arXiv:1412.8034v1 [hep-ph] 27 Dec 2014 arXiv:1412.8034v1 [hep-ph] 27 Dec 2014

Asymptotically Safe Dark Matter

Francesco Sannino[∗](#page-0-0) and Ian M. Shoemaker[†](#page-0-1)

CP³ -Origins & Danish Institute for Advanced Study , Danish IAS,

University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

We introduce a new paradigm for dark matter interactions according to which the interaction strength is asymptotically safe. In models of this type, the interaction strength is small at low energies but increases at higher energies towards a finite constant value of the coupling. The net effect is to partially offset direct detection constraints without affecting thermal freeze-out at higher energies. High-energy collider and indirect annihilation searches are the primary ways to constrain or discover asymptotically safe dark matter.

Preprint: CP³-Origins-2014-047 DNRF90, DIAS-2014-47

I. RUNNING MATTERS

Significant theoretical and experimental effort is underway in an effort to unveil the fundamental nature of the non-luminous component of matter. While very little is known about this dark matter (DM), evidence for its existence is overwhelming, coming from multiple strands of inquiry. One of the few properties of DM that is very well known is its cosmological abundance: $\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = 0.1199 \pm 0.0027$ [\[1\]](#page-3-0). One well-studied framework for understanding the relic abundance of Dark Matter (DM) is thermal freeze-out [\[2\]](#page-3-1). Number-changing interactions in the early universe, $\overline{X}X \leftrightarrow (\overline{SM})SM$, keep DM in thermal equilibrium with the Standard Model (SM) bath, until the rate of these annihilation processes drops below the rate of Hubble expansion. After this point the abundance of DM is essentially fixed, with a value scaling as $\Omega_{DM} \propto 1/\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle$, where $\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle \simeq$ 6×10^{-26} cm³ s⁻¹ gives the observed DM abundance. Today, a wealth of experimental probes are placing strong constraints on this scenario from the null observations of its annihilation [\[3\]](#page-3-2), production at colliders [\[4\]](#page-3-3), and nuclear scattering at direct detection experiments [\[5\]](#page-3-4).

We must however be careful when applying these experimental constraints to given particle physics models and it is incumbent upon us to re-examine the assumptions of their relevance for the thermal relic paradigm. For example, an underappreciated point is that when constraining DM interactions one uses information typically spanning several orders of magnitudes in energy. For example direct detection experiments are very sensitive to the strength of the coupling of DM with ordinary matter involving momenta exchange of order MeVs, while the relevant energy for indirect detection experiments is around the DM mass which is typically in the 1 GeV to 100 TeV range (by unitarity [\[6\]](#page-4-0)).

It is a fact, however, that couplings generally run when the quantum corrections have been taken into account and their variation can be substantial over the energy range spanned by current experiments in search of DM.

A time-honored example is Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). Here its squared coupling decreases by a factor of four to five when passing from a few GeV to about hundreds of GeV. Not only does the theory experience a large variation in the value of the coupling but the entire spectrum undergoes dramatic changes from a quarkgluon-like picture to a hadronic one at low energies.

Imagine that DM interactions strength are such that they are small at low energies and grow at high energies in such a way to (partially) offset the direct detection experiments constraints but retaining all the other highenergy properties.

This is a convenient place to pause and reflect on the kind of coupling structure we will consider in the following. To keep the analysis general while simple enough to explore the main idea and physical implications we imagine the dark sector to connect to the SM via the exchange of a messenger. The interactions of the dark sector with the messenger will be parametrized by a coupling q_X while the coupling of the messenger with the standard model (SM) quarks by g_q . They both run with energy. We therefore expect the cross sections for interaction with ordinary matter and DM annihilation to be parametrized by

$$
\sigma \propto \frac{\alpha_q \alpha_X}{m_V^4} \mu^2 \ , \quad \langle \sigma_{\rm ann} v \rangle \propto \frac{\alpha_q \alpha_X}{m_V^4} m_X^2 \ , \tag{1}
$$

with m_V the mass of the messenger and $\alpha_i = g_i^2/4\pi$ where $i = q, X$. For simplicity we have assumed the interaction with ordinary matter to be identified with the SM quarks. We have also assumed the hierarchy m_V m_X . The crucial point is that, because of the running of the couplings (due to the DM independent dynamics, and the dynamics of the messenger sector with ordinary matter), these cross sections can depend sensitively on the energy at which they are employed.

