

# Replica Symmetry Breaking in the Intensity Fluctuation Overlap of Random Laser Emission Spectra

F. Antenucci<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Crisanti<sup>1,3</sup> and L. Leuzzi<sup>2,1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma “Sapienza”, Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy*

<sup>2</sup> *IPCF-CNR, UOS Kerberos Roma, Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy*

<sup>3</sup> *ISC-CNR, UOS Sapienza, Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185, Roma, Italy*

We report about a newly introduced overlap parameter of intensity fluctuations of waves in random media with arbitrary amount of disorder and non-linearity and its relationship to the replica theory overlap in the  $2 + 4$  spherical complex spin-glass model. Symmetry breaking in the intensity fluctuation overlap is shown to be equivalent to the one occurring in the complex amplitude overlap, providing an easily verifiable test in typical experimental setups. The relevance of this order parameter is considered in describing the laser transition in random media and in explaining its glassy nature in terms of emission spectra data. The theoretical analysis is compared to recent measurements.

Light amplification and propagation through random media attracted much attention in recent years, with present-day applications to, e.g., speckle-free imaging and biomedical diagnostics [1], chip-based spectrometers [2–4], laser paints [5] and cryptography [6]. Whatever the amplifying medium, ordered or random, closed or open, two are the basic ingredients to produce laser in any optically active system: *optical amplification* and *feedback*. In closed cavities cold modes straightforwardly depend on the cavity geometry. In cavity-less random media some kind of cold cavity modes can, in principle, be established, by spontaneous emission. Indeed, amplified *spontaneous* emission (ASE) can occur even in systems without any optical cavity, whose fluorescence spectrum is simply determined by the gain curve of the active medium [7–9]. When the multiple-scattering feedback process is strong the ASE effect, if present, becomes subdominant and *stimulated* emission in the random medium is established, yielding a *Random Laser* (RL) [10]. The presence of feedback is associated to the existence of well-defined long-lived localized modes, characterized by a definite frequency and a spatial pattern of the electromagnetic field inside the material. Modes are expressed as *slow amplitude* contributions to the electromagnetic field expansion in terms of normal mode eigenvectors. The complex amplitudes of these slow modes turn out to be the fundamental degree of freedom in the statistical mechanical modeling of interacting modes [11, 12], while the irregularity of their spatial profiles results into *quenched* disordered couplings.

The RL action, at least in some specific configuration, presents peculiar properties such as strong non-trivial spectral fluctuations [13–18], i.e., narrow emission spikes in the spectra can change frequency from one excitation pulse to another one. These will be termed *shot-to-shot* fluctuations. In spectral fluctuations measurements the scattering particles and all external experimental conditions are kept constant. These differences are, thus, only due to the initial configuration of pre-pumping cold cavity modes occurring because of spontaneous emissions

and they are conjectured to correspond to a glassy behavior consisting in many equivalent degenerate states constituting the RL regime. A connection to statistical mechanical models with quenched disordered interaction, i.e., spin-glass models, has been recently established [11, 19–21], providing a new point of view on the shot-to-shot fluctuations phenomenon. The leading mechanism for the non-deterministic activation of the modes can be identified with the possible frustration of the disordered interactions and the consequent presence of a large number of degenerate states, each corresponding to a given set of activated modes specified by their own wavelengths, phases and intensities. The RL regime is associated to an effective thermodynamic phase where the tendency of the modes to oscillate coherently in intensity is frustrated: in the language of the replica theory [22], it corresponds to a phase where the symmetry among replica is broken and the overlaps between mode amplitudes display a nontrivial structure. Identical copies of the system show different amplitude equilibrium configurations, as the ergodicity is broken in many distinct states.

From an experimental point of view, the evaluation of the overlap between complex amplitudes and its probability distribution, i.e., the standard order parameter of the theory, is not available so far because it requires the measure of the mode phases in the coherent regime. One hindrance being the low total intensity of the RL emission with respect to standard cavity lasers. A direct experimental validation of such *random-glassy* laser connection, and, particularly, of the replica symmetry breaking (RSB) predicted by the theory, has, nevertheless, recently been put forward in Ref. [23], measuring the overlap between intensity fluctuations. Assuming a general model for cavity-less random lasers, in which not only the mode phases but the whole complex amplitudes are considered as the fundamental degrees of freedom of the problem, in this letter we demonstrate that any RSB occurring in the standard amplitude overlap can, in principle, be observed in the intensity fluctuation overlap (IFO), actually a coarse-graining of the former. This development

provides a theoretical framework to explain the results described in Ref. [23] and to motivate similar measurements in different RL systems.

