

Open String Fields as Matrices

Isao KISHIMOTO,¹ Toru MASUDA,² Tomohiko TAKAHASHI,²
and Shoko TAKEMOTO²

¹*Faculty of Education, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*

²*Department of Physics, Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, Japan*

Abstract

We show that the action expanded around Erler-Maccaferri's N D-brane solution describes the $N + 1$ D-brane system where one D-brane disappears due to tachyon condensation. String fields on multi-branes can be regarded as block matrices of a string field on a single D-brane in the same way as matrix theories.

1 Introduction

Open string field theory has the possibility of revealing non-perturbative aspects of string theory. Recently, Erler and Maccaferri have proposed a method to construct classical solutions, which are expected to describe any open string background [1]. This indeed implies that open string field theory is able to give a unified description of various D-branes regarded as non-perturbative objects of string theory.

Multi-brane solutions in Ref. [1] provide a correct vacuum energy and gauge invariant observables. Accordingly, in order to prove whether the theory describes a multi-brane system, it is necessary to clarify open and closed string spectra in the background of the solution. However, it is difficult to give a definite answer to this problem, because there are some subtleties concerning BRST cohomology in the background [1].

There is another question related to the degree of freedom of string fields in the background. We have one string field in the theory on a single D-brane. However, in the case that the multi-brane solution provides the background of the N D-branes, the number of string fields increases to N^2 around the solution. Intuitively, N^2 fluctuation fields in the multi-brane background seem to be introduced as redundant degrees of freedom. Here, it is natural to ask how to generate N^2 string fields or Chan-Paton factors from one string field.

On the other hand, it is well-known that matrix theories are able to describe various D-branes [2, 3]. In matrix theories, D-branes are created by classical solutions as block diagonal matrices. After expanding a matrix around the solution, block matrices can be understood as representing open strings connecting each D-brane. Here, it should be noted that there are similarities between the matrix and the open string field: the matrix is deeply tied to the open string degree of freedom and an open string field is interpreted as a matrix in which the left and right indices correspond to the left and right half-strings [4]. Then, it seems plausible that N^2 string fields on N D-branes are embedded like block matrices in a string field on a D-brane.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify the origin of the N^2 string fields in the background of an N D-brane solution. We will show that the theory expanded around the solution is regarded as an open string field theory on $N + 1$ D-branes, but in which a D-brane vanishes as a result of tachyon condensation. Then, the N^2 string fields will be given as block matrices in a string field as an infinite-dimensional matrix. Consequently, we can expect that the N D-brane solution correctly reproduces the open and closed string spectra in the N D-brane background.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, after a brief explanation of multi-brane solutions by Erler-Maccaferri [1], we will introduce projection operators acting on a space of string fields. Then, we will analyze a string field theory expanded around the N D-brane solution in terms of the projectors. In Sect. 3, we will give concluding remarks.

2 Open string field theory around multi-brane solutions

2.1 Erler-Maccaferri's solution for N D-branes

The action of bosonic cubic open string field theory is

$$S[\Psi; Q_B] = -\frac{1}{g^2} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \Psi Q_B \Psi + \frac{1}{3} \Psi^3 \right). \quad (2.1)$$

From the action, the equation of motion is given by

$$Q_B \Psi + \Psi^2 = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

To construct multi-brane solutions for N D-branes, Erler and Maccaferri introduced N pairs of regularized boundary-conditions-changing operators, Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$ ($a = 1, \dots, N$) [1].¹ These operators satisfy

$$\bar{\Sigma}_a \Sigma_b = \delta_{ab}, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$Q_T \Sigma_a = Q_T \bar{\Sigma}_a = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where Q_T is a modified BRST operator on the tachyon vacuum. From (2.5), we find that

$$Q_B \Sigma_a = \Sigma_a \psi_T - \psi_T \Sigma_a, \quad Q_B \bar{\Sigma}_a = \bar{\Sigma}_a \psi_T - \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_a, \quad (2.6)$$

where ψ_T denotes the tachyon vacuum solution of (2.2). Here, we only assume that Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$ satisfy Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) (or equivalently (2.6)) for a tachyon vacuum solution ψ_T , regardless of wedge-based[5, 6] or identity-based[7, 8] solutions.

