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#### Abstract

We introduce double-stranded Kronig-Penney model and analyze its transport properties. The asymmetric fluxes between two strands with suddenly alternating localization patterns are found as the energy is varied. The zero-size limit of the internal lines connecting two strands is examined using quantum graph vertices with four edges. We also consider a two-dimensional Kronig-Penney lattice with two types of alternating layers with $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ connections, and show that the existence of energy bands in which the quantum flux can flow only in selected directions.
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## 1. Introduction

The investigation of wave propagation through periodic networks [1, 2] has been motivated by problems of free-electron motion on organic molecules and quantum wires. More complicated graph structures found their application also in other areas such as quantum chaos, photonic crystals, dynamical systems and nanotechnologies [3]. Mathematically, quantum graphs arise when one considers a propagation of waves through quasi-one-dimensional systems in the limit cases. The study of periodic quantum graphs with non-conventional graph vertices has brought a new vista to the problem by revealing exotic particle dynamics, which was not found in models with commonly used $\delta$-potential type vertices [4, 5].

In this paper, we follow that lead and consider double-stranded periodic quantum graphs in the form of chained rings, with non-conventional four-way junction nodes. The model can be regarded as the two-lane extension of celebrated Kronig-Penney model, which is a prototype of solvable system possessing the band spectra. We shall show that, with the proper tuning of parameters, the system exhibits the energy bands in which quantum fluxes show the asymmetry between two strands both in terms of relative strengths and direction. Moreover, the mode of asymmetry goes through sudden change when the particle energy is varied and the system moves from one band to another. The pattern of change of the flux asymmetry is shown to be quite various depending on the choice of system parameters.

[^0]In order to check that the flux asymmetry is not the particular feature of the specific model of chained ring, we also consider ladder type double-stranded model with two straight strands connected by periodic internal lines. For this model we employ three-way graph vertex of $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ type couplings. We confirm that the flux asymmetry that varies from one band to the other is, observed in this type of model also.

It is essential that we are able to approximate Kronig-Penney lattices with non-conventional junctions in terms of physically realizable vertex couplings. As is well known, the $\delta$-potential vertex can be realised as the short-range limit any regular potential with scaled potential strength that keeps its volume integral constant. It has been recently found that the vertices with most general singular couplings can be approximated by auxiliary graphs, carrying only $\delta$ potentials and magnetic field [6]. We explicitly construct finite ladders with only $\delta$ couplings, thus presenting the experimentally constructible double-stranded periodic quantum graph with two outer strands and many internal lines, that show exotic quantum flux asymmetry and alternation.

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2, double-stranded Kronig-Penney model in the form of chained rings is presented, and its characteristics of flux asymmetry is shown. In section 3, an alternate model extended Kronig-Penney model in the form of ladder is analyzed. In section 4, the natural extension of asymmetric quantum ladder to the two-dimensional rectangular lattice with alternating $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ layers is considered. The method to realize both mathematical models with conventional $\delta$ coupling vertex is detailed in section 5 . The last section, section 6 , is for the conclusion.

## 2. Double-stranded chain with singular vertices

We start our investigation of periodic lattice structures containing non-conventional vertex couplings with the simplest case, i.e., the double-stranded Kronig-Penney model with quantum graph vertices (Fig. 11. We examine the energy eigenstates of a quantum particle residing on


Figure 1: Rings of double-stranded Kronig-Penney lattice connected by nodes with singular interactions.
this structure. Due to the Bloch theorem, it is sufficient to consider a single unit segment of the lattice $x_{1} \in[0, L)$ and $x_{2} \in[0, L)$ as shown in Fig. 2] The wave function is given in the form


Figure 2: Elementary cell of the lattice and quasi-periodicity of wave function.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} e^{i k x_{1}}+\beta_{1} e^{-i k x_{1}} \\
\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2} e^{i k x_{2}}+\beta_{2} e^{-i k x_{2}}  \tag{1}\\
2
\end{gather*}
$$

and from quasi-periodicity condition we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L_{1}} \psi_{1}\left(L_{-}\right), & \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(L_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L} \psi_{2}\left(L_{-}\right), & \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L} \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(L_{-}\right) \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

which yield the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{1}+e^{-i k L} \beta_{1}\right), & \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=i k e^{-i q L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{1}-e^{-i k L} \beta_{1}\right), \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{2}+e^{-i k L} \beta_{2}\right), & \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=i k e^{-i q L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{2}-e^{-i k L} \beta_{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

