

HOMOGENEOUS AVERAGING OPERATORS ON SIMPLE FINITE CONFORMAL LIE ALGEBRAS

PAVEL KOLESNIKOV

ABSTRACT. We describe all homogeneous averaging operators on finite simple conformal Lie algebras. In the case of current algebras, these operators are closely related to rational solutions of the classical Yang—Baxter equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we show a close relation between classical Yang—Baxter equation (CYBE), conformal algebras, and averaging operators on Lie algebras.

Averaging operators initially appear in Reynolds' works in turbulence theory and later found many applications in analysis. We refer a reader to the well-written introduction of [9] for more details. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , an averaging operator is a linear map $T : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $T([T(x), y]) = [T(x), T(y)]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Conformal algebras were introduced by V. Kac [10] as “Lie analogues” of vertex algebras. Namely, the commutator of two chiral fields in 2-dimensional conformal field theory may be expressed in terms of coefficients taken from the singular part of their operator product expansion (OPE). Algebraic formalization of this expression leads to the notion of a (Lie) conformal algebra, a linear space equipped with a linear map ∂ and with a family of bilinear products $[\cdot]_{(n)} \cdot$, where n ranges over the set \mathbb{Z}_+ of non-negative integers. It is natural to define an averaging operator on a conformal algebra C as a ∂ -invariant map T satisfying $T([T(x)]_{(n)} y) = [T(x)]_{(n)} T(y)$ for all $x, y \in C$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

One of the simplest examples of a conformal algebra is the current algebra $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ over a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Other examples include Virasoro conformal algebra Vir and semi-direct product $\text{Vir} \ltimes \text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ [10].

An averaging operator on $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ may be expressed in terms of a series of linear operators $T_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ in the following way: $T(1 \otimes a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-\partial)^n / n! \otimes T_n(a)$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. This leads to a map $T_\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[\lambda]$ given by $T_\lambda(a) = T(1 \otimes a)|_{\partial=-\lambda}$, where λ is a formal variable. The map T_λ obtained satisfies

$$T_{\lambda+\mu}([T_\lambda(a), b]) = [T_\lambda(a), T_\mu(b)], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It turns out that the same equation holds for the singular part of every solution of the classical Yang—Baxter equation (CYBE) on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Therefore, every solution of CYBE on a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} determines an averaging operator on the corresponding current conformal algebra $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$.

The problem of description of all averaging operators on a conformal algebra (as well as on an ordinary algebra) seems to be hard. However, we can describe all *homogeneous* operators. An averaging operator T on current conformal algebra $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be homogeneous if the collection of coefficients of polynomials from $T_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ includes a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . These results were announced in [11]. We also describe all averaging operators on the Virasoro conformal algebra and, in the final section, all (not only homogeneous) averaging operators on $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{sl}_2$ up to conjugation with an automorphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . The latter approach may also be applied to classification of Rota—Baxter operators [2]: we present a different proof of the main technical result of [2] from this point of view.

Key words and phrases. Conformal algebra, averaging operator, Yang—Baxter equation.
Supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065).

2. AVERAGING OPERATORS

Definition 1. Suppose A is a linear algebra (not necessarily associative) over \mathbb{C} with a product $\cdot : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, and let T be a linear transformation of the space A . Then T is an *averaging operator* if

$$T(T(a) \cdot b) = T(a) \cdot T(b) = T(a \cdot T(b)) \quad (1)$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

If A is commutative or anti-commutative then it is enough to check only one of the equations in (1). Let us state here some simple examples.

Example 1. Let A be an algebra.

- If Γ is a finite group of automorphisms of A then the following transformation is an averaging operator on A :

$$T = T_\Gamma : a \mapsto \sum_{g \in \Gamma} g(a), \quad a \in A.$$

- If T is an averaging operator on A and σ is an automorphism of A then $T^\sigma = \sigma^{-1}T\sigma$ is also an averaging operator on A .
- Let T_1 and T_2 be commuting averaging operators on A . Then T_1T_2 is an averaging operator on A . In particular, a power of an averaging operator is also an averaging operator.
- A surjective averaging operator T on A belongs to the centroid of A , i.e., such T commutes with all operators of left and right multiplication in A . In particular, if A is a finite-dimensional simple algebra then a non-degenerate averaging operator T is just a scalar map, $T = \alpha \text{id}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.
- If A is a finite-dimensional classically semisimple algebra, i.e., $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, where A_i are simple algebras, then a non-degenerate averaging operator T acts on A as a direct sum of scalar maps on A_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Averaging operators also occur in the theory of Leibniz algebras, the most popular and well-studied noncommutative analogues of Lie algebras. Namely, if \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra with a product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ equipped with an averaging operator T then the same space relative to new binary operation

$$\{a, b\}_T = [T(a), b], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g},$$

satisfies the Jacobi identity $\{x, \{y, z\}_T\}_T - \{y, \{x, z\}_T\}_T = \{\{x, y\}_T, z\}_T$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_T = (\mathfrak{g}, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_T)$ is a Leibniz algebra. Note that $\text{Ker } T$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_T , and $\mathfrak{g}_T / \text{Ker } T$ is a Lie algebra. Moreover, every Leibniz algebra may be embedded into an algebra of the form \mathfrak{g}_T for an appropriate Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with an averaging operator T [8].