Using ψ_T , Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$, Erler-Maccaferri provided a multi-brane solution as[1]

$$\Psi_0 = \psi_T - \sum_{a=1}^N \Sigma_a \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_a. \quad (2.7)$$

We can calculate the action for Ψ_0 with the help of (2.4) and (2.5):

$$S[\Psi_0; Q_B] = -(N-1)S[\psi_T; Q_B]. \quad (2.8)$$

Then, the solution Ψ_0 provides a correct vacuum energy for N D-branes. Expanding the string field around the solution as $\Psi = \Psi_0 + \psi$, we can obtain the action for the fluctuation ψ :

$$S[\Psi; Q_B] = S[\Psi_0; Q_B] + S[\psi; Q_{\Psi_0}], \quad (2.9)$$

where the operator Q_{Ψ_0} denotes the shifted BRST operator by the solution Ψ_0 .

¹ Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$ are constructed by boundary-condition-changing (bcc) operators, σ_a and $\bar{\sigma}_a$, satisfying the operator product expansion: $\bar{\sigma}_a(z')\sigma_b(z) \rightarrow \delta_{ab} (z' \rightarrow z)$. In the Minkowski background, a zero momentum condition for the bcc operators is not necessarily required. So, the simplest bcc operators are given as

$$\sigma_a(z) = e^{ik_a \cdot X(z)}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_a(z) = e^{-ik_a \cdot X(z)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where k_a^μ satisfy $k_a^2 = 0$ and $k_a \cdot k_b < 0$ ($a \neq b$). For example, we can take $k_a^\mu = (a, 1, \sqrt{a^2 - 1}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

2.2 Projectors

To clarify the physical interpretation of $S[\psi; Q_{\Psi_0}]$, we introduce N projection states as follows:

$$P_a = \Sigma_a \bar{\Sigma}_a \quad (a = 1, \dots, N), \quad (2.10)$$

where the same indices a are not summed. Here we have to notice that, as pointed out in Ref. [1], $\bar{\Sigma}_a$ should be multiplied to Σ_a from the left and so these projectors should be dealt with carefully. More precisely, we define the projections for arbitrary string fields A and B as follows:

$$AP_a B = (A \Sigma_a)(\bar{\Sigma}_a B). \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.4) and (2.11), we can easily find that

$$P_a P_b A = \Sigma_a (\bar{\Sigma}_a P_b A) = \Sigma_a ((\bar{\Sigma}_a \Sigma_b)(\bar{\Sigma}_b A)) = \delta_{ab} P_a A. \quad (2.12)$$

This is a sufficient definition of the projectors for later calculation. But it suggests that we need to insert some infinitesimal worldsheet to separate Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$. We will discuss this point further in the last section.

In addition to P_a , we define the 0th projection as a complementary projector:

$$P_0 = 1 - \sum_{a=1}^N P_a, \quad (2.13)$$

where 1 denotes the identity string field. By definition, these $N + 1$ projections satisfy

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^N P_\alpha = 1, \quad (2.14)$$

where the Greek indices are used for values $0, 1, \dots, N$. From (2.5), it follows that $Q_T P_\alpha = 0$ and then we have

$$Q_B P_\alpha = P_\alpha \psi_T - \psi_T P_\alpha. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, we can find some relations among P_α , Σ_α and $\bar{\Sigma}_\alpha$:

$$P_a \Sigma_b = \Sigma_a \delta_{ab}, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_a P_b = \bar{\Sigma}_a \delta_{ab}, \quad P_0 \Sigma_b = 0, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_a P_0 = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

With the help of these projectors, the string field Ψ can be partitioned into $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ blocks:

$$\Psi = \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{\beta=0}^N P_\alpha \Psi P_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{00} & \Psi_{01} & \cdots & \Psi_{0N} \\ \Psi_{10} & \Psi_{11} & \cdots & \Psi_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Psi_{N0} & \Psi_{N1} & \cdots & \Psi_{NN} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\Psi_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as the (α, β) sector of Ψ , i.e., $\Psi_{\alpha\beta} \equiv P_\alpha \Psi P_\beta$.

According to Ref. [1], the second term in (2.7) is a solution to the equation of motion at the tachyon vacuum. From (2.16), the second term is represented as

$$-\sum_{a=1}^N \Sigma_a \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\Sigma_1 \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\Sigma_2 \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\Sigma_N \psi_T \bar{\Sigma}_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.18)$$

Accordingly, it turns out that the N D-brane solution at the tachyon vacuum is given as a block diagonal matrix. This is a similar result to the case of matrix theories [2, 3].

2.3 Background described by the solution

Now, we consider the fluctuation ψ around the N D-brane solution. According to the previous subsection, ψ can be written by matrix representation:

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \sum_{\beta=0}^N \tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} = P_\alpha \psi P_\beta$. $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ represents a block matrix of ψ with infinite dimension.