The most general connection condition at the graph vertex $x=0$ is given, in terms of the vectors

$$
\Psi=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\psi_{1}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right), \Psi^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
-\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \Psi^{\prime}+A \Psi=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are complex $4 \times 4$ matrices and satisfy the requirements

- $\operatorname{rank}(A \mid B)=4$,
- the matrix $A B^{*}$ is self-adjoint,
where $(A \mid B)$ is a $4 \times 8$ matrix formed from columns of $A$ and $B$. The choice of matrices $A$ and $B$ is not unique as the equation (5) can be multiplied from the left by arbitrary non-degenerate matrix. One of the convenient ways of representing connection conditions (5) is transforming it into the $S T$-form [6], which is the block form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I^{(m)} & T  \tag{7}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S & 0 \\
-T^{*} & I^{(4-m)}
\end{array}\right) \Psi
$$

where $m \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. Here, $I^{(m)}$ is the identity matrix $m \times m, T$ is a complex matrix of size $m \times(4-m)$ and $S$ is a Hermitian matrix of order $r$. Since the wave functions at $x=0_{+}$are given in terms of coefficients $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{+}\right)=\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}, & \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right)=i k\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{+}\right)=\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}, & \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right)=i k\left(\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

the quasi-periodicity conditions of wave function (3) can be rewritten in terms of four-vector

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1}  \tag{9}\\
\beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\beta_{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=e^{-i q L} F V, \quad \Psi^{\prime}=i k e^{-i q L} G V \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $4 \times 4$ matrices $F$ and $G$ are defined by

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e^{i k L} & e^{-i k L} & 0 & 0  \tag{11}\\
e^{i q L} & e^{i q L} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{i k L} & e^{-i k L} \\
0 & 0 & e^{i q L} & e^{i q L}
\end{array}\right), \quad G=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-e^{i k L} & e^{-i k L} & 0 & 0 \\
e^{i q L} & -e^{i q L} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -e^{i k L} & e^{-i k L} \\
0 & 0 & e^{i q L} & -e^{i q L}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The equation specifying the connection condition $A \Psi+B \Psi^{\prime}=0$ now reads $(A F+i k B G) V=0$, and a nontrivial solution can be obtained only with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}[A F+i k B G]=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the whole family of all possible vertex couplings is too large to handle, we limit our choice to a subfamily of $m=2$ in the form

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{13}\\
a & b
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & c d \\
c d & c d^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For such boundary conditions we obtain an explicit solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos q L=\frac{R \pm \sqrt{R^{2}+2 a b k S}}{4 a b k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=(a-b)^{2} k \cos k L+c\left[\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)(d-1)^{2}+d^{2}+1\right] \sin k L \\
& S=\left(2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}-1\right) k+\left(2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}+1\right) k \cos 2 k L-c\left[\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)(d-1)^{2}+d^{2}+1\right] \sin 2 k L \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that there are two sets of bands, which may overlap, each corresponding to plus and minus of the composite signs. For each band, we calculate the quantum flux of particle going through top and bottom strands, which are obtained from the formula $J_{j}=\frac{\hbar}{2 m i}\left(\psi_{j}^{*} \psi_{j}^{\prime}-\psi_{j}^{\prime *} \psi_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$ with wave functions (1). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \\
J_{2} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that from the Bloch theorem it follows that the currents (16) are conserved along the chain. As we did not fix boundary conditions of the whole lattice, the wave function is not normalizable and the absolute values of $J_{i}$ carry no physical meaning. The ratio between them are physical, on the other hand, and it is exactly the quantity of our primary interest: Its absolute value is the measure of asymmetry of current flows between two strands, and its sign determines their relative directions.

In Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 there are shown four sets of numerical examples obtained with combinations $b= \pm a$ and $d= \pm 1$. The values of other parameters are set to be $a=\frac{1}{3}$ and $c=8$. For each set of parameters, we display the energy bands, the logarithm of $\left|J_{1} / J_{2}\right|$, and the relative sign $\operatorname{sgn}\left[J_{1} / J_{2}\right]$, all as functions of particle energy.

The asymmetric quantum transport with respect to the two strands is evident. In each of the alternating regions within a band, the transport is supported mainly in one of the two strands.


