

Analysis of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ in perturbative QCD approach

Fatemeh Najafi*, Hossein Mehraban†
 Physics Department, Semnan University
 P.O.Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran

January 18, 2020

Abstract

In this paper, we analyse the hadronic decay of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ in perturbative QCD approach (pQCD), where $a_1(1260)$ is a axial-vector meson and J/ψ is a vector meson. The experimental data of the branching ratio of this decay is less than (1.2×10^{-3}) . We obtained that the branching ratio is $(1.02^{+0.04+0.03+0.01}_{-0.08-0.05-0.01}) \times 10^{-3}$ and has a good agreement with the experimental result.

1 Introduction

The B_c meson is a ground state of $\bar{b}c$ system and is the only heavy meson embracing two heavy quarks b and c. Because lifetime, mass and the relative Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element between b and c quark are different, the decay rate of the two quarks is different. Therefore studying of B_c decays provide information about CP violation and standard model. Since the B_c meson carries explicit flavor, it can not annihilate via strong interaction or electromagnetic interaction like the mesons consisting of $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$. It can only decay via weak interaction that is an ideal platform to study weak decays of heavy quarks. Compared with the $B_{u,d,s}$ mesons, the decays of the B_c meson are rather different from those of B or B_s meson, since in B_c meson both b and c can decay while the other serves as a spectator, or annihilating into pairs of leptons or light mesons. Exclusive modes containing of $B_c \rightarrow h_1 h_2$ decays (h_i are the vector, axial-vector, pseudo-scalar, tensor and scalar). Two-body hadronic B_c decay meson has studied by many author [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. In this research, the two body hadronic decay $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ are studied, where $a_1(1260)$ and J/ψ are axial-vector and vector respectively. Axial-vector mesons are mesons with the quantum numbers $J_P = 1^+$. In the quark model, there are two distinct types of axial-vector mesons, namely, 3P_1 and 1P_1 , which carry the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ and $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ respectively. Experimentally, the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ nonet consists of $a_1(1260)$, $f_1(1285)$, $f_1(1420)$, and K_{1A} , while the $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ nonet has $b_1(1235)$, $h_1(1170)$, $h_1(1380)$ and K_{1B} . In the $SU(3)$

*fatemeh.najafi@students.semnan.ac.ir

†hmehraban@semnan.ac.ir

flavor limit, these mesons can not mix with each other. Due to the G -parity the chiral-even two-parton light-cone distribution amplitudes of the 3P_1 (1P_1) mesons are symmetric (antisymmetric) under the interchange of the momentum fractions of quark and anti-quark in the $SU(3)$ limit. The 3P_1 meson behaves in a similar way to the vector meson; this is not the case for the 1P_1 meson. For the latter, its decay constant vanishes in the $SU(3)$ limit. Since c quarks mass is about one third of b quark and $m_c/m_{B_c} \sim 0.2$, therefore b quark in a B_c carry the large part of the energy. This assumption allows us to employ the k_T factorization theorem to the b decay in B_c meson. In this work we shall study $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ decays in the perturbative QCD approach based on the k_T factorization[8]. We know that the light quark in B meson is soft, while it is collinear in the final state light meson, so a hard gluon is necessary to kick the light spectator quark in the B meson. By keeping the transverse momentum k_T in the quark and gluon propagators, the end point singularity in the collinear factorization can be eliminated. Because of the additional energy scale introduced by the transverse momentum, double logarithms will appear in the QCD radiative corrections. With the Sudakov resummation, we can include the leading double logarithms for all loop diagrams, in association with the soft contribution. This makes the pQCD approach more reliable and consistent. The appealing feature of the pQCD factorization is that form factors can be computed in terms of wave functions and hard kernels. Although the k_T factorization is not gauge invariant and produces an IR finite hard kernel [9], the pQCD method provides a good platform to study of B meson two-body non-leptonic decays[10]. There are three scales in the B meson non-leptonic decays M_W , m_b and $1/b$. The electroweak decay of B meson is characterized by the W boson mass M_W . The second scale m_b reflects the scale of energy in the decay. Since the b quark decay scale m_b is much smaller than the electroweak scale M_W , the QCD corrections are nonnegligible. The third scale $1/b$ is the factorization scale, with b being the conjugate variable of parton transverse momenta. Dynamics below $1/b$ is regarded as being completely nonperturbative, and can be parametrized into a meson wave function $\phi(x)$. The meson wave functions are not calculable in pQCD. But they are universal, channel independent. This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we study decay constant of axial-vector, form factor, wave function and distribution amplitudes for B_c , vector and axial-vector mesons. In section III, we calculate the branching ratio and CP violation for this decay, section IV contain input quantities parameters and section V contain the main conclusion.