There is a sort of duality between averaging operators and Rota—Baxter operators. Recall that a Rota—Baxter operator of weight zero on a (non-associative, in general) algebra A is a linear map $R : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$[R(x), R(y)] = R([x, R(y)]) + R([x, R(y)]), \quad x, y \in A. \quad (2)$$

Suppose \mathfrak{B} is a variety of algebras (e.g., associative, alternative, Jordan), and $A \in \mathfrak{B}$. If T is an averaging operator on A then the same linear space A equipped with two new operations $x \vdash y = T(x)y$ and $x \dashv y = xT(y)$ is a system from the variety $\text{di-}\mathfrak{B}$ of \mathfrak{B} -dialgebras [7]. If R is a Rota—Baxter operator of weight zero on A then two new operations $x \succ y = R(x)y$, $x \prec y = xR(y)$ turn A into a system from the variety $\text{pre-}\mathfrak{B}$ of dendriform \mathfrak{B} -dialgebras [1]. A general statement for di-algebras [7] says that if \mathfrak{B} is governed by a quadratic binary operad then

$$(\text{di-}\mathfrak{B})^\dagger = \text{pre-}(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$$

where $(\cdot)^\dagger$ denotes Koszul duality of operads.

3. CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

Definition 2 ([10]). A linear space C equipped with a linear operator $\partial : C \rightarrow C$ and with a family of bilinear “products” $[\cdot]_{(n)} \cdot$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, is called a *conformal algebra* if for every $a, b \in C$ the following statements hold:

(C1) There exists $N = N(a, b)$ such that $[a]_{(n)} b = 0$ for $n \geq N$;

(C2) $[\partial a]_{(n)} b = -n[a]_{(n-1)} b$;

(C3) $[a]_{(n)} \partial b = \partial([a]_{(n)} b) + n[a]_{(n-1)} b$.

If, in addition,

$$[a]_{(n)} b = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^{n+s+1} \frac{1}{s!} \partial^s ([b]_{(n+s)} a) \quad (3)$$

and

$$[a]_{(n)} [b]_{(m)} c - [b]_{(m)} [a]_{(n)} c = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} [[a]_{(n-s)} b]_{(m+s)} c \quad (4)$$

for all $a, b, c \in C$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ then C is said to be a Lie conformal algebra (also known as *Lie vertex algebra* [6]).

The following example of a conformal algebra, though simplest possible, is essential for our needs.

Example 2. Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra. Then $C = \mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ equipped with

$$[(1 \otimes a)_{(n)} (1 \otimes b)] = \delta_{n,0} \otimes [a, b], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$$

(one may use (C2) and (C3) to expand these operations to the entire C) turns into a Lie conformal algebra denoted by $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ (current conformal algebra).

There also exists a non-trivial conformal Lie algebra of rank one.

Example 3. Consider a 1-generated free $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module $C = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v$ equipped with

$$[v]_{(n)} v = \delta_{n,0} \partial v + 2\delta_{n,1} v.$$

This is a Lie conformal algebra called *Virasoro conformal algebra* Vir .

It was established in [5] that every *finite* (i.e., finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module) simple Lie conformal algebra is isomorphic either to Vir or to $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ for a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Every conformal algebra C gives rise to *annihilation algebra* $\mathcal{A}(C)$ constructed as follows [3]. Consider the space of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ as a right $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -module assuming $f(t)\partial = -f'(t)$. Then the linear space $\mathcal{A}(C) = \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} C$ may be equipped with a bilinear product defined by

$$(t^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} a)(t^m \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} b) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} t^{n+m-s} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} [a]_{(s)} b, \quad a, b \in C.$$

This operation is well-defined and may be extended on the entire $\mathcal{A}(C)$ by continuity (with respect to t -adic topology). If C is a Lie conformal algebra then $\mathcal{A}(C)$ is a Lie algebra. The converse is true for torsion-free conformal algebras, see [3, Section 11.3].