Figure 3: The band structure (top), the logarithm of the absolute value of flux ratio (middle), and the relative flux direction (bottom) are plotted as the function of the particle energy for the coupling parameter (a) $a=b=\frac{1}{3}, c=8, d=1$, and (b) $a=b=\frac{1}{3}, c=8, d=-1$. The red and blue lines correspond to the two solutions of secular equation (14).

With different choices of system parameters, the various patterns of asymmetry variations are observed, as we move from one energy band to the next one.

Particularly intriguing examples of alternating flux asymmetry are found for the case $b=-a$, depicted in Fig. 4, With the choice $d>0$, we find that the localization of flux strength changes from one strand to another in successive bands, while the relative direction is kept to be positive all the way as seen from Fig. 4(a). In the case $d<0$, we observe that the relative flux direction changes in successive bands while the relative strength remains one-sided as can be noticed from Fig. 4(b).

## 3. Asymmetric Kronig-Penny ladder

In this section we give a realization of double-stranded Kronig-Penney system by asymmetric periodic ladder with internal lines. We will obtain the chained ring model of the previous section


Figure 4: The band structure (top), the logarithm of the flux ratio (middle), and the relative flux direction (bottom) are plotted as the function of the particle energy for the coupling parameter (a) $a=\frac{1}{3}, b=-\frac{1}{3} c=8, d=1$, and (b) $a=\frac{1}{3}, b=-\frac{1}{3} c=8, d=-1$. The red and blue lines correspond to the two solutions of secular equation (14).
as a zero-size limit case of internal lines.
Consider bridge ladder-like quantum graph made up of two parallel lines linked by internal lines at regular intervals on both parallel lines (Fig. 5). Applying again the Bloch theorem, we examine a single unit segment of the lattice, which we describe by coordinates $x_{1} \in[0, L)$, $x_{2} \in[0, L)$ and $x_{\ell} \in[0, \ell]$. The wave function is given in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & =\alpha_{1} e^{i k x_{1}}+\beta_{1} e^{-i k x_{1}} \\
\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & =\alpha_{2} e^{i k x_{2}}+\beta_{2} e^{-i k x_{2}} \\
\phi\left(x_{\ell}\right) & =\alpha_{\ell} e^{i k x_{\ell}}+\beta_{\ell} e^{-i k x_{\ell}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In the unit segment there are two junctions, $\left(x_{1}=0 \& x_{\ell}=0\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}=0 \& x_{\ell}=\ell\right)$. At each of


Figure 5: Asymmetric quantum ladder with different kind of vertex couplings at black and white vertices
them, we consider the vectors $\Psi_{t}, \Psi_{t}^{\prime}$ and $\Psi_{b}, \Psi_{b}^{\prime}$, which are respectively defined by

$$
\Psi_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\psi_{1}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi(0)
\end{array}\right), \Psi_{t}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi^{\prime}(0)
\end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
\Psi_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right)  \tag{19}\\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi(\ell)
\end{array}\right), \Psi_{b}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
-\phi^{\prime}(\ell)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The properties of the junctions are determined by the linear matrix equations of $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{\prime}$. We consider different types of vertex interactions at top and bottom nodes of the ladder

