

Spinors, matrix structures, and projective geometry in polarization optics

Elena Ovsiyuk*, Olga Veko†, Mircea Neagu‡,
Vladimir Balan§, Victor Red'kov¶

Abstract

The paper discusses the role played by Mueller and Jones formalisms in polarization optics, by addressing the following aspects: restriction to the $SU(2)$ symmetry, non-relativistic Stokes 3-vectors; Cartan 2-spinors in polarization optics; Jones 4-spinors for partially polarized light; the linear group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$ and the classification of 1-parametric Mueller matrices; semi-group structure and classification of degenerate Mueller matrices.

MSC2010: 15A66, 78A25, 35Q60, 78A99, 81V10.

Key-words: spinors; Mueller formalism; Jones formalism; Stokes 3-vectors; Cartan 2-spinors; polarization optics; symmetry.

General introduction

The goal of the paper is to discuss the role played by Mueller (matrix) and Jones (spinor) formalisms in polarization optics, by addressing the following essential aspects:

- polarization of the light and Mueller formalism;
- polarized light and Jones formalism, restriction to the $SU(2)$ symmetry, and two types of non-relativistic Stokes 3-vectors;
- Cartan 2-spinors in polarization optics: two kinds of Jones complex 2-vectors;
- on possible Jones 4-spinors for partially polarized light;
- the linear group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$ and the classification of 1-parametric Mueller matrices;
- classification of degenerate Mueller matrices with semi-group structure, and associated projective transformations.

1 Polarization of the light and the Mueller formalism

To elucidate in which way mathematical theory of rotation and Lorentz groups [2] may be applied to problems of polarization optics [7], and also which problems from this field await to be solved, we proceed with basic definitions concerning the light polarization.

Consider a plane electromagnetic wave spreading along the axis z ; then, at an arbitrary fixed point z , we have

$$E^1 = N \cos \omega t, \quad E^2 = M \cos(\omega t + \Delta), \quad E^3 = 0, \quad N \geq 0, \quad M \geq 0, \quad \Delta \in [-\pi, +\pi],$$

*Mosyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus, e.ovsiyuk@mail.ru

†Kalinkovich Gymnasium, Belarus, vekoolga@mail.ru

‡Transilvania University of Brasov, mircea.neagu@unitbv.ro

§University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania, vladimir.balan@upb.ro

¶B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, NAS of Belarus, redkov@dragon.bas-net.by

and the Stokes parameters $(S_a) = (I, S^1, S^2, S^3)$ are determined by

$$I = \langle E_1^2 + E_2^2 \rangle, \quad S^3 = \langle E_1^2 - E_2^2 \rangle, \quad S^1 = \langle 2E_1E_2 \cos \Delta \rangle, \quad S^2 = \langle 2E_1E_2 \sin \Delta \rangle;$$

where $M(t), N(t)$ are amplitudes of two electric components, $\Delta(t)$ is a phase shift and the symbol $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for averaging in time.

If the amplitudes $N(t), M(t)$ and the phase shift $\Delta(t)$ do not substantially depend on time (or at all, as in the case of completely polarized light), during the measuring process the Stokes parameters equal to

$$S_{pol}^0 = I_{pol} = N^2 + M^2, \quad S_{pol}^3 = N^2 - M^2, \quad S_{pol}^1 = 2NM \cos \Delta, \quad S_{pol}^2 = 2NM \sin \Delta,$$

and the following identity holds

$$S_a S^a = I_{pol}^2 - \vec{S}_{pol}^2 = 0,$$

that is, $\vec{S} = I_{pol} \vec{n}$. In other words, for completely polarized light, the Stokes 4-vector is isotropic. For the natural (non-polarized) light, the Stokes parameters are trivial

$$S_{nat}^a = (I_{nat}, 0, 0, 0).$$

When summing two non-coherent light waves, their Stokes parameters behave in accordance with the following linear law: $I_{(1)} + I_{(2)}, \vec{S}_{(1)} + \vec{S}_{(2)}$. In particular, partially polarized light can be obtained as linear sum of natural and completely polarized light:

$$S_{nat}^a = (I_{nat}, 0, 0, 0), \quad S_{pol}^a = (I_{pol}, I_{pol} \vec{n}), \quad S^a = (I_{nat} + I_{pol}) \left(1, \frac{I_{pol}}{I_{nat} + I_{pol}} \vec{n} \right).$$

