}^1(\Lambda)}^2 \right).
$$

Relevant Sobolev spaces are

$$
\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda) := \{ v \in L^{2}(\Lambda); ||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda)} < \infty \}, \quad \hat{H}^{s}(\Lambda) := \{ v \in L^{2}(\Lambda); ||v||_{\hat{H}^{s}(\Lambda)} < \infty \}.
$$
 (4.2)

Let Λ_1 , Λ_2 be two domains in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$, and then

$$
\left(\int_0^\infty \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_1)} \left(||v - w||^2_{\tilde{H}^n(\Lambda_1)} + t^2 ||w||^2_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_1)} \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_2)} \left(||(v - w)|_{\Lambda_1}||^2_{\tilde{H}^n(\Lambda_1)} + t^2 ||w|_{\Lambda_1}||^2_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_1)} \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_2)} \left(||v - w||^2_{\tilde{H}^n(\Lambda_2)} + t^2 ||w||^2_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda_2)} \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2} . \tag{4.3}
$$

So we have, for $v \in \tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda_2)$,

$$
||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda_1)} \le ||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda_2)}.
$$
\n(4.4)

Remark 4.1. The following space relations can be found in literature: (1) $\mu > 0$, $\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\tilde{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ coincide with $H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ respectively; (2) for $1/2 < \mu < 1$, $\widetilde{H}_0^{\mu}(\Omega)$ coincides with $\widehat{H}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ (see [\[22,](#page-21-17)40]); for $1/2 < \mu < 1$, $\widetilde{H}_0^{\mu}(\Omega)$ coincides with H_0^{μ} $_{0}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ (this can been shown by (1), (2) and the definitions of the interpolation spaces).

Combining with remark [4.1](#page-12-0) and the well known interpolation property (see e.g., Lemma 22.3 in [\[40\]](#page-22-15)), we know, for $1/2 < \mu \leq 1$,

$$
||(I - Q_k)v|| \lesssim h_k^{\mu}||v||_{H^{\mu}(\Omega)}, \quad v \in H_0^{\mu}(\Omega).
$$
 (4.5)

Now, we develop some results for the finite element spaces $V_k, k \geq 1$. Let $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a suitable polygonal domain such that $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ and $dist(\partial \Omega', \Omega) > C$ for a positive C. \mathcal{T}'_k , $k \geq 1$, are the quasi-uniform triangulations obtained by extending \mathcal{T}_k from Ω to Ω' , that is, \mathcal{T}'_k in Ω coincides with \mathcal{T}_k . Furthermore we still make sure that $\mathcal{T}'_k = {\tau_k^i}$ consists of simplexes τ_k^i of size h_k . Let $V'_{k} = \{v \in C^{0}(\overline{\Omega}') : v|_{\partial \Omega'} = 0, v|_{\tau} \in P_{l}(\tau), \forall \tau \in \overline{\mathcal{T}'_{k}}\}.$ In the following, for $v \in V_{k}$, v always denotes its extensions (on Ω' and on \mathbb{R}^2), which is extended by zero outside Ω , and so we also have $v \in V'_k$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mu > 0$, $v \in \tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Omega')$ with $supp(v) \subset \Omega$ (v also denotes its extension on \mathbb{R}^2 which is extended by zero outside Ω'). Then we have $||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Omega')} \approx ||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

Proof. For μ being a integer, the conclusion is direct. For the case that μ is not a integer, denote n as a non-negative integer such that $n < \mu < n+1$. From (4.3) , $||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Omega')} \leq ||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \approx ||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. Now we prove the converse relation. Let Λ be a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with C^{n+1} -smooth boundary such that $\Omega \subset\subset \Lambda \subset \Omega'$. Then by [\(4.4\)](#page-12-2), $v \in \tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda)$. Following the proof for the strong extension of Sobolev space (see e.g., Theorem 4.26 in [\[1\]](#page-20-4)), we can show that there is a linear operator E continuous from $\tilde{H}^j(\Lambda)$ into $\tilde{H}^j(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for integers $0 \leq j \leq n+1$, such that $E(v|_{\Lambda}) = v$. Then we have

$$
||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \left(||v - w||_{\tilde{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + t^2 ||w||_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_0^{\infty} \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda)} \left(||E(v|\Lambda - w)||_{\tilde{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + t^2 ||Ew||_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\lesssim \left(\int_0^{\infty} \inf_{w \in \tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda)} \left(||v - w||_{\tilde{H}^n(\Lambda)}^2 + t^2 ||w||_{\tilde{H}^{n+1}(\Lambda)}^2 \right) t^{-2\mu - 1} dt \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
= ||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Lambda)}, \tag{4.6}
$$

where the last inequality is by the continuity of E. Combining with [\(4.4\)](#page-12-2), we obtain $||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \approx$ $||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim ||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Omega')}$. \Box

