

# The existence of least energy nodal solutions for some class of Kirchhoff equations and Choquard equations

Hongyu Ye\*

College of Science, Wuhan University of Science and Technology,  
Wuhan 430065, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of least energy nodal solutions for some class of Kirchhoff type problems. Since Kirchhoff equation is a nonlocal one, the variational setting to look for sign-changing solutions is different from the local cases. By using constrained minimization on the sign-changing Nehari manifold, we prove the Kirchhoff problem has a least energy nodal solution with its energy exceeding twice the least energy. As a co-product of our approaches, we obtain the existence of least energy sign-changing solution for Choquard equations and show that the sign-changing solution has an energy strictly larger than the least energy and less than twice the least energy.

**Keywords:** Least energy sign-changing solutions; Nonlocal problems; Ekeland variational principle; Nodal solutions

**Mathematics Subject Classification(2000):** 35J20, 35J60

## 1 Introduction and main result

In the past years, the following nonlinear Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

has attracted considerable attention, where  $N = 2, 3$ ,  $a, b > 0$  are constants and  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Equation (1.1) is a nonlocal one as the appearance of the term  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2$  implies that (1.1) is no longer a pointwise identity. This causes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of (1.1) particularly interesting. For

---

\*a: Partially supported by NSFC NO: 11371159. E-mail address: yyehongyu@163.com

more mathematical and physical background, we refer readers to papers [1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 16, 23, 31] and the references therein. In recent years, by classical variational method, there are many interesting works about the existence of positive solutions and positive ground states to (1.1), see e.g. [14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 25, 33, 35, 36]. However, as far as we know, there seem no any results on the existence of sign-changing solutions for (1.1).

In this paper, the first aim is to establish the existence result of sign-changing solutions for problem (1.1). In what follows, we assume the potential  $V(x)$  satisfies one of the following two cases:

(V)  $V(x)$  is a constant or  $V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = +\infty$ .

Moreover, the nonlinearity  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the following conditions:

(f<sub>1</sub>)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^3} = 0$ ;

(f<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $3 < q < 2^* - 1$  such that  $\lim_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^q} = 0$ , where  $2^* = +\infty$  if

$N = 2$  and  $2^* = 6$  if  $N = 3$ ;

(f<sub>3</sub>)  $\lim_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(s)}{s^4} = +\infty$ , where  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt$ ;

(f<sub>4</sub>) The function  $\frac{f(s)}{|s|^3}$  is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

To state our main result, for  $a > 0$  fixed, since (V) holds, we define the Sobolev space

$$H = H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u(x) = u(|x|)\} \quad \text{when } V(x) \text{ is a constant}$$

or

$$H = \left\{ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

with the norm given as

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in H, \quad (1.2)$$

which is induced by the corresponding inner product on  $H$ . By (V), the embedding  $H \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  ( $2 < p < 2^*$ ) is compact, see e.g. [5]. Weak solutions to problem (1.1) are critical points of the following functional  $I : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u). \quad (1.3)$$

It easily sees that  $I \in C^2(H, \mathbb{R})$  and for any  $\varphi \in H$ ,

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a\nabla u \nabla \varphi + V(x)u\varphi) + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\varphi. \quad (1.4)$$

We call  $u$  a least energy sign-changing solution to (1.1) if  $u$  is a solution of (1.1) with  $u^\pm \neq 0$  and

$$I(u) = \inf\{I(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, I'(v) = 0\},$$

where  $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$  and  $u^-(x) = \min\{u(x), 0\}$ .

When  $b \equiv 0$  and  $a \equiv 1$  in (1.1), the equation itself turns to be a semilinear local one:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.5)$$

There are several ways in the literature to obtain sign-changing solutions for (1.5), see e.g. [4, 12, 6, 8, 29, 37]. In [4], Bartsch, Liu and Weth used minimax arguments in the presence of invariant sets of a descending flow to prove that (1.5) has a nodal solution if conditions imposed on  $V(x)$  ensure the compact embeddings and  $f$  satisfies the classical (AR) and monotonicity condition. Under similar assumptions on  $f$ , Furtado, Maia and Medeiros in [12] obtained nodal solutions for (1.5) by seeking minimizers on the sign-changing Nehari manifold when  $V$  may change sign and satisfy mild integral conditions. Nodal solutions of (1.5) in bounded domains are proved to exist by Noussair and Wei in [29] via the Ekeland variational principle and the implicit function theorem, and by Bartsch and Weth in [6] based on the variational method together with the Brouwer degree theory. However, all these mentioned methods heavily rely on the following two decompositions:

$$I_0(u) = I_0(u^+) + I_0(u^-), \quad (1.6)$$

$$\langle I'_0(u), u^+ \rangle = \langle I'_0(u^+), u^+ \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle I'_0(u), u^- \rangle = \langle I'_0(u^-), u^- \rangle, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$I_0(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u).$$

Furthermore, (1.7) and (1.6) imply that the energy of any sign-changing solution to (1.5) is larger than two times the least energy in  $H$ . However, for the case  $b > 0$ , due to the effect of the nonlocal term, the functional  $I$  no longer possesses the same decompositions as (1.6) (1.7). Indeed, we have

$$I(u) = I(u^+) + I(u^-) + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2,$$

$$\langle I'(u), u^+ \rangle = \langle I'(u^+), u^+ \rangle + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\langle I'(u), u^- \rangle = \langle I'(u^-), u^- \rangle + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2. \quad (1.9)$$

So the methods to obtaining sign-changing solutions of the local problem (1.5) and estimating the energy of sign-changing solutions seem not suitable for our nonlocal one (1.1).