Here, we consider change of variables of $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$. $\tilde{\phi}_{ab}$ can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\phi}_{ab} = P_a \tilde{\phi}_{ab} P_b = \Sigma_a (\bar{\Sigma}_a \tilde{\phi}_{ab} \Sigma_b) \bar{\Sigma}_b. \quad (2.20)$$

So, we can change the variables from $\tilde{\phi}_{ab}$ to $\phi_{ab} = \bar{\Sigma}_a \tilde{\phi}_{ab} \Sigma_b$. Similarly, writing $\tilde{\phi}_{0a} = \chi_a \bar{\Sigma}_a$, $\tilde{\phi}_{a0} = \Sigma_a \bar{\chi}_a$, the fluctuation ψ is represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \chi + \sum_{a=1}^N \chi_a \bar{\Sigma}_a + \sum_{a=1}^N \Sigma_a \bar{\chi}_a + \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \Sigma_a \phi_{ab} \bar{\Sigma}_b \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \chi & \chi_b \bar{\Sigma}_b \\ \Sigma_a \bar{\chi}_a & \Sigma_a \phi_{ab} \bar{\Sigma}_b \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where we rewrite $\tilde{\phi}_{00}$ as χ .

Similar to the equation $Q_{\Psi_0}(\Sigma_a A \bar{\Sigma}_b) = \Sigma_a (Q_B A) \bar{\Sigma}_b$ given in Ref. [1], by using (2.6) and (2.15), we have

$$Q_{\Psi_0}(P_0 A P_0) = P_0 (Q_T A) P_0, \quad (2.22)$$

$$Q_{\Psi_0}(P_0 A \bar{\Sigma}_a) = P_0 (Q_{T0} A) \bar{\Sigma}_a, \quad (2.23)$$

$$Q_{\Psi_0}(\Sigma_a A P_0) = \Sigma_a (Q_{0T} A) P_0, \quad (2.24)$$

where the operator $Q_{\psi_1\psi_2}$ is defined as $Q_{\psi_1\psi_2}A = Q_B A + \psi_1 A - (-1)^{|A|} A \psi_2$ for two classical solutions ψ_1 and ψ_2 [1], and then $Q_{T_0} \equiv Q_{\psi_T 0}$ and $Q_{0T} \equiv Q_{0\psi_T}$. Using these relations, we can obtain a matrix representation of $Q_{\Psi_0}\psi$:

$$Q_{\Psi_0}\psi = \begin{pmatrix} P_0(Q_T\chi)P_0 & P_0(Q_{T_0}\chi_b)\bar{\Sigma}_b \\ \Sigma_a(Q_{0T}\bar{\chi}_a)P_0 & \Sigma_a(Q_B\phi_{ab})\bar{\Sigma}_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.25)$$

Consequently, the action expanded around Ψ_0 can be rewritten as

$$S[\psi; Q_{\Psi_0}] = S[\phi_{ab}; Q_B] + S'[\chi, \chi_a, \bar{\chi}_a, \phi_{ab}], \quad (2.26)$$

where each action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S[\phi_{ab}; Q_B] &= -\frac{1}{g^2} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \phi_{ba} Q_B \phi_{ab} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^N \phi_{ab} \phi_{bc} \phi_{ca} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{g^2} \int \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi Q_B \phi + \frac{1}{3} \phi^3 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S'[\chi, \chi_a, \bar{\chi}_a, \phi_{ab}] &= -\frac{1}{g^2} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi Q_T \chi + \sum_{a=1}^N \bar{\chi}_a Q_{T_0} \chi_a + \frac{1}{3} \chi^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{a=1}^N \bar{\chi}_a \chi \chi_a + \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \chi_a \phi_{ab} \bar{\chi}_b \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

In (2.27), ϕ represents a matrix (ϕ_{ab}) and the trace denotes the sum of the diagonal elements with indices a, b . Obviously, (2.27) represents the action for N D-branes; namely, ϕ_{ab} is a string field of an open string attached on the a th and b th D-branes. Moreover, in the action (2.28), χ is a string field on a D-brane with tachyon condensation, and χ_a and $\bar{\chi}_a$ represent string fields of an open string attaching on a D-brane with tachyon condensation and on one of the N D-branes, on which ϕ_{ab} also attach. Accordingly, the actions (2.27) and (2.28) describe the theory for $N + 1$ D-branes in which a D-brane vanishes due to tachyon condensation. This system should be physically equivalent to the N D-brane system because Q_T and Q_{T_0} have trivial cohomology² and therefore this result is consistent with the expectation that the solution (2.7) is regarded as an N D-brane solution.