We further denote

$$I = I_{nat} + I_{pol}, \quad p = \frac{I_{pol}}{I_{nat} + I_{pol}},$$

and then, for the Stokes vector of the partially polarized light we have

$$S^a = (I, I p \vec{n}), \quad S_a S^a = I^2(1 - p^2) \geq 0,$$

where $I > 0$ is the general intensity, p is the degree of polarization (which runs within the $[0, 1]$ interval: $0 \leq p \leq 1$), and \vec{n} stands for any unit 3-vector. Due to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_a S^a &= I_{pol}^2 - \vec{S}_{pol}^2 = 0 && \text{for completely polarized light;} \\ S_a S^a &= I^2(1 - p^2) \geq 0 && \text{for partially polarized light,} \end{aligned}$$

the behavior of Stokes 4-vectors for completely and partially polarized light under acting optic devices may be sometimes considered as isomorphic to the behavior of respectively the isotropic and the time-like vectors with respect to Lorentz group of Special Relativity:

$$\begin{aligned} S_a S^a &= \text{inv} = 0 && \text{completely polarized light;} \\ S_a S^a &= \text{inv} \geq 0 && \text{partially polarized light.} \end{aligned}$$

This simple observation leads to many consequences, of which some will be discussed below.

2 Polarized light and Jones formalism, restriction to the $SU(2)$ -symmetry, and two sorts of non-relativistic Stokes 3-vectors

Let us consider now the polarization Jones formalism and its connection with spinors for rotation and Lorentz groups [2]. It is convenient to start with a relativistic 2-spinor Ψ , representation of the special linear group $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, covering for the Lorentz group L_+^\uparrow :

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi' = B(k)\Psi, \quad B(k) \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}), \\ B(k) &= k_0 + k_j \sigma^j, \quad \det B = k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

From the spinor Ψ one may construct a 2-rank spinor $\Psi \otimes \Psi^*$, which in turn can be resolved in terms of Pauli matrices (we need two sets: $\sigma^a = (I, \sigma^j)$ and $\bar{\sigma}^a = (I, -\sigma^j)$):

$$\Psi \otimes \Psi^* = \frac{1}{2} (S_a \bar{\sigma}^a) = \frac{1}{2} (S_0 - S_j \sigma^j) .$$

The spinor nature of Ψ generates a corresponding (Lorentz) transformation law for S_a :

$$S'_a \bar{\sigma}^a = S_a B(k) \bar{\sigma}^a B^+(k) ,$$

which - with the use of the well-known relation in the theory of the Lorentz group [2] - can be written:

$$\begin{aligned} B(k) \bar{\sigma}^a B^+(k) = \bar{\sigma}^b L_b^a &\implies S'_b = L_b^a S_a , \\ L_b^a(k, k^*) = \bar{\delta}_b^c [-\delta_c^a k^n k_n^* + k_c k^{a*} + k_c^* k^a + i \epsilon_c^{anm} k_n k_m^*] , \\ \bar{\delta}_b^c = \begin{cases} +1, & c = b = 0 ; \\ -1, & c = b = 1, 2, 3 . \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the spinor transformation $B(k)$ for the spinor Ψ generates the linear transformation $L_b^a(k, k^*)$ over Stokes vectors, which preserves the (relativistic) length. We note that opposed by sign spinor matrices $\pm B$, lead to the same matrix L .

If we restrict ourselves to the case of the $SU(2)$ group [2], we get¹

$$L(\pm n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - 2(n_2^2 + n_3^2) & -2n_0 n_3 + 2n_1 n_2 & 2n_0 n_2 + 2n_1 n_3 \\ 0 & 2n_0 n_3 + 2n_1 n_2 & 1 - 2(n_1^2 + n_3^2) & -2n_0 n_1 + 2n_2 n_3 \\ 0 & -2n_0 n_2 + 2n_1 n_3 & 2n_0 n_1 + 2n_2 n_3 & 1 - 2(n_1^2 + n_2^2) \end{pmatrix} .$$

We introduce now a special parametrization for the Jones spinor Ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \begin{pmatrix} N e^{i\alpha} \\ M e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix}, & \Psi \otimes \Psi^* &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} S^0 + S^3 & S^1 - iS^2 \\ S^1 + iS^2 & S^0 - S^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ S^1 &= 2NM \cos(\beta - \alpha), & S^2 &= 2NM \sin(\beta - \alpha), \\ S^3 &= N^2 - M^2, & S^0 &= N^2 + M^2 = +\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2}; \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the above definition for the case of completely polarized light

$$\begin{aligned} S^0 &= N^2 + M^2 = +\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2}, & S^3 &= N^2 - M^2, \\ S^1 &= 2NM \cos \Delta, & S^2 &= 2NM \sin \Delta . \end{aligned}$$

However, there exist two ways to construct a 3-vector in terms of 2-spinors:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi \otimes \Psi^*) &= r + x_j \sigma^j, & r &= +\sqrt{x_j x_j}, & x_j &- \text{pseudovector}; \\ (\Psi' \otimes \Psi') &= (y_j + i x_j) \sigma^j \sigma^2, & y_j, x_j &- \text{vectors}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, the first variant provides us with a possibility to build a spinor model for the pseudo-vector 3-space, whereas the second variant leads to a spinor model of a proper vector 3-space². Correspondingly, there are possible two Jones spinors: $\Psi \iff S_j$, $\Psi' \iff S_j$. The Jones-like formulas for Stokes 3-vectors, in both cases, look as follows:

