

Radiative decay of keV-mass sterile neutrinos in a strongly magnetized plasma

Alexandra A. Dobrynina,^{1,2} Nicolay V. Mikheev*,¹ and Georg G. Raffelt²

¹*P. G. Demidov Yaroslavl State University, Sovietskaya 14, 150000 Yaroslavl, Russia*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany*

(Dated: April 10, 2019)

The radiative decay of sterile neutrinos with typical masses of 10 keV is investigated in the presence of a strong magnetic field and degenerate plasma. Full account is taken of the strongly modified photon dispersion relation relative to vacuum. The limiting cases of relativistic and non-relativistic plasma are analyzed. The decay rate in a strongly magnetized plasma as a function of the electron number density is compared with the un-magnetized case. We find that a strong magnetic field suppresses the catalyzing influence of the plasma on the decay rate.

PACS numbers: 14.60.St, 52.35.Hr, 97.60.-s

I. INTRODUCTION

The weak interaction strength of neutrinos as well as their small masses single them out among all elementary particles. While neutrinos play almost no role on Earth, their role in astrophysics and cosmology is important and sometimes dominant. In particular, this pertains to astrophysical cataclysms like core-collapse supernova explosions or coalescence of neutron stars. In these phenomena, a dense and hot plasma interacting with a strong neutrino flux arises. It has become clear that strong magnetic fields of up to 10^{16} Gauss can be generated, exceeding the electron-mass critical field $B_e = m_e^2/e \simeq 4.41 \times 10^{13}$ Gauss. Neutrino processes are also important for the cooling of supernova cores and neutron stars where neutrinos are emitted from the dense central region. Observations of neutron stars lead to a wide spread of magnetic-field values, and very large magnetic fields $B \gtrsim 10^{15}$ Gauss have been identified in some objects called magnetars [1, 2]. So, studying properties and dynamics of astrophysical phenomena requires detailed understanding of the underlying quantum processes involving neutrinos under the influence of a strong magnetic field and relativistic plasma.

The plasma and magnetic field are optically active media and therefore can significantly influence the photon-neutrino interaction which in vacuum arises at loop level and turns out to be extremely weak. On the other hand, the photon-neutrino interaction within a medium can lead to actually observed effects, notably the neutrino luminosity of a plasma by the $\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ decay [3]. In this process, the plasma has two effects: It provides photons with an effective mass, enabling the decay kinematics, and it provides an effective interaction between neutrinos and photons. On the other hand, the radiative decay of a massive neutrino is kinematically allowed in vacuum (see, for example, Ref. [4] and references therein).

However, an active medium can influence both the decay amplitude and particle kinematics, and hence, the decay rate changes significantly [5, 6].

Early studies of the radiative decay of a massless neutrino in a magnetic field¹ were presented in Refs. [7–9]. The radiative decay of a massive neutrino $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j + \gamma$ with $i \neq j$ in the framework of the Standard Model with lepton mixing was considered in Ref. [10] for electromagnetic fields of different configurations. In all of these papers, the decay probability was calculated for low-energy neutrinos ($E_\nu < 2m_e$) and under the assumption that the modification of the photon dispersion law can be neglected. In addition, it was shown that the field-induced amplitude of the ultra-relativistic neutrino decay in magnetic field is not suppressed by the smallness of the neutrino mass, in contrast to the vacuum case [10].

It should be noted that with increasing photon energy, its dispersion in a strong magnetic field differs from vacuum and each photon polarization has its own dispersion law [11–13]. In particular, the photon four-momentum q^μ can be space-like and its square can be sufficiently large, $|q^2| \gg m_\nu^2$, to allow the transition $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j + \gamma$ of a lighter neutrino to a heavier one ($m_i < m_j$). In other words, the strongly modified photon dispersion law implies that in practice the radiative decay probability of ultra-relativistic neutrinos in strong magnetic fields does not depend on the neutrino mass spectrum.

