

Recursion-transform method on computing the complex resistor network with three arbitrary boundaries

Zhi-Zhong Tan *

Department of Physics, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China

(Dated: August 19, 2018)

Abstract

We perfect the recursion-transform method to be a complete theory, which can derive the general exact resistance between any two nodes in a resistor network with several arbitrary boundaries. As application of the method, we give a profound example to illuminate the usefulness on calculating resistance of a nearly $m \times n$ resistor network with a null resistor and three arbitrary boundaries, which has never been solved before since the Greens function technique and the Laplacian matrix approach are invalid in this case. Looking for the exact solutions of resistance is important but difficult in the case of the arbitrary boundary since the boundary is a wall or trap which affects the behavior of finite network. For the first time, seven general formulae of resistance between any two nodes in a nearly $m \times n$ resistor network in both finite and infinite cases are given by our theory. In particular, we give eight special cases by reducing one of general formulae to understand its application and meaning.

Key words: resistor network, recursion-transform, matrix equation, boundary condition

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 84.30.Bv, 89.20.Ff, 02.10.Yn, 89.20.-a, 01.55.+b

* E-mail: tanz@ntu.edu.cn ; tanzzh@163.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The computation of the two-point resistance in networks is a classical problem in circuit theory and graph theory since the German scientist Kirchhoff found the node current law and the circuit voltage law in 1845[1]. Modeling the resistor network has become a basic method to solve a series of complicated problems in the fields of engineering, science and technology. Such as the computation of resistances is relevant to a wide range of problems ranging from classical transport in disordered media [2], first-passage processes [3], lattice Greens functions [4], random walks [5], resistance distance [6,7], to hybrid graph theory[8]. For an up-to-date list of references, see Ref.[9]. Modeling the resistor network can also help to carry on the research of exact finite-size corrections in critical systems [10-15]. However, it is usually very difficult to obtain the exact resistance in a complex resistor networks with arbitrary boundary since the boundary is a wall or trap which affects the behavior of finite network. It requires not only the circuit theory but also the innovation of mathematical theories and methods [16-27]. The construction of and research on the models of resistor networks therefore make sense for theories and applications.

For the explicit computation of two-point resistances in a resistor network, Cserti [16] evaluated the two-point resistance using the lattice Greens function. His study is confined to regular lattices of infinite size. In 2004 Wu [17] formulated a different approach and derived an expression for the two-point resistance in arbitrary finite and infinite lattices in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Laplacian matrix. The Laplacian analysis has also been extended to impedance networks after a slight modification of the formulation of [18]. The Laplacian method has solved a variety of types of resistance network with the normal boundary, besides the previous cases [17], there are also the recent Tan-Zhou-Yang conjecture [19], and a globe [20]. But the applications of the Laplacian approach require a complete knowledge of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Laplacian straightforward to obtain for regular lattices. However, the actual computation depends crucially on the geometry of the network and, for nonregular lattices such as a network with an arbitrary boundary, it is difficult to solve the eigenvalue problem with arbitrary elements.

In recent years, a new method is created by us [21,22], which is easy to solve the problem of nonregular lattices of cobweb and globe[22-25]. This methods splits the derivation into three parts. The first creates a recursion relation (expressed by matrix) between the current




FIG. 1: An $m \times n$ resistor network with an null resistor and three arbitrary boundaries. Bonds in the horizontal and vertical directions are resistors r and r_0 except for four boundaries.

distributions on three successive vertical lines. The second part diagonalizes the matrix relation to produce a recurrence relation involving only variables on the same transverse line. The third part derives a recursion relation between the current distributions on the boundary. Basically, the method reduces the problem from two dimensions to one dimension. Here we refer to this method as the recursion-transform approach (call it R-T method).

Using the R-T method to compute the equivalent resistance relies on just one matrix along one direction, and the resistance is expressed by single summation [21-27]. Especially, the R-T method is always valid to calculate the resistance when two opposing boundaries change. For example, the R-T method is used solving a cobweb network in 2013[21, 22], next a globe network [23], and a fen and a cobweb network [24]. very recently a cobweb network with $2r$ boundary is solved [25], and a hammock network with free boundary is solved[26], and a resistor network with an arbitrary boundary is solved by the R-T method [27] .