- (traditional) $\Psi(\mathbf{S})$

$$S^1 = \sqrt{\frac{NM}{2}} \cos \Delta, \quad S^2 = \sqrt{\frac{NM}{2}} \sin \Delta, \quad S^3 = N^2 - M^2;$$

- (alternative) $\Psi'(\mathbf{S})$

$$\begin{aligned} S^1 &= \sqrt{2} |M'^2 - N'^2| \cos \Delta, \\ S^2 &= \sqrt{2} |M'^2 - N'^2| \sin \Delta, \quad S^3 = \pm \sqrt{N'M'} . \end{aligned}$$

¹We assume here $k_0 = n_0$, $\vec{k} = i\vec{n}$.

²According to Cartan, a discret spinor reflection is given by the (2×2) -matrix iI .

3 Spinor representation of Stokes 4-vectors and 2-rank tensors for completely polarized light

A bi-spinor of second rank $U = \Psi \otimes \Psi$ can be resolved into scalar Φ , a vector Φ_b , a pseudoscalar $\tilde{\Phi}$, a pseudovector $\tilde{\Phi}_b$, and a skew-symmetric tensor Φ_{ab} , as follows

$$U = \Psi \otimes \Psi = \left[-i \Phi + \gamma^b \Phi_b + i \sigma^{ab} \Phi_{ab} + \gamma^5 \tilde{\Phi} + i \gamma^b \gamma^5 \tilde{\Phi}_b \right] E^{-1}, E = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma^a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{\sigma}^a \\ \sigma^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & +I \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}^a \sigma^b - \bar{\sigma}^b \sigma^a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^a \bar{\sigma}^b - \sigma^b \bar{\sigma}^a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse relations are

$$\Phi_a = \frac{1}{4} \text{Sp} [E \gamma_a U], \quad \tilde{\Phi}_a = \frac{1}{4i} \text{Sp} [E \gamma^5 \gamma_a U],$$

$$\Phi = \frac{i}{4} \text{Sp} [EU], \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{4} \text{Sp} [E \gamma^5 U], \quad \Phi_{mn} = -\frac{1}{2i} \text{Sp} [E \sigma_{mn} U].$$

The explicit expressions for tensors obtained from spinors are

$$\Phi_0 = \xi^1 \eta_2 - \xi^2 \eta_1, \quad \Phi_1 = \xi^1 \eta_1 - \xi^2 \eta_2,$$

$$\Phi_2 = i (\xi^1 \eta_1 + \xi^2 \eta_2), \quad \Phi_3 = - (\xi^1 \eta_2 + \xi^2 \eta_1),$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_3 = 0, \quad \Phi = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi} = 0,$$

and

$$\Phi^{01} = \frac{i}{4} [(\xi^1 \xi^1 - \xi^2 \xi^2) + (\eta_1 \eta_1 - \eta_2 \eta_2)],$$

$$\Phi^{23} = \frac{1}{4} [(\xi^1 \xi^1 - \xi^2 \xi^2) - (\eta_1 \eta_1 - \eta_2 \eta_2)],$$

$$\Phi^{02} = -\frac{1}{4} [(\xi^1 \xi^1 + \xi^2 \xi^2) + (\eta_1 \eta_1 + \eta_2 \eta_2)],$$

$$\Phi^{31} = -\frac{1}{4i} [(\xi^1 \xi^1 + \xi^2 \xi^2) - (\eta_1 \eta_1 + \eta_2 \eta_2)],$$

$$\Phi^{03} = -\frac{i}{2} [\xi^1 \xi^2 + \eta_1 \eta_2], \quad \Phi^{12} = -\frac{1}{2} [\xi^1 \xi^2 - \eta_1 \eta_2].$$

By collecting the results, we infer:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^\alpha \\ \eta_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \Psi \otimes \Psi \implies \Phi = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi} = 0, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_a = 0, \quad \Phi_a \neq 0, \quad \Phi_{mn} \neq 0.$$

In order to obtain the vector and the tensor both real, one should impose additional restrictions:

$$\eta = -i \sigma^2 \xi^* \implies \eta_1 = -\xi^{2*}, \quad \eta_2 = +\xi^{1*},$$

which results in

$$\Phi_0 = (\xi^1 \xi^{1*} + \xi^2 \xi^{2*}) > 0, \quad \Phi_3 = -(\xi^1 \xi^{1*} - \xi^2 \xi^{2*}),$$

$$\Phi_1 = -(\xi^1 \xi^{2*} + \xi^2 \xi^{1*}), \quad \Phi_2 = -i (\xi^1 \xi^{2*} - \xi^2 \xi^{1*});$$

$$\Phi^{01} = \frac{i}{4} [(\xi^1 \xi^1 - \xi^2 \xi^2) + (\xi^{2*} \xi^{2*} - \xi^{1*} \xi^{1*})], \text{ and so on.}$$

The last case seems to be the most appropriate to describe Stokes 4-vectors and to determine the Stokes 2-rank tensor. The main invariant turns to equal to zero, since:

$$S_0 S_0 - S_j S_j = 0,$$

and hence S_a may be considered as a Stokes 4-vector for completely polarized light.