*The Complex Amplitude Model* — Let us consider the RL model described by the Hamiltonian [11, 12, 21]

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk}^{1,N} J_{jk} a_j a_k^* - \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{jklm}^{1,N} J_{jklm} a_j a_k a_l^* a_m^*, \quad (1)$$

where the sums are unrestricted and  $a_i$  are  $N$  complex amplitude variables subject to the global power constraint  $\sum_k |a_k|^2 = \epsilon N$ . The coupling strengths are quenched independent random variables distributed, for computing convenience, according to a Gaussian of mean  $J_0^{(2)}/N^{p-1}$  and variance  $p! J_p^2/(2N^{p-1})$ , with  $p = 2, 4$ , whose scalings with  $N$  guarantee an extensive Hamiltonian and thermodynamic convergence. Let us also define the degree of disorder  $R_J = J_0/J$  and the pumping rate  $\mathcal{P} = \epsilon \sqrt{\beta J_0}$  with  $J_0 = J_0^{(2)} + J_0^{(4)}$  and  $J = J_2 + J_4$ .

This model can be derived in a multimode laser theory for open and irregular random resonators [12, 24]. The openness of the cavity can be encoded in the definition of the electromagnetic modes using, e.g., the system-and-bath approach of Ref. [25], in which the contributions of radiative and localized modes are separated by Feshbach projection [26]. This leads to an effective theory in which the localized modes exchange a linear off-diagonal effective damping coupling [25, 27, 28].

In a standard semiclassical approach, the field is expressed in the slow amplitude basis, where the modes have a well-defined frequency. The lifetimes of these modes are assumed to be much longer than the characteristic times of population inversion and the atomic variables can be adiabatically removed to obtain a perturbative expansion of the equations for the field alone. Interactions are restricted to the terms that meet the *frequency matching condition*  $|\omega_j - \omega_k + \omega_l - \omega_m| \lesssim \gamma$  [29–32],  $\gamma$  being the finite linewidth of the modes. Here, we limit ourselves to the third order theory to obtain the Hamiltonian Eq. (1). Subsequent orders may become relevant only far above the lasing threshold and they are not expected to change the universality class of the statistical model.

The mean-field approximation of the model Eq. (1) is exact when the probability distribution of the couplings is the same for all the mode couples  $(j, k)$  and tetrads  $(j, k, l, m)$ . This is true, e.g., when mode localizations scale with the volume occupied by the active medium and their spectrum has a narrow-bandwidth, so that frequency matching always holds.

*Replica Theory and Order Parameters* — Given the quenched randomness of the  $J$ 's, any observable depends on the particular realization of the disorder. Thus the relevant quantity is the disorder averaged free energy  $F = -\overline{\ln Z_J}/\beta$ , overline denotes disorder average, which can be evaluated using the replica trick [22, 33]: one

considers  $n$  copies of the system and evaluates the disorder averaged partition function  $\overline{Z_J^n}$  of the replicated system. A continuation to real  $n$  is, then, taken to evaluate  $\overline{\ln Z_J} = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} (\overline{Z_J^n} - 1)/n$ . As a result  $F$  is expressed as a functional in the replica space of the overlap matrices

$$Q_{ab} = \frac{1}{N\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Re}[a_k^a (a_k^b)^*], \quad R_{ab} = \frac{1}{N\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Re}[a_k^a a_k^b], \quad (2)$$

$a, b = 1, \dots, n$  being replica indexes, or, alternatively, writing  $a_k = \sqrt{\epsilon}(\sigma_k + i\tau_k)$ , of

$$A_{ab} \equiv Q_{ab} + R_{ab} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^a \sigma_k^b, \quad B_{ab} \equiv Q_{ab} - R_{ab} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \tau_k^a \tau_k^b. \quad (3)$$

As the system size becomes sufficiently large the free energy disorder sample-to-sample fluctuations die out and the free energy becomes independent of disorder, *self-averaging*. For  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the physical value of the free energy follows from the maximization of the free energy functional. To maximize  $F$  an Ansatz on the structure of  $Q, R$  is necessary. It can be shown [11, 24] that the *replica symmetric* solution with  $Q_{ab}$  and  $R_{ab}$  independent of  $a$  and  $b$  ( $a \neq b$ ) does not lead to a thermodynamically stable solution in the whole phase space: one must hence resort to a RSB. Following the Parisi scheme [22] the overlap matrices are then taken  $\mathcal{R}$ -step RSB matrix, with  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \infty$  for a continuous full RSB (FRSB).