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & a_{1} \\
0 & 1 & a_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{11} & v_{12} & 0 \\
v_{21} & v_{22} & 0 \\
-a_{1}^{*} & -a_{2}^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}=0, \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & b_{1} & b_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u & 0 & 0 \\
-b_{1}^{*} & 1 & 0 \\
-b_{2}^{*} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}=0, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used notations 18) 19 and had conditions $v_{11}=v_{11}^{*}, v_{22}=v_{22}^{*}, v_{12}=v_{21}^{*}, u=u^{*}$.
Let us consider the limit case $\ell \ll \frac{1}{k}$, where we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(\ell)=\alpha_{\ell} e^{i k \ell}+\beta_{\ell} e^{-i k \ell} \approx \alpha_{\ell}+\beta_{\ell}=\phi(0), \\
\phi^{\prime}(\ell)=i k \alpha_{\ell} e^{i k \ell}-i k \beta_{\ell} e^{-i k \ell} \approx i k \alpha_{\ell}-i k \beta_{\ell}=\phi^{\prime}(0) . \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then we can rewrite matrix equations 39 in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 / a_{1} & -1 / a_{2} & 0 & 0  \tag{22}\\
1 / a_{1} & 0 & 1 / b_{2} & b_{1} / b_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{3}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{4}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{v_{11}}{a_{1}}-\frac{v_{21}}{a_{2}} & \frac{v_{12}}{a_{1}}-\frac{v_{22}}{a_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{v_{1}}{a_{1}} & \frac{v_{12}}{a_{1}} & \frac{u}{b_{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -b_{1}^{*} & 1 \\
-a_{1}^{*} & -a_{2}^{*} & b_{2}^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1} \\
\psi_{2} \\
\psi_{3} \\
\psi_{4}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Applying transformations introduced in paper [6], the boundary conditions (22) can be rewritten in the $S T$-form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & a_{1} / b_{2} & b_{1} a_{1} / b_{2}  \tag{23}\\
0 & 1 & a_{2} / b_{2} & b_{1} a_{2} / b_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{3}^{\prime} \\
\psi_{4}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
v_{11}+\frac{u a_{1} a_{1}^{*}}{b_{2} b_{2}^{*}} & v_{12}+\frac{u a_{1} a_{2}^{*}}{b_{2} b_{2}^{*}} & 0 & 0 \\
v_{21}+\frac{u a_{1}^{*} a_{2}}{b_{2}^{*}} & v_{22}+\frac{u a_{2} a_{2}}{b_{2} b_{2}^{*}} & 0 & 0 \\
-a_{1}^{*} / b_{2}^{*} & -a_{2}^{*} / b_{2}^{*} & 1 & 0 \\
-b_{1}^{*} a_{1}^{*} / b_{2}^{*} & -b_{1}^{*} a_{1}^{*} / b_{2}^{*} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1} \\
\psi_{2} \\
\psi_{3} \\
\psi_{4}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Considering a special case $u=0, a_{2}=a_{1}, b_{1}= \pm 1, b_{2}=1$ and $v_{11}=v_{22}= \pm v_{12}$ we obtain boundary conditions investigated in previous section. Particularly, we can derive effective connection conditions with matrix coefficients (7), (13), if we insert vertex couplings at black and white nodes by the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & a \\
0 & 1 & a \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c & c d & 0 \\
c d & c d^{2} & 0 \\
-a & -a & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}=0, \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & b / a & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-b / a & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}=0 . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

In general case, depending on values of parameters in connection conditions at graph vertices, they reveal large variety of spectrum of bound state and complicated flux behaviour through ladder lanes. Therefore, let us focus on asymmetric ladder with more simplified boundary conditions at vertices. Particularly, we require $\delta$ potential-type connection condition for the upper junction:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{25}\\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}=0
$$

For the bottom junction, we assume that the connection condition is more "exotic", to see whether that produces any interesting phenomena. Specifically, we impose the symmetrized $\delta^{\prime}$-type condition

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1  \tag{26}\\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & u
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}=0
$$

Now the problem became finding the solution (1) satisfying the connection conditions (25), (31)


Figure 6: Unit chain of the ladder lattice and the condition of quasi-periodicity of the wave function.
and quasi-periodicity of wave functions $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}(\operatorname{Fig} 6)$. Substituting the limits of wave function (3) into the boundary conditions and completing a straightforward calculation, we derive a relation between the energy $E=k^{2}$ and quasimomentum $q$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \cos (2 L q)-2 \cos (L q) \sin (k L)\left(k u+\frac{v}{k}\right) & +\sin (2 k L)\left(k u-\frac{v}{k}\right)-\sin ^{2}(k L)(u v+5)-2+ \\
& +\tan (k \ell)\left(\sin ^{2}(k L)\left(\frac{v}{k}-k u\right)+2 \sin (2 k L)\right)=0 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

From the secular equation (27) one can easily find the Bloch wave number $q$ by solving quadratic equation. For the given energy we have either no real solution, or one solution, or two solutions. Two solutions of secular equation are depicted in Fig. 7 a) by blue and red lines, which have overlapping areas. Thus, we derived a band structure of spectrum containing gaps and zones with one or double solutions.


Figure 7: Numerical plot of two solutions of secular equation shown by red and blue lines (a) and the corresponding pattern of flux asymmetry (b) for the following values of parameters: $u=1, v=1, L=1, \ell=1$.

We calculate the quantum currents through upper and lower strands, $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ with 16 just like in the previous section. It turns out that they are always opposite to each other and the dependence of logarithm of the absolute value of the ratio $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ on wave number $k$ is shown in Fig. 7(b), which shows the flux asymmetry between two strands. Thus, we conclude that the introduction of different types of couplings at vertices of lattice strands leads to alternating asymmetry of currents, as we move from one band to another. Such flux behaviour indicates an importance of the role of boundary conditions at lattice vertices and reminds the case of chained ring model of previous section.

