

January 1, 2015

Tinkertoys for the Twisted E_6 Theory

Oscar Chacaltana ^a, Jacques Distler ^b and Anderson Trimm ^b

^a *ICTP South American Institute for
 Fundamental Research,
 Instituto de Física Teórica,
 Universidade Estadual Paulista,
 01140-070 São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

^b *Theory Group and
 Texas Cosmology Center
 Department of Physics,
 University of Texas at Austin,
 Austin, TX 78712, USA*

Email: chacaltana@ift.unesp.br

Email: distler@golem.ph.utexas.edu

Email: atrimm@physics.utexas.edu

Abstract

We study $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories that arise as the compactification of the six-dimensional $(2, 0)$ theory of type E_6 on a punctured Riemann surface in the presence of \mathbb{Z}_2 outer-automorphism twists. We explicitly carry out the classification of these theories in terms of three-punctured spheres and cylinders, and provide tables of properties of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -twisted punctures. An expression is given for the superconformal index of a fixture with twisted punctures of type E_6 , which we use to check our identifications. Several of our fixtures have Higgs branches which are isomorphic to instanton moduli spaces, and we find that S-dualities involving these fixtures imply interesting isomorphisms between hyperKähler quotients of these spaces. Additionally, we find families of fixtures for which the Sommers-Achar group, which was previously a Coulomb branch concept, acts non-trivially on the Higgs branch operators.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The twisted E_6 theory	1
2.1	The Hitchin system	1
2.2	k -differentials	2
3	Tinkertoys	3
3.1	Twisted punctures	3
3.2	Free-field fixtures	5
3.3	Interacting fixtures with one irregular puncture	6
3.4	Interacting fixtures with enhanced global symmetry	6
3.5	Mixed fixtures	13
3.6	Gauge theory fixtures	16
4	Global symmetries and the superconformal index	17
4.1	Superconformal index for twisted fixtures	17
4.2	Higher-order expansion of the index	17
5	Enhanced global symmetries: the Sommers-Achar group on the Higgs branch	18
6	$R_{2,5}$	22
7	Product SCFTs	24
8	Instanton moduli spaces	28
8.1	$M(E_6, 2) // SU(3) \simeq (M(E_6, 1) \times M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^7) // G_2$	29
8.2	$(M(E_6, 2) \times \mathbb{H}) // SU(2) \simeq M(E_8, 1) // SU(3)$	30
8.3	$M(E_7, 3) // Spin(8) \simeq (M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26}) // F_4$ and $(M(E_7, 2) \times M(E_7, 1)) // Spin(8) \simeq (M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^9) // Spin(9)$	34
8.4	$(M(E_8, 2) \times \mathbb{H}^{32}) // Spin(12) \simeq (M(E_8, 1) \times M(E_8, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{45}) // Spin(13)$	35
8.5	Semi-simple quotients	37
8.6	More isomorphisms among hyperKähler quotients	38
9	Instanton moduli spaces as affine algebraic varieties	41
	Acknowledgements	45
	Appendix A Constraints	46
	Appendix B Appendix: Embeddings of $SU(2)$ in F_4	47
	Appendix C Projection matrices	48

1. Introduction

In recent years, remarkable progress has been made in the study of $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories by realizing them as partially-twisted compactifications of $6D (2, 0)$ theories of type $j = A, D, E$ on a punctured Riemann surface, C [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. In addition to ordinary $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories, this class of theories (sometimes called “class \mathcal{S} ”) contains many strongly-interacting SCFTs, with no known Lagrangian description [8,9].

An even larger class of theories can be constructed by including punctures which carry a non-trivial action of the outer-automorphism group of j [10,11]. In a series of papers [12,13,11,14,15,16,17], we have presented a method of classification of these theories. By listing the allowed three-punctured spheres (“fixtures”), and the cylinders connecting them, which can occur in a pants decomposition of C , and giving the rules for gluing these together, one can build up an arbitrary theory in this class. Different pants decompositions of the same surface C give different weakly-coupled presentations of the same theory, related by S-duality.

In this paper, we turn our attention to the theories obtained by compactifying the $(2, 0)$ theory of type E_6 in the presence of punctures twisted by a \mathbb{Z}_2 outer-automorphism. (The analysis of the untwisted theories of type E_6 can be found in [16].) The twisted punctures are in 1-1 correspondence with embeddings $\rho : \mathfrak{su}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_4$, and we label them by the Bala-Carter label of the corresponding nilpotent orbit. For a given puncture, we compute all the local properties which contribute to determining the $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT and record them in Table 3.1. We also determine a projection matrix implementing the branching rule under each embedding, which we use to compute the expansion of the superconformal index. These can be found in Appendix C.

2. The twisted E_6 theory

2.1. The Hitchin system

For a choice of Riemann surface C , the compactification of the $6D (2,0)$ theory of type E_6 on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times C$ yields a $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$ theory on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. The $(2, 0)$ theory of type E_6 has an outer-automorphism group which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 . This allows us to introduce a class of “twisted” punctures, around which the fields on C undergo a monodromy by a non-trivial element of the outer-automorphism group¹. The properties of these punctures are listed in table 3.1.

In [16] we studied the theories that arise from compactifying the $E_6 (2, 0)$ on a Riemann surface with untwisted punctures. These punctures are classified by nilpotent orbits in the complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_6 , and obey a Hitchin boundary condition of the form

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{A}{z} + \mathfrak{e}_6$$

where Φ is the Higgs field, z is a local coordinate on C such that the puncture is at $z = 0$,

¹We also allow for the fields on C to undergo a monodromy upon traversing a homologically non-trivial cycle.

A is a nilpotent element in \mathfrak{e}_6 , and \mathfrak{e}_6 in the boundary condition above denotes a generic element of \mathfrak{e}_6 (or a regular function of z taking values in \mathfrak{e}_6).

By contrast, twisted punctures are classified by nilpotent orbits in the complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{f}_4 , and obey a twisted boundary condition,

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{A}{z} + \frac{o_{-1}}{z^{1/2}} + \mathfrak{f}_4$$

Here, we have split \mathfrak{e}_6 into eigenspaces under the action of the \mathbb{Z}_2 outer-automorphism, as $\mathfrak{e}_6 = \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus o_{-1}$, where \mathfrak{f}_4 (o_{-1}) is the even (odd) eigenspace. Also, A is a nilpotent element in \mathfrak{f}_4 , and o_{-1} and \mathfrak{f}_4 above represent generic elements in the respective spaces.

2.2. k -differentials

We use the basis of E_6 Casimir k -differentials $\{\phi_2, \phi_5, \phi_6, \phi_8, \phi_9, \phi_{12}\}$ of our previous paper [16]. For the reader's convenience, we repeat here how to construct this basis in terms of the trace invariants $P_k = \text{Tr}(\Phi^k)$ for Φ in the adjoint representation of E_6 .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2 &= \frac{1}{48} P_2 \\ \phi_6 &= \frac{1}{24} \left(P_6 - \frac{7}{4608} (P_2)^3 \right) \\ \phi_8 &= \frac{1}{30} \left(P_8 - \frac{2}{9} P_6 P_2 + \frac{155}{663552} (P_2)^4 \right) \\ \phi_{10} &= -\frac{1}{105} \left(P_{10} - \frac{17}{96} P_8 P_2 + \frac{77}{6912} P_6 (P_2)^2 - \frac{427}{63700992} (P_2)^5 \right) \\ \phi_{12} &= \frac{1}{155} \left(P_{12} - \frac{107}{504} P_{10} P_2 + \frac{515}{32256} P_8 (P_2)^2 - \frac{41}{108} (P_6)^2 + \frac{295}{497664} P_6 (P_2)^3 - \frac{5669}{9172942848} (P_2)^6 \right) \\ \phi_{14} &= \frac{1}{4389} \left(P_{14} - \frac{3479}{14880} P_{12} P_2 + \frac{61391}{3214080} P_{10} (P_2)^2 - \frac{539}{2160} P_8 P_6 - \frac{139733}{617103360} P_8 (P_2)^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{165781}{4821120} (P_6)^2 P_2 - \frac{3488947}{44431441920} P_6 (P_2)^4 + \frac{19596907}{409480168734720} (P_2)^7 \right) \end{aligned}$$

These relations define all the Casimirs except ϕ_5 and ϕ_9 . These can be computed from ϕ_{10} and ϕ_{14} , which factorize as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{10} &= \phi_5^2, \\ \phi_{14} &= \phi_5 \phi_9. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the choice of sign of ϕ_5 determines also the sign of ϕ_9 . This is precisely the action of the \mathbb{Z}_2 outer-automorphism of E_6 on the Casimir k -differentials,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_5 &\mapsto -\phi_5 \\ \phi_9 &\mapsto -\phi_9 \\ \phi_k &\mapsto \phi_k, \quad k = 2, 6, 8, 12 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can expect that the leading pole orders of the ϕ_k for twisted punctures will be half-integer for $k = 5, 9$, and integer for $k = 2, 6, 8, 12$, corresponding to the orders of the Casimirs of F_4 .

3. Tinkertoys

We find 2078 fixtures with 3 regular punctures, two twisted and one untwisted, which correspond to either an interacting SCFT, a mix of an interacting SCFT and free hypers, or a gauge theory. Of these, we find 1757 SCFTs without global symmetry enhancement, 122 SCFTs with enhanced global symmetry, 32 mixed fixtures, and 167 gauge theory fixtures.

Additionally, there are 23 fixtures with one irregular puncture: 15 free-field fixtures, 6 interacting fixtures, 1 mixed fixture, and 1 gauge theory fixture.

Below, we give tables of the twisted punctures and their properties, as well as tables of the twisted fixtures. For the mixed fixtures, we list $\{d_k\}$ and (n_h, n_v) of the interacting SCFT, and the representation of the free hypermultiplets. We do not list the fixtures without global symmetry enhancement, as their properties can be readily computed from the tables of punctures. Tables of untwisted punctures and fixtures can be found in our previous paper [16]. Following the conventions of that paper, in the tables we denote the Bala-Carter labels of twisted punctures by underlining them; in the figures, twisted punctures are denoted in gray.

3.1. Twisted punctures

Twisted punctures in the E_6 theory are labeled by nilpotent orbits in \mathfrak{f}_4 , which we denote by the corresponding Bala-Carter label. As discussed in [16], the Bala-Carter notation provides a systematic way to label nilpotent orbits in any exceptional semisimple Lie algebra, and a concise review can be found in appendix A of [16]. Here we merely add that for the \mathfrak{f}_4 nilpotent orbits, components of the Levi subalgebra in the Bala-Carter label with (without) a tilde are constructed from the short (long) roots of \mathfrak{f}_4 . (So, e.g., $A_2 + \tilde{A}_1$ and $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$ represent different orbits.)

The pole structure of the k -differentials is denoted by $\{p_2, p_5, p_6, p_8, p_9, p_{12}\}$, and, for twisted punctures, p_5 are p_9 are half-integer. The contributions to the graded Coulomb branch dimensions are denoted by $\{d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_8, d_9, d_{12}\}$, allowing for new Coulomb branch parameters (introduced by a-constraints) of dimensions 3 and 4, which are not degrees of E_6 Casimirs. The constraints are shown separately in Appendix A.