Lemma 4.3. For $0 < \mu < 3/2$, $v \in V_k$, we have

$$
||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim h_k^{-\mu}||v||,\tag{4.7}
$$

and then $V_k \subset H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. By $v \in V_k$, we known $v \in V'_k$, $||v||_{\tilde{H}^{\mu}(\Omega')} \lesssim h_k^{-\mu}$ $\int_{k}^{-\mu}||v||$ from [\[3,](#page-20-2)47,48] and further $||v||_{H^{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim$ $h_k^{-\mu}$ $\binom{-\mu}{k} |v|$ by Lemma [4.2.](#page-12-3) \Box

Let β be a positive with $\alpha + \beta < 3/2$ and $\alpha - \beta \ge 0$ in the rest of this paper. We have the following results:

Lemma 4.4. It holds that

$$
(v, w)_A \lesssim ||v||_{H^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^2)} ||w||_{H^{\alpha-\beta}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad v, w \in V.
$$

Proof. Since $v, w \in V$, by Lemma [4.3,](#page-13-0) we know that $v, w \in H^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then

$$
(v, w)_{A} = (Av, w) = B(v, w)
$$

\n
$$
= -\int_{0}^{2\pi} (D_{\theta}^{\alpha} v, D_{\theta + \pi}^{\alpha} w) M(\theta) d\theta + c(v, w)
$$

\n
$$
= -\int_{0}^{2\pi} (D_{\theta}^{\alpha + \beta} v, D_{\theta + \pi}^{\alpha - \beta} w) M(\theta) d\theta + c(v, w)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} ||D_{\theta}^{\alpha + \beta} v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||D_{\theta + \pi}^{\alpha - \beta} w||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} M(\theta) d\theta + c||v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||w||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||v||_{H^{\alpha + \beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} ||w||_{H^{\alpha - \beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} + c||v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||w||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||v||_{H^{\alpha + \beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} ||w||_{H^{\alpha - \beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})},
$$

where the third equality is by Lemma [2.11,](#page-5-1) and the second inequality is by Lemma [2.10](#page-4-5) and $\int_0^{2\pi} M(\theta) d\theta \lesssim 1.$ \Box

Lemma 4.5. Let $i \leq j$, then

$$
(v, w)_A \lesssim \gamma^{(j-i)\beta} h_i^{-\alpha} h_j^{-\alpha} ||v|| ||w||, \quad v \in V_i, w \in V_j.
$$
 (4.8)

Here we recall that $\gamma \in (0,1)$ is a constant such that $h_k = O(\gamma^k)$.

Proof. For $v \in V_i$, $w \in V_j$, we know that

$$
(v, w)_{A} \leq ||v||_{H^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}||w||_{H^{\alpha-\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \lesssim h_{i}^{-(\alpha+\beta)}||v||h_{j}^{-(\alpha-\beta)}||w||
$$

= $(h_{j}/h_{i})^{\beta}h_{i}^{-\alpha}h_{j}^{-\alpha}||v|| \, ||w|| \lesssim \gamma^{(j-i)\beta}h_{i}^{-\alpha}h_{j}^{-\alpha}||v|| \, ||w||,$

where the first inequality is by Lemma [4.4,](#page-13-1) the second inequality is by Lemma [4.3,](#page-13-0) and the last inequality is by the relation $h_k \approx O(\gamma^k)$. \Box

Lemma 4.6. Let $W_i = (Q_i - Q_{i-1})V$, then

$$
(v, w)_A \lesssim \gamma^{|j - i|\beta} ||v||_A ||w||_A, \quad \forall u \in W_i, v \in W_j.
$$
\n
$$
(4.9)
$$

Proof. By (4.5) and (3.3) , we have

$$
||v|| \lesssim h_k^{\alpha}||v||_A, \quad \forall v \in W_k.
$$

Combining the above inequality with Lemma [4.5](#page-13-2) gives the lemma.