## 4. 2D plane with $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ layers

In this section we will consider Kronig-Penney model on 2D rectangular lattice with lattice constants $L$ and $\ell$. We will assume an alternating layer structure with $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ vertices. Here also due to the Bloch theorem it is sufficient to examine a wave function in the unit segment and use boundary conditions at $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ connections. Note that the lattice periods along $x$ and $y$ directions are $L$ and $2 \ell$ respectively


Figure 8: 2D extension of asymmetric quantum ladder with $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ nodes.

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & =\alpha_{1} e^{i k x_{1}}+\beta_{1} e^{-i k x_{1}}, & & 0<x_{1}<L, \\
\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & =\alpha_{2} e^{i k x_{2}}+\beta_{2} e^{-i k x_{2}}, & & 0<x_{2}<L, \\
\phi(y) & =\alpha_{3} e^{i k y}+\beta_{3} e^{-i k y}, & & 0<y<\ell, \\
\phi(y) & =\alpha_{4} e^{i k y}+\beta_{4} e^{-i k y}, & & \ell<y<2 \ell . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

As in previous sections, we use notations $\Psi_{t}, \Psi_{t}^{\prime}, \Psi_{b}$, and $\Psi_{b}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\Psi_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)  \tag{29}\\
\phi\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{1}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi\left(0_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right), \Psi_{t}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
-\phi^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right), \Psi_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
\phi\left(\ell_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi\left(\ell_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right), \Psi_{b}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right) \\
-\phi^{\prime}\left(\ell_{-}\right) \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right) \\
\phi^{\prime}\left(\ell_{+}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

and write down boundary conditions for $\delta$ junction in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1  \tag{30}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
v & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}=0
$$

and for the symmetrized $\delta^{\prime}$-coupling

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1  \tag{31}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & u
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}=0
$$

The condition of quasiperiodicity of wave function along $x$ and $y$ directions gives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{1}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q_{x} L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{1}+e^{-i k L} \beta_{1}\right), & \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=i k e^{-i q_{x} L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{1}-e^{-i k L} \beta_{1}\right), \\
\psi_{2}\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-i q_{x} L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{2}+e^{-i k L} \beta_{2}\right), & \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=i k e^{-i q_{x} L}\left(e^{i k L} \alpha_{2}-e^{-i k L} \beta_{2}\right), \\
\phi\left(0_{-}\right)=e^{-2 i q_{y} \ell}\left(e^{2 i k \ell} \alpha_{4}+e^{-2 i k \ell} \beta_{4}\right), & \phi^{\prime}\left(0_{-}\right)=i k e^{-2 i q_{y} \ell}\left(e^{2 i k \ell} \alpha_{4}-e^{-2 i k \ell} \beta_{4}\right), \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

To obtain the spectral equation, more tedious calculations are needed comparing to ladder case. However, the procedure is quite similar to previous case and the secular equation reads

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cos \left(2 \ell q_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \sin ^{2}(k L)}\left(\sin (k L)\left(8 k u+\frac{8 v}{k}\right)(\cos (2 k \ell)+1) \cos \left(L q_{x}\right)-8(\cos (2 k \ell)+1) \sin \left(2 L q_{x}\right)\right. \\
+\left(\frac{4 v}{k}-4 k u\right)(\sin (2 k L)-\sin (2 k \ell)+\sin (2 k(L+\ell)))+u v \cos (2 k(L-\ell))-2 u v \\
+(u v+16) \cos (2 k(L+\ell))+(2 u v+8)(\cos (2 k L)-\cos (2 k \ell))) . \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

The expression (33) can be simplified if we assume $\ell=L$, which reduces it into

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cos \left(2 L q_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2}(k L)}\left((\sin (k L)+\sin (3 k L))\left(k u+\frac{v}{k}\right) \cos \left(L q_{x}\right)-2(\cos (2 k L)+1) \cos \left(2 L q_{x}\right)+\right. \\
\left.\sin (4 k L)\left(\frac{v}{k}-k u\right)+\left(\frac{u v}{4}+4\right) \cos (4 k L)-\frac{u v}{4}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we obtained a spectral equation, which gives a connection among Bloch wave numbers $q_{x}$ and $q_{y}$, and the energy $E=k^{2}$. To define a state of the system, we need to fix not only energy, but also one of the Bloch numbers, and using the spectral equation (33), we can obtain the second Bloch number. Numerical calculations show that the energy gaps appear in a spectrum, i.e., there is some range of values for $k$ for which the equation (34) has no real solution for $q_{x}$ and $q_{y}$. All gaps have period $2 \pi L$ and in the particular case $u=1, v=1, L=1$ for the first gap we have $4.431 \lesssim k \lesssim 4.712$.