For high-energy neutrinos ($E_\nu \gg m_e$) in a strong constant magnetic field, the process $\nu \rightarrow \nu + \gamma$ was studied in Ref. [14], taking account of the appropriate photon dispersion. The same process in a homogeneous magnetic field was considered in detail in Ref. [9] for low-energy neutrinos ($E_\nu < 2m_e$) and in the kinematical region where the photon dispersion is similar to vacuum. The neutrino radiative decay was also investigated in plasma [15–21]. In particular, the decay probability of a heavier neutrino to a lighter one and a photon in a

*Nicolay Vladimirovich Mikheev passed away on 19 July 2014 before this manuscript could be completed. The remaining authors hope to have finished this work in his spirit.

¹ The process $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j \gamma$ in the presence of external fields or media has been called “radiative decay,” “Cherenkov effect,” or “bremsstrahlung” in the literature.

thermal medium was calculated in Refs. [17, 18] under the assumption that the particle dispersion relations were not affected by the plasma.

Later, the study of the neutrino-photon interaction was extended to high energies under the influence of a strongly magnetized electron-positron plasma [22]. It is worth noting that in this case, apart from the modified photon dispersion, large radiative corrections exist near the electron-positron resonance — otherwise the result is overestimated.

Most recently, the decay of a massive neutrino in a magnetized electron gas was analyzed [23]. The calculations were carried out within the Standard Model with lepton mixing under the assumption of a degenerate and strongly magnetized electron gas. The latter means that the majority of electrons are located in the lowest Landau level. Let us note that from the theoretical side, there are no restrictions on the existence of astrophysical objects where both a strong magnetic field and degenerate plasma can exist. Several objects called magnetars [1, 2] have been observed which probably contain such a medium, i.e., 14 Soft Gamma-Ray Repeaters (SGRs) of which 10 are confirmed and 4 are candidates as well as 14 Anomalous X-Ray Pulsars (AXPs) with 12 being confirmed and 2 candidates [24]. Such objects can be considered as perfect laboratories for the study of elementary processes under extreme conditions.

However, in Ref. [23] the modified photon dispersion law was ignored which is important when the plasma frequency is close to the decaying neutrino mass or even exceeding it. Our paper aims at correcting this point, i.e., to include the modified photon dispersion relation consistently in this process.

Let us provide simple arguments why this is necessary. In a strongly magnetized plasma, the neutrino-photon interaction is mainly determined by electrons occupying the lowest Landau level, so the chemical potential μ_e of the electron gas should satisfy the inequality

$$\mu_e^2 - m_e^2 < 2eB, \quad (1)$$

where m_e is the electron mass, $e = 0.303$ is the elementary charge, and B is the value of the magnetic-field strength. Under these conditions and in a degenerate plasma ($\mu_e - m_e \gg T$), the photon gets an effective mass (the plasma frequency) of [23, 25, 26]

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} eB \frac{p_F}{\sqrt{p_F^2 + m_e^2}}, \quad (2)$$

where α is the fine structure constant and p_F is the electron Fermi momentum. The electron number density in a strongly magnetized electron gas is [27]

$$n_e = \frac{eB p_F}{2\pi^2}. \quad (3)$$

This relation allows us to express the plasma frequency Eq. (2) in the form

$$\omega_0 \simeq 37.1 \text{ keV} \left(\frac{n_{30}^2 b^2}{b^2 + 1.3 n_{30}^2} \right)^{1/4} \quad (4)$$

in terms of the reduced magnetic-field strength $b = B/B_e$ and reduced number density $n_{30} = n_e/(10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-3})$. Our benchmark number density (10^{30} cm^{-3}), interpreted here as a baryon density, corresponds approximately to a mass density of 10^6 g cm^{-3} , where degenerate electrons would still be nonrelativistic.

In astrophysical objects like neutron stars one can find domains where the plasma frequency depends either on n_e or on B alone. For example, in the external part of the outer crust, where $n_{30} \ll b$, the plasma frequency is proportional to $\sqrt{n_e}$

$$\omega_0 \simeq 37.1 \text{ keV} \sqrt{n_{30}}. \quad (5)$$

In deep layers of the outer crust, where $n_{30} \gg b$, the plasma frequency is

$$\omega_0 \simeq 34.7 \text{ keV} \sqrt{b}, \quad (6)$$

increasing with the square root of B .

