

Hyperspherical three-body model calculation for the bound $1,3S$ -states of Coulombic systems

Md. Abdul Khan

Department of Physics, Aliah University,
Action Area-II, Plot No. IIA/27, Newtown, Kolkata-700156, India.
Email: drakhan.rsm.phys@gmail.com; drakhan.phys@aliah.ac.in

May 26, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, hyperspherical three-body model formalism has been applied for the calculation energies of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ ($L=0$)-states of neutral helium and helium like Coulombic three-body systems having nuclear charge (Z) in the range $Z=2$ to $Z=92$. The calculation of the coupling potential matrix elements of the two-body potentials has been simplified by the introduction of Raynal-Revai Coefficients (RRC). The three-body wave function in the Schrödinger equation when expanded in terms of hyperpherical harmonics (HH), leads to an infinite set of coupled differential equation (CDE). For practical reason the infinite set of CDE is truncated to a finite set and are solved by an exact numerical method known as renormalized Numerov method (RNM) to get the energy solution (E). The calculated energy is compared with the ones of the literature.

Keywords: Raynal Revai Coefficient, Hyperspherical Harmonics, Coupled Differential Equation, Potential Matrix Elements, Renormalized Numerov Method.

PACS: 02.70.-c, 31.15.-Ar, 31.15.Ja, 36.10.Ee.

1 Introduction

In physics, role of few-body (two- or three-body) problems are very important for the proper understanding of the physics underlying the internal configurations and kinematics of more complex many-body systems, usually made of interacting bosons and (or) fermions. As few-body systems are the building blocks of more complex many-body systems, they are important not only in nuclear, particle, plasma, astro-nuclear or hyper-nuclear physics but in atomic physics as well. For example, the lightest few-body systems like neutral helium atom and helium-like ions have long history as subject of attraction for both theoretical and experimental investigations. These atomic systems constituting the simplest few-body problems in atomic physics are traditionally used as testing ground for different methods of description of the structure of atoms. On the experimental side, small natural line widths of transition among

various metastable quantum states of helium-like systems allow spectroscopic measurements of very high precision. In addition, few-body systems made up of electrons, protons, muons, deuteron, kaon etc. and their antimatters are found to be of strong interest in many areas of physics including atomic spectroscopy, quantum electrodynamics, particle physics and astrophysics [1-2]. In recent years, highly ionized atoms are being studied extensively to explain the origin of X-rays spectra from the solar corona and other astrophysical plasmas. It is worth mentioning here that highly ionized atoms can be produced in the laboratory by collision of ions with atoms or directing energetic projectile beams towards matter foils and their spectra can also be studied in the laboratory.

A number of theoretical methods have been adopted to investigate the bound state properties of atomic few-body systems. For example, we may refer the works of Lin [3-5], Lin et al [6] in which the author(s) has (have) applied hyperspherical coordinates to Coulombic three-body systems to calculate channel potential, channel function, binding energies and some other observables of the systems. Huang [7] investigated muonic helium atom as a three-body problem in correlated wave function approach. Some more works which may be referred here include those found in references [8-17]. Rajaraman et al [18] presented results of three-body problems originated in the nuclear matter. Alexander et al [19] reported analytical results for the trimmer binding energies and other three-body parameters considering three-body system of identical bosonic atoms. Frolov [20] adopted exponential expansion based variational approach to construct highly accurate wave functions for the triplet spin states of helium like two-electron ions like Li^+ (atomic number $Z=3$) to Ne^{8+} (atomic number $Z=10$). The ground state properties of some two-electron and electron-muon atomic three-body systems has been studied by Rodriguez et al [21] applying the Angular Correlated Configuration Interaction (ACCI) approach. The calculated energy for negatively charged hydrogen-like systems; neutral helium-like systems, and positively charged lithium like systems. However, more accurate results for these systems are reported by Smith Jr et al [22], Frolov et al [23-31], Thakkar and Koga [32], Goldman [33], Korobov [34] and Drake [35]. Researchers like- Hylleraas and Ore [36], Hill [37], Mohr and Taylor [38], Drake, Cassar and Razvan [39], Frolov [40], Mills [41-42], Ho [43-44] and Wen-Fang [45] have explored the bound state properties of exotic positronium negative ion $Ps^-(e^+e^-e^-)$. Ancarani et al [1-2] also reported the ground and excited state energies for several three-body atomic systems obtained by applying ACCI approach. Kubicek et al [46] and Kondrashev et al [47] conducted experiments on production of He-like ions. In this paper we present energies of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of neutral helium and helium like two-electron Coulombic three-body systems having nuclear charge number Z in the range $Z=2 - 92$. The resulting three-body Schrödinger equation has been solved in the framework of hyperspherical harmonics expansion (HHE) formalism applying an exact numerical method known as renormalized Numerov method (RNM) [48]. The scheme of solution of the three-body Schrödinger equation in HHE approach has been described in more details in our earlier works [49-62]. In HHE approach for a general three-body system containing particles of arbitrary masses, there are three possible partitions and in the i^{th} partition, the particle labeled i , acts as a spectator while the remaining two, labeled j and k form the interacting pair. For the calculation matrix element of the potential of the (jk) pair, $V(r_{jk})$, it is convenient to expand the chosen HH in the set of HH corresponding to the par-




Figure 1.

Figure 1: Particle label scheme for general three-body system and choice of Jacobi coordinates in the i^{th} partition.

tition in which the potential r_{jk}^{-1} is proportional to the first Jacobi vector $\vec{\xi}_i$ [49] and this has been done using Raynal-Revai coefficients (RRC) [63]. In the numerical procedure of computation of potential matrix elements of the two-body potentials involved in the system of three particles constituted by a relatively heavy and positively charged nucleus being orbited by two valence electrons, we used RRC of [49,64]. The energies of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of several Coulombic three-body systems obtained by solving the three-body Schrödinger equation have been compared with the ones of the literature.

In Section 2, we will give a very concise description of the HHE method along with the scheme of transformation between two sets of HH which correspond to two different partitions. In Section 3, we will discuss the application of HHE to the low-lying bound spin singlet (spin $S=0$) and spin triplet (spin $S=1$) i.e. $1,3S$ ($L=0$)-states of neutral helium and similar other systems to calculate the energies and compare them with the ones of the literature.

2 HHE Method

In the hyperspherical harmonics expansion (HHE) method for a general three-body system of particles of arbitrary masses m_i, m_j, m_k as depicted in Figure 1, the Jacobi coordinates [64] in the partition - " i " are defined as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\xi}_i &= \left[\frac{m_j m_k M}{m_i (m_j + m_k)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} (\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_k) \\ \vec{\eta}_i &= \left[\frac{m_i (m_j + m_k)^2}{m_j m_k M} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\vec{r}_i - \frac{m_j \vec{r}_j + m_k \vec{r}_k}{m_j + m_k} \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where $M = m_i + m_j + m_k$ and the condition that (i, j, k) should form a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$ determines the sign of $\vec{\xi}_i$.

The set of Jacobi coordinates represented by eq.(1) above corresponds to the partition, in which, the particle labeled “ i ” is the spectator and the remaining particles labeled “ j ” and “ k ” form the interacting pair. The reason behind such nomenclature is that the calculation of matrix element of $V(\vec{r}_{jk})$ in terms of the above set of Jacobi coordinates is straight forward. In the similar manner, we can also define two other sets of Jacobi coordinates by cyclically permuting $i \rightarrow j \rightarrow k \rightarrow i$ twice, which correspond to j^{th} and k^{th} partitions respectively. In hyper-spherical variables [65-66] of the i^{th} partition, three-body Schrödinger equation is

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu\rho^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} (\rho^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu\rho^2} \frac{\hat{N}^2(\Omega_i)}{\rho^2} + V(\rho, \Omega_i) - E \right] \Psi(\rho, \Omega_i) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $\mu = \left[\frac{m_i m_j m_k}{M} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an effective mass parameter, $V(\rho, \Omega_i) = V_{jk} + V_{ki} + V_{ij}$ is the total interaction potential, and $\hat{N}^2(\Omega_i)$ is the square of the hyper angular momentum operator satisfying the eigenvalue equation [67]

$$\hat{N}^2(\Omega_i) \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) = N(N+4) \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) &\equiv \mathcal{H}_{Nl_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i}LM}(\phi_i, \theta_{\xi_i}, \phi_{\xi_i}, \theta_{\eta_i}, \phi_{\eta_i}) \\ &\equiv {}^{(2)}P_N^{l_{\xi_i}l_{\eta_i}}(\phi_i) \left[Y_{l_{\xi_i}m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i}, \phi_{\xi_i}) Y_{l_{\eta_i}m_{\eta_i}}(\theta_{\eta_i}, \phi_{\eta_i}) \right]_{LM} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

is the normalized eigenfunction known as hyperspherical harmonics (HH), L is the total orbital angular momentum of the system with M as its projection, $\Omega_i \rightarrow \{\phi_i, \theta_{\xi_i}, \phi_{\xi_i}, \theta_{\eta_i}, \phi_{\eta_i}\}$, $\alpha_i \equiv \{l_{\xi_i}, l_{\eta_i}, L, M\}$ is a short hand notation and $[\]_{LM}$ indicates angular momentum coupling. The quantity $N = 2n_i + l_{\xi_i} + l_{\eta_i}$ (n_i being a non-negative integer) is the hyper-angular momentum quantum number which is not a good quantum number for the three-body system. In terms of HH associated with a given partition, (say partition “ i ”), the wave-function $\Psi(\rho, \Omega_i)$ is expanded in the complete set of HH

$$\Psi(\rho, \Omega_i) = \sum_{N\alpha_i} \frac{U_{N\alpha_i}(\rho)}{\rho^{5/2}} \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) \quad (5)$$

Substitution of eq.(5) in eq.(2), use of eq.(3) and the ortho-normality of HH, leads to a set of coupled differential equations (CDE) in ρ

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{(N+3/2)(N+5/2)}{\rho^2} - E \right] U_{N\alpha_i}(\rho) \\ &+ \sum_{N'\alpha'_i < N\alpha_i} \langle N'\alpha'_i | V(\rho, \Omega_i) | N\alpha_i \rangle U_{N'\alpha'_i}(\rho) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\langle N\alpha_i | V | N'\alpha'_i \rangle = \int \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}^*(\Omega_i) V(\rho, \Omega_i) \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_i}(\Omega_i) d\Omega_i \quad (7)$$