In turn, the 4-tensor S_{mn} , being constructed from Jones bi-spinor Ψ , is a Stokes 2-rank tensor. We further calculate the two invariants for S_{mn} :

$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2} S^{mn} S_{mn} = 0, \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abmn} S^{ab} S^{mn} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Instead of the Stokes 4-tensor S_{ab} , one may introduce a complex 3-vector,

$$\begin{aligned} s^1 &= S^{01} + iS^{23}, \quad s^2 = S^{02} + iS^{31}, \quad s^3 = S^{03} = iS^{12}, \\ s_1 + is_2 &= -i \xi^2 \xi^2, \quad s_1 - is_2 = +i \xi^1 \xi^1, \quad s^3 - i \xi^1 \xi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally to Jones spinor and Mueller vector formalisms, the later considerations allow to introduce one other technique, which is based on the use of complex 3-vectors, under the complex rotation group $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$: This complex vector is isotropic, $\mathbf{s}^2 = 0$.

4 The Jones 4-spinor for partially polarized light

Now let us examine one more possibility of combining two spinors:

$$\Psi \otimes (-i\Psi^c) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \xi^2 \\ \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} +\eta_2^* \\ -\eta_1^* \\ -\xi^{2*} \\ +\xi^{1*} \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the notation

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 e^{im_1} \\ N_2 e^{im_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 e^{im_1} \\ M_2 e^{im_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

we can prove that the corresponding 4-vector is time-like:

$$(N_1 M_1 - N_2 M_2)^2 < \Phi_0^2 - \vec{\Phi}^2 < (N_1 M_1 + N_2 M_2)^2.$$

This means that we have ground to consider the 4-vector Φ_a as a Stokes 4-vector S_a . Therefore, the 4-spinor is of Jones type and corresponds to partially polarized light.

It remains to explicitly find the form for the corresponding (real) Stokes 4-tensor S_{ab} ; its description with the help of complex 3-vectors looks most simple:

$$s^1 = \frac{i}{2}(\xi^1 \eta_2^* + \xi^2 \eta_1^*), \quad s^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi^1 \eta_2^* - \xi^2 \eta_1^*), \quad s^3 = -\frac{i}{2}(\xi^2 \eta_2^* - \xi^1 \eta_1^*);$$

this complex 3-vector is not isotropic,

$$\mathbf{s}^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(\xi^1 \eta_1^* - \xi^2 \eta_2^*)^2 \neq 0.$$

One more last remark should be added: the results of Sections 1–4 can be of use not only in polarization optics, but also they may be of interest to describe Maxwell theory in spinor approach, when instead of variables A_n, F_{mn} one introduces one fundamental electromagnetic bi-spinor $\Psi = (\xi, \eta)$. As well, these results can have a meaning in the context of explicitly constructing relativistic models for space-time with spinor structure.

5 The linear group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$ and the classification of 1-parametric Mueller matrices

The main goal of this section is to develop a systematic method of identifying and classifying the Mueller matrices within the family of matrices of the real group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$. We note that to construct the general transformation of the group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$ is straightforward, but to analyze the adequacy of such a transformation for describing Mueller matrices is a highly nontrivial (practically impossible) task. However, using the technique of Dirac matrices, we can, quite easily explicitly describe all the 16 one-parametric subgroups, from which, using all the possible emerging products, one can produce the whole group $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$. For these distinct 1-parametric subgroups, the question of their adequacy of being Mueller matrices becomes

sufficiently simple, and thus we obtain in each case a definite answer. In particular, diagonal subgroups are trivially simple and will not be further discussed as subcase of valid Mueller solutions. Any Mueller matrix of general type, $M_{ab}S_a = S'_a$, must obey the following restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &\geq 0, & S^2 &\equiv S_0^2 - S_1^2 - S_2^2 - S_3^2 \geq 0, \\ S'_0 &\geq 0, & S'^2 &\equiv S'^2_0 - S'^2_1 - S'^2_2 - S'^2_3 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

or, in more detailed form,

$$\begin{aligned} &M_{00}S_0 + M_{01}S_1 + M_{02}S_2 + M_{03}S_3 \geq 0, \\ &(M_{00}S_0 + M_{01}S_1 + M_{02}S_2 + M_{03}S_3)^2 \\ &-(M_{10}S_0 + M_{11}S_1 + M_{12}S_2 + M_{13}S_3)^2 \\ &-(M_{20}S_0 + M_{21}S_1 + M_{22}S_2 + M_{23}S_3)^2 \\ &-(M_{30}S_0 + M_{31}S_1 + M_{32}S_2 + M_{33}S_3)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall further use the following notation:

$$S_0 = I, S_j = Ip_j, p_1 = a, p_2 = b, p_3 = c.$$

For describing the change of the degree of polarization, one can use the quantity D :

$$(a'^2 + b'^2 + c'^2) - (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) = D.$$

Now we are ready to specify the 12 non-diagonal 1-parametric subgroups in $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$.