For the conditions of interest, a typical scale of ω_0 is therefore 10 keV or larger. Ordinary neutrinos have sub-eV masses so that radiative decays would not be kinematically possible. Of course, the presence of electrons implies a weak potential for electron neutrinos of $\sqrt{2}G_F n_e \simeq 1.27 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV } n_{30}$, causing a significant modification of the neutrino dispersion relation compared with $m^2/2E$. For the radiative decays of ordinary neutrinos in media, this modification would have to be included, although it appears to have been neglected in all previous studies.

However, it is the modification of the photon dispersion relation that tends to be the dominant effect and so it is clear that radiative decays would be of interest only for sterile neutrinos ν_s with masses in the keV range and above. There has been renewed interest in such particles recently as a possible warm or cold dark matter candidate [28–32]. Moreover, the observation of an unexplained 3.5 keV x-ray line, possibly caused by the $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a \gamma$ decay of dark-matter sterile neutrinos, has recently electrified the community [33–37].

Whatever the final verdict on such speculations, we here go through the exercise of calculating the radiative decay of nonrelativistic sterile neutrinos in an optically active medium which can be identified with both an unmagnetized or strongly magnetized plasma. Our main new point beyond the previous literature is to include the photon dispersion relation consistently. We limit our discussion to Dirac neutrinos — the Majorana case should only differ by numerical factors. We neglect the modified active neutrino dispersion relation in the final state.

We first re-calculate, in Sec. II, the usual radiative decay rate in vacuum caused by active-sterile flavor mixing with a mixing angle θ_s . In Sec. III we turn to an unmagnetized plasma of degenerate electrons, whereas in Sec. IV we include the impact of a large magnetic field. In Sec. V we summarize our findings.

II. VACUUM

A sterile neutrino ν_s can mix with an active species and in this way interact with matter where θ_s is the usual mixing angle in a two-flavor scheme. θ_s is assumed to be very small so that ν_s essentially coincides with a propagation eigenstate of mass m_s . The $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a \gamma$ amplitude in vacuum is [4]

$$M_{\text{vac}} = \frac{3eG_{\text{F}} m_s \sin(2\theta_s)}{64\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \bar{\nu}_a (\hat{q}\hat{\varepsilon} - \hat{\varepsilon}\hat{q}) (1 + \gamma_5) \nu_s, \quad (7)$$

where $q^\alpha = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and ε^α are the photon four-momentum and polarization vector, and $q^\alpha = p_s^\alpha - p_a^\alpha$ with p_s^α and p_a^α being the initial and final neutrino four-momenta, respectively. We use the notation $\hat{q} = q^\alpha \gamma_\alpha$.

The probability (or rather the rate) of the sterile neutrino radiative decay in vacuum is

$$W_{\text{vac}} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m_s} \int |M_{\text{vac}}|^2 \delta(m_s - 2\omega) \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{\omega^2}. \quad (8)$$

The squared amplitude, summed over photon polarizations, is

$$|M_{\text{vac}}|^2 = \frac{9\alpha G_{\text{F}}^2}{128\pi^3} m_s^6 \sin^2(2\theta_s). \quad (9)$$

After performing the integration in Eq. (8), we find

$$W_{\text{vac}} = \frac{9\alpha G_{\text{F}}^2}{2048\pi^4} m_s^5 \sin^2(2\theta_s). \quad (10)$$

This result agrees with the classic result of Ref. [4] for the Dirac case, whereas for Majorana neutrinos the rate is a factor of 2 larger [4] and then agrees with what is usually stated in the sterile-neutrino literature [23, 28].