For central potentials, computation of the matrix elements of the form

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) | V_{jk}(\xi_i) | \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_i}(\Omega_i) \rangle$$

is straight forward, while for matrix elements of the forms

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) | V_{ki}(\xi_j) | \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_i}(\Omega_i) \rangle$$

or

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) | V_{ij}(\xi_k) | \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_i}(\Omega_i) \rangle$$

computations become very complicated even for central potentials. This is because the vectors $\vec{\xi}_j$ or $\vec{\xi}_k$ depend on the polar angles of the vectors $\vec{\xi}_i$ and $\vec{\eta}_i$. Vectors $\vec{\xi}_k$ and $\vec{\eta}_k$ can be expressed in terms of $\vec{\xi}_i$ and $\vec{\eta}_i$ using eq.(1) as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\xi}_k &= -\cos \sigma_{ki} \vec{\xi}_i + \sin \sigma_{ki} \vec{\eta}_i \\ \vec{\eta}_k &= -\sin \sigma_{ki} \vec{\xi}_i - \cos \sigma_{ki} \vec{\eta}_i \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma_{ki} = \tan^{-1}\{(-1)^P \sqrt{\frac{Mm_j}{m_i m_k}}\}$, P being even (odd) if (kij) is an even (odd) permutation of the triad (1 2 3). Now for any arbitrary shape of the central potential with non-vanishing L, most of the five dimensional integrals have to be done numerically which makes the calculation time consuming and inaccurate. However computation of the latter matrix elements can be greatly simplified using the following prescription. At first it is to be noted that each of the complete sets of HH $\{\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)\}$, $\{\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_j}(\Omega_j)\}$ or $\{\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_k}(\Omega_k)\}$ span the same five dimensional angular hyperspace. Then a particular member of a given set, say $\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i)$ can be expanded in the complete set of $\{\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_k}(\Omega_k)\}$ through a unitary transformation:

$$\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) = \sum_{\alpha_k} \langle \alpha_k | \alpha_i \rangle_{NL} \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_k}(\Omega_k) \quad (9)$$

As N, L, M are conserved for eq.(9) and there is rotational degeneracy with respect to the quantum number M for spin independent forces, we have

$$\langle \alpha_k | \alpha_i \rangle_{NL} = \langle l_{\xi_k} l_{\eta_k} | l_{\xi_i} l_{\eta_i} \rangle_{NL} \quad (10)$$

Thus, eq.(9) can be rewritten as [52]

$$\mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) = \sum_{l_{\xi_k} l_{\eta_k}} \langle l_{\xi_k} l_{\eta_k} | l_{\xi_i} l_{\eta_i} \rangle_{NL} \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_k}(\Omega_k) \quad (11)$$

The coefficients involved in eq.(10) and (11) are called the Raynal-Revai Coefficients (RRC) and these are independent of M due to overall rotational degeneracy. In terms of these coefficients, the matrix element of a central interaction V_{ij} then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) | V_{ij}(\xi_k) | \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_i}(\Omega_i) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l'_{\xi_k} l'_{\eta_k}} \langle l_{\xi_k} l_{\eta_k} | l_{\xi_i} l_{\eta_i} \rangle_{NL}^* \times \langle l'_{\xi_k} l'_{\eta_k} | l'_{\xi_i} l'_{\eta_i} \rangle_{N'L} \\ & \times \langle \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_k}(\Omega_k) | V_{ij}(\xi_k) | \mathcal{H}_{N'\alpha'_k}(\Omega_k) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The matrix element on the right side of eq.(12) has the same form as the matrix element of V_{jk} in the partition i and can be calculated in a straight forward manner. Thus computing the values of RRC's involved in eq.(12) using their explicit expressions found in [49, 63], one can calculate the matrix element of V_{ij} easily. Similar prescription can also be employed for the calculation of the matrix element of V_{ki} .

3 Application to Coulombic three-body systems

We apply the scheme of RRC to the low-lying bound $1,^3S$ ($L=0$)-states of Coulombic three-body system containing relatively massive and positively charged nuclear core plus two extra core orbital electrons. We label the nuclear core having mass m_C and charge $+Ze$ as the i^{th} particle, two electrons of mass $m_j = m_k = m$ and charge $-e$ as the j^{th} and k^{th} particles respectively. For this particular choice mass of the system particles, Jacobi coordinates of eq.(1) in corresponding to the partition i becomes

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\xi}_i &= \beta_i(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_k) \\ \vec{\eta}_i &= \frac{1}{\beta_i}(r_i - \frac{\vec{r}_j + \vec{r}_k}{2}) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (13)$$

where the dimensionless parameter $\beta_i = \left[\frac{m_C + 2m}{4m_C} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ can be connected to the effective mass μ as

$$\mu = m \left(\frac{m_C}{m_C + 2m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{m}{2\beta_i^2} \quad (14)$$

In atomic unit (ie., $\hbar^2 = m = e^2 = 1$), eq.(6) becomes

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \left[-\beta_i^2 \frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \beta_i^2 \frac{(N+3/2)(N+5/2)}{\rho^2} - E \right] U_{N\alpha_i}(\rho) \\ & + \sum_{N'\alpha'_i < N\alpha_i} \left| \frac{\beta_i}{\rho \cos\phi_i} - \frac{Z}{\rho |(\beta_i \sin\phi_i)\hat{\eta}_i - (\frac{1}{2\beta_i} \cos\phi_i)\hat{\xi}_i|} \right| U_{N'\alpha'_i}(\rho) \\ & - \frac{Z}{\rho |(\beta_i \sin\phi_i)\hat{\eta}_i + (\frac{1}{2\beta_i} \cos\phi_i)\hat{\xi}_i|} \left| U_{N'\alpha'_i}(\rho) \right| \end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \quad (15)$$

Mass of the particles involved in the present calculation is partly taken from [1-2, 28-30, 68-69]. A straight forward evaluation of the matrix elements of last two terms in eq.(15) would be prohibitively involved both for analytical reduction to a computationally feasible form, as well as for the numerical calculation. Furthermore, the numerical calculation would be both inaccurate and time consuming. Application of RRC greatly simplifies the calculation, since in the partitions k and j , the third and fourth terms inside ket-bra $\langle \rangle$ notation in eq.(15) is reduced to $\frac{Z\beta_k}{\rho \cos\phi_k}$ and $\frac{Z\beta_j}{\rho \cos\phi_j}$ respectively. In the case of two-electron ions,

$$\beta_j = \beta_k = \left[1 - \frac{m^2}{(m_C + m)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (16)$$

In the case of a heavy nucleus, $m_C \gg m$ and $\beta_i \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\beta_j = \beta_k \simeq 1$.

We expand the three-body relative wave function in the complete set of HH appropriate to the partition i according to eq.(5). For the low-lying $1,^3S$ -states of two-electron systems the total orbital angular momentum, $L=0$. Consequently $l_{\xi_i} = l_{\eta_i}$. Hence the set of quantum numbers represented by α_i is $\{l_{\xi_i}, l_{\xi_i}, 0, 0\}$ and the quantum numbers $\{N\alpha_i\}$ can be represented by $\{Nl_{\xi_i}\}$ only. Furthermore for $S=0$, spin part of the two-electron wave function is anti-symmetric, hence the space part of the wave function must be symmetric under exchange of two electrons which allows only even values of l_{ξ_i} ($\leq N/2$). On the other hand for $S=1$, spin part of the wave function is symmetric, hence space part of the wave function must be anti-symmetric under the exchange of the two electrons

which allows only odd values of l_{ξ_i} ($\leq N/2$) are to be considered. Corresponding HH is then given by [65]

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{N\alpha_i}(\Omega_i) &\equiv \mathcal{H}_{Nl_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i}00}(\Omega_i) \\ &= {}^{(2)}P_N^{l_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i}}(\phi_i) \left[Y_{l_{\xi_i}m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i}, \phi_{\xi_i}) Y_{l_{\xi_i}-m_{\xi_i}}(\theta_{\xi_i}, \phi_{\xi_i}) \right]_{00} \\ &N \text{ even and } l_{\xi_i} = 0, 2, 4, \dots, \leq N/2 \text{ for } {}^1S \text{ states;} \\ &l_{\xi_i} = 1, 3, 5, \dots, \leq N/2 \text{ for } {}^3S \text{ states} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (17)$$

The matrix element of the two electron repulsion term in our chosen partition i is

$$\langle N'l'_{\xi_i} | \frac{\beta_i}{\rho \cos \phi_i} | Nl_{\xi_i} \rangle = \frac{\beta_i}{\rho} \delta_{l'_{\xi_i}, l_{\xi_i}} \int_0^{\pi/2} {}^{(2)}P_{K'}^{l_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i}}(\phi) \times {}^{(2)}P_N^{l_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i}}(\phi) \sin^2 \phi \cos \phi d\phi \quad (18)$$

in which suffix i on ϕ has been dropped deliberately as it is only a variable of integration. Similarly the matrix element of the third term of the total potential in eq.(15) in the partition k is

$$\langle N'l'_{\xi_k} | \frac{\beta_k}{\rho \cos \phi_k} | Nl_{\xi_k} \rangle = \frac{\beta_k}{\rho} \delta_{l'_{\xi_k}, l_{\xi_k}} \int_0^{\pi/2} {}^{(2)}P_{N'}^{l_{\xi_k}l_{\xi_k}}(\phi) \times {}^{(2)}P_N^{l_{\xi_k}l_{\xi_k}}(\phi) \sin^2 \phi \cos \phi d\phi \quad (19)$$

A similar relation holds for the matrix element of the last term of the total potential in eq. (15) in the partition j . Eq.(18) and (19) show that the matrix elements are essentially the same in the respective partitions, although l_{ξ_k} and l_{ξ_j} are not restricted to only even or odd integer values. Each involves only a single, one dimensional integral to be performed numerically. Using eq.(12), matrix elements of the third and fourth terms of the total potential in eq.(15) in the partition i become

$$\langle N'l'_{\xi_i} | \frac{Z}{r_{ij}} | Nl_{\xi_i} \rangle = \sum_{l_{\xi_k}} \langle l_{\xi_k}l_{\xi_k} | l'_{\xi_i}l'_{\xi_i} \rangle_{K'0} \langle l_{\xi_k}l_{\xi_k} | l_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i} \rangle_{N0} \langle K'l_{\xi_k} | \frac{Z\beta_k}{\rho \cos \phi_k} | Kl_{\xi_k} \rangle . \quad (20)$$

and

$$\langle N'l'_{\xi_i} | \frac{Z}{r_{ik}} | Nl_{\xi_i} \rangle = \sum_{l_{\xi_j}} \langle l_{\xi_j}l_{\xi_j} | l'_{\xi_i}l'_{\xi_i} \rangle_{N'0} \langle l_{\xi_j}l_{\xi_j} | l_{\xi_i}l_{\xi_i} \rangle_{N0} \langle N'l_{\xi_j} | \frac{Z\beta_j}{\rho \cos \phi_j} | Nl_{\xi_j} \rangle . \quad (21)$$

In eq.(20) and (21) sums over l'_{ξ_k} and l'_{ξ_j} respectively have been performed using the Kronecker - δ 's in eq.(19) and a similar one with suffix k replaced by suffix j . Thus the calculation of the matrix elements of all the interactions become practically simple and easy to handle numerically.