*Intensity Fluctuation Overlap* — Depending on the value of  $J_{2,4}$  the solution of the RL model Eq. (1) displays phases with different RSB structures, ranging from 1-step 1RSB, to 1+FRSB and FRSB [34, 35]. The non-trivial structure of the overlaps, Eqs. (3,2), implies that identical copies of the system, with the same interaction network and submitted to the same thermodynamic conditions, show different values for microscopic observables at equilibrium and the ergodicity is broken in many distinct equivalent states. From an experimental point of view no phase correlations measurement, required for the evaluation of the order parameters of Eq (2), is available so far to our knowledge. Only magnitudes  $|a_k|$  are measured and not their phases  $\phi_k = \arg(a_k)$ .

In recent experiments [23], shot-to-shot fluctuations of intensity spectra in a solid RL, a fluorescent  $\pi$ -conjugated oligomer in amorphous solid phase, are measured and analyzed in terms of an overlap between *intensity fluctuations* of two real replicas. In these experiments, the set of the activated modes, coarse-grained by their intensity spectrum  $I_a(k) = |a_k^a|^2$  after shot  $a = 1, \dots, n$ , are observed to change from shot to shot. Different shots of RL emission, while the sample remains under identical experimental conditions, correspond hence to  $n$  real

replicas. The emission following each shot can be considered as a state if it is assumed that, during a single shot of the pumping source, so many stimulated emission processes take place that for each mode the dynamics is long enough to be compatible with thermalization. In this way, the observation of numerous different states will be an evidence of a thermodynamic phase described by a corrugated free energy landscape composed of many valleys separated by high barriers.

Terming  $\Delta_a(k) \equiv I_a(k) - \bar{I}(k)$  the intensity fluctuation of shot  $a$ , with  $\bar{I}(k) \equiv 1/n \sum_{a=1}^n I_a(k)$  the average profile, the overlap between the normalized intensity fluctuation of shots  $a$  and  $b$  is defined as [23]:

$$q_{ab} \equiv \frac{\sum_k \Delta_a(k) \Delta_b(k)}{\sqrt{\sum_k \Delta_a^2(k)} \sqrt{\sum_k \Delta_b^2(k)}}, \quad (4)$$

$k$  denoting now the frequency, i.e., the experimental accessible equivalent of a mode index, at least in the limit of well-defined frequencies and appropriate resolution. The overlap is measured between the fluctuations of intensity, rather than the straight intensity, to exclude possible ASE effects. From  $n$  measured spectra one can calculate the  $n(n-1)/2$  values of the IFO  $q_{ab}$  and its distribution  $P_J(q)$ . The overlap distribution is not self-averaging [22]. Its average  $P(q) = \overline{P_J(q)}$  can be computed by repeated, identical, input pumping shots on the same replica measurements.

In the experiment of Ref. [23] the distribution  $P(q)$  is centered about  $q = 0$  zero at low pumping while it becomes nontrivial with a triple and, eventually, double peaked shape as the lasing threshold is exceeded and  $q$  assumes all possible values in the range  $[-1, 1]$ . The highest peak position  $q_{\max}$  changes drastically signaling a regime transition at the RL threshold. In both regimes  $P(q) = P(-q)$ .