Nahm orbit	Hitchin orbit	Pole structure	Coulomb branch contributions	Flavour group	$(\delta n_h, \delta n_v)$
<u>0</u>	F_4	$\{1, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{17}{2}, 11\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{17}{2}, 11\}$	$(F_4)_{18}$	(624, 601)
<u>A_1</u>	$(F_4(a_1), \mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\{1, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 11\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 11\}$	$Sp(3)_{13}$	(599, 584)
<u>\tilde{A}_1</u>	$F_4(a_1)$	$\{1, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 11\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{9}{2}, 6, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$SU(4)_{12}$	(584, 572)
<u>$A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$</u>	$F_4(a_2)$	$\{1, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$SU(2)_{64} \times SU(2)_{10}$	(570, 561)
<u>A_2</u>	B_3	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$SU(3)_{16}$	(560, 552)

Nahm orbit	Hitchin orbit	Pole structure	Coulomb branch contributions	Flavour group	$(\delta n_h, \delta n_v)$
\tilde{A}_2	C_3	$\{1, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 7, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 1, 0, \frac{9}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 9\}$	$(G_2)_{10}$	(536, 528)
$A_2 + \tilde{A}_1$	$(F_4(a_3), S_4)$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 0, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$SU(2)_{39}$	(543, 537)
B_2	$(F_4(a_3), \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 1, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 6, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	$SU(2)_7^2$	(518, 513)
$\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$	$(F_4(a_3), S_3)$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 1, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 9\}$	$SU(2)_{20}$	(524, 519)
$C_3(a_1)$	$(F_4(a_3), \mathbb{Z}_2)$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 2, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	$SU(2)_7$	(511, 507)
$F_4(a_3)$	$F_4(a_3)$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 3, 0, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	–	(504, 501)
B_3	A_2	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	$\{1, 0, 1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 5, \frac{11}{2}, 8\}$	$SU(2)_{24}$	(440, 438)
C_3	\tilde{A}_2	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 5, 6, \frac{15}{2}, 10\}$	$\{1, 1, 1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 5, \frac{11}{2}, 7\}$	$SU(2)_6$	(422, 420)
$F_4(a_2)$	$A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	$\{1, 0, 1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 5, \frac{11}{2}, 7\}$	–	(416, 415)
$F_4(a_1)$	\tilde{A}_1	$\{1, \frac{7}{2}, 4, 6, \frac{13}{2}, 9\}$	$\{2, 1, 0, \frac{5}{2}, 4, 4, \frac{9}{2}, 6\}$	–	(352, 352)
F_4	0	$\{1, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4, \frac{9}{2}, 6\}$	$\{1, 1, 0, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3\}$	–	(184, 185)

There is a special piece consisting of *five* nilpotent orbits,

$$A_2 + \tilde{A}_1, \quad \tilde{A}_2 + A_1, \quad B_2, \quad C_3(a_1), \quad F_4(a_3).$$

The corresponding Hitchin boundary conditions are $(F_4(a_3), \Gamma)$, where the Sommers-Achar group, Γ , is a subgroup of S_4 . The leading pole coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_5^{(6)} &= -\left(6a^2 + 3a'^2 + a''^2\right) \\
c_{15/2}^{(9)} &= \frac{1}{3}(a + a') \left((2a - a')^2 - a''^2\right) \\
c_{10}^{(12)} &= 3a'^2(4a + a')^2 + 2(8a^2 - 12aa' + a'^2)a''^2 + \frac{1}{3}a''^4 - \frac{4}{3}\left(c_5^{(6)}\right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

are invariant under the S_4 action, $\left(\frac{a}{a''}\right) \mapsto \gamma \left(\frac{a}{a''}\right)$, generated by

$$\sigma_{12} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

- For the special orbit, $F_4(a_3)$, the Sommers-Achar group is trivial, and a, a', a'' are invariants.

- For $C_3(a_1)$, the Sommers-Achar group is the \mathbb{Z}_2 generated by σ_{34} and the invariants are a, a', a''^2 .
- For B_2 , the Sommers-Achar group is the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by σ_{12}, σ_{34} and the invariants are $a + 2a', a''^2, 2a^2 + a'^2$.
- For $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$, the Sommers-Achar group is the S_3 generated by σ_{23}, σ_{34} and the invariants are $a, 3a'^2 + a''^2, c_{15/2}^{(9)}$.
- Finally, for $A_2 + \tilde{A}_1$, the Sommers-Achar group is the full S_4 , and the invariants are $c_5^{(6)}, c_{15/2}^{(9)}, c_{10}^{(12)}$.

In §5, we will discover an action of this S_4 group on the Higgs branch of certain fixtures obtained by varying one of the punctures over this special piece.

3.2. Free-field fixtures

#	Fixture	n_h	Representation
1	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{F_4}} (D_4, SU(3)_0)$	0	empty
2	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{F_4(a_1)}} (A_2, SU(3)_0^2)$	0	empty
3	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{F_4(a_2)}} (A_1, SU(6)_6)$	10	$\frac{1}{2}(20)$
4	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{B_3}} (0, SU(6)_0)$	0	empty
5	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{E_6(a_1)}} (\underline{F_4(a_1)},)$	0	empty
6	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{E_6(a_3)}} (\underline{C_3(a_1)}, SU(2)_1)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}(2)$
7	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{A_5}} (\underline{B_2}, SU(2)_1)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}(2)$
8	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{D_5(a_1)}} (\tilde{A}_2, SU(3)_2)$	1	1(3)
9	$\frac{F_4}{\underline{D_5}} (\underline{C_3}, SU(2)_2)$	2	1(2)

#	Fixture	n_h	Representation
10	$\frac{F_4}{A_4 + A_1} (\tilde{A}_1, SU(3)_0)$	0	empty
11	$\frac{F_4}{A_4} (\tilde{A}_1, SU(4)_4)$	8	(2, 4)
12	$\frac{F_4(a_1)}{E_6(a_1)} (\underline{B}_2, SU(2)_1^2)$	2	$\frac{1}{2}(2, 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$
13	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{E_6(a_1)} (\tilde{A}_1, Sp(2)_0)$	0	empty
14	$\frac{C_3}{E_6(a_1)} (\tilde{A}_1, SU(4)_4)$	6	$\frac{1}{2}(2, 6)$
15	$\frac{B_3}{E_6(a_1)} (\underline{A}_1, Sp(3)_3)$	9	$\frac{1}{2}(3, 6)$

3.3. Interacting fixtures with one irregular puncture

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	Theory
1	$\frac{F_4}{D_4} (\tilde{A}_2, G_2)$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(16, 5)	$(E_6)_6$ SCFT
2	$\frac{F_4}{D_4(a_1)} (\underline{0}, Spin(8))$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(16, 5)	$(E_6)_6$ SCFT
3	$\frac{F_4}{C_3} (A_1, SU(6)_6)$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(16, 5)	$(E_6)_6$ SCFT
4	$\frac{F_4}{A_3} (\underline{0}, Spin(9))$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(36, 14)	$Spin(14)_{10} \times U(1)$ SCFT
5	$\frac{C_3}{D_5} (\underline{0}, Spin(9))$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(38, 21)	$Spin(9)_{10} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
6	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_5} (\underline{0}, Spin(9))$	(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(32, 16)	$Spin(9)_{10} \times U(1)$

3.4. Interacting fixtures with enhanced global symmetry

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
1	$\frac{F_4}{0} \quad \underline{F_4(a_3)}$	(0, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(64, 20)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]^4$
2	$\frac{F_4}{0} \quad \underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 3, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(71, 26)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]^2 \times [(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \text{ SCFT}]$
3	$\frac{F_4}{0} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(84, 38)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times SU(2)_{20} \text{ SCFT}]$
4	$\frac{F_4}{0} \quad \underline{B_2}$	(0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)	(78, 32)	$[(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \text{ SCFT}]^2$
5	$\frac{F_4}{0} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(96, 47)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}]$
6	$\frac{F_4}{2A_1} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(64, 31)	$Spin(13)_{16} \times U(1)$
7	$\frac{F_4}{A_1} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(86, 48)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(6)_{18}$
8	$\frac{F_4}{2A_1} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(74, 40)	$Spin(10)_{16} \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{32} \times U(1)$
9	$\frac{F_4}{A_1} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(96, 57)	$SU(6)_{18} \times SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{10}$
10	$\frac{F_4}{2A_1} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(88, 51)	$Spin(8)_{16} \times SU(4)_{12} \times U(1)^2$
11	$\frac{F_4}{A_1} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(110, 68)	$SU(6)_{18} \times SU(4)_{12} \times U(1)$
12	$\frac{F_4}{3A_1} \quad \underline{A_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1)	(84, 48)	$Sp(4)_{13} \times SU(3)_{24}$
13	$\frac{F_4}{A_2 + 2A_1} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)	(70, 31)	$(E_7)_{18} \times U(1)$
14	$\frac{F_4}{2A_2} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(56, 16)	$[(E_8)_{12} \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]$
15	$\frac{F_4}{A_2 + A_1} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(83, 42)	$(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12} \times U(1)$
16	$\frac{F_4}{A_2} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0)	(96, 53)	$(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12}^2$
17	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2 + 2A_1} \quad \underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(94, 75)	$SU(2)_{54-k} \times SU(2)_k \times U(1)$
18	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{2A_2} \quad \underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(80, 60)	$Spin(7)_{12} \times U(1)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
19	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2 + A_1}$ $\underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(107, 86)	$SU(3)_{12} \times U(1)^2$
20	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2}$ $\underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 0, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 0)	(120, 97)	$SU(3)_{12}^2 \times U(1)$
21	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2 + 2A_1}$ $\underline{C_3}$	(0, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(100, 80)	$SU(2)_{36} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
22	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{2A_2}$ $\underline{C_3}$	(0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(86, 65)	$Spin(7)_{12} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
23	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2 + A_1}$ $\underline{C_3}$	(0, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(113, 91)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
24	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_2}$ $\underline{C_3}$	(0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 0)	(126, 102)	$SU(3)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
25	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_4(a_1)}$ $\underline{B_3}$	(0, 0, 4, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(64, 48)	$SU(2)_8^3 \times U(1)^2$
26	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_3 + A_1}$ $\underline{B_3}$	(0, 0, 3, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(73, 56)	$SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_9 \times U(1)$
27	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_3}$ $\underline{B_3}$	(0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(84, 65)	$SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_8 \times Sp(2)_{10} \times U(1)$
28	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4 + A_1}$ $\underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(79, 63)	$SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^3$
29	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4}$ $\underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(87, 70)	$SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^3$
30	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4 + A_1}$ $\underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(92, 75)	$SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)^2$
31	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4}$ $\underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(100, 82)	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_8 \times U(1)^2$
32	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4 + A_1}$ $\underline{B_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(86, 69)	$SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)^2$
33	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4}$ $\underline{B_2}$	(0, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(94, 76)	$SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)^2$
34	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4 + A_1}$ $\underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(104, 84)	$(G_2)_{10} \times U(1)^2$
35	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_4}$ $\underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(112, 91)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(2)_8 \times U(1)^2$
36	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{E_6(a_3)}$ $\underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(56, 38)	$Spin(7)_{16} \times U(1)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
37	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(63, 44)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^2$
38	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(83, 64)	$(G_2)_{16} \times U(1)$
39	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_4} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(96, 75)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(3)_{12}$
40	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(66, 47)	$SU(2)_{64-k_1-k_2} \times SU(2)_{k_1} \times SU(2)_{k_2} \times SU(2)_{10}$
41	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(73, 53)	$SU(2)_{32}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7$
42	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(93, 73)	$SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{10} \times U(1)$
43	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_4} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(106, 84)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{10}$
44	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(80, 58)	$SU(4)_{12} \times U(1)^2$
45	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(87, 64)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)$
46	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(107, 84)	$SU(4)_{12} \times U(1)^2$
47	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 0)	(120, 95)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(3)_{12} \times U(1)$
48	$\frac{C_3}{A_2 + 2A_1} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(106, 85)	$SU(2)_{36} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times U(1)$
49	$\frac{C_3}{2A_2} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(92, 70)	$Spin(7)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times U(1)$
50	$\frac{C_3}{A_2 + A_1} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(119, 96)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times U(1)^2$
51	$\frac{C_3}{A_2} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 2, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 0)	(132, 107)	$SU(3)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_6^2 \times U(1)$
52	$\frac{C_3}{D_4(a_1)} \quad \underline{B_3}$	(0, 1, 4, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(70, 53)	$SU(2)_8^3 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
53	$\frac{C_3}{A_3 + A_1} \quad \underline{B_3}$	(0, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(79, 61)	$SU(2)_9 \times SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
54	$\frac{C_3}{A_3} \quad \underline{B_3}$	(0, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(90, 70)	$Sp(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
55	$\frac{C_3}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(85, 68)	$SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^3$
56	$\frac{C_3}{A_4} \quad \underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(93, 75)	$SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^3$
57	$\frac{C_3}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(98, 80)	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
58	$\frac{C_3}{A_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(106, 87)	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
59	$\frac{C_3}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{B_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(92, 74)	$SU(2)_7^2 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
60	$\frac{C_3}{A_4} \quad \underline{B_2}$	(0, 2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(100, 81)	$SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_7^2 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
61	$\frac{C_3}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(110, 89)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
62	$\frac{C_3}{A_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(118, 96)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
63	$\frac{C_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(62, 43)	$Spin(7)_{16} \times SU(2)_6$
64	$\frac{C_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(69, 49)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
65	$\frac{C_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(89, 69)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
66	$\frac{C_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(102, 80)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_6$
67	$\frac{C_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(72, 52)	$SU(2)_{32} \times SU(2)_{16}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_6$
68	$\frac{C_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(79, 58)	$SU(2)_{32}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6$
69	$\frac{C_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(99, 78)	$SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times$ $SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
70	$\frac{C_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(112, 89)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k$ $\times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_6$
71	$\frac{C_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(86, 63)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
72	$\frac{C_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(93, 69)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
73	$\frac{C_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(113, 89)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^2$
74	$\frac{C_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1, 0)	(126, 100)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
75	$\frac{B_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{F_4(a_3)}$	(0, 4, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(51, 36)	$SU(2)_6^4 \times U(1)^3$
76	$\frac{B_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{F_4(a_3)}$	(0, 4, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(64, 47)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^4$
77	$\frac{B_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(58, 42)	$SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^2$
78	$\frac{B_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{C_3(a_1)}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)	(71, 53)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times SU(2)_7$
79	$\frac{B_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(71, 54)	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
80	$\frac{B_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0)	(84, 65)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6$
81	$\frac{B_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{B_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)	(65, 48)	$SU(2)_7^2 \times SU(2)_{12}^2 \times U(1)^2$
82	$\frac{B_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{B_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 3, 0, 0, 0)	(78, 59)	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 \times SU(2)_{12}^2$
83	$\frac{B_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)	(63, 46)	$SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{39-k} \times SU(2)_{24}$
84	$\frac{B_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1)	(70, 52)	$SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{26} \times SU(2)_{13} \times SU(2)_{24}$
85	$\frac{B_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(56, 37)	$Spin(7)_{10} \times SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2$
86	$\frac{B_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)	(63, 43)	$Spin(7)_{10} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{12}^2$
87	$\frac{B_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(83, 63)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)$
88	$\frac{B_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 0, 1, 0)	(96, 74)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6$
89	$\frac{B_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)	(80, 61)	$SU(3)_{16} \times SU(2)_{24} \times U(1)$
90	$\frac{B_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 1)	(87, 67)	$SU(3)_{16} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{24} \times U(1)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
91	$\frac{B_3}{D_5} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(56, 23)	$(E_7)_8 \times (F_4)_{10} \times U(1)$
92	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(72, 57)	$U(1)^4$
93	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{A_4} \quad \underline{F_4(a_2)}$	(0, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(80, 64)	$SU(2)_8 \times U(1)^4$
94	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{A_4 + A_1} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(78, 62)	$SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^4$
95	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{A_4} \quad \underline{C_3}$	(0, 4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(86, 69)	$SU(2)_8 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1)^4$
96	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)	(56, 37)	$Spin(8)_{16} \times U(1)$
97	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)	(66, 46)	$SU(2)_{16}^4 \times SU(2)_{10} \times U(1)$
98	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{D_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(80, 57)	$SU(4)_{12} \times U(1)^4$
99	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(48, 15)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]^3$
100	$\frac{C_3(a_1)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(63, 43)	$Spin(7)_{16} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)$
101	$\frac{C_3(a_1)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(73, 52)	$SU(2)_{32} \times SU(2)_{16}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)$
102	$\frac{C_3(a_1)}{D_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(87, 63)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^3$
103	$\frac{C_3(a_1)}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(55, 21)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \text{ SCFT}]$
104	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(76, 55)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)$
105	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(86, 64)	$SU(2)_{48} \times SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)$
106	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{D_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(100, 75)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)^2$
107	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(68, 33)	$(E_6)_{18} \times SU(2)_{20} \text{ SCFT}$
108	$\frac{B_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(70, 49)	$(G_2)_{16} \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)^2$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	G_k
109	$\frac{B_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(80, 58)	$SU(2)_{32}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)$
110	$\frac{B_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(94, 69)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)^2$
111	$\frac{B_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)	(62, 27)	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 \text{ SCFT}]$
112	$\frac{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)	(78, 58)	$Sp(2)_{39} \times U(1)$
113	$\frac{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)	(62, 34)	$Sp(4)_{13} \times SU(2)_{26}$
114	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2}$	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(88, 64)	$(G_2)_{16} \times (G_2)_{10} \times U(1)$
115	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	(98, 73)	$(G_2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{10} \times U(1)$
116	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0)	(112, 84)	$SU(4)_{12} \times (G_2)_{10} \times U(1)^2$
117	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{0}$	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	(80, 42)	$(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}$
118	$\frac{A_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(64, 37)	$Spin(7)_{12} \times (G_2)_{16} \times U(1)$
119	$\frac{A_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)	(79, 49)	$Sp(3)_{13} \times SU(3)_{16} \times U(1)$
120	$\frac{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	(60, 35)	$SU(4)_{32} \times Sp(2)_{10}$
121	$\frac{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1, 0, 0)	(74, 46)	$SU(4)_{12} \times SU(2)_{32}^2 \times SU(2)_{10}$
122	$\frac{\tilde{A}_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 0, 0, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0)	(88, 57)	$SU(4)_{12}^2 \times U(1)$