For example at keV energies the cross section σ can be identified with the proton cross section σ_p which is essential for direct detection experiments. We can specialize σ also for computing the DM annihilation cross section. This energy can be several order of magnitudes higher than the one relevant for direct detection experiments.

Depending on the underlying model one or both couplings can substantially change within the phenomeno-

[∗] sannino@cp3-origins.net

[†] shoemaker@cp3-origins.net

FIG. 1. Left panel: Asymptotically safe beta function given in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) for $\alpha^* = 0.4$ and $b_1 = 7$. Center panel: Energy dependence of the coupling $\alpha(\mu)$ for $\alpha(\mu_0) = 0.04$ at the reference scale μ_0 . Right panel: Dependence of the intrinsic energy scale Λ_{AF} on b_1 for $\alpha^* = 0.4$ and $\alpha(\mu_0) = 0.04$ for $\mu_0 = 1$ MeV. Above Λ_{AF} the coupling has already reached over 2/3 of its fixed point value.

logically relevant energy range.

In contrast with QCD, we have here taken the underlying DM theory to be asymptotically safe rather than asymptotically free [\[7\]](#page-4-1), and further assumed that the couplings are always within the perturbative regime. This means that the couplings grow with energy towards the ultraviolet while becoming constant above a certain energy threshold that we call Λ_{AF} . A simple beta function parametrization, for a generic coupling α , that in four dimensions captures the essence of a (non-gravitational) asymptotically safe theory is:

$$
\mu \frac{d\alpha}{d\mu} = \beta = b_0 \alpha^2 - b_1 \alpha^3 = b_1 \alpha^2 (\alpha^* - \alpha) , \qquad (2)
$$

with positive b coefficients and μ the energy (renormalization) scale. This beta function possesses two independent zeros. A non-interacting one for $\alpha = 0$ and an interacting one for

$$
\alpha^* = \frac{b_0}{b_1} \,. \tag{3}
$$

The coefficient b_1 partially controls how fast, in renormalization group time $t = \ln(\mu/\mu_0)$, the fixed point α^* is reached. Here μ_0 is a reference energy corresponding to a given (theoretical or experimental) value of the coupling $\alpha(\mu_0) = \alpha_0$. For illustration we show the beta function in Fig. [1](#page-1-1) for the choice $\alpha^* = 0.4$ and $b_1 = 7$. The solution of the differential equation yielding the specific running for the coupling is exhibited in the right panel of Fig. [1](#page-1-1) with the further assumption $\alpha_0 = 0.04$, yielding $\alpha^*/\alpha_0 = 10$.

It is phenomenologically relevant to investigate the dependence of the intrinsic scale Λ_{AF} above which the coupling has almost reached the ultraviolet fixed point. A simple definition is the energy scale above which the running coupling has reached 2/3 of its fixed point value α^* . We show in the right panel of Fig. [1](#page-1-1) the dependence of Λ_{AF} on b_1 having fixed $\alpha(\mu_0) = 0.04$, $\alpha^* = 0.4$ and in units of $\mu_0 \simeq 1$ MeV.

Note that within a few orders of magnitude in energy, α has changed by more than an order of magnitude. In the following we set the particle/antiparticle asymmetry to zero, but note that relaxing this assumption modifies thermal freeze-out in important ways [\[8\]](#page-4-2). This therefore underscores the importance when comparing highand low-energy DM processes. The scale Λ_{AF} allows, de facto, a neat separation between two distinct physical regimes for our DM theory.

In the following we will assume that either α_X , α_q or both are asymptotically safe couplings. We shall refer to this scenario as asymptotically safe DM (asDM).