If the normalization factors  $\sqrt{\sum_k \Delta_a^2(k)}$  in Eq. (4) are neglected with respect to fluctuations  $\Delta_a(k)$ , we argue that in the complex amplitude RL model (1) the matrix

$$\mathcal{C}_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{8N\epsilon^2} \sum_{k=1}^N [\langle |a_k^a|^2 |a_k^b|^2 \rangle - \langle |a_k^a|^2 \rangle \langle |a_k^b|^2 \rangle], \quad (5)$$

defined in  $[0, 1]$ , is the model equivalent of the IFO with the prescription that  $P(q_{ab} = q)$  corresponds to  $P(\mathcal{C}_{ab} = |q|)$ . In the following we show that, similar to the order parameters matrices  $Q_{ab}$  and  $R_{ab}$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$  also show RSB. We stress that this analysis was not possible in non-linear  $XY$  models with quenched amplitudes considered in previous works [20, 36] because there the intensities of the modes are *quenched* during the mode evolution.

*Replicated Action and  $\mathcal{C}$  vs  $Q, R$  relationship* — The thermal and quenched disorder average of an observable

$O[\{a\}]$  can be written in the replica formalism as

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} Z_J^{n-1} \int \prod_{k=1}^N da_k O[\{a\}] e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}[\{a; J\}]} } \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \int \prod_{a=1}^n da_a O[\{a\}] e^{\mathcal{S}[\{a\}]} \equiv \langle O[\{a\}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the average is evaluated with the replicated action

$$\mathcal{S} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^n \sigma_a (\mathcal{A})_{ab}^{-1} \sigma_b + \sum_{a=1}^n h_a^\sigma \sigma_a + \left( \begin{array}{c} \sigma \rightarrow \tau \\ \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \end{array} \right). \quad (6)$$

Here we have introduced the effective fields

$$h^{\sigma, \tau} \equiv 2m^{\sigma, \tau} \left\{ b_2 + 2b_4 \left[ (m^\sigma)^2 + (m^\tau)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (7)$$

function of the ‘‘intensity coherence parameter’’,

$$m_a^\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^a, \quad m_a^\tau = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \tau_k^a, \quad (8)$$

analogous to the magnetization for spin models, with coefficients  $b_2 = \beta J_0^{(2)} \epsilon / 4$ ,  $b_4 = \beta J_0^{(4)} \epsilon^2 / 96$ , and the matrices

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv A - \bar{m}^\sigma \otimes \bar{m}^\sigma, \quad \mathcal{B} \equiv B - \bar{m}^\tau \otimes \bar{m}^\tau. \quad (9)$$

The field  $h^{\sigma, \tau}$  can be also expressed as

$$h^\sigma \equiv \frac{m^\sigma}{\sum_c A_{ac}}, \quad h^\tau \equiv \frac{m^\tau}{\sum_c B_{ac}}. \quad (10)$$

For weak disorder, i.e., small  $R_J$ ,  $m^{\sigma, \tau}$  are non-zero and must be included into the description. If disorder is strong, in the frozen glassy phase, on the other hand  $m^{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ .

Because  $\langle \sigma_a \tau_b \rangle = 0$  [11, 24], the integrals in the  $\sigma, \tau$  space factorize and  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$  takes the form

$$8\mathcal{C}_{ab} = \langle \sigma_a^2 \sigma_b^2 \rangle + \langle \tau_a^2 \tau_b^2 \rangle - \langle \sigma^2 \rangle^2 - \langle \tau^2 \rangle^2, \quad (11)$$

where  $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle = \langle \sigma_a^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle \tau^2 \rangle = \langle \tau_a^2 \rangle$  since single replica quantities do not depend on the replica index.

The replicated action  $\mathcal{S}$  is quadratic, Eq. (6), thus, using the Wick’s theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{ab} = \frac{A_{ab}}{4} \left[ \mathcal{A}_{ab} + 2(m^\sigma)^2 \right] + \left( \begin{array}{c} \sigma \rightarrow \tau \\ \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \end{array} \right).$$

This expression can be farther simplified since the physical solutions of the model are either of the form  $Q_{ab} = R_{ab}$  or  $Q_{ab} = -R_{ab}$  ( $a \neq b$ ). The two solutions are equivalent. Choosing for example the first, so that consequently  $m^\tau = 0$ , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{ab} &= Q_{ab}^2 - \frac{m^4}{4}, \quad a \neq b; \\ \mathcal{C}_{aa} &= \frac{1 + R^2}{2} - \frac{m^4}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$




Figure 1. Probability distribution of the IFO for  $J_2 = J_0^{(2)} = 0$  and for a non-negligible degree of disorder  $R_J = 0.07$ . Dirac delta's height represents their probability. The pumping rate  $\mathcal{P}$  increases from left to right and from top to bottom. Above the transition threshold  $\mathcal{P}_c$  the coherence between the modes is frustrated with absence of global ordering ( $m = 0$ ). The replica symmetry is broken in this case with the peaks at  $|q| \neq 0$  that appear discontinuously at the transition.

where  $R \equiv R_{aa}$  and  $m \equiv m^\sigma$ . The RSB thus propagates from the matrices  $Q_{ab}$  and  $R_{ab}$  to the matrix  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$ .