Lemma 4.7. It holds that

$$
(T_i v, T_j w)_A \lesssim \gamma^{|i-j|\beta} (T_i v, v)_A^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_j w, w)_A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v, w \in V.
$$
 (4.10)

Proof. It suffices to prove [\(4.10\)](#page-14-0) holds for $i \leq j$. Assume that $i \leq j$, and then for $v, w \in V$,

$$
(T_i v, T_j w)_A = (R_i A_i P_i v, R_j A_j P_j w)_A
$$

\$\leq \gamma^{(j-i)\beta} h_i^{-\alpha} h_j^{-\alpha} || R_i A_i P_i v || || R_j A_j P_j w ||, \qquad (4.11)

where the inequality is by Lemma [4.5.](#page-13-2)

$$
||R_iA_iP_iv||^2 = (R_iA_iP_iv, R_iA_iP_iv) \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_i}(R_iA_iP_iv, A_iP_iv) = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}(T_iv, v)_A,
$$

where the second equality is by (3.7) and the symmetry of R_k . Then we obtain

$$
||R_i A_i P_i v|| \lesssim \lambda_i^{-1/2} (T_i v, v)_A^{1/2}, \tag{4.12}
$$

and similarly

$$
||R_j A_j P_j w|| \lesssim \lambda_j^{-1/2} (T_j w, w)_A^{1/2}.
$$
\n(4.13)

For $v \in V_k$, we have

$$
(Av, v) = ||v||_A^2 \approx ||v||_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^2 \lesssim h_k^{-2\alpha} ||v||^2,
$$
\n(4.14)

where the second equality is by [\(3.3\)](#page-8-4) and the last inequality is by Lemma [4.3.](#page-13-0) For $w \in V$, $v = (Q_k - Q_{k-1})w \in V_k$, by [\(4.5\)](#page-12-4), we have

$$
h_k^{-2\alpha}||v||^2 \lesssim ||v||_{H^\alpha(\Omega)}^2 \approx (Av, v). \tag{4.15}
$$

By (4.14) and (4.15) , it is not hard to see that

$$
\lambda_k \approx h_k^{-2\alpha}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, J. \tag{4.16}
$$

Combining [\(4.11\)](#page-14-3) with [\(4.12\)](#page-14-4), [\(4.13\)](#page-14-5) and [\(4.16\)](#page-14-6) gives

$$
(T_i v, T_j w)_A \lesssim \gamma^{(j-i)\beta} (T_i v, v)_A^{\frac{1}{2}} (T_j w, w)_A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v, w \in V.
$$

The Lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.8. Let

$$
||v||_M^2 := \sum_{k=1}^J ||(Q_k - Q_{k-1})v||_A^2,
$$
\n(4.17)

and then for $v \in V$, we have

$$
||v||_M \approx ||v||_A.
$$

Proof. It is not hard to see that the space $H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ coincides with $\tilde{H}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ in [\[30\]](#page-22-16). Combining with Theorem 1 of [\[30\]](#page-22-16), we know that $||w||^2_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k^{-2\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{k}e^{-2\alpha}||(Q_k - Q_{k-1})w||^2$ holds for $w \in H_0^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. For $v \in V$, $||(Q_k - Q_{k-1})v||^2 \approx h_k^{2\alpha}||(Q_k - Q_{k-1})v||^2_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}$ by [\(4.14\)](#page-14-1) and [\(4.15\)](#page-14-2). Combining with (3.3) gives the lemma. \Box

Theorem 4.9. We have

$$
K_0 \lesssim 1, \quad K_1 \lesssim 1.
$$

That is to say, our V-cycle multigrid method is optimal, which means that the convergence rate is independent of the mesh size and mesh level.

Proof. For $v \in V$, decompose v as $v = \sum_{i=1}^{J} v_i$ with $v_i = (Q_i - Q_{i-1})v$. By [\(4.5\)](#page-12-4) and [\(3.3\)](#page-8-4) we have $||v_i|| \lesssim h_i^{\alpha} ||v_i||_A$. Furthermore combining [\(3.7\)](#page-9-1) with [\(4.16\)](#page-14-6), we have $(R_i^{-1}v_i, v_i) \lesssim ||v_i||_A^2$. Using Lemma [4.8](#page-14-7) gives $K_0 \lesssim 1$. Finally Combining Lemma [4.7](#page-14-8) with Lemma [3.4](#page-11-2) gives that $K_1 \lesssim 1$.