II. PHENOMENOLOGY

Let us now investigate first the consequences of asDM for the thermal relic abundance. In particular, we will focus on the phenomenologically interesting case in which the transition scale Λ_{AF} is smaller than the freeze-out temperature but higher than the direct detection energy scale which is of $\mathcal{O}(\text{MeV})$. In this case, freeze-out occurs when the coupling is nearly maximal and the direct detection experiments face very tiny asDM couplings. This typically means

$$
\text{MeV} \lesssim \Lambda_{AF} \lesssim \frac{m_X}{20},\tag{4}
$$

and that direct detection constraints are weakened without negatively impacting the requirements of a thermal relic.

It is important to stress, however, that both highenergy collider and indirect annihilation searches probe the large couplings of asDM at high energies. Let us illustrate this via a Maverick DM model [\[9\]](#page-4-3). In these models DM is a Maverick in the sense of being the only light particle associated with the dark sector feeling the SM fields. Thus, the particle mediating the interactions

FIG. 2. Here we illustrate the combined impact of various experimental probes on the relic abundance. The experiments depicted include LHC monojets [\[4\]](#page-3-3), direct detection constraints from LUX [\[5\]](#page-3-4), and Fermi-LAT's dwarf galaxy search [\[3\]](#page-3-2). The white space in each panel represents the remaining viable parameter space for a thermal relic.

between DM and the SM are so heavy that their effects can be parameterized by an effective operator. Next, we will illustrate the impact of dark asymptotically safe couplings in the case of a heavy vector exchange between DM and quarks:

$$
\mathscr{O}_V = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left(\overline{X} \gamma^\mu X \right) \left(\overline{q} \gamma_\mu q \right), \tag{5}
$$

where the scale of the operator can be matched onto a UV description via $\Lambda \equiv m_V / \sqrt{g_X g_q} \equiv \frac{m_V}{\sqrt{4\pi} (\alpha_X \alpha_q)^{1/4}}$, where m_V is the mass of the heavy vector and g_X , g_q are the couplings to asDM and quarks respectively.

Next we determine the values of Λ that satisfy the relic abundance by solving the Boltzmann equations,

$$
\frac{dn_i}{dt} + 3Hn_i = -\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle \left[n_i n_j - n_{eq}^2 \right],\qquad(6)
$$

where the indices run over $i, j = X, \overline{X}$. H is the Hubble expansion rate, n_{eq} is the equilibrium number density, and $\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle$ is the thermal average of the total annihilation cross section. For the operator \mathscr{O}_V the annihilation cross section is simply

$$
\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle = \frac{3m_X^2}{2\pi \Lambda_*^4} \sum_q \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_q^2}{m_X^2}} \left(2 + \frac{m_q^2}{m_X^2} \right), \quad (7)
$$

where we have neglected to include sub-leading $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ corrections, and the parameter $\Lambda_{AF} \simeq \Lambda_{*}$ indicates the interaction scale when the couplings are near their fixed point value $\alpha_X^* \alpha_q^*$. At asDM masses $\gtrsim 10 \text{ GeV}$ the requisite annihilation cross does not depend sensitively on its mass and is approximately $\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle \simeq$ 6×10^{-26} cm³s⁻¹. When this is the case, the correct relic abundance requires $\Lambda_{AF} \simeq 980 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{m_X}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1/2}$, or equivalently at the level of couplings

$$
\alpha_X^* \alpha_q^* = 7 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{m_V}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right)^4 \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_X} \right)^2 \ . \tag{8}
$$