Although the rate of convergence of HH expansion is reasonably fast [70] for short-range interaction potentials [67, 70-71], the same cannot be claimed for long-range coulomb potentials. So to achieve desired convergence, large enough N_m value is to be included in the calculation. In the case of singlet spin (S=0) states, if all N values up to a maximum of N_m are retained in the HH expansion then the number of such basis function is

$$N_B = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{N_m}{4} + 1\right)^2 & \text{if } \frac{N_m}{2} \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(N_m+1)(N_m+3)}{4} & \text{if } \frac{N_m}{2} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

and that for the triplet spin state (S=1) is

$$N_B = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{N_m}{4}\right)\left(\frac{N_m}{4} + 1\right) & \text{if } \frac{N_m}{2} \text{ is even} \\ \left(\frac{N_m+2}{4}\right)^2 & \text{if } \frac{N_m}{2} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

It can be checked from eq.(22) and eq. (23) respectively, that the number of basis states (N_B) and hence the size of CDE {eq.(6)} increases rapidly as N_m increases. For example, for $N_m = 96$ one has to solve 625 CDE for singlet spin and 600 CDE for the triplet spin configuration respectively which lead the calculation towards instability. We used dual-core based desktop computer for the present calculation and could solve only up to $N_m = 28$ reliably. The calculated binding energy (B_{N_m}) for values of N_m up to 28 are presented in columns 2 -10 of Table I for few low-lying $^{1,3}S$ -states of two-electron Coulombic systems like neutral helium and highly ionized radon Rn^{84+} and that for Rb^{35+} is presented in Table 3. The energies for still higher $N_m(> 28)$ may be obtained by following an extrapolation theorem suggested by Schneider [72] as discussed below. According to the theorem on convergence of HH one may expect following relation to hold for coulomb interaction:

$$(N_m + y)^4 \Delta B_{N_m} = C, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\Delta B_{N_m} = E_{N_m+4} - B_{N_m} \quad (25)$$

and y, C are constants. If one obtains y and C by solving eq.(24) for $N_m=16$ and 20 and uses eq.(24) to estimate the BE for $N_m = 28$, he finds that the estimated BE agrees fairly well with the BE actually calculated by solving CDE with $N_m = 28$. In this way one may verify that eq.(24) is well obeyed. For the converged extrapolated BE ($=B_{con} = B_{N_M}$), we calculated the constants y and C from eq.(24) by least square fitting B_{N_m} obtained by solving the CDE for $N_m = 0, 4, 8, \dots, \text{up to } 28$. With these values of y and C the extrapolated energies calculated by eq.(24) for larger $N_m (>28)$ are presented in columns 2-10 of Table 2. We select a value of $N_m(= N_M)$ for which ΔB_{N_m} is of the same order as the overall numerical error (ϵ) in the calculation of B_{N_m} with $N_m \leq 28$. Since, for any $N_m > N_M$, the correction ΔB_{N_M} will be smaller than ϵ and hence unreliable due to the finite numerical precision in solving the CDE. We estimated ϵ to be about 4×10^{-5} for a double precision calculation using dual-core based personal computer. The corresponding extrapolated results are presented in bold in column 4 of Table 4 and in columns 4 & 7 of Tables 5-7 together with some other results including the experimental ones for the low-lying $^{1,3}S$ -states wherever available. The term *exact* as the subscript of E in the 5th column of Table 5 refers to the results which were obtained by highly accurate variational procedures that involved very large numbers of linear and non-linear parameters [1-2,28-30]. The calculated energies for the low-lying bound 1,3 states for systems of different nuclear charge Z using data presented in column 3 of Table 4 and columns 3, 4 of Table 5-7 have been plotted in Figure 2 to see how the binding energies of the corresponding states depend on Z . To study the dependence of the convergence trend of calculated energy on Z , the difference in energy $\Delta B = B(N_m + 4) - B(N_m)$ is plotted in Figure 3 for few cases of different Z . Using the calculated energy data presented in Table 3 we have plotted Figures 4 and 5 to study the variation of the binding energy B and the quantity ΔB with

increase in N_m for ${}^\infty\text{Rb}^{84+}$. The convergence trend in energy can be checked by gradually increasing N_m values in suitable steps and comparing the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N+dN) - B(N)$ with that obtained in the previous step. By analysis of the calculated energy data presented in Table 1, it can be said that the energy of the lowest S-states in the lightest atom under consideration converges much faster than others with respect to the increase in N_m values. This trend of convergence with respect to the increasing N_m is slowed down gradually which can be viewed in two ways: (I) with respect to the increase in the level of excitation keeping nuclear charge number Z fixed and (II) with respect to the increase in the nuclear charge number (Z) for a particular level of excitation. For justifying our forgoing remarks -(I) we may compare the convergence trend in energy with respect to N_m for 2^3S and 4^3S states of neutral helium He ($Z=2$) or for the corresponding states of highly ionized radon Rn^{84+} ($Z=86$) by estimating and comparing the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m = 28) - B(N_m = 24)$ using the data presented in columns 4, 6 or those presented in columns 9, 11 of Table 1. These estimates for 2^3S and 4^3S states of neutral helium (He) are 0.0244au, 0.0660au and those for Rn^{84+} are 31.840au, 117.416au respectively thereby justifying our forgoing remarks -(I). Similar trends can also be seen in Figure 5 drawn for Rb^{35+} as representative case using data of Table 3. For the justification of our remarks -(II), we may compare the convergence trend in energy with respect to N_m for 4^1S state of neutral helium He ($Z=2$), positively charged rubidium Rb^{35+} ($Z=37$) and highly charged radon Rn^{84+} ($Z=86$) by estimating energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m = 28) - B(N_m = 24)$ using the data presented in column 5 of Table 1, in column 7 of Table 3 and in column 10 of Table 1 respectively and compare them. And these estimates for 4^1S state of He , Rb^{35+} , Rn^{84+} are 0.0681au, 19.220au and 102.152au respectively thereby justifying our remarks -(II). Similar results can also be found for remaining levels of excitation using data presented in Table 1-3. This trend of convergence of energy is also demonstrated in Figure 3 for few low-lying $1,3\text{S}$ states of Rb^{35+} and Rn^{84+} respectively as representative cases. Furthermore it could also be noted that, although, the direct evaluation of the matrix element of $\frac{1}{r_{ij}}$ is possible in the partition i by the method of ref. [66], it is not possible for an interaction other than Coulombic or harmonic oscillator type. For an arbitrary shape of interaction potential, a direct calculation of the matrix element of the potential will involve five dimensional angular integrations which make the calculation very time consuming and leaves door open for inaccuracies to creep in easily. Hence the use of RRC for quick and accurate computation of energy in such cases becomes inevitable.




Figure 2: Dependence of the energy, $B(=-E)$ of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of helium like Coulombic three-body system $\infty X^{(Z-2)+}$ ($X=He, Li, Be, C, etc.$) on the increase in nuclear charge Z . [Data source: Table 4-7]

One may see from Figure 2, that the calculated energy increases gradually with the increase in the charge number (Z) of the nuclear core of helium like Coulombic three-body systems $\infty X^{(Z-2)+}$ ($X=He, Li, Be, C, \dots, U$). An approximate value of the energy of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of any two-electron three-body system with a given Z can be estimated following empirical formula using the appropriate set of values of parameters p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 recorded in Table 8

$$B(Z) = \sum_{j=0}^3 p_j Z^j \quad (26)$$

The values of the parameters p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 presented in Table 8 are obtained by fitting the calculated energy data presented in Table 4 to Table 7 for the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of two-electron Coulombic three-body systems having nuclear charge (Z) in the range $Z=2$ to $Z=92$.

Figure 3 indicates that the rate of convergence in energy with respect to the gradual increase in the size of the basis states N_m in the case of Rn^{84+} ($Z=86$) is slower than that for Rb^{35+} ($Z=37$). This convergence trend can be checked by observing the relative decrement in the height of the bars corresponding to a particular level of excitation of Rb^{35+} and Rn^{84+} and comparing them with respect to gradually increasing values of N_m . For example, the height of the extreme left bar representing 1^1S state of Rb^{35+} decreases quicker than the height of the bar on its adjacent right representing 1^1S state of Rn^{84+} with increasing values of N_m . Similar observations hold for other quantum states (or levels of excitation) of the systems.