Suppose C is a finite Lie conformal algebra, $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -linear map such that

$$T([T(a)]_{(n)} b) = [T(a)]_{(n)} T(b), \quad a, b \in C, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (5)$$

Let us call such a map by an *averaging operator* on the conformal algebra C .

The map $C \mapsto \mathcal{A}(C)$ is a functor from the category of conformal Lie algebras to the category of topological differential Lie algebras. In particular, it is easy to see that if T is an averaging operator on C then $\mathcal{A}(T) : t^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} a \mapsto t^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\partial]} T(a)$ is an averaging operator on $\mathcal{A}(C)$. Such an operator necessarily commutes with the derivation $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ and continuous with respect to t -adic topology. Conversely, every ∂_t -invariant continuous averaging operators on $\mathcal{A}(C)$ gives rise to an averaging operator on the conformal algebra C via the reconstruction functor [3].

The following statements may be easily shown by means of either direct routine computation, or categorical formalism [3], or using annihilation algebras. Suppose C is a Lie conformal algebra and T is an averaging operator on C . Then the same module C equipped with new family of operations $\{a \underset{(n)}{b}\}_T = [T(a) \underset{(n)}{b}]$ is a conformal algebra C_T satisfying (4), i.e., it may be naturally called a *conformal Leibniz algebra*. As in the case of ordinary Leibniz algebras, it is easy to see that $C_T / \text{Ker } T$ is a Lie conformal algebra.

4. SINGULAR PART OF CYBE SOLUTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Suppose X is a $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ -valued function of a complex variable u which is meromorphic at $u = 0$, i.e., $X(u)$ is presented by a Laurent series in a neighborhood $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ of the origin. As usual, if $X = \sum_i x'_i \otimes x''_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ then X^{12} stands for $\sum_i x'_i \otimes x''_i \otimes 1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3}$, and the same convention determines X^{13}, X^{23} .

The classical Yang—Baxter equation (CYBE) is the functional equation

$$[X^{12}(u), X^{13}(u+v)] + [X^{12}(u), X^{23}(v)] + [X^{13}(u+v), X^{23}(v)] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Solutions of CYBE are of great interest for pure algebra since they are related to quantizations of Lie bialgebras, see, e.g., [12] and references therein. Constant solutions of CYBE and its generalizations are related with Rota—Baxter operators and their generalizations [2]. A. Belavin and V. Drinfeld [4] classified non-degenerate solutions of CYBE.

If \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple algebra then its Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate, and one may identify $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ by the natural rule

$$a \otimes b \mapsto \varphi_{a \otimes b}, \quad \varphi_{a \otimes b}(x) = \langle a, x \rangle b,$$

for $a, b, x \in \mathfrak{g}$. For example, the Casimir tensor $\Omega \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to the identity map. It is easy to see that $\varphi_{b \otimes a} = \varphi_{a \otimes b}^*$, where $*$ stands for the conjugation in $\text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ relative to the Killing form.

Therefore, a meromorphic tensor-valued function X corresponds to a meromorphic operator-valued function $P_u = \varphi_{X(u)}$, $u \in \mathcal{D}$. Straightforward computation shows that (6) is equivalent to the following operator equation:

$$P_{u+v}([x, P_u^*(y)]) - P_v([P_u(x), y]) + [P_{u+v}(x), P_v(y)] = 0 \quad (7)$$

for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, if $P_u = R$ is a skew-symmetric constant then R satisfies (2), i.e., R is a Rota—Baxter operator of weight zero.

Example 4. Suppose T is an averaging operator on a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} such that $T([T^*(x), y]) - [T(x), y] = 0$ for every $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$P_u(a) = \frac{1}{u} T(a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g},$$

is a solution of the operator CYBE (7).

For example, T may be symmetric relative to the Killing form.

It was established in [4] for a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} that if P_u is a non-degenerate solution of CYBE (that is, $\det P_u \neq 0$ at some point $u \in \mathcal{D}$) then the singular part of P_u is of the form $\frac{\lambda \text{id}}{u}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

In general, a solution of (7) may have a more complicated singular part, which may be presented by its generating function—a polynomial in a formal variable λ :

$$T_\lambda = \text{Res}_{u=0} P_u \exp(\lambda u) \in (\text{End } \mathfrak{g})[[\lambda]]. \quad (8)$$

Indeed, if

$$P_u = \frac{1}{u^{N+1}} T_N + \cdots + \frac{1}{u} T_0 + \dots, \quad T_k \in \text{End } \mathfrak{g},$$

then $T_\lambda = \lambda^{(N)} T_N + \cdots + T_0$, where $\lambda^{(n)}$ stands for $\lambda^n / n!$.

Let us deduce an equation on T_λ .

