

Gravitational waves in bigravity cosmology

Giulia Cusin, Ruth Durrer, Pietro Guarato and Mariele Motta
*Département de Physique Théorique and Center for Astroparticle Physics,
Université de Genève, 24 quai Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland**
(Dated: March 17, 2015)

In this paper we study gravitational wave perturbations in a cosmological setting of bigravity which can reproduce the Λ CDM background and large scale structure. We show that in general gravitational wave perturbations are unstable and only for very fine tuned initial conditions such a cosmology is viable. We quantify this fine tuning. We argue that similar fine tuning is also required in the scalar sector in order to prevent the tensor instability to be induced by second order scalar perturbations. Finally, we show that due to this power law instability, models of bigravity can lead to a large tensor to scalar ratio even for low scale inflation.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 11.10.Ef

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of dark energy is one of the fundamental problems not only of cosmology but of theoretical physics. How can vacuum energy, or equivalently a cosmological constant, be as small as the measured density of dark energy? (Fine tuning problem.) And why should it be of the same order of magnitude as the present mean density of matter in the Universe? (Coincidence problem.) These questions have led cosmologists to search for alternative explanations of the accelerated expansion of the Universe.

The possibility of giving the graviton a mass has attracted considerable attention. Such a mass leads to ‘degravitation’ which can solve the cosmological constant problem [1–3]. If the graviton is massive, the range of gravity is finite and a cosmological constant does not gravitate. Furthermore, if one fine tunes the graviton mass to $m_g \sim H_0$, where $H_0 \simeq h \times 2.1 \times 10^{-42}$ GeV is the value of the Hubble constant and $h = H_0/(100\text{km/sec/Mpc})$, gravity weakens around this scale, which might lead to accelerated expansion.

Adding a mass term to gravity is a non-trivial problem. It removes diffeomorphism invariance and hence the metric has six degrees of freedom (four being absorbed by the Bianchi identities). Five of these are the massive graviton while the sixth is usually a ghost, the so-called Boulware-Deser ghost [4]. To remove this ghost one has to make sure to obtain an additional constraint. This was shown to be possible with a very specific form of the potential for the gravitational field, the dRGT (de Rham, Gabadadze, Tolley) potential [5–7], which has been the basis for a large amount of work on this topic (see, e.g., [8–11] and refs. therein). Applications to cosmology, however, have shown that it is not possible to find homogeneous and isotropic solutions of massive gravity which resemble our Universe. In massive gravity, a fixed reference metric has to be chosen with respect to which the mass term is defined. The possible solutions of course strongly depend on this reference metric, but even when choosing the reference metric to be Friedmann, the resulting solutions either do not show the well known cosmological behavior going from a radiation dominated to a matter dominated Universe followed by a late dark energy dominated phase, or they are unstable [12–14], see [15] for a review and [16, 17] for the study of the cosmology in the contest of the so-called *generalized* massive gravity models.

Apart from these problems, it is somewhat disappointing to introduce the reference metric as an ‘absolute element’, i.e., a non-dynamical field in the theory. From this point of view, bimetric (or more general multi-metric) theories, where also the reference metric is dynamical are better motivated [18–20]. Interesting discussions about theoretical aspects of bimetric massive gravity can be found in [21–26].

*Electronic address: giulia.cusin@unige.ch, ruth.durrer@unige.ch, pietro.guarato@unige.ch, mariele.motta@unige.ch

It has been shown that bigravity theories can be stable and well behaved [27], and that cosmological solutions of bimetric theories can actually fit the expansion history of the accelerating Universe [28–31]. Observational tests of various models of bigravity are discussed in [32–36]. The study of the cosmology of models of bigravity where matter is coupled to a combination of the two metrics is addressed in a series of recent papers [37–39]. The analysis of cosmological perturbations have been studied in different settings and different models of bigravity in [40–42]. Recently, scalar perturbations of these models have been investigated and it has been shown that there exists a sub-class of models of bigravity that admit solutions with well behaved scalar perturbations of the physical metric, while other sub-classes of models are unstable [43].

In this paper we want to study the behavior of tensor perturbations, i.e., gravitational waves in bimetric gravity theories which fit the expansion history of the Universe and which lead to physically acceptable scalar perturbations, i.e., scalar perturbations which just exhibit the usual Jeans instability of Newtonian gravity which leads to cosmological structure formation but no significant additional instability. A more generic study of instabilities in bimetric theories can be found in Ref. [44].

Examining cosmological tensor perturbations, we show that gravitational waves are unstable in this theory. We study this instability in detail. We find that it changes the spectrum and strongly boosts the amplitude of gravitational waves. In order to prevent conflict with observations we have to fine tune the initial conditions for tensor perturbations.

While we were working on this, a preliminary study of gravitational waves in this model has appeared [45]. In Ref. [45] the authors investigate all perturbation modes, scalar, vector and tensor for two cosmological solutions, namely the expanding branch and the bouncing branch, called *infinite-branch bigravity* (IBB) in Ref. [43]. This latter has cosmologically acceptable scalar perturbations and is therefore of particular interest.

Our analysis goes beyond the results presented in [45]. We numerically solve the gravitational wave equations and study the resulting gravitational wave spectrum for different initial conditions for the perturbations. We finally argue that even if we would initially set gravitational wave perturbations to zero, non-linearities which induce small tensor perturbations are sufficient to trigger the instability and the model can only be saved if we also fine tune the initial conditions of scalar perturbations.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In the next section we write the Lagrangian and the equations of motion of bimetric gravity in general and for cosmological (i.e. homogeneous and isotropic) spacetimes. We then specialise to the physically viable model which gives an acceptable expansion history. In Section III we comment on the scalar sector of perturbations and in Section IV we study tensor perturbations and compute the gravitational wave spectrum for different initial conditions. In Section V we discuss our results and conclude.

Notation: We set $c = \hbar = k_{\text{Boltzmann}} = 1$. $M_g = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G} \equiv M_p \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}$ is the reduced Planck mass.

II. COSMOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS OF MASSIVE BIGRAVITY

A. The Lagrangian

We start from a massive bigravity theory defined by the action

$$S = - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_g^2}{2} (R(g) - 2m^2 V(g, f)) + \mathcal{L}_m(g, \Phi) \right] - \int d^4x \sqrt{-f} \frac{M_f^2}{2} R(f). \quad (1)$$

Here f and g are the two metrics while M_f and $M_g = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G} \equiv M_p$ are the respective Planck masses with dimensionless ratio $m_* = M_f/M_g$. We assume the matter fields Φ to be coupled to g only. We use the notation of [33]. The potential is a function of the tensor field $X = \sqrt{g^{-1}f}$ given by

$$V(g, f) = \sum_{n=0}^4 \beta_n e_n(X), \quad (2)$$

where the polynomials $e_i(X)$ are

$$e_0 = \mathbb{I}, \quad e_1 = [X], \quad (3)$$

$$e_2 = \frac{1}{2}([X]^2 - [X^2]), \quad (4)$$

$$e_3 = \frac{1}{6}([X]^3 - 3[X][X^2] + 2[X^3]), \quad (5)$$

$$e_4 = \frac{1}{24}([X]^4 - 6[X]^2[X^2] + 8[X][X^3] + 3[X^2]^2 - 6[X^4]) = \det X. \quad (6)$$