III. UN-MAGNETIZED PLASMA

The plasma contribution to the amplitude of the Dirac-type sterile neutrino radiative decay is defined by the neutrino-photon interaction via real electrons in plasma. The neutrino-electron interaction can be described by the effective local Lagrangian [9]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma^\alpha (C_V - C_A \gamma_5) \Psi_e] j_\alpha, \quad (11)$$

where Ψ_e is the electron field. $C_V = \pm 1/2 + 2 \sin^2 \theta_W$ and $C_A = \pm 1/2$ with the Weinberg angle θ_W are the vector and axial-vector coefficients, respectively, which take into account the Z - and W -boson exchange. The plus sign pertains to ν_e , the minus sign to ν_μ and ν_τ .

The neutrino current j_α in Eq. (11) describes the transition of a heavy neutrino ν_2 with a mass of several keV to a light neutrino ν_1 with a sub-eV mass

$$j_\alpha = \cos \theta_s \sin \theta_s [\bar{\nu}_a \gamma_\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_s]. \quad (12)$$




FIG. 1: Feynman graphs for the $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ decay in plasma. The crosses attached at the ends of the electron lines signify that these particles pertain to the plasma.




FIG. 2: Diagrams for photon forward scattering on plasma electrons in analogy to Fig. 1.

The vector current in the Lagrangian (11) has the same structure as the standard electron interaction with a photon, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = e (\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma_\alpha \Psi_e) A^\alpha$. Therefore, the decay $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ in plasma corresponds to the Feynman graph shown in Fig. 1 which is identical to the one shown in Fig. 2 after one of the photon lines has been replaced by the neutrino current.

It is well known that the amplitude of the $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma$ transition shown in Fig. 2 determines the polarization operator $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ of the photon [38, 39]

$$M_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma} = -\varepsilon_\alpha^* \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_\beta. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, the vector part of the $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ amplitude can be expressed in terms of the photon polarization operator $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ in plasma,

$$M_{\text{pl}}^{(V)} = \frac{C_V G_{\text{F}}}{e\sqrt{2}} (j_\alpha \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^*), \quad (14)$$

where ε_β is the photon polarization vector.

To go further, we estimate the axial-vector contribution to the amplitude of the neutrino radiative decay in

plasma. In a non-relativistic plasma one finds explicitly

$$\frac{M_{\text{pl}}^{(A)}}{M_{\text{pl}}^{(V)}} \sim \frac{C_A}{C_V} \frac{m_s}{m_e} \ll 1, \quad (15)$$

where m_e is the electron mass. In a relativistic plasma one finds

$$\frac{M_{\text{pl}}^{(A)}}{M_{\text{pl}}^{(V)}} \sim \frac{C_A}{C_V} \frac{m_s}{\mu_e} \ll 1, \quad (16)$$

where μ_e is the chemical potential. The suppression of the axial part relative to the vector part is parametrically the same in both cases, so one expects a similar behavior between these limits. We conclude that the axial coupling contributes very little to the neutrino radiative decay in plasma. The same suppression was found for photon absorption by neutrinos [15] and for plasmon decay into neutrino pairs in a relativistic plasma [40, 41].

As mentioned earlier, photons in plasma acquire an effective mass in the form of the plasma frequency ω_0 . Under a wide range of conditions, ω_0 is small enough to fulfill the kinematical conditions for $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a \gamma$ with m_s of several tens of keV,

$$\omega_0 < m_s \ll m_e. \quad (17)$$

In a dense relativistic electron plasma, the decay is forbidden because the plasma frequency starts to exceed typical m_s values.

We concentrate on a non-relativistic plasma where ω_0 is not too large and the condition (17) is valid. In this case the plasma frequency is [5]

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{4\pi\alpha n_e}{m_e}. \quad (18)$$

If the electrons are degenerate, the number density is

$$n_e = \frac{p_F^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad (19)$$

where p_F is the Fermi momentum [27]. After combining Eqs. (18) and (19), the kinematical condition (17) implies a restriction on the Fermi velocity of

$$V_F^2 < 0.25 \left(\frac{m_s}{10 \text{ keV}} \right)^{4/3}. \quad (20)$$

This condition provides the upper bound $m_s \ll 30$ keV for which the non-relativistic approximation for the plasma is appropriate. This coincides roughly with the mass range of cosmological interest.