Recently, in [34], Wang and Zhou studied the existence of sign-changing solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson system with pure power nonlinearity  $|u|^{p-1}u$ , which is a nonlocal one. By using constrained minimization on the sign-changing Nehari manifold and the Brouwer degree theory, they proved that under the assumption (V), the Schrödinger-Poisson system has a sign-changing solution for all  $3 < p < 5$  and if  $V(x)$  is a constant, the energy of any sign-changing solution is strictly larger than the least energy. However, their method strongly depends on the fact that the nonlinearity is homogeneous and the nonlocal term is positive, which makes it could not be applied to our problem (1.1), which deals with a more general nonlinearity.

Recall that under the conditions (V) and  $(f_1) - (f_4)$ , it has been similarly proved as [15, 35] that (1.1) possesses at least one ground state solution  $w \in H$  satisfying that

$$I(w) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} I(v) := c, \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the corresponding Nehari manifold of (1.1) given as

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in H \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle I'(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Our main result is as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that (V) and  $(f_1) - (f_4)$  hold, then problem (1.1) has at least one least energy sign-changing solution  $u \in H$  with  $I(u) > 2c$ , moreover,  $u$  has precisely two nodal domains.*

Now we give our main idea for the proof of Theorem 1.1. To get least energy sign-changing solutions, we define the following constrained manifold:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u \in H \mid u^\pm \neq 0, \langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle = 0\}. \quad (1.11)$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all sign-changing solutions of (1.1). Therefore, it is enough to prove that  $m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} I(u)$  has a minimizer and the minimizer is just a critical point of  $I$  on  $H$ . However, since it follows from (1.8) and (1.9) that  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle > \langle I'(u^\pm), u^\pm \rangle$  if  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , it is difficult to show that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  in a usual way. Moreover, the nonlinearity in (1.1) is more general than that in [34], which makes that the method used in [34] cannot be applied here. To overcome this difficulty, it needs more analysis and some new ideas. By using a totally different approach from [34], we succeeded in proving that for each  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) := (t(u), s(u)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ . Our result is more delicate. We furthermore conclude that if  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle < 0$ , then the unique pair  $(t, s)$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$  must satisfy

$$t \in (0, 1) \text{ and } s \in (0, 1). \quad (1.12)$$

With the help of such conclusion it can be showed that  $m$  is attained and the least energy sign-changing solution of (1.1) has precisely two nodal domains. If  $m$  is

achieved by  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , then there exist two Lagrange multipliers  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I'(u) + \mu_1 J(u^+) + \mu_2 J(u^-) = 0$ , where  $J(u^\pm) = \langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle$ . By using the assumptions  $f \in C^1$  and  $(f_4)$ , it can be proved that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ , i.e.  $u$  is a critical point of  $I$ . To estimate the energy of the least energy sign-changing solution  $u$ , although we do not have  $u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}$ , we do easily see that

$$\langle I'(u^\pm), u^\pm \rangle < 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Then  $(f_1) - (f_4)$  show that there exists  $k, l \in (0, 1)$  such that  $ku^+, lu^- \in \mathcal{N}$ , which implies that  $2c \leq I(ku^+) + I(lu^-) < I(u)$ . Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 1.2.** *Our approach to prove Theorem 1.1 can be used to deal with the existence of nodal solutions for the following Schrodinger-Poisson system*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + \phi(x)u = f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

under the assumptions  $(V)$  and  $(f_1) - (f_4)$ , while [34] is a special case of (1.14) with  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ .

Another aim of this paper is to study the existence of sign-changing solutions for the following Choquard equation:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.15)$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ;  $\alpha \in (0, N)$ ,  $\frac{N+\alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ . The potential  $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $(V)$  given above.  $I_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the Riesz potential [32] defined as

$$I_\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}2^\alpha} \frac{1}{|x|^{N-\alpha}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Problem (1.15) is also a nonlocal one due to the existence of the nonlocal nonlinearity. It arises in various fields of mathematical physics, such as quantum mechanics, physics of laser beams, the physics of multiple-particle systems, etc. When  $N = 3$ ,  $V(x) \equiv 1$  and  $\alpha = p = 2$ , (1.15) turns to be the well-known Choquard-Pekar equation:

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_2 * |u|^2)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

which was proposed as early as in 1954 by Pekar [30], and by a work of Choquard 1976 in a certain approximation to Hartree-Fock theory for one-component plasma, see [20, 22]. (1.15) is also known as the nonlinear stationary Hartree equation since if  $u$  solves (1.15) then  $\psi = e^{it}u(x)$  is a solitary wave of the following time-dependent Hartree equation

$$i\psi_t = -\Delta\psi - (I_\alpha * |\psi|^p)|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

see [13, 26]. In the past years, the existence of positive solutions, ground states and semiclassical states for (1.15) have been studied by many researchers, see e.g. [10, 20, 24, 27, 28], etc. However, there are few works considering the existence of sign-changing solutions for (1.15). Recently, Clapp and Salazar in [11] obtained radially symmetric sign-changing solutions for (1.15) on an exterior domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with some symmetries when  $2 \leq p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$  and  $V(x)$  is continuous and radially symmetric and  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) > 0$ ,  $V(x) \rightarrow V_\infty > 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $V(x) \leq Ce^{-k|x|}$  for some  $C, k > 0$ . There seems no works studying (1.15) under the condition (V) in the literature.