5 Implementation

For simplicity, in this section, we only consider $l = 1$ in [\(3.4\)](#page-9-2), i.e., V_k , $k = 1, 2, \ldots, J$, are the spaces consisting of the piecewise linear polynomials. Let ϕ_k^i , $i = 1, \ldots, N_k$, be the nodal basis of the finite element space V_k . The implementation are a classical procedure in literature (see e.g., [\[2\]](#page-20-1)), and we here only illustrate how to generate the stiff matrices of the finite element systems and how to choose $R_k : V_k \to V_k$, $k = 2, ..., J$, the approximations of A_k .

5.1 The stiffness matrices and R_k

For A_k , denote its corresponding stiffness matrix by $\tilde{A}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times N_k}$ with entries

$$
(\tilde{A}_k)_{ij} = B(\phi_k^i, \phi_k^j). \tag{5.1}
$$

Since M has the discrete form $M(\theta) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} p_l \delta(\theta - \theta_l),$

$$
(\tilde{A}_k)_{ij} = -\sum_{l=1}^L p_l (D_{\theta_l}^{2\alpha - 1} \phi_k^i, D_{\theta_l + \pi} \phi_k^j) + c(\phi_k^i, \phi_k^j).
$$

We need only discuss how to numerically compute

$$
I_{\theta} = (D_{\theta}^{2\alpha - 1} \phi_k^i, D_{\theta + \pi} \phi_k^j) = (D_{\theta}^{-\nu} D_{\theta} \phi_k^i, D_{\theta + \pi} \phi_k^j)
$$

$$
= \int_{\text{SSup}(\phi_k^j)} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} D_{\theta} \phi_k^i \times D_{\theta + \pi} \phi_k^j dx dy
$$
(5.2)

for a fixed θ , where $\nu = (2 - 2\alpha)$, and then the entries of the stiff matrices can be numerically computed. If $\alpha = 1$, the computation of the stiffness matrices is easy, since the original problem is an integer order one. Now we focus on the case of $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. Define the index set K_i as

$$
K_i = \{l; \tau_k^l \in \mathcal{T}_k, \tau_k^l \subset \text{supp}(\phi_k^i)\}.
$$

Then

$$
I_{\theta} = \sum_{l \in K_j} \int_{\tau_k^l} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} D_{\theta} \phi_k^i \times D_{\theta + \pi} \phi_k^j dx dy
$$

$$
= \sum_{l \in K_j} \sum_{l' \in K_i} \int_{\tau_k^l} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} (\chi_{\tau_k^{l'}} D_{\theta} \phi_k^i) \times D_{\theta + \pi} \phi_k^j dx dy,
$$

Figure 1: Illustration for computing I_{θ}

where for a set S in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$
\chi_S(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & if (x,y) \in S; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}
$$

Noting that $D_{\theta}(\phi_k^i)|_{\tau_k^{l'}}, D_{\theta+\pi}(\phi_k^j)$ $\|f_k\|_{\tau^l_k}$ are both constants, we numerically compute

$$
\int_{\tau_k^l} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x, y) \times \chi_{\tau_k^l}(x, y) dx dy,
$$
\n(5.3)

and then I_θ can be computed. Next we illustrate how to compute the integral in [\(5.3\)](#page-16-0) by an example. On the left of Figure [1](#page-16-1) is Cartesian coordinate systems xOy and $x'Oy'$, and the angle between axes Ox and Ox' is θ. On the right of Figure [1,](#page-16-1) the two triangles are τ_k^l $k_k^{l'}$ and τ_k^l ; D_1, D_2, D_3 denote the corresponding vertices of the triangles; Ω_I , Ω_{II} denote the corresponding shadow areas respectively; lines D_1P_1 and D_3P_2 are both Parallel to axis Oy' ; $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6$ are correspondent angles. Denote the coordinates of D_1, D_2 and D_3 under coordinate system $x'Oy'$ by (x'_{11}, y'_{12}) , (x'_{21}, y'_{22}) and (x'_{31}, y'_{32}) respectively. Then we have

$$
\int_{\tau_k^l} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x, y) \times \chi_{\tau_k^l}(x, y) dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega_I} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x, y) dxdy + \int_{\Omega_{II}} D_{\theta}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x, y) dxdy
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega_I} -\infty D_{x'}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x', y') d x' d y' + \int_{\Omega_{II}} -\infty D_{x'}^{-\nu} \chi_{\tau_k^{l'}}(x', y') d x' d y'
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega_I} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (x' - x_1' + (y_1' - y') \tan \gamma_1)^{\nu} d x' d y'
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{\Omega_I} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (x' - x_1' - (y_1' - y') \tan \gamma_2)^{\nu} d x' d y'
$$
\n
$$
\int_{\Omega_{II}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (x' - x_2' + (y' - y_2') \tan \gamma_3)^{\nu} d x' d y'
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{\Omega_{II}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (x' - x_2' + (y' - y_2') \tan \gamma_4)^{\nu} d x' d y'.
$$

The last four integrals above can be computed directly. Finally we know that the entries of the stiffness matrices can be numerically computed.