3.5. Mixed fixtures

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	Theory
1	$\frac{F_4}{A_3 + A_1} \quad (\underline{0}, Spin(9))$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(16, 5)	$(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT} + 1(9)$
2	$\frac{F_4}{2A_1} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	(38, 16)	$(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2) + \frac{1}{2}(7, 2)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	Theory
3	$\frac{F_4}{A_1} \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$	$(68, 33)$	$(E_6)_{18} \times SU(2)_{20} + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$
4	$\frac{F_4}{3A_1} \underline{A_2}$	$(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(39, 16)$	$(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 + (1, 2, 3)$
5	$\frac{F_4}{3A_1} \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(52, 25)$	$SU(6)_{12} \times Spin(7)_{10} + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 3, 1)$
6	$\frac{F_4}{3A_1} \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(68, 36)$	$SU(6)_{12} \times SU(3)_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 1)$
7	$\frac{F_4}{A_2 + 2A_1} \underline{A_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(36, 14)$	$Spin(14)_{10} \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(3, 6)$
8	$\frac{F_4}{A_2 + A_1} \underline{A_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(55, 25)$	$SU(9)_{12} \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 6)$
9	$\frac{F_4}{A_2} \underline{A_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(68, 36)$	$SU(6)_{12} \times SU(3)_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 6)$
10	$\frac{F_4}{2A_2 + A_1} \underline{0}$	$(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(16, 5)$	$(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT} + \frac{1}{2}(26, 2)$
11	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{2A_2 + A_1} \underline{F_4(a_2)}$	$(0, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$	$(65, 49)$	$SU(2)_{25-k} \times SU(2)_k \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2)$
12	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{2A_2 + A_1} \underline{C_3}$	$(0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$	$(71, 54)$	$SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_9 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1)$
13	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{E_6(a_3)} \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(37, 23)$	$Sp(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 + 2$
14	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{A_5} \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(45, 29)$	$Sp(2)_7 \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$
15	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_5(a_1)} \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$	$(65, 49)$	$SU(2)_{38-k} \times SU(2)_k \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2)$
16	$\frac{F_4(a_2)}{D_4} \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0)$	$(78, 60)$	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	Theory
17	$\frac{C_3}{2A_2 + A_1} \quad C_3$	$(0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$	$(77, 59)$	$SU(2)_{16} \times SU(2)_9 \times SU(2)_6^2 \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1, 1)$
18	$\frac{C_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(43, 28)$	$SU(2)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_6^2 \times SU(2)_7 + (2, 1)$
19	$\frac{C_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(51, 34)$	$Sp(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{24} \times SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_6 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 1)$
20	$\frac{C_3}{D_5(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$	$(71, 54)$	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1)$
21	$\frac{C_3}{D_4} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0)$	$(84, 65)$	$SU(3)_{12} \times SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times SU(2)_6 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 1)$
22	$\frac{B_3}{E_6(a_3)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	$(0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(43, 28)$	$SU(2)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_6^2 \times SU(2)_7 + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1)$
23	$\frac{B_3}{A_5} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(50, 34)$	$SU(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{12}^3 \times SU(2)_7 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 1)$
24	$\frac{F_4(a_3)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$(36, 22)$	$SU(2)_6^6 \times U(1) + \frac{3}{2}(2)$
25	$\frac{C_3(a_1)}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(44, 28)$	$Sp(2)_7 \times SU(2)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_6 \times U(1) + (2, 1)$
26	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$	$(58, 40)$	$Sp(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1)$
27	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(39, 16)$	$(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 + \frac{1}{2}(6, 1) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$
28	$\frac{B_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(52, 34)$	$Sp(2)_7^2 \times SU(2)_{24} \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1, 1)$
29	$\frac{\underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(27, 11)$	$Sp(5)_7 + \frac{1}{2}(3, 1, 2) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 3)$
30	$\frac{\underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$(46, 22)$	$(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2)$

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	(n_h, n_v)	Theory
31	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{D_5} \quad \underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$	$(70, 49)$	$SU(2)_{20} \times SU(2)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \times U(1) + \frac{1}{2}(2, 1)$
32	$\frac{\tilde{A}_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1}$	$(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(52, 25)$	$SU(6)_{12} \times Spin(7)_{10} + \frac{1}{2}(6, 1)$
33	$\frac{A_2}{E_6(a_1)} \quad \underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)$	$(49, 26)$	$SU(6)_{16} \times SU(2)_9 + \frac{1}{2}(1, 2, 1)$

We note that for mixed fixture 22 on our list, the order q (equivalently, τ^2) term in the expansion of its superconformal index implies that the global symmetry is enhanced to $SU(2)_{19-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{24-k_1-k_2} \times SU(2)_{k_1} \times SU(2)_{k_2}$. Since we are not able to gauge any of the punctures, we cannot determine the levels k, k_1, k_2 using an S-duality.

However, by setting $k = 7, k_1 = k_2 = 6$, its properties agree with that of mixed fixture 18, up to the addition of a half-hypermultiplet. As further evidence, we have checked that the next non-trivial term in the expansion of the superconformal index is the same for each theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\#18} &= \mathcal{I}_{\#22} \times \mathcal{I}_{\text{free}} \\
&= (1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 18q + 66q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots)(1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3q + 6q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots) \\
&= 1 + 4q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 25q + 114q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conjecture that the SCFT realized by fixture 22 is the same as that of 18, and fill in the levels in the table above.

3.6. Gauge theory fixtures

Gauge theory fixtures are 3-punctured spheres with 1 or 2 insertions of $F_4(a_1)$. There are 167 such 3-punctured spheres involving three regular punctures and 1 involving an irregular puncture. Of the 167, all but 1 are resolved by replacing the $F_4(a_1)$ by the pair $F_4, E_6(a_1)$; that is, they can be thought-of as 4-punctured spheres in disguise. The remaining case involves *two* $F_4(a_1)$ punctures and is really a 5-punctured sphere in disguise. The two exceptional cases are listed in the table below. Note that the latter involves two decoupled copies of a theory to be discussed at greater length in §8.2.

#	Fixture	$(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9, n_{12})$	Theory
1	$\frac{F_4(a_1)}{D_5} \quad (\tilde{A}_1, SU(4)_4)$	$(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$	$SU(2) + 4(2)$
2	$\frac{F_4(a_1)}{0} \quad \underline{F_4(a_1)}$	$(2, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$	$[SU(3) + (E_8)_{12}]^2 \simeq [SU(2) + \frac{1}{2}(2) + (E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7]^2$

4. Global symmetries and the superconformal index

To determine the global symmetry of each SCFT and the number of free hypermultiplets for each fixture, we use the superconformal index [6,18,19,20,21]. This analysis was carried out for the untwisted E_6 fixtures in [16]. We leave many of the details to that paper, and the references therein.

4.1. Superconformal index for twisted fixtures

Following [15,21,22], we assume that the superconformal index for an E_6 fixture with a two twisted punctures and one untwisted puncture takes the usual form:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{a}_i; \tau) = \mathcal{A}(\tau) \sum_{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)} \frac{\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a}_1; \tau) P_{E_6}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_1; \tau) \prod_{i=2}^3 \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a}_i; \tau) P_{F_4}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_i; \tau)}{P_{E_6}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_{\text{triv}}; \tau)} \quad (2)$$

where the sum runs over finite-dimensional irreducible representations of F_4 , and the Dynkin labels of each E_6 representation are determined by those of the corresponding F_4 representation, as indicated.