Figure 3: Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m + 4) - B(N_m)$ on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of helium like Coulombic three-body systems having different nuclear core charge Z . [Data source: Table 1 & 3]




Figure 4: Dependence of the energy B ($=-E$) on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of ${}^\infty\text{Rb}^{35+}$ ion. [Data source: Table 3]




Figure 5: Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m + 4) - B(N_m)$ on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of ${}^\infty\text{Rb}^{35+}$ ion. [Data source: Table 3]

Further we have plotted in Figures 4 and 5 the variation of binding energy B , and the quantity ΔB against N_m for different low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of Rb^{35+} ion as a representative case to study the convergence trend of energy of the low-lying bound S -states of a system with fixed Z using the data of Table 3. And it is observed from Figures 4 and 5, that the energies obtained for relatively lower levels converges earlier than the higher ones. Finally in Tables 4-7, the energies of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states for several Coulombic three-body systems obtained by solving the truncated set of coupled differential equations by the renormalized Numerov method [48] in the framework of HHE aided by RRC, have been compared with the ones of the literature.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we note that the use of RRC in HHE method becomes inevitable for the solution of the three-body Schrödinger equation, if the inter-particle interaction is other than Coulomb or harmonic type. Hence these coefficients are of immense importance for any type of interaction involved in three-body calculation. However in Tables 4, the calculated energy of the bound $1,3S$ -state at $N_m=28$ in most cases are smaller than those listed in column 5 & 6. This is due to the eventual truncation of expansion basis to a maximum value of N up to $N_m=28$ due to computer memory limitation. However, one may extrapolate the calculated energy values for $N_m=0, 4, 8, \dots$ etc. to get the solution for still higher $N_m > 28$ which have been described in the previous section and the corresponding extrapolated data (for $N_m > 28$) have been demonstrated in

Table 2 for few low-lying S-states of He and Rn as representative cases. The extrapolated energy values (at $N_m=N_M$) are listed in bold in the 4th column of Table 4 and in columns 4,7 of Tables 5-7. The extrapolated energies agree fairly well with the corresponding exact values found in the literature. One of the important aspect of RRC's are that they are independent of r, and need to be calculated once only and stored, resulting in an economic and highly efficient numerical computation. Finally we note that by the present method one can describe the Coulombic three-body systems in a very systematic and elegant manner with assured convergence. The method could also be applied to more complex Coulombic or nuclear systems by the proper choice of inter-particle potentials and expansion basis.

The author gladly acknowledges the computational facilities extended by Aliah University for this work.

5 References

References

- [1] Ancarani L. U. , Rodriguez K. V. and Gasaneo G.: Ground and excited states for exotic three-body atomic systems. EPJ Web of Conferences **3**, 02009(2010)
- [2] Ancarani L. U. , Rodriguez K. V. and Gasaneo G.: Correlated $1,3S$ States for Coulomb Three-Body Systems. Int. J. Quantum Chem. **111** (2011) 4255 [and references therein].
- [3] Lin, C. D.: Hyperspherical coordinate approach to atomic and Coulombic three-body systems. Phys. Rep. **257** (1995) 1.
- [4] Lin, C. D.: Classification and Supermultiplet Structure of Doubly Excited States. Phys. Rev. **A29**, 3(1984)1019.
- [5] Lin, C. D.: Doubly excited states including new classification schemes. Advances in Atomic Mol. Phys. **22**, (1986)77.
- [6] Lin, C. D. and Liu, X. H.: Methods of solving Coulombic three-body problems in hyperspherical coordinates. Phys. Rev. **A37**, (1988)2749.
- [7] Huang K. N.: Correlated wave functions for three-particle system with Coulomb interaction: The muonic helium atom. Phys. Rev. **A15**, 5(1977)1832.
- [8] Renat A. Sultanov and Dennis Guster: Integral–differential equations approach to atomic three-body systems. Jour. of Computational Physics **192**, 1 (2003) 231.
- [9] Chen Z. and Lin C. D.: Classification of Coulomb three-body systems in hyperspherical co-ordinates. Phys. Rev. **A42**, 1 (1990) 18.

- [10] Yalcin Z. and Simsek M.: Potential harmonic approximation in atomic three-body systems with Fues-Kratzer -type potential. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 88, 6 (2002) 735.
- [11] Kleindienst, L. and Emrich, R.: The atomic three-body problem. An accurate lower bond calculation using wave functions with logarithmic terms. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 37, 3 (1990) 257.
- [12] Baklanov, E. V.: Ground State of Negative Positronium Ion within the Framework of the Non-relativistic Three-Body Problem. *Laser Physics*, 7, 4 (1997) 970.
- [13] Dodd L. R.: Faddeev approach to atomic three-body problems. *Phys. Rev.* A9, 4 (1974) 637.
- [14] Harris Frank E.: Current Studies of Few-Electron Systems. Lecture Series on Computer and computational Sciences, 1 (2006)1.
- [15] Krivec R. and Mandelzweig V. B.: Matrix elements of potentials in the correlation function hyperspherical harmonic method. *Phys. Rev.* A42 (1990)3779.
- [16] Haftel, H. I. and Mandelzweig, V. B.: A fast convergent hyperspherical expansion for the helium ground state. *Phys. Lett.* A120, 5 (1987)232.
- [17] Haftel, H. I. and Mandelzweig, V. B.: Exact solution of coupled equations and the hyperspherical formalism: Calculation of expectation values and wave functions of three Coulomb-bound particles. *Annals of Phys.* 150, 1 (1983)48.
- [18] Rajaraman *et al.*: Three-body problem in nuclear matter. *Rev. Mod Phys.* 39, 4(1967)745.
- [19] Alexander *et al.*: Analytical solution of the bosonic three-body problem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, (2008)140404.
- [20] Frolov A. M.: Highly accurate three-body wave functions for the $2^3S(L=0)$ states in two-electron ions. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* 38 (2005)3233.
- [21] Rodriguez, K. V., Ancarani, L.U., Gasaneo, G. and Mitnik, D. M.: Ground state for two-electron and electron-muon three-body atomic systems. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*110, 10 (2010)1820.
- [22] Smith Jr, V. H. and Frolov, A. M.: On properties of the helium-muonic and helium-antiprotonic atoms. *J. Phys.* B28, 7 (1995) 1357.
- [23] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Bound state properties and astrophysical applications of negatively charged hydrogen ions. *J. Chem. Phys.* 119 (2003) 3130.
- [24] Frolov, A. M. and Yereimin, A. Yu. J.: Ground bound states in two-electron systems with $Z=1$. *J. Phys.* B22 (1989) 1263.

- [25] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Universal variational expansion for three-body systems. *J. Phys.* **B28** (1995) L449.
- [26] Frolov A. M.: Bound state properties of negatively charged hydrogen like ions. *Phys. Rev.* **A58** (1998) 4479.
- [27] Frolov, A. M.: Properties and hyperfine structure of helium-muonic atoms. *Phys. Rev.* **A61** (2000) 022509.
- [28] Frolov, A. M.: Calculations of the $1s_\mu 2s_e$ -electron-excited $S(L = 0)$ states in helium-muonic atoms. *Phys. Rev.* **A65** (2002) 024701.
- [29] Frolov A. M.: Lowest order QED corrections for the H^- and Mu^- ions. *Phys. Lett.* **A345** (2005) 173.
- [30] Frolov A. M.: Bound state properties and hyperfine splitting in the $S(L = 0)$ -states of the lithium-muonic systems. *Phys. Lett.* **A353** (2006) 60.
- [31] Frolov, A. M. and Smith Jr, V. H.: Exponential representation in the Coulomb three-body problem. *J. Phys.* **B37** (2004) 2917.
- [32] Thakkar A. J. and Koga T.: Ground-state energies for the helium isoelectronic series. *Phys. Rev.* **A50** (1994) 854.
- [33] Goldman S. P.: Uncoupling Correlated Calculations in atomic physics: very high accuracy and ease. *Phys. Rev.* **A57** (1998) R677.
- [34] Korobov, R.: Bethe logarithm for the helium atom. *Phys. Rev.* **A69** (2004) 054501.
- [35] Drake, G. W. F.: Units and Constants. Springer Handbook of Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 2005), 1.
- [36] Hylleraas, E. A. and Ore, A.: Electron Affinity of Positronium. *Phys. Rev.* **71** (1947) 491.
- [37] Hill, R. N.: Proof that the H^- ion has only one bound state. Details and extension to finite nuclear mass. *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 2316.
- [38] Mohr, Peter J. and Taylor, Barry N.: The Fundamental Physical Constants - Recommended values of the basic constants and conversion values, from the 1998 adjustment. *Phys. Today* **55**, 8 (2002) BG6.
- [39] Drake, G. W. F., Cassar, Mark M. and Razvan, A. Nistor: Ground-state energies for helium, H^- , and Ps^- . *Phys. Rev.* **A65** (2002) 054501.
- [40] Frolov, A. M.: Variational Expansions for the Three-Body Coulomb Problem. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz* **92** (1987) 1959.
- [41] Mills A. P. Jr.: Observation of the Positronium Negative Ion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46** (1981) 717.

- [42] Mills A. P. Jr.: Measurement of the Decay Rate of the Positronium Negative Ion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 50 (1983) 671.
- [43] Ho, Y. K.: Auto ionization states of the positronium negative ion. *Phys. Rev.* A19 (1979) 2347.
- [44] Ho, Y. K.: Variational calculation of ground-state energy of positronium negative ions. *Phys. Rev.* A48 (1993) 4780.
- [45] Wen-Fang, XIE.: Feature of a Confined Positronium Negative Ion by a Spherical Parabolic Potential. *Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China)* 47 (2007) 547.
- [46] Kubiček K., Bruhns, H., Braun, J., López-Urrutia, J. R. C. and Ullrich, J.: Two-loop QED contributions tests with mid-Z He-like ions. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 163 01(2007)01.
- [47] S. Kondrashev, S., Mescheryakov, N., Sharkov, B., Shumshurov, A., Khomenko, S., Makarov, K., Satov, Yu. and Smakovskii, Yu.: Production of He-like light and medium mass ions in laser ion source. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 71 (2000)1409.
- [48] Johnson, B. R.: The renormalized Numerov method applied to calculating bound states of the coupled-channel Schroedinger equation. *J. Chem. Phys.* 69 (1978) 4678.
- [49] Khan, Md. A., Dutta, S. K. and Das, T. K.: Computation of Raynal-Revai Coefficients for the hyperspherical approach to a three-body system. *FIZIKA B (Zagreb)* 8, 4 (1999) 469.
- [50] Khan, Md. A.: Hyperspherical Three-Body Calculation for Exotic Atoms. *Few-Body Systems*, 52 (2012) 53.
- [51] Khan, Md. A.: Hyperspherical Three-Body Calculation for muonic Atoms. *Euro. Phys. J.* D66, 3(2012)83.
- [52] Khan, Md. A.: Low-lying S-states of two-electron systems. *Few-Body Systems*, 55, 11(2014)1125.
- [53] Khan, Md. A.: Application of hyperspherical harmonics expansion method to the low-lying bound S-states of exotic two-muon three-body systems. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* E23, 10(2014)1450055.
- [54] Khan, Md. A., Dutta, S. K., Das, T. K. and Pal, M. K.: Hyperspherical three-body calculation for neutron drip line nuclei. *Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* 24 (1998) 1519.
- [55] Khan, Md. A., Das, T. K. and Chakrabarti, B.: Study of the excited state of double- Λ hypernuclei byhyperspherical supersymmetric approach. *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.* E10, 2 (2001) 107.
- [56] Khan, Md. A. and Das, T. K.: Study of $\Lambda\Lambda$ dynamics and ground state structure of low and medium mass double Λ hypernuclei. *Pramana- J. Phys.* 56, 1 (2001) 57.