Variants (1):

$$M = U_1^\alpha(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

where the restrictions (in the variables $\tan \phi = x$) look like

$$a \sin \phi + \cos \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-a^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2}2a - b^2 - c^2 \geq 0,$$

and where the solution depends on the initial Stokes vector and is much simplified in the case of completely polarized light: $x \in [x_1, x_2]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{2a - \sqrt{4a^2 + (1-p^2)(b^2 + c^2 + 1 - a^2)}}{b^2 + c^2 + 1 - a^2}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{2a + \sqrt{4a^2 + (1-p^2)(b^2 + c^2 + 1 - a^2)}}{b^2 + c^2 + 1 - a^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The possible values of the parameter D lead to subcases:

$$\begin{aligned} D < 0, & \implies 0 < \tan \phi < \frac{2a}{1-a^2} \text{ (decreasing)}, \\ D > 0, & \implies \tan \phi > \frac{2a}{1-a^2} \text{ (increasing)}, \\ D = 0 & \implies \tan \phi = \frac{2a}{1-a^2} \text{ (non-changing)}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that this result is typical for all six one-parametric subgroups (**Variants 1–6**) in the following sense: the appropriateness of the elementary matrix M to be of Mueller type depends on the parameters of the matrix and on the characteristics of the initial light beam. Hence, when combining more complex Mueller matrices by multiplying elementary 1-parametric ones, we must check each next step of the chain

$$(\dots M_n M_{n-1} \dots M_2 M_1) S = S'.$$

Variant (2):

$$M = U_2^\alpha(-\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & \sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & 0 & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The restrictions are the following

$$\cos \phi + b \sin \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-b^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2} 2b - a^2 - c^2 \geq 0,$$

and they differ from the previous ones only by notation.

Variant (3):

$$M = U_3^\alpha(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & 0 & \sin \phi \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\cos \phi + c \sin \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-c^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2} 2c - a^2 - b^2 \geq 0.$$

Variant (4):

$$M = U_1^\beta(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\cos \phi + a \sin \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-a^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2} 2a - b^2 - c^2 \geq 0.$$

Variant (5):

$$M = U_2^\beta(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & \sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & 0 & -\sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \phi & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\cos \phi - b \sin \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-b^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2} 2b - a^2 - c^2 \geq 0.$$

Variant (6):

$$M = U_3^\beta(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & 0 & \sin \phi \\ 0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\cos \phi + c \sin \phi \geq 0, \quad \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}(1-c^2) + \frac{2x}{1+x^2} 2c - a^2 - b^2 \geq 0.$$

Next, we will consider six one-parametric subgroups constructed with the use of hyperbolic functions.

Variant (7):

$$U_2^A(-i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & 0 & 0 & \sinh \beta \\ 0 & \cosh \beta & -\sinh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sinh \beta & \cosh \beta & 0 \\ \sinh \beta & 0 & 0 & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

for which we note that the restriction $\cosh \beta S_0 + \sinh \beta S_3 \geq 0$ is valid for arbitrary β .

The quadratic inequality in the variables a, b, c and $y = \text{th } \beta, y \in (-1, +1)$, takes the form

$$-y^2(a^2 + b^2 + 1 - c^2) + 4aby + (1 - a^2 - b^2 - c^2) \geq 0,$$

with the solution

$$y \in [y_1, y_2],$$

$$y_1 = \frac{2ab - \sqrt{4a^2b^2 + (1-p^2)(a^2+b^2+1-c^2)}}{a^2+b^2+1-c^2} < 0,$$

$$y_2 = \frac{2ab + \sqrt{4a^2b^2 + (1-p^2)(a^2+b^2+1-c^2)}}{a^2+b^2+1-c^2} > 0.$$

The results depend on the initial light. For completely polarized light, the formulas become much simpler. The degree of polarization changes according to the rules

$$D = \frac{(a-by)^2 + (b-ay)^2 + (c+y)^2}{(1+cy)^2} - a^2 - b^2 - c^2.$$

This result is typical again for these six cases in the sense described above.