The low pumping regime is replica symmetric for any  $R_J$ , with  $m = 0$  and  $Q_{ab} = 0$  for  $a \neq b$  [11], implying a Dirac delta  $P(\mathcal{C})$  peaked in zero.

For no or weak disorder at high pumping  $\mathcal{P}$ , i. e., for a standard mode-locking laser in an ordered cavity [29, 30], the squared intensity coherence parameter is  $m^2 = 2Q_{ab}$ ,  $a \neq b$ , and the  $P(\mathcal{C})$  is a Dirac delta function in zero, as well. Remarkably, though in terms of intensity coherence the ordered laser regime is clearly different from the fluorescence regime, the IFO distribution does not change at the standard mode-locking transition.

For large  $R_J$ , instead, when  $\mathcal{P} > \mathcal{P}_c$  the replica symmetry is broken and the distribution of  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$  becomes non-trivial. In Fig. 1 it is shown the behavior of the analytic  $P(q) \equiv P(\mathcal{C} = |q|)$  across the laser threshold in a closed cavity. The RL phase is 1RSB and  $\mathcal{C}_{ab}$  takes two values:  $\mathcal{C}_{ab} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{ab} = |q| > 0$ . The finite value appears discontinuously at the transition. In Fig. 2,  $P(q)$  is shown for large  $R_J$  and strongly open cavity, where the linear dumping is competing with non-linearity. The RL regime is in this case a FRSB phase and  $P(q)$  displays a continuous part between the central and the two side peaks, with the two peaks growing continuously from zero at  $\mathcal{P}_c$ . Nonetheless, for high enough pumping, well-above the threshold, the non-linear term becomes always dominant [12] and the solution is again 1RSB with distinct peaks in  $P(q)$ , cf. also Fig. 1.

*Conclusions* — In this Letter we have shown that in a general statistical mechanics approach to random lasers




Figure 2. Probability distribution of the IFO for  $J_0^{(4)}/J_0 = J_4/J = 0.4$ , when linear and nonlinear interactions are competing, and  $R_J = 1.1$ . As the pumping rate  $\mathcal{P}$  increases beyond the lasing threshold, both FRSB ( $\mathcal{P} = 2.07$ ), 1-FRSB ( $\mathcal{P} = 2.23$ ) and 1RSB ( $\mathcal{P} = 3.12, 4.45, 7.03$ ) RL regimes are displayed.

replica symmetry breaking is expected to be displayed by the shot-to-shot intensity fluctuations overlap whenever it occurs in the mode overlap, see Eq. (12). The transition in the probability IFO distribution is shown to be continuous (cf. Fig. 1) or discontinuous (cf. Fig. 2) depending on the possible experimental situations.

This result provides novel and more easily available tests of spin-glass theory in continuous systems without local constraints, as, e.g., photonic random systems. In particular, it allows an interpretation of the recent IFO analysis of Ref. [23] in terms of replica theory. As in those experiments, at low pumping all IFO's are centered around zero, meaning that the electromagnetic modes are independent and not interacting. Increasing  $\mathcal{P}$ , modes couple at the lasing threshold and, accordingly, the IFO distribution function  $P(q)$  becomes nontrivial:  $q$  can assume with a finite probability values between two pumping-dependent extremes, notably meaning that the correlation in intensity fluctuations between any two replicas depends on the replicas selected in the glassy random laser phase.

*Acknowledgments* — We thank Silvio Franz for stimulating this work and for interesting discussions. The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme

FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n. 290038, NETADIS project, from the European Research Council through ERC grant agreement no. 247328 - CriPheRaSy project - and from the Italian MIUR under the Basic Research Investigation Fund FIRB2008 program, grant No. RBFRO8M3P4, and under the PRIN2010 program, grant code 2010HXAW77-008.