Let us consider an on-shell closed string coupling to an open string field. In the complex plane, a closed string vertex operator is given by $\mathcal{V}(z, \bar{z}) = c(z)c(\bar{z})V_{\text{matt}}(z, \bar{z})$, where V_{matt} is a vertex operator with the conformal dimension (1, 1) in the matter sector. We can give a BRST invariant state using \mathcal{V} as

$$V = \mathcal{V}(i, -i)I, \quad (2.29)$$

² In Ref. [9], it is shown that a homotopy operator exists for Q_T , Q_{T_0} , and Q_{0T} if a homotopy state is given for Q_T . For the identity-based tachyon vacuum solution[10], Q_{T_0} and Q_{0T} also have vanishing cohomology, as does Q_T [8], since a homotopy state can be constructed for the solution.

where the point $z = i$ corresponds to the midpoint of an open string. Since the vertex is inserted at the midpoint, the state V commutes with any string field A : $VA = AV$. For the open string field Ψ , an interaction term with the closed string vertex is given as a gauge invariant overlap[11]:

$$O_{\mathcal{V}}(\Psi) = \int V\Psi. \quad (2.30)$$

In the background of the N D-brane solution, using (2.4) and (2.16), we can easily find couplings of the fluctuation fields to the closed string as

$$O_{\mathcal{V}}(\psi) = O_{\mathcal{V}}(\chi) + \sum_{a=1}^N O_{\mathcal{V}}(\phi_{aa}). \quad (2.31)$$

This correctly provides a closed string interaction to open strings on the $N + 1$ D-branes.

Next, we consider the correspondence between gauge symmetries in the original action (2.1) and the expanded action (2.26). The original gauge transformation is given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\Psi = Q_{\text{B}}\Lambda + \Psi\Lambda - \Lambda\Psi. \quad (2.32)$$

Since $\Psi = \Psi_0 + \psi$, the gauge transformation for ψ is given by

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\psi = Q_{\Psi_0}\Lambda + \psi\Lambda - \Lambda\psi, \quad (2.33)$$

where we note that Λ is the same parameter as in (2.32). Here, we decompose Λ into $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\alpha}\Lambda P_{\beta}$ by the projectors. Then, changing variables as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{ab} = \Sigma_a\Lambda_{ab}\bar{\Sigma}_b, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{0a} = \lambda_a\bar{\Sigma}_a, \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{a0} = \Sigma_a\bar{\lambda}_a, \quad (2.34)$$

and writing $\tilde{\Lambda}_{00} = \lambda$, we find that

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\psi = \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \Sigma_a(\delta_{\Lambda}\phi_{ab})\bar{\Sigma}_b + \sum_{a=1}^N P_0(\delta_{\Lambda}\chi_a)\bar{\Sigma}_a + \sum_{a=1}^N \Sigma_a(\delta_{\Lambda}\bar{\chi}_a)P_0 + P_0(\delta_{\Lambda}\chi)P_0, \quad (2.35)$$

where the gauge transformations for the components are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\Lambda}\phi_{ab} &= Q_{\text{B}}\Lambda_{ab} + \phi_{ac}\Lambda_{cb} - \Lambda_{ac}\phi_{cb} + \bar{\chi}_a\lambda_b - \bar{\lambda}_a\chi_b, \\ \delta_{\Lambda}\chi_a &= P_0(Q_{\text{T}0}\lambda_a) + \chi\lambda_a + \chi_b\Lambda_{ba} - \lambda\chi_a - \lambda_b\phi_{ba}, \\ \delta_{\Lambda}\bar{\chi}_a &= (Q_{0\text{T}}\bar{\lambda}_a)P_0 + \bar{\chi}_a\lambda + \phi_{ab}\bar{\lambda}_b - \bar{\lambda}_a\chi - \Lambda_{ab}\bar{\chi}_b, \\ \delta_{\Lambda}\chi &= P_0(Q_{\text{T}}\lambda)P_0 + \chi\lambda + \chi_a\bar{\lambda}_a - \lambda\chi - \lambda_a\bar{\chi}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

3 Concluding remarks

We have shown that the theory expanded around the N D-brane solution given by Erler-Maccaferri describes an $N + 1$ D-brane system with a vanishing D-brane due to the tachyon

condensation. By projectors made of regularized bcc operators, an open string field in the original theory is divided into multi-string fields with matrix indices. Then, these indices can be regarded as Chan-Paton factors in the N D-brane background. We have found that N^2 string fields on N D-branes are embedded in a string field as block matrices. Similarly, gauge transformation parameters in the expanded theory are represented as block elements of a gauge parameter string field in the original theory.

From the matrix representation (2.19), the string fields $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ are mutually independent variables and then the degrees of freedom of $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ are equivalent to those of the string field ψ . Then, it is natural to expect that the path integral measure of the fluctuation ψ is given by the product of measures of $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$. As seen in the previous section, we can rewrite $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ as ϕ_{ab} , χ , χ_a , and $\bar{\chi}_a$ by linear transformations. Therefore, the measure of ψ is expressed by the measures of the string fields on the $N + 1$ D-branes:

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = \prod_{a=1}^N \prod_{b=1}^N \mathcal{D}\phi_{ab} \mathcal{D}\chi \prod_{a=1}^N \mathcal{D}\chi_a \prod_{a=1}^N \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi}_a. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence, the matrix interpretation of open string fields ensures that the quantum measure for the N D-brane system is correctly derived from the classical solution in the string field theory.

Finally, we should comment on the multiplicative ordering of Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$ in the projectors. As in (2.11), we have defined the projectors such that Σ_a does not operate on $\bar{\Sigma}_a$, because bcc operators break associativity, as discussed in Ref. [1]. To get a more definite result, we should separate these states by some worldsheet. This is a similar approach to that adopted in Ref. [12] to remedy the problem due to another nonassociativity. Accordingly, we need to regularize P_a by inserting some worldsheet between Σ_a and $\bar{\Sigma}_a$. In the case that ψ_T is given by the Erler-Schnabl solution, one possible choice for regularization is

$$P_a = \Sigma_a Q_T \left(\frac{B}{1+K} e^{-\epsilon K} \right) \bar{\Sigma}_a, \quad (3.2)$$

where ϵ is a positive infinitesimal parameter. It is noted that $B/(1+K)$ is a homotopy operator for Q_T and this construction is parallel to that of the regularized bcc operators from σ and $\bar{\sigma}$ [1]. It can easily be seen that $P_a P_b = \delta_{ab} P_a$ and $Q_T P_a = 0$.

In this regularization, the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ should be taken after calculating the correlation functions related to trace (or integration) of string fields. It should never be done in string fields; e.g., the state $P_a A$ keeps the parameter ϵ until correlation functions are calculated. Evidently, the state with the regularization parameter is regarded as a kind of distribution as in Ref. [13] and indeed it is outside the usual Fock space like the phantom term in Schnabl's tachyon vacuum solution [5]. We hope that, in terms of the projectors, it will be possible to obtain a deeper understanding of a space of string fields, in particular, the topology in the space beyond the single Fock space in string field theories [14].

Acknowledgements

The work of I. K. and T. T. is supported by a JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (#24340051). The work of I. K. is supported in part by a JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (#25800134).

References

- [1] T. Erler and C. Maccaferri, “String Field Theory Solution for Any Open String Background,” arXiv:1406.3021 [hep-th].
- [2] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, “M theory as a matrix model: A Conjecture,” Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5112 (1997) [hep-th/9610043].
- [3] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, “A Large N reduced model as superstring,” Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 467 (1997) [hep-th/9612115].
- [4] E. Witten, “Noncommutative Geometry and String Field Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 253 (1986).
- [5] M. Schnabl, “Analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open string field theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10**, 433 (2006) [hep-th/0511286].
- [6] T. Erler and M. Schnabl, “A Simple Analytic Solution for Tachyon Condensation,” JHEP **0910**, 066 (2009) [arXiv:0906.0979 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, “Marginal and scalar solutions in cubic open string field theory,” JHEP **0203**, 033 (2002) [hep-th/0202133].
- [8] I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, “Open string field theory around universal solutions,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **108**, 591 (2002) [hep-th/0205275].
- [9] I. Ellwood and M. Schnabl, “Proof of vanishing cohomology at the tachyon vacuum,” JHEP **0702**, 096 (2007) [hep-th/0606142].
- [10] S. Inatomi, I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, “Homotopy Operators and One-Loop Vacuum Energy at the Tachyon Vacuum,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, 1077 (2011) [arXiv:1106.5314 [hep-th]].
- [11] B. Zwiebach, “Interpolating string field theories,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7**, 1079 (1992) [hep-th/9202015].
- [12] N. Ishibashi, “Comments on Takahashi-Tanimoto’s scalar solution,” arXiv:1408.6319 [hep-th].
- [13] L. Bonora and S. Giaccari, “Generalized states in SFT,” Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 12, 2644 (2013) [arXiv:1304.2159 [hep-th]].
- [14] L. Bonora and D. D. Tolla, “Comments on lump solutions in SFT,” arXiv:1412.0936 [hep-th].