Clearly, since  $V(x)$  satisfies condition (V), our working space is still  $H$  and its norm is also given as (1.2) with  $a \equiv 1$ . Weak solutions to (1.15) correspond to critical points to the following functional  $\Psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) - \frac{1}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p. \quad (1.16)$$

Recall the well-known Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have for some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}.$$

Then  $\Psi \in C^2(H, \mathbb{R})$  and for any  $\varphi \in H$ ,

$$\langle \Psi'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla \varphi + V(x)u\varphi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u\varphi.$$

Similarly, we call  $u$  a least energy sign-changing solution of (1.15) if  $u$  is a solution of (1.15) with  $u^\pm \neq 0$  and

$$\Psi(u) = \inf \{ \Psi(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, \Psi'(v) = 0 \}.$$

Recall it has been shown that (1.15) has at least one ground state solution  $w \in H$  such that

$$\Psi(w) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} \Psi(v) := \bar{c},$$

where  $\mathcal{N} = \{u \in H \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle \Psi'(u), u \rangle = 0\}$  is the associated Nehari manifold, see e.g. [27, 28]. Moreover, each ground state solution has constant sign.

Our main result is as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$ , here  $(N-4)_+ = N-4$  if  $N \geq 4$ ,  $(N-4)_+ = 0$  if  $N = 3$ ;  $2 \leq p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$  and  $V(x)$  satisfies (V). Then problem (1.15) has at least one least energy sign-changing solution  $u \in H$  with  $\bar{c} < \Psi(u) < 2\bar{c}$ .*

Due to the effect of the nonlocal nonlinearity, the functional  $\Psi$  does not have the same decompositions like (1.6)(1.7). In fact, for any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ ,

$$\Psi(u) = \Psi(u^+) + \Psi(u^-) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^+|^p)|u^-|^p \quad (1.17)$$

and

$$\langle \Psi'(u), u^+ \rangle = \langle \Psi'(u^+), u^+ \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^-|^p) |u^+|^p < \langle \Psi'(u^+), u^+ \rangle, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\langle \Psi'(u), u^- \rangle = \langle \Psi'(u^-), u^- \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^+|^p) |u^-|^p < \langle \Psi'(u^-), u^- \rangle. \quad (1.19)$$

Then the methods to deal with local equations cannot be applied here. Moreover, the method used in [34] do not work since their method heavily depends on the associated functional has a positive nonlocal term. Motivated by Theorem 1.1, we try to look for a minimizer of  $\Psi$  constrained on the following manifold:

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{u \in H \mid u^\pm \neq 0, \langle \Psi'(u), u^\pm \rangle = 0\} \quad (1.20)$$

and show that the minimizer is a critical point of  $\Psi$  on  $H$ . However, as the nonlocal term in  $\Psi$  is negative while that in  $I$  is positive, there must be some differences. The main difference and difficulty is that  $\Psi$  does not have properties like (1.12) and (1.13), which makes that the arguments used in Theorem 1.1 to prove the existence of minimizers and to estimate the energy of sign-changing solutions cannot work. It needs some improvement. We succeeded in overcoming the difficulty by using Ekeland variational principle and the implicit function theorem to construct a Palais-Smale sequence and with the help of the positive ground state solution of (1.15).

Throughout this paper, we use standard notations. For simplicity, we write  $\int_\Omega h$  to mean the Lebesgue integral of  $h(x)$  over a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .  $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  ( $1 \leq p < +\infty$ ) is the usual Lebesgue space with the standard norm  $|\cdot|_p$ . We use “ $\rightarrow$ ” and “ $\rightharpoonup$ ” to denote the strong and weak convergence in the related function space respectively.  $C$  will denote a positive constant unless specified. We use “ $:=$ ” to denote definitions and  $B_r(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - y| < r\}$ . We denote a subsequence of a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  as  $\{u_{n'}\}$  to simplify the notation unless specified.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we prove Theorem 1.1. In § 3, we prove Theorem 1.3.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first give some technical lemmas, which are important in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

By  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $(f_1) - (f_4)$ , we see that

$$f'(s)s - 3f(s) \geq 0 \text{ for all } s; \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tilde{F}(s) := f(s)s - 4F(s) \text{ is increasing on } \mathbb{R}$$

and  $\frac{1}{4}f(s)s \geq F(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s$ . By  $(f_1)$  and  $(f_2)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|f(s)| \leq \varepsilon|s| + C_\varepsilon|s|^q, \quad |F(s)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|s|^2 + \frac{C_\varepsilon}{q+1}|s|^{q+1}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

In this section, for any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , we denote for simplicity as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 := \|u^+\|^2, & \beta_1 := b \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \right)^2, & A := b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2, \\ \alpha_2 := \|u^-\|^2, & \beta_2 := b \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2 \right)^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $A > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be defined in (1.11). Assume that  $f$  satisfies  $(f_1) - (f_4)$ , then for any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) := (t(u), s(u)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , to show that there exists a unique  $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$  is equivalent to show that the following system

$$\begin{cases} t^2\alpha_1 + t^4\beta_1 + t^2s^2A - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(tu^+)tu^+ = 0, \\ s^2\alpha_2 + s^4\beta_2 + t^2s^2A - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(su^-)su^- = 0, \\ t, s > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

has a unique solution.