We choose R_k as

$$
R_k v = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (v, \phi_k^i) \phi_k^i, \quad v \in V_k,
$$
\n(5.4)

Figure 2: Uniform triangulation

with $\tilde{\lambda}_k \approx \lambda_k h_k^2$. Define mass matrix $M_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times N_k}$ with entries

$$
(M_k)_{ij} = (\phi_k^i, \phi_k^j).
$$

For $v \in V_k$, denote $\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k}$ the vector of coefficients of v in the basis $\{\phi_k^i\}_{i=1}^{N_k}$. It is known that $\tilde{v}^T M_k \tilde{v} \approx h_k^2 \tilde{v}^T \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{v}^T M_k^2 \tilde{v} \approx h_k^2 \tilde{v}^T M_k \tilde{v}$. Hence we have

$$
(R_k v, v) \approx \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \tilde{v}^T M_k^2 \tilde{v} \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \tilde{v}^T M_k \tilde{v} \approx \frac{1}{\lambda_k} (v, v), \tag{5.5}
$$

which means [\(3.7\)](#page-9-1) holds. In the numerical tests, we take $\widetilde{\lambda}_k = \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}(\tilde{A}_k)_{ii}, k = 2, \ldots, J$. It is not hard to verify that $(\tilde{A}_k)_{ii} \approx h_k^{2-2\alpha} \approx h_k^2 \lambda_k$.

5.2 Computation complexity

For the numerical approximation of SFPDEs, one of the key issues is how to reduce the computation complexity. We confine ourself to the case that Ω is a square domain, and of course the technique here is also helpful for effectively designing schemes for the case that Ω is a general domain.

The triangulations \mathcal{T}_k , $k = 1, 2, ..., J$ $k = 1, 2, ..., J$ $k = 1, 2, ..., J$ are those in Figure 2, where dashed curve denote the ellipsis, $n_k = n_0 2^k - 1$, $l_k = l_0 2^k - 1$ with positive integers n_0, l_0 , and p_k^m , $m = 1, \ldots, n_k l_k$ are the interior points. The finite element space $V_k = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : v|_{\tau} \in P_1(\tau), \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_k\}$. Let $\phi_k^m =$ $\phi_k^m(x, y)$, $m = 1, \ldots, n_k l_k$, be the nodal basis functions, i.e., ϕ_k^m is a piecewise linear polynomial whose values are 1 at p_k^m and zeros at other nodes (including interior and exterior nodes).

Denote $U = (U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{n_k}, \ldots, U_{2n_k}, \ldots, U_{l_k n_k})^T$. Next we discuss how to effectively conduct the multiplication of matrix \tilde{A}_k and vector U. Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k-n_k+1})^T \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{(2n_k-1)l_k-n_k+1}$ with

$$
\nu_{(2n_k-1)j+i} = B(\phi_k^1, \phi_k^{jn_k+i}), \ i = 1, \dots, n_k, j = 0, \dots, l_k - 1,
$$

$$
\nu_{(2n_k-1)j-i+2} = B(\phi_k^i, \phi_k^{jn_k+1}), \ i = 2, \dots, n_k, j = 1, \dots, l_k - 1.
$$

Define a symmetric Toeplitz matrix

$$
\tilde{\tilde{A}} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\nu_1 & \nu_2 & \cdots & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k} & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k + 1} \\
\nu_2 & \nu_1 & \cdots & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k - 1} & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k} & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k - 1} & \cdots & \nu_1 & \nu_2 \\
\nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k + 1} & \nu_{(2n_k-1)l_k - n_k} & \cdots & \nu_2 & \nu_1\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Toeplitz matrix, also called diagonal-constant matrix, is a matrix in which each descending diagonal from left to right is a constant.