To compare particle flux on $x$ and $y$ directions, we have to take account four types of currents

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}^{x} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{2}\right), \\
J_{2}^{x} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{2}\right), \\
J_{1}^{y} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{3}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{3}\right|^{2}\right), \\
J_{2}^{y} & =\frac{k \hbar}{m}\left(\left|\alpha_{4}\right|^{2}-\left|\beta_{4}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of our model for the certain values of energies and Bloch wave numbers we have no currents on both $x$ and $y$ directions. For example, assuming again $u=1, v=1, \ell=L=1$ we found out $J_{1}^{x}=J_{2}^{x}=J_{1}^{y}=J_{2}^{y}=0$ for $q_{x}=1, k=\pi / 2$. There are also special values of energy and Bloch wave $q_{x}$ for which the particle current disappears only along vertical or horizontal lattice bonds. For instance, $J_{1}^{x}=J_{2}^{x}=0, J_{1}^{y} \neq 0, J_{2}^{y} \neq 0$ when $q_{x}=\pi p(p=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots)$ and $J_{1}^{y}=J_{2}^{y}=J_{1}^{x}=0, J_{2}^{x} \neq 0$ if $q_{x}=1, k \approx 0.753$ (the case $J_{2}^{x}=0, J_{1}^{x} \neq 0$ corresponds to $q_{x}=-1$ ).

## 5. Realization of quantum graphs with $\delta$ vertices

In this section we give a construction scheme for physical realization of non-conventional vertex couplings of double-stranded lattice. We will use an approximation method of arbitrary
singular vertices by quantum graphs carrying only $\delta$-couplings and constant vector potentials [6]. Let us briefly review basic points of the scheme:

- Separate $n$ edges of the star graph and connect nodes $i$ and $j$ by lines of the length $2 d_{0}$ if one of the following three conditions is satisfied
(1) $j \leq m, k \geq m+1$, and $T_{j k} \neq 0$ (or $j \geq m+1, k \leq m$, and $T_{k j} \neq 0$ ),
(2) $j \leq m, k \leq m$ and $(\exists l \geq m+1)\left(T_{j l} \neq 0 \wedge T_{k l} \neq 0\right)$,
(3) $j \leq m, k \leq m, S_{j k} \neq 0$, and the previous condition is not satisfied,
where the rows of the matrix $T$ are indexed from 1 to $m$ and the columns from $m+1$ to $n$.
- Apply a $\delta$-coupling with interaction parameter $v_{j}$ at the $j$-th node for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and add a $\delta$-potential with parameter $w_{j k}$ at the centre of the constructed line $(j, k)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq N_{j}$, where $N_{j}$ is a number of vertices joined to the $j$-th one. Place also constant vector potentials $A_{(j, l)}$ and $A_{(l, k)}=-A_{(j, l)}$ on the segments $(j, l)$ and $(l, k)$ respectively, where $l$ is the centre of the line $(j, k) 1 \leq l \leq N_{j}$.
- The values of $\delta$-interaction parameters $v_{j}, w_{j k}$ and vector potentials $A_{(j, k)}$ depend on size parameter $d_{0}$ and are determined by the following way:
(1) If $j \leq m$ and $k \geq m+1$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{(j, k)}\left(d_{0}\right) & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 d_{0}} \arg T_{j k} & \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Re} T_{j k} \geq 0, \\
\frac{1}{2 d_{0}}\left(\arg T_{j k}-\pi\right) & \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Re} T_{j k}<0,\end{cases} \\
v_{k}\left(d_{0}\right) & =\frac{1-N_{k}+\sum_{h=1}^{m}\left\langle T_{h k}\right\rangle}{d_{0}}, \\
w_{j k}\left(d_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(-2+\frac{1}{\left\langle T_{j k}\right\rangle}\right), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbol $\langle\cdot\rangle$ means