- [57] Khan, Md. A. and Das, T. K.: Investigation of halo structure of ${}^6\text{He}$ by hyperspherical three-body method. PRAMANA- J. Phys. 57, 4 (2001) 701.
- [58] Dutta, S. K., Khan, Md. A., Das, T. K. and Chakrabarti, B.: Calculation of resonances in weakly bound systems. Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. E13, 4 (2004) 811.
- [59] Dutta, S. K., Das, T. K., Khan, Md. A. and Chakrabarti, B.: Resonances in $A = 6$ nuclei: Use of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. Few-Body Systems, 35 (2004) 33.
- [60] Dutta, S. K., Das, T. K., Khan, Md. A. and Chakrabarti, B.: Computation of $2+$ resonance in ${}^6\text{He}$: bound state in the continuum. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 29 (2003) 2411.
- [61] Khan, Md. A. and Das, T. K.: Investigation of exotic ${}^6_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{He}$ hypernuclei by the hyperspherical three-body method. FIZIKA B9, 2 (2000) 55.
- [62] Khan, Md. A. and Das, T. K.: Investigation of $\Lambda\Lambda$ dynamics and effective ΛN interaction in low and medium mass hypernuclei. FIZIKA B10, 2 (2001) 83.
- [63] Raynal, J. and Revai, J.: Transformation coefficients in the hyperspherical approach to the three-body problem. II Nuo. Cim. A68, 4 (1970) 612.
- [64] Youping, G., Fuqing, L. and Lim, T. K.: Program to calculate Raynal-Revai coefficients of a three-body system in two or three dimensions. Comp. Phys. Comm. 47 (1987) 149.
- [65] Chattopadhyay, R., Das, T. K. and Mukherjee, P. K.: Hyperspherical harmonics expansion of the ground state of the Ps^- ion. Phys. Scripta 54 (1996) 601.
- [66] Chattopadhyay, R. and Das, T. K.: Adiabatic approximation in atomic three body systems. Phys. Rev. A56 (1997) 1281.
- [67] Ballot, J. L. and Fabre de la Ripelle, M.: Application of the hyperspherical formalism to the trinucleon bound state problems. Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 127 (1980) 62.
- [68] Cohen, E.R. and Taylor, B.N.: CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants. Phys. Today 51, 8 (1998) BG9.
- [69] Cohen, E.R. and Taylor, B.N.: CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants. Phys. Today 53, 8 (2000) BG11.
- [70] Beiner, M. and Fabre de la Ripelle, M.: Convergence of the Hyperspherical Formalism Applied to the Trinucleons. Lett. Nuvo Cimento 1, 14 (1971) 584.

- [71] Das, T. K., Coelho, H. T. and Fabre de la Ripelle, M.: Contribution of three body force to the trinucleon problem by an essentially exact calculation. Phys. Rev. C26 (1982) 2288.
- [72] Schneider, T. R.: Convergence of generalized spherical harmonic expansion in the three-nucleon bound state. Phys. Lett. 40B,4 (1972) 439.
- [73] Accad, Y., Pekeris, C.L. and Schiff, B.: Two-electron S and P term values with smooth Z dependence. Phys. Rev. A11, 4(1975)1479.
- [74] Drake, G. W. F.: 1996 High precision calculations for helium Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Handbook (Woodbury, NY: AIP) p 154

6 Figure caption

Figure 1. Particle label scheme for general three-body system and choice of Jacobi coordinates in the i^{th} partition.

Figure 2. Dependence of the energy, $B(=-E)$ of the low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of helium like Coulombic three-body system ${}^{\infty}X^{(Z-2)+}$ ($X=He, Li, Be, C,$ etc.) on the increase in nuclear charge Z . [Data source: Table 4-7]

Figure 3. Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m + 4) - B(N_m)$ on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of helium like Coulombic three-body systems having different nuclear core charge Z . [Data source: Table 1 & 3]

Figure 4. Dependence of the energy $B(=-E)$ on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of ${}^{\infty}Rb^{35+}$ ion. [Data source: Table 3]

Fig. 5. Dependence of the energy difference $\Delta B = B(N_m + 4) - B(N_m)$ on the increase in N_m for few low-lying bound $1,3S$ -states of ${}^{\infty}Rb^{35+}$ ion. [Data source: Table 3]

7 Tables

Table 1. Convergence trend of the energy calculated for few low-lying bound $^{1,3}S$ -states of neutral helium ($^{\infty}\text{He}$) and highly charged radon ($^{\infty}\text{Rn}^{84+}$) for increasing N_m .

| Binding energy ($=B_{N_m}$ for $N = N_m$) in atomic unit (a.u.) in the $^{1,3}S$ state of: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| N_m | He_{1^1S} | He_{2^1S} | He_{2^3S} | He_{4^1S} | He_{4^3S} | $\text{Rn}_{1^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{2^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{2^3S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{4^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{4^3S}^{84+}$ |
| 0 | 2.5000 | 1.2755 | | 0.5109 | | 7065.857 | 3573.695 | | 1438.793 | |
| 4 | 2.7844 | 1.5993 | 1.3725 | 0.8080 | 0.6551 | 7482.252 | 4076.054 | 3309.720 | 1977.548 | 1591.887 |
| 8 | 2.8562 | 1.7715 | 1.7268 | 1.0414 | 0.9524 | 7578.353 | 4316.945 | 3947.931 | 2410.031 | 2152.732 |
| 12 | 2.8760 | 1.8785 | 1.8893 | 1.2133 | 1.1600 | 7610.622 | 4446.135 | 4220.691 | 2721.692 | 2534.140 |
| 16 | 2.8875 | 1.9477 | 1.9788 | 1.3411 | 1.3106 | 7624.146 | 4520.970 | 4360.459 | 2948.823 | 2805.175 |
| 20 | 2.8936 | 1.9946 | 2.0332 | 1.4378 | 1.4227 | 7630.732 | 4567.020 | 4440.276 | 3118.704 | 3004.615 |
| 24 | 2.8970 | 2.0275 | 2.0687 | 1.5125 | 1.5080 | 7634.293 | 4596.738 | 4489.347 | 3249.001 | 3155.771 |
| 28 | 2.8990 | 2.0541 | 2.0931 | 1.5706 | 1.5740 | 7636.379 | 4616.679 | 4521.187 | 3351.153 | 3273.187 |

Table 2. Convergence trend of the extrapolated energy obtained for few low-lying bound $^{1,3}S$ -states of helium ($^{\infty}\text{He}$) and ionized radon ($^{\infty}\text{Rn}^{84+}$) for increasing N_m .