For any i, j with $1 \le i \le j \le n_k l_k$, let d_i, r_i, d_j, r_j be nonnegative integers satisfying $i = n_k d_i + r_i$, $j = n_k d_j + r_j, \ 1 \le r_i, r_j \le n_k$. Let $j' = (d_j - d_i), i' = |r_j - r_i|$, and then by the property of the operator $B(\cdot, \cdot)$, it is easy to see that

$$
B(\phi_k^i, \phi_k^j) = \begin{cases} B(\phi_k^1, \phi_k^{j'n_k + i' + 1}) = \nu_{j'(2n_k - 1) + i' + 1}, & \text{if } r_j \ge r_i; \\ B(\phi_k^{i' + 1}, \phi_k^{j'n_k + 1}) = \nu_{j'(2n_k - 1) - i' + 1}, & \text{if } r_j < r_i. \end{cases}
$$

And thereby any component of matrix $\tilde{\tilde{A}}$ is also one of vector ν . Define sets

$$
\mathcal{I}_m = \{m(2n_k - 1) + 1, m(2n_k - 1) + 2, \dots, m(2n_k - 1) + n_k\}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, l_k - 1
$$

and $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}}$ $\bigcup_{0 \le m \le l_k-1} \mathcal{I}_m$. We have the relation

$$
\tilde{A}_k = \tilde{\tilde{A}}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}},\tag{5.6}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the sub-matrix of \tilde{A} which consists of entries \tilde{A}_{ij} of \tilde{A} indexed by $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$. Denote $U' \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n_k-1)l_k-n_k+1}$ as

$$
U'=(U_1,\ldots,U_{n_k},\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{n_k-1},U_{n_k+1},\ldots,U_{2n_k},\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{n_k-1},U_{2n_k+1},\ldots,U_{l_kn_k}).
$$

It is not hard to see that

$$
\tilde{A}_k U = (\tilde{\tilde{A}} U')_{\mathcal{I}},
$$

where for a given vector v, $v_{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the vector which consists of entries v_i indexed by $i \in \mathcal{I}$. So the multiplication of the matrix \tilde{A}_k and any vector $U \in R^{n_k l_k}$ can be obtained by conducting the multiplication of the Toeplitz matrix \tilde{A} and $U' \in R^{(2n_k-1)l_k-n_k+1}$. The multiplication of a Toeplitz matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a vector in \mathbb{R}^n can be done with computation complexity $O(n \log n)$. Recall that $N_J = n_J l_J$ denotes the number of the unknowns in the finite element problem [\(3.2\)](#page-8-2), and then by the above analysis, we conclude that for the V-cycle multigrid methods developed in Section [4,](#page-11-0) each iteration needs computation complexity $O(N_I \log N_I)$.

5.3 Numerical results

In this section, we shall present some numerical results to confirm our theoretical findings. In our numerical test, we take $n_0 = l_0 = 4$, and take $N_\theta = 4(n_J + 1)$ if M is a continuous function.

We shall check our V-cycle multigrid method and the preconditioned conjugate gradient algorithm (PCG) with B_J as the preconditioner. Meanwhile, the numerical result for the conjugate gradient algorithm (CG) is also presented for comparison. Our tests are carried out using Matlab software. The stopping criterion of the algorithm is

$$
||u^k - u^{k-1}||_{\infty} \le 10^{-6}.
$$

We present two examples: one is with the probability measure \tilde{M} having a discrete form and the other with \tilde{M} being a continuous function. Table [1](#page-19-0) and Table [2](#page-19-1) list the numerical results for Example [5.1](#page-19-2) and Example [5.2](#page-19-3) respectively, where "DOFs" denotes the degree of freedoms and "Iter" denotes the iterative steps on each level. It is seen that the numbers of iterations of our V-cycle multigrid and PCG per level are bounded independent of the mesh size and mesh level, which confirms our theoretical results.

Figure 3: The CPU time per iteration

Example 5.1. Let $\Omega = [0, 2] \times [0, 2]$, the equation to be solved is

$$
-\frac{1}{4}(-\infty D_x^{1.5} + x D_{\infty}^{1.5} + -\infty D_y^{1.5} + y D_{\infty}^{1.5})u = 1.
$$
\n(5.7)

Level	DOFs	V-cycle	PCG	CG
		Iter	Iter	Iter
	4096	13		58
$\mathbf{5}$	16384	13	6	72
6	65536	13		118
	262144	13		197
Χ	1048576	12		313

Table 1: Numerical results for Example [5.1.](#page-19-2)

Example 5.2. Let $\Omega = [0, 2] \times [0, 2]$ and $M(\theta) = 1$. The equation to be solved is

$$
-D_{\tilde{M}}^{1.5}u = 1.
$$
\n(5.8)

We choose smooth $f(x, y)$ in the examples such that the solutions have singularity near the boundaries. The computation complexity of our multigrid methods are shown in figure [3,](#page-19-4) where "Time" denotes the CPU time (in seconds) spent by one iteration. As can be seen from the figure [3,](#page-19-4) the CPU time of each iteration ia almost linear with respect to the degree of freedoms. So the computation complexity of our multigrid method is also optimal.