To obtain this formula, one can use the fact that, when C has genus zero, the Hall-Littlewood limit of the superconformal index coincides with the Coulomb branch Hilbert series of the $3d$ mirror of the $(2,0)$ theory on $C \times S^1$. For a fixture of type E_6 with twisted punctures, the $3d$ mirror is obtained by assigning the $3d$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCFT $T_{\tilde{\rho}}(F_4)$ to each twisted puncture $\tilde{\rho}$ and the SCFT $T_{\rho}(E_6)$ to the untwisted puncture ρ , and gauging the common centerless flavor symmetry $F_4/Z(F_4)$ [23,24]. The Coulomb branch Hilbert series can then be computed following [25,26], giving (2).

The Taylor expansion of the superconformal index is given by [27]

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{a}_i; \tau) = 1 + \tau \chi_{free}^R(\mathbf{a}_i) + \tau^2 (\chi_{free}^{adj}(\mathbf{a}_i) + \chi_{SCFT}^{adj}(\mathbf{a}_i)) + \dots$$

allowing us to read off the number of free hypermultiplets and the global symmetry group of the interacting SCFT for a given fixture. Examples of this type of calculation can be found in [15,16,27].

4.2. Higher-order expansion of the index

Computing the expansion of (2) to higher-order becomes very tedious due to the sum over the Weyl group in the definition of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials. We will therefore also be interested in the Schur limit of the superconformal index, where the Hall-Littlewood polynomials are replaced by characters of the corresponding representations². For a twisted fixture, this is given by

²This limit corresponds to the $(0, q, t = q)$ slice in the space of superconformal fugacities [20].

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{a}_i; q) = \prod_{j=2,5,6,8,9,12} (q^j; q) \sum_{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a}_i) \chi_{F_4}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_i) \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a}_3) \chi_{E_6}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_3)}{\chi_{E_6}^{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_2, a_1, a_4)}(\mathbf{a}_{\text{triv}})} \quad (3)$$

where $(a; q) \equiv \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^j)$ is the q -Pochhammer symbol. We expand each character χ^λ in (3) in terms of $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{f}$ characters as determined by the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ embedding which defines the puncture, where the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ fugacity is set equal to $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Decomposing the adjoint representation as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_n V_n \otimes R_n$$

where V_n is the n -dimensional irrep of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and R_n is the corresponding representation of \mathfrak{f} , $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{a}) = PE \left[\sum_n q^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \chi_{\mathfrak{f}}^{R_n}(\mathbf{a}) \right],$$

where PE denotes the plethystic exponential. By their definitions, one can easily see that the coefficient of τ (τ^2) in the Hall-Littlewood index is the same as that of $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (q) in the Schur index (though the higher-order terms are different). However, while the Schur index removes the difficulty of explicitly summing over the Weyl group, we find that the number of terms in the sum in (3) grows very quickly at each order in $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and begins to involve large-dimensional representations of E_6 , also making the calculation very tedious. Therefore, in most of the calculations that follow, we compute only the next 1-2 terms in the expansion of the Schur index. It would be very useful to find a more efficient way to explicitly calculate (2), (3).

5. Enhanced global symmetries: the Sommers-Achar group on the Higgs branch

Consider a family of fixtures where we keep two of the punctures fixed, and vary the third puncture over a special piece, $\{O\}$. Let O_s be the special puncture in this special piece. The Sommers-Achar group $C(O)$, for each of the punctures O in the special piece, is a subgroup of Lusztig's canonical quotient group, $\overline{A}(d(O)) \simeq S_n$. Let O_m be the puncture with the maximal Sommers-Achar group, i.e, the one whose Hitchin pole is $(d(O), S_n)$.

It frequently happens that, when $O = O_s$, a simple factor (associated to one of the *other* punctures, which we are holding fixed) in the manifest global symmetry of the fixture is enhanced as

$$F_{kn} \rightarrow (F_k)^n$$


(There may, in addition, be further enhancements of the global symmetry but, by examining the fugacity-dependence of the superconformal index, we know unambiguously which ones are associated to the enhancement of F_{kn} .) When this enhancement takes place, for $O = O_s$, then, for $O = O_m$, the F_{kn} is unenhanced and, as O varies over the special piece, the

enhancement is the subgroup of $(F_k)^n$ which is invariant under $C(O)$ acting by permutations of the n copies of F_k .

In particular, this gives an explicit action of the Sommers-Achar group on the holomorphic moment map operators, which are generators of the Higgs branch chiral ring. Heretofore, the Sommers-Achar group was purely a Coulomb-branch concept [28].

We found numerous examples of this in [16] and were able to verify, using various S-dualities (see, e.g., Section 4 of [16]) that the levels of the factors of F in the global symmetry behave as predicted by this permutation action.

One example eluded us there. We were unable to verify, using S-duality, the levels of the $SU(3)$ s in the first two fixtures in



This example has an additional enhancement. As above, the manifest symmetry of the $A_2 + 2A_1$ puncture is enhanced

$$SU(2)_{54} \times U(1) \rightarrow SU(2)_{18}^3 \times U(1)$$


with the further enhancement

$$SU(2)_{18}^3 \times U(1)^3 \rightarrow SU(3)_{18}^3$$

Otherwise, this example fits the same pattern: the Sommers-Achar group, $C(O)$, acts by permutations on the $SU(3)_{18}^3$, and the global symmetry group of the fixture is the subgroup

invariant under $C(O)$. That is, $k = k' = 18$.

The twisted sector of the E_6 theory provides further examples of this phenomenon. Perhaps the most striking example is the fixture



with an untwisted full puncture, a twisted simple puncture and a twisted puncture, O . As we let the puncture, O , vary over the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$, the $(E_6)_{24}$ symmetry of the 0 puncture is enhanced to (the $C(O)$ -invariant subgroup of) $(E_6)_6^4$. The resulting SCFTs are products of the generalized E_6 Minahan-Nemeschansky SCFTs whose Higgs branches are the moduli space of l E_6 instantons, $M(E_6, l)^3$.

#	O	$C(O)$	Theory	Higgs Branch	$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}$ Higgs	(n_h, n_v)
1	$\underline{F_4(a_3)}$	1	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]^4$	$M(E_6, 1)^4$	44	(64, 20)
2	$\underline{C_3(a_1)}$	\mathbb{Z}_2	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}]^2 \times [(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \text{ SCFT}]$	$M(E_6, 1)^2 \times M(E_6, 2)$	45	(71, 26)
3	$\widetilde{A_2 + A_1}$	S_3	$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times SU(2)_{20} \text{ SCFT}]$	$M(E_6, 1) \times M(E_6, 3)$	46	(84, 38)
4	$\underline{B_2}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$[(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7 \text{ SCFT}]^2$	$M(E_6, 2)^2$	46	(78, 32)
	$\underline{A_2 + \widetilde{A_1}}$	S_4	$[(E_6)_{24} \times SU(2)_{39} \text{ SCFT}]$	$M(E_6, 4)$	47	(103, 56)

Here the $(E_6)_{6l}$ global symmetry is realized as the E_6 global symmetry of $M(E_6, l)$. More subtle relations between instanton moduli spaces will be discussed below in §8.

In this example, the global symmetry groups and the levels were all determined by S-duality. In other examples, S-duality determines some, but not all of the levels of the enhanced global symmetries, and we can use the action of the Sommers-Achar group on the Higgs branch to fill in the missing levels⁴.


Two more sequences of fixtures, which have one puncture running over the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$, have global symmetry groups which are enhanced in this fashion, but levels we could not completely determine using S-duality⁵:

³These SCFTs are realized in F-theory as the theory on l D3-branes coincident with a IV^* singularity.

⁴The action of $C(O)$ on the Higgs branch of mixed fixtures is not so transparent.

⁵Interacting fixture 83 in the table above contains the puncture $\underline{A_2 + \widetilde{A_1}}$, which is in the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$. However, three of the other four fixtures related by varying over the special piece are *bad* (the other good fixture is mixed fixture 22). In particular, the fixture with the special puncture $F_4(a_3)$ is bad, so there is no enhancement of the form discussed above. Thus we don't know how to use this method to determine the levels for fixture 83.

In the case of




as O varies over the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$, the $SU(2)_{24}$ global symmetry of \underline{B}_3 is enhanced.

#	O	$C(O)$	Global Symmetry
75	$\underline{F}_4(a_3)$	1	$SU(2)_{24-k_1-k_2-k_3} \times SU(2)_{k_1} \times SU(2)_{k_2} \times SU(2)_{k_3} \times U(1)^3$
77	$\underline{C}_3(a_1)$	\mathbb{Z}_2	$SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_6^2 \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)^2$
79	$\widetilde{A}_2 + A_1$	S_3	$SU(2)_{24-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)$
81	\underline{B}_2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$SU(2)_{12}^2 \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)$
	$\underline{A}_2 + \widetilde{A}_1$	S_4	$SU(2)_{24} \times SU(2)_{39} \times U(1)$

Filling in the missing levels, we find $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k = 6$.

Similarly, as O in




varies over the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$, the $SU(2)_{64} \times SU(2)_{10}$ global symmetry of $\underline{A}_1 + \widetilde{A}_1$ is enhanced.

#	O	$C(O)$	Global Symmetry
97	$\underline{F}_4(a_3)$	1	$SU(2)_{64-k_1-k_2-k_3} \times SU(2)_{k_1} \times SU(2)_{k_2} \times SU(2)_{k_3} \times SU(2)_{10} \times U(1)$
101	$\underline{C}_3(a_1)$	\mathbb{Z}_2	$SU(2)_{32} \times SU(2)_{16}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7 \times U(1)$
105	$\widetilde{A}_2 + A_1$	S_3	$SU(2)_{64-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{20} \times U(1)$

#	O	$C(O)$	Global Symmetry
109	\underline{B}_2	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$SU(2)_{32}^2 \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_7^2 \times U(1)$
	$\underline{A}_2 + \widetilde{A}_1$	S_4	$SU(2)_{64} \times SU(2)_{10} \times SU(2)_{39} \times U(1)$

Again, we can fill in the missing levels: $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k = 16$.

Additionally, we find the following three fixture by varying over special piece of the untwisted puncture $D_4(a_1)$:



As O varies over the special piece of $D_4(a_1)$, the $SU(2)_{24}$ global symmetry of \underline{B}_3 is enhanced.

#	O	$C(O)$	Global Symmetry
25	$D_4(a_1)$	1	$SU(2)_{24-k_1-k_2} \times SU(2)_{k_1} \times SU(2)_{k_2} \times U(1)^2$
26	$A_3 + A_1$	\mathbb{Z}_2	$SU(2)_{24-k} \times SU(2)_k \times SU(2)_9 \times U(1)$
	$2A_2 + A_1$	S_3	$SU(2)_{24} \times SU(2)_{26}$

We fill in the missing levels $k_1 = k_2 = k = 8$.


6. $R_{2,5}$

In [12], we introduced a series of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs, which we dubbed $R_{2,2n-1}$. $R_{2,2n-1}$ has a $(Spin(4n+2)_{4n-2} \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2$ global symmetry (enhanced to $(E_6)_6$ for $n = 2$), central charges $(n_h, n_v) = (4n^2, (n-1)(2n+1))$ and graded Coulomb branch dimensions $n_{2k-1} = 1$, for $k = 2, \dots, n$.

These play an important role in the strong-coupling duals of various familiar gauge theories. Specifically


$$\begin{aligned}
SU(2n-1) + 4(\blacksquare) + 2(\blacksquare) &\simeq Sp(n-1) + 1(\blacksquare) + R_{2,2n-1} \\
SU(2n) + 4(\blacksquare) + 2(\blacksquare) &\simeq Sp(n) + 3(\blacksquare) + R_{2,2n-1} \\
SU(2n) + 1(\blacksquare) + 1(\blacksquare) &\simeq Spin(2n+1) + R_{2,2n-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The realizations of the $R_{2,2n-1}$ are:



in the A_{2n-2} , A_{2n-1} and the twisted sector of the A_{2n-1} theory, respectively. These different realizations expose different manifest subalgebras⁶ (respectively, $\mathfrak{su}(2n-1)_{4n-2} \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_{4n-2}^2 \times \mathfrak{u}(1)^2$, $\mathfrak{su}(2n)_{4n-2} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)^4$ and $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)_{4n-2}^2 \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$) of the full global symmetry algebra of the $R_{2,2n-1}$ SCFT.