Variant (8):

$$U_3^A(i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & 0 & -\sinh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \beta & 0 & -\sinh \beta \\ -\sinh \beta & 0 & \cosh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sinh \beta & 0 & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix};$$

Variant (9):

$$U_1^B(i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & 0 & 0 & -\sinh \beta \\ 0 & \cosh \beta & -\sinh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sinh \beta & \cosh \beta & 0 \\ -\sinh \beta & 0 & 0 & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Variant (10):

$$U_3^B(i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & \sinh \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \beta & \cosh \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh \beta & -\sinh \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\sinh \beta & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Variant (11):

$$U_1^C(i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & 0 & \sinh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \beta & 0 & -\sinh \beta \\ \sinh \beta & 0 & \cosh \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sinh \beta & 0 & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Variant (12):

$$U_2^C(-i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \beta & -\sinh \beta & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \beta & \cosh \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cosh \beta & -\sinh \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\sinh \beta & \cosh \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The appropriateness of the elementary matrix M to be of Mueller type depends on the parameters of the matrix and on the characteristics of the initial light beam. While producing a more complex Mueller matrix by multiplying elementary 1-parametric Mueller matrices, we must check each next step in the chain

$$(\dots M_n M_{n-1} \dots M_2 M_1) S = S'.$$

6 The semi-group structure and classification of degenerate Mueller matrices; projective geometry

Preliminary remarks. The Mueller transformation formulas $p_j \implies p'_j$ can be presented as a law of a

projective (15-parametric) group:

$$\begin{aligned} p'_1 &= \frac{m_{10} + m_{11}p_1 + m_{12}p_2 + m_{13}p_3}{1 + m_{01}p_1 + m_{02}p_2 + m_{03}p_3}, \\ p'_2 &= \frac{m_{20} + m_{21}p_1 + m_{22}p_2 + m_{23}p_3}{1 + m_{01}p_1 + m_{02}p_2 + m_{03}p_3}, \\ p'_3 &= \frac{m_{30} + m_{31}p_1 + m_{32}p_2 + m_{33}p_3}{1 + m_{01}p_1 + m_{02}p_2 + m_{03}p_3}; \end{aligned}$$

with the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + m_{01}p_1 + m_{02}p_2 + m_{03}p_3 &> 0, \\ p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 &\leq 1, \quad p_1'^2 + p_2'^2 + p_3'^2 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to a spinor basis, any 4×4 matrix can be constructed by means of four 4-dimensional objects (vectors) (k, m, l, n) , as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_0 + \mathbf{k} \vec{\sigma} & n_0 + \mathbf{n} \vec{\sigma} \\ l_0 + \mathbf{l} \vec{\sigma} & m_0 + \mathbf{m} \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K & N \\ L & M \end{pmatrix};$$

where we use the notation $k = (k_0, k_j)$ and so on. The symbol $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_j)$ stands for the three 2×2 Pauli matrices. The four 2×2 blocks are denoted as K, M, L, N .

In order to have matrices with real elements, it is necessary to require that the components which have the index 2, to be imaginary:

$$k_2 \rightarrow ik_2, \quad m_2 \rightarrow im_2, \quad n_2 \rightarrow in_2, \quad l_2 \rightarrow il_2,$$

leaving real the other components of the parameters.

By imposing linear constraints on the four 4-dimensional vectors, and by requiring that the group law for multiplication is valid for these parameters [1, 6], we can obtain a large variety of simple subsets of matrices [4, 5]. All of them have a definite mathematical structure: either of sub-group or of semi-group. A large part of these subsets consist of degenerate matrices. Otherwise speaking, one might obtain in this manner a large number of semigroups of 4-th order matrices (more than 40 – see [4, 5]). However, the question of adequacy of such simple subsets of matrices for describing Mueller transformations has not been addressed until now. The purpose of this section is to perform such an analysis.

Below we shall present only a few typical examples of these sets.

One single independent vector (k_0, \mathbf{k}) . We shall examine the case when the independent 4-dimensional vector is (k_0, \mathbf{k}) :

$$\mathbf{n} = A \mathbf{k}, \quad n_0 = \alpha k_0, \quad \mathbf{m} = B \mathbf{k}, \quad m_0 = \beta k_0, \quad \mathbf{l} = D \mathbf{k}, \quad l_0 = t k_0.$$

In this case, by imposing the requirement of satisfying the axioms of group law provides 7 distinct solutions: K1–K7 (see [4, 5]), as described below.

Variant K1:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 + k_3 & k_1 + k_2 & 0 & 0 \\ k_1 - k_2 & k_0 - k_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 & 0 \\ d & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

where all the (4×4) -matrices are degenerate. The rank of such a matrix is either 2 or 1 (while in the last case one should require $\det K = ab - cd = 0$). Transformations are of Mueller type only if

$$a + cx > 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad (cx + a)^2 - (bx + d)^2 \geq 0.$$

The projective transformation has the form

$$x' = \frac{d + bx}{a + cx}, \quad y' = y, \quad z' = z,$$

which leads to two systems of inequations

$$\begin{aligned} I \quad & a + cx > 0, \quad (c - b)x + a - d \geq 0, \quad (c + b)x + a + d \geq 0; \\ II \quad & a + cx > 0, \quad (c - b)x + a - d \leq 0, \quad (c + b)x + a + d \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where system II has no solutions.