The square bracket $[\dots]$ denotes the trace. The equations of motion of this theory are

$$\frac{1}{M_g^2} T_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + \frac{m^2}{2} \sum_{n=0}^3 (-1)^n \beta_n \left[g_{\mu\lambda} Y_{(n)\nu}^{(g)\lambda} + g_{\nu\lambda} Y_{(n)\mu}^{(g)\lambda} \right], \quad (7)$$

$$0 = R_{\mu\nu}^f - \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu} R^f + \frac{m^2}{2m_*^2} \sum_{n=0}^3 (-1)^n \beta_{4-n} \left[f_{\mu\lambda} Y_{(n)\nu}^{(f)\lambda} + f_{\nu\lambda} Y_{(n)\mu}^{(f)\lambda} \right], \quad (8)$$

where the superscript f indicates the curvature of the metric $f_{\mu\nu}$. The definition of the matrices $Y_{(n)\mu}^{(g)\nu} = Y_{(n)\mu}^\nu \left(\sqrt{g^{-1}f} \right)$ and $Y_{(n)\mu}^{(f)\nu} = Y_{(n)\mu}^\nu \left(\sqrt{f^{-1}g} \right)$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{(0)}(X) &= \mathbb{I}, & Y_{(1)}(X) &= X - \mathbb{I}[X], \\ Y_{(2)}(X) &= X^2 - X[X] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I} \left([X]^2 - [X^2] \right), \\ Y_{(3)}(X) &= X^3 - X^2[X] + \frac{1}{2} X \left([X]^2 - [X^2] \right) - \frac{1}{6} \mathbb{I} \left([X]^3 - 3[X][X^2] + 2[X^3] \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the Bianchi identities and of the covariant conservation of $T_{\mu\nu}$, we obtain the following Bianchi constraints for each of the two metrics

$$\nabla_\mu^g \sum_{n=0}^3 (-1)^n \beta_n \left[Y_{(n)}^{(g)\nu\mu} + Y_{(n)}^{(g)\mu\nu} \right] = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla_\mu^f \sum_{n=0}^3 (-1)^n \beta_{4-n} \left[Y_{(n)}^{(f)\nu\mu} + Y_{(n)}^{(f)\mu\nu} \right] = 0, \quad (10)$$

where we raise and lower indices of $Y_{(n)\dots}^{(g)\dots}$ and $Y_{(n)\dots}^{(f)\dots}$ with the metrics g and f respectively and the relevant metric is indicated in the covariant derivatives ∇^g and ∇^f . Both these constraints follow from the invariance of the interaction term under the diagonal subgroup of the general coordinate transformations of both metrics. Both constraints are equivalent and we will use only the first one.

B. Cosmological equations of motion

We now consider solutions which are spatially homogeneous and isotropic. For simplicity we neglect spatial curvature. If curvature is included, it is easy to see that it has to be the same for both metrics. The metrics can be written in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = a^2(\tau) (-d\tau^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j), \quad (11)$$

$$f_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = b^2(\tau) (-c^2(\tau) d\tau^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j), \quad (12)$$

where τ is conformal time for the g -metric and $c(\tau)$ is a lapse function which parametrizes the difference between the conformal time τ_f for the f -metric and τ , $d\tau_f = c(\tau) d\tau$.

It is convenient to define the conformal Hubble parameter (\mathcal{H}) and the standard one (H) for the two metrics

$$H = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{a} = \frac{a'}{a^2}, \quad H_f = \frac{\mathcal{H}_f}{b} = \frac{b'}{b^2 c}, \quad (13)$$

where with $'$ we denote the derivative with respect to the conformal time τ . We also introduce the ratio between the two scale factors

$$r = \frac{b}{a}. \quad (14)$$

For symmetry reasons, the energy-momentum tensor has the form of a perfect fluid with equation of state $p = w\rho$. Explicitly

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (p + \rho) u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (15)$$

$$\rho' = -3(\rho + p) \mathcal{H}, \quad (16)$$

$$p = w\rho. \quad (17)$$

Introducing a ‘gravity fluid’ which represents the mass term in the Einstein equations, we define

$$\rho_g = \frac{m^2}{8\pi G} (\beta_3 r^3 + 3\beta_2 r^2 + 3\beta_1 r + \beta_0), \quad (18)$$

$$p_g = -\frac{m^2}{8\pi G} (\beta_3 c r^3 + \beta_2 (2c + 1)r^2 + \beta_1 (c + 2)r + \beta_0). \quad (19)$$

Note that the gravity fluid becomes like a cosmological constant when $c = 1$.

The Bianchi constraint in the cosmological ansatz can then be written as

$$\rho'_g = -3\mathcal{H} (\rho_g + p_g), \quad (20)$$

This constraint is equivalent to

$$m^2 (\beta_3 r^2 + 2\beta_2 r + \beta_1) (c b a' - a b') = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad m^2 (\beta_3 r^2 + 2\beta_2 r + \beta_1) (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_f) = 0. \quad (21)$$

The full set of equations of motion is given by the time-time and the space-space components of the modified Einstein equations. For the metric g they are

$$3H^2 = 8\pi G (\rho + \rho_g), \quad (22)$$

$$3H^2 + \frac{2H'}{a} = -8\pi G (p + p_g). \quad (23)$$

The modified Friedmann equations for the f metric become

$$3H_f^2 = M_f^{-2} \rho_f = \frac{1}{m_*^2} r^{-4} (\rho_g - m^2 M_g^2 \beta_0 + m^2 M_g^2 \beta_4 r^4) = \frac{m^2}{m_*^2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{r^3} + \frac{3\beta_2}{r^2} + \frac{3\beta_3}{r} + \beta_4 \right), \quad (24)$$

$$3H_f^2 + \frac{2H'_f}{a c r} - \frac{2c' H_f}{a c^2 r} = -M_f^{-2} p_f = \frac{m^2}{m_*^2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{c r^3} + \frac{2\beta_2}{c r^2} + \frac{\beta_3}{c r} + \frac{\beta_2}{r^2} + \frac{2\beta_3}{r} + \beta_4 \right). \quad (25)$$

We consider the first Friedmann equation for both metrics, the Bianchi constraint, and in the matter sector, the ‘energy conservation’ equation and the equation of state as independent equations which determine the 5 functions $a(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$, $c(\tau)$, $\rho(\tau)$ and $p(\tau)$,

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho + \rho_g), \quad (26)$$

$$H_f^2 = \frac{m^2}{3 m_*^2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{r^3} + \frac{3\beta_2}{r^2} + \frac{3\beta_3}{r} + \beta_4 \right), \quad (27)$$

$$(\beta_3 r^2 + 2\beta_2 r + \beta_1) (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_f) = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\rho' = -3(\rho + p) \mathcal{H}, \quad p = w\rho. \quad (29)$$

Eq. (28) allows for two branches of solutions. Either $r = \bar{r}$ is constant given by the solution of the quadratic equation

$$\beta_3 \bar{r}^2 + 2\beta_2 \bar{r} + \beta_1 = 0, \quad (30)$$

or the second factor of eq. (28) vanishes implying

$$\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{or} \quad r H_f = H. \quad (31)$$

According to [33, 42] the first branch is equivalent to general relativity with an effective cosmological constant. Therefore we expect the usual Λ CDM phenomenology for this branch. We now concentrate on the second branch which is more interesting, hence we request $rH_f = H$. Inserting this in (27) yields

$$H^2 = \frac{m^2}{3m_*^2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{r} + 3\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 r + \beta_4 r^2 \right). \quad (32)$$

With this, the Friedmann equation for g can be written as

$$-\frac{\beta_3}{3} r^3 + r^2 \left(\frac{\beta_4}{3} \frac{1}{m_*^2} - \beta_2 \right) + r \left(\frac{\beta_3}{m_*^2} - \beta_1 \right) + \frac{\beta_1}{3m_*^2 r} + \frac{\beta_2}{m_*^2} - \frac{\beta_0}{3} = \frac{8\pi G}{3m^2} \rho. \quad (33)$$

This is a polynomial equation which can be solved for r in terms of the energy density ρ and the constants β_i , m^2 , M_g^2 and m_*^2 . The detailed evolution depends on the parameters but we can already observe that at late time, when $\rho \rightarrow 0$, $r \rightarrow \text{const}$ so that also $H^2 \rightarrow \text{const}$. Therefore we have a late-time de Sitter phase, independent of the choice of parameters, as long as these admit a real and positive solution \bar{r} of the fourth order equation given by (33) with $\rho = 0$.

A detailed study of the background cosmology in this cosmological setting can be found in [29, 36]. Several possible branches of the solutions are possible, depending on the initial values for r . In [36], a series of conditions defining a viable cosmological evolution are elaborated. Violations of these conditions do not necessarily imply contradiction with observations if they occur outside the observable range, hence in principle they can be relaxed or lifted. However, when these conditions are satisfied, the cosmological evolution requires no special tuning and it is much safer.

In order not to mimic a cosmological constant we set $\beta_0 = 0$. We now study in detail the case $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ (we call it the ‘ β_1 - β_4 model’) which has been identified as the only one which gives both, an acceptable background solution and viable scalar perturbations [36, 43, 46].