However the original R-T method is still in its infancy, which has to be perfected. In real life the boundary conditions of the resistor network are often complicated. This paper focus on perfecting the R-T method to be a complete theory, which can derive the general resistance between any two nodes in the nearly $m \times n$ resistor network with arbitrary boundaries. As applications of the R-T method, we gives three examples to illuminate the usefulness on calculating resistance of a resistor network, and seven general formulae of the resistance between any two nodes in an $m \times n$ resistor network in three cases are given. It

shows the R-T method is very interesting and useful to solve the problem which have never been solved before.

2.A GENERAL THEORY AND APPROACH

Fig.1 is called a nearly rectangular $m \times n$ resistor network with an null resistor and three arbitrary boundaries, where r and r_0 are, respectively, resistors in the horizontal and vertical directions except for four boundaries, and where m and n are, respectively, the number of meshes along vertical and horizontal directions. Obviously, this model is multipurpose in real life, which has extensive application value. We refer the problem to state the general recursion-transform method.

2.1 Overall train of thought and design

We consider A_0 is the origin of the rectangular coordinate system, then the left edge act as Y axis and the bottom edge as X axis as shown in Fig.1. Denote nodes of the network by coordinate (x, y) . Assuming the electric current J is constant and goes from the input $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ to the output $d_2(x_2, y_2)$. Denote the currents in all segments of the network as shown in Fig.2. The resulting currents passing through all $m + 1$ row horizontal resistance of r respectively are: $I_{x,k}^{(1)}, I_{x,k}^{(2)}, I_{x,k}^{(3)}, \dots, I_{x,k}^{(m)}$, ($0 \leq k \leq m$); the resulting currents passing through all $n + 1$ row vertical resistance of r_0 respectively are: $I_k^{(1)}, I_k^{(2)}, I_k^{(3)}, \dots, I_k^{(m)}$, ($0 \leq k \leq n$).

To find the resistance $R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2)$ we can use Ohm's law to realize it. All nodes on the bottom boundary can be uniformly called O as the resistor of the bottom boundary are all zero. The voltages between the two nodes O and d_1 , and the two nodes O and d_2 are respectively

$$U_{m \times n}(O, d_1) = r_0 \sum_{i=1}^{y_1} I_{x_1}^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{m \times n}(O, d_2) = r_0 \sum_{i=1}^{y_2} I_{x_2}^{(i)}.$$

Using Ohm's law, we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{r_0}{J} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{y_2} I_{x_2}^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{y_1} I_{x_1}^{(i)} \right) \quad (1)$$

How to solve the current parameters $I_{x_1}^{(i)}$ and $I_{x_2}^{(i)}$ is the key to the problem. We are going to solve the problem by modeling matrix equation in terms of Kirchhoffs law.




FIG. 2: The sub-network model containing the directions of currents

2.2 Modeling the matrix equation

A segment of the rectangular network is shown in Fig.2. Using Kirchhoffs law (KCL and KVL) to study the resistor network, the nodes current equations and the meshes voltage equations can be achieved from Fig.2. We focus on the four rectangular meshes and nine nodes, this gives the relation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{k+1}^{(1)} &= (2 + h)I_k^{(1)} - hI_k^{(2)} - I_{k-1}^{(1)}, \quad i = 1 \\
 I_{k+1}^{(i)} &= (2 + 2h)I_k^{(i)} - hI_k^{(i-1)} - hI_k^{(i+1)} - I_{k-1}^{(i)}, \quad 1 < i < m \\
 I_{k+1}^{(m)} &= (2 + h + h_3)I_k^{(m)} - hI_k^{(m-1)} - I_{k-1}^{(m)}, \quad i = m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $h_k = r_k/r_0$ and $h = r/r_0$. Equation (2) can be written in a matrix form after considering the input and output current

$$\mathbf{I}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}_{m \times m} \mathbf{I}_k - \mathbf{I}_{k-1} - \mathbf{J} \mathbf{H}_x \delta_{k,x}, \tag{3}$$