An important point concerns the appropriateness of these matrices to be of Mueller type. This depends on the properties of the initial light beam. The roots of the above quadratic equation are

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{(ac - bd) \mp (ab - cd)}{b^2 - c^2}.$$

If the coefficient $(c^2 - b^2)$ at x^2 is negative, then the solution of the inequation has the form

$$x \in [x_1, x_2].$$

If this coefficient is positive, then the solution is of the form

$$x \in (-\infty; x_1] \cup [x_2, +\infty).$$

It makes sense to impose the requirement $\det K = ab - cd = +1^3$. Then the formulas for the roots simplify to

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{\mp 1 + (ac - bd)}{b^2 - c^2}.$$

Moreover, we can separately tract the case of matrices of rank 1; to this aim we need to impose the condition

$$ab - cd = 0 \quad \implies \quad d = \frac{ab}{c},$$

which leads to a very special projective transformation

$$x' = \frac{d + bx}{a + cx} = \frac{ab/c + bx}{a + cx} = \frac{b}{c} = \frac{d}{a} = \mu, \quad |\mu| \leq 1.$$

For this case, the requirements for being Mueller type matrices are

$$a + cx > 0, \quad 1 - \frac{b}{c} \geq 0, \quad 1 + \frac{b}{c} \geq 0,$$

where the last two inequalities are equivalent to $|\mu| \leq 1$.

Variant K2:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 + k_3 & k_1 + k_2 & 0 & 0 \\ k_1 - k_2 & k_0 - k_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_0 + k_3 & k_1 + k_2 \\ 0 & 0 & k_1 - k_2 & k_0 - k_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 & 0 \\ d & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & c \\ 0 & 0 & d & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

This set consists of non-degenerate matrices. By imposing the conditions $\det K = 0$, we get a semi-group of rank 1. The corresponding projective transformation is given by:

$$x' = \frac{d + bx}{a + cx}, \quad y' = \frac{ay + cz}{a + cx}, \quad z' = \frac{ay + bz}{a + cx}.$$

While limiting ourselves to degenerate matrices of rank 1 ($ab - cd = 0$), we get a simpler projective transformation

$$x' = \frac{b}{c}, \quad y' = \frac{ay + cz}{a + cx}, \quad z' = \frac{b}{c} \frac{ay + cz}{a + cx} = \frac{b}{c} y'.$$

³This happens due to the fact that the norming by the determinant can be always considered, by using a factor applied to the matrix K

For Mueller transformations, the following conditions should be fulfilled

$$\begin{cases} a + cx > 0, & x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1, \\ (c^2 - b^2)x^2 + 2(ac - bd)x - (a^2 + d^2)y^2 - (c^2 + b^2)z^2 - 2(ac + bd)yz + a^2 - d^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We notice that the obtained quadratic inequalities can be considerably simplified if we limit ourselves to matrices of rank 1:

$$\begin{cases} a + cx > 0, & x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1, \\ \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{c^2}\right)(cx + a)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{c^2}\right)(ay + cz)^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We must assume that $b^2 < c^2$, and consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{c^2}}(cx + a) - \sqrt{1 + \frac{b^2}{c^2}}(cz + ay) &\geq 0, \\ \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{c^2}}(cx + a) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{b^2}{c^2}}(cz + ay) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall examine several more such special particular cases:

$$\begin{aligned} x = +1, y = 0, z = 0, & \quad a + c > 0, (a + c)^2 \geq (b + d)^2; \\ x = -1, y = 0, z = 0, & \quad a - c > 0, (a - c)^2 \geq (b - d)^2; \\ x = 0, y = +1, z = 0, & \quad a > 0, d = 0; \\ x = 0, y = -1, z = 0, & \quad a > 0, d = 0; \\ x = 0, y = 0, z = +1, & \quad a > 0, a^2 \geq b^2 + c^2 + d^2; \\ x = 0, y = 0, z = -1, & \quad a > 0, a^2 \geq b^2 + c^2 + d^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case we get the quadratic inequality

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 &\leq 1, \quad a + cx > 0, \\ (a + cx)^2 - (d + bx)^2 - (ay + cz)^2 - (dy + bz)^2 &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This quadratic form can be diagonalized (we omit the details of this procedure). Let us express the fundamental constraint $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1$ in terms of new variables X, Y, Z . We get

$$\left(X - \frac{ac - bd}{c^2 - b^2}\right)^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \leq 1.$$

The linear inequality $a + cx > 0$ gets the form

$$cX - \frac{b \det K}{c^2 - b^2} > 0.$$

We see, that the task of description of all Mueller matrices of this type is solvable, and it is a quite definite problem in the frames of a particular projective group.