The twisted sector of the E_6 theory provides two new realizations of $R_{2,5}$:




which expose a manifest $\mathfrak{sp}(3)_{10} \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_{30} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(9)_{10} \times \mathfrak{sp}(2)_{10} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ subalgebra, respectively, of the $\mathfrak{so}(14)_{10} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ global symmetry algebra of $R_{2,5}$.

The latter realization will be useful to us in §8.6. The former provides, among other things, another realization of the aforementioned duality

$$SU(6) + 4(6) + 2(15) \simeq Sp(3) + 3(6) + R_{2,5}$$

via the 4-punctured sphere



⁶As symmetry groups, they are, respectively, $S(U(2n-1) \times U(2)^2)$, $S(U(2n) \times U(1)^3)$ and $(Spin(2n+1) \times Spin(2n+1) \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2$.


Here, the gauge coupling,

$$f(\tau) \equiv -\frac{\theta_2^4(0, \tau)}{\theta_4^4(0, \tau)} = \frac{w-1}{w+1}$$


is a function on the double-cover of $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$, where

$$w^2 = x \equiv \frac{z_{13}z_{24}}{z_{14}z_{23}}$$

so that $f(\tau) = 1$ at the degeneration



and the degeneration




corresponds to the interior point of the gauge theory moduli space, $f(\tau) = -1$.

7. Product SCFTs

The $(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10}$ SCFT occurs twice on our list of interacting fixtures: once, by itself, in

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{Diagram: A circle containing points } \tilde{A}_2, 0, \text{ and } E_6(a_1). \\
 (E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 \tag{5}$$

(interacting fixture 117) and once — we claim — as part of a product SCFT




$$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}] \quad (6)$$

(interacting fixture 5). We can check the latter claim, explicitly, by comparing the SCI for (6) with the SCI for (5) and the (known) SCI for the $(E_6)_6$ SCFT.

Indeed, we find that, to second order in q , we have


$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\#5} &= 1 + 170q + 14601q^2 + \dots \\ &= (1 + 92q + 4916q^2 + \dots)(1 + 78q + 2509q^2 + \dots) \\ &= (\mathcal{I}_{\#117} \times \mathcal{I}_{(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}})|_{q^2} \end{aligned}$$

Having established that (6) is a product SCFT, we can apply that knowledge to deduce that other fixtures are also product SCFTs. For instance, consider the 4-punctured sphere




$$1(3) \quad [(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}]$$

The $SU(3)$ gauges a subgroup of the $(G_2)_{10}$ symmetry of the $(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10}$ SCFT, leaving the $(E_6)_6$ SCFT decoupled. Taking the S-dual,



$$\text{empty} \quad [(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times \text{SU}(3)_{12} \times U(1) \text{ SCFT}]$$


we conclude that fixture on the right also contains a decoupled $(E_6)_6$ SCFT and, hence, that



$$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12} \times U(1) \text{ SCFT}] \quad (7)$$


(interacting fixture 61 of [16]) is also a product SCFT.

Similarly, in




$$(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT} \quad [(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times (G_2)_{10} \text{ SCFT}]$$

the gauging of the G_2 symmetry leaves the $(E_6)_6$ SCFT decoupled. Hence, in the S-dual,



$$\text{empty} \quad [(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12}^2 \text{ SCFT}]$$

the fixture



$$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12}^2 \text{ SCFT}] \quad (8)$$

(interacting fixture 99 of [16]) is, again, a product SCFT.

As a further check of these identifications, we can compare the SCIs for (7) and (8) with those of interacting fixtures 15 and 16 above, which directly realize, respectively, the $(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12} \times U(1)$ and $(E_6)_{18} \times SU(3)_{12}^2$ SCFTs.


Indeed, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\#61} &= 1 + 165q + 164q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 13451q^2 + \dots \\
&= (1 + 87q + 164q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4156q^2 + \dots)(1 + 78q + 2509q^2 + \dots) \\
&= (\mathcal{I}_{\#15} \times \mathcal{I}_{(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}})|_{q^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\#99} &= 1 + 172q + 14886q^2 + \dots \\
&= (1 + 94q + 5045q^2 + \dots)(1 + 78q + 2509q^2 + \dots) \\
&= (\mathcal{I}_{\#16} \times \mathcal{I}_{(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}})|_{q^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can check that interacting fixture 59 of [16]



$$[(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}] \times [(E_7)_{18} \times U(1) \text{ SCFT}]$$

is a product SCFT by comparing the expansion of its SCI with that of interacting fixture 13 above, which directly realizes the $(E_7)_{18} \times U(1)$ SCFT. Indeed, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\#59} &= 1 + 212q + 112q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 22273q^2 + \dots \\
&= (1 + 78q + 2509q^2 + \dots)(1 + 134q + 112q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 9312q^2 + \dots) \\
&= (\mathcal{I}_{(E_6)_6 \text{ SCFT}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\#13})|_{q^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we claim that interacting fixture 111 above is the product of the $(E_6)_6$ SCFT and the $(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2$ SCFT. The latter previously appeared in our list of interacting fixtures for the D_4 theory [13] and appears in mixed fixture 30 above.

We find the expansion of the SCI for fixture 111 is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\#111} = 1 + 136q + 104q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 9036q^2 + \dots$$

That of mixed fixture 30 reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\#30} &= 1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 61q + 226q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2394q^2 + \dots \\
&= (1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 3q + 6q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 9q^2 + \dots)(1 + 58q + 104q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2003q^2 + \dots) \\
&= (\mathcal{I}_{\text{free}} \times \mathcal{I}_{(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 \text{ SCFT}})|_{q^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Extracting the order q^2 expansion of the index of the $(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2$ SCFT from the above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{(E_6)_6 SCFT} \times \mathcal{I}_{(F_4)_{12} \times SU(2)_7^2 SCFT} &= (1 + 78q + 2509q^2 + \dots)(1 + 58q + 104q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2003q^2 + \dots) \\ &= 1 + 136q + 104q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 9036q^2 + \dots \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{\#111} |_{q^2} \end{aligned}$$

8. Instanton moduli spaces

Let $M(G, k)$ denote the moduli space of k instantons on \mathbb{R}^4 , for gauge group G^7 . $M(G, k)$ is a hyperKähler space of dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M(G, k)) = \kappa_G k - 1$$

where κ_G is the dual Coxeter number and the “ -1 ” is present because we have removed the overall translational degree of freedom.

For $k = 1$, $M(G, k)$ has hyperKähler isometry group G . In fact, $M(G, 1)$ is the minimal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ [29]. For $k > 1$, the hyperKähler isometry group of $M(G, k)$ is $G \times SU(2)$. The origin of the additional $SU(2)$ is as follows⁸. While we’ve removed the translational symmetry of \mathbb{R}^4 , the $SO(4) = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/\mathbb{Z}_2$ rotational symmetry still acts on the space of instanton solutions. One of the $SU(2)$ s acts by rotating the complex structures of $M(G, k)$ among themselves. The other $SU(2)$ preserves the quaternionic structure. For $k = 1$, it is easy to see that it acts trivially, whereas for $k > 1$ it acts nontrivially.

For the classical groups G , the ADHM construction [30] provides a realization of $M(G, k)$ as a hyperKähler quotient of a quaternionic *vector space*. When G is exceptional, no such construction exists. But (at least for low k) something almost as nice exists. Namely: the hyperKähler quotient $M(G, k) // H$, for H some subgroup of the isometry group of $M(G, k)$, has an alternative realization as a hyperKähler quotient either of a quaternionic vector space or of some other well-known hyperKähler space.

The first examples of this phenomenon come from the classic paper of Argyres-Seiberg [31]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^2 \right) // SU(2) &\simeq \mathbb{H}^{18} // SU(3) \\ M(E_7, 1) // SU(2) &\simeq \mathbb{H}^{24} // Sp(2) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

They established something much stronger: the S-duality of a pair of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric quantum field theories. The Higgs branch of one theory is the LHS; the Higgs branch of the other is the RHS. Because the Higgs branch geometry is independent of the gauge coupling, the S-duality of the two theories implies that the two Higgs branches are isomorphic. An independent, nontrivial check on the first of these isomorphisms was performed in [32]. At

⁷Equivalently, the moduli space of *framed* instantons on S^4 .

⁸We thank Andrew Neitzke for a discussion of this point.

the holomorphic-symplectic level, an axiomatization of this general construction is given in [33].

Further examples of such isomorphisms of hyperKähler quotients of instanton moduli spaces (implied, again, by the S-duality of the corresponding QFTs) appeared in our previous papers. In section 4.2.3 of [15], we found

$$M(E_8, 1) // Sp(2) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{40} // Sp(3) \quad (10)$$

Here, the defining 6-dimensional representation and the 14-dimensional traceless 3-index antisymmetric tensor representation of $Sp(3)$ are both pseudo-real (have quaternionic structures) and hence induce, respectively, linear actions on \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathbb{H}^7 . On the RHS of (10), we decompose \mathbb{H}^{40} as 11 copies of the former and 1 copy of the latter. In the usual physics notation, we denote this by $\mathbb{H}^{40} \simeq \frac{11}{2}(6) \oplus \frac{1}{2}(14')$ (“11 half-hypermultiplets in the fundamental and 1 half-hypermultiplet in the $14'$ representation of $Sp(3)$ ”). Similarly, on the RHS of (9), we have $\mathbb{H}^{24} \simeq 6(4)$ (“6 full hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation of $Sp(2)$ ”).

In section 4.1.3 of [17], we found

$$M(E_7, 2) // G_2 \simeq \mathbb{H}^{57} // Spin(9) \quad (11)$$

where, on the RHS, \mathbb{H}^{57} decomposes as the $3(16) + 1(9)$ of $Spin(9)$.

In this section, we will demonstrate five new identities of this sort.

$$\left(M(E_6, 2) \times \mathbb{H} \right) // SU(2) \simeq M(E_8, 1) // SU(3) \quad (12)$$

$$M(E_6, 2) // SU(3) \simeq \left(M(E_6, 1) \times M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^7 \right) // G_2 \quad (13)$$

$$M(E_7, 3) // Spin(8) \simeq \left(M(E_7, 1) \times M(E_7, 1) \times M(E_7, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{26} \right) // F_4 \quad (14)$$

$$\left(M(E_7, 2) \times M(E_7, 1) \right) // Spin(8) \simeq \left(M(E_7, 1) \times M(E_7, 1) \times M(E_7, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^9 \right) // Spin(9) \quad (15)$$


and

$$\left(M(E_8, 2) \times \mathbb{H}^{32} \right) // Spin(12) \simeq \left(M(E_8, 1) \times M(E_8, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{45} \right) // Spin(13) \quad (16)$$


where, on the LHS, the two irreducible spinor representations of $Spin(12)$ are pseudoreal ($\mathbb{H}^{32} \simeq \frac{1}{2}(32) \oplus \frac{1}{2}(32')$) and, on the RHS, we have $\mathbb{H}^{45} \simeq \frac{1}{2}(64) + 1(13)$.

8.1. $M(E_6, 2) // SU(3) \simeq \left(M(E_6, 1) \times M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^7 \right) // G_2$

(13) is realized in the untwisted D_4 theory by the 4-punctured sphere



which is an $SU(3)$ gauging of the $(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7$ SCFT (whose Higgs branch is $M(E_6, 2)$). The S-dual theory




is a G_2 gauge theory coupled to two copies of the $(E_6)_6$ SCFT (whose Higgs branch is $M(E_6, 1)$) and one hypermultiplet in the 7.


8.2. $(M(E_6, 2) \times \mathbb{H}) // SU(2) \simeq M(E_8, 1) // SU(3)$

Recall that, for $k > 1$, $M(E_n, k)$ has an $E_n \times SU(2)$ isometry group. (12) is unique among the examples listed here, in that on the LHS we use the $SU(2)$ action on $M(E_6, 2)$, which commutes with E_6 action, to perform the hyperKähler quotient.


A realization in the D_4 theory is




which is S-dual to



It is also realized in the untwisted E_6 theory as




where the $SU(2)$ gauges the $SU(2)_7$ of the $(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7$ SCFT and the $(E_8)_{12}$ SCFT is decoupled. The S-dual theory is




where we gauge an $SU(3)$ subgroup of one of the E_8 s while the other $(E_8)_{12}$ SCFT is decoupled.