We consider a Universe containing matter and radiation with densities ρ_m and ρ_r and pressure $p_m = 0$ and $p_r = \rho_r/3 = w_r \rho_r$ which we assume to be separately conserved such that $\rho_m = \rho_{m0} a^{-3}$ and $\rho_r = \rho_{r0} a^{-4}$. Here we have normalized the scale factor to unity today, $a_0 = 1$. The Bianchi constraint can be rewritten as

$$\frac{r'}{r} = (c - 1) \mathcal{H}, \quad (34)$$

Furthermore, under the rescaling $f_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow m_*^{-2} f_{\mu\nu}$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow m_*^n \beta_n$ the equations become independent of m_* so that we can simply set $m_* = 1$, see [34] for a more detailed discussion. With this, the background equations can be written as

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 = a^2 (3m^2 \beta_1 r + M_p^{-2} (\rho_m + \rho_r)), \quad (35)$$

$$a^2 m^2 (\beta_1 + \beta_4 r^3) - 3\mathcal{H}^2 r = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}' = a^2 \left(3m^2 \beta_1 r - M_p^{-2} \rho_r / 3 + m^2 \beta_1 \frac{r'}{\mathcal{H}} \right), \quad (37)$$

We solve the first three equations for \mathcal{H} , ρ_m and r' ,

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = a^2 m^2 \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_4 r^3}{3r}, \quad (38)$$

$$\rho_m = M_p^2 m^2 \left(\frac{\beta_1}{r} - 3\beta_1 r + \beta_4 r^2 \right) - \rho_r. \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{r'}{r} = \frac{-9\beta_1 r^2 + 3\beta_1 + 3\beta_4 r^3 + r M_p^{-2} m^{-2} \rho_r}{3\beta_1 r^2 + \beta_1 - 2\beta_4 r^3} \mathcal{H}, \quad (40)$$

We want to solve eq. (40) numerically for a given present value of r . Let us divide eq. (38) by $\mathcal{H}_0 = H_0$ so that

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{H}_0} = a \frac{\sqrt{\beta_1 + \beta_4 r^3}}{\sqrt{3r}} \left(\frac{m}{\mathcal{H}_0} \right), \quad (41)$$

We now evaluate eq. (41) at τ_0 and we solve the resulting equation expressing $r_0 = r(\tau_0)$ as a function of the constants β_i . This equation has three real solutions. We choose the only one that, when used as ‘final’ condition in eq. (40), gives an evolution for r starting at very large values and decreasing to a finite value at late times. In [36] and [43], it has been shown that this solution is the only one able to give rise to both a viable background cosmology and viable scalar perturbations in the β_1 - β_4 model.

We choose the best-fit values $\beta_1 m^2 = 0.48 H_0^2$ and $\beta_4 m^2 = 0.94 H_0^2$ obtained in [36] and [43] fitting measured growth data and type Ia supernovae (see also [46] for an explanation of the procedure used to obtain these values from fits). We can then solve eq. (40) numerically. The evolution of r is shown in Fig. 1. We observe that r is very large at early




FIG. 1: The evolution of the ratio of the two scale factors, $r = b/a$ is shown as function of the redshift $z + 1 = a^{-1}$. We have chosen the parameters $m^2 \beta_1 = 0.48 \mathcal{H}_0^2$, $m^2 \beta_4 = 0.94 \mathcal{H}_0^2$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$.

times (the vertical axis is not r but $\log_{10}(r)$), at the present time $r \simeq 1$ while the value $r = 1$ is a future attractor.

The lapse c of the f -metric is given by the Bianchi constraint (34). Its time evolution is presented in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: The evolution of the lapse of the f metric for $m^2 \beta_1 = 0.48 \mathcal{H}_0^2$, $m^2 \beta_4 = 0.94 \mathcal{H}_0^2$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$.

The lapse function is $c \simeq -1 \simeq$ constant in the radiation dominated phase, so that $r'/r = -2\mathcal{H}$, hence $r \propto a^{-2}$, see Eq. (34). It grows to a new plateau at the matter-radiation transition and stays $c \simeq -1/2$ during the matter dominated phase. Then again at the transition to the gravity-dominated phase in the future, $z < 0$ the lapse grows to $c = 1$ which is reached in the future de Sitter phase. Interestingly, the lapse function changes sign roughly at redshift $z_c \simeq 0.9$. This in principle signals a singularity in the f -metric where, for example, its determinant vanishes. However, since the Bianchi constraint requires that also $b' = 0$ when $c = 0$ and $a' \neq 0$, $\mathcal{H}_f = b'/(bc) = \mathcal{H} = a'/a$ remains finite and no physical observable diverges¹.

At this point we want to direct the attention of the reader to the fact that even though in the Lagrangian the lapse function c only appears as $\sqrt{c^2}$ which one naively might replace by $|c|$, this cannot lead to the background phenomenology needed to mimic dark energy. At early times, when $r \gg 1$ and the Universe is radiation dominated,

¹ This would be different if we would couple matter to the f -metric since e.g. its Ricci scalar R_f which might then become observable diverges.

Eq. (40) becomes

$$\frac{r'}{r} = -\frac{3m^2\beta_4 r^2 + M_p^{-2}\rho_r}{2m^2\beta_4 r^2} \mathcal{H} = -2\mathcal{H}. \quad (42)$$

For the second equal sign we have used Eq. (38) in the limit of large r . In order to satisfy both, Eq. (34) and Eq. (40), we therefore need $c = -1$ in the radiation dominated era and we cannot replace c by $|c|$ in Eq. (34). We can also not replace it by $-|c|$ since we need the factor $(c - 1) \rightarrow 0$ when the Universe becomes dark energy dominated. With our choice of the parameters β_i , a radiation dominated Universe at early time and a dark energy like solution at late time, requires that c passes through zero, which $\pm|c|$ cannot.

Substituting the numerical solution for r in eq. (41), we obtain the evolution of $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_0$. In Fig. 3 we have plotted $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}_0$ as a function of redshift in β_1 - β_4 bigravity and in standard Λ CDM.²




FIG. 3: We show the evolution of the Hubble parameter $\mathcal{H}(z)$ for a Λ CDM background with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ (red, dashed) and for a bimetric cosmology (blue, solid) with $m^2\beta_1 = 0.48\mathcal{H}_0^2$, $m^2\beta_4 = 0.94\mathcal{H}_0^2$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$.

In Fig. 4 we compare the background evolution of the comoving distance $d(z) = \int_0^z H(z')^{-1} dz'$ for the β_1 - β_4 model with the best fit parameters $m^2\beta_1 = 0.48\mathcal{H}_0^2$, $m^2\beta_4 = 0.94\mathcal{H}_0^2$ (which we shall also consider in the perturbation analysis) and for a Λ CDM model with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.




FIG. 4: We show the evolution of the comoving distance $d(z)$ for a Λ CDM background with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ (red, dashed) and for a bimetric cosmology (blue, solid) with $m^2\beta_1 = 0.48\mathcal{H}_0^2$, $m^2\beta_4 = 0.94\mathcal{H}_0^2$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$. (We do not optimise the cosmological parameters to obtain a good fit since this is not the point of the present work. The similarity of the behaviour obtained here is sufficient for our purpose.)