Define a function  $g(t) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{A} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(tu^+)tu^+}{t^2} - t^2\beta_1 - \alpha_1 \right), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

then by  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_3)$ , we see that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{A_1} < 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = +\infty$ . By direct computation, we have

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{A} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f'(tu^+)(tu^+)^2 - f(tu^+)tu^+}{t^3} - 2t\beta_1 \right),$$

which and (2.1)(2.2) imply that  $g(t)$  has a unique zero point  $t_* > 0$  and  $g(t) < 0$  if  $t < t_*$ ;  $g(t) > 0$  if  $t > t_*$ . Moreover,  $g'(t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq t_*$ .

By the first equation of (2.4), we see that

$$s^2 = g(t), \quad t_* < t < +\infty.$$

So to prove this lemma, it is enough to prove that

$$h(t) := \alpha_2 + g(t)\beta_2 + t^2A - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(\sqrt{g(t)}u^-)u^-}{\sqrt{g(t)}} = 0, \quad t_* < t < +\infty$$

has a unique solution. By the definition of  $g(t)$  and  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_3)$ , we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_*^+} h(t) = \alpha_2 + t_*^2A > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = -\infty.$$



Moreover,

$$h'(t) = g'(t) \left( \beta_2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f'(\sqrt{g(t)}u^-)(\sqrt{g(t)}u^-)^2 - f(\sqrt{g(t)}u^-)\sqrt{g(t)}u^-}{2g(t)^2} \right) + 2tA.$$

By the definition of  $g'(t)$  and (2.1), we see that the continuous function  $h'(t)$  has a unique zero point on  $[t_*, +\infty)$  and

$$h'(t_*) = g'(t_*)\beta_2 + 2At_* > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h'(t) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique  $t_0 := t_0(u) \in (t_*, +\infty)$  such that  $h(t_0) = 0$ . Let  $s_0 = g(t_0)$ , then  $(t_0, s_0)$  is a unique pair of solution of system (2.4), i.e.  $t_0u^+ + s_0u^- \in \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(f_1) - (f_4)$  hold.*

(1) *If  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle < 0$ , then there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

(2) *If  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle > 0$ , then there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) \in (1, +\infty) \times (1, +\infty)$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle < 0$ , we see that  $u^\pm \neq 0$ . Then Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let us next show that  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ .

By  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle < 0$ , we have

$$\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + A < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^+)u^+, \quad \alpha_2 + \beta_2 + A < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^-)u^-,$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $A > 0$  are given as in (2.3). Then by  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $t, s > 0$ , we have

$$\alpha_1 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{t^2} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{s^2}{t^2} \right) A < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(u^+)}{(u^+)^3} - \frac{f(tu^+)}{(tu^+)^3} \right) (u^+)^4 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\alpha_2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{s^2} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{s^2} \right) A < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(u^-)}{(u^-)^3} - \frac{f(su^-)}{(su^-)^3} \right) (u^-)^4. \quad (2.6)$$

By contradiction, we just suppose that  $t > 1$ . We have to discuss the following two cases:

**Case 1.**  $s \geq t$ .

Then  $s > 1$  and  $\frac{t}{s} \leq 1$ , which and  $(f_4)$  imply that

$$\alpha_2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{s^2} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{s^2} \right) A > 0$$

but

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{f(u^-)}{(u^-)^3} - \frac{f(su^-)}{(su^-)^3} \right) (u^-)^4 \leq 0.$$

It contradicts (2.6). So Case 1 is impossible.

**Case 2.**  $s < t$ .

Then  $\frac{s}{t} < 1$  and  $t > 1$ , which and  $(f_4)$  would similarly lead to a contradiction with (2.5). So Case 2 is impossible.

Since Cases 1 and 2 are impossible, we must have  $0 < t < 1$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $0 < s < 1$ .

(2) The proof of (2) is similar to that of (1). □

**Remark 2.3.** We conclude from Lemma 2.1 and (2.5), (2.6) that

(1) if  $\langle I'(u), u^+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle I'(u), u^- \rangle < 0$  and  $u^+ \neq 0$ , then there exists a unique  $s \in (0, 1)$  such that  $u^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ ;

(2) if  $\langle I'(u), u^+ \rangle < 0$ ,  $\langle I'(u), u^- \rangle = 0$  and  $u^- \neq 0$ , then there exists a unique  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $tu^+ + u^- \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**  $I(u)$  is bounded from below and coercive on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|u^\pm\| > C > 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\langle I'(u), u \rangle = 0$ , then

$$I(u) = I(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u), u \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|^2 \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $I(u)$  is bounded from below and coercive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Since  $u^\pm \neq 0$  and  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle = 0$ , by (2.2) and the Sobolev embedding theorem we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\pm\|^2 &< \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^\pm) u^\pm \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^\pm|^2 + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^\pm|^{q+1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|u^\pm\|^2 + C_\varepsilon \|u^\pm\|^{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then we have  $\|u^\pm\| > C > 0$  for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $u$ . □

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, define

$$m := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} I(u),$$

then  $m > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $m$  is achieved by  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $u$  is a critical point of  $I$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $I(u) = m$ , then  $u$  is a critical point of  $I$  constained on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence there exist two Lagrange multipliers  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$I'(u) + \mu_1 J'(u^+) + \mu_2 J'(u^-) = 0,$$

where  $J(u^\pm) := \langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle$ . So, we have  $\langle I'(u) + \mu_1 J'(u^+) + \mu_2 J'(u^-), u^\pm \rangle = 0$ , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [3f(u^+)u^+ - f'(u^+)(u^+)^2] - 2\alpha_1 - 2A \right) + \mu_2 2A = 0, \\ \mu_1 2A + \mu_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [3f(u^-)u^- - f'(u^-)(u^-)^2] - 2\alpha_2 - 2A \right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $A > 0$  are given as in (2.3). We easily conclude from (2.1) that the linear system (2.7) has only null solution. So the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $m$  is attained, then*

$$m = \inf\{I(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, I'(v) = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $I(u) = m$ , then Lemma 2.5 shows that  $u$  is a critical point of  $I$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ . Hence

$$m = I(u) \geq \inf\{I(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, I'(v) = 0\}. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{v \in H \mid v^\pm \neq 0, I'(v) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\inf\{I(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, I'(v) = 0\} \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} I(u) = m,$$

which and (2.8) imply the lemma.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof.* We complete the proof in three steps.