2 Theoretical framework

For the considered decay, the related weak effective Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* (C_1(\mu) O_1 + C_2(\mu) O_2), \quad (1)$$

with the Fermi constant G_F , Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa(CKM) matrix elements V and Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu)$ at the renormalization scale μ .

The local operators are written as

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &= (\bar{q}_i u_i)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_j b_j)_{V-A}, \\ O_2 &= (\bar{q}_i u_j)_{V-A} (\bar{c}_j b_i)_{V-A}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where i and j are color indices. The operators O_1 and O_2 are called the current-current operators. The hard part of the pQCD approach contains six quarks rather than four quarks. This is called six-quark effective theory or six-quark operator. A $B_c \rightarrow M_2 M_3$ decay amplitude is factorized into the convolution of the six-quark hard kernel (H), the jet function (J) and the Sudakov factor (S) with the bound-state wave functions (Φ) as follows,

$$A(B_c \rightarrow M_2 M_3) = \Phi_{B_c} \otimes H \otimes J \otimes S \otimes \Phi_{M_2} \otimes \Phi_{M_3}. \quad (3)$$

In the practical applications to heavy B_c meson decays, the decay amplitude of Eq. (3) in the pQCD approach can be written as,

$$A(B_c \rightarrow M_2 M_3) \sim \int d^4 k_1 d^4 k_2 d^4 k_3 \text{Tr}[\Phi_{B_c}(k_1) \Phi_{M_2}(k_2) \times \Phi_{M_3}(k_3) H(k_1, k_2, k_3, t)], \quad (4)$$

where k_3 s are momenta of light quarks included in each mesons, and Tr denotes the trace over Dirac and color indices. The function $H(k_1, k_2, k_3, t)$ describes the four quark operator and the spectator quark connected by a hard gluon whose q_2 is in the order of $\lambda_{QCD} m_{B_c}$. The wave function $\Phi_{B_c}(k_1)$ and Φ_{M_i} describe the hadronization of the quark and anti-quark in the B_c meson and the final state light meson M_i .

The two final state meson momenta can be written as

$$P_1 = \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0_T), \quad P_2 = \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - r_3^2, r_2^2, 0_T), \quad P_3 = \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}(r_3^2, 1 - r_2^2, 0_T), \quad (5)$$

where $r_i = m_i/M_{B_c}$. Putting the quark momenta in B_c , M_2 and M_3 meson as K_1 , K_2 , and K_3 , respectively, we can choose

$$k_1 = (x_1 P_1^+, 0, k_{1T}), \quad k_2 = (x_2 P_2^+, 0, k_{2T}), \quad k_3 = (0, x_3 P_3^-, k_{3T}). \quad (6)$$

Then the integration over k_1^- , k_2^- , and k_3^+ will lead to the decay amplitude in the pQCD approach,

$$A(B_c \rightarrow M_1 M_2) \sim \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 b_3 db_3 \times \text{Tr}[C(t) \Phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) \Phi_{M_2}(x_2, b_2) \Phi_{M_3}(x_3, b_3)] \times H(x_i, b_i, t) S_t(x_i e^{-S(t)}), \quad (7)$$

where b_i is the conjugate space coordinate of k_{iT} , and t is the largest energy scale in function $H(x_i, b_i, t)$. The large logarithms $\ln(m_W/t)$ are included in the Wilson coefficients $C(t)$. The large double logarithms ($\ln^2 x_i$) are summed by the threshold resummation, and they lead to the jet function $S_t(x_i)$ which smears the end-point singularities on x_i [11]. The last term, $e^{-S(t)}$, is the Sudakov factor which suppresses the soft dynamics effectively [12].

The longitudinal and transverse polarization vectors of the axial-vector meson are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{*(0)\mu} &= \frac{E}{m_{V(A)}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{m_{V(A)}^2}{4E^2}\right) n_-^\mu - \frac{m_V^2}{4E^2} n_+^\mu \right], \\ \epsilon_{\perp}^{*(\lambda)\mu} &= \left(\epsilon^{*(\lambda)\mu} - \frac{\epsilon^{*(\lambda)} n_+}{2} n_-^\mu - \frac{\epsilon^{*(\lambda)} n_-}{2} n_+^\mu \right) \delta_{\lambda, \pm 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $n_-^\mu \equiv (1, 0, 0, -1)$ and $n_+^\mu \equiv (1, 0, 0, 1)$, in the B rest frame, for a axial-vector meson is moving along the z-axis, while the x axes of both daughter particles are parallel the coordinate systems in the Jackson convention [4]:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1^{\mu(0)} &= (p_c, 0, 0, E_1)/m_1, & \epsilon_2^{\mu(0)} &= (p_c, 0, 0, -E_2)/m_2, \\ \epsilon_1^{\mu(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, \pm 1, -i, 0), & \epsilon_2^{\mu(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, \mp 1, i, 0),\end{aligned}$$