² We have considered a scenario with radiation, matter and a cosmological constant with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

III. COMMENTS ON SCALAR PERTURBATIONS

Let us now consider perturbations of this cosmology around the homogeneous and isotropic background

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + a^2 h_{g\mu\nu}, \quad (43)$$

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \bar{f}_{\mu\nu} + b^2 h_{f\mu\nu}. \quad (44)$$

From now on, the use of an overbar indicates background quantities. We parametrize the perturbations as follows

$$(h_g)_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -2A_g & C_j^{(g)} - \partial_j B_g \\ C_i^{(g)} - \partial_i B_g & h_{ij}^{(g)TT} + \partial_i \mathcal{V}_j^{(g)} + \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i^{(g)} + 2\partial_i \partial_j S_g + 2\delta_{ij} F_g \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

$$(h_f)_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -2c^2 A_f & C_j^{(f)} - \partial_j B_f \\ C_i^{(f)} - \partial_i B_f & h_{ij}^{(f)TT} + \partial_i \mathcal{V}_j^{(f)} + \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i^{(f)} + 2\partial_i \partial_j S_f + 2\delta_{ij} F_f \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

with

$$\partial_i C_\bullet^i = \partial_i \mathcal{V}_\bullet^i = \partial_i h_\bullet^{TTij} = 0, \quad \delta^{ij} h_\bullet^{TTij} = 0. \quad (47)$$

Spatial indices are raised and lowered using the flat spatial metric, δ_{ij} . There are eight scalar perturbations, A_\bullet , B_\bullet , S_\bullet and F_\bullet , eight vector perturbations, $C_{\bullet j}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\bullet j}$ and four tensor perturbations $h_{ij}^{\bullet TT}$. Here \bullet denotes g or f . Two scalar and two vector modes can be removed by coordinate transformations, leaving six scalar, six vector and four tensor degrees of freedom.

In Ref. [43] scalar perturbations of the viable β_1 - β_4 model have been analysed for perfect fluid matter (i.e. matter without anisotropic stress and with adiabatic perturbations) and it has been found that they can fit the growth rate of the observed perturbations during the matter and dark energy dominated eras³. In Ref. [45] a preliminary analysis of all, scalar, vector and tensor perturbations is presented and analytic solutions in limiting regimes are found, which all do not show exponential instabilities.

In this section we discuss briefly scalar perturbations while the rest of this work is devoted to a detailed study of tensor perturbations. For the scalar sector, we derive analytic solutions for the propagating degrees of freedom valid in the radiation era and we compare them with the results of the numerical integration of the perturbation equations in radiation. The result of this analysis differs from the one of Ref. [45] and we find that an instability in the scalar sector of the f metric shows up at early times and, if sufficiently large, it is transferred to the physical sector of the g metric through the coupling between the two sectors.

The equations for the two propagating scalar degrees of freedom in the radiation dominated era can be approximated by⁴

$$S_g'' + 2\mathcal{H}S_g' + \frac{9\beta_1\mathcal{H}^3 S_f'}{2\beta_4 k^2 r} - \frac{k^2 S_g}{3} - \frac{1}{2}a^2 m^2 \beta_1 r S_f = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$S_f'' + \frac{6\beta_1\mathcal{H}S_f'}{\beta_4 r} - \frac{\beta_1 k^2 S_g'}{\beta_4 \mathcal{H}r} + \frac{k^2 S_f}{3} - \frac{2a^2 m^2 \beta_1 k^2 r S_g}{3\mathcal{H}^2} = 0. \quad (49)$$

For super-Hubble modes, $k\tau \ll 1$, we can neglect terms proportional to k^2 . Furthermore, in the background branch under study, in the radiation era we have $r \gg 1$, $r' \simeq -2\mathcal{H}r$ and $m^2 \beta_1 a^2 r \simeq 0.48\mathcal{H}_0^2 \sqrt{\Omega_r/0.94} \simeq 0.24 \times 10^{-2}\mathcal{H}_0^2 = \text{constant}$. Hence, in this regime, the last three terms in (48) and (49) can be dropped and the two equations decouple.

³ One of the main conclusions of Ref. [43] is that during *matter domination* scalar perturbations do not exhibit *exponential* instabilities. In that context, however, the stability of scalar perturbations at early times and the absence of power-law instabilities during matter is not analysed.

⁴ We adopt here the gauge choice of Ref. [45] to eliminate the redundant degrees of freedom in the scalar sector.




FIG. 5: Evolution of scalar perturbations for the metric g and f , in the case $A = B = 1$ for $k \simeq \mathcal{H}_0$. The red-dashed line represent the analytical solution for the equation of scalar perturbations valid in the radiation dominated era. For S_f there is perfect agreement between the analytical and numerical solutions.

The solutions of the resulting approximated equations can be written as ⁵

$$S_g = c_1 + c_2 \frac{\tau_{\text{in}}}{\tau}, \quad S_f = c_3 + c_4 \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{in}}}. \quad (50)$$

⁵ More precisely, the exact solution of the decoupled eq. (49) has a constant mode and a growing one proportional to $\text{erf}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1.2 \times 10^3 \beta_1^2}{\beta_4}} \frac{m}{\mathcal{H}_0(1+z)}\right)$. This function is growing roughly like $(1+z)^{-1} \propto \tau$ as long as the argument is smaller than 1, hence during the entire radiation dominated epoch. It can therefore be approximated with the growing mode in (50) to good precision.




FIG. 6: Evolution of scalar perturbations for the metric g for the case $A = 10^{-5}$ and $k \simeq 10\mathcal{H}_0$, varying the initial condition for S_f . We have chosen the cases $B = 10, 1, 10^{-1}, 10^{-3}$, in Fig. 6(a), 6(b), 6(c) and 6(d), respectively. The red-dashed line represent the analytical solution for the equation of scalar perturbations valid in the radiation dominated era.




FIG. 7: Amplification of scalar perturbation S_g at equality as a function of B . The dotted line is the interpolating function $i(B) = 0.3 \frac{B}{A} \cdot \theta(B - A) + 1$, where θ denotes the Heaviside function. We have chosen $A = 10^{-5}$ and a mode with $k \simeq 10\mathcal{H}_0$.

In the physical sector we recover the usual behavior of super-Hubble scalar perturbation in the radiation dominated era, while the perturbations of f grow linearly. Neglecting the constant mode for S_f and the subdominant decaying mode for S_g , we have

$$S_g = A, \quad S_f = B \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{in}}}. \quad (51)$$

We solve Eqs. (48) and (49) numerically with initial conditions (51) and we compare the result with the analytical solution valid in the radiation era, see Fig. 5. The analytical and numerical solutions for S_f are in very good agreement. The solution for S_g , however is soon affected by the coupling term $\frac{9\beta_1 \mathcal{H}^3}{2\beta_4 k^2 r} S'_f$ in (48) which can be large for small values of k .

We then choose the initial condition for scalar perturbations in the physical sector compatible with the observational constraints from structure formation, $A = 10^{-5}$, and we explore how the evolution changes varying B , i.e., the initial condition for S_f , see Fig. 6. If the ratio between the initial condition of S_f and S_g is big, i.e. $B/A \gtrsim 1$, the solution for S_g develops a growing mode in the radiation dominated epoch. In Fig. 7 we plot the amplification of S_g at the end of the radiation era ($z \sim 10^4$) as a function of B . We see that the amplification is roughly proportional to the initial condition of S_f for $B/A \gg 1$. The amplification during the radiation era is absent for $B/A < 1$.

Comparing the order of magnitude of the terms in eq. (48) we find in order for the instability to develop during the radiation dominated era we need

$$\frac{B}{A} \gtrsim 100 \frac{(1 + z_{\text{eq}})^2}{1 + z_{\text{in}}}. \quad (52)$$

For a realistic value of $1 + z_{\text{eq}} \simeq 3 \times 10^3$ and our example plotted in Fig. 6, i.e., $1 + z_{\text{in}} = 10^9$, this requires $B/A > 1$. For an early inflationary phase with reheat temperature $T_{\text{in}} \simeq 10^{10} \text{GeV}$ we obtain $1 + z_{\text{in}} \simeq 10^{23}$, hence in order to avoid this mild instability we need to require that

$$B < 10^{-14} A. \quad (53)$$

Hence for early inflation, only very fine tuned initial condition can avoid to be affected by this instability in the scalar sector.