**Step 1.** Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a minimizing sequence of  $m$ , then Lemma 2.4 shows that the sequences  $\{u_n^\pm\}$  are respectively uniformly bounded in  $H$ . Up to a subsequence, there are  $u^\pm \in H$  such that

$$u_n^\pm \rightharpoonup u^\pm \quad \text{in } H \quad (2.9)$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By the compactness of sobolev embedding  $H \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $2 < p < 2^*$ , we see that

$$u^+ \geq 0, \quad u^- \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^+ \cdot u^- = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover, by (2.2) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$C \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n^+\|^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n^\pm) u_n^\pm = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^\pm) u^\pm,$$

which implies that  $u^\pm \neq 0$ .

Set  $u = u^+ + u^-$ , by  $u_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and (2.9), we see that

$$\|u^+\|^2 + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^+|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^+)u^+$$

and

$$\|u^-\|^2 + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u^-|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u^-)u^-,$$

i.e.  $\langle I'(u), u^\pm \rangle \leq 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3, there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \mathcal{M}$ , hence by (2.9) again and  $(f_4)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq I(tu^+ + su^-) \\ &= I(tu^+ + su^-) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(tu^+ + su^-), tu^+ + su^- \rangle \\ &= \frac{t^2 \|u^+\|^2 + s^2 \|u^-\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(tu^+)tu^+ - F(tu^+) + \frac{1}{4} f(su^-)su^- - F(su^-) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|u\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u)u - F(u) \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{\|u_n\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n) \right) \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( I(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) = m, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $t = s = 1$  and  $I(u) = m$ , i.e.  $m$  is achieved by  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then we conclude from Lemma 2.5 that  $u$  is a critical point of  $I$ .

**Step 2.** Since  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $I(u) = m$ ,  $u^\pm \neq 0$  and  $\langle I'(u^\pm), u^\pm \rangle < 0$ . Then by  $(f_1) - (f_4)$ , there exist  $k, l \in (0, 1)$  such that  $ku^+ \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $lu^- \in \mathcal{N}$ . Hence by  $(f_4)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq I(ku^+) = I(ku^+) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(ku^+), ku^+ \rangle \\ &= \frac{k^2 \|u^+\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(ku^+)ku^+ - F(ku^+) \right) \\ &< \frac{\|u^+\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u^+)u^+ - F(u^+) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$c < \frac{\|u^-\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u^-)u^- - F(u^-) \right).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
2c &< \frac{\|u^+\|^2 + \|u^-\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u^+) u^+ - F(u^+) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u^-) u^- - F(u^-) \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\|u\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u) u - F(u) \right) \\
&= I(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u), u \rangle = I(u) = m.
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 3.** By contradiction, we assume that  $u$  has at least three nodal domains  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u > 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega_1$  and  $u < 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega_2$ . Set

$$u_i := \chi_{\Omega_i} u, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\chi_\Omega = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \Omega_i, \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_i. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Then  $u_i \in H$  and  $u_i \neq 0$ . Hence it follows from step 1 that  $\langle I'(u), u_i \rangle = 0$ . Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_3|^2 \neq 0$ , we see that  $\langle I'(u_1 + u_2), (u_1 + u_2)^\pm \rangle < 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists  $(t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$  such that  $tu_1 + su_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence by  $(f_4)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
m &\leq I(tu_1 + su_2) \\
&= I(tu_1 + su_2) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(tu_1 + su_2), tu_1 + su_2 \rangle \\
&= \frac{t^2 \|u_1\|^2 + s^2 \|u_2\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{4} f(tu_1) tu_1 - F(tu_1) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} f(su_2) su_2 - F(su_2) \right) \right] \\
&< \frac{\|u_1 + u_2\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u_1 + u_2) (u_1 + u_2) - F(u_1 + u_2) \right) \\
&< \frac{\|u_1 + u_2\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u_1 + u_2) (u_1 + u_2) - F(u_1 + u_2) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\|u_3\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u_3) u_3 - F(u_3) \right) \\
&= \frac{\|u\|^2}{4} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(u) u - F(u) \right) \\
&= I(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u), u \rangle = I(u) = m,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, so  $u$  has precisely two nodal domains.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we consider the existence of sign-changing solutions for the Choquard equation (1.15).

**Lemma 3.1.** ([21], Theorem 9.8) *Let  $N \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in (0, N)$ , then for any  $f, g \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * f)g \right)^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * f)f \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * g)g,$$

with equality for  $g \neq 0$  if and only if  $f = Cg$  for some constant  $C$ .