where p_c is the center mass momentum of the final state meson and $\epsilon_1^{*(\pm 1)}, \epsilon_2^{*(\pm 1)} = -\delta_{\pm 1}, \delta_{\pm 1}$. If the A meson moves along the n_-^μ . In the large energy limit, we have $\epsilon_A^{*(\lambda)}.n_+ = 2E_A/m_A\delta_{\lambda,0}$ and $\epsilon_A^{*(\lambda)}.n_- = 0$. If the coordinate systems are in the Jacob-Wick convention where the y axes of both decay particles are parallel, the transverse polarization vectors of the second meson will become $\epsilon_2^\mu = (0, \pm 1, -i, 0)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\epsilon_1^{*(\pm 1)}, \epsilon_2^{*(\pm 1)} = \delta_{\pm 1}, \delta_{\pm 1}$. For the wave function of B_c meson, we adopt the form (see Ref [13]. and references therein)as,

$$\Phi_{B_c} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2N_c}}[(\not{P} + m_{B_c})\gamma_5\phi_{B_c}(x)]_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (9)$$

where P is the momentum of the B_c meson and x denotes the momentum fraction of the c quark in the B_c meson. The distribution amplitude B_c would be close to $\delta(x - \frac{m_c}{m_{B_c}})$ in the nonrelativistic limit because B_c meson embraces b and c quarks simultaneously.

We therefore adopt the non-relativistic approximation form for ϕ_{B_c} as [14, 15],

$$\phi_{B_c} = \frac{f_{B_c}}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}\delta(x - \frac{m_c}{m_{B_c}}), \quad (10)$$

here b is the conjugate space coordinate of transverse momentum k_T , f_{B_c} and $N_c = 3$ are the decay constant of B_c meson and the color number respectively. wave function ϕ_{B_c} is normalized by decay constant of f_{B_c}

$$\int_0^1 dx \phi_{B_c}(x, b=0) = \frac{f_{B_c}}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}, \quad (11)$$

For the vector J/ψ meson(V), we take the wave function as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{J/\psi}^L(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}}\{m_{J/\psi} \not{\epsilon}_L \phi^L(x) + \not{\epsilon}_L \not{p} \phi^t(x)\}, \\ \Phi_{J/\psi}^T(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_c}}\{m_{J/\psi} \not{\epsilon}_L \phi^V(x) + \not{\epsilon}_T \not{p} \phi^T(x)\}.\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The asymptotic distribution amplitudes of J/ψ meson read as [16]:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^L(x) &= \phi^T(x) = 9.58 \frac{f_{j/\psi}}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} x(1-x) \left[\frac{x(1-x)}{1-2.8x(1-x)} \right]^{0.7}, \\ \phi^t(x) &= 10.94 \frac{f_{j/\psi}}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} (1-2x)^2 \left[\frac{x(1-x)}{1-2.8x(1-x)} \right]^{0.7}, \\ \phi^V(x) &= 1.67 \frac{f_{j/\psi}}{2\sqrt{2N_c}} [1 + (1+2x)^2] \left[\frac{x(1-x)}{1-2.8x(1-x)} \right]^{0.7}.\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here, ϕ^L and ϕ^T denote for the twist-2 distribution amplitudes, and ϕ^t and ϕ^V for the twist-3. x denotes the momentum fraction of the charm quark inside the charmonium. In which the twist-3 ones $\phi^{t,V}$ vanish, as the twist-2 ones, at the end points due to the factor $[x(1-x)]^{0.7}$.

The twist-2 distribution amplitudes for the longitudinally and trasversely polarized axial-vector mesons (A) can be parameterized as[17, 18]:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_A(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{\sqrt{2N_c}}x(1-x)\{a_{0A}^{\parallel} + 3a_{1A}^{\parallel}(2x-1) + a_{2A}^{\parallel}\frac{3}{2}(5(2x-1)^2-1)\} \\ \phi_A^T(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{\sqrt{2N_c}}x(1-x)\{a_{0A}^{\perp} + 3a_{1A}^{\perp}(2x-1) + a_{2A}^{\perp}\frac{3}{2}(5(2x-1)^2-1)\}\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

These distribution amplitudes satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \phi_{3P_1}(x) &= \frac{f^3 P_1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}, \\ \int_0^1 \phi_{1P_1}(x) &= \frac{f^1 P_1}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}.\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