Photons in plasma have three polarization modes of which one is longitudinal (with polarization vector ε^ℓ) and the other two are transverse (ε^t). They are the eigenvectors of the polarization operator $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ and determine the corresponding set of eigenvalues Π_λ ($\lambda = \ell, t$),

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^\lambda = \Pi_\lambda \varepsilon_\alpha^\lambda. \quad (21)$$

In general, they are expressed in terms of complicated integrals [5]. However, in the non-relativistic plasma the integration can be performed analytically with the results

$$\Pi_t \approx \omega_0^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_\ell \approx \omega_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{\omega^2} \right), \quad (22)$$

where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ is the photon momentum.

The probability for $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a \gamma$ can be written in the form

$$W_{\text{pl}}^\lambda = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m_s} \int Z_{A\lambda} |M_{\text{pl}}^\lambda|^2 [1 + f_\gamma(\omega)] \times \delta(m_s - k - \omega) \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{k\omega}, \quad (23)$$

where $f_\gamma(\omega)$ is the photon distribution function. In a cold plasma ($T \ll \omega_0$), the deviation of the photon stimulation factor $[1 + f_\gamma(\omega)]$ from unity can be neglected. The factor $Z_{A\lambda}$ accounts for the renormalized wave-function of the photon,

$$Z_{A\lambda}^{-1} = 1 - \frac{\partial \Pi_\lambda}{\partial \omega^2}. \quad (24)$$

As discussed above, the matrix element is largely determined by its vector part given in Eq. (14). In terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the photon polarization operator (21) the amplitudes simplify significantly and we find

$$|M_{\text{pl}}^\lambda|^2 = \frac{G_F^2 C_V^2}{16\pi\alpha} \sin^2(2\theta_s) [m_s^2 - q^2 + 4(p\varepsilon^\lambda)^2] \Pi_\lambda^2. \quad (25)$$

The ν_s decay probability with the production of a transverse photon is then found to be

$$W_{\text{pl}}^t = \frac{(G_F \omega_0^2)^2 C_V^2}{128\pi^2\alpha} \sin^2(2\theta_s) m_s \left[1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{m_s^2} \right]^2. \quad (26)$$

This rate coincides with a well-known result in the limit of small plasma frequency $\omega_0 \ll m_s$ [18].

The case of longitudinal plasmon production is more involved as one must include the non-trivial renormalization factor Z_ℓ . For the non-relativistic plasma, explicit integration in Eq. (23) yields

$$W_{\text{pl}}^\ell = \frac{(G_F m_s^2)^2 C_V^2}{64\pi^2\alpha} \sin^2(2\theta_s) \omega_0 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_0}{m_s} \right)^2. \quad (27)$$

We further express Eqs. (26) and (27) in terms of the vacuum rate of Eq. (10) and find

$$W_{\text{pl}}^t = W_{\text{vac}} \frac{32\pi^2}{18\alpha^2} x_0^4 (1 - x_0^2)^2, \quad (28)$$

$$W_{\text{pl}}^\ell = W_{\text{vac}} \frac{32\pi^2}{9\alpha^2} x_0 (1 - x_0)^2, \quad (29)$$

where we have introduced, using ω_0 of Eq. (18),

$$x_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{m_s} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\alpha n_e}{m_s^2 m_e}}. \quad (30)$$




FIG. 3: Total probability W_{pl} (in units of $10^5 W_{\text{vac}}$) of the sterile-neutrino radiative decay as a function of the normalized electron number density $n_{30} = n_e/(10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ of the non-relativistic plasma. The lines correspond to the indicated values of the sterile-neutrino mass.

The kinematical constraint $x_0 < 1$ implies that typically the decay rate into longitudinal plasmons is much larger than the one involving transverse plasmons.