| Binding energy ($=B_{N_m}$ for $N = N_m$) in atomic unit (a.u.) in the $^{1,3}S$ state of: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| N_m | He_{1^1S} | He_{2^1S} | He_{2^3S} | He_{4^1S} | He_{4^3S} | $\text{Rn}_{1^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{2^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{2^3S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{4^1S}^{84+}$ | $\text{Rn}_{4^3S}^{84+}$ |
| 32 | 2.9003 | 2.0722 | 2.1091 | 1.6184 | 1.6255 | 7637.729 | 4630.917 | 4542.088 | 3434.018 | 3363.887 |
| 36 | 2.9011 | 2.0858 | 2.1206 | 1.6571 | 1.6665 | 7638.621 | 4641.212 | 4556.607 | 3500.912 | 3434.194 |
| 40 | 2.9017 | 2.0963 | 2.1289 | 1.6889 | 1.6995 | 7639.235 | 4648.840 | 4567.006 | 3555.503 | 3491.030 |
| 44 | 2.9021 | 2.1045 | 2.1351 | 1.7152 | 1.7264 | 7638.670 | 4654.612 | 4574.646 | 3600.493 | 3537.043 |
| 48 | 2.90240 | 2.1110 | 2.1399 | 1.7371 | 1.7485 | 7639.988 | 4659.058 | 4580.386 | 3637.905 | 3574.690 |
| 52 | 2.9026 | 2.1162 | 2.1436 | 1.7556 | 1.7668 | 7640.224 | 4662.539 | 4584.781 | 3669.268 | 3605.789 |
| 56 | 2.9028 | 2.1205 | 2.1465 | 1.7712 | 1.7822 | 7640.405 | 4665.303 | 4588.203 | 3695.757 | 3631.704 |
| 60 | 2.9029 | 2.1240 | 2.1488 | 1.7845 | 1.7951 | 7640.545 | 4667.526 | 4590.906 | 3718.283 | 3653.472 |
| 64 | 2.9031 | 2.1267 | 2.1507 | 1.7960 | 1.8061 | 7640.655 | 4669.333 | 4593.071 | 3737.560 | 3671.889 |
| 68 | 2.9031 | 2.1293 | 2.1522 | 1.8059 | 1.8155 | 7640.742 | 4670.817 | 4594.824 | 3754.154 | 3687.577 |
| 72 | 2.9032 | 2.1313 | 2.1535 | 1.8144 | 1.8236 | 7640.813 | 4672.048 | 4596.259 | 3768.515 | 3701.022 |
| 76 | 2.9033 | 2.1330 | 2.1545 | 1.8219 | 1.8305 | 7640.871 | 4673.077 | 4597.445 | 3781.006 | 3712.612 |
| 80 | 2.9033 | 2.1345 | 2.1554 | 1.8284 | 1.8366 | 7640.918 | 4673.944 | 4598.434 | 3791.923 | 3722.656 |
| 84 | 2.9034 | 2.1358 | 2.1561 | 1.8342 | 1.8419 | 7640.958 | 4674.680 | 4599.265 | 3801.506 | 3731.402 |
| 88 | 2.9034 | 2.1369 | 2.1568 | 1.8393 | 1.8465 | 7640.991 | 4675.308 | 4599.968 | 3809.952 | 3739.055 |
| 92 | 2.9034 | 2.1378 | 2.1573 | 1.8438 | 1.8506 | 7641.019 | 4675.848 | 4600.567 | 3817.425 | 3745.780 |
| 96 | 2.9034 | 2.1386 | 2.1578 | 1.8478 | 1.8542 | 7641.043 | 4676.314 | 4601.081 | 3824.061 | 3751.713 |
| 100 | 2.9035 | 2.1394 | 2.1582 | 1.8513 | 1.8575 | 7641.063 | 4676.719 | 4601.524 | 3829.975 | 3756.967 |
| 104 | 2.9035 | 2.1400 | 2.1585 | 1.8545 | 1.8603 | 7641.081 | 4677.072 | 4601.908 | 3835.261 | 3761.638 |
| 108 | 2.9035 | 2.1406 | 2.1588 | 1.8574 | 1.8629 | 7641.096 | 4677.382 | 4602.242 | 3840.001 | 3765.803 |
| 112 | 2.9035 | 2.1411 | 2.1591 | 1.8600 | 1.8651 | 7641.109 | 4677.654 | 4602.535 | 3844.264 | 3769.529 |
| 116 | 2.9035 | 2.1415 | 2.1593 | 1.8623 | 1.8672 | 7641.121 | 4677.895 | 4602.792 | 3848.108 | 3772.873 |
| 120 | 2.9035 | 2.1419 | 2.1596 | 1.8644 | 1.8690 | 7641.131 | 4678.109 | 4603.019 | 3851.584 | 3775.882 |
| 124 | 2.9035 | 2.1423 | 2.1597 | 1.8664 | 1.8707 | 7641.140 | 4678.299 | 4603.220 | 3854.734 | 3778.597 |
| 128 | 2.9035 | 2.1426 | 2.1599 | 1.8681 | 1.8722 | 7641.148 | 4678.469 | 4603.399 | 3857.596 | 3781.054 |
| 132 | 2.9036 | 2.1429 | 2.1601 | 1.8697 | 1.8736 | 7641.155 | 4678.621 | 4603.558 | 3860.202 | 3783.282 |
| 136 | 2.9036 | 2.1431 | 2.1602 | 1.8711 | 1.8749 | 7641.161 | 4678.758 | 4603.701 | 3862.579 | 3785.307 |
| 140 | 2.9036 | 2.1434 | 2.1603 | 1.8725 | 1.8760 | 7641.167 | 4678.881 | 4603.829 | 3864.754 | 3787.152 |
| 144 | 2.9036 | 2.1436 | 2.1604 | 1.8737 | 1.8770 | 7641.172 | 4678.992 | 4603.944 | 3866.746 | 3788.837 |
| 148 | 2.9036 | 2.1438 | 2.1605 | 1.8748 | 1.8780 | 7641.176 | 4679.093 | 4604.048 | 3868.574 | 3790.378 |
| 152 | 2.9036 | 2.1439 | 2.1606 | 1.8758 | 1.8789 | 7641.180 | 4679.184 | 4604.143 | 3870.255 | 3791.790 |
| 156 | 2.9036 | 2.1441 | 2.1607 | 1.8768 | 1.8797 | 7641.184 | 4679.267 | 4604.228 | 3871.804 | 3793.087 |
| 160 | 2.9036 | 2.1442 | 2.1608 | 1.8777 | 1.8804 | 7641.187 | 4679.343 | 4604.305 | 3873.233 | 3794.281 |
| 164 | 2.9036 | 2.1444 | 2.1608 | 1.8785 | 1.8811 | 7641.190 | 4679.412 | 4604.376 | 3874.553 | 3795.380 |
| 168 | 2.9036 | 2.1445 | 2.1609 | 1.8792 | 1.8817 | 7641.193 | 4679.476 | 4604.441 | 3875.775 | 3796.395 |
| 172 | 2.9036 | 2.1446 | 2.1609 | 1.8799 | 1.8823 | 7641.195 | 4679.534 | 4604.500 | 3876.908 | 3797.334 |
| 176 | 2.9036 | 2.1447 | 2.1610 | 1.8806 | 1.8829 | 7641.198 | 4679.587 | 4604.554 | 3877.959 | 3798.202 |
| 180 | 2.9036 | 2.1448 | 2.1610 | 1.8812 | 1.8834 | 7641.200 | 4679.637 | 4604.604 | 3878.937 | 3799.007 |
| 184 | 2.9036 | 2.1449 | 2.1611 | 1.8817 | 1.8838 | 7641.202 | 4679.682 | 4604.649 | 3879.846 | 3799.756 |
| 188 | 2.9036 | 2.1450 | 2.1611 | 1.8823 | 1.8843 | 7641.203 | 4679.724 | 4604.692 | 3880.694 | 3800.451 |
| 192 | 2.9036 | 2.1451 | 2.1611 | 1.8827 | 1.8847 | 7641.205 | 4679.763 | 4604.730 | 3881.485 | 3801.099 |
| 196 | 2.9036 | 2.1451 | 2.1612 | 1.8832 | 1.8851 | 7641.207 | 4679.799 | 4604.766 | 3882.223 | 3801.702 |
| 200 | 2.9036 | 2.1452 | 2.1612 | 1.8836 | 1.8854 | 7641.208 | 4679.832 | 4604.800 | 3882.914 | 3802.266 |
| 204 | 2.9036 | 2.1453 | 2.1613 | 1.8840 | 1.8857 | 7641.209 | 4679.863 | 4604.831 | 3883.561 | 3802.792 |
| 208 | 2.9036 | 2.1453 | 2.1613 | 1.8844 | 1.8860 | 7641.211 | 4679.892 | 4604.859 | 3884.167 | 3803.284 |
| 212 | 2.9036 | 2.1454 | 2.1613 | 1.8848 | 1.8863 | 7641.212 | 4679.919 | 4604.886 | 3884.735 | 3803.745 |
| 216 | 2.9036 | 2.1454 | 2.1613 | 1.8851 | 1.8866 | 7641.213 | 4679.944 | 4604.911 | 3885.269 | 3804.177 |
| 220 | 2.9036 | 2.1455 | 2.1614 | 1.8854 | 1.8869 | 7641.214 | 4679.967 | 4604.934 | 3885.771 | 3804.583 |

Table 3. Pattern of convergence of the calculated energy (in atomic unit) for the low-lying bound $^{1,3}\text{S}$ -states $^{\infty}\text{Rb}^{35+}$ ion for increasing N_m .

| N_m | B(1 ¹ S) | B(2 ¹ S) | B(2 ³ S) | B(3 ¹ S) | B(2 ³ S) | B(4 ¹ S) | B(4 ³ S) | B(5 ¹ S) | B(5 ³ S) |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0 | 1264.570 | 645.919 | | 389.957 | | 260.452 | | 186.103 | |
| 4 | 1340.648 | 738.134 | 607.378 | 493.011 | 406.631 | 359.347 | 291.160 | 275.378 | 218.704 |
| 8 | 1358.438 | 783.281 | 725.557 | 567.303 | 520.017 | 439.137 | 394.568 | 352.145 | 310.756 |
| 12 | 1364.548 | 808.142 | 776.213 | 616.614 | 586.098 | 497.042 | 465.246 | 411.821 | 380.261 |
| 16 | 1367.139 | 822.797 | 802.266 | 650.037 | 628.633 | 539.433 | 515.660 | 457.947 | 433.232 |
| 20 | 1368.412 | 831.933 | 817.185 | 673.538 | 657.660 | 571.245 | 552.834 | 494.105 | 474.305 |
| 24 | 1369.104 | 837.886 | 826.380 | 690.615 | 678.330 | 595.707 | 581.043 | 522.943 | 506.763 |
| 28 | 1369.511 | 841.914 | 832.357 | 703.368 | 693.539 | 614.927 | 602.972 | 546.324 | 532.871 |

Table 4. Comparison of calculated energy for the low-lying bound $^{1,3}\text{S}(L=0)$ -states of helium and helium like Coluombic three-body systems with the ones of the literature.