To determine the direct detection cross section we should be able to run the couplings to lower energies. It could be that one or both couplings run to a lower value with decreasing energies. Introducing the effective direct detection interaction scale

$$
\Lambda_{DD} = \Lambda_{AF} \left(\frac{\alpha_X^* \alpha_q^*}{\alpha_X \alpha_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \Lambda_{AF} \left(R_X R_q \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (9)
$$

with $R_{X,q} = \alpha_{X,q}/\alpha_{X,q}^*$ and $\alpha_{X,q}$ the couplings at the relevant direct detection energies of order $\mathcal{O}(\text{MeV})$. We can now proceed with writing down the associated direct detection cross section

$$
\sigma_p = \frac{\mu_p^2}{\pi \Lambda_{DD}^4} = \frac{\mu_p^2}{\pi \Lambda_{AF}^4} R \;, \tag{10}
$$

where μ_p is the proton-DM reduced mass and $R \equiv R_X R_q$. For illustration we set $R = 10^{-1}$ and 10^{-2} and display the resulting constraints in the right panel of Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

Now we would like to roughly estimate the parameters of interest that suppress direct detection constraints enough to allow for viable thermal relics. Combining Eqs.[\(7-](#page-2-1)[10\)](#page-2-2) we see that we need

$$
\frac{\Lambda_{DD}}{\Lambda_{AF}} > 18 \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_X} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1.3 \times 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2}{\sigma_p} \right)^{1/4} (11)
$$

where we have taken σ_p to be below the constraints imposed by LUX [\[5\]](#page-3-4) which implies

$$
R < 10^{-5} \left(\frac{m_X}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{1.3 \times 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2}\right) \,, \tag{12}
$$

III. MODEL BUILDING AND CONCLUSIONS

To construct an asDM model we take inspiration from and make use of, the exact results in [\[7\]](#page-4-1). Here – in the context of a gauge-Yukawa theory, structurally similar to the SM – it was proven that there exists a one-dimensional critical RG hypersurface in the fourdimensional coupling space along which the physical theory runs from a sensible IR non-interacting field theory to a quantum interacting UV fixed point. The fact that the hypercritical surface is unidimensional means that along the RG trajectory connecting the IR and UV physics all the couplings display asymptotically safe behavior and all the couplings are driven by only one coupling, which is in this case the gauge coupling. We will assume that an underlying theory similar to this this is the dark sector driving the running of asDM couplings to itself and to ordinary matter. A similar construction was considered in [\[10\]](#page-4-4), albeit in a different context. The hidden theory is constituted by an $SU(N_h)$ gauge theory featuring F_h hidden Dirac fermions ψ_h in the fundamental representation and interacting among themselves via a complex matrix of $F_h \times F_h$ scalars. The ratio of the number of hidden flavors to hidden colors is chosen in such a way that asymptotic freedom is lost. The same ratio is also the parameter used to control and insure the presence of an exact interacting UV fixed point within perturbation theory [\[7\]](#page-4-1). We will indicate the Lagrangian of this sector collectively with \mathscr{L}_{hidden} . We assume that our asDM state is one extra heavy Dirac flavor X , with an exact unbroken flavor symmetry. We furthermore assume that at energies higher than the mass of X the full hidden symmetry gauge group is $SU(N_h+1)$. Similarly the nonabelian hidden global symmetry is $SU(F_h+1) \times SU(F_h+1)$. Both, the hidden gauge and global symmetries, spontaneously break at around the vector mass scale m_V while we keep $m_X < m_V$. At energies below and near m_V we have:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{hidden}} + i\bar{X}\gamma^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} - ig_{X}V_{\mu}) X \n+ \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} - ig_{q}V_{\mu}) q + m_{V}^{2}V_{\mu}V^{\mu} .
$$
\n(13)

Here V_{μ} is an abelian massive vector field that is part of the larger gauge symmetry group and we neglected its kinetic term. We further assume it to couple universally also to the SM quarks. At some higher energies we can imagine an unification also with the SM fields, provided that it still leads to an asymptotically (near) safe behavior for either or both asDM relevant couplings g_X and g_q . With this setup at energy scales below m_V the hidden sector drives the running of, at least, g_X . By the fundings in [\[7\]](#page-4-1) the cartoon beta function responsible for

the running in Fig. [1](#page-1-1) maps into in the beta function in Fig. 5 of [\[7\]](#page-4-1).

The running of g_X above the X and V_μ mass thresholds should be amended by enlarging the hidden color and flavor group, which by construction is structurally identical to the theory with one less hidden color and flavor and therefore we expect the UV ultraviolet fixed point to survive, at least within the energy range relevant for asDM phenomenology.