Theorem 1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra and let P_u be a meromorphic solution of CYBE (7). Then T_λ given by (8) satisfies

$$T_{\lambda+\mu}([T_\lambda(x), y]) = [T_\lambda(x), T_\mu(y)] \in \mathfrak{g}[\lambda, \mu] \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Let us multiply (7) by $\exp((\lambda + \mu)v) \exp(\lambda u)$ and integrate the expression obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{|u|=r} \oint_{|v|=d} \exp((\lambda + \mu)v) \exp(\lambda u) (P_v([P_u(x), y]) - P_{u+v}([x, P_u^*(y)])) dv du \\ = \oint_{|u|=r} \oint_{|v|=d} \exp(\lambda(u + v)) \exp(\mu v) [P_{u+v}(x), P_v(y)] dv du \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where $d < r$ and d is small enough for the entire circle $|u| \leq 2r$ to lie in \mathcal{D} . The first summand of the left-hand side of (10) obviously provides $T_{\lambda+\mu}([T_\lambda(x), y])$, the second one is equal to zero since for every fixed u , $|u| = r$, $P_{u+v}([x, P_u^*(y)])$ considered as a function on v has no poles in $|v| \leq d < r$. The right-hand side of (10) can be calculated by substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{|u|=r} \oint_{|v|=d} \exp((\lambda + \mu)v) \exp(\lambda u) [P_{u+v}(x), P_v(y)] dv du \\ = \oint_{|v|=d} \oint_{|u|=r} [\exp(\lambda(u + v)) P_{u+v}(x), \exp(\mu v) P_v(y)] du dv \\ = \oint_{|v|=d} \oint_{\substack{w=v+u \\ |u|=r}} [\exp(\lambda w) P_w(x), \exp(\mu v) P_v(y)] dw dv, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $[T_\lambda(x), T_\mu(y)]$ since $w = 0$ is the only singular point in the interior of the curve $w = v + u$, $|u| = r$. Therefore, (9) holds. \square

Definition 3. Let us call an operator $T_\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[\lambda]$ satisfying (9) by *conformal averaging operator* on \mathfrak{g} .

The explanation of the term “conformal” comes from the following observation. Suppose $C = \text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$ is the current conformal algebra over \mathfrak{g} , and let T_λ be a conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} . It turns out that T_λ is a form of an averaging operator on the conformal algebra $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 1. Assume T_λ is a conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} ,

$$T_\lambda(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^{(n)} T_n(a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where T_n are linear transformations of \mathfrak{g} . Consider $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -linear map $T : \text{Cur } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$, given by

$$T(1 \otimes a) = T_{-\partial}(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-\partial)^{(n)} \otimes T_n(a).$$

Then T satisfies (5).

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \simeq 1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Compare coefficients at $\lambda^{(n)} \mu^{(m)}$ in (9):

$$[T_n(x), T_m(y)] = \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{n}{t} T_{m+t}([T_{n-t}(x), y]). \quad (11)$$

Conversely (replace μ with $\mu - \lambda$),

$$T_m([T_n(x), y]) = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} [T_{n-s}(x), T_{m+s}(y)]. \quad (12)$$

It remains to calculate left- and right-hand sides of the desired relation in $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T([T(x) {}_{(n)} y]) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-\partial)^{(m)} \otimes T_m([T_n(x), y]), \\ [T(x) {}_{(n)} T(y)] &= \sum_{k, s \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} [T_k(x) {}_{(n-k)} (-\partial)^{(s)} T_s(y)] \\ &= \sum_{k+s=n} (-1)^s \binom{n}{k} [T_k(x), T_s(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, every solution of CYBE (7) gives rise to a conformal averaging operator T_λ on \mathfrak{g} and thus induces a Leibniz conformal algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[\partial] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ which is $(\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g})_T$. Then $(\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g})_T / \text{Ker } T$ is a Lie conformal algebra. The purpose of the next section is to describe such Lie conformal algebras.

5. DESCRIPTION OF HOMOGENEOUS CONFORMAL AVERAGING OPERATORS

Let T_λ be a conformal averaging operator on a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For a subspace B of \mathfrak{g} denote by $T_*(B)$ the linear span of $T_\alpha(b)$, $b \in B$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that if B satisfies the condition $[T_*(B), B] \subseteq B$ then $T_*(B)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} by (11). In particular, $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$, $T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$ are subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . Let us call T_λ *non-degenerate* if $T_*(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$. If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} then T_λ is called *homogeneous*.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra. Then every non-degenerate conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} is just an ordinary averaging operator.*

