

# Decay of the Cosmic Vacuum Energy

Timothy Clifton

*School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.*

John D. Barrow

*DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Rd.,  
Cambridge CB3 0WA, University of Cambridge, U.K.*

Energy-momentum conservation suggests that a vacuum in thermal equilibrium with a bath of radiation during inflation should gradually diminish the vacuum energy. We find that coupling to a bath of black-body radiation at the temperature of the horizon requires the Hubble rate,  $H$ , to approach the same type of evolution as in the “intermediate inflation” scenario, with  $H \propto t^{-1/3}$ , rather than as a constant. Such behaviour does not conflict with observations when the vacuum energy is described by a slowly-rolling scalar field, and when the fluctuations in the scalar field are treated as in the “warm inflation” scenario, but will change the asymptotic states of the universe. We find that the existence of the radiation introduces a curvature singularity at early times, where the energy densities in both the radiation and the vacuum diverge. Furthermore, we show that the introduction of an additional non-interacting perfect fluid into the space-time reveals that radiation can dominate over dust at late times, in contrast to what occurs in the standard cosmological model. It can also lead to a negative vacuum energy becoming positive.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Jk, 04.20.Jb

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inflationary cosmology is based on the hypothesis of a period of accelerated expansion in the very early history of the universe. This surge in the expansion solves the horizon problem, and is generically expected to drive the observable curvature of space, and any expansion or curvature anisotropies, to unobservably small values today. In addition, inflationary cosmology provides a natural mechanism for creating the seeds of structure formation, from tiny quantum mechanical fluctuations. Such fluctuations are a manifestation of the thermal nature of quantum fields in curved spaces. But the existence of a thermal space also implies the existence of a bath of radiation [1–3]. In this paper we will consider the gravitational consequences of this radiation on the large-scale expansion of the universe, as well as on the observables that emerge from a period of inflation, as suggested in [4]. We will work in Planck units throughout, such that  $G = c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ .

The energy density of radiation with a black-body spectrum, at temperature  $T$ , is given in Planck units as

$$\rho_r = 4\sigma T^4, \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma = g_*\pi^2/120$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and  $g_*$  is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom. In a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) geometry, the energy-conservation equation for this radiation fluid is given by

$$\dot{\rho}_r + 4H\rho_r = Q, \quad (2)$$

where  $Q = Q(t)$  is an energy exchange term that is required in order for Eq. (1) to be satisfied at all times, and overdots denote derivatives with respect to the co-moving proper time,  $t$ . The  $Q$  term parameterizes the

energy flow into the radiation field, and thermalization is assumed to be instantaneous.

Energy-momentum conservation now requires that  $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$ , where  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is the total energy-momentum tensor of all matter fields in the space-time. If we are considering a space-time that contains effectively just radiation ( $r$ ) and vacuum ( $v$ ) energy, with  $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^r + T_{\mu\nu}^v$ , then we must therefore also have

$$\dot{\rho}_v = -Q, \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_v = -p_v$  is the energy density of the vacuum, and  $p_v$  is its pressure. This equation shows that the vacuum energy density must be decaying, and is the cosmological counterpart of the requirement that radiating black holes must reduce in mass, in order for the total energy-momentum in the space-time to be conserved [5].

The equations above, together with the Friedmann equation,  $H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}(\rho_r + \rho_v)$ , can be used to write

$$\dot{H} + \frac{g_*}{90\pi}(2\pi T)^4 = 0. \quad (4)$$

If we can find an expression for  $T$  as a function of  $H$ , then we have a differential equation that can be solved to find the rate of expansion.

In this study we will assume that the radiation is in thermal equilibrium with the vacuum, so that  $T$  in Eq. (4) is given by the usual semi-classical expression for the temperature of space:

$$T = \frac{|\kappa|}{2\pi} = \left| \frac{H}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{2H^2} \right) \right|, \quad (5)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the surface gravity of the apparent horizon. The expression after the second equality is found by evaluating the surface gravity in a spatially flat FLRW geometry [6].