As for twist-3 distribution amplitudes for axial-vector meson, we use the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_A^t(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}\{a_{0A}^{\perp}(2x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_{1A}^{\perp}(2x-1)(3(2x-1)^2-1)\}, \\ \phi_A^s(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{2\sqrt{2N_c}}\frac{d}{dx}\{x(1-x)(a_{0A}^{\perp} + a_{1A}^{\perp}0)\}, \\ \phi_A^v(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{4\sqrt{2N_c}}\{\frac{1}{2}a_{0A}^{\parallel}(1+(2x-1)^2) + a_{1A}^{\parallel}(2x-1)^3\}, \\ \phi_A^a(x) &= \frac{3f_A}{4\sqrt{2N_c}}\frac{d}{dx}\{x(1-x)(a_{0A}^{\parallel} + a_{1A}^{\parallel}(2x-1))\}.\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

Here x represents the momentum fraction carried by quark in the meson and $a_{03P_1}^{\parallel} = 1$, $a_{03P_1}^{\perp} = 0$.

The intrinsic b dependence for the heavy meson wave function ϕ_{B_c} is important and for the light axial-vector meson wave function ϕ_A is negligible[19].

3 Branching ratio of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ decay

In the pQCD approach, the four Feynman diagrams for $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ decays are shown in Fig.1. There are three kinds of polarizations of a axial-vector meson, namely, longitudinal (L), normal (N), and transverse (T). The decay amplitudes for the factorizable diagram (a) and (b) can be read as,

(i) $(V-A)(V-A)$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{fs}^L &= 8\pi C_F f_A m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) \\ &\quad \times \{[(1+x_3)\phi^V(x_3) + r_V(1-2x_3)(\phi^L(x_3) + \phi^t(x_3))]\} \\ &\quad \times E_{fs}(t_a) h_{fs}(x_1, x_3, b_1, b_3) + 2r_V \phi^T(x_3) \\ &\quad \times E_{fs}(t_b) h_{fs}(x_3, x_1, b_3, b_1)\},\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

where $C_F = 4/3$ is a color factor, $r_i = m_i/m_B$, and f_A is the decay constant of a_1 meson. The convolution functions E_{ef} , the hard function h_{ef} and the factorization hard scal $t_{a,b}$ are given in the appendix.

(ii) $(V - A)(V + A)$

$$F_{fs}^{L;P_1} = -F_{fs}^L, \quad (18)$$

which is originated from $\langle a_1|V + A|0\rangle = -\langle a_1|V - A|0\rangle$.

(iii) $(S - P)(S + P)$

$$F_{fs}^{L;P_2} = 0, \quad (19)$$

because the emitted axial-vector meson can not be produced through a scalar or a pseudoscalar current.

For the non-factorizable diagrams (c) and (d), the decay amplitude can be read as

(i) $(V - A)(V - A)$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nfs}^L &= \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) \\ &\quad \times \phi_A(x_2) \{ [(1 - x_2)\phi^V(x_3) - r_V x_3(\phi^L(x_3) - \phi^t(x_3))] \\ &\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{nfs}(x_1, 1 - x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) - [(x_2 + x_3)\phi^V(x_3) \\ &\quad - r_V x_3(\phi^V(x_3) - r_V x_3(\phi^T(x_3) + \phi^t(x_3)))] \\ &\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