Proof. Suppose $T_\lambda = T_0 + \lambda T_1 + \dots + \lambda^{(N)} T_N$. It follows from (11) that $T_N(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$, moreover, if $N > 0$ then this ideal is abelian. Hence, $N = 0$, and $T_\lambda(a) = T_0(a)$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, where T_0 is a non-degenerate averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} . All such operators are given by Example 1. □

Lemma 2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus Z$, where \mathfrak{g}_0 is semisimple, Z is the center of \mathfrak{g} . Suppose T is a conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq T_*(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $T_*(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \mathfrak{g}_0$, $T_*(Z) \subseteq Z$.*

As a corollary, T_λ is a non-degenerate conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g}_0 described by Lemma 1. Thus, an ordinary averaging operator on \mathfrak{g}_0 and an arbitrary map $Z \rightarrow Z[\lambda]$, define a conformal averaging operator T_λ on \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. Relation (11) implies that $T_m(z)$ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ for $z \in Z$. Hence, $T_*(Z) \subseteq Z$, and the induced map $\bar{T}_\lambda : \mathfrak{g}/Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/Z[\lambda]$ is a non-degenerate conformal averaging operator on $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}/Z$. By Lemma 1 $\bar{T}_\lambda = T_0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$. Therefore,

$$T_\lambda(a) = T_0(a) + \zeta_\lambda(a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

where $\zeta_\lambda : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow Z[\lambda]$.

It follows from (9) that $T_\mu([T_0(a), b]) = [T_0(a), T_\mu(b)]$, so $\zeta_\mu([T_0(a), b]) = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Hence, $\zeta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}_0) = 0$. □

If \mathfrak{g} is finite-dimensional then T_λ is defined by a finite family of linear operators $T_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $n = 0, \dots, N$, as in Proposition 1.

Consider the following chain of subspaces in \mathfrak{g} :

$$T_*(\mathfrak{g}) = T_{(0)}(\mathfrak{g}) \supseteq T_{(1)}(\mathfrak{g}) \supseteq \dots \supseteq T_{(N)}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where

$$T_{(n)}(\mathfrak{g}) = T_n(\mathfrak{g}) + \dots + T_N(\mathfrak{g}), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Suppose $T_{(N+1)}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$. Relations (11) imply that $T_{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, for every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ the induced map $\bar{T}_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_*(\mathfrak{g})/T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\bar{T}_n(a) = T_n(a) + T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homomorphism of $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules:

$$[T_k(x), T_n(a)] - T_n([T_k(x), a]) \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad x, a \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (13)$$

Theorem 2. *Let T_λ be a homogeneous conformal averaging operator on a semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $T_*(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is a reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

Proof. Recall that T_λ is homogeneous if $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Suppose $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the root system of \mathfrak{g} , and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{C}x_\alpha$$

is the root decomposition of \mathfrak{g} relative to \mathfrak{h} . Then $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homogeneous subalgebra relative to this root grading. Denote

$$\Delta' = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid x_\alpha \in T_*(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

To prove reductivity of $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ it is enough to show that Δ' is symmetric. If $\alpha \in \Delta'$ implies $-\alpha \in \Delta'$ then Δ' satisfies all necessary axioms of a root system, and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta'\}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \text{Span}\{h_\alpha = [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] \mid \alpha \in \Delta'\}$. Finally, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp$ relative to the Killing form, and $[\mathfrak{h}_0^\perp, x_\alpha] = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta'$. Hence, $T_*(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp$ is a reductive Lie algebra.

Let us show symmetry of Δ' . Assume $x_\alpha \in T_*(\mathfrak{g})$. Choose the maximal $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ such that $x_\alpha \in T_{(n)}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$x_\alpha = T_n(y) + b, \quad y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad b \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that (13) implies

$$T_n(x_\gamma) \in \xi_{n,\gamma}x_\gamma + T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

for $\xi_{n,\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \in \Delta$, and $T_n(h) \in \mathfrak{h} + T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ since T_λ is homogeneous. Suppose $y = h + \zeta_\alpha x_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \zeta_\beta x_\beta$ is the root decomposition of y . Then $T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}) \ni x_\alpha - T_n(y) = (1 - \xi_{n,\alpha}\zeta_\alpha)x_\alpha - T_n(h) - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}} \xi_{n,\beta}\zeta_\beta x_\beta$. Since $T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homogeneous subalgebra relative to the root grading and $x_\alpha \notin T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $1 - \xi_{n,\alpha}\zeta_\alpha = 0$. Thus, $\xi_{n,\alpha} \neq 0$.