where \mathbf{I}_k and \mathbf{H}_x are respectively $m \times 1$ column matrix, and reads

$$\mathbf{I}_k = [I_k^{(1)}, I_k^{(2)}, I_k^{(3)}, \dots, I_k^{(m)}]^T, \tag{4}$$

$$(H_1)_i = h(-\delta_{i,y_1} + \delta_{i,y_1+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (H_2)_i = h(\delta_{i,y_2} - \delta_{i,y_2+1}). \tag{5}$$

where $[\]^T$ denotes matrix transposes, and $(H_k)_i$ is the elements of \mathbf{H}_x with the injection of current J at $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and the exit of current J at $d_2(x_2, y_2)$. And

$$\mathbf{A}_m = \begin{pmatrix} 2+h & -h & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -h & 2+2h & -h & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -h & 2+2h & -h \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -h & 2+h+h_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

Next let us consider the boundary conditions of the left and right edges in the network. Applying Kirchoff's laws (*KCL* and *KVL*) to a single mesh adjacent to each of the left and right boundaries we obtain two matrix equations to model the boundary currents,

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = [\mathbf{A}_m - (2 - h_1)\mathbf{E}]\mathbf{I}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_1\mathbf{I}_0 + \mathbf{I}_2 = \mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{I}_1. \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{n-1} = [\mathbf{A}_m - (2 - h_2)\mathbf{E}]\mathbf{I}_n \quad \text{and} \quad h_2\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{I}_{n-2} = \mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{I}_{n-1}. \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{E} is the $m \times m$ identity matrix, matrix \mathbf{A}_m is given by (6) .

Above Eqs.(3)~(8) are all equations we need to calculate the equivalent resistance of the $m \times n$ resistor network with three arbitrary boundaries.

2.3 Approach of the matrix transformation

How to solve the matrix equation (3) is the key to the problem. In this section we rebuild a new difference equation and solve Eq.(3) indirectly by means of matrix transformations.

Firstly we consider the solution of (3) in the absence of an injected current, namely, $J = 0$. The eigenvalues t_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of \mathbf{A}_m are the m solutions of the equation

$$\det |\mathbf{A}_m - t_i\mathbf{E}| = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since \mathbf{A}_m is a special tridiagonal matrix which is Hermitianit can be diagonalized by a similarity transformation to yield

$$\mathbf{P}_m\mathbf{A}_m(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1} = \mathbf{T}_m, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_m = \text{diag}\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues t_i of \mathbf{A}_m in the diagonal and column vectors of $(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1}$ are eigenvectors of \mathbf{A}_m .

To find the exact eigenvalues t_i and the exact eigenvectors of the transformation matrix $(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1}$ is the key to the problem. Normally, it is difficult to find them. However, we can obtain the exact eigenvalues and the exact eigenvectors in the case of $h_3 = \{0, h, 2h\}$. These will be examples in the following section.

We apply \mathbf{P}_m on the left-hand side of (3) and write

$$\mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{I}_{k+1} = \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{I}_k - \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{I}_{k-1} - J \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{H}_x \delta_{k,x}. \quad (11)$$

By (10) and (11) we define

$$\mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{I}_k = \mathbf{X}_k \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{I}_k = \mathbf{P}_m^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k \quad (12)$$

where \mathbf{X}_m is a $m \times 1$ column matrix, and reads

$$\mathbf{X}_k = [X_k^{(1)}, X_k^{(2)}, \dots, X_k^{(i)}, \dots, X_k^{(m)}]^T. \quad (13)$$

Thus we obtain a new matrix equation after making use of (11) and (12),

$$\mathbf{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{T}_m \mathbf{X}_k - \mathbf{X}_{k-1} - J \mathbf{P}_m \mathbf{H}_x \delta_{k,x}. \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) is much simpler than (3) as it is a linear equation easily derived. Once $X_k^{(i)}$ is solved that all things are easy. Next we conduct the same matrix transformation used in (11) to (7) and (8). Applying \mathbf{P}_m to (7) and (8) on the left-hand sides, we are led to

$$X_1^{(i)} = (t_i + h_1 - 2)X_0^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 X_0^{(i)} + X_2^{(i)} = t_i X_1^{(i)}. \quad (15)$$

$$X_{n-1}^{(i)} = (t_i + h_2 - 2)X_n^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 X_n^{(i)} + X_{n-2}^{(i)} = t_i X_{n-1}^{(i)}. \quad (16)$$

According to the above equations we therefore obtain $X_{x_1}^{(i)}$ and $X_{x_2}^{(i)}$ after some algebra and reduction the two solutions needed in our resistance calculation (1).

2.4 Derivation of the resistance formula

According to Eq.(1), the key currents $I_{x_1}^{(i)}$ and $I_{x_2}^{(i)}$ must be calculated for deducing the equivalent resistance $R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2)$. By (12) we have $\mathbf{I}_k = \mathbf{P}_m^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k$, then we could easily obtain $I_{x_1}^{(i)}$ and $I_{x_2}^{(i)}$. Finally, substituting $I_{x_1}^{(i)}$ and $I_{x_2}^{(i)}$ into (1), we therefore obtain the resistance $R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2)$.

Obviously, the above method of computation is simple and clear, and is easy to understand, which has good theoretical significance and application value for the science and technology. As applications, we give seven new formulae by the following three examples in terms of our R-T method, which have not been solved before.

3. THREE APPLICATION EXAMPLES

In order to facilitate the expression of the equivalent resistance of resistor network, we define variables $F_k^{(i)}$, $\beta_{k,s}^{(i)}$, $G_n^{(i)}$, $S_{k,i}$, and t_i by

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^{(i)} &= (\lambda_i^k - \bar{\lambda}_i^k)/(\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}_i), \Delta F_k^{(i)} = F_{k+1}^{(i)} - F_k^{(i)}, \\ \beta_{k,s}^{(i)} &= [\Delta F_{x_k}^{(i)} + (h_1 - 1)\Delta F_{x_k-1}^{(i)}][\Delta F_{n-x_s}^{(i)} + (h_2 - 1)\Delta F_{n-x_s-1}^{(i)}], \\ G_n^{(i)} &= F_{n+1}^{(i)} + (h_2 - 1)(h_1 - 1)F_{n-1}^{(i)} + (h_1 + h_2 - 2)F_n^{(i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$h_k = r_k/r_0 (k = 1, 2, 3), \quad h = r/r_0; \quad S_{k,i} = \sin(y_k \theta_i), \quad (18)$$

$$t_i = 2(1 + h) - 2h \cos \theta_i, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3 \dots, m), \quad (19)$$

where $\lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_i = 1$, and $\lambda_i, \bar{\lambda}_i$ are for later uses expressed as

$$\lambda_i = 1 + h - h \cos \theta_i + \sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta_i)^2 - 1} \quad (20)$$

Note that θ_i is different in different cases, which will be determined by the different value of h_3 . That is to say θ_i depends on h_3 .

3.1 Example 1 : The main result in the case of $h_3 = 0$

When $h_3 = 0$, from (9) and (10) we have $\theta_i = (i - 1)\pi/m$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) (see [23]), and

$$\mathbf{P}_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & \cdots & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

The inverse matrix is $(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1} = \frac{2}{m}[\mathbf{P}_m]^T$, where $[]^T$ denotes matrix transpose.

The equivalent resistance between any two nodes $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ in the $m \times n$ resistor network can be written as ($n \geq 1$)

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{h_1 h_2 (y_2 - y_1)^2}{m[(n-1)h_1 h_2 + h_1 + h_2]} r_0 + \frac{r_0}{m} \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{\beta_{1,1} S_{1,i}^2 - 2\beta_{1,2} S_{1,i} S_{2,i} + \beta_{2,2} S_{2,i}^2}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) G_n^{(i)}}, \quad (22)$$

where $S_{k,i} = \sin(y_k \theta_i)$, $\theta_i = (i-1)\pi/m$.