## II. BACKGROUND EVOLUTION

It can immediately be seen from Eqs. (4) and (5) that  $\dot{H} \leq 0$ , and that  $\dot{H} = 0$  if and only if  $H = 0$ . This shows that  $H$  is always decreasing, and that (in an initially expanding universe) it is bounded from below by zero. We can therefore use  $H$  as a proxy for time. Figure 1 shows the evolution of the energy density in both the radiation and vacuum fields as a function of  $H$ , as the Universe expands. We have chosen to display this information in terms of the density parameters, defined as  $\Omega_i \equiv 8\pi\rho_i/3H^2$ .

At early times, when  $H \rightarrow \infty$ , it can be seen that  $\dot{H} \rightarrow -2H^2$ . Using  $H = \dot{a}/a$  this can be shown to correspond to a scale factor of the form

$$a(t) \propto t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6)$$

This is the same as that which occurs in a standard radiation-dominated FLRW cosmology. It can be seen from Eq. (4) that in this limit  $T \propto H^{\frac{1}{2}} \propto 1/a$ , and from Eq. (1) that  $\rho_r \propto H^2 \propto 1/a^4$ . These are again exactly the forms of these expressions that one would expect from a radiation filled universe without energy exchange. However, while  $\Omega_v \rightarrow 0$  at early times, it can be seen that  $\rho_v \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, we find that a coupling to radiation can reduce the magnitude of the vacuum energy, even if it is initially very large.

At late-times, on the other hand, we have  $H \rightarrow 0$ , and hence  $\dot{H}/H^2 \rightarrow 0$ . In this limit the leading-order contribution to the temperature takes the form of the Gibbons-Hawking value,  $T = H/2\pi$  [7], and Eq. (4) becomes  $\dot{H} + g_*H^4/90\pi = 0$ . This leads to a scale factor that evolves as

$$a(t) \propto \exp\left\{\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{30\pi}{g_*}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-t_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}, \quad (7)$$

where  $t_0 = \text{constant}$ . This type of expansion is of a type known as ‘‘intermediate inflation’’ [8], which generally has  $a(t) \propto \exp\{At^n\}$ , where  $A > 0$  and  $0 < n < 1$  are constants. Intermediate inflation has been studied by several authors [9], [10], [11], and is known to arise in rainbow gravity theories [12].

The particular form of intermediate inflation with  $a(t) \propto \exp\{\lambda t^{2/3}\}$  is special. When generated from a minimally coupled scalar field in a suitably chosen potential, it is the only form of intermediate inflation (other than perfect de Sitter) that gives an exact Harrison-Zeldovich spectrum of first-order density perturbations. Unlike standard slow-roll scenarios, however, it is also known that this type of intermediate inflation can produce large amounts of gravitational radiation [8, 9, 13, 14].

Eq. (7) is a significant departure from the usual exponential expansion, and occurs even though the energy density of radiation may be small. This can be attributed to the dual requirements of an almost constant density of




FIG. 1: The evolution of the density parameters,  $\Omega_i = 8\pi\rho_i/3H^2$ , for the radiation field (red solid line) and the vacuum field (blue dotted line). We have chosen  $g_* = 2$  in order to produce this plot.

radiation, as well as the exponential dilution of that radiation with inflationary expansion. Therefore, the vacuum energy must constantly replace the quickly dissipating radiation, and even though the amount of radiation required at any given time may be small, it must effectively be replenished at every moment of time.

If we also include in the universe a non-interacting perfect fluid, with equation of state

$$p = (\gamma - 1)\rho,$$

then the Friedmann equation becomes

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}(\rho_r + \rho_v + \rho), \quad (8)$$

while the energy conservation equations for  $\rho_r$  and  $\rho_v$  can again be written as in Eqs. (2) and (3). The energy density in the non-interacting field, which we take to be separately conserved, is given by

$$\dot{\rho} + 3\gamma H\rho = 0. \quad (9)$$

At this point it is convenient to use the number of e-foldings,  $N \equiv \ln a$ , as a replacement for the time variable. We can then integrate Eq. (9) to find

$$\rho = \rho_0 e^{-3\gamma N}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\rho_0$  is a constant. The corresponding energy densities in the radiation and the vacuum can be found from Eqs. (1), (5) and (8) to be

$$\rho_r = \frac{g_*H^4}{480\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{H'}{2H}\right)^4 \quad (11)$$

and

$$\rho_v = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi} - \frac{g_*H^4}{480\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{H'}{2H}\right)^4 - \rho_0 e^{-3\gamma N}, \quad (12)$$