Assume $\xi_{n,-\alpha} = 0$:

$$T_n(x_{-\alpha}) \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then $[x_\alpha, T_n(x_{-\alpha})] \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$. On the other hand, (13) implies

$$[x_\alpha, T_n(x_{-\alpha})] - T_n([x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

and thus $T_n(h_\alpha) = T_n([x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$. However,

$$[x_\alpha, T_n(h_\alpha)] - T_n([x_\alpha, h_\alpha]) \in T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$$

provides contradiction to $x_\alpha \notin T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$ since $[h_\alpha, x_\alpha] = (\alpha, \alpha)x_\alpha \neq 0$.

Therefore, $T_n(x_{-\alpha}) \in \xi_{n,-\alpha}x_{-\alpha} + T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\xi_{n,-\alpha} \neq 0$, and thus $x_{-\alpha} \in T_*(\mathfrak{g})$.

We have shown that if $x_\alpha \in T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ then there exists n such that $T_n(x_\alpha) = \xi_{n,\alpha}x_\alpha + T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$, $x_\alpha \notin T_{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, every such x_α belongs to $T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$. This implies $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$. \square

Theorem 2 allows us to find explicit description of homogeneous conformal averaging operators on a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (i.e., on $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}$).

Corollary 1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, $\mathfrak{g} = T_*(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \text{Ker } T_\lambda$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, T_λ is a conformal averaging operator on the reductive Lie algebra $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$: there exists root subsystem $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ such that $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by \mathfrak{h} and x_α , $\alpha \in \Delta'$. Moreover, $T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$ contains all x_α for $\alpha \in \Delta'$, so the semisimple factor \mathfrak{g}_0 of $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$ lies in $T_*(T_*(\mathfrak{g}))$. Lemma 2 describes how T_λ acts on $T_*(\mathfrak{g})$, in particular, $T_*(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$.

It remains to show that $T_\lambda(x_\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta'$. Assume there exists such a root β that $T_\lambda(x_\beta) \neq 0$, $x_\beta \notin T_*(\mathfrak{g})$. Let us choose maximal $n \geq 0$ such that $T_n(x_\beta) \neq 0$. Then (13) and $T_k(h) \in \mathfrak{h}$ (for all $k \geq 0$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$) imply $[h, T_n(x_\beta)] = \beta(h)T_n(x_\beta)$, i.e., $T_n(x_\beta) \in \mathbb{C}x_\beta$. As $x_\beta \notin T_*(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $T_n(x_\beta) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Finally, we may describe all homogeneous conformal averaging operators on a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and root system Δ as follows.

For a root subsystem Δ' of Δ ,

$$T_\lambda(x_\alpha) = \xi_\alpha x_\alpha, \quad T_\lambda(h_\alpha) = \xi_\alpha h_\alpha,$$

where $\alpha \in \Delta'$, $h_\alpha = [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]$. Note that $\xi_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ are nonzero constants that depend on the decomposition of the semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 generated by x_α , $\alpha \in \Delta'$, into simple summands. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0^\perp = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(h) = 0, \alpha \in \Delta'\}$ is invariant with respect to T_λ , and there are no restrictions on linear functions $T_n|_{\mathfrak{h}_0^\perp}$ ($0 \leq n \leq N$). Other root spaces $\mathbb{C}x_\beta$, $\beta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta'$, belong to the kernel of T_λ .

Straightforward computation shows

$$[\mathfrak{h}_0^\perp, T_*(\mathfrak{g})] = 0, \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp] \subseteq \text{Ker } T_\lambda \quad (14)$$

since $[x_\alpha, h] = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta'$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp$.

Therefore, we have

Corollary 2. *For a homogeneous conformal averaging operator T_λ on \mathfrak{g} the induced Lie conformal algebra structure $(\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g})_T / \text{Ker } T$ is given by split null extension $(\text{Cur } \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus (\text{Cur } \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp)$.*

It is worth mentioning that, in general, a conformal averaging operator T_λ may not be a singular part of a solution of CYBE. However, it turns out that all homogeneous conformal averaging operators actually come from some meromorphic solutions of CYBE.

Corollary 3. *Let T_λ be a homogeneous conformal averaging operator on a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then the operator-valued meromorphic function $P : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ given by*

$$P_u(a) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{u^{n+1}} T_n(a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad u \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

is a solution of the classical Yang—Baxter equation (7).

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$T_\lambda^* = \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda^{(n)} T_n^*,$$

where T_n^* is the conjugate of T_n relative to the Killing form, is also a homogeneous conformal averaging operator with the same root subsystem Δ' as T_λ . Indeed, the algebra \mathfrak{g} splits into a direct sum of subspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus K \oplus Z$, where $K = \text{Ker } T_\lambda$, $Z = \mathfrak{h}_0^\perp$. These subspaces are pairwise orthogonal relative to the Killing form, hence, T_λ^* is also a homogeneous conformal averaging operator, and $\text{Ker } T_\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus K} = \text{Ker } T_\lambda^*|_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus K}$. Therefore, it is enough to check whether (7) holds if either of x or y belong to Z .