(ii) $(V - A)(V + A)$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nfs}^{L;P_1} &= \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) \\ &\quad \times r_V \{ [(1 - x_2)(\phi_A^s(x_2) + \phi_A^t(x_2))\phi^V(x_3) - r_V(\phi_A^s(x_2) \\ &\quad \times [(x_2 - x_3 - 1)\phi^L(x_3) - (x_2 + x_3 - 1)\phi^t(x_3)] + \phi_A^t(x_2) \\ &\quad \times [(x_2 + x_3 - 1)\phi^T(x_3) + (1 - x_2 + x_3)\phi^t(x_3)] \\ &\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{nfs}(x_1, 1 - x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) - [x_2(\phi_A^s(x_2) \\ &\quad - \phi_A^t(x_2))\phi^V + r_V(x_2(\phi_A^s(x_2) - \phi_A^t(x_2))(\phi^L(x_3) - \phi^t(x_3)) \\ &\quad + x_3(\phi_A^s(x_2) + \phi_A^t(x_2))(\phi^V(x_3) + \phi^t(x_3)))] \\ &\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(iii) $(S - P)(S + P)$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{nfs}^{L;P_2} &= \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) \\ &\quad \times \phi_A(x_2) \{ [(x_2 - x_3 - 1)\phi^V(x_3) + r_V x_3(\phi^L(x_3) \\ &\quad + \phi^t(x_3))] E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{enf}(x_1, 1 - x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\ &\quad + [x_2\phi^V(x_3) - r_V x_3(\phi^L(x_3) - \phi^t(x_3))] \\ &\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{enf}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We can also present the factorization formulas for the Feynman amplitudes with trans-verse polarizations,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{fs}^N &= 8\pi C_F f_A m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_V \\
&\quad \times \{[\phi^t(x_3) + r_V x_3(\phi^V(x_3) - \phi^L(x_3)) + 2r_V \phi^V(x_3)] \\
&\quad \times E_{fs}(t_a) h_{fs}(x_1, x_3, b_1, b_3) + r_V(\phi^T(x_3) + \phi^V(x_3)) \\
&\quad \times E_{fs}(t_b) h_{fs}(x_3, x_1, b_3, b_1)\}, \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{fs}^T &= 16\pi C_F f_A m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_3 db_3 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_V \\
&\quad \times \{[\phi^t(x_3) - r_V x_3(\phi^V(x_3) - \phi^L(x_3)) + 2r_V \phi^T(x_3)] \\
&\quad \times E_{fs}(t_a) h_{fs}(x_1, x_3, b_1, b_3) + r_V(\phi^L(x_3) + \phi^V(x_3)) \\
&\quad \times E_{fs}(t_b) h_{fs}(x_3, x_1, b_3, b_1)\}, \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{fs}^{N;P_1} &= -F_{fs}^N, \\
F_{fs}^{T;P_1} &= -F_{fs}^T,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{fs}^{N;P_2} &= 0, \\
F_{fs}^{T;P_2} &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^N &= \frac{32}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\quad \times \{[(1-x_2)(\phi_A^a(x_2) + \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3)] h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
&\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_c) + [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) + \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3) - 2r_V(x_2+x_3) \\
&\quad \times (\phi_A^a(x_2)\phi_V^a(x_3) + \phi_A^v(x_2))] E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2)\}, \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^T &= \frac{64}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\quad \times \{[(1-x_2)(\phi_A^a(x_2) + \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3)] E_{nfs}(t_c) \\
&\quad \times h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) + [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) + \phi_A^v(x_2)) \\
&\quad \times \phi^t(x_3) - 2r_V(x_2+x_3)(\phi_A^a(x_2)\phi^L(x_3) + \phi_A^v(x_2))] \\
&\quad \times E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2)\}, \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^{N;P_1} &= -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\quad \times x_3 \phi_A^T(x_2)(\phi^L(x_3) - \phi^V(x_3)) [E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
&\quad + E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2)], \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^{T;P_1} &= -\frac{64}{\sqrt{6}} \pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\quad \times x_3 \phi_A^T(x_2)(\phi^L(x_3) - \phi^V(x_3)) [E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
&\quad + E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2)], \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^{N;P_2} &= -\frac{32}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\times \{ [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) - \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3)] E_{nfs}(t_d) h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
&\times [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) - \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3) + 2r_V(1-x_2+x_3)(\phi_A^v(x_2)\phi^V(x_3) \\
&- \phi_A^a(x_2)\phi^L(x_3))] E_{nfs}(t_c) h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \}, \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{nfs}^{T;P_2} &= -\frac{64}{\sqrt{6}}\pi C_F m_{B_c}^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty b_1 db_1 b_2 db_2 \phi_{B_c}(x_1, b_1) r_A \\
&\times \{ [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) - \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3)] h_{nfs}(x_1, 1-x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) \\
&\times E_{nfs}(t_d) + [x_2(\phi_A^a(x_2) - \phi_A^v(x_2))\phi^t(x_3) + 2r_V(1-x_2+x_3) \\
&\times (\phi_A^v(x_2)\phi^V(x_3)\phi_A^a(x_2)\phi^L(x_3))] h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) E_{nfs}(t_c) \} \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Decay amplitudes with three polarizations $h = L, N, T$ as follows,

$$M = V_{cb} V_{ud}^* [(C_1 + \frac{C_2}{3}) F_{fs}^h + C_2 M_{nfs}^h], \quad (31)$$

the decay rate can be written explicitly as

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 P}{16\pi m_{B_c}^2} \sum_{\sigma=L,N,T} M^{\sigma\dagger} M^\sigma, \quad (32)$$

where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant. V_{cb} and V_{ud} are the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix factors and C_i are the Wilson coefficients. The branching ratio is given by

$$BR = \Gamma \times \tau_{B_c}. \quad (33)$$

The decay amplitudes M^σ can be described by

$$\begin{aligned}
M^\sigma &= \epsilon_{2\mu}^*(\sigma) \epsilon_{3\nu}^*(\sigma) [a g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{b}{m_2 m_3} P_{B_c}^\mu P_{B_c}^\nu + i \frac{c}{m_2 m_3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} P_2^\alpha P_3^\beta] \\
&= M_L + M_N \epsilon_2^*(\sigma = T) \cdot \epsilon_3^*(\sigma = T) + i \frac{M_T}{m_{B_c}^2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho} \epsilon_{2\alpha}^*(\sigma) \epsilon_{2\beta}^*(\sigma) P_{2\gamma} P_{3\rho}, \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

the subscript σ denotes the helicity states of the two final mesons. The amplitude M^σ can be decomposed, according to the Lorentz-invariant amplitudes a, b and c [20]