FIG. 2: The evolution of the density parameters for the radiation field (red solid line), the vacuum energy (blue dotted line) and the non-interacting fluid (black dashed line). To produce this plot we have taken the non-interacting fluid to be a pressure-less fluid of dust, such that  $\gamma = 1$ . We have also taken  $g_* = 2$ , and set  $H = 0.1$  and  $\rho = 10^{-4}$  at  $\ln a = 0$ .

where we have used a prime to denote a derivative with respect to  $N$ . Finally, differentiating Eq. (8), and using the conservation equations for  $\rho_r$ ,  $\rho_v$  and  $\rho$  we obtain

$$HH' = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \left[ \frac{g_* H^4}{120\pi^2} \left( 1 + \frac{H'}{2H} \right)^4 + 3\gamma\rho_0 e^{-3\gamma N} \right]. \quad (13)$$

This is a first-order ODE for  $H$ , as a function of  $N$ . Once we have  $H = H(N)$ , then the equations above give us  $\rho_r$ ,  $\rho_v$  and  $\rho$  as functions of  $N$ , too.

An example evolution of the density parameters of the radiation field, the vacuum field, and non-interacting fluid are shown in Fig. 2. To produce this plot we have taken the non-interacting fluid to be dust, so that  $\gamma = 1$ . We have also chosen to consider the case  $g_* = 2$ , and have set initial conditions so that  $H = 0.1$  and  $\rho = 10^{-4}$  at  $\ln a = 0$ . For a finite period of time the energy density in the non-interacting dust dominates over the radiation and vacuum energies, and we have  $a(t) \sim t^{2/3}$ . After this we have a period of intermediate inflation occurring, of the type given in Eq. (7). Before it we have radiation domination, as described in Eq. (6). During this early period of radiation domination, the vacuum energy in fact becomes negative, and starts to diverge. This change of sign does not appear in the absence of the non-interacting dust.

At late times the energy density in radiation generically dominates over matter, in contrast to the usual case in cosmological models with non-interacting radiation and dust [15]. In Fig. 3 we plot the energy density in dust as a fraction of the energy density in radiation, again for  $g_* = 2$  and with  $H = 0.1$  and  $\rho = 10^{-4}$  at  $\ln a = 0$ . It can be seen that there is a transient period when the dust dominates over the radiation, but that the radiation dominates over the dust both before and after




FIG. 3: The logarithm of the ratio in energy densities of dust and radiation, when  $g_* = 2$ , and when  $H = 0.1$  and  $\rho = 10^{-4}$  at  $\ln a = 0$ . The radiation field dominates over the dust at both late and early times.

this. The opposite result is true for  $\gamma \leq 0$ , in which case the non-interacting fluid dominates over radiation at late times, while being sub-dominant beforehand. If we had introduced an effective perfect ‘fluid’ with  $\gamma = 2/3$ , to mimic the presence of negative spatial curvature in the Friedmann equation, then the same general evolution occurs and the curvature ‘fluid’ does not dominate at late times. This shows that flatness is approached at late times, just as in standard inflation.

We should point out at this stage that the black-body radiation that is created by the assumed thermal equilibrium with the vacuum is not the only radiation that one should expect in a realistic cosmology. For example, there is also a bath of CMB radiation in the late Universe, which is very close to being a black-body, and that is at a much higher temperature than that of the apparent horizon. The energy that creates this additional radiation comes, originally, from the reheating process that fills the Universe with matter fields after inflation. A first approximation to the cosmological consequences of including an additional radiation field of this type, at a different temperature to the vacuum, could be studied by adding a non-interacting fluid, as described above. In reality, of course, one would also expect such a fluid to interact with the vacuum in some way, and perhaps stimulate an increase in the vacuum energy density by some small amount. A calculation to determine how this proceeds would require a knowledge of the non-equilibrium thermodynamics of the interaction. If this were known, and all of the carriers of entropy could be identified, then it would also be possible to investigate the stability of the assumed thermal equilibrium. We will leave a detailed study of these points for future work.