We next study how these probabilities depend on n_e . The total probability $W_{\text{pl}} = W_{\text{pl}}^{\ell} + W_{\text{pl}}^t$ as a function of $n_{30} = n_e/(10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ is shown in Fig. 3. The strong catalyzing effect of the plasma is clearly seen with an enhancement of up to five orders of magnitude in comparison with the vacuum result. There is also a maximum of these functions for an electron density n_e which moves to larger number densities with increasing neutrino mass.

IV. STRONGLY MAGNETIZED PLASMA

A. Analytic calculation

The influence of a strongly magnetized electron gas on the massive-neutrino radiative decay was previously studied [23], but the authors did not take into account that the decay amplitude in the plasma has contributions from both the W - and Z -boson. Moreover, the photon vacuum dispersion relation was used. Therefore, it is interesting to investigate the modifications brought about by the correct photon dispersion law and to compare the result with the un-magnetized case.

The neutrino-photon interaction is defined by the same effective Lagrangian Eq. (11) as before. The only difference is that the electron field Ψ_e is a superposition of solutions of the Dirac equation in a strong magnetic field. We take the magnetic field to be oriented along the third axis, $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, and we assume that the hierarchy of plasma parameters is

$$2eB > \mu_e^2 - m_e^2 \gg T^2. \quad (31)$$

In a strong magnetic field, the neutrino-photon interaction is mainly determined by electrons occupying the lowest Landau level [42]. So, the electron quantum field Ψ_e

is an eigenfunction of the projection operator [6, 43]

$$\Pi_- = \frac{1 + i(\gamma\varphi\gamma)}{2} = \frac{1 - i\gamma_1\gamma_2}{2}, \quad (32)$$

where $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta}/B$ is the dimensionless tensor of the external magnetic field. We use the short-hand notation $(\gamma\varphi\gamma) = \gamma^\alpha \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\beta$ for the contraction of Lorentz indices.

The properties of this projection operator reveal an effective equality [6, 43]

$$\Pi_- \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \Pi_- = (\tilde{\varphi}\gamma)_\alpha \Pi_-, \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}/B$ is the dual dimensionless tensor of the external magnetic field and $(\tilde{\varphi}\gamma)_\alpha = \tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\beta$. This equality differs from zero only at $\alpha = 0$ and 3. Therefore, we may transform the axial-vector electron current in the Lagrangian (11) to a vector current of the form

$$\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \Psi_e = \bar{\Psi}_e \Pi_- \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \Pi_- \Psi_e = \bar{\Psi}_e (\tilde{\varphi}\gamma)_\alpha \Psi_e, \quad (34)$$

where the equation $\Pi_- \Psi_e = \Psi_e$ was utilized. Therefore, Eq. (11) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = e (\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma^\alpha \Psi_e) V_\alpha, \quad (35)$$

where the local vector operator

$$V_\alpha = -\frac{G_{\text{F}}}{e\sqrt{2}} \left[C_V (\tilde{\Lambda}j)_\alpha + C_A (\tilde{\varphi}j)_\alpha \right] \quad (36)$$

has appeared and the Lorentz tensor $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu} = (\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\varphi})_{\mu\nu}$ was introduced. It determines the metric of the two-dimensional Minkowski subspace of the four-dimensional space-time [6, 43]. The direct analogy of the Lagrangian Eq. (35) with the usual electromagnetic case $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = e (\bar{\Psi}_e \gamma_\alpha \Psi_e) A^\alpha$ again allows us to use results from electrodynamics and apply them to neutrino processes after appropriate changes.

The diagrams for $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ decay and photon forward scattering on electrons in a strongly magnetized plasma are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. They differ in that the incoming-photon polarization vector $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ ($\lambda = 1, 2, 3$) in Fig. 5 is replaced with the effective neutrino current V_α of Eq. (36) in Fig. 4. We implicitly assume forward scattering of a photon of definite polarization λ as well as the production of a photon with polarization λ in the sterile-neutrino decay. Note that the basis of photon polarization vectors $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ now consists of the eigenvectors of the photon polarization operator in the magnetized electron gas

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda)\beta} = \Pi_\lambda \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\lambda)}. \quad (37)$$

This basis generally differs from the basis $\varepsilon_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ (21) relevant for the un-magnetized case. The operator $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ receives contributions from both the plasma and the external magnetic field. The solution of the self-consistent eigenvalue problem in this case is rather complicated and has not yet been achieved in the general case [44].