	Level	DOFs	V-cycle	PCG	CG
			Iter	Iter	$_{\rm iter}$
		4096	11		50
	5	16384	11	6	69
	6	65536	11	6	115
		262144	11	6	184
		1048576	11		307

Table 2: Numerical results for Example [5.2.](#page-19-3)

Appendix

The Fourier analysis plays critical roles in this paper: for $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Fourier transform of g is the function $\mathcal{F}g$ defined on (the dual of) \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$
\mathcal{F}g(\xi_1,\xi_2)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{-2i\pi(x\xi_1+y\xi_2)}g(x,y)dxdy,
$$

where *i* denotes the imaginary unit; for $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}g$ of g is defined in the following distribution sense (see [\[40\]](#page-22-15)):

$$
(\mathcal{F}g, v) = (g, \mathcal{F}v), \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2),
$$

and more precisely, $\mathcal F$ is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into itself, which satisfies Parseval's formula (see [\[34\]](#page-22-17))

$$
||\mathcal{F}g||=||g||
$$

and

$$
(v,\overline{w})=(\mathcal{F}v,\overline{\mathcal{F}w}),
$$

where \overline{z} denotes the complex conjugate of the complex number z. The Fourier transform of the μ th order fractional derivative consists of the complex in the form $(i\kappa)^{\mu}$ with $\mu > 0, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [\[32\]](#page-22-1)). So it may be a multi-valued function. To guarantee the Fourier transform to be univalent, we express complex variable $z = |z| \exp(i\theta)$, $-\pi \le \theta < \pi$, where $\exp(i\theta) = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$, $|z|$ and θ respectively denote the modulus and the argument of z. Then

$$
(i\kappa)^{\mu} = (\text{sign}(\kappa)i|\kappa|)^{\mu} = (|\kappa| \exp(i\text{sign}(\kappa)\pi/2))^{\mu} = |\kappa|^{\mu} \exp(i\mu \text{sign}(\kappa)\pi/2),
$$

$$
(-i\kappa)^{\mu} = |\kappa|^{\mu} \exp(-i\mu \text{sign}(\kappa)\pi/2).
$$

It is easy to see that, for $\mu > 0$,

$$
\overline{(-i\kappa)^{\mu}} = (i\kappa)^{\mu}, \quad \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
\n(A.1)