| System | State | Binding energy, B ($=B_{N_m}$ for $N = N_m$) in atomic unit (a.u.) | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | | $B_{N_m=2s}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ | E_{exact} | Other sources |
| ^3He | 1^1S | 2.89845295 | 2.90324338 | 2.90316721 [35] | 2.90051530 [1] |
| | 2^1S | 2.05107241 | 2.13814412 | 2.14558192 [35] | 2.14501773 [1] |
| | 2^3S | 2.09269897 | 2.16138053 | 2.17483231 [35] | 2.17454273 [1] |
| | 3^1S | 1.78731919 | 2.00852819 | 2.06089652 [35] | 2.06069722 [1] |
| | 3^3S | 1.80010572 | 2.00295712 | 2.06831238 [35] | 2.06820440 [1] |
| | 4^1S | 1.57029931 | 1.88975703 | 2.03321657 [35] | 2.02623837 [1] |
| | 4^3S | 1.57365954 | 1.89174046 | 2.03614146 [35] | 2.03156807 [1] |
| ^4He | 1^1S | 2.89859016 | 2.90338079 | 2.90330456 [35] | 2.90065336 [1] |
| | | | | 2.90372440 [24] | 2.90368830 [14] |
| | 2^1S | 2.05116527 | 2.13824141 | 2.14567859 [35] | 2.14511445 [1] |
| | 2^3S | 2.09279342 | 2.16147778 | 2.17493019 [35] | 2.17464057 [1] |
| | 3^1S | 1.78740060 | 2.00862130 | 2.06098908 [35] | 2.06078978 [1] |
| | 3^3S | 1.80018701 | 2.00304736 | 2.06840524 [35] | 2.06829724 [1] |
| | 4^1S | 1.57037897 | 1.88986615 | 2.03330782 [35] | 2.02633010 [1] |
| $^\infty\text{He}$ | 4^3S | 1.57373604 | 1.89184097 | 2.03623283 [35] | 2.03165943 [1] |
| | 1^1S | 2.89900954 | 2.90380076 | 2.90372438 [35] | 2.90107544 [1] |
| | | | | 2.90372438 [22] | |
| | 2^1S | 2.05414493 | 2.14642285 | 2.14597405 [35] | 2.14541020 [1] |
| | 2^3S | 2.09308211 | 2.16177503 | 2.17522938 [35] | 2.17493966 [1] |
| | 3^1S | 1.78764955 | 2.01000443 | 2.06127199 [35] | 2.06107284 [1] |
| | 3^3S | 1.80043551 | 2.00332324 | 2.06868907 [35] | 2.06858102 [1] |
| $^6\text{Li}^+$ | 4^1S | 1.57062240 | 1.89199576 | 2.03358672 [35] | 2.02660791 [1] |
| | 4^3S | 1.57396988 | 1.89214821 | 2.03651208 [35] | 2.03193872 [1] |
| | 1^1S | 7.27068287 | 7.27959321 | 7.27922302 [35] | 7.27588119 [1] |
| | 2^1S | 4.88519501 | 5.03990504 | - | 5.03894691 [1] |
| | 2^3S | 4.96035893 | 5.09413803 | - | 5.10969189 [1] |
| | 3^1S | 4.21338221 | 4.67184315 | - | 4.73266048 [1] |
| | 3^3S | 4.22271122 | 4.64640852 | - | 4.75130451 [1] |
| $^7\text{Li}^+$ | 4^1S | 3.72411106 | 4.48575388 | - | 4.62472771 [1] |
| | 4^3S | 3.70030123 | 4.43114605 | - | 4.63390581 [1] |
| | 5^1S | 3.32869817 | 4.39001212 | - | - |
| | 5^3S | 3.28429267 | 4.31111429 | - | - |
| | 1^1S | 7.27078128 | 7.27969173 | 7.27932152 [35] | 7.20603060 [1] |
| | 2^1S | 4.88525904 | 5.03997129 | - | 5.03913196 [1] |
| | 2^3S | 4.96042382 | 5.09420455 | - | 5.10975862 [1] |
| $^\infty\text{Li}^+$ | 3^1S | 4.21343739 | 4.67190463 | - | 4.73315062 [1] |
| | 3^3S | 4.22276636 | 4.64646894 | - | 4.75136656 [1] |
| | 4^1S | 3.724159 | 4.48581310 | - | 4.62641756 [1] |
| | 4^3S | 3.70034950 | 4.43120337 | - | 4.63396662 [1] |
| | 5^1S | 3.328742 | 4.39006911 | - | - |
| | 5^3S | 3.28433555 | 4.31117021 | - | - |
| | $^\infty\text{Li}^+$ | 1^1S | 7.27137265 | 7.28028376 | 7.27991341 [35] |
| | | | | 7.27991341 [22] | 7.27991341 [74] |
| 2^1S | | 4.88564379 | 5.04036937 | 5.04087674 [73] | 5.03941025 [1] |
| 2^3S | | 4.96081374 | 5.09460425 | 5.11072731 [73] | 5.11015939 [1] |
| | | | | 5.11072737 [20] | |
| 3^1S | | 4.21376895 | 4.67227404 | 4.73375186 [73] | 4.73309441 [1] |
| 3^3S | | 4.22309769 | 4.64683203 | 4.75207644 [73] | 4.75173831 [1] |
| 4^1S | | 3.72445246 | 4.48616767 | 4.62977459 [73] | 4.62515508 [1] |
| 4^3S | | 3.70063956 | 4.43154788 | 4.63713654 [73] | 4.63433035 [1] |
| 5^1S | | 3.32900485 | 4.39042199 | - | - |
| 5^3S | | 3.28459324 | 4.31150622 | - | - |

Table 5. Comparison of calculated energy for the low-lying bound $1,3S(L=0)$ -states of helium like Coluombic three-body systems with the ones of the literature.

| System | State | Binding energies B_{N_m} for $N = N_m$ in atomic unit for $1,3S$ - states | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|---|--|--------|--------------|--|
| | | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ | State | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ |
| $^{10}\text{Be}^{2+}$ | 1^1S | 13.64100542 | 13.65555317 13.6555662 [24] 13.6555322 [14] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 8.94911216 | 9.19161005 | 2^3S | 9.05459257 | 9.27634522 |
| | 3^1S | 7.66804423 | 8.44652588 | 3^3S | 7.66497104 | 8.38910901 |
| | 4^1S | 6.76103019 | 8.08313611 | 4^3S | 6.70286146 | 7.97128862 |
| $^\infty\text{Be}^{2+}$ | 1^1S | 13.64177142 | 13.65631993 13.65566238[22] 13.65566238[74] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 8.94960223 | 9.19333838 | 2^3S | 9.27685193 | 9.27685193 9.29716659 [20] |
| | 3^1S | 7.66846380 | 8.45124727 | 3^3S | 7.66538960 | 8.38956578 |
| | 4^1S | 6.76066022 | 8.08949853 | 4^3S | 6.70322721 | 7.97172093 |
| | 5^1S | 6.03494406 | 7.90747336 | 5^3S | 5.94304043 | 7.74863876 |
| $^{12}\text{C}^{4+}$ | 1^1S | 32.37663926 | 32.40685560 32.4062466 [24] 32.4062132 [14] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 20.77005736 | 21.24818024 | 2^3S | 20.92259034 | 21.38852080 |
| | 3^1S | 17.66499856 | 19.33438639 | 3^3S | 17.60913254 | 19.17442075 |
| | 4^1S | 15.53267060 | 18.43106051 | 4^3S | 15.36613898 | 18.15220701 |
| | 5^1S | 13.84303207 | 17.96048254 | 5^3S | 13.60727634 | 17.59965248 |
| $^\infty\text{C}^{4+}$ | 1^1S | 32.37814008 | 32.40835778 32.40624660[22] 32.40624660[73] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 20.77100359 | 21.25084519 | 2^3S | 20.92354286 | 21.38949376 21.4207559 [20] |
| | 3^1S | 17.66580282 | 19.34319951 | 3^3S | 17.60993325 | 19.17529100 |
| | 4^1S | 15.53337831 | 18.44829391 | 4^3S | 15.36683732 | 18.15302868 |
| | 5^1S | 13.84366250 | 17.98746303 | 5^3S | 13.60789448 | 17.60044649 |
| | 6^1S | 12.44587479 | 17.78810696 | 6^3S | 12.16585900 | 14.83317733 |
| $^{16}\text{O}^{6+}$ | 1^1S | 59.10834846 | 59.16004356 59.156 5951 [24] 59.1565622 [14] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 37.51773633 | 38.31230986 | 2^3S | 37.69735366 | 38.49829459 |
| | 3^1S | 31.77962231 | 34.67519168 | 3^3S | 31.63349495 | 34.36036915 |
| | 4^1S | 27.90383672 | 32.99044656 | 4^3S | 27.57415238 | 32.46790039 |
| | 5^1S | 24.84856668 | 32.10636657 | 5^3S | 24.40313985 | 31.44020700 |
| | 6^1S | 22.32748157 | 31.59904473 | 6^3S | 21.80904495 | 30.92526993 |
| $^\infty\text{O}^{6+}$ | 1^1S | 59.11039341 | 59.16209025 59.15659512 [22] 59.15659512 [73] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 37.51901718 | 38.31361890 | 2^3S | 37.69863997 | 38.49960746 38.54464732 [20] |
| | 3^1S | 31.78070668 | 34.67637674 | 3^3S | 31.63457330 | 34.36153886 |
| | 4^1S | 27.90478889 | 32.99157418 | 4^3S | 27.57509196 | 32.46900346 |
| | 5^1S | 24.84941473 | 32.10746357 | 5^3S | 24.40397111 | 31.44127245 |
| | 6^1S | 22.32824372 | 31.60009809 | 6^3S | 21.80904495 | 30.98033807 |
| $^{20}\text{Ne}^{8+}$ | 1^1S | 93.83962679 | 93.92037567 93.9068065 [24] 93.9067737 [14] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 59.19300213 | 60.38347654 | 2^3S | 59.37879471 | 60.60552089 |
| | 3^1S | 50.01242982 | 54.46894570 | 3^3S | 49.73801357 | 53.94690740 |
| | 4^1S | 43.87450984 | 51.75982348 | 4^3S | 43.32683791 | 50.91827229 |
| | 5^1S | 39.05150768 | 50.33364370 | 5^3S | 38.33024314 | 49.26976804 |
| $^\infty\text{Ne}^{8+}$ | 1^1S | 93.84221663 | 93.92117897 93.90680652 [22] 93.90680651 [73] | | | |
| | 2^1S | 59.19521811 | 60.38674068 | 2^3S | 59.38041492 | 60.60717382 60.66864658 [20] |
| | 3^1S | 50.01379450 | 54.46665522 | 3^3S | 50.01279450 | 53.94837663 |
| | 4^1S | 43.87570737 | 51.76123767 | 4^3S | 43.32801878 | 50.91965680 |
| | 5^1S | 39.05257343 | 50.33501851 | 5^3S | 38.33128755 | 49.27110500 |
| | 6^1S | 35.07883941 | 49.78428221 | 6^3S | 34.24708372 | 48.51818062 |

Table 6. Calculated energy for the low-lying bound $1,3S(L=0)$ -states of helium like
Coulombic three-body systems for which reference values are not available.

