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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a nonlocal elliptic problem with the fractional Laplacian on $R^{n}$. We show that the problem has infinite positive solutions in $C^{\tau}\left(R^{n}\right) \bigcap H_{l o c}^{\alpha}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Moreover each of these solutions tends to some positive constant limit at infinity.
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## 1. Introduction

We prove the following result.
Theorem 1. Assume $0<\alpha<n$ and $p>1$. Let $\omega: R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}$be the monotone non-increasing function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(r)}{r} d r=A<\infty £ \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a positive constant $\theta$ such that for smooth functions $k(x)$ and $K(x)$ with $|K(x)| \leq \theta \omega(|x|)(1+|x|)^{-\tau}$ and $0 \leq k(x) \leq \theta \omega(|x|)(1+|x|)^{-\tau}$ on $R^{n}$ for some $\tau \geq \alpha$, the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u+k(x) u=K(x) u^{p}, \quad \text { in } R^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has infinite positive solutions in $C^{\tau}\left(R^{n}\right) \bigcap H_{l o c}^{\alpha}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Moreover, each of these solutions tends to some positive constant limit at infinity.

We prove the above result by using the Perron method and similar argument as in Lin's work [3]. The Perron method is based on the maximum principle developed in [1]. Similar argument had been carried out in [4] for nonlinear subelliptic equations on $R^{n}$.

We denote by $C$ the uniform constants, which may vary in different inequalities or formulae.

Denote by $B_{1}(0)$ the unit ball in $R^{n}$.
We present the potential analysis as in [2] in section 2 and we prove the main result in section (3),
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## 2. Preliminary

Let $\omega: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ be a radially symmetric monotone non-increasing function. Let $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ be a locally Holder continuous function with the decay growth as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leq C \omega(|x|)|x|^{-\tau} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ and $\tau>2 \alpha$ are uniform constants.
Lemma 2. Define

$$
w(x)=c_{n} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y
$$

where $c_{n}=\left[n(n-2)\left|B_{1}(0)\right|\right]^{-1}$. Then $w(x)$ is well-defined and near $\infty$ we have

$$
|w(x)| \leq \begin{cases}C|x|^{\alpha-n} \omega(|x|) & \text { if } \tau>n  \tag{4}\\ C \omega(|x|) \log |x| & \text { if } \tau=n \\ C \omega(|x|)|x|^{\alpha-\tau} & \text { if } \alpha \leq \tau\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{n}=1 / n(n-2)\left|B_{1}(0)\right|$.
Proof. Clearly we have

$$
|w(x)| \leq C \int_{R^{n}} \frac{\omega(|x|)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}\left(1+|y|^{\tau}\right.} d y .
$$

We now divide the the whole space $R^{n}$ into three parts:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1}=\left\{y \in R^{n} ;|y-x| \leq|x| / 2\right\}, \\
D_{2}=\left\{y \in R^{n} ;|x| / 2 \leq|y-x| \leq 2|x|\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
D_{3}=\left\{y \in R^{n} ;|y-x| \geq 2|x|\right\} .
$$

Set, for $j=1,2,3$,

$$
I_{j}=\int_{D_{j}} \frac{\omega(|x|)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}\left(1+|y|^{\tau}\right.} d y .
$$

Then

$$
|w(x)| \leq C\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\right) .
$$

One can show as in [2] that $w(x)$ has the upper bounds as in (4).
We now assume that $\omega(x)$ satisfies (1).
Lemma 3. Assume $f \geq 0$ on $R^{n}$ and $f(x) \geq C \omega(|x|)|x|^{-\tau}$ for some $\tau \geq \alpha$ and $C>0$. The Riesz potential $w(x)$ defined in Lemma 1 has the following lower bounds at $\infty$ :

$$
w(x) \geq \begin{cases}C|x|^{\alpha-n} \omega(|x|) & \text { if } \tau>n  \tag{5}\\ C \omega(|x|) \log |x| & \text { if } \tau=n \\ C \omega(|x|)|x|^{\alpha-\tau} & \text { if } \alpha \leq \tau n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. As in the proof above, we have

$$
w(x)=\left(\int_{D_{1}}+\int_{D_{2}}+\int_{D_{3}}\right) \frac{c_{n} f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y \geq \int_{D_{2}} \frac{c_{n} f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} d y:=J .
$$

One can show as in [2] that $J$ has the lower bounds as in (5).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The argument presented below is similar to LIn's work [3]. We just do it briefly.

Take some constants $\theta_{1}>0$ and $0<a<1$. We first define the function $U_{a}(x)$ on $R^{n}$ by solving the nonlocal equation

$$
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=-\frac{C \omega(x)}{(1+|x|)^{\tau}}, \text { on } R^{n}
$$

for $C \in\left(0, \theta_{1}\right)$ with $0<U_{a}(x) \leq a$ and $U_{a}(x) \rightarrow a$ at infinity. We can verify that $U_{a}$ is the lower solution to (2).

Then we define the function $U^{a}(x)$ on $R^{n}$ by solving the nonlocal equation

$$
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=\frac{C \omega(x)}{(1+|x|)^{\tau}}, \text { on } R^{n}
$$

for the same $C>0$ with $a \leq U^{a}(x)<1$ and $U^{a}(x) \rightarrow a$ at infinity. We can verify that $U^{a}$ is the upper solution to (2).

Then we can use the Perron method [1][3] to get the desired solution $u(x)$ to (2) such that $U_{a}(x) \leq u(x) \leq U^{a}(x)$ on $R^{n}$.

This then completes the proof of Theorem 1
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