### III. PERTURBATIONS DURING INFLATION

It is natural to consider the effects of the interaction introduced in Section I on the observables that result from thermal fluctuations during inflation. To do this, we model the vacuum energy as a scalar field with a self-interaction potential,  $V(\phi)$ , such that

$$\rho_v = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad p_v = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \quad (14)$$

The evolution we get in this case will be different to that obtained in the previous section, where we considered a fluid with equation of state  $p_v = -\rho_v$ , but should reduce to it in the limit  $V = \text{constant}$ .

In terms of these new variables, the Friedmann and conservation equations can be manipulated into the form

$$\dot{H} - \frac{g_* H^4}{180\pi} + 3H^2 - 8\pi V = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V - \frac{3H^2}{4\pi} + \frac{g_* H^4}{240\pi^2} = 0. \quad (16)$$

This simple system of equations represents a 2-dimensional dynamical system, the solutions of which can be found only after the form of the potential  $V(\phi)$  is specified. In the absence of the terms involving  $g_*$ , it can be seen that these equations reduce to the usual ones for a scalar field-filled Friedmann model.

Unlike in normal inflation, the existence of radiation should be expected to have an effect on the power spectrum of comoving curvature perturbations. This is because the thermal radiation should drive the evolution of the perturbations in  $\phi$  through the existence of both noise and dissipation terms. Such scenarios have been considered in the literature under the title ‘‘warm inflation’’. In this case the origin of the fluctuations in the CMB are not only from a quantum origin, but also from the thermal nature of the radiation.

If we differentiate Eq. (16), and make use of Eq. (15), then we can write the Klein-Gordon equation for  $\phi$  as

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H(1 + \Gamma)\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where we have included a dissipation term, with coefficient

$$\Gamma = -\frac{g_* H^2}{90\pi} \frac{(H^2 + \dot{H})}{(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi})}. \quad (18)$$

The effect of  $\Gamma$  on the spectrum of comoving curvature perturbations,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ , has been studied in a series of papers by Berera and collaborators [16–22].

If the noise source that the radiation creates is taken to be Markovian, then the spectrum of perturbations is found to be [20–22]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{H^4}{(2\pi)^2 \dot{\phi}^2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2\pi T}{H} \right) \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi}{3}\Gamma}} \right]. \quad (19)$$

This expression reduces to the usual one when  $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ . Generalised to include non-trivial distributions of inflaton particles [20], and noise sources for the radiation [21], have also recently been derived in the literature.

The spectral index of the primordial curvature perturbations,  $n_s$ , is given in this case by the usual expression:

$$n_s - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}}{d \ln k}, \quad (20)$$

where the right-hand side is evaluated at horizon crossing, when  $k \simeq aH$ . Using Eq. (19), and the expressions for  $T$  and  $\dot{\phi}^2$  given in Eqs. (5), (15) and (16), we find  $n_s - 1$  to be equal to the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H(1 + \frac{2\pi\Gamma}{3}) \left(2 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right) \dot{\Gamma}}{(H^2 + \dot{H}) \left(1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}\right) \left(2\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}} + \left(2 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)\Gamma\right)} \\ & - \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}}(H\ddot{H} - 4\dot{H}^2)}{(H^2 + \dot{H}) \left(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi}\right) \left(2\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}} + \left(2 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)\Gamma\right)} \\ & + \frac{\left(2\dot{H}^2 \left(4 - \frac{g_* H^2}{90\pi} + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right) + H \left(\frac{g_* H^2}{90\pi} - 2\right) \ddot{H}\right) \Gamma}{(H^2 + \dot{H}) \left(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi}\right) \left(2\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}} + \left(2 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)\Gamma\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma$  and  $\dot{\Gamma}$  are given by Eq. (18). This again reduces to the usual expression when the radiation is neglected, i.e. in the limit  $g_* \rightarrow 0$ . One can also find a simpler expression for  $n_s$ , when thermal sources of the inflaton field are neglected, by setting  $\Gamma = 0 = \dot{\Gamma}$ .