For simplicity, for any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , we use the following notations in what follows:

$$\begin{cases} A_1 := \|u^+\|^2, & B_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^+|^p)|u^+|^p, & B := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^-|^p)|u^+|^p, \\ A_2 := \|u^-\|^2, & B_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u^-|^p)|u^-|^p, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\alpha \in ((N-4)_+, N)$  and  $2 \leq p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ . Then  $A_i, B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $B > 0$ . Moreover, Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$B^2 < B_1 B_2. \quad (3.2)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  be defined by (1.20). If  $2 \leq p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ , then for any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ , there exists a unique pair  $(t, s) := (t(u), s(u)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $tu^+ + su^- \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $u \in H$  with  $u^\pm \neq 0$ ,  $tu^+ + su^- \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  for some  $t, s > 0$  is equivalent to

$$A_1 t^2 - B_1 t^{2p} - B t^p s^p = 0, \quad A_2 s^2 - B_2 s^{2p} - B s^p t^p = 0, \quad t, s > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

If  $p = 2$ , then (3.3) turns to be a linear system about  $(t^2, s^2)$ :

$$\begin{cases} B_1 t^2 + B s^2 = A_1, \\ B t^2 + B_2 s^2 = A_2, \\ t, s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence (3.2) implies that the linear system has a unique solution in  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$ .

For the case  $2 < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ , by (3.3) we have  $s^p = \frac{t^{2-p} A_1 - t^p B_1}{B} > 0$ , which implies that  $0 < t < \left(\frac{A_1}{B_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2p-2}}$ . Then (3.3) is equivalent to

$$h(t) := A_2 \left( \frac{A_1}{B t^{2p-2}} - \frac{B_1}{B} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} - \frac{B^2 - B_1 B_2}{B} t^{2p-2} - \frac{B_2 A_1}{B} = 0, \quad 0 < t < \left(\frac{A_1}{B_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2p-2}}.$$

By  $p > 2$  again, we see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = -\frac{B_2 A_1}{B} < 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \left(\frac{A_1}{B_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2p-2}}^-} h(t) = +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, by (3.2), we have for any  $t \in (0, (\frac{A_1}{B_1})^{\frac{1}{2p-2}})$ ,

$$h'(t) = \frac{2(2-p)(1-p)A_1 A_2}{pB} \left( \frac{A_1}{Bt^{2p-2}} - \frac{B_1}{B} \right)^{\frac{2-2p}{p}} t^{1-2p} - 2(p-1) \frac{B^2 - B_1 B_2}{B} t^{2p-3} > 0,$$

which and (3.4) imply that there exists a unique  $0 < t_0 < (\frac{A_1}{B_1})^{\frac{1}{2p-2}}$  such that  $h(t_0) = 0$ .

Let  $s_0 = (\frac{A_1 t_0^{2-p} - B_1 t_0^p}{B})^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , so there exists a unique pair  $(t_0, s_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $t_0 u^+ + s_0 u^- \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $\Psi(u)$  is bounded from below and coercive on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , we have  $\langle \Psi'(u), u \rangle = 0$ , then

$$\Psi(u) = \Psi(u) - \frac{1}{2p} \langle \Psi'(u), u \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \right) \|u\|^2 \geq 0,$$

which implies that  $\Psi(u)$  is bounded from below and coercive on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .  $\square$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, define

$$\overline{m} := \inf\{\Psi(u) \mid u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}\}, \quad (3.5)$$

then  $\overline{m} > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\overline{m} < 2\bar{c}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a positive ground state solution of problem (1.15), i.e.

$$\Psi'(Q) = 0, \quad \Psi(Q) = \bar{c} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Assume that  $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a cut-off function satisfying that  $\text{supp}\xi \in B_2(0)$ ,  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ ,  $\xi \equiv 1$  on  $B_1(0)$  and  $|\nabla\xi| < 2$ . Set

$$u_R(x) := \xi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)Q(x) \geq 0, \quad v_{R,n}(x) := -\xi\left(\frac{x-x_n}{R}\right)Q(x) \leq 0,$$

where  $R > 0$  and  $x_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, n)$ . It easily sees that for  $n$  large enough,

$$\text{supp}u_R \cap \text{supp}v_{R,n} = \emptyset.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2, for  $n$  large there exists a unique pair  $(t_R, s_R) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} t_R^2 \|u_R\|^2 - t_R^{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |u_R|^p = s_R^p t_R^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_{R,n}|^p) |u_R|^p, \\ s_R^2 \|v_{R,n}\|^2 - s_R^{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_{R,n}|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p = t_R^p s_R^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

By the definition of  $u_R$  and  $v_{R,n}$ , we have

$$u_R \rightarrow Q \text{ in } H, \quad v_{R,n} \rightarrow -Q \text{ in } H \quad (3.7)$$

as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ . If  $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} t_R = +\infty$ , then by  $p \geq 2$  and (3.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{s_R^p}{t_R^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_{R,n}|^p) |u_R|^p = \frac{1}{t_R^{2p-2}} \|u_R\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |u_R|^p \\ &\rightarrow - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which is impossible. So,  $t_R$  is uniformly bounded. Similarly, if  $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} s_R = +\infty$ , then by (3.6) again,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{t_R^p}{s_R^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p = \frac{1}{s_R^{2p-2}} \|v_{R,n}\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_{R,n}|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p \\ &\rightarrow - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which is a contradiction. So  $s_R$  is also uniformly bounded. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that there exist  $t_0, s_0 \in [0, +\infty)$  such that

$$t_R \rightarrow t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_R \rightarrow s_0$$

as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover, by (3.7)-(3.9), we see that if  $t_0 = 0$  or  $s_0 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p \right)^2 \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p \right)^2 \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\|u_R\|^2}{t_R^{2p-2}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_R|^p) |u_R|^p \right) \left( \frac{\|v_{R,n}\|^2}{s_R^{2p-2}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |v_{R,n}|^p) |v_{R,n}|^p \right) \\ &= +\infty, \end{aligned}$$



which is impossible. So  $t_0, s_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ . Then we conclude from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{cases} t_0^2 \|Q\|^2 - t_0^{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p = s_0^p t_0^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p, \\ s_0^2 \|Q\|^2 - s_0^{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p = t_0^p s_0^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |Q|^p) |Q|^p. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\Psi'(Q) = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{t_0^{2p-2}} - 1 = \frac{s_0^p}{t_0^p}, \quad \frac{1}{s_0^{2p-2}} - 1 = \frac{t_0^p}{s_0^p}.$$

So  $0 < t_0 < 1$  and  $0 < s_0 < 1$ .