IV. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES IN MASSIVE BIGRAVITY COSMOLOGY

Tensor perturbations of a given \mathbf{k} -mode can be written as

$$h_{ij}^{TT} = h^+ e_{ij}^{(+2)} + h^- e_{ij}^{(-2)} \quad (54)$$

where $+$ and $-$ denote the two helicity-2 modes of the gravitational wave. For an orthonormal system $\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{e}^{(1)}, \mathbf{e}^{(2)}$ we have

$$\mathbf{e}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\mathbf{e}^{(1)} \pm i \mathbf{e}^{(2)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad e_{ij}^{(+2)} = \mathbf{e}_i^+ \mathbf{e}_j^+, \quad e_{ij}^{(-2)} = \mathbf{e}_i^- \mathbf{e}_j^-. \quad (55)$$

For parity invariant perturbations

$$\langle h^+(\mathbf{k})(h^+(\mathbf{k}'))^* \rangle = \langle h^-(\mathbf{k})(h^-(\mathbf{k}'))^* \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') 2\pi^2 P_h(k)/k^3,$$

and $\langle h^+ h^- \rangle = 0$. This is what we shall assume in the following and we shall consider just one mode, say $h_f^+ = h_f G$ and $h_g^+ = h_g G$. Here G is a Gaussian random variable with vanishing mean and with variance $\langle G(\mathbf{k})G(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') 2\pi^2/k^3$, so that h_\bullet is the square root of the power spectrum. All what follows is also valid for the modes h_\bullet^- which are not correlated with h_\bullet^+ in the parity symmetric situation which we consider.

For the first order modified Einstein equation with a perfect fluid source term, i.e. no anisotropic stress, we obtain the following tensor perturbation equations for our bimetric cosmology

$$h_g'' + 2\mathcal{H} h_g' + k^2 h_g + m^2 a^2 r \beta_1 (h_g - h_f) = 0, \quad (56)$$

$$h_f'' + \left[2 \left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{r'}{r} \right) - \frac{c'}{c} \right] h_f' + c^2 k^2 h_f - m^2 \beta_1 \frac{c a^2}{r} (h_g - h_f) = 0. \quad (57)$$

At very early times, in the radiation dominated Universe where we want to define our initial conditions, r is very large and $m^2 \beta_1 a^2 r = 0.48 \mathcal{H}_0^2 \sqrt{3 \rho_{r0} / (M_p^2 m^2 \beta_4)} = 0.48 \mathcal{H}_0^2 \sqrt{\Omega_r / 0.94} = 0.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathcal{H}_0^2 = \text{constant}$. Furthermore, $c \simeq -1 \simeq \text{constant}$. This implies that in this limit the square bracket of eq. (57) becomes $-2\mathcal{H}$ and the coupling term is suppressed by a factor $1/r^2$ with respect to the coupling term in eq. (56) and can be neglected. Choosing a super Hubble mode, $k\tau \ll 1$ and recalling that in the radiation era $\mathcal{H} = 1/\tau$, we can neglect the term proportional to k^2 in both the equations. To be consistent, in eq. (56), we then have to neglect also the coupling term, since

$K^2 = m^2 \beta_1 a^2 r \simeq (0.05 \mathcal{H}_0)^2 < k^2$ for the best fit parameters with $m^2 \beta_1 = 0.48 \mathcal{H}_0^2$. On super Hubble scales in the radiation era we then obtain the solutions

$$h_g = c_1 + c_2 \left(\frac{\tau_{\text{in}}}{\tau} \right), \quad (58)$$

$$h_f = c_3 (k\tau)^2 y_1(ck\tau) - 3c_4 \frac{(k\tau)^2}{(k\tau_{\text{in}})^3} j_1(ck\tau) \simeq c_3 + c_4 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{in}}} \right)^3. \quad (59)$$

The solution for h_g differs from the one found in Ref. [45]: in this work when deriving the approximated equation valid in the radiation era for super Hubble modes, the term proportional to K^2 in eq. (58) is not neglected. As explained above, this approximation is not completely consistent.⁶

Interestingly, when neglecting the coupling term which for h_f is never relevant, the first expression for the solution (59) is valid both in the radiation and matter era on all scales as long as $c = \text{constant}$. Actually, in the matter dominated era the anti-damping term in eq. (57) becomes $2 \left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{r'}{r} \right) - \frac{c'}{c} \simeq -\mathcal{H}$ and with $\mathcal{H} = 2/\tau$, the h_f equation remains unchanged. The functions y_1 and j_1 denote the spherical Bessel functions [47] and $c = -1$ in the radiation era while $c = -1/2$ in the matter era. Considering the growing mode proportional to c_4 we find that h_f grows like τ^3 on super Hubble scales and like τ on sub Hubble scales.

However, in general we can no longer neglect the coupling term in the solution for h_g since, depending on the initial condition h_f may have grown too large to be neglected in its coupling to h_g . In contrary, since h_g cannot grow more than h_f and since the pre factor of the coupling term remains small, the coupling can be neglected in the h_f equation and (59) remains a good approximation on super Hubble scales.

The solution for h_g in the radiation dominated era agrees with the well know GR solution, but h_f has a growing mode which indicates the presence of an instability. Neglecting the decaying modes we choose the initial conditions

$$h_g(\tau) = A, \quad h_f(\tau) = B \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\text{in}}} \right)^3. \quad (60)$$

The behaviour of the solution depends very sensitively on the initial condition, in the following we explore different possibilities. Naively, we might argue that initially, e.g., after inflation, both h_f and h_g are of the same order of magnitude, $A \simeq B$. The gravitational waves $h_g(k, \tau)$ and $h_f(k, \tau)$ for these initial conditions found by solving numerically Eqs. (56) and (57) for the wave numbers $k \simeq 10\mathcal{H}_0, 100\mathcal{H}_0, 200\mathcal{H}_0$ are shown in Fig. 8. In a linear plot it looks as if h_g and h_f would be nearly constant during radiation, then h_f starts oscillating with frequency $\omega^2 = c^2 k^2 + cK^2/r^2$ and with increasing amplitude at redshift corresponding to the horizon crossing for the mode chosen. The instability is transferred to the h_g mode trough the coupling. In Fig. 9 we present a log-plot for the same modes together with the analytic solutions (58,59). The analytic solution for h_f is a very good approximation on super-Hubble scales. There one sees that the τ^3 growth on super Hubble scales turns into the milder growth $\propto \tau$ after Hubble entry.

When $A \simeq B$, the gravitational wave amplitude today is amplified tremendously, for a mode with wavenumber k , roughly by a factor $f(k) = \mathcal{H}(\tau_0) \mathcal{H}(\tau_i)^3 / k^4$, as shown in Fig. 10. Therefore, in any case, if the initial amplitudes are not very small, gravitational wave perturbations will grow very large at late time.

We want to check whether there exists a choice of the initial conditions such that we recover an evolution of tensor perturbations similar to the one of ΛCDM and an amplitude of tensor perturbations today which is of order of the one of ΛCDM . We find that if we tune the initial conditions for h_f to be very small, i.e. $B \ll A$, the instability can be avoided and we can recover an evolution of tensor perturbations at late times (i.e. during the matter era and later) that is similar to the standard gravitational wave evolution of General Relativity. In Fig. 11 we show how the evolution of tensor perturbations is affected by decreasing B . The evolution of tensor perturbation h_g in the bigravity model for different initial values B at fixed $A = 1$ is superimposed to the ΛCDM result with initial condition $h_{GR}(\tau_{\text{in}}) = 1, h'_{GR}(\tau_{\text{in}}) = 0$.

The amplitude of h_g at late times is proportional to B for values of $B/A \gtrsim 10^{-16}$. For smaller values of B it converges to the GR result and becomes independent of B . In other words, if h_f is not about 16 orders of magnitude smaller than h_g initially, the value of the latter at late times is entirely determined by h_f .

⁶ This can be checked substituting the solutions found in Ref. [45] with coefficients c_i expressed as functions of the initial conditions after inflation in the full equations for perturbations: the terms which do not cancel are negligible only in the specific case in which the initial condition for h'_f after inflation is fine-tuned to be very small, $h'_f(\tau_{\text{in}}) \ll \tau_{\text{in}}^3 K^4$.




FIG. 8: Evolution of tensor perturbations for the metrics g and f , in the case $A = B = 1$ for the modes $k \simeq 10\mathcal{H}_0$, Figs. 8(a), 8(b), $k \simeq 100\mathcal{H}_0$ Figs. 8(d), 8(d) and $k \simeq 200\mathcal{H}_0$ Figs. 8(f), 8(f).

The small shift in redshift of the bimetric h_g spectrum for $B = 0$ with respect to the one of Λ CDM is due to the presence of a slight difference between the evolution of the scale factor in the β_1 - β_4 bigravity model compared to Λ CDM (see Fig. 3), while the coupling of the tensor mode h_g with h_f in the perturbation equation (56) is effectively negligible. This can be checked easily comparing the spectrum of tensor perturbations of the $B = 0$ bigravity model with the one of Λ CDM, calculated on a bigravity background: the two spectra overlap with a very good precision⁷, as shown in Fig. 13.