---

\* luca.leuzzi@cnr.it

- [1] B. Redding, M. A. Choma, and H. Cao, *Nature Photon.* **6**, 497 (2012).
- [2] B. Redding and H. Cao, *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 3384 (2012).
- [3] B. Redding, S. M. Popoff, and H. Cao, *Opt. Expr.* **21**, 6584 (2013).
- [4] B. Redding, S. F. Liew, R. Sarma, and H. Cao, *Nature Photon.* **7**, 746 (2013).
- [5] S. John and G. Pang, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 3642 (1996).
- [6] R. Horstmeyer, B. Judkewitz, I. M. Vellekoop, S. Assaworarith, and C. H. Yang, *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 3543 (2013).
- [7] V. Letokhov, *Soviet JETP* **26**, 835 (1968).
- [8] V. M. Markushev, V. F. Zolin, and C. M. Briskina, *Sov. J. Quantum Elec.* **16**, 281 (1986).
- [9] C. Gouedard, D. Husson, C. Sauteret, F. Auzel, and A. Migus, *JOSA B* **10**, 2358 (1993).
- [10] D. S. Wiersma and A. Lagendijk, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 4256 (1996).
- [11] F. Antenucci, C. Conti, A. Crisanti, and L. Leuzzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2015), arXiv:1406.7826.
- [12] F. Antenucci, A. Crisanti, and L. Leuzzi, (2015), arXiv:1412.8706.
- [13] S. E. Skipetrov and R. Maynard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 736739 (2000).
- [14] D. Anglos, A. Stassinopoulos, R. N. Das, G. Zacharakis, M. Psyllaki, R. Jakubiak, R. A. Vaia, E. P. Giannelis, and S. H. Anastasiadis, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **21**, 208 (2004).
- [15] K. L. van der Molen, A. P. Mosk, and A. Lagendijk, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 053808 (2006).
- [16] S. Lepri, S. Cavalieri, G. Oppo, and D. Wiersma, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 063820 (2007).
- [17] S. Mujumdar, V. Türeci, R. Torre, and D. S. Wiersma, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 033807 (2007).
- [18] J. Fallert, R. J. B. Dietz, J. Sartor, D. Schneider, C. Klingshirn, and H. Kalt, *Nat. Photon.* **3**, 279282 (2009).
- [19] L. Angelani, C. Conti, G. Ruocco, and F. Zamponi, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 104207 (2006).
- [20] L. Leuzzi, C. Conti, V. Folli, L. Angelani, and G. Ruocco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 083901 (2009).
- [21] C. Conti and L. Leuzzi, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 134204 (2011).
- [22] M. Mézard, G. Parisi, and M. A. Virasoro, *Spin glass theory and beyond* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
- [23] N. Ghofraniha, I. Viola, F. Di Maria, G. Barbarella, G. Gigli, L. Leuzzi, and C. Conti, *Nat. Commun.* (2015), 10.1038/NCOMMS7058, arXiv:1407.5428.
- [24] F. Antenucci, *Statistical Mechanics of Disordered Systems: Optics Applications*, Ph.D. thesis, Doctoral School "Vito Volterra" for Astronomical Chemical Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome (2015).
- [25] C. Viviescas and G. Hackenbroich, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 013805 (2003).
- [26] H. Feshbach, *Ann. Phys.* **19**, 287 (1962).
- [27] G. Hackenbroich, C. Viviescas, and F. Haake, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 083902 (2002).
- [28] C. Viviescas and G. Hackenbroich, *J. Opt. B* **6**, 211 (2004).
- [29] H. A. Haus, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **6**, 1173 (2000).
- [30] A. Gordon and B. Fischer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 103901 (2002).
- [31] F. Antenucci, M. Ibañez Berganza, and L. Leuzzi, (2014), arXiv:1409.6345.
- [32] F. Antenucci, M. Ibañez Berganza, and L. Leuzzi, (2014), arXiv:1412.8610.
- [33] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, *J. Phys. F* **5**, 965 (1975).
- [34] A. Crisanti and L. Leuzzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 217203 (2004).
- [35] A. Crisanti and L. Leuzzi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **870**, 176 (2013).
- [36] L. Angelani, C. Conti, G. Ruocco, and F. Zamponi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 065702 (2006).