If $x \in Z$ then $P_u(x) \in Z$ for every $u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and thus all three summands of (7) are equal to zero due to (14). Finally, for $y \in Z$ equation (7) holds by the same reason. \square

6. AVERAGING OPERATORS ON SOME CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we describe all (not necessarily homogeneous) averaging operators on the Virasoro conformal algebra Vir and on $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{sl}_2$. The last case includes, in particular, all averaging operators on \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Proposition 2. *Let T be an averaging operator on the Virasoro conformal algebra $\text{Vir} = \mathbb{C}[\partial]v$. Then $T(v) = \alpha v$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The useful notion of a λ -product [5] allows us to consider one generating series in a formal variable λ instead of n -products in conformal algebras. Namely, $[v_\lambda v] = [v_{(0)} v] + \lambda[v_{(1)} v] = (\partial + 2\lambda)v$. Suppose $T(v) = f(\partial)v$, then

$$T([T(v)_\lambda v]) = [T(v)_\lambda T(v)]$$

implies

$$T(f(-\lambda)(\partial + 2\lambda)v) = f(-\lambda)f(\partial + \lambda)(\partial + 2\lambda)v.$$

If $f(\partial) \neq 0$ then $f(\partial) = f(\partial + \lambda)$, i.e., f is a constant polynomial. \square

Let us classify all conformal averaging operators T_λ on \mathfrak{sl}_2 , they correspond to averaging operators on the conformal algebra $\text{Cur } \mathfrak{sl}_2$. It is natural to perform the classification up to conjugation with an automorphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 (see Example 1).

Note that if T_λ is a conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{g} and σ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} then $T_\lambda^\sigma = \sigma T_\lambda \sigma^{-1}$ is also a conformal averaging operator, and

$$T_\lambda^\sigma(\mathfrak{g}) = \sigma(T_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Let f, e, h be the standard basis of \mathfrak{sl}_2 : $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$. For every nonzero $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ there exists an automorphism σ such that either $\sigma(x) = \alpha h$ or $\sigma(x) = \alpha e$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, by the Jordan Normal Form Theorem applied to the 2-dimensional irreducible representation.

Proposition 3. *Let T_λ be a conformal averaging operator on \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Then, up to an automorphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , T_λ is one of the following:*

- (1) $T_\lambda = \alpha \text{id}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$;
- (2) $T_\lambda(e) = T_\lambda(f) = 0$, $T_\lambda(h) = \varphi(\lambda)h$;
- (3) $T_\lambda(e) = T_\lambda(h) = 0$, $T_\lambda(f) = \varphi(\lambda)e$,

where $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is an arbitrary nonzero polynomial.

Proof. Denote $L = T_*(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. If $\dim L = 3$ then T_λ is non-degenerate. By Lemma 1 $T_\lambda(x) = \alpha x$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Consider the case $\dim L = 1$. Up to an automorphism, we may assume either $L = \mathbb{C}h$ or $L = \mathbb{C}e$.

In the first case, T_λ is homogeneous, therefore, its structure is found in the previous section: $\Delta' = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{h}_0^\perp = \mathbb{C}h$, and thus we have $T_\lambda(e) = T_\lambda(f) = 0$, $T_\lambda(h) = \varphi(\lambda)h$.

In the second case, $[T_\lambda(x), T_\mu(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Therefore, $T_\lambda([e, \mathfrak{sl}_2]) = \{0\}$, i.e., $e, h \in \text{Ker } T_\lambda$. Hence, $T_\lambda(f) = \varphi(\lambda)e$ for some $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$.

Finally, assume $\dim L = 2$. Such an operator may not be homogeneous, but it is easy to see that there are no 2-dimensional subalgebras in \mathfrak{sl}_2 that do not contain a Cartan subalgebra. Indeed, suppose $e \in L$ (up to automorphism), and the second linear generator of L is $x = \alpha f + \beta h$. Then $[e, x] \in L$ implies $h \in L$, which is impossible. \square

Note that the same approach (classification of operators up to conjugation with an automorphism of \mathfrak{g}) works well for Rota—Baxter operators, i.e., linear maps $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (2). In particular, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ the analogue of the proof of Proposition 3 provides an easy description of Rota—Baxter operators of weight zero.

