

On the Existence of Rightly Continuous in H Leray-Hopf Solutions for the 3D Navier-Stokes Equations

December 14, 2018

Nataliia V. Gorban¹, Pavlo O. Kasyanov², and Luisa Toscano³.

Abstract

In this note we prove that for each finite time interval $[\tau, T]$ and each initial data y_τ from the standard phase space H there exists a Leray-Hopf solution y on $[\tau, T]$ of the 3D Navier-Stokes system such that $y(\tau) = y_\tau$ and y is rightly continuous at each $t \in [\tau, T]$ in the space H endowed with the strong convergence topology. Moreover, the real function $t \rightarrow \|y(t)\|_H^2$ has a bounded total variation on $[\tau, T]$. The stronger, than Leray-Hopf one, energy inequalities for such solutions are obtained.

1 Introduction and Main Result

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with rather smooth boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$, and $[\tau, T]$ be a fixed time interval with $-\infty < \tau < T < +\infty$. We consider 3D Navier-Stokes system in $\Omega \times [\tau, T]$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y = -\nabla p + f, & \operatorname{div} y = 0, \\ y|_\Gamma = 0, & y|_{t=\tau} = y_\tau, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $y(x, t)$ means the unknown velocity, $p(x, t)$ the unknown pressure, $f(x, t)$ the given exterior force, and $y_\tau(x)$ the given initial velocity with $t \in [\tau, T]$, $x \in \Omega$, $\nu > 0$ means the viscosity constant.

Throughout this note we consider generalized setting of Problem (1.1). For this purpose define the usual function spaces

$$\mathcal{V} = \{u \in (C_0^\infty(\Omega))^3 : \operatorname{div} u = 0\}, \quad V_\sigma = \operatorname{cl}_{(H_0^\sigma(\Omega))^3} \mathcal{V}, \quad \sigma \geq 0,$$

where cl_X denotes the closure in the space X . Set $H := V_0$, $V := V_1$. It is well known that each V_σ , $\sigma > 0$, is a separable Hilbert space and identifying H and its dual H^* we have $V_\sigma \subset H \subset V_\sigma^*$ with dense and

¹Institute for Applied System Analysis, National Technical University of Ukraine “Kyiv Polytechnic Institute”, Peremogy ave., 37, build, 35, 03056, Kyiv, Ukraine, nata_gorban@i.ua

²Institute for Applied System Analysis, National Technical University of Ukraine “Kyiv Polytechnic Institute”, Peremogy ave., 37, build, 35, 03056, Kyiv, Ukraine, kasyanov@i.ua.

³University of Naples “Federico II”, Dep. Math. and Appl. R.Caccioppoli, via Claudio 21, 80125 Naples, Italy, luisatoscano@libero.it

compact embedding for each $\sigma > 0$. We denote by (\cdot, \cdot) , $\|\cdot\|$ and $((\cdot, \cdot))$, $\|\cdot\|_V$ the inner product and norm in H and V , respectively; $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ will denote pairing between V and V^* that coincides on $H \times V$ with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . Let H_w be the space H endowed with the weak topology. For $u, v, w \in V$ we put

$$b(u, v, w) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j dx.$$

It is known that b is a trilinear continuous form on V and $b(u, v, v) = 0$, if $u, v \in V$. As usual, for $u, v \in V$ we denote by $B(u, v)$ the element of V^* defined by $\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = b(u, v, w)$, for all $w \in V$.

Let $f \in L^2(\tau, T; V^*) + L^1(\tau, T; H)$ and $y_{\tau} \in H$. Recall that the function $y \in L^{\infty}(\tau, T; H) \cap L^2(\tau, T; V)$ with $\frac{dy}{dt} \in L^1(\tau, T; V^*)$ is a *Leray-Hopf solution* of Problem (1.1) on $[\tau, T]$, if $y(\tau) = y_{\tau}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(y, v) + \nu((y, v)) + b(y, y, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in V, \quad (1.2)$$

and y satisfies the energy inequality:

$$V_{\tau}(y(t)) \leq V_{\tau}(y(s)) \quad \text{for all } t \in [s, T], \text{ a.e. } s > \tau \text{ and } s = \tau, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$V_{\tau}(y(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \|y(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_{\tau}^t \|y(\xi)\|_V^2 d\xi - \int_{\tau}^t \langle f(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \quad t \in [\tau, T]. \quad (1.4)$$

For each $f \in L^2(\tau, T; V^*) + L^1(\tau, T; H)$ and $y_{\tau} \in H$ there exists at least one Leray-Hopf solution of Problem (1.1); Temam [11, Chapter III].

We denote by $\text{Var}_{[\tau, T]}(\psi) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\tau}^T \psi(s) \varphi'(s) ds : \varphi \in C_0^1(\tau, T), \max_{s \in [\tau, T]} |\varphi(s)| \leq 1 \right\}$, the total variation of a real-valued function ψ , defined on an interval $[\tau, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$; Guisty [7, p. 3]. We recall that a real-valued function ψ on an interval $[\tau, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of bounded variation on $[\tau, T]$ if its total variation is finite, that is, $\psi \in \text{BV}([\tau, T])$ if and only if $\text{Var}_{[\tau, T]}(\psi) < \infty$; Guisty [7, p. 3]. According to Ziemer [12, p. 227 and Exercise 5.1 on p. 277]

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T]}(\psi) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k |\psi(t_i) - \psi(t_{i-1})| : t_0 = \tau < t_1 < \dots < t_k = T, k = 1, 2, \dots \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

for each $\psi \in \text{BV}([\tau, T])$.

The main result of this note has the following formulation.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $-\infty < \tau < T < +\infty$, $y_{\tau} \in H$, and $f \in L^2(\tau, T; V^*) + L^1(\tau, T; H)$. Then there exists a Leray-Hopf solution y of Problem (1.1) on $[\tau, T]$ that satisfies the following properties:*

- (a) *the function $t \rightarrow \|y(t)\|_H^2$ is of bounded variation on $[\tau, T]$;*
- (b) *for each $t \in [\tau, T)$ the following convergence holds:*

$$y(s) \rightarrow y(t) \text{ strongly in } H \text{ as } s \rightarrow t+;$$

(c) y satisfies the energy inequality

$$V_\tau(y(t)) \leq V_\tau(y(s)) \quad \text{for all } t, s \in [\tau, T], \quad t \geq s, \quad (1.6)$$

where V_τ is defined in formula (1.4).

Remark 1.2. Since a real function of bounded variation has no more than countable set of discontinuity points, then statement (a) of Theorem 1.1, weak continuity in Hilbert space H of each weak solution of Problem (1.1) on $[\tau, T]$, yield that the weak solution of Problem (1.1) on $[\tau, T]$ from Theorem 1.1 has no more than countable set of discontinuity points in the phase space H endowed with the strong convergence topology. Theorem 1.1 partially clarifies the results provided in Ball [1]; Balibrea et al. [2]; Barbu et al. [3]; Cao and Titi [4]; Chepyzhov and Vishik [5]; Cheskidov and Shvydkoy [6]; Kapustyan et al. [8]; Kloeden et al. [9]; Sohr [10] and references therein.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to Temam [11, Chapter III] (see also Sohr [10, Chapter V] and references therein) there exists a Leray-Hopf solution y of Problem (1.1) on $[\tau, T]$, obtained via standard Galerkin arguments, that is if the following conditions hold: (i_1) $\{w_1, w_2, \dots\} \subset V_{\frac{3}{2}}$ is the special basis, that is $((w_j, v)) = \lambda_j(w_j, v)$ for each $v \in V$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots$, where $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ is the sequence of eigenvalues; (i_2) P_m is the projection operator of H onto $\text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$, that is $P_m v = \sum_{i=1}^m (v, w_i) w_i$ for each $v \in H$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ (of course we may consider P_m as a projection operator that acts from V_σ onto H_m for each $\sigma \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ and, since $P_m^* = P_m$, we deduce that, for example, $\|P_m\|_{\mathcal{L}(V_{\frac{3}{2}}^*; V_{\frac{3}{2}}^*)} \leq 1$); (i_3) the absolutely continuous function $y_m : [\tau, T] \rightarrow H_m$ with $\frac{dy_m}{dt} \in L^1(\tau, T; H_m)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, is the approximate solution, that is,

$$\frac{dy_m}{dt} + \nu A y_m + P_m B(y_m, y_m) = P_m f, \quad \text{in } H_m, \quad y_m(\tau) = P_m y(\tau),$$

then the following statements hold: (i_4) y_m satisfy the energy equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|y_m(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_\tau^t \|y_m(\xi)\|_V^2 d\xi - \int_\tau^t \langle f(\xi), y_m(\xi) \rangle d\xi \\ = \frac{1}{2} \|y_m(s)\|^2 + \nu \int_\tau^s \|y_m(\xi)\|_V^2 d\xi - \int_\tau^s \langle f(\xi), y_m(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for each $t, s \in [\tau, T]$, for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$; and (i_5) there exists a subsequence $\{y_{m_k}\}_{k=1,2,\dots} \subseteq \{y_m\}_{m=1,2,\dots}$ such that the following convergence hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{m_k} &\rightarrow y \text{ weakly in } L^2(\tau, T; V); \\
y_{m_k} &\rightarrow y \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(\tau, T; H); \\
P_{m_k} B(y_{m_k}, y_{m_k}) &\rightarrow B(y, y) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\tau, T; V_{\frac{3}{2}}^*); \\
P_{m_k} f &\rightarrow f \text{ strongly in } L^2(\tau, T; V^*) + L^1(\tau, T; H); \\
\frac{dy_{m_k}}{dt} &\rightarrow \frac{dy}{dt} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\tau, T; V_{\frac{3}{2}}^*) + L^1(\tau, T; H); \\
y_{m_k} &\rightarrow y \text{ strongly in } L^2(\tau, T; H); \\
y_{m_k}(t) &\rightarrow y(t) \text{ strongly in } H \text{ for a.e. } t \in (\tau, T) \text{ and for } t = \tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|y(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_s^t \|y(\xi)\|_V^2 d\xi - \int_\tau^t \langle f(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle d\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y(s)\|^2 - \int_\tau^s \langle f(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $t \in (\tau, T]$, for a.e. $s \in (\tau, t)$ and $s = \tau$.

Let us set

$$J_k(t) := \frac{1}{2} \|y_{m_k}(t)\|^2 - \int_\tau^t \langle f(\xi), y_{m_k}(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \quad J(t) := \frac{1}{2} \|y(t)\|^2 - \int_\tau^t \langle f(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle d\xi,$$

$t \in [\tau, T]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. According to (2.1),

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, t]}(J_k) = J_k(\tau) - J_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|y_{m_k}(\tau)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y_{m_k}(t)\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \langle f(\xi), y_{m_k}(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \tag{2.4}$$

for each $t \in (\tau, T]$.