| System | State | Binding energies B_{N_m} for $N = N_m$ in atomic unit for $1,3S$ - states | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|---|----------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ | State | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ |
| $^{28}\text{Si}^{12+}$ | 1^1S | 187.33623586 | 187.48697007 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 117.34098803 | 119.56568139 | 2^3S | 117.46178209 | 119.81233419 |
| | 3^1S | 98.83846617 | 107.41844030 | 3^3S | 98.18763000 | 106.32183570 |
| | 4^1S | 86.61882068 | 101.92547321 | 4^3S | 85.46630711 | 100.22303947 |
| | 5^1S | 77.05326349 | 99.03169898 | 5^3S | 75.57824630 | 96.89583100 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Si}^{12+}$ | 1^1S | 187.33991986 | 187.49065697 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 117.34327599 | 119.56801338 | 2^3S | 117.46407024 | 119.81466738 |
| | 3^1S | 98.84039233 | 107.42053496 | 3^3S | 98.18954155 | 106.32390404 |
| | 4^1S | 86.62050862 | 101.93205784 | 4^3S | 85.46797059 | 100.22498691 |
| | 5^1S | 77.05476512 | 99.03362906 | 5^3S | 75.57971705 | 96.89771104 |
| | 6^1S | 69.18781295 | 97.87364713 | 6^3S | 67.50779834 | 95.34894004 |
| $^{40}\text{Ar}^{16+}$ | 1^1S | 313.01347510 | 313.26016489 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 195.25738776 | 198.82695786 | 2^3S | 195.17203766 | 199.00936113 |
| | 3^1S | 164.16773363 | 178.19631514 | 3^3S | 162.95847989 | 176.29956177 |
| | 4^1S | 143.78433327 | 168.94885492 | 4^3S | 141.78501941 | 166.06665428 |
| | 5^1S | 127.86367569 | 164.04660012 | 5^3S | 125.35175859 | 160.47777379 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Ar}^{16+}$ | 1^1S | 313.01778694 | 313.26290079 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 195.26005541 | 198.82967402 | 2^3S | 195.17469823 | 199.00308521 |
| | 3^1S | 164.16997440 | 178.19874736 | 3^3S | 162.96070028 | 176.25561505 |
| | 4^1S | 143.78629491 | 168.95116040 | 4^3S | 141.78695094 | 165.96191861 |
| | 5^1S | 127.86542065 | 164.04954287 | 5^3S | 125.35346601 | 160.29640320 |
| | 6^1S | 114.78563676 | 162.06610437 | 6^3S | 111.94830964 | 157.57856468 |
| $^{73}\text{Ge}^{30+}$ | 1^1S | 1014.10495117 | 1014.83717742 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 626.14203646 | 636.44668213 | 2^3S | 621.72918896 | 633.59828623 |
| | 3^1S | 524.12494015 | 567.05022289 | 3^3S | 518.19512337 | 559.69832511 |
| | 4^1S | 458.44873845 | 536.5722955 | 4^3S | 450.57357075 | 526.41874595 |
| | 5^1S | 407.40409820 | 520.37147652 | 5^3S | 398.21122657 | 508.07162153 |
| | 6^1S | 365.55993388 | 513.54614703 | 6^3S | 355.54564542 | 499.14527714 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Ge}^{30+}$ | 1^1S | 1014.11284946 | 1014.84667354 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 626.14680929 | 636.48612374 | 2^3S | 621.73383114 | 633.63074465 |
| | 3^1S | 524.12890560 | 567.25338865 | 3^3S | 518.19899158 | 559.84659169 |
| | 4^1S | 458.45220105 | 537.01104413 | 4^3S | 450.57693397 | 526.75737901 |
| | 5^1S | 407.40717276 | 521.09516457 | 5^3S | 398.21419883 | 508.65112038 |
| | 6^1S | 365.56269120 | 514.59886056 | 6^3S | 355.54829917 | 500.00969512 |
| $^{87}\text{Rb}^{35+}$ | 1^1S | 1369.50177024 | 1370.45052824 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 841.90857190 | 855.03234476 | 2^3S | 832.35208572 | 848.16473859 |
| | 3^1S | 703.36387502 | 759.78528149 | 3^3S | 693.53427843 | 748.88959756 |
| | 4^1S | 614.92316566 | 718.35308809 | 4^3S | 602.96829556 | 704.21342083 |
| | 5^1S | 546.32050458 | 696.26001256 | 5^3S | 532.86744847 | 679.56972477 |
| | 6^1S | 490.12802196 | 647.66171793 | 6^3S | 475.75927472 | 667.54281907 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Rb}^{35+}$ | 1^1S | 1369.51091586 | 1370.46173546 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 841.91402943 | 855.08151199 | 2^3S | 832.357300378 | 848.20695939 |
| | 3^1S | 703.36837784 | 760.05044604 | 3^3S | 693.53862264 | 749.08631627 |
| | 4^1S | 614.92709123 | 718.93145490 | 4^3S | 602.97207243 | 704.66435709 |
| | 5^1S | 546.32398759 | 696.26438420 | 5^3S | 532.87078631 | 680.34234635 |
| | 6^1S | 490.13114408 | 647.66577131 | 6^3S | 475.76225492 | 667.54698910 |
| $^{132}\text{Xe}^{52+}$ | 1^1S | 3034.33032264 | 3036.10652657 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 1835.73342239 | 1858.27421167 | 2^3S | 1778.07908319 | 1811.55917013 |
| | 3^1S | 1520.10383171 | 1631.61384634 | 3^3S | 1480.70025535 | 1598.25546168 |
| | 4^1S | 1326.34233956 | 1537.17817352 | 4^3S | 1287.14195751 | 1502.67978553 |
| | 5^1S | 1177.30195319 | 1486.00908806 | 5^3S | 1137.44912969 | 1450.16494641 |
| | 6^1S | 1055.62293041 | 1432.37045117 | 6^3S | 1015.54664869 | 1424.69625078 |
| | 7^1S | 953.54597837 | 1365.70263779 | 7^3S | 913.71200484 | 1420.79340749 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Xe}^{52+}$ | 1^1S | 3034.34403549 | 3036.12024452 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 1835.74140513 | 1858.28223928 | 2^3S | 1778.08642782 | 1811.56665120 |
| | 3^1S | 1520.11029918 | 1631.62069230 | 3^3S | 1480.70637251 | 1598.26205731 |
| | 4^1S | 1326.34795821 | 1537.18457058 | 4^3S | 1287.14727680 | 1502.68598043 |
| | 5^1S | 1177.30693158 | 1486.01523381 | 5^3S | 1137.45383214 | 1450.17091643 |
| | 6^1S | 1055.62738998 | 1432.37654976 | 6^3S | 1015.55084882 | 1424.70210581 |
| | 7^1S | 953.55000451 | 1365.70825884 | 7^3S | 913.71578525 | 1420.79923485 |

Table 7. Calculated energy for the low-lying bound $1,3S(L=0)$ -states of helium like Coluombic three-body systems for which reference values are not available.

| System | State | Binding energies B_{N_m} for $N = N_m$ in atomic unit for $1,3S$ - states | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|---|----------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ | State | $B_{N_m=28}$ | $B_{N_m=N_M}$ |
| $^{222}\text{Rn}^{84+}$ | 1^1S | 7636.36418198 | 7641.20543961 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 4616.66857975 | 4680.19668342 | 2^3S | 4521.17553625 | 4605.15844138 |
| | 3^1S | 3837.15245292 | 4136.94140731 | 3^3S | 3764.45017663 | 4058.24848136 |
| | 4^1S | 3351.14526034 | 3893.40869622 | 4^3S | 3273.17906922 | 3810.56957110 |
| | 5^1S | 2976.42342407 | 3765.55061894 | 5^3S | 2893.66454225 | 3671.16419665 |
| | 6^1S | 2670.29151481 | 3593.02119421 | 6^3S | 2584.74356269 | 3599.15482185 |
| $^{\infty}\text{Rn}^{84+}$ | 1^1S | 7636.37941153 | 7641.23108390 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 4616.67861400 | 4680.41269088 | 2^3S | 4521.18661942 | 4605.36483601 |
| | 3^1S | 3837.16123452 | 4138.31425610 | 3^3S | 3764.45941573 | 4059.27113623 |
| | 4^1S | 3351.15302320 | 3896.39350957 | 4^3S | 3273.18711492 | 3812.93142451 |
| | 5^1S | 2976.43036542 | 3767.26785710 | 5^3S | 2893.67166846 | 3675.21000221 |
| | 6^1S | 2670.29777418 | 3599.22653486 | 6^3S | 2584.74994153 | 3605.18235959 |
| $^{238}\text{U}^{90+}$ | 1^1S | 8618.00391229 | 8624.11550275 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 5240.84978695 | 5319.84869467 | 2^3S | 5174.74362888 | 5270.93881700 |
| | 3^1S | 3819.79967898 | 4449.03430062 | 3^3S | 3746.84431623 | 4360.91561578 |
| | 4^1S | 3394.24156455 | 4305.79766260 | 4^3S | 3312.84455557 | 4200.53296546 |
| | 5^1S | 3046.23137764 | 3745.06335941 | 5^3S | 2959.62690696 | 4182.50227889 |
| | 6^1S | 2753.99116703 | 3988.35675960 | 6^3S | 2664.58714689 | 3581.10905621 |
| $^{\infty}\text{U}^{90+}$ | 1^1S | 8618.01897182 | 8624.13058963 | | | |
| | 2^1S | 5240.86018734 | 5319.85951984 | 2^3S | 5174.75544789 | 5271.17435433 |
| | 3^1S | 3819.80783330 | 4449.04422383 | 3^3S | 3746.85290227 | 4360.92558161 |
| | 4^1S | 3394.24887082 | 4305.80737378 | 4^3S | 3312.85216467 | 4205.14588567 |
| | 5^1S | 3046.23797736 | 3745.07101005 | 5^3S | 2959.63372271 | 4190.22906381 |
| | 6^1S | 2753.99716714 | 3988.36584661 | 6^3S | 2664.59330043 | 3581.11748487 |

Table 8. Values of parameters involved in eq.(26) obtained by best fit of calculated energies.

| State | Parameters (in atomic unit, $1\text{au}=27.12\text{eV}$) | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|---------|-------------|
| | p_0 | p_1 | p_2 | p_3 |
| 1^1S | 28.8078 | -7.33198 | 1.26212 | -0.00178 |
| 2^1S | 10.37378 | -2.67664 | 0.71274 | -7.02147E-4 |
| 2^3S | 0.18499 | -0.2216 | 0.61394 | -1.53093E-6 |
| 3^1S | 63.82991 | -14.21409 | 1.04226 | -0.00462 |
| 3^3S | 59.93207 | -13.24075 | 0.99848 | -0.00435 |
| 4^1S | 49.16737 | -10.94696 | 0.85611 | -0.00355 |
| 4^3S | 46.22096 | -10.21724 | 0.8198 | -0.00335 |
| 5^1S | 53.3078 | -10.35107 | 0.76665 | -0.00314 |
| 5^3S | 49.91539 | -9.62781 | 0.7305 | -0.00294 |
| 6^1S | 87.92359 | -12.89187 | 0.76764 | -0.0033 |
| 6^3S | 82.89113 | -11.97116 | 0.72684 | -0.00307 |