For  $n$  large, by  $t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{m} &\leq \Psi(t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n}) \\ &= \Psi(t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n}) - \frac{1}{2p} \langle \Psi'(t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n}), t_R u_R + s_R v_{R,n} \rangle \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \right) (\|t_R u_R\|^2 + \|s_R v_{R,n}\|^2) \\ &= (t_0^2 + s_0^2) \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} \right) \|Q\|^2 + o_R(1) \\ &= (t_0^2 + s_0^2) \bar{c} + o_R(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $o_R(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore, by taking  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get

$$\overline{m} \leq (t_0^2 + s_0^2) \bar{c} < 2\bar{c}.$$

□

### Proof of Theorem 1.3

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 and the Ekeland variational principle, there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  such that

$$\Psi(u_n) \leq \overline{m} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\Psi(v) \geq \Psi(u_n) - \frac{1}{n} \|u_n - v\|, \quad \forall v \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Then the sequences  $\{u_n^\pm\}$  are uniformly bounded in  $H$  respectively. Passing to a subsequence, there exist  $u^\pm \in H$  such that

$$u_n^\pm \rightharpoonup u^\pm \quad \text{in } H. \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover,  $u^+ \geq 0$ ,  $u^- \leq 0$  and  $u^+ \cdot u^- = 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . To prove this theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Indeed, if (3.13) holds, set  $u := u^+ + u^-$ , then (3.12) implies that  $\Psi'(u) = 0$ . Moreover, by the compactness of the Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n|^p) |u_n^\pm|^p \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u^\pm|^p.$$

Then it follows from  $u_n \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  that  $\|u_n^\pm\| \rightarrow \|u^\pm\|^2$ . Hence

$$u_n^\pm \rightarrow u^\pm \text{ in } H.$$

Therefore,  $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\Psi(u) = \overline{m}$ , i.e.  $u$  is a sign-changing solution of problem (1.15) with  $\Psi(u) = \overline{m}$ . Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$\Psi(u) = \overline{m} = \inf\{\Psi(v) \mid v^\pm \neq 0, \Psi'(v) = 0\}.$$

By  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ , we must have  $\bar{c} \leq \overline{m} = \Psi(u)$ . Since each ground state solution of problem (1.15) has constant sign, it follows that  $\Psi(u) > \bar{c}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, we have  $\overline{m} = \Psi(u) \in (\bar{c}, 2\bar{c})$ .

For any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and each  $n$ , we define the following two  $C^1$ -functions  $h_n, g_n : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(\delta, k, l) &= \|(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^+\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^+|^p) |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^+|^p \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^-|^p) |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^+|^p, \\ g_n(\delta, k, l) &= \|(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^-|^p) |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^-|^p \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^+|^p) |(u_n + \delta\varphi + ku_n^+ + lu_n^-)^-|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $h_n(0, 0, 0) = g_n(0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial k}(0, 0, 0) = 2(1-p)B_{n,1} + (2-p)B_n, \quad \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial l}(0, 0, 0) = 2(1-p)B_{n,2} + (2-p)B_n$$

and

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial l}(0, 0, 0) = \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial k}(0, 0, 0) = -pB_n,$$

where

$$B_{n,1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n^+|^p) |u_n^+|^p > 0, \quad B_{n,2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n^-|^p) |u_n^-|^p > 0$$

and

$$B_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u_n^-|^p) |u_n^+|^p > 0.$$

Since Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$B_n < \sqrt{B_{n,1}B_{n,2}} \leq \frac{B_{n,1} + B_{n,2}}{2},$$

we conclude from  $p \geq 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} T &:= \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial k}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial l}(0, 0, 0) \\ \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial k}(0, 0, 0) & \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial l}(0, 0, 0) \end{array} \right| \\ &= 4(1-p)^2 B_{n,1} B_{n,2} + 2(1-p)(2-p)(B_{n,1} + B_{n,2})B_n + 4(1-p)B_n^2 \\ &\geq \begin{cases} 4(B_{n,1}B_{n,2} - B_n^2) > 0, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ 8(1-p)(2-p)B_n^2 > 0, & \text{if } p > 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exists a sequence  $\{\delta_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and two functions  $k_n(\delta) \in C^1(-\delta_n, \delta_n)$ ,  $l_n(\delta) \in C^1(-\delta_n, \delta_n)$  satisfying  $k_n(0) = 0$ ,  $l_n(0) = 0$  and

$$h_n(\delta, k_n(\delta), l_n(\delta)) = 0, \quad g_n(\delta, k_n(\delta), l_n(\delta)) = 0, \quad \forall \delta \in (-\delta_n, \delta_n). \quad (3.14)$$