Another realization of (12) appears in the twisted sector of the E_6 theory. In




the $SU(2)$ gauges the $SU(2)_7$ of one of the $(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7$ SCFTs, while the other $(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7$ SCFT is decoupled. In the S-dual theory,




the $SU(3)$ gauges a subgroup of the E_8 , while the $(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7$ SCFT is decoupled. A third realization, in which an $(E_6)_6$ SCFT is decoupled throughout, is given by




and has S-duals given by



and the gauge-theory fixture



Finally, the 5-punctured sphere



gives a realization of *two decoupled copies* of this theory. The gauge theory moduli space is a 4-fold branched cover of $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}$, with coordinates (y, w) given in terms of the cross-ratios as

$$y^2 = s_1 = \frac{z_{13}z_{25}}{z_{15}z_{23}}, \quad w^2 = s_2 = \frac{z_{14}z_{25}}{z_{15}z_{24}}$$


The gauge couplings are

$$f(\tau_1) = \frac{y-1}{y+1} \frac{w+1}{w-1}, \quad f(\tau_2) = \frac{y-1}{y+1} \frac{w-1}{w+1}$$

where

$$f(\tau) \equiv -\frac{\theta_2^4(0, \tau)}{\theta_4^4(0, \tau)} \tag{17}$$


and $\tau = \frac{\theta}{\pi} + \frac{8\pi i}{g^2}$. In the limits $f(\tau) \rightarrow 0, \infty$, the $SU(3) + [(E_8)_{12}]$ description is weakly-coupled. For $f(\tau) \rightarrow 1$, the $SU(2) + \frac{1}{2}(2) + [(E_6)_{12} \times SU(2)_7]$ description is weakly-coupled. Over the degeneration




we have $(f(\tau_1), f(\tau_2)) \rightarrow (-1, -1)$ and both descriptions are strongly-coupled.

8.3. $M(E_7, 3) // Spin(8) \simeq (M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26}) // F_4$ and
 $(M(E_7, 2) \times M(E_7, 1)) // Spin(8) \simeq (M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^9) // Spin(9)$


(14) and (15) both have realizations in the untwisted E_6 theory. The former is given by the duality between




and




The latter is given by the duality between




and



In both cases, unlike our previous examples, there is a third S-duality frame, respectively




and




which are $SU(2)$ gaugings of some new non-Lagrangian SCFTs. Alas, since we don't have an independent construction of the Higgs branches of the latter theories, these isomorphisms don't shed much additional light on these instanton moduli spaces.

8.4. $(M(E_8, 2) \times \mathbb{H}^{32}) // Spin(12) \simeq (M(E_8, 1) \times M(E_8, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{45}) // Spin(13)$

Turning to (16), there is a realization in the D_7 theory




which has one S-dual presentation as



realizing the isomorphism of Higgs branches stated in (16).

This theory also has a third S-duality frame,



Alas, as in §8.3, we have no alternative construction of the Higgs branch of the $Sp(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_{24}^2 \times SU(2)_{13}$ SCFT, so we don't learn anything new from this duality.

8.5. Semi-simple quotients

In §8 we considered isomorphisms of hyperKähler quotients of the form

$$X_1 // G_1 \simeq X_2 // G_2 \tag{18}$$

where G_i is a *simple* subgroup of the group of hyperKähler isometries of X_i . Let H be the residual group of hyperKähler isometries of the quotient. Of course, we can further quotient both sides of (18) by a subgroup of H , but this would typically not yield anything new; all it would do is lose some of the information contained in (18).


There are, however, exceptions. For instance, we can combine (10) with the first isomorphism in (9) to obtain

$$M(E_8, 1) // SU(3) \times Sp(2) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{40} // SU(3) \times Sp(3) \simeq (M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{24}) // SU(2) \times Sp(3)$$


where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^{40} &= \frac{5}{2}(1, 6) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 14') + (3, 6) \quad \text{of } SU(3) \times Sp(3) \\ \mathbb{H}^{24} &= \frac{5}{2}(1, 6) + \frac{1}{2}(1, 14') + (2, 1) \quad \text{of } SU(2) \times Sp(3) \end{aligned}$$


These isomorphisms are realized in the twisted D_4 theory, as the 5-punctured sphere



has, among its various other S-duality frames,



and



8.6. More isomorphisms among hyperKähler quotients

If we are willing to venture a little further afield, we can find additional hyperKähler quotient identities satisfied by the $M(G, k)$. In §6, we recalled the $R_{2,2n-1}$ series of SCFTs. Let us denote the Higgs branch of $R_{2,2n-1}$ as $M_{2,2n-1}$. $M_{2,2n-1}$ has hyperKähler isometry group $Spin(4n+2) \times U(1)$ and dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_{2,2n-1}) = 2n^2 + n + 1$$

From the S-dualities in (4), certain hyperKähler quotients of $M_{2,2n-1}$ are isomorphic to hyperKähler quotients of quaternionic vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{2,2n-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{2(n-1)}) // Sp(n-1) &\simeq \mathbb{H}^{(2n-1)(2n+2)} // SU(2n-1) \\ (M_{2,2n-1} \times \mathbb{H}^{6n}) // Sp(n) &\simeq \mathbb{H}^{2n(2n+3)} // SU(2n) \\ M_{2,2n-1} // Spin(2n+1) &\simeq \mathbb{H}^{4n^2} // SU(2n) \end{aligned}$$

where, in the first two, the quaternionic vector space on the RHS transforms as $4(\square) + 2(\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix})$



and, in the third, it transforms as $1(\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}) + 1(\square\square)$.

This isn't quite enough information to reconstruct $M_{2,2n-1}$. But, with a certain poetic license, we can proceed as if we understand that hyperKähler space.


Using the realization of $M_{2,5}$ given in §6, we have the new isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (M(E_6, 1)^4 \times \mathbb{H}^{20}) // Spin(10) &\simeq (M(E_6, 1)^3 \times M_{2,5}) // Spin(9) \\ (M(E_6, 2) \times M(E_6, 1)^2 \times \mathbb{H}^{20}) // Spin(10) &\simeq (M(E_6, 2) \times M(E_6, 1) \times M_{2,5}) // Spin(9) \\ (M(E_6, 3) \times M(E_6, 1) \times \mathbb{H}^{20}) // Spin(10) &\simeq (M(E_6, 3) \times M_{2,5}) // Spin(9) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$



from studying the 4-punctured spheres




and



which are, respectively, S-dual to



and



Note that:

- The three examples are related by allowing the twisted puncture in the upper left corner to vary over the special piece of $F_4(a_3)$. (As discussed above, this special piece consists of *five* nilpotent orbits. The other two involve theories whose Higgs branches are “new” hyperKähler spaces.)
- In each case, there’s a third S-duality frame, which we won’t write down, which is a gauge theory fixture.

9. Instanton moduli spaces as affine algebraic varieties

As mentioned above, $M(G, 1)$ admits a uniform description as the minimal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$. For classical groups, G , the ADHM construction [30] gives a description of $M(G, k)$, for higher k , as a hyperKähler quotient. For exceptional G , a concrete description of the $M(G, k)$ for higher k is not known. However, in a series of papers [34,35,36], it was shown that the Hilbert series of $M(G, k)$ for $k > 1$ and classical G can be written in terms of the root data of G alone. This provides a natural conjecture for the Hilbert series of $M(G, k)$ for exceptional G , which has been shown to pass many tests.

The Hilbert series contains all information about the ring of holomorphic functions on $M(G, k)$. From this information, in [36] the authors extracted the representations of the generators of $M(G, k)$ at each scaling dimension, and their lowest order chiral ring relations.

They conjectured that $M(G, k)$, as a complex variety, can be realized as an affine algebraic variety whose ring of functions has generators

$$\begin{aligned} M &\in (1; \text{Adj}) \\ M_p &\in (p; \text{Adj}) \\ P_p &\in (p+1; 1) \end{aligned}$$

transforming in the indicated representations⁹ of $SU(2) \times G$, for $p = 2, \dots, k$.

These generators are subject to a set of polynomial relations. For $k = 1$, the M_p and P_p are absent, and the only non-trivial relations are the celebrated Joseph relations [37]

$$(M \otimes M)|_{\mathcal{I}_2} = 0 \tag{20}$$

where the reducible representation, \mathcal{I}_2 , is defined through

$$\text{Sym}^2(\text{Adj}) = V(2\alpha) \oplus \mathcal{I}_2$$

Here, $V(2\alpha)$ is the representation whose highest weight is twice the highest root. (20) gives a realization of $M(G, 1)$ as an affine algebraic variety.

For $k = 2, 3$, the lowest-order relations are given in [36].

The isomorphisms discussed in §8 provide a strong test of this conjectured description of $M(G, k)$. Following [32], one can explicitly take the hyperKähler quotient on each side, and compare the gauge-invariant generators and relations.

As an illustrative example, we consider $(M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26}) // F_4 \simeq M(E_7, 3) // Spin(8)$. We will not give a precise mapping of the generators, as the methods of [36] do not determine the constants appearing in the relations defining $M(E_7, 3)$ but, up to a few unknown constants, we will be able to determine the form of the correspondence. The generators transform in representations of the $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3$ global symmetry. Moreover, there is an action of S_3 permuting the $SU(2)^3$. On the LHS, it acts by permuting the three $M(E_7, 1)$ s; on the RHS, it is the S_3 subgroup of E_7 which acts as triality on the $Spin(8) \subset E_7$. The generators of the ring of functions arrange themselves into representations of this S_3 action.

⁹We label irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ by their dimension. In what follows, it is convenient to realize the n -dimensional irrep as a rank- $(n-1)$ symmetric tensor, $\Phi_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1})}$.

We first consider the proposed description of $M(E_7, 3)$ above. Decomposing the 133 of E_7 under $SU(2)^3 \times Spin(8)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_7 &\supset SU(2)^3 \times Spin(8) \\
133 &= (3, 1, 1; 1) + (1, 3, 1; 1) + (1, 1, 3; 1) + (1, 1, 1; 28) \\
&\quad + (2, 2, 1; 8_c) + (2, 1, 2; 8_s) + (1, 2, 2; 8_v)
\end{aligned}$$

we have operators

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3 \times Spin(8)$
2	$\Psi_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$(3; 1, 1, 1; 1)$
	J, K, L	$(1; 3, 1, 1; 1), (1; 1, 3, 1; 1), (1; 1, 1, 3; 1)$
	M, N, O	$(1; 2, 2, 1; 8_c), (1; 2, 1, 2; 8_s), (1; 1, 2, 2; 8_v)$
	P	$(1; 1, 1, 1; 28)$
3	$\Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}$	$(4; 1, 1, 1; 1)$
	$Q_\alpha, R_\alpha, S_\alpha$	$(2; 3, 1, 1; 1), (2; 1, 3, 1; 1), (2; 1, 1, 3; 1)$
	$T_\alpha, U_\alpha, V_\alpha$	$(2; 2, 2, 1; 8_c), (2; 2, 1, 2; 8_s), (2; 1, 2, 2; 8_v)$
	W_α	$(2; 1, 1, 1; 28)$
4	$X_{(\alpha\beta)}, Y_{(\alpha\beta)}, Z_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$(3; 3, 1, 1; 1), (3; 1, 3, 1; 1), (3; 1, 1, 3; 1)$
	$\tilde{A}_{(\alpha\beta)}, \tilde{B}_{(\alpha\beta)}, \tilde{C}_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$(3; 2, 2, 1; 8_c), (3; 2, 1, 2; 8_s), (3; 1, 2, 2; 8_v)$
	$\tilde{D}_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$(3; 1, 1, 1; 28)$

The lowest-order relation is at order 5, given by [36]

$$(JQ_\alpha + a_1KR_\alpha + a_2LS_\alpha + a_3MT_\alpha + a_4NU_\alpha + a_5OV_\alpha + a_6PW_\alpha)|_{(2;1,1,1;1)} = 0,$$

where the a_i are constants.