Proposition 4 (c.f. [13]). *Up to conjugation with an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and scalar multiple, a Rota—Baxter operator of weight zero on \mathfrak{g} is one of the following:*

- (R1) $R = 0$;
- (R2) $R(e) = 0$, $R(f) = te - h$, $R(h) = 2e$ ($t \in \mathbb{C}^*$);
- (R3) $R(e) = 0$, $R(f) = 2te + h$, $R(h) = 2e + \frac{1}{t}h$ ($t \in \mathbb{C}^*$);
- (R4) $R(h) = h$, $R(e) = R(f) = 0$;
- (R5) $R(f) = h$, $R(e) = R(h) = 0$;
- (R6) $R(f) = e$, $R(e) = R(h) = 0$.

This description seems more compact than the one found in [13].

Proof. Indeed, suppose R is a Rota—Baxter operator of weight zero on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, then $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\text{Ker } R$ is a module over $R(\mathfrak{g})$. Nontrivial cases are: $\dim \text{Ker } R = 1, 2$.

If $\dim \text{Ker } R = 1$ then $\text{Ker } R \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$: otherwise, $\mathfrak{g} = R(\mathfrak{g}) + \text{Ker } R$ which implies $\text{Ker } R$ to be an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Up to conjugation with an automorphism, $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}h$ or $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}e$ (Jordan normal form of a matrix).

If $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}h \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$ then $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is a 2-dimensional normalizer of the Cartan subalgebra, which is impossible.

If $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}e$ then $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}e + \mathbb{C}h$ since it acts on $\text{Ker } R$. In this case, the operator R is given in general by

$$R(e) = 0, \quad R(f) = \alpha e + \beta h, \quad R(h) = \gamma e + \delta h,$$

where the Rota—Baxter relation (2) holds if and only if

$$\gamma\delta - 2\delta\beta = 0, \quad \gamma^2 - 4\alpha\delta = -2\gamma\beta.$$

If $\delta = 0$ then, up to a scalar multiple, we have operator (R2). Analogously, if $\delta \neq 0$ then we obtain (R3) [$\text{Ker } R$ is 2-dimensional in the latter case].

Finally, suppose $\dim \text{Ker } R = 2$. Then $\dim R(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ and, up to conjugation with an automorphism, $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}h$ or $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}e$.

If $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}h$ then $\text{Ker } R$ is a homogeneous subspace of \mathfrak{g} , so either $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}h + \mathbb{C}e$ (up to an involution) or $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}f + \mathbb{C}e$. These cases provide (R5) or (R4), respectively.

If $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}e$ then $\text{Ker } R = \mathbb{C}h + \mathbb{C}e$ is the only option for a 2-dimensional e -invariant subspace. Thus in this case we have (R6).

□

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo, and X. Ni. Splitting of operations, Manin products, and Rota—Baxter operators. *Int. Math. Res. Notes*, 3:485–524, 2013.
- [2] C. Bai, X. Ni, and L. Guo. Generalizations of the classical Yang—Baxter equation and O-operators. *J. Math. Phys.*, 52:063515, 2011. DOI:10.1063/1.3600538.
- [3] B. Bakalov, A. D’Andrea, and V. G. Kac. Theory of finite pseudoalgebras. *Adv. Math.*, 162(1):1–140, 2001.
- [4] A. A. Belavin and V. G. Drinfeld. The classical Yang—Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 17(3):69–70, 1983.
- [5] A. D’Andrea and V. G. Kac. Structure theory of finite conformal algebras. *Sel. Math., New Ser.*, 4:377–418, 1998.
- [6] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. AMS, Providence, RI, second edition, 2004.
- [7] V. Gubarev and P. Kolesnikov. Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota—Baxter algebras. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(2):226–245, 2013.
- [8] V. Gubarev and P. Kolesnikov. Operads of decorated trees and their duals. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 55(4):421–445, 2014.
- [9] L. Guo and J. Pei. Averaging algebras, Schröder numbers and rooted trees. *J. Algebraic Combinatorics*, 2014. DOI:10.1007/s10801-014-0574-x.
- [10] V. G. Kac. *Vertex algebras for beginners*, volume 10 of *University Lecture Series*. AMS, Providence, RI, second edition, 1996.
- [11] P. S. Kolesnikov. Homogeneous averaging operators on semisimple Lie algebras. *Algebra and Logic*, 53(6):510–511, 2015.
- [12] F. Montaner, A. Stolin, and E. Zelmanov. Classification of Lie bialgebras over current algebras. *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 16:935–962, 2010.
- [13] J. Pei, C. Bai, and L. Guo. Rota-baxter operators on $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ and solutions of the classical Yang—Baxter equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55:021701, 2014.

Email address: pavel.sk@math.nsc.ru

NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AKAD. KOPTYUG AVE., 4, 630090 NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA