

Psi(2S) Suppression in p-Pb Collisions and Mixed Hybrid Theory

Leonard S. Kisslinger

Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

We use our mixed hybrid model for the $\Psi(2S)$ state to estimate $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression and compare to recent experiments using $p - Pb$ collisions .

PACS Numbers:12.38.Aw,13.60.Le,14.40.Lb,14.40.Nd as A is the correlator,

$$\Pi^A(x) = \langle |T[J_A(x)J_A(0)]| \rangle , \quad (1)$$

I. INTRODUCTION

The production of Charmonium and Upsilon mesons via $p - p$ collisions has been of interest for many years as a test of QCD (Quantum Chromodynamics). More than a decade ago it was shown that the relative production of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ in $p = \bar{p}$ collisions was not consistent with standard QCD models[1]. Similarly, in experiments on $\Upsilon(nS)$ production via $p - p$ collisions it was found[2, 3] that $\Upsilon(3S)$ to $\Upsilon(1S)$ production is also not consistent with standard QCD models. In a theoretical study of Charmonium and Upsilon production via $p - p$ or $p = \bar{p}$ collisions[4] it was shown that the relative probabilities of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ to $\Upsilon(1S)$ are consistent with experiment if the $\Psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ are mixed heavy hybrids, discussed below. The fact that $\Psi(2S)$ is a mixed Charmonium hybrid meson and $J/\Psi(1S)$ is a standard Charmonium meson is the basis for the present work.

Recent experiments using $d - Au$ collisions[5, 6] and $p - Pb$ collisions[7, 8] have shown a strong suppression, S_A , of $\Psi(2S)$ relative to $J/\Psi(1S)$. As stated in these articles, this suppression cannot be explained by current theoretical models[9],[10],[11],[12],[13]. In the present work we estimate S_A for both $J/\Psi(1S)$ and $\Psi(2S)$ for $p - Pb$ collisions using the mixed heavy hybrid theory, and show that the ratio of S_A for $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ is consistent with experiments. Next we briefly discuss the method of QCD Sum Rules, and how this was used to show that the $\Psi(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ are mixed heavy hybrids, defined in the next section.

II. REVIEW OF MIXED HEAVY HYBRID STATES VIA QCD SUM RULES

The starting point of the method of QCD sum rules[14] for finding the mass of the state referred to

with $| \rangle$ the vacuum state and the current $J_A(x)$ creating the states with quantum numbers A. The QCD sum rule is obtained by equating a dispersion relation of Π^A in momentum space to an operator product expansion of Π^A using QCD diagrams with quarks and gluons. For the heavy quarks charm and bottom (c and b) it has been shown that only the lowest order diagrams are needed. After taking a Borel transform[14], \mathcal{B} , in which the momentum variable is replaced by the Borel mass, M_B , the QCD sum rule has the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-M_A^2/M_B^2} + \mathcal{B} \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \frac{Im[\Pi_A(s)]}{\pi(s - q^2)} ds = \mathcal{B} \sum_k c_k^A(q) \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_k | 0 \rangle , \quad (2)$$

where M_A is the lowest mass of a state with the properties of A and the right-hand side is the Borel transform of the operator product expansion of Π^A . If there is a large gap between M_A and s_0 (the lower limit of the masses of the other states with quantum properties A), it has been shown that $J_A(x)$ does create a state with the desired properties.

The operator that produces the mixed charmonium and hybrid charmonium states is

$$J_{C-HC} = bJ_H + \sqrt{1 - b^2} J_{HH} \quad (3)$$

where J_H creates a normal Charmonium state and J_{HH} creates a hybrid Charmonium state:

$$\begin{aligned} J_H |0 \rangle &= |c\bar{c}(0) \rangle \\ J_{HH} |0 \rangle &= |[c\bar{c}(8)g](0) \rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $|c\bar{c}(0) \rangle$ is a Charmonium state with $c\bar{c}$ having color=0, while a hybrid Charmonium state $|[c\bar{c}(8)g](0) \rangle$ has $c\bar{c}(8)$ with color=8, a gluon with color=8, and the hybrid state has color=0, a physical particle. For the mixed hybrid Charmonium state produced by J_{C-HC} mass M_A of Eq(2) is called M_{C-HC} .

To find the mass M_{C-HC} one plots the value of M_{C-HC}^2 vs M_B^2 using Eq(2) with the quantities derived in Ref.[15]. The solution for M_{C-HC} is given by the minimum in the plot. Note that $M_{C-HC} \simeq M_B^2$ for a solution satisfying the method of QCD Sum Rules. This plot is shown in the figure below for (Eq(3)) $b^2 = 0.5$.




FIG. 1: Mixed Charmonium-hybrid charmonium mass $\simeq 3.65$ GeV

From this figure one sees that the minimum in $M_{C-HC}^2(M_B^2)$ corresponds to the $\Psi'(2S)$ state, with a mass[16] of 3.686 GeV. Therefore the $\Psi'(2S)$ meson is 50% normal Charmonium and 50% hybrid Charmonium, while the $J/\Psi(1S)$ is a normal Charmonium meson. The analysis for Upsilon states was similar, with the $\Upsilon(3S)$ being 50% normal Bottomonium and 50% hybrid Bottomonium, while the $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ states are standard Bottomonium mesons.

We shall use this to estimate the ratio of suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ in p-Pb collisions.

III. NUCLEAR MODIFICATION AND SUPPRESSION OF $J/\Psi(1S)$ AND $\Psi(2S)$

In this work we derive the relative suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ and compare this result to experiment. First the definition of nuclear suppression and experimental data for the relative $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression is given, and then the theoretical derivation and comparison to experiment is presented.

The mixed Charmonium hybrid theory, with the $\Psi'(2S)$ meson being 50% normal Charmonium and 50% hybrid Charmonium is directly used in calculating the relative suppression.

A. Experimental $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression

The nuclear modification for $\Phi = J/\Psi(1S)$ or $\Psi(2S)$ produced in A-B collisions is defined as[5, 7]

$$R^\Phi = \frac{dN_\Phi^{A-B}/dy}{N_{coll}dN_\Phi^{pp}/dy}, \quad (5)$$

where dN_Φ^{A-B}/dy and dN_Φ^{pp}/dy are the invariant yields of Φ in A-B and pp collisions. In this work we consider p-Pb collisions (A=p, B=Pb).

The relative suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ is defined as

$$R^{\Psi(2S)-J/\Psi(1S)} = \frac{R^{\Psi(2S)}}{R^{J/\Psi(1S)}}. \quad (6)$$

The experimental results for p-Pb collisions are shown in the figure below




FIG. 2: The relative suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ for $\sqrt{s_{pp}}=5.02$ TeV (ALICE) with rapidity $\simeq -4$ and 3; and $\sqrt{s_{pp}}=200$ GeV (PHENIX) with rapidity $\simeq 0$

The experimental results for rapidity $0 \leq y \leq 3$, as shown in the figure is

$$R^{\Psi(2S)-J/\Psi(1S)}|_{exp} \simeq 0.65 \pm 0.1 \quad (7)$$

As stated in Refs.[5–8], the observed suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ compared to $J/\Psi(1S)$ cannot be explained in standard charmonium models. As stated by J. Matthew Durham[6], “the difference in suppression is too strong to be explained by breakup effects in the nucleus...these observations raise interesting questions about the mechanism of $\Psi(2S)$ suppression when it is produced in a nuclear target.”

Recently there was an attempt to explain the $\Psi(2S)$ versus $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression using a comover interaction approach[17]. In the present work we show that the mixed hybrid theory for the $\Psi(2S)$ state, which has been successful in predicting ratios of $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ production cross sections in p-p[4] and A-A[18] collisions, can explain the mystery of the he $\Psi(2S)$ versus $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression.

B. Theoretical $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ suppression

The suppression, S_A , of charmonium states is given by the interaction with nucleons as it traverses the nucleus. For a standard charmonium meson state $|c\bar{c}\rangle$ or hybrid meson state $|c\bar{c}g\rangle$, with the $c\bar{c}$ having octet color, the equation for suppression is given by[19]

$$S_A = e^{-n_o\sigma_{\Phi N}L}, \quad (8)$$

where Φ is a $c\bar{c}$ or $c\bar{c}g$ meson, L is the length of the path of Φ in nuclear matter $\simeq 8$ to 10 fm for p-Pb collisions, with nuclear matter density $n_o = .017fm^{-3}$, and $\sigma_{\Phi N}$ is the cross section for Φ - nucleon collisions.

The cross section for standard charmonium $c\bar{c}$ meson via strong QCD interactions with nucleons is given by[19]

$$\sigma_{c\bar{c}N} = 2.4\alpha_s\pi r_{c\bar{c}}^2, \quad (9)$$

where the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s \simeq 0.118$ [16], and the charmonium meson radius $r_{c\bar{c}} \simeq \hbar/(2M_c c)$, with M_c the charm quark mass. Using $2M_c \simeq M_{J/\Psi} \simeq 3$ GeV,

$$r_{c\bar{c}} \simeq \hbar/(3GeVc) \simeq 6 \times 10^{-17}m = 0.06fm(10)$$

From Eqs(9,10)

$$\sigma_{c\bar{c}N} \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{-3}fm^2 = 3.2 \times 10^{-2}mb. \quad (11)$$

Taking $L \simeq 8-10$ fm and $n_o = .017fm^{-3}$, from Eq(11),

$$\begin{aligned} n_o\sigma_{c\bar{c}N}L &\simeq 0.0022 \\ S_A^{c\bar{c}} &= e^{-n_o\sigma_{c\bar{c}N}L} \simeq 1.0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, the cross section for hybrid charmonium $c\bar{c}g$ meson via strong QCD interactions with nucleons has been estimated in Ref[19] as $\sigma_{c\bar{c}gN} \simeq 6-7$ mb. In the present work we use

$$\sigma_{c\bar{c}gN} \simeq 6.5mb. \quad (13)$$

From this, using $L \simeq 8-10$ fm and $n_o = .017fm^{-3}$, from Eq(8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_o\sigma_{c\bar{c}gN}L &\simeq 0.88 \text{ to } 1.1 \\ S_A^{c\bar{c}g} &\simeq 0.4 \text{ to } 0.33. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Using our mixed hybrid model, with 50% $|c\bar{c}\rangle$ and 50% $|c\bar{c}g\rangle$, From Eqs(5,12,14), we find

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\Psi(2S)-J/\Psi(1S)}|_{theory} &\simeq \frac{1 + 0.4 \text{ to } 0.33}{2} \\ &= 0.7 \text{ to } 0.66. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Comparing Eqn(15) to Eqn(7), one finds that the mixed hybrid theory for the state $\Psi(2S)$ solves the mystery of the large suppression of $\Psi(2S)$ vs $J/\Psi(1S)$ in p-Pb collisions, and therefore in other A-B collisions.

The author thanks Dr. Debasish Das, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, for the suggestion to consider the mixed hybrid heavy quark theory as an alternative to the theory used in Ref[17] to explain the results in Refs[5], [6], [7], [8].

-
- [1] T. Affolder *et al*, CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2886 (2000)
- [2] V. Khachatryan *et al*, CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. **D 83**, 112004 (2011)
- [3] S. Chatrchyan *et al*, CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 081802 (2013)
- [4] L.S. Kisslinger, M.X. Liu, and P. McGaughy, Phys.Rev. **D 84**, 114020 (2011)
- [5] PHENIX Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett.**111**, 202301 (2013)
- [6] J. Matthew Durham, PHENIX Collaboration, arXiv:1408.5384/ nucl-ex (2014)
- [7] B. Abelev *et al*, ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1405.3796/nucl-ex (2014)
- [8] Roberta Arnaldi, ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:1407.7451/nucl-ex (2014)
- [9] B. Kopeliovich, I.Potashnikova, I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. **A 864**, 203 (2011)
- [10] R. Sharma and I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. **C 87**, 044905 (2013)

- [11] J. L. Albacete *et al*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E22**, 1330007 (2013)
- [12] F. Arleo and S. Peigne, JHEP **1303**, 122 (2013)
- [13] D. McGlinchey, A. D. Frawley, and R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. **C 87**, 054910 (2013)
- [14] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainstein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 385; 448 (1979)
- [15] L.S. Kisslinger, Phys. Rev.**D 79**, 114026 (2009)
- [16] J. Beringer *et al* Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D 86**, 010001 (2012)
- [17] E.G. Ferreira, arXiv:1411.0549/hep-ph (2014)
- [18] L.S. Kisslinger, M.X. Liu, and P. McGaughy, Phys.Rev. **D 89**, 024914 (2014)
- [19] D. Kharzeev, H. Satz, Phys. Lett. **B 366**, 316 (1996)