Let us now take the hyperKähler quotient by $Spin(8)$. The F-term constraint is simply

$$P = 0.$$

So, the gauge-invariant operators are given by

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3$
2	$\Psi_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$(3; 1, 1, 1)$
	J, K, L	$(1; 3, 1, 1), (1; 1, 3, 1), (1; 1, 1, 3)$

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3$
3	$\Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}$ $Q_\alpha, R_\alpha, S_\alpha$	$(4; 1, 1, 1)$ $(2; 3, 1, 1), (2; 1, 3, 1), (2; 1, 1, 3)$
4	$X_{(\alpha\beta)}, Y_{(\alpha\beta)}, Z_{(\alpha\beta)}$ M^2, N^2, O^2	$(3; 3, 1, 1), (3; 1, 3, 1), (3; 1, 1, 3)$ $(1; 1, 1, 1) + (1; 3, 3, 1), (1; 1, 1, 1) + (1; 3, 1, 3), (1; 1, 1, 1) + (1; 1, 3, 3)$

subject to

$$(JQ_\alpha + a_1KR_\alpha + a_2LS_\alpha + a_3MT_\alpha + a_4NU_\alpha + a_5OV_\alpha)|_{(2;1,1,1;1)} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Let's see how this structure is reproduced on the $M(E_7, 1)^3$ side. We first decompose the $(E_7)^3$ global symmetry under $SU(2)^3 \times (F_4)_{\text{diag}}$. Using the description of $M(E_7, 1)$ as the minimal nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{e}_7 , we have operators at order 2 in the 133, subject to the Joseph relations at order 4 in the $\mathcal{I}_2 = 1 + 1539$. These representations decompose under $SU(2) \times F_4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 &\supset SU(2) \times F_4 \\ 133 &= (3, 1) + (3, 26) + (1, 52) \\ 1539 &= (1, 1) + (1, 26) + (1, 324) + (3, 26) + (3, 273) + (3, 52) + (5, 1) + (5, 26) \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have the generator of \mathbb{H}^{26} at order 1. In total, we have the following generators of $M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26}$:

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3 \times (F_4)_{\text{diag}}$
1	v_α	$(2; 1, 1, 1; 26)$
2	A, B, C	$(1; 3, 1, 1; 1), (1; 1, 3, 1; 1), (1; 1, 1, 3; 1)$
	D, E, F	$(1; 3, 1, 1; 26), (1; 1, 3, 1; 26), (1; 1, 1, 3; 26)$
	G, H, I	$(1; 1, 1, 1; 52), (1; 1, 1, 1; 52), (1; 1, 1, 1; 52)$

subject to the Joseph relations at order 4.

To describe the hyperKähler quotient by $(F_4)_{\text{diag}}$, we impose the F-term constraints

$$G + H + I + (v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(1;1,1,1;52)} = 0$$

and form gauge-invariant generators. To order 4, these are given by:

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3$
2	$(v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;1)}$ A, B, C	$(3; 1, 1, 1)$ $(1; 3, 1, 1; 1), (1; 1, 3, 1; 1), (1; 1, 1, 3; 1)$

Order	Operator	Representation of $SU(2)_s \times SU(2)^3$
3	$(v_\alpha v_\beta v_\gamma)_{(4;1,1,1;1)}$ $(Dv_\alpha)_{(2;3,1,1;1)}, (Ev_\alpha)_{(2;1,2,1;1)}, (Fv_\alpha)_{(2;1,1,3;1)}$	$(4; 1, 1, 1)$ $(2; 3, 1, 1), (2; 1, 3, 1), (2; 1, 1, 3)$
4	$((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)}D)_{(3;3,1,1;1)},$ $((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)}E)_{(3;1,3,1;1)},$ $((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)}F)_{(3;1,1,3;1)}$ $(D^2)_{(1;1+5,1,1;1)}, (E^2)_{(1;1,1+5,1;1)}, (F^2)_{(1;1,1,1+5;1)}$ $(G^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}, (H^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}, (I^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}$ $(DE)_{(1;3,3,1;1)}, (DF)_{(1;3,1,3;1)}, (EF)_{(1;1,3,3;1)}$ $(GH)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}, (GI)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}, (HI)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}$	$(3; 3, 1, 1), (3; 1, 3, 1), (3; 1, 1, 3)$ $(1; 1 + 5, 1, 1),$ $(1; 1, 1 + 5, 1),$ $(1; 1, 1, 1 + 5)$ $(1; 1, 1, 1), (1; 1, 1, 1), (1; 1, 1, 1)$ $(1; 3, 3, 1), (1; 3, 1, 3), (1; 1, 3, 3)$ $(1; 1, 1, 1), (1; 1, 1, 1), (1; 1, 1, 1)$

The gauge-invariant relations at order 4 are given by

$$(A^2 + c_1 D^2 + c_2 G^2)|_{(1;1,1,1)} = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$(B^2 + c_1 E^2 + c_2 H^2)|_{(1;1,1,1)} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$(C^2 + c_1 F^2 + c_2 I^2)|_{(1;1,1,1)} = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$(A^2 + c_3 D^2)|_{(1;5,1,1)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$(B^2 + c_3 E^2)|_{(1;1,5,1)} = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$(C^2 + c_3 F^2)|_{(1;1,1,5)} = 0 \quad (27)$$

where the c_i are constants which can be fixed by evaluating a few points on the nilpotent orbit [32].

We see that the correspondence between the generators is given by¹⁰

$(M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26})///F_4$	$M(E_7, 3)///Spin(8)$
$(v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;1)}$	$\Psi_{(\alpha\beta)}$
A, B, C	J, K, L
$(v_\alpha v_\beta v_\gamma)_{(4;1,1,1;1)}$	$\Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)}$
$((v_\alpha D)_{(2;3,1,1;1)}, (v_\alpha E)_{(2;1,3,1;1)}, (v_\alpha F)_{(2;1,1,3;1)})$	$Q_\alpha, R_\alpha, S_\alpha$

¹⁰We have multiple generators with the same quantum numbers, so, without knowing the constants a_i , the correspondence between these generators is only up to a permutation (or linear combination).

$(M(E_7, 1)^3 \times \mathbb{H}^{26}) // F_4$	$M(E_7, 3) // Spin(8)$
$((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)} D)_{(3;3,1,1;1)},$ $((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)} E)_{(3;1,3,1;1)},$ $((v_\alpha v_\beta)_{(3;1,1,1;26)} F)_{(3;1,1,3;1)}$	$X_{(\alpha\beta)}, Y_{(\alpha\beta)}, Z_{(\alpha\beta)}$
$(GH)_{(1;1,1,1;1)},$ $(DE)_{(1;3,3,1;1)}$	$M_{(1;1,1,1;1)}^2,$ $M_{(1;3,3,1;1)}^2$
$(GI)_{(1;1,1,1;1)},$ $(DF)_{(1;3,1,3;1)}$	$N_{(1;1,1,1;1)}^2,$ $N_{(1;3,1,3;1)}^2$
$(HI)_{(1;1,1,1;1)},$ $(EF)_{(1;1,3,3;1)}$	$O_{(1;1,1,1;1)}^2,$ $O_{(1;1,3,3;1)}^2$

The “extra” generators at order 4, $(D^2)_{(1;1+5,1,1;1)}$, $(E^2)_{(1;1,1+5,1;1)}$, $(F^2)_{(1;1,1,1+5;1)}$ and $(G^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}$, $(H^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}$, $(I^2)_{(1;1,1,1;1)}$, are removed from the chiral ring by the Joseph relations (22)-(27).

We find the order 5 relation (21) on the $M(E_7, 1)^3$ side by adding the order 4 Joseph relations

$$(AD)_{(1;3,1,1;26)} + c_4(DG)_{(1;3,1,1;26)} = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$(BE)_{(1;1,3,1;26)} + c_4(EH)_{(1;1,3,1;26)} = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$(CF)_{(1;1,1,3;26)} + c_4(FI)_{(1;1,1,3;26)} = 0 \quad (30)$$

and contracting with v_α :

$$(vAD + vBE + vCF + c_4(vDG + vEH + vFI))_{(2;1,1,1;1)} = 0.$$

Following [36], one can extract the higher-order relations for $M(E_7, 3)$ and compare them with those on the $M(E_7, 1)^3$ side obtained from the remaining Joseph relations. It would be interesting to carry out this analysis for the other examples in §8 as well.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Tom Mainiero, Noppadol Mekareeya, Andy Neitzke, Yuji Tachikawa, and Fei Yan for helpful discussions. The work of J.D. and A.T. was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-1316033. The work of O.C. was supported in part by the INCT-Matemática and the ICTP-SAIFR in Brazil through a Capes postdoctoral fellowship. O.C. would like to thank the Johns Hopkins University, and especially Jared Kaplan, for hospitality while this work was being completed. A.T. thanks the hospitality of the Kavli IPMU at the University of Tokyo where part of this work was completed under NSF EAPSI award number IIA-1413868 and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Summer Program 2014, and the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University for hospitality during the workshop YITP-W-14-4

“Strings and Fields”. He would especially like to thank Yuji Tachikawa and Yu Nakayama for their kind hospitality during his stay in Japan.

Appendix A Constraints

Bala-Carter	New parameters	Constraints
\tilde{A}_1	$h_6 \equiv \frac{a_{11/2}^{(6)}}{z^{11/2}}$	$\phi_{12} - h_6^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^{10}}$
\tilde{A}_2	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$	$\phi_8 - \phi_5 h_3 \sim \frac{1}{z^6}$ $\phi_{12} + \phi_6^2 + \frac{1}{16}\phi_6 h_3^2 + 3\phi_9 h_3 + \frac{1}{1024}h_3^4 \sim \frac{1}{z^9}$
B_2	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$ $h_6 \equiv \frac{a_5^{(6)}}{z^5}$	$\phi_9 - \frac{1}{12}h_3(h_3^2 + h_6 + 2\phi_6) \sim \frac{1}{z^{13/2}}$ $\phi_{12} - h_3^2(h_3^2 - h_6) - \frac{1}{4}(h_3^2 - h_6 - 2\phi_6)^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^9}$
$\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$	$\phi_{12} - 24\phi_9 h_3 + (\phi_6 + 2h_3^2)^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^9}$
$C_3(a_1)$	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$ $h'_3 \equiv \frac{a'_{5/2}{}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$	$\phi_9 - \frac{1}{12}h_3(h_3^2 + h'^2_3 + 2\phi_6) \sim \frac{1}{z^{13/2}}$ $\phi_{12} - h_3^2(h_3^2 - h'^2_3) - \frac{1}{4}(h_3^2 - h'^2_3 - 2\phi_6)^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^9}$
$F_4(a_3)$	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$ $h'_3 \equiv \frac{a'_{5/2}{}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$ $h''_3 \equiv \frac{a''_{5/2}{}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$	$\phi_6 + 2h_3^2 + h'^2_3 - 3h''^2_3 \sim \frac{1}{z^4}$ $\phi_9 - \frac{1}{3}h_3(h'^2_3 + 3h''^2_3) \sim \frac{1}{z^{13/2}}$ $\phi_{12} + 8h_3^2(h'^2_3 - 3h''^2_3) + (h'^2_3 + 3h''^2_3)^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^9}$
B_3	$h_4 \equiv \frac{a_3^{(4)}}{z^3}$	$\phi_8 - 48h_4^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^5}$ $\phi_9 - \phi_5 h_4 \sim \frac{1}{z^{11/2}}$ $\phi_{12} + 96h_4^3 \sim \frac{1}{z^8}$

Bala-Carter	New parameters	Constraints
C_3	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{5/2}^{(3)}}{z^{5/2}}$ $h_4 \equiv \frac{a_3^{(4)}}{z^3}$	$\phi_6 + 6h_3^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^4}$ $\phi_8 - 16\phi_2 h_3^2 - 8\phi_5 h_3 - 48h_4^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^5}$ $\phi_9 + \frac{1}{3}\phi_6 h_3 + \phi_5 h_4 - 2\phi_2 h_4 h_3 + \frac{2}{3}h_3^3 \sim \frac{1}{z^{11/2}}$ $\phi_{12} + \phi_6^2 + 24\phi_9 h_3 - 3\phi_8 h_4 - 12\phi_6 \phi_2 h_4 + 4\phi_6 h_3^2$ $+ 24\phi_5 h_4 h_3 + 36\phi_2^2 h_4^2 - 24\phi_2 h_4 h_3^2 + 4h_3^4 + 48h_4^3 \sim \frac{1}{z^7}$
$F_4(a_2)$	$h_4 \equiv \frac{a_3^{(4)}}{z^3}$	$\phi_8 - 48h_4^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^5}$ $\phi_9 + \phi_5 h_4 \sim \frac{1}{z^{11/2}}$ $\phi_{12} + \phi_6^2 - 12h_4 \left(\frac{1}{4}\phi_8 - 3\phi_2^2 h_4 + \phi_6 \phi_2 - 4h_4^2 \right) \sim \frac{1}{z^7}$
$F_4(a_1)$	$h_2 \equiv \frac{a_{3/2}^{(2)}}{z^{3/2}}$ $h_3 \equiv \frac{a_2^{(3)}}{z^2}$ $h_6 \equiv \frac{a_{7/2}^{(6)}}{z^{7/2}}$	$\phi_5 - 2h_3 h_2 \sim \frac{1}{z^{5/2}}$ $\phi_6 - 6\phi_2 h_2^2 - h_3^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^3}$ $\phi_8 + 4\phi_2 h_3^2 + 48h_2 (h_6 - h_2^3) \sim \frac{1}{z^4}$ $\phi_9 + \phi_5 h_2^2 - h_6 h_3 \sim \frac{1}{z^{9/2}}$ $\phi_{12} - 3\phi_8 h_2^2 + 2\phi_6 h_3^2 - 12\phi_2 h_3^2 h_2^2 - 36h_6^2 - h_3^4 \sim \frac{1}{z^6}$
F_4	$h_3 \equiv \frac{a_{3/2}^{(3)}}{z^{3/2}}$	$\phi_5 - \phi_2 h_3 \sim \frac{1}{z^{3/2}}$ $\phi_6 + \frac{3}{2}\phi_2^3 + \frac{3}{2}h_3^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^2}$ $\phi_8 + 4\phi_6 \phi_2 + 3\phi_2^4 - 4\phi_5 h_3 + 2\phi_2 h_3^2 \sim \frac{1}{z^2}$ $\phi_9 + \frac{1}{6}\phi_6 h_3 - \frac{1}{4}\phi_5 \phi_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}\phi_2^3 h_3 + \frac{1}{12}h_3^3 \sim \frac{1}{z^{5/2}}$ $\phi_{12} + \phi_6^2 + 12\phi_9 h_3 + \phi_6 h_3^2 + \frac{3}{2}\phi_2^6 + 3\phi_6 \phi_2^3$ $+ \frac{3}{4}\phi_8 \phi_2^2 - 3\phi_5 \phi_2^2 h_3 + \frac{3}{2}\phi_2^3 h_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}h_3^4 \sim \frac{1}{z^3}$

Appendix B Appendix: Embeddings of $SU(2)$ in F_4

Bala-Carter	f	Embedding indices	26	52
A_1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	(1, 1)	(2, 6) + (1, 14)	(3, 1) + (2, 14') + (1, 21)

Bala-Carter	\mathfrak{f}	Embedding indices	26	52
\tilde{A}_1	$\mathfrak{su}(4)$	(2, 1)	$(2, 4) + (2, \bar{4}) + (1, 6)$ $+ (3, 1) + (1, 1)$	$(3, 1) + (3, 6)$ $+ (2, 4) + (2, \bar{4}) + (1, 15)$
$A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)$	(3, 1, 2)	$(1; 5, 1) + (2; 3, 2) + (3; 3, 1)$	$(1; 3, 1) + (1; 1, 3) +$ $(2; 5, 2) + (3; 1, 1) +$ $(3; 5, 1) + (4; 1, 2)$
A_2	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	(4, 2)	$(3, 3) + (3, \bar{3}) + (1, 8)$	$(5, 1) + (3, 6) + (3, \bar{6})$ $+ (3, 1) + (1, 8)$
\tilde{A}_2	\mathfrak{g}_2	(8, 1)	$(3, 7) + (5, 1)$	$(5, 7) + (3, 1) + (1, 14)$
$A_2 + \tilde{A}_1$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	(6, 6)	$(4, 2) + (3, 3) +$ $(2, 4) + (1, 1)$	$(5, 3) + (4, 2) + (3, 5)$ $+ (3, 1) + (2, 4) + (1, 3)$
B_2	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)$	(10, 1, 1)	$(5, 1, 1) + (4, 2, 1) + (4, 1, 2)$ $+ (1, 2, 2) + (1, 1, 1)$	$(7, 1, 1) + (5, 2, 2) +$ $(4, 2, 1) + (4, 1, 2)$ $+ (3, 1, 1) + (1, 3, 1) + (1, 1, 3)$
$\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	(9, 3)	$(5, 1) + (4, 2) +$ $(3, 3) + (2, 2)$	$(6, 2) + (5, 3) + (4, 2) +$ $2(3, 1) + (2, 4) + (1, 3)$
$C_3(a_1)$	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	(11, 1)	$2(5, 1) + (4, 2) + (3, 1)$ $+ (2, 2) + (1, 1)$	$(7, 1) + (6, 2) + (5, 1) +$ $2(4, 2) + 3(3, 1) + (1, 3)$
$F_4(a_3)$	—	12	$3(5) + 3(3) + 2(1)$	$2(7) + 4(5) + 6(3)$
B_3	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	(28, 8)	$(1; 5) + (7, 3)$	$(1; 3) + (3; 1) +$ $(7; 5) + (11; 1)$
C_3	$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	(35, 1)	$(9, 1) + (6, 2) + (5, 1)$	$(11, 1) + (10, 2) + (7, 1)$ $+ (4, 2) + (3, 1) + (1, 3)$
$F_4(a_2)$	—	36	$(9) + (7) + 2(5)$	$2(11) + (9) +$ $(7) + (5) + 3(3)$
$F_4(a_1)$	—	60	$(11) + (9) + (5) + (1)$	$(15) + 2(11) +$ $(7) + (5) + (3)$
F_4	—	156	$(17) + (9)$	$(23) + (15) + (11) + (3)$

Appendix C Projection matrices

Bala-Carter	\mathfrak{f}	Projection Matrix
$\underline{A_1}$	$Sp(3)_{13}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{\tilde{A}_1}$	$SU(4)_{12}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{A_1 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$SU(2)_{64} \times SU(2)_{10}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{A_2}$	$SU(3)_{16}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{\tilde{A}_2}$	$(G_2)_{10}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & 6 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$	$SU(2)_{39}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & 5 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{B_2}$	$SU(2)_7^2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 10 & 7 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{\tilde{A}_2 + A_1}$	$SU(2)_{20}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 9 & 7 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{C_3(a_1)}$	$SU(2)_7$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 11 & 8 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{F_4(a_3)}$	—	$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 12 & 8 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

Bala-Carter	\mathfrak{f}	Projection Matrix
\underline{B}_3	$SU(2)_{24}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 16 & 12 & 6 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$
\underline{C}_3	$SU(2)_6$	$\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 19 & 14 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{F}_4(a_2)$	–	$\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 20 & 14 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$
$\underline{F}_4(a_1)$	–	$\begin{pmatrix} 14 & 26 & 18 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$
\underline{F}_4	–	$\begin{pmatrix} 22 & 42 & 30 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$

References

- [1] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, “Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation,” [arXiv:0907.3987 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [2] D. Gaiotto, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities,” [arXiv:0904.2715 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [3] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, “Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories,” *Lett.Math.Phys.* **91** (2010) 167–197, [arXiv:0906.3219 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, “The gravity duals of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories,” [arXiv:0904.4466 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] A. Gadde, L. Rastelli, S. S. Razamat, and W. Yan, “The superconformal index of the E_6 SCFT,” *JHEP* **1008** (2010) 107, [arXiv:1003.4244 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] A. Gadde, L. Rastelli, S. S. Razamat, and W. Yan, “The 4d superconformal index from q-deformed 2d Yang-Mills,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 241602, [arXiv:1104.3850 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] C. Beem, W. Peelaers, L. Rastelli, and B. C. van Rees, “Chiral algebras of class S,” [arXiv:1408.6522 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] J. A. Minahan and D. Nemeschansky, “An $N = 2$ superconformal fixed point with E_6 global symmetry,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B482** (1996) 142–152, [arXiv:hep-th/9608047 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] J. A. Minahan and D. Nemeschansky, “Superconformal fixed points with E_N global symmetry,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B489** (1997) 24–46, [arXiv:hep-th/9610076](#).
- [10] Y. Tachikawa, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ S-duality via outer-automorphism twists,” *J. Phys.* **A44** (2011) 182001, [arXiv:1009.0339 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [11] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and Y. Tachikawa, “Nilpotent orbits and codimension-two defects of 6d $N = (2, 0)$ theories,” *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A28** (2013) 1340006, [arXiv:1203.2930 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] O. Chacaltana and J. Distler, “Tinkertoys for Gaiotto duality,” *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 099, [arXiv:1008.5203 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] O. Chacaltana and J. Distler, “Tinkertoys for the D_N series,” [arXiv:1106.5410 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and Y. Tachikawa, “Gaiotto duality for the twisted A_{2N-1} series,” [arXiv:1212.3952 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and A. Trimm, “Tinkertoys for the twisted D-series,” [arXiv:1309.2299 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and A. Trimm, “Tinkertoys for the E_6 theory,” [arXiv:1403.4604 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and A. Trimm, “Seiberg-Witten for $Spin(n)$ with spinors,” [arXiv:1404.3736 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] J. Kinney, J. M. Maldacena, S. Minwalla, and S. Raju, “An index for 4 dimensional superconformal theories,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **275** (2007) 209–254, [arXiv:hep-th/0510251 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] A. Gadde, E. Pomoni, L. Rastelli, and S. S. Razamat, “S-duality and 2d topological QFT,” *JHEP* **1003** (2010) 032, [arXiv:0910.2225 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] A. Gadde, L. Rastelli, S. S. Razamat, and W. Yan, “Gauge theories and Macdonald polynomials,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **319** (2013) 147–193, [arXiv:1110.3740 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] M. Lemos, W. Peelaers, and L. Rastelli, “The superconformal index of class S theories of type D,” [arXiv:1212.1271](#).
- [22] N. Mekareeya, J. Song, and Y. Tachikawa, “2d TQFT structure of the superconformal indices with outer-automorphism twists,” *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 171, [arXiv:1212.0545 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, “S-duality of boundary conditions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory,” [arXiv:0807.3720 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] F. Benini, Y. Tachikawa, and D. Xie, “Mirrors of 3D Sicilian theories,” *JHEP* **09** (2010) 063, [arXiv:1007.0992 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] S. Cremonesi, A. Hanany, N. Mekareeya, and A. Zaffaroni, “Coulomb branch Hilbert series and Hall-Littlewood polynomials,” *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 178, [arXiv:1403.0585 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [26] S. Cremonesi, A. Hanany, N. Mekareeya, and A. Zaffaroni, “Coulomb branch Hilbert series and three dimensional Sicilian theories,” *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 185, [arXiv:1403.2384 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] D. Gaiotto and S. S. Razamat, “Exceptional indices,” *JHEP* **1205** (2012) 145, [arXiv:1203.5517 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] A. Trimm and F. Yan. Work in progress.
- [29] P. B. Kronheimer, “Instantons and the geometry of the nilpotent variety,” *J. Differential Geom.* **32** no. 2, (1990) 473–490.
- [30] M. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. Drinfeld, and Y. Manin, “Construction of instantons,” *Phys.Lett.* **A65** (1978) 185–187.
- [31] P. C. Argyres and N. Seiberg, “S-duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories,” *JHEP* **12** (2007) 088, [arXiv:0711.0054 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] D. Gaiotto, A. Neitzke, and Y. Tachikawa, “Argyres-Seiberg duality and the Higgs branch,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **294** (2010) 389–410, [arXiv:0810.4541 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] G. W. Moore and Y. Tachikawa, “On 2d TQFTs whose values are holomorphic symplectic varieties,” [arXiv:1106.5698 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] S. Benvenuti, A. Hanany, and N. Mekareeya, “The Hilbert series of the one instanton moduli space,” *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 100, [arXiv:1005.3026 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] A. Hanany, N. Mekareeya, and S. S. Razamat, “Hilbert series for moduli spaces of two instantons,” *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 070, [arXiv:1205.4741 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] S. Cremonesi, G. Ferlito, A. Hanany, and N. Mekareeya, “Coulomb branch and the moduli space of instantons,” [arXiv:1408.6835 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] A. Joseph, “The minimal orbit in a simple Lie algebra and its associated maximal ideal,” *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **9** no. 1, (1976) 1–29.