$$
\langle c\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
|c| & \text { if } & \operatorname{Re} c \geq 0 \\
-|c| & \text { if } & \operatorname{Rec}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) In the case $j \leq m, k \leq m$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{(j, k)}\left(d_{0}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2 d_{0}} \arg \left(d_{0} \cdot S_{j k}+\sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(d_{0} \cdot S_{j k}+\sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right) \geq 0, \\
\frac{1}{2 d_{0}}\left[\arg \left(d_{0} \cdot S_{j k}+\sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right)-\pi\right] \quad \text { if } \operatorname{Re}\left(d_{0} \cdot S_{j k}+\sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right)<0,
\end{array}\right. \\
v_{j}\left(d_{0}\right)= & S_{j j}-\frac{N_{j}}{d_{0}}-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\langle S_{j k}+\frac{1}{d_{0}} \sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{d_{0}} \sum_{l=m+1}^{n}\left(1+\left\langle T_{j l}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle T_{j l}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& w_{j k}\left(d_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(2+\left\langle d_{0} \cdot S_{j k}+\sum_{l=m+1}^{n} T_{j l} \overline{T_{k l}}\right\rangle^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown that the constructed graph converges to desired singular vertex coupling in the norm-resolvent sense [6]. Also note that the approximation scheme and choice of parameters are not unique.

We represent singular vertices of double-stranded quantum graph by two alternative ways. For the sake of simplicity, in the matrices $\sqrt[13]{ }$ let us consider only the case $b=a$ and $d=1$. At first, we directly apply the construction scheme (36), (37) to design a quantum star graph with connection conditions

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c & c & 0 & 0  \tag{38}\\
c & c & 0 & 0 \\
-a & -a & 1 & 0 \\
-a & -a & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi-\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & a & a \\
0 & 1 & a & a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi^{\prime}=0
$$

Then we give the second realization way of the same vertices by implementing asymmetric ladder system (see Section 3) with boundary conditions at vertices

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & a \\
0 & 1 & a \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c & c & 0 \\
c & c & 0 \\
-a & -a & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{t}=0, \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}^{\prime}-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{b}=0 . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$


(a)

(b)

Figure 9: Two alternative ways of approximation of double-stranded junction by delta vertices.
Let us split the graph nodes of double-stranded chain and quantum ladder as shown in figures 9 a and 9 , and connect free endpoints by wires. Note that the edges shown by dashed lines are not connected according to construction scheme. As the all parameters in boundary conditions (38) and (39) are real, we will not need to apply vector potentials on the connecting lines. The values of $\delta$-potential strengths at nodes and in the centres of graph edges are determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=v_{2}=-c-\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(2 a^{2}-2 a+3\right), \quad v_{3}=v_{4}=\frac{1}{d_{0}}(-1+2 a), \\
& w_{12}=-\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(2+\frac{1}{d_{0} c+2 a^{2}}\right), \quad w_{13}=w_{14}=w_{23}=w_{24}=\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(-2+\frac{1}{a}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

for the figure $9 a$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=v_{2}=-c-\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(a^{2}-a+2\right), \quad v_{3}=0, \quad v_{4}=v_{6}=\frac{1}{d_{0}}, \quad v_{5}=\frac{1}{d_{0}}(-1+2 a), \\
& w_{12}=-\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(2+\frac{1}{d_{0} c+a^{2}}\right), \quad w_{15}=w_{25}=\frac{1}{d_{0}}\left(-2+\frac{1}{c}\right), \quad w_{34}=w_{36}=-\frac{1}{d_{0}} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

for the figure 9 . Thus, the boundary conditions (38) can be achieved in the shrinking limit $d_{0} \ll \frac{1}{k}$ for the double-stranded chain and $d_{0} \ll \ell \ll \frac{1}{k}$ for the ladder lattice.

## 6. Summary and prospects

In this paper, we have considered a minimal double-stranded extensions of the celebrated Kronig-Penney model, and uncovered unexpected exotic features in the pattern of fluxes that goes through two strands. The key to the discovery has been the employment of non-conventional vertex couplings, which, at the first glance, is rather artificial. We have shown, however, that the model can be regarded as a mathematical abstraction of a more realistic periodic two-lane ladder with conventional $\delta$-couplings, albeit with more complicated internal graph structures.

The investigation of straightforward extensions to the chains with triple and more strands can be accomplished be direct computations. More challenging problem is the full analysis of layered two-dimensional Kronig-Penney lattice. The identification of parameter ranges which permit a symmetric flux in two dimensional models should be of great importance for designing and developing quantum devices.
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