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, Sovolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] J. Bramble, Multigrid Methods, Pitman, Boston, 1993.
- [3] J. Bramble, J. Pasciak and J. Xu, The analysis of multigrid algorithm with nonested spaces or noninherited quadratic forms, Math. Comp., 56 (1991), pp. 1-34.
- [4] J. Bramble, J. Pasciak and P. Vassilevski, Computational scales of Sovolev norms with application to preconditioning, Math. Comp., (69) 1999, pp. 463-480.
- [5] B. Beumer, M. Kovcs and M. M. Meerschaert, Numerical solutions for fractional reaction diffusion equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 55 (2008), pp. 2212-2226.
- [6] S. Brenner and L. Scott, The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [7] P. Butzer and H. Berens, Semi-groups of Operators and Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967.
- [8] R. Chan and X. Jin, An Introduction to Iterative Toeplitz Solvers, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2007.
- [9] R. Chan and M. Ng, Conjugate gradient methods for Toeplitz systems, SIAM Rev., 38 (1996), pp. 427-482.
- [10] P. Ciarlet, The Finite Element Methods for Elliptic Problems, North-Holland, New York, 1978.
- [11] M. Cui, Compact finite difference method for the fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 228 (2009), pp. 7792-7804.
- [12] W. Deng, Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2008), pp. 204-226.
- [13] M. Dryja and O. Widlund, Towards a unified theory of domain decomposition algorithms for elliptic problems, in Domain decomposition Method for Partial Differential Equations, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1990. Written in English.
- [14] V. Ervin, N. Heuer and J. Roop, Numerical approximation of a time dependent, nonlinear, spacefractional diffusion equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 45 (2007), pp. 572-591.
- [15] V. Ervin and J. Roop, Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, Numer. Meth. P.D.E., 22 (2006), pp. 558-576.
- [16] V. Ervin and J. Roop, Variational solution of the fractional advection dispersion equation on bounded domains in R^d , Numer. Meth. P.D.E., 23 (2007), pp. 256-281.
- [17] S. Lei and H. Sun, A circulant preconditioner for fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 242 (2013), pp. 715-725.
- [18] T. Langlands and B. Henry, The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 205 (2005), pp. 719-736.
- [19] X. Li and C. Xu, The existence and uniqueness of the weak solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation and a spectral method approximation, Commun. Comput. Phys., 8 (2010), pp. 1016-1051.
- [20] F. Lin, S. Yang and X. Jin, Preconditioned iterative methods for fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 256 (2014), pp. 109-117.
- [21] Y. Lin and C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), pp. 1533-1552.
- [22] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, Nonhomogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I, Springer, Berlin (1972)
- [23] F. Liu, V. Anh and I. Turner, Numerical solution of the space fractional Fokker-Planck equation, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 166 (2004), pp. 209-219.
- [24] M. Meerschaert, D. Benson and B. Baumer, Multidimensional advection and fractional dispersion, Phys. Rev. E., 59 (1999), pp. 5026-5028.
- [25] M. Meerschaert, J. Mortensen and H. Scheffler, Vector Grunwald formula for fractional derivatives, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 7 (2004), pp. 61-82.
- [26] M. Meerschaert and C. Tadjeran, Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations, Appl. Numer. Math., 56 (2006), pp. 80-90.
- [27] M. Meerschaert, H. Scheffler and C. Tadjeran, Finite difference methods for two-dimensional fractional dispersion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 211 (2006), pp. 249-261.
- [28] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach, Phys. Rep., 339 (2000), pp. 1-77.
- [29] E. Di. Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012), pp. 521-573.
- [30] P. Oswald, Multilevel norms for $H^{-1/2}$, Computing, 61 (1998), pp. 235-255.
- [31] H. Pang and H. Sun, Multigrid method for fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 231 (2012), pp. 693-703.
- [32] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [33] J. Roop, Computational aspects of FEM approximation of fractional advection dispersion equations on bounded domains in R2, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 193 (2006), pp. 243-268.
- [34] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [35] S. Samko, A. Kilbas and O. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.
- [36] E. Sousa, Finite difference approximates for a fractional advection diffusion problem, J. Comput. Phys. 228 (2009), pp. 4038-4054.
- [37] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33 (2013), pp. 2105-2137.
- [38] B. Smith, P. Bjorstad and W. Gropp, Domain Decomposition: Parallel Multilevel Methods for Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [39] C. Tadjeran, M. Meerschaert and H. Scheffler, A second-order accurate numerical approximation for the fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 213 (2006), pp. 205-213.
- [40] L. Tartar, An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Interpolation Spaces. Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [41] H. Wang, K. Wang and T. Sircar, Adirect $O(N \log^2 N)$ finite difference method for fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 229 (2010), pp. 8095-8104.
- [42] H. Wang and K. Wang, An $O(N \log^2 N)$ alternating-direction finite difference method for twodimensional fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 21 (2011), pp 7830-7839.
- [43] H. Wang and N. Du, Fast alternating-direction finite difference methods for three-dimensional spacefractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 258 (2014), pp 305-318.
- [44] K. Wang and H. Wang, A fast characteristic finite difference method for fractional advection-diffusion equations, Adv. Water Resour, 34 (2011), pp. 810-816.
- [45] H. Wang and N. Du, A superfast-preconditioned iterative method for steady-state space-fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys., 240 (2013), pp. 49-57.
- [46] H. Wang and N. Du, A fast finite difference method for three-dimensional time-dependent spacefractional diffusion equations and its efficient implementation, J. Comput. Phys., 253 (2013), pp. 50-63.
- [47] J. Xu, Iterative methods by space decomposition and subspace correction, SIAM Rev., 34 (1992), pp. 581-613.
- [48] J. Xu, Theory of multilevel methods, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, Rep, AM-48, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 1989.
- [49] Z. Zhou and H. Wu, Finite element multigrid method for the boundary value problem of fractional advection dispersion equation, J. Appl. Math., Volume 2013, Article ID 385463, 8 pages.