Likewise, the spectrum of tensor perturbations is found to be given by [19]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{grav}} = \frac{4H^2}{\pi}, \quad (21)$$

which can be used to write the spectral index of tensor perturbations as

$$n_T - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_{\text{grav}}}{d \ln k} = \frac{2\dot{H}}{(\dot{H} + H^2)}. \quad (22)$$

The expression is unchanged by the presence of radiation.

Further observables of interest are given by the amplitude of scalar fluctuations,

$$A_S = -\frac{H^4}{\pi \left(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi}\right)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}}} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{2H^2}\right) \right], \quad (23)$$

and the amplitude of tensor fluctuations,

$$A_T = \frac{16H^2}{\pi}, \quad (24)$$

as well as the tensor-to-scalar ratio:

$$r \equiv \frac{A_T}{A_S} = -\frac{16 \left(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi}\right)}{H^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\Gamma}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma}{3}}} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{2H^2}\right) \right]}, \quad (25)$$

where all roots are taken to be positive. Once more, this expression reduces to the usual ones when  $g_* \rightarrow 0$ .

Recent observations imply that the scalar spectral index generated during inflation is given by [23]

$$n_S - 1 = -0.0365 \pm 0.0094, \quad (26)$$

while the amplitude of curvature perturbations is inferred to be

$$A_S = 2.19_{-0.14}^{+0.12} \times 10^{-9}, \quad (27)$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio is constrained by [24]

$$r \lesssim 0.2. \quad (28)$$

If we apply the latter two of these together, then Eq. (24) can be seen to imply

$$|H| \lesssim 10^{-5}. \quad (29)$$

This severely limits any effect that the radiation can have on the scalar spectral index, and the amplitude of scalar fluctuations.

In fact, if we define slow-roll parameters by

$$\epsilon_H \equiv \frac{3\dot{\phi}^2}{2V + \dot{\phi}^2} = -\frac{(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi})}{H^2(1 - \frac{g_* H^2}{180\pi})} \quad (30)$$

$$\eta_H \equiv -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}} = -\frac{(\ddot{H} + \frac{2g_* H^3 \dot{H}}{45\pi})}{2H(\dot{H} + \frac{g_* H^4}{90\pi})}, \quad (31)$$

then we can write our expressions for  $A_S$  and  $n_S$  as functions of  $\epsilon_H$ ,  $\eta_H$  and  $H$  only. If we expand these first in  $H$  (this quantity having been found to be small already), and then in  $\epsilon_H$  and  $\eta_H$ , we find that the leading-order parts  $A_S$  and  $n_S$  are given by

$$n_S - 1 \simeq -4\epsilon_H + 2\eta_H - \frac{g_* H^2 (4\epsilon_H - \eta_H)}{45\pi \epsilon_H} \quad (32)$$

and

$$A_S \simeq -\frac{H^2}{\pi\epsilon_H} \left(1 + \frac{g_* H^2}{90\pi\epsilon_H}\right). \quad (33)$$

The observational constraints from Eqs. (26) and (27) then imply

$$\epsilon_H \lesssim 0.1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_H \lesssim 0.1, \quad (34)$$

as long as  $g_* \ll 10^7$ . The observational constraints on  $\epsilon_H$  and  $\eta_H$  are therefore unchanged from their usual values. The expressions for the spectral indices of scalar and tensor fluctuations, as well as their amplitudes, are effectively given by the usual expressions in terms of the slow-roll parameters, with leading-order corrections as given in Eqs. (32) and (33).

Given these constraints, one might naively expect that the level of non-gaussianity should be the same as that in standard slow-roll inflationary models, where  $f_{NL} \sim$

$O(\epsilon_H \text{ and } \eta_H) \sim 10^{-2}$ , [25]. Detailed calculations, however, show that the amplitude of non-gaussianity are strongly dependant on the parameters involved in the interactions of the warm inflationary model, and hence on the microscopic physics and dynamics [26]. For models with weak interactions, as suggested by the observational constraints above, the shape of the bispectrum is found to be close to equilateral.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have studied the cosmological consequences of the vacuum being in thermal equilibrium with a bath of black-body radiation. In this situation, energy is exchanged between the vacuum and the radiation. In the absence of other matter fields, the assumption of a vacuum equation of state  $p_v = -\rho_v$ , and a temperature corresponding to the surface gravity of the cosmological horizon, results at late times in intermediate inflation with  $H \propto t^{-1/3}$ , and the introduction of an initial curvature singularity.