Convergence (2.2) imply that

$$J_k \rightarrow J \text{ in } L^1(\tau, T), \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$J_k(\tau) \rightarrow J(\tau), \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots} \subset (0, T - \tau)$ such that $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0+$, $j \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$J_k(T - \varepsilon_j) \rightarrow J(T - \varepsilon_j), \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.7}$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Let us fix an arbitrary $j = 1, 2, \dots$. According to (2.4), (2.6), and (2.7),

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T - \varepsilon_j]}(J_k) \rightarrow J(\tau) - J(T - \varepsilon_j), \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Guisty [7, Theorem 1.9, p. 7] and (2.4), (2.5) yield

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T - \varepsilon_j]}(J) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_{[\tau, T - \varepsilon_j]}(J_k). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, (2.8) and (2.9) imply

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T-\varepsilon_j]}(J) \leq J(\tau) - J(T - \varepsilon_j) < \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

The definition of the total variation for the real function J on the interval $[\tau, T - \varepsilon_j]$ yields

$$J(\tau) - J(T - \varepsilon_j) \leq \text{Var}_{[\tau, T-\varepsilon_j]}(J). \quad (2.11)$$

According to (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T-\varepsilon_j]}(J) = J(\tau) - J(T - \varepsilon_j). \quad (2.12)$$

Since $j = 1, 2, \dots$ be an arbitrary and $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0+$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then formulae (2.12) and (1.5) yield that J is nonincreasing on $[\tau, T)$. Therefore,

$$\text{Var}_{[\tau, T]}(J) \leq |J(\tau) - J(T-)| + |J(T-) - J(T)| < \infty,$$

that is statement (a) of Theorem 1.1 holds, and for each $s \in [\tau, T)$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s+} \|y(t)\|_H^2 \leq \|y(s)\|_H^2, \quad (2.13)$$

because $\langle f(\cdot), y(\cdot) \rangle \in L^1(\tau, T)$ and $\int_s^t \langle f(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle d\xi \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow s+$. Thus, statement (b) of Theorem 1.1 holds, because $y \in C([\tau, T]; H_w)$, H is a Hilbert space, and inequality (2.13) takes place. Statement (c) of Theorem 1.1 follows from (2.3) and (2.13). \square

References

- [1] Ball, J.M.: Continuity properties and global attractors of generalized semiflows and the Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinear Science*. 7, 475–502 (1997) Erratum, *ibid* 8:233,1998. Corrected version appears in ‘Mechanics: from Theory to Computation’. pp. 447–474. Springer Verlag, 2000
- [2] Balibrea F., Caraballo T., Kloeden P.E., Valero J., Recent developments in dynamical systems: three perspectives, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 2010, doi:10.1142/S0218127410027246
- [3] Barbu, V., Rodrigues, S.S., and Shirikyan, A.: Internal Exponential Stabilization to a Nonstationary Solution for 3D NavierStokes Equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 2011, doi: 10.1137/100785739
- [4] Cao, Ch., Titi, E.S.: Global Regularity Criterion for the 3D NavierStokes Equations Involving One Entry of the Velocity Gradient Tensor. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s00205-011-0439-6
- [5] Chepyzhov, V.V., Vishik, M.I.: Trajectory and Global Attractors of Three-Dimensional Navier–Stokes Systems. *Mathematical Notes*, 2002. doi: 10.1023/A:1014190629738
- [6] Cheskidov, A., Shvydkoy, R.: A Unified Approach to Regularity Problems for the 3D Navier-Stokes and Euler Equations: the Use of Kolmogorovs Dissipation Range. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 2014, doi: 10.1007/s00021-014-0167-4
- [7] Guisty, E, *Minimal Surfaces and Functions of Bounded Variation*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1984.

- [8] Kapustyan O.V., P.O. Kasyanov, J. Valero: Pullback attractors for a class of extremal solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 373 (2011) 535–547.
- [9] Kloeden, P.E., Marin-Rubio, P., Valero, J. The Envelope Attractor of Non-strict Multivalued Dynamical Systems with Application to the 3D Navier-Stokes and Reaction-Diffusion Equations, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis* 21 (2013) 517–540. doi: 10.1007/s11228-012-0228-x
- [10] Sohr H.: *The Navier-Stokes Equations. An Elementary Functional Analytic Approach*, Verlag, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [11] Temam R.: *Navier-Stokes equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [12] Ziemer, W.P.: *Weakly Differentiable Functions, Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.