⁷ In other words, if we choose fine-tuned initial conditions for tensor perturbations, $B < 10^{-16}A$, the coupling between the two tensor modes in (56) is effectively negligible and the fact that the evolution of tensor perturbations of the physical metric differs from the one in Λ CDM can be simply ascribed to a slightly different background evolution.




FIG. 9: Evolution of tensor perturbations for the metrics g and f , in the case $A = B = 1$ for the modes $k \simeq 10\mathcal{H}_0$, Figs. 9(a), 9(b), $k \simeq 100\mathcal{H}_0$ Figs. 9(c), 9(d) and $k \simeq 2000\mathcal{H}_0$ Figs. 9(e), 9(f). The result of the numerical integration (blue, solid) is plotted together with the analytic approximation valid in the radiation era for h_g and on super-Hubble scales for h_f (red, dashed).




FIG. 10: Amplification of tensor perturbations of the g metric in the case $A = B$. The dots represent the value of the amplifications for different modes, the blue solid line is the interpolating function $f(k) = \mathcal{H}(\tau_0)\mathcal{H}(\tau_i)^3/k^4$, while the green dashed line is the best polynomial fit of the data points given by the software Mathematica.




FIG. 11: Evolution of tensor perturbations with wave vector $k = 50H_0$ for the metric g for $A = 1$ and $B = 10^{-2}$, $B = 10^{-10}$, $B = 10^{-13}$ and $B = 0$. In Figs. 11(a) and Figs. 11(c), 11(e) and 11(g) respectively. The red dashed line represents the evolution of tensor perturbation in Λ CDM, with initial condition after inflation $h_{GR}(\tau_{\text{in}}) = 1$, $h'_{GR}(\tau_{\text{in}}) = 0$.




FIG. 12: Evolution of tensor perturbations for the metric g for the case $A = 0$, and $B = 1$ for $k = 50H_0$ (Figs. 12(a) and 12(b)) and $k = 200H_0$ (Figs. 12(c) and 12(d)). The red dashed line represents the rescaled evolution of tensor perturbation in Λ CDM, with initial condition after inflation $h_{GR}(\tau_{in}) = 1$, $h'_{GR}(\tau_{in}) = 0$. The rescaling is $5 \cdot 10^{13}$ and $5 \cdot 10^{10}$ for $k = 50H_0$ and $k = 200H_0$, respectively.




FIG. 13: Evolution of tensor perturbations for the metric g in the case $A = 1$ and $B = 0$. The red dashed line represents the evolution of pure GR tensor perturbation, with initial condition after inflation $h_{GR}(\tau_{in}) = 1$, $h'_{GR}(\tau_{in}) = 0$ calculated on a bigravity background (i.e. we choose the evolution of the scale factor to be the one of the β_1 - β_4 model).

In Fig. 14 we show the energy spectrum of the gravitational waves h_g in units of the critical density

$$\frac{d\Omega_{GW}}{d\log k}(\tau, k) \equiv \frac{k^5 |h_g|^2(\tau, k)}{12 H_0^2}, \quad (61)$$

for the cases $B = 0$ and $B = 10^{-15}A$ and $A = 0$ at the redshift of decoupling and today.




FIG. 14: Spectra of the energy of gravitational waves h_g at the redshift of decoupling (left) and today (right) for the cases $B = 0$ and $B = 10^{-15}A$ and $A = 0$, in Figs. 14(a), 14(b), Figs. 14(c), 14(d) and Figs. 14(e), 14(f), respectively. In the first case, the spectrum for the bigravity model at a given redshift is superimposed to the spectrum of Λ CDM at the same redshift (red points).

Let us also make the following remark: one might worry about the singularity of the term c'/c in eq. (28) when the lapse function of the f metric, c , passes through zero (see Fig. 2). It can actually be shown by a simple analytic argument that this singularity is just an apparent one. First, by using eq. (34), we find that eq. (57) can also be written as

$$h_f'' + \left[2c\mathcal{H} - \frac{c'}{c} \right] h_f' + c^2 k^2 h_f - m^2 \beta_1 \frac{c a^2}{r} (h_g - h_f) = 0. \quad (62)$$

When $c \sim 0$, eq. (62) can be approximated by

$$h_f'' - \frac{c'}{c} h_f' = 0, \quad (63)$$

which is solved by $h_f' \propto c$. Therefore the singularity in the term c'/c in eq. (63) when $c = 0$ is cancelled by the factor $h_f' \propto c$ and the differential equation for h_f is regular for all values of τ . The fact that h_f' passes through zero when $c = 0$ at $z = z_c \simeq 0.9$ is well visible in Fig. 15.




FIG. 15: The plots of $c(z)$ and $h_f(z)$ in Fig. 15(a) and 15(b) respectively, for a mode $k \simeq 100\mathcal{H}_0$, are shown together with the value of z for which c becomes zero (indicated by the red, dashed line in both the plots), $z_c \sim 0.9$, which corresponds to the value for which the derivative of h_f changes sign.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Higuchi bounds

In cosmology and in particular in theories of modified gravity, it is important to check whether the theory may contain 'ghosts'. In this context a ghost is a degree of freedom with a kinetic term of the wrong sign. The energy of such a degree of freedom is not bounded from below and via its coupling to other degrees of freedom it can pass to them unlimited amounts of energy, rendering the theory unstable and therefore unphysical. In a static spacetime this instability is exponential. In an expanding spacetime it is typically a milder power law instability.

As pointed out for the first time by Higuchi [48], even though the sixth degree of freedom of generic massive gravity, which is always a ghost, is absent, in the dRGT theory of massive gravity the helicity-0 mode of the massive graviton can behave like a ghost for particular values of the theory on a de Sitter background, leading to instabilities of the theory beyond the classical linear regime. The condition for having the kinetic term positive definite is known as Higuchi bound. The study of the stability of massive gravity linearized around a de Sitter background (with flat reference metric) was continued in [49] where it has been shown that the helicities (± 1 and ± 2) of the massive graviton are stable and unitary since they are immune to the helicity-0 constraint.

The requirement that the helicity-0 mode on a FRW background has a positive-definite kinetic term is referred to as the *generalized* Higuchi bound. This has been studied for the first time in the bigravity theory in [14] and in [27] (see also [42] for an alternative analysis of the scalar sector).

In the background branch with $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}$, the generalised Higuchi bound for the helicity-0 mode can be written as

$$\tilde{m}^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - 2H^2 \geq 0, \quad (64)$$

where

$$\tilde{m}^2 \equiv m^2 r (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 r + \beta_3 r^2) . \quad (65)$$

For the vector modes we find instead the condition

$$\tilde{m}^2 \geq 0 , \quad (66)$$

which is always satisfied in the β_1 - β_4 model. This is not the case for the Higuchi bound for the helicity-0 mode as has been noted also in Ref. [45]. Indeed, using the background constraints, eqs. (35-37), the bound (64) can be written as

$$\beta_1 r - \frac{2}{3}\beta_4 r^2 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{r} \geq 0 . \quad (67)$$

For the best-fit values with $2\beta_1 \simeq \beta_4$, this constraint is satisfied only in the asymptotically de Sitter phase of the cosmological expansion, where $r = 1$ so that the bound is saturated. Hence, the scalar sector is affected by a ghost instability. In an expanding Universe with time dependent Hubble parameter, this instability is not exponential like in the de Sitter case but it manifests itself by the presence of a power law growing mode in the scalar sector of perturbations, as found in Sec. III.