Variant K3

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ DK & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G'G = \begin{pmatrix} K'K & 0 \\ DK'K & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

here D is an arbitrary numeric parameter. This set of matrices is a set of degenerate matrices of rank 2 with the structure of a semi-group. We start with

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 & 0 \\ d & b & 0 & 0 \\ Da & Dc & 0 & 0 \\ Dd & Db & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

then the corresponding projective transformation looks like:

$$x' = \frac{d+bx}{a+cx}, \quad y' = D, \quad z' = D \frac{d+bx}{a+cx} = Dx'.$$

By limiting ourselves to the semi-group of rank 1, the projective transformation becomes simpler:

$$\det K = 0, \quad x' = \frac{b}{c} = \frac{d}{a}, \quad y' = D, \quad z' = Dx'.$$

The restrictions for having Mueller matrices are

$$\begin{aligned} a+cx &> 0, & Ax^2 + 2Bx + C &\geq 0, \\ A &= (1-D^2)c^2 - (1+D^2)b^2, \\ B &= (1-D^2)ac - (1+D^2)bd, \\ C &= (1-D^2)a^2 - (1+D^2)d^2. \end{aligned}$$

The roots of this quadratic equation are

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{-bd(1+D^2) + ac(1-D^2) \pm \sqrt{(ab-cd)^2(1-D^4)}}{b^2(1+D^2) - c^2(1-D^2)}.$$

If $A > 0$ (positive) then $x \in [x_1, x_2]$, and if $A < 0$, then $x \in (-\infty; x_1] \cup [x_2, +\infty)$. The requirement of having real roots $x_{1,2}$ leads to $D^2 \leq 1$. In particular, if $D^2 = 1$, the inequalities from above get the form

$$\begin{cases} -(1+1)(bx+d)^2 \geq 0 & \implies x = -\frac{d}{b}. \\ a+cx > 0 & \implies a - \frac{d}{b}c > 0. \end{cases}$$

In the case of zero determinant $\det K = 0$, we get

$$a+cx > 0, \quad \left[(1-D^2) - (1+D^2)\frac{b^2}{c^2} \right] (a+cx)^2 \geq 0.$$

We note that the Mueller matrix identifying task involves many details, which are physically interpretable within polarization optics, and at the same time are relevant in terms of properties of special projective transformations. There exist yet about 40 special cases of matrices (mainly with semi-group structure – see [4, 5]) which provide special projective transformations and can describe sets of Mueller matrices.

Acknowledgment

The present work was developed under the auspices of Grant 1196/2012 - BRFFR-RA No. F12RA-002, within the cooperation framework between Romanian Academy and Belarusian Republican Foundation for Fundamental Research.

The authors wish to thank to the organizers of the joint event *Colloquium on Differential Geometry*, and *The IX-th International Conference on Finsler Extensions of Relativity Theory (FERT 2013)*, held between 26 – 30 August 2013 in Debrecen, Hungary, for their warm hospitality. Also, V. Red'kov, O. Veko and V. Balan are thankful to Prof. D. Pavlov for the support provided for the participation in the event *FERT 2013*.

References

- [1] A.A. Bogush, V.M. Red'kov, *On unique parametrization of the linear group $GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ and its subgroups by using the Dirac algebra basis*, NPCS **11**, 1 (2008), 1–24.

- [2] F.B. Fedorov, *The Lorentz Group*, Moscow 1979.
- [3] E.M. Ovsyuk, V.M. Red'kov, *Degenerate 4-dimensional matrices with semi-group structure and polarization optics*, XLVIII All-Russia conference on problems in Particle Physics, Plasma Physics, Condensed Matter, and Optoelectronics; Russia, Moscow, 15-18 May 2012, Vestnik RUDN, Ser. Mathematics, Informatics and Physics **1**, 1 (2013), 245–259.
- [4] E.M. Ovsyuk, V.M. Red'kov, *Is there possible Finsler geometrization of the polarization optics?*, Report to VIII-th International Conference Finsler Extensions of Relativity Theory (FERT-2012) June 25th-July 1st 2012 Moscow-Fryazino, Russia, Hypercomplex Numbers in Geometry and Physics **9**, 1 (17), (2012), 106–161.
- [5] E.M. Ovsyuk, O.V. Veko, V.M. Red'kov, *Mueller's semi-groups of the rank 1 and 2*, Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics, **2** (11) (2012), 34–40.
- [6] V.M. Red'kov, A.A. Bogush, N.G. Tokarevskaya, *Parametrization of the linear $GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ and unitary $SU(4)$ groups in terms of Dirac matrices*, SIGMA. **4** (2008), paper 021 (46 pp.).
- [7] V.M. Red'kov, *Lorentz group and polarization of the light*, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, **21** (2011), 203–220.

Elena Ovsyuk, Olga Veko
 Mozyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus.

Mircea Neagu
 University Transilvania of Braşov, Romania.

Vladimir Balan
 University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania.

Victor Red'kov
 B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, NAS of Belarus.