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{B_c}^2 M_L &= a \epsilon_2^*(L) \cdot \epsilon_3^*(L) + \frac{b}{m_2 m_3} \epsilon_2^*(L) \cdot P_3 \epsilon_2^*(L) \cdot P_2, \\
m_{B_c}^2 M_N &= a, \\
m_{B_c}^2 M_T &= \frac{m_{B_c}^2}{m_2 m_3} c. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

We can define the amplitudes $A_{(i=L,\parallel,\perp)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_L &= -\xi m_{B_c}^2 M_L, \\
A_\parallel &= \xi \sqrt{2} m_{B_c}^2 M_N, \\
A_\perp &= \xi r_2 r_3 \sqrt{2(r^2 - 1)} m_{B_c}^2 M_T, \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

for the longitudinal, parallel, and perpendicular polarizations, respectively, with the normalization factor $\xi = \sqrt{G_F^2 p_c / (16\pi m_{B_c}^2 \Gamma)}$ and $r = P_2 P_3 / (m_2 m_3)$. These amplitudes satisfy the relation,

$$|A_L|^2 + |A_{\parallel}|^2 + |A_{\perp}|^2 = 1. \quad (37)$$

The polarization fractions f_L , f_{\parallel} and f_{\perp} can be defined as

$$f_{L(\parallel, \perp)} = \frac{|A_{L(\parallel, \perp)}|^2}{|A_L|^2 + |A_{\parallel}|^2 + |A_{\perp}|^2} = |A_{L(\parallel, \perp)}|^2. \quad (38)$$

The direct CP asymmetry A_{cp}^{dir} is defined as [21]

$$A_{cp}^{dir, \alpha} = \frac{\bar{f}_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha}}{f_{\alpha} + \bar{f}_{\alpha}} (\alpha = L, \parallel, \perp). \quad (39)$$

4 Numerical results

We use other input parameters as follows:

$m_{B_c} = 6.277 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{a_1} = 1.23 \text{ GeV}$, $m_j/\psi = 3.096 \text{ GeV}$, $m_c = 1.27 \text{ GeV}$, $m_W = 80.4 \text{ GeV}$, $f_{B_c} = (0.489 \pm 0.004) \text{ GeV}$, $f_{j/\psi} = (0.405 \pm 0.014) \text{ GeV}$, $f_{a_1} = 0.238 \text{ GeV}$, $a_2^{\parallel, a_1} = -0.02 \pm 0.02$, $a_1^{\perp, a_1} = -1.04 \pm 0.34$, $\tau_{B_c} = 0.46 \text{ ps}$, $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.2250 \text{ GeV}$, $G_F = 1.16 \times 10^{-5}$ [3, 22, 23, 24]

The CKM matrix is a 3×3 unitary matrix as [22]

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

The elements of the CKM matrix can be parameterized by three mixing angles A, λ, ρ and a CP-violating phase η

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ \lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The results for the Wolfenstein parameters are

$$\lambda = 0.22535 \pm 0.00065, \quad A = 0.811_{-0.012}^{+0.022}, \\ \bar{\rho} = 0.131_{-0.013}^{+0.026}, \quad \bar{\eta} = 0.345_{-0.014}^{+0.013}.$$

We use the central values of the Wolfenstein parameters and obtain

$$V_{cb} = 0.0412_{-0.0005}^{+0.0011}, \quad V_{ud} = 0.97427 \pm 0.00015.$$

The Wilson coefficients C_1 and C_2 , the coupling constants for the interaction terms Q_1 and Q_2 , become calculable nontrivial functions of α_s , M_W and the renormalization scale μ . Wilson coefficients $C(\mu_W)$ at $\mu_W = M_W$ are $C_1(\mu_W) = 0$, $C_2(\mu_W) = 1$ [25]. In the generalized factorization approach, it is well established that non-factorizable contributions must be present in the

matrix elements in order to cancel the scale μ and the renormalization scheme dependence of $C_i(\mu)$. To solve the issue of scale μ dependence, but not the renormalization scheme dependence, to isolate form the matrix element of four operators the dependence, and link with the μ dependence in the Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu)$. In table (1)[26], we simply present the numerical results of the leading order (LO) and next-to-leading order (NLO) Wilson coefficients in different scales. We use the NLO Wilson coefficient because NLO contributions in the renormalization group improved perturbation theory.