In the context of bigravity, the Higuchi bound in the tensor sector has not been properly addressed in the literature. If we write the quadratic kinetic part of the action for the tensor modes from eq. (1), we find

$$S_{\text{kin}}^{(\pm 2)} \propto M_g^2 \int d^4 x a^2 \left((h'_g)^2 + r^2 \frac{\sqrt{c^2}}{c^2} (h'_f)^2 \right) , \quad (68)$$

where $\sqrt{c^2}$ comes from the square root of the determinant of the f -metric. Here we can choose either c or $-c$ for $\sqrt{c^2}$, but we are not allowed to choose $|c|$ in order to have a differentiable action⁸. To reproduce the phenomenology discussed in this paper we have to choose the positive square root⁹. Only with this we obtain the correct equations of motion, e.g. eq. (34). Therefore the correct action is

$$S_{\text{kin}}^{(\pm 2)} \propto M_g^2 \int d^4 x a^2 \left((h'_g)^2 + r^2 \frac{1}{c} (h'_f)^2 \right) , \quad (69)$$

and the kinetic term for the tensor mode of the f metric is positive definite only if $c \geq 0$.

In the background branch that we consider, c is negative and crosses zero at recent time, $z_c \sim 0.9$. This means that along the entire cosmological evolution, the helicity-2 sector is affected by a ghost instability. This instability is connected with the one we have observed in the study of perturbations. Actually, writing the h_f -equation in the form (62) shows that in the epochs of $c \simeq \text{constant}$, the sign of c indicates whether we have a damped ($c > 0$) or anti-damped ($c < 0$) evolution. At late time, $z < z_c \simeq 0.9$ the lapse function c changes sign and the tensor sector becomes healthy. This is clearly visible in the numerical solutions shown in Fig. 8 where one sees a decay of the amplitude of h_f at very late times.

The physical interpretation to the negative sign of the lapse c is that the time for the f -metric sector goes in the opposite direction with respect to the time for the physical sector. The scale factor b is decreasing when a is increasing since $\mathcal{H}_f = b'/bc = \mathcal{H} = a'/a$. As a consequence, instead of decreasing, the amplitude of tensor perturbations for the f -metric are growing in time.

We have chosen the lapse c negative at early times and crossing zero going to positive value only at very recent times. We observe that we could have done the opposite choice, taking $c > 0$ at early times. This choice however does not give rise to a viable cosmological evolution.

Finally, we stress that a violation of the generalized Higuchi bound in a Friedmann universe is not as devastating as it is in a de Sitter universe since the instability it gives rise to is power law and not exponential. Nevertheless, in order to agree with observations which are well reproduced with the GR behaviour, we need to fine tune these unstable modes so that their initial conditions are significantly suppressed compared to the usual GR modes.

⁸ For a detailed discussion of this point, see also Refs. [50, 51].

⁹ We could also choose $-c$, but then we would have to change the sign of c to reproduce the Λ CDM phenomenology, so that in the end it does not change our finding that there is a ghost in the tensor sector.

B. Non-linearities

There is an additional subtlety which becomes relevant as soon as there are unstable modes in a theory which is intrinsically non-linear. It is a simple choice of initial conditions to set the unstable modes to zero initially and within linear perturbation theory we have found that their coupling to the other modes is sufficiently suppressed so that they are not generated significantly.

However, once we go beyond linear perturbation theory it is to be expected that the unstable modes should acquire amplitudes of the order of Φ^2 where $\Phi \sim 10^{-5}$ is a typical linear mode which we expect to couple to all other modes at the next order. Therefore, even if a given inflationary model does not generate any tensor perturbations we expect tensor perturbations induced from scalar perturbations on the level of 10^{-10} . For the case of general relativity these induced perturbations have been calculated in 2nd order perturbation theory and numerically [52, 53].

However, the coupling of the g -metric to the f -metric is suppressed by a factor $m^2 \sim \mathcal{H}_0^2$ which makes it very small. As we have seen, at least at linear order the coupling of the g -metric to f -perturbations is nearly always negligible. Therefore, an inflationary model with nearly vanishing initial conditions for the f -metric may actually remain viable.

C. Conclusions

We have found that in bimetric cosmology the tensor perturbations of the second metric, the one that does not couple to matter, exhibits a power law instability, $h_f \propto \tau^3$ on super Hubble scales and $h_f \propto \tau$ on sub Hubble scales. For ‘natural’ initial conditions with $h_g \sim h_f$, the time evolution of h_g is very different from the behavior in Λ CDM cosmology. Due to its coupling to h_f it grows rapidly and the final gravitational wave spectrum is determined entirely by the initial amplitude of h_f . Only if the initial amplitude of h_f is suppressed by a factor of about $\tau_{\text{in}}^3 \tau_0 / k^4$ w.r.t h_g we can recover the standard behavior of gravitational waves. This opens up new possibilities to test bimetric cosmology via the gravitational wave sector. Not only the final gravitational wave spectrum shown in Figs. 14(a) to 14(f) can be very different from the standard GR result, but also its time evolution differs leading to a different signature in the CMB.

To determine the initial conditions A and B we would have to specify an inflationary phase which generates them. Assuming an agnostic point of view as we have done in this work, no firm predictions can be made. Nevertheless, if inflation reheats to about $T_{\text{in}} = 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$, the gravitational wave amplitude on very large scales $k \sim \mathcal{H}_0$ at late times is of the order of $B(T_{\text{in}}/T_{\text{eq}})^3 (T_{\text{eq}}/T_0)^{3/2} \simeq 10^{32} B$ unless $B < 10^{-32} A$. In other words, unless there is a very significant suppression of gravitational waves of the f -metric, their amplitude and time evolution will completely dominate the gravitational wave signal and show up in the CMB.

This finding has yet another consequence: we may obtain a significant gravitational wave signal even from low energy inflation. For an inflationary Hubble parameter H_{in} , the gravitational wave amplitude is typically $A \simeq H_{\text{in}}/M_p$, leading to a tensor to scalar ratio $r = 16\epsilon$. Assuming a bimetric theory with $A \sim B$ we now obtain a scalar to tensor ratio from inflation given by

$$r = 16\epsilon \left(\frac{T_{\text{in}}}{T_{\text{eq}}} \right)^6 \left(\frac{T_{\text{eq}}}{T_0} \right)^3. \quad (70)$$

Since the scalar perturbation amplitude is

$$A_s^2 \simeq \frac{H_{\text{in}}^2}{\epsilon M_p^2} \simeq 10^{-9}$$

this requires

$$\epsilon \simeq 10^9 \frac{H_{\text{in}}^2}{M_p^2}.$$

For standard inflation $r = 16\epsilon$ requires $H_{\text{in}} \simeq 10^{-3} M_p$ for a tensor to scalar ratio of $r \sim 0.1$.

Setting $T_{\text{in}}^2 \simeq H_{\text{in}} M_p$ we obtain for our bimetric cosmology

$$r \simeq 2 \times 10^{10} \frac{T_{\text{in}}^4}{M_p^4} \left(\frac{T_{\text{in}}}{T_{\text{eq}}} \right)^6 \left(\frac{T_{\text{eq}}}{T_0} \right)^3 \simeq 0.3 \left(\frac{T_{\text{in}}}{1 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{10}. \quad (71)$$

For arbitrary values of B we obtain correspondingly

$$r \simeq 0.3 \left(\frac{T_{\text{in}}}{1\text{GeV}} \right)^{10} \left[\frac{B}{H_{\text{in}}/M_p} \right]^2. \quad (72)$$

This rules out all simple well motivated inflationary models which cannot provide a mechanism to suppress the generation of f -perturbations during inflation.