In particular, when $n \rightarrow \infty, x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \infty$ with $x_1 - x_2$ finite, we have result in semi-infinite case,

$$R_{m \times \infty}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{r}{m} \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2\bar{\lambda}_i^{|x_2 - x_1|} S_1 S_2}{\sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta_i)^2 - 1}}, \quad (23)$$

3.2 Example 2 : The main result in the case of $h_3 = h$

When $h_3 = h$, from (9) and (10) we have $\theta_i = (2i-1)\pi/(2m+1)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) (see [24]), and

$$\mathbf{P}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

The inverse matrix is $(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1} = \frac{4}{2m+1} [\mathbf{P}_m]^T$.

The equivalent resistance between any two nodes $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ in the $m \times n$ resistor network can be written as ($n \geq 1$)

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r_0}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_{1,1}^{(i)} S_{1,i}^2 - 2\beta_{1,2}^{(i)} S_{1,i} S_{2,i} + \beta_{2,2}^{(i)} S_{2,i}^2}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) G_n^{(i)}}, \quad (25)$$

where $S_{k,i} = \sin(y_k \theta_i)$, $\theta_i = (2i-1)\pi/(2m+1)$.

In particular, when $n \rightarrow \infty, x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \infty$ with $x_1 - x_2$ finite, we have result in semi-infinite case,

$$R_{m \times \infty}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2\bar{\lambda}_i^{|x_2 - x_1|} S_1 S_2}{\sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta_i)^2 - 1}}, \quad (26)$$

3.3 Example 3 : The main result in the case of $h_3 = 2h$

When $h_3 = 2h$, from (9) and (10) we have $\theta_i = (2i - 1)\pi/(2m)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) (see [25]), and

$$\mathbf{P}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_1 \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cos(1 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cos(2 - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m & \cdots & \cos(m - \frac{1}{2})\theta_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

The inverse matrix is $(\mathbf{P}_m)^{-1} = \frac{2}{m}[\mathbf{P}_m]^T$. Note that although (24) and (27) have the same structure, but in which the elements θ_i are different from each other.

The equivalent resistance between any two nodes $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ in the $m \times n$ resistor network can be written as ($n \geq 1$)

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{r_0}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_{1,1}^{(i)} S_{1,i}^2 - 2\beta_{1,2}^{(i)} S_{1,i} S_{2,i} + \beta_{2,2}^{(i)} S_{2,i}^2}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) G_n^{(i)}}, \quad (28)$$

where $S_{k,i} = \sin(y_k \theta_i)$, $\theta_i = (2i - 1)\pi/(2m)$.

In particularly, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \infty$ with $x_1 - x_2$ finite, we have result in semi-infinite case,

$$R_{m \times \infty}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{r}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2\bar{\lambda}_i^{|x_2 - x_1|} S_1 S_2}{\sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta_i)^2 - 1}}. \quad (29)$$

3.4 Example 4 : A general formula of the infinite resistor network

when $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, but $x_1 - x_2$ and $y_1 - y_2$ are finite, by (23), (26) and (29) we convert sums to integral by means of the limit,

$$R_{\infty \times \infty}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{r}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_\theta^{|x_2 - x_1|} \cos(y_1 - y_2)\theta}{\sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta)^2 - 1}}, \quad (30)$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_\theta = 1 + h - h \cos \theta - \sqrt{(1 + h - h \cos \theta)^2 - 1}$.

Please note that (30) is from different cases of $h_3 = \{0, h, 2h\}$. However, we will fully understand the fact since the resistance of the infinite resistor network has nothing to do with the boundary conditions. Thus formula (30) is a general formula of the infinite resistor network with four arbitrary boundaries.

4. APPLICATIONS OF RESISTANCE FORMULA (25)

The above formulae obtained in this paper are too complicated to understand, we will give several special cases of (25) to understand its application and meaning. When h_1 and h_2 , m or n are special number values, we have the following special cases.