Although the results in [\[7\]](#page-4-1) are exact in the Veneziano limit, for phenomenological reasons, we extend them to finite number of hidden flavors and colors. Here the beta function for α_X , after having already zeroed the Yukawa beta function, maps into Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) for

$$
b_1 = \left(\frac{N_c}{4\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{50}{3} - \frac{8}{3}\frac{N_f}{N_c} + \frac{6N_c}{N_c + N_f}\right) \tag{14}
$$

and the fixed point value of the gauge coupling :

$$
\alpha_X^* \simeq \frac{N_c}{4\pi} \frac{4}{3b_1} \left(\frac{N_f}{N_c} - \frac{11}{2} \right) \,. \tag{15}
$$

With $N_c = N_h + 1$ and $N_f = F_h + 1$, with N_c and N_f large and $N_f/N_c - 11/2 < 1$. Choosing, for example, $N_h = 39$ and $F_h = 34$ we have $\alpha_X^* \sim 0.76$. One finds that $\Lambda_{AF} \simeq 1.75 \text{ GeV}$ for $\alpha_X(1 \text{ MeV}) \simeq 0.04$. Larger values of α_X^* are obtained by decreasing N_f/N_c towards 11/2. Note that in this extreme case $\alpha_X^*/\alpha_X(1 \text{ MeV}) \simeq 19$.

We have shown that the interaction strength of DM interactions need not be constant with energy, and investigated the consequences of asymptotically safe couplings for the thermal relic abundance. We have observed that the running of the couplings can be very relevant when the transition energy scale falls in between the low-energy scale relevant for direct detection and the relatively high scales relevant for thermal freeze-out. By suppressing the otherwise extremely strong constraints from direct detection, the constraints from collider and indirect searches increase in importance. Although we have focused on the consequences of asymptotically safe couplings for symmetric thermal relics, it would be natural to extend this analysis both to other operators and models as well as extending the anaysis to asymmetric thermal relics by making use of the indirect limits obtained in [\[11\]](#page-4-5).

The CP³ -Origins centre is partially funded by the Danish National Research Foundation, grant number DNRF90.

- [1] P. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [Astron.Astrophys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321591) [\(2014\), 10.1051/0004-6361/201321591,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321591) [arXiv:1303.5076](http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.5076) [\[astro-ph.CO\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.5076)
- [2] Y. B. Zel'dovich, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 48 (1965).
- [3] M. Ackermann et al. (Fermi-LAT Collaboration), Phys.Rev. D89[, 042001 \(2014\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.042001) [arXiv:1310.0828 \[astro-](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0828)

[ph.HE\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0828)

- [4] Search for new physics in monojet events in pp collisions at sqrt (s) = 8 TeV, Tech. Rep. (CMS-PAS-EXO-12-048, 2013).
- [5] D. Akerib et al. (LUX Collaboration), (2013), [arXiv:1310.8214 \[astro-ph.CO\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.8214)
- [6] K. Griest and M. Kamionkowski, [Phys.Rev.Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.615) 64, 615 [\(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.615)
- [7] D. F. Litim and F. Sannino, Accepted for publication in JHEP (2014), [arXiv:1406.2337 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2337)
- [8] M. L. Graesser, I. M. Shoemaker, and L. Vecchi, [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)110) 1110[, 110 \(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)110) [arXiv:1103.2771 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2771)
- [9] M. Beltran, D. Hooper, E. W. Kolb, Z. A. Krusberg,

and T. M. Tait, JHEP 1009[, 037 \(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP09(2010)037) [arXiv:1002.4137](http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.4137) [\[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.4137)

- [10] S. Abel and A. Mariotti, (2013), [arXiv:1312.5335 \[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5335)[ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5335)
- [11] N. F. Bell, S. Horiuchi, and I. M. Shoemaker, Accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. D. (2014), [arXiv:1408.5142](http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.5142) [\[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.5142)