To conclude, we have found that both, the scalar and the tensor sectors of β_1 - β_4 bimetric theories, exhibit a power law instability which is related to the Higuchi ghost. Depending on the inflationary model, this instability can render the theory in serious conflict with observation. On the other hand, it may also open a new possibility to obtain significant tensor perturbations from low scale inflation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Julian Adamek, Jens Chluba, Yves Dirian, Stefano Foffa, Michele Maggiore and Ignacy Sawicki for interesting discussions and suggestions. This work is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

-
- [1] G. Dvali, S. Hofmann, and J. Khoury, “Degraviton of the cosmological constant and graviton width,” *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 084006, [arXiv:hep-th/0703027](#).
- [2] C. de Rham, S. Hofmann, J. Khoury, and A. J. Tolley, “Cascading Gravity and Degraviton,” *JCAP* **0802** (2008) 011, [arXiv:0712.2821](#).
- [3] C. de Rham, “Massive gravity from Dirichlet boundary conditions,” *Phys.Lett.* **B688** (2010) 137–141, [arXiv:0910.5474](#).
- [4] D. Boulware and S. Deser, “Can gravitation have a finite range?,” *Phys.Rev.* **D6** (1972) 3368–3382.
- [5] C. de Rham and G. Gabadadze, “Generalization of the Fierz-Pauli Action,” *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 044020, [arXiv:1007.0443](#).
- [6] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze, and A. J. Tolley, “Resummation of Massive Gravity,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 231101, [1011.1232](#).
- [7] S. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, “Resolving the Ghost Problem in non-Linear Massive Gravity,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 041101, [1106.3344](#).
- [8] S. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, “On Non-Linear Actions for Massive Gravity,” *JHEP* **1107** (2011) 009, [1103.6055](#).
- [9] S. Hassan, R. A. Rosen, and A. Schmidt-May, “Ghost-free Massive Gravity with a General Reference Metric,” *JHEP* **1202** (2012) 026, [1109.3230](#).
- [10] K. Koyama, G. Niz, and G. Tasinato, “Strong interactions and exact solutions in non-linear massive gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 064033, [1104.2143](#).
- [11] P. Guarato and R. Durrer, “Perturbations for massive gravity theories,” *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 084016, [1309.2245](#).
- [12] A. E. Gumrukuoglu, C. Lin, and S. Mukohyama, “Cosmological perturbations of self-accelerating universe in nonlinear massive gravity,” *JCAP* **1203** (2012) 006, [1111.4107](#).
- [13] D. Langlois and A. Naruko, “Cosmological solutions of massive gravity on de Sitter,” *Class.Quant.Grav.* **29** (2012) 202001, [arXiv:1206.6810](#).
- [14] M. Fasiello and A. J. Tolley, “Cosmological perturbations in Massive Gravity and the Higuchi bound,” *JCAP* **1211** (2012) 035, [arXiv:1206.3852](#).
- [15] C. de Rham, “Massive Gravity,” [1401.4173](#).
- [16] D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo, “Cosmology in General Massive Gravity Theories,” *JCAP* **1405** (2014) 036, [1307.8329](#).
- [17] C. de Rham, M. Fasiello, and A. J. Tolley, “Stable FLRW solutions in Generalized Massive Gravity,” [1410.0960](#).
- [18] S. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, “Bimetric Gravity from Ghost-free Massive Gravity,” *JHEP* **1202** (2012) 126, [1109.3515](#).
- [19] S. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, “Confirmation of the Secondary Constraint and Absence of Ghost in Massive Gravity and Bimetric Gravity,” *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 123, [1111.2070](#).
- [20] S. Hassan, A. Schmidt-May, and M. von Strauss, “On Consistent Theories of Massive Spin-2 Fields Coupled to Gravity,” *JHEP* **1305** (2013) 086, [1208.1515](#).
- [21] Y. Akrami, T. S. Koivisto, and A. R. Solomon, “The nature of spacetime in bigravity: two metrics or none?,” *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **47** (2015), no. 1 1838, [1404.0006](#).
- [22] S. Hassan, A. Schmidt-May, and M. von Strauss, “Particular Solutions in Bimetric Theory and Their Implications,” [1407.2772](#).
- [23] C. de Rham, L. Heisenberg, and R. H. Ribeiro, “Ghosts and matter couplings in massive gravity, bigravity and multigravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 12 124042, [1409.3834](#).
- [24] G. Cusin, J. Fumagalli, and M. Maggiore, “Non-local formulation of ghost-free bigravity theory,” *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 181, [1407.5580](#).
- [25] J. Noller and S. Melville, “The coupling to matter in Massive, Bi- and Multi-Gravity,” [1408.5131](#).
- [26] Y. Akrami, T. S. Koivisto, D. F. Mota, and M. Sandstad, “Bimetric gravity doubly coupled to matter: theory and cosmological implications,” *JCAP* **1310** (2013) 046, [1306.0004](#).
- [27] M. Fasiello and A. J. Tolley, “Cosmological Stability Bound in Massive Gravity and Bigravity,” *JCAP* **1312** (2013) 002, [1308.1647](#).
- [28] M. S. Volkov, “Cosmological solutions with massive gravitons in the bigravity theory,” *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 035, [1110.6153](#).
- [29] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo, “FRW Cosmology in Ghost Free Massive Gravity,” *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 067, [1111.1983](#).
- [30] F. Koennig and L. Amendola, “A minimal bimetric gravity model that fits cosmological observations,” [1402.1988](#).
- [31] N. Tamanini, E. N. Saridakis, and T. S. Koivisto, “The Cosmology of Interacting Spin-2 Fields,” *JCAP* **1402** (2014) 015, [1307.5984](#).
- [32] A. R. Solomon, Y. Akrami, and T. S. Koivisto, “Cosmological perturbations in massive bigravity: I. Linear growth of structures,” [1404.4061](#).
- [33] M. von Strauss, A. Schmidt-May, J. Enander, E. Mortsell, and S. Hassan, “Cosmological Solutions in Bimetric Gravity and their Observational Tests,” *JCAP* **1203** (2012) 042, [1111.1655](#).
- [34] M. Berg, I. Buchberger, J. Enander, E. Mortsell, and S. Sjors, “Growth Histories in Bimetric Massive Gravity,” [1206.3496](#).
- [35] Y. Akrami, T. S. Koivisto, and M. Sandstad, “Accelerated expansion from ghost-free bigravity: a statistical analysis with improved generality,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **3** (Mar., 2013) 99, [1209.0457](#).

- [36] F. Koennig, A. Patil, and L. Amendola, “Viable cosmological solutions in massive bimetric gravity,” *JCAP* **1403** (2014) 029, [1312.3208](#).
- [37] A. E. Gumrukuoglu, L. Heisenberg, S. Mukohyama, and N. Tanahashi, “Cosmology in bimetric theory with an effective composite coupling to matter,” [1501.02790](#).
- [38] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, L. Pilo, and G. Tasinato, “Cosmology of bigravity with doubly coupled matter,” [1501.00864](#).
- [39] J. Enander, A. R. Solomon, Y. Akrami, and E. Mortsell, “Cosmic expansion histories in massive bigravity with symmetric matter coupling,” *JCAP* **01** (2015) 006, [1409.2860](#).
- [40] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, and L. Pilo, “Perturbations in Massive Gravity Cosmology,” *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 085, [1202.1986](#).
- [41] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, and L. Pilo, “FRW Cosmological Perturbations in Massive Bigravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 8 084003, [1403.5679](#).
- [42] A. De Felice, A. E. Gumrukuoglu, S. Mukohyama, N. Tanahashi, and T. Tanaka *JCAP* **1406** (2014) 037.
- [43] F. Koennig, Y. Akrami, L. Amendola, M. Motta, and A. R. Solomon, “Stable and unstable cosmological models in bimetric massive gravity,” [1407.4331](#).
- [44] F. Kuhnel, “Instability of certain bimetric and massive-gravity theories,” *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 6 064024, [1208.1764](#).
- [45] M. Lagos and P. G. Ferreira, “Cosmological perturbations in massive bigravity,” [1410.0207](#).
- [46] Y. Akrami, T. S. Koivisto, D. F. Mota, and M. Sandstad, “Bimetric gravity doubly coupled to matter: a statistical analysis with improved generality,” *JHEP* **03** (2013) 099.
- [47] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover Publications, New York, 1972.
- [48] A. Higuchi, “Forbidden mass range for spin-2 field theory in De Sitter space-time,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B282** (1987) 397.
- [49] S. Deser and A. Waldron *Phys. Lett.* **B508** (2001) 347.
- [50] P. Gratia, W. Hu, and M. Wyman, “Self-accelerating Massive Gravity: How Zweibeins Walk through Determinant Singularities,” *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 184007, [1305.2916](#).
- [51] P. Gratia, W. Hu, and M. Wyman, “Self-accelerating Massive Gravity: Bimetric Determinant Singularities,” *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 2 027502, [1309.5947](#).
- [52] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson, and D. Wands, “The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations,” *Phys.Rev.* **D75** (2007) 123518, [gr-qc/0612013](#).
- [53] J. Adamek, R. Durrer, and M. Kunz, “N-body methods for relativistic cosmology,” *Class.Quant.Grav.* **31** (2014) 234006, [1408.3352](#).