Case 1. When $d_1 = (x_1, 0)$ is at bottom edge, and $d_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ is an arbitrary node, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r_0}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\beta_{2,2}^{(i)}}{G_n^{(i)}} \right) \frac{\sin^2(y_2 \theta_i)}{1 - \cos \theta_i}. \quad (31)$$

Case 2. When d_1 and d_2 are both on the left edge at $d_1 = (0, y_1)$ and $d_2 = (0, y_2)$, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r_1}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\Delta F_n^{(i)} + (h_2 - 1)\Delta F_{n-1}^{(i)}}{G_n^{(i)}} \right) \frac{(S_{1,i} - S_{2,i})^2}{1 - \cos \theta_i}. \quad (32)$$

Cases 3. When $n \rightarrow \infty$, with $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times \infty}(\{0, y_1\}, \{0, y_2\}) = \frac{2r_1}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i + h_1 - 1} \right) \frac{(S_{1,i} - S_{2,i})^2}{1 - \cos \theta_i}. \quad (33)$$

Cases 4. When $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, with $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, from (33) we have

$$R_{\infty \times \infty}(\{0, y_1\}, \{0, y_2\}) = \frac{r_1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{(\lambda_\theta - 1)[1 - \cos(y_1 - y_2)\theta]}{(\lambda_\theta + h_1 - 1)(1 - \cos \theta)} d\theta. \quad (34)$$

Case 5. When $d_1 = (0, y_1)$ is at the left edge, and $d_2 = (n, y_2)$ is at the right edge, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(\{0, y_1\}, \{n, y_2\}) = \frac{2r_0}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{h_1 \alpha_{2,n} S_{1,i}^2 + h_2 \alpha_{1,n} S_{2,i}^2 - 2h_1 h_2 S_{1,i} S_{2,i}}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) G_n^{(i)}}, \quad (35)$$

where $\alpha_{k,n}^{(i)} = \Delta F_n^{(i)} + (h_k - 1)\Delta F_{n-1}^{(i)}$.

Case 6. When d_1 and d_2 are both on the same horizontal axis at $d_1 = (x_1, y)$ and $d_2 = (x_2, y)$, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r_0}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\beta_{1,1} - 2\beta_{1,2} + \beta_{2,2}}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) G_n^{(i)}} \right) \sin^2(y \theta_i). \quad (36)$$

Case 7. When $h_1 = h_2 = 1$, the network degrades into a fan network [24], from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{2r_0}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_{1,1}^{(i)} S_{1,i}^2 - 2\beta_{1,2}^{(i)} S_{1,i} S_{2,i} + \beta_{2,2}^{(i)} S_{2,i}^2}{(1 - \cos \theta_i) F_{n+1}^{(i)}}, \quad (37)$$

where $\beta_{k,s}^{(i)}$ reduces to $\beta_{k,s}^{(i)} = \Delta F_{x_k}^{(i)} \Delta F_{n-x_s}^{(i)}$. Note that the fan network has been resolved by [24] in 2014, formula (37) is completely equivalent to the result in [24]. Obviously the result in [24] is our special case in formula (25).

Case 8. When $h_2 = 0$, from (25) we have

$$R_{m \times n}(d_1, d_2) = \frac{4r}{2m+1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_{1,1}^{(i)} S_{1,i}^2 - 2\beta_{1,2}^{(i)} S_{1,i} S_{2,i} + \beta_{2,2}^{(i)} S_{2,i}^2}{\Delta F_n^{(i)} + (h_1 - 1) \Delta F_{n-1}^{(i)}}, \quad (38)$$

where $\beta_{k,s}^{(i)}$ is defined as $\beta_{k,s}^{(i)} = [\Delta F_{x_k}^{(i)} + (h_1 - 1) \Delta F_{x_k-1}^{(i)}] F_{n-x_s}^{(i)}$.

The above eight cases are discovered for the first time, which shows our formulae (22), (25) and (28) have good application value and can deduce a lot of new results.

5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

This paper perfect the infantile R-T method to be a complete theory, which can derive the general resistance between any two nodes in the nearly $m \times n$ resistor network with arbitrary boundaries. As applications of the R-T method, we give three examples to illuminate the usefulness on calculating resistance of a resistor network, and seven general formulae of the resistance between any two nodes in an $m \times n$ resistor network are given by the R-T method, which is mainly composed of the characteristic roots, and is simpler and can be easier to use in practice. Our three examples can't be solved by other methods such as Greens function technique and the Laplacian approach. This shows the R-T method is very interesting and useful to solve the problem of complicated resistor network.