We also calculated the evolution of such a universe when it contains a non-interacting barotropic perfect fluid, in addition to the interacting radiation and vacuum energy. We found that, as long as the non-interacting fluid has an equation of state  $p > -\rho$ , it is dominated at both late and early times by the radiation. We also find that it is possible for the non-interacting fluid to dominate for a finite period at intermediate times, and that during this time the energy density in the vacuum can change sign from negative to positive.

We then proceeded to study the observational consequences of this energy exchange when the vacuum is treated as a slowly-rolling minimally-coupled scalar field with a self-interaction potential. We find that observational constraints on the amplitude of scalar and tensor perturbations, and the spectral index of primordial curvature perturbations, result in expressions that are very close to the usual ones, written in terms of the slow-roll parameters. There are therefore no strong observational constraints to distinguish this scenario from a standard slow-roll inflation.

While the generic end-state of these models is intermediate inflation driven by the vacuum energy, we find that the generic initial state is a radiation dominated universe in which all energy densities diverges. The occurrence of an early universe with a large negative vacuum energy, that can evolve into one a late universe with positive vacuum energy, is an intriguing consequence of this scenario, and would appear to be consistent with the picture of the negative Planck-sized vacuum energy that is generically expected to result from the lowest-order super-gravity terms in string and M-theories [27].

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to J. Lidsey, A. Linde and D. Mulryne for helpful discussions, and to anonymous referees

for helpful comments. TC and JDB are both supported by the STFC.

- 
- [1] L. P. Grishchuk, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **12**, 60 (1975)
  - [2] J. Haro & E. Elizalde, *J. Phys. A* **41**, 372003 (2008)
  - [3] L. Parker & D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime*, (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2009).
  - [4] T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Reports* **406**, 49 (2005)
  - [5] J. D. Barrow, E. J. Copeland & A. R. Liddle, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **253**, 675 (1991)
  - [6] R.-G. Cai & S. P. Kim, *JHEP* **0502**, 050 (2005)
  - [7] G. W. Gibbons & S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2738 (1977)
  - [8] J. D. Barrow, *Phys. Lett. B* **235**, 40 (1990)
  - [9] J. D. Barrow, A. R. Liddle & C. Pahud, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 127305 (2006)
  - [10] A. G. Muslimov, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7**, 231 (1990)
  - [11] A. A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **82**, 169 (2005)
  - [12] J. D. Barrow & J. Magueijo, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 103525 (2013), J. D. Barrow, M. Lagos & J. Magueijo, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 083525 (2014)
  - [13] J. D. Barrow & P. Saich, *Phys. Lett. B* **249**, 406 (1990)
  - [14] J. D. Barrow & A. R. Liddle, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 5219(R) (1993)
  - [15] L. M. Krauss & R. J. Scherrer, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 083524 (2007)
  - [16] A. Berera, M. Gleiser & R. O. Ramos, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 123508 (1998)
  - [17] A. Berera, *Nucl. Phys. B* **585**, 666 (2000)
  - [18] L. M. H. Hall, I. G. Moss & A. Berera, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 083525 (2004)
  - [19] M. Bastero-Gil & A. Berera, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 2207 (2009)
  - [20] S. Bartrum *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **732**, 116 (2014)
  - [21] R. O. Ramos & L. A. da Silva, *JCAP* **03**, 032 (2013)
  - [22] M. Bastero-Gil *et al.*, *JCAP* **05**, 004 (2014)
  - [23] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), arXiv:1303.5082
  - [24] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (BICEP-2 collaboration), arXiv:1403.3985
  - [25] X. Cheng, *Adv. Astron. (special issue)* **2010**, 638979 (2010)
  - [26] M. Bastero-Gil, A. Berera, I. G. Moss & R. O. Ramos, *JCAP* **12**, 008 (2014)
  - [27] J. Maldacena & C. Nunez, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16**, 822 (2001), B. de Wit, D. J. Smit & N. D. Hari Dass, *Nucl. Phys. B* **283**, 165 (1987)