Table 1: The values of LO and NLO Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu)$ for $\mu = m_b$, $\mu = m_b/2$, $\mu = 2m_b$

	$\mu = m_b/2$		$\mu = m_b$		$\mu = 2m_b$	
	LO	NLO	LO	NLO	LO	NLO
C_1	1.179	1.115	1.179	1.080	1.072	1.043
C_2	-0.370	-0.280	-0.255	-0.180	-0.171	-0.104

The branching ratio of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ has been calculated at three scales, e.g. $\mu = m_b$, $\mu = m_b/2$ and $\mu = 2m_b$ in table (2). The experimental data of branching ratio of this decay is less than 1.3×10^{-3} [22], the branching at the scale $\mu = m_b$ is nearly agreement with the experimental result.

For the theoretical uncertainties in our calculation, we estimated three kinds

Table 2: Branching ratios of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ decay

energy scale	Branching ratios
$\mu = m_b/2$	$(0.97^{+0.05+0.03+0.02}_{-0.06-0.02-0.01}) \times 10^{-3}$
$\mu = m_b$	$(1.02^{+0.04+0.03+0.01}_{-0.08-0.05-0.01}) \times 10^{-3}$
$\mu = 2m_b$	$(1.3^{+0.06+0.02+0.01}_{-0.07-0.04-0.03}) \times 10^{-3}$

of them: The first errors in our calculations are caused by the the decay constants, the shape parameters in wave function B_c , Gegenbauer moments of a_1 and the hard energy scale μ_i ; The second errors are from the unknown next-to-leading order QCD corrections with respect to α_s and the power corrections, characterized by the choice of the Λ_{QCD} ; The third error are estimated from the uncertainties of the CKM matrix elements.

We calculate the direct CP-violating asymmetry in every polarization and we obtain the results in the pQCD approach as

$$A_{cp}^{dir,L} \approx 0.0, A_{cp}^{dir,\parallel} \approx 0.0, A_{cp}^{dir,\perp} \approx 0.0.$$

There is only one kind of Cabibbo-Kabayashi-Muskawa (CKM) phase involved in the decay amplitude (see Eq.(31)), therefore CP violation is absent for every polarization in this decay.

5 conclusion

In this work we have presented a detailed study of two-body B_c decays into final states involving one vector and one axial-vector meson (VA), within the

framework of pQCD approach. We have calculated the branching ratio of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi a_1(1260)^+$ decay in pQCD approach based on the k_T factorization theorem. We calculated not only the factorizable emission diagrams, but also the nonfactorizable spectator. The experimental data of branching ratio of this decay is lesser than (1.3×10^{-3}) . We obtained the branching ratio of pQCD approach is $((1.02_{-0.08}^{+0.04+0.03+0.01}) \times 10^{-3})$ at scale $\mu = m_b$, this branching ratio has an agreement with the experimental result. Our theoretical predictions in the pQCD approach will provide an important platform for testing the SM and exploring the helicity structure of this considered decay. It can also provide more information on measuring the unitary CKM angles and understanding the decay mechanism.

References

- [1] X. Liu, and Z.J. Xiao, J. Phys. G, Nucl. Part. Phys. **38**, (2011).
- [2] X. Liu and Z.J. Xiao, Phys. Rev. D **81**,074017 (2010).
- [3] Z.J Xiao and X. Liu, Phys.Rev. D**84**, 074033, (2011).
- [4] Z.J Xiao, X.Liu and C.S.Bull. **59** 3748-3759, (2014).
- [5] J.F. Cheng, D.S. Du and C.D. Lu, Eur.Phys.J.C**45**,7161-720,(2006).
- [6] X. Liu and Z.J Xiao, Phys. Rev. D**82** , 054029, (2010).
- [7] Z.T Zou, X. Yu and C.D Lu, Phys.Rev. D**87**, 074027, (2013).
- [8] Y.Y. Keum, H.N. Li and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Lett. B**504**, 6 (2001).
- [9] F. Feng, J.P. Ma and Q. Wang, arXiv:0807.0296 [hep-ph], (2009).
- [10] H. n. Li and H.L. Yu, Phys. Rev. D**53**, 2480 (1996).
- [11] H. N. Li, Phys. Rev. D**66**, 094010, (2002).
- [12] H.N. Li, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 85, (2003).
- [13] X. Liu, Z.J. Xiao and C.D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D**81**, 014022,(2010).
- [14] G. Bell and Th. Feldmann, J. High Energy Phys. 04, 061, (2008).
- [15] J.F. Cheng, D.S. Du and C.D. Lu, Eur. Phys. J. C**45**, 711 (2006).
- [16] Y. Li and C.D. Lu, Phys.Rev. D**73**, 094006, (2006).
- [17] R.H. Li, C.D. Lu, and W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**79**, 034014, (2009).
- [18] K.C. Yang, JHEP. **0510**, 108 (2005).
- [19] T. Huang and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D**71**, 034018 (2005).
- [20] P. Ball and V.M. Braun, Phys. Rev. D**58**, 094016 (1998).
- [21] M. Beneke, J. Rohrer and D.S. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B **774**, 64 (2007).
- [22] J. Beringer et al (Particle Data Group) Phys. Rev. D**86**010001, (2012).

- [23] J.Sun,D. Du and Y.Yang,Eur. Phys. J. C**60**, 107117,(2009).
[24] M Sayahi and H Mehraban, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **39** 065003, (2012).
[25] A.J. Buras, arXiv:9806.4711 [hep-ph], (1998).
[26] Z. Xiao, Phys.Rev.D **70**,094008, (2004).
[27] C.D. L u, K. Ukai and M.Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **63**074009, (2001).
[28] T. Kurimoto, H.n. Li and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D **65** 014007, (2001).

A Appendix

The function E_{fs} and E_{nfs} defined as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{fs}(t) &= \alpha_s(t).exp[-S_{B_c}(t) - S_2(t)] \\ E_{nfs}(t) &= \alpha_s(t).exp[-S_2(t) - S_3(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

The Sudakov exponents are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{B_c}(t) &= s(x_1 \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_1) + \frac{5}{3} \int_{1/b_1}^t \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_q(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})), \\ S_2(t) &= s(x_2 \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_2) + s((1-x_2) \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_2) + 2 \int_{1/b_2}^t \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_q(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})), \\ S_3(t) &= s(x_3 \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_3) + s((1-x_3) \frac{m_{B_c}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_3) + 2 \int_{1/b_3}^t \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_q(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})), \\ s(Q, b) &= \int_{1/b}^Q \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} [\{\frac{2}{3}(2\gamma_E - 1 - \log 2) + C_F \log \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}}\} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \\ &\quad + \{\frac{67}{9} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{10}{27}n_f + \frac{2}{3}\beta_0 \log \frac{\gamma_E}{2}\} (\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{\pi})^2 \log \frac{Q}{\bar{\mu}}] \end{aligned}$$

where the function $s(Q, b)$ are defined in the Appendix A of Ref [27].
Here

$$\begin{aligned} t_a &= \max[\sqrt{x_3}m_{B_c}, 1/b_2, 1/b_3], \\ t_b &= \max[\sqrt{x_2}m_{B_c}, 1/b_2, 1/b_3], \\ t_c &= \max[\sqrt{x_1x_3}m_{B_c}, \sqrt{(x_1-x_2)x_3}m_{B_c}, 1/b_1, 1/b_2], \\ t_d &= \max[\sqrt{x_2x_3}m_{B_c}, \sqrt{|x_1-x_2|x_3}m_{B_c}, 1/b_1, 1/b_2], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{fs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_2, b_3) &= (\frac{i\pi}{2})^2 H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x_2x_3}m_{B_c}b_2) \\ &\quad \times [(\theta(b_2 - b_3))H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x_3}m_{B_c}b_2)J_0(\sqrt{x_3}m_{B_c}b_3) \\ &\quad + \theta(b_3 - b_2))H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x_1+x_2+x_3+x_3x_1}m_{B_c}b_3) \\ &\quad \times J_0(\sqrt{x_3}m_{B_c}b_2)].S_t(x_3) \end{aligned}$$

The threshold resummation form factor $S_t(x_i)$ is adopted from Ref [28].

$$S_t(x) = \frac{2^{l+2c}\Gamma(3/2+c)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1+c)}[x(1-x)]^c,$$

with $c = 0.3$ in this work.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{nfs}(x_1, x_2, x_3, b_1, b_2) &= \frac{i\pi}{2} [(\theta(b_1 - b_2)H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x_2x_3}m_{B_c}b_1)J_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x_2x_3}m_{B_c}b_2) \\ &\times \frac{i\pi}{2}H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{|F_j^2|m_B}b_1) \quad F_j^2\langle 0 \\ &\quad K_0(F_jm_Bb_1) \quad F_j^2\langle 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^2 &= 1 - (1 - x_3)(1 - x_1 - x_2), \\ F_1^2 &= x_3(x_1 - x_2). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$H_0^{(1)}(z) = J_0(z) + iK_0(z)$$