In particular, our results (22), (25), (28) and (30) are four general formulae, from them we can deduce many simple results. Such as when we take special values of $h_1 = h_2 = 1$, the general formula (25) immediately reduce to result in [24]. Formula (30) is a new general result of the infinite resistor network with arbitrary boundary, which is much more concise and meaningful than the result in [16] and [17] since it is expressed by a single integral rather than a double integral.

In addition, a very important usefulness is that the R-T method can be extended to impedance networks, since the Ohm's law based on which the method is formulated is applicable to impedances. In addition, the grid elements r and r_0 can be either a resistor or

impedance, we can therefore study the $m \times n$ complex impedance networks, such as

$$r = i\omega L + \frac{R}{1 + i\omega CR}, \quad r_0 = i\omega L_0 + \frac{R_0}{1 + i\omega C_0 R_0}.$$

Based on the plural analysis, the formula of the equivalent impedances of the $m \times n$ *RLC* network with multivariate boundary can be obtained.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Jiangsu Province Education Science 12-5 Plan Project (No. D/2013/01/048). We thank Professor F. Y. Wu and Professor J.W. Essam for giving some useful guiding suggestions.

References

-
- [1] G. Kirchhoff, Ann. Phys. Chem. **148**, 497 (1847).
 - [2] S.Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1973, **45**, 574; B.Derrida, J.Vannimenus, J. Phys. A, 1982, **15**, L557; A.B. Harris, T.C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. B, 1987, **35**, 6964.
 - [3] S. Redner, A Guide to First-Passage Processes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
 - [4] S. Katsura, T. Morita, S. Inawashiro, T. Horiguchi, Y. Abe, J. Math. Phys., 1971, **12**, 892.
 - [5] P. G. Doyle and J.L. Snell, Random Walks and Electrical Networks, The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1984.
 - [6] D.J. Klein and M.Randi, Resistance distance, J. Math. Chem. **12**,8195 (1993).
 - [7] W.J. Xiao and I. Gutman, Theory Chem. Acc. **110**,2849 (2003).
 - [8] Ladislav Novak, Alan Gibbons, Hybrid Graph Theory and Network Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009
 - [9] N. Sh. Izmailian and M.C.Huang, Phys. Rev. E. **82**,011125 (2010)
 - [10] N. Sh. Izmailian and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. E **76**, 041118 (2007).
 - [11] J. P. Keating, N. Linden, J. C. F. Matthews, and A. Winter, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012315 (2007)
 - [12] Goodrich, Carl P; Liu, Andrea J, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**,095704, 2012.
 - [13] N. Sh. Izmailian, Ralph Kenna, Physical Review E **84**, 021107 (2011).

- [14] N. Sh. Izmailian, V. B. Priezzhev, P. Ruelle, and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 260602 (2005).
- [15] Y. Kong, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 016106 (2006); Phys. Rev. E **74**, 011102 (2006).
- [16] J. Cserti, Am. J. Phys. **68**, 896 (2000).
- [17] F.Y. Wu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**,6653 (2004).
- [18] W.J .Tzeng, F. Y. Wu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 8579 (2006).
- [19] N. Sh. Izmailian, R. Kenna and F.Y.Wu. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**,035003(2014)
- [20] N Sh Izmailian and R Kenna, J. Stat. Mech.1742-5468 /09 /P09016 (2014).
- [21] Zhi-Zhong Tan, Resistance network Model. (Xidian Univ. Press, Xi'an 2011) (in Chinese).
- [22] Zhi-Zhong Tan, L.Zhou, J. H .Yang, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**,195202 (2013)
- [23] Zhi-Zhong Tan. J. W. Essam and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. E. **90**, 012130 (2014).
- [24] J. W. Essam, Zhi-Zhong Tan, F. Y. Wu. Phys. Rev. E. **90**, 032130 (2014).
- [25] Zhi-Zhong Tan, J.H.Fang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **63** 36-44 (2015) (arXiv:1407.3693v1, 2014)
- [26] J. W. Essam, N. Sh. Izmailian, R. Kenna and Zhi-Zhong Tan. arXiv:1411.1916v1, 2014.
- [27] Zhi-Zhong Tan, Chin. Phys. B. **24** 020503 (2015).