Set  $\varphi_{n,\delta} := u_n + \delta\varphi + k_n(\delta)u_n^+ + l_n(\delta)u_n^-$ . Then (3.14) implies that  $\varphi_{n,\delta} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  for all  $\delta \in (-\delta_n, \delta_n)$ . By (3.11) we have

$$\Psi(\varphi_{n,\delta}) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|\delta\varphi + k_n(\delta)u_n^+ + l_n(\delta)u_n^-\|. \quad (3.15)$$

By the Taylor Expansion, we see that

$$\Psi(\varphi_{n,\delta}) = \Psi(u_n) + \delta \langle \Psi'(u_n), \varphi \rangle + o(\|\delta\varphi + k_n(\delta)u_n^+ + l_n(\delta)u_n^-\|), \quad (3.16)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\langle \Psi'(u_n), u_n^\pm \rangle = 0$ . Since  $\{u_n\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $H$  and  $T > 0$ , we conclude that  $\{k'_n(0)\}$  and  $\{l'_n(0)\}$  are respectively uniformly bounded. Then

$$\frac{o(\|\delta\varphi + k_n(\delta)u_n^+ + l_n(\delta)u_n^-\|)}{\delta} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

which and (3.15), (3.16) show that

$$|\langle \Psi'(u_n), \varphi \rangle| \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $n$ . So  $\Psi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Crrea, On existence of solutions for a class of problem involving a nonlinear operator, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* 8 (2001), 43-56.
- [2] P. D'Ancona, S. Spagnolo, Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, *Invent. Math.* 108 (2) (1992), 247-262.
- [3] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1) (1996), 305-330.
- [4] T. Bartsch, Z. L. Liu, T. Weth, Sign changing solutions of superlinear Schrödinger equations, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 29 (2004), 25-42.
- [5] T. Bartsch, Z. Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 20 (1995), 1725-1741.
- [6] T. Bartsch, T. Weth, Three nodal solutions of singularly perturbed elliptic equations on domains without topology, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 22 (2005), 259-281.
- [7] S. Bernstein, Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles aux dérivées partielles, *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér.* 4 (1940), 17-26.
- [8] A. Castro, J. Cossio, J. M. Neuberger, A sign-changing solution for a superlinear Dirichlet problem. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 27 (1997), 1041-1053.
- [9] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, J. A. Soriano, Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 6 (6) (2001), 701-730.
- [10] P. Choquard, J. Stubbe, M. Vuffray, Stationary solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton model—an ODE approach, *Differ. Integral Equ.* 21 (2008), no. 7-8, 665-679.
- [11] M. Clapp, D. Salazar, Positive and sign changing solutions to a nonlinear Choquard equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 407 (2013), 1-15.
- [12] M. F. Furtado, L. A. Maia, E. S. Medeiros, Positive and nodal solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with indefinite potential, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 8 (2008), 353-373.
- [13] H. Genev, G. Venkov, Soliton and blow-up solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger-Hartree equation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* 5 (2012), no. 5, 903-923.
- [14] X. M. He, W. M. Zou, Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *J. Differ. Equ.* 252 (2012), 1813-1834.

- [15] J. H. Jin, X. Wu, Infinitely many radial solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 369 (2010), 564-574.
- [16] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [17] G. B. Li, H. Y. Ye, Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *J. Differ. Equ.* 257 (2014), 566-600.
- [18] G. B. Li, H. Y. Ye, Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear Kirchhoff type problems in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with critical Sobolev exponent, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 37 (2014), 2570-2584.
- [19] Y. Li, et al., Existence of a positive solution to Kirchhoff type problems without compactness conditions, *J. Differ. Equ.* 253 (2012), 2285-2294.
- [20] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, *Studies in Appl. Math.* 57 (1977), no. 2, 93-105.
- [21] E. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduates Studies in Mathematics, vol.14. AMS, 1997.
- [22] E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, The Hartree-Fock theory for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 53 (1977), 185-194.
- [23] J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, in: *Contemporary Development in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations*, in: North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1978, pp. 284-346.
- [24] P. L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 4 (1980), no. 6, 1063-1072.
- [25] W. Liu, X. M. He, Multiplicity of high energy solutions for superlinear Kirchhoff equations, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 39 (2012), 473-487.
- [26] V. Moroz, R. Penrose, P. Tod, Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations, *Classical Quantum Gravity* 15 (1998), no. 9, 2733-2742.
- [27] V. Moroz, J. V. Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.* 265 (2013), 153-184.
- [28] V. Moroz, J. V. Schaftingen, Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* to appear, arXiv: 1212.2027.

- [29] E. S. Noussair, J. C. Wei, On the effect of domain geometry on the existence and profile of nodal solution of some singularly perturbed semilinear Dirichlet problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 46 (1997), 1321-1332.
- [30] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [31] S. I. Pohozaev, A certain class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Mat. Sb. (NS)* 96 (138) (1975), 152-166, 168 (in Russian).
- [32] M. Riesz, L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, *Acta Math.* 81 (1949), 1-223.
- [33] J. Wang et al., Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth, *J. Differ. Equ.* 253 (2012), 2314-2351.
- [34] Z. P. Wang, H. S. Zhou, Sign-changing solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* DOI 10.1007/s00526-014-0738-5.
- [35] X. Wu, Existence of nontrivial solutions and high energy solutions for Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Applications* 12 (2011), 1278-1287.
- [36] H. Y. Ye, The sharp existence of constrained minimizers for a class of nonlinear Kirchhoff equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* DOI: 10.1002/mma.3247.
- [37] W. M. Zou, *Sign-Changing Critical Point Theory*, Springer, New York (2008).