FIG. 4: Feynman graph for $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ decay in a strongly magnetized plasma. The crosses at the ends of the electron lines specify that electrons pertain to the plasma. Double lines indicate that the effect of an external magnetic field is taken into account.




FIG. 5: Diagram for photon forward scattering in analogy to Fig. 4.

However, as usual, limiting cases bring simplifications and allow us to find analytic solutions. The limit of a strongly magnetized electron plasma is a beautiful case in point. In particular, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the corresponding photon polarization operator was found as a power expansion in the inverse magnetic field strength [45]. In this plasma there are only two physical states of the photon [44] which largely coincide with the photon polarization vectors in the constant uniform magnetic field [6, 43]

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(1)} \approx \frac{(q\varphi)_\alpha}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(2)} \approx \frac{(q\tilde{\varphi})_\alpha}{\sqrt{q_\parallel^2}}. \quad (38)$$

The short-hand notations $q_\perp^2 = q_\mu \varphi^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{\nu\rho} q^\rho$ and $q_\parallel^2 = q_\mu \tilde{\varphi}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\varphi}_{\nu\rho} q^\rho$ for the Lorentz contractions were used. The third polarization vector $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(3)}$ is reduced to the photon four-momentum q_μ and can be eliminated by a gauge transformation [44, 46].

Therefore, to write the sterile-neutrino decay amplitude one needs to know also the corresponding eigenval-

ues Π_λ of the polarization operator with $\lambda = 1, 2$ [44, 47]:

$$\Pi_1 \approx -\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \omega \mu_e V_F \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{q_\parallel^2}}, \quad (39)$$

$$\Pi_2 \approx \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} eB V_F \frac{q_\parallel^2}{\omega^2 - V_F^2 k_3^2}. \quad (40)$$

Here, ω is the photon energy, k_3 is the projection of the photon momentum on the magnetic-field direction, and $V_F = p_F/\mu_e$ is the Fermi velocity with μ_e the electron chemical potential. Equations (39) and (40) apply when the kinematical condition on the photon energy $\omega \lesssim m_s \ll m_e$ is satisfied.

To go further, it is instructive to compare the above eigenvalues under the plasma conditions of Eq. (31). With the values of the parameters entering Eqs. (39) and (40) close to what is maximally allowed, i. e., $\omega \sim m_s$, $k_3 \ll m_s$, and $q^2, q_\parallel^2 \sim m_s^2$, one easily obtains

$$\left| \frac{\Pi_1}{\Pi_2} \right| \simeq \frac{\mu_e m_s}{eB} \lesssim \frac{m_s}{\mu_e} \ll 1. \quad (41)$$

This means that if both eigenvalues contribute to the decay amplitude with weights of the same order in m_s , terms with Π_1 can be neglected in the amplitude.

Let us apply the procedure explained above which was successfully worked out in the case of pure plasma. More precisely, after the replacement of the photon polarization vector $\mathcal{E}_\beta^{(\lambda)} \rightarrow V_\beta$ by the neutrino current in Eq. (13), one can express the sterile-neutrino decay amplitude through the photon polarization operator $\Pi_{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$M_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{G_F}{e\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\lambda)*} \Pi^{\alpha\beta} \left[C_V (\tilde{\Lambda}j)_\beta + C_A (\tilde{\varphi}j)_\beta \right]. \quad (42)$$

Comparison of the amplitude $M_{\text{pl+f}}$ obtained with the similar one of Eq. (14) calculated in the pure plasma shows that C_A appears and can no longer be neglected as will be demonstrated later. Taking into account the hierarchy of the polarization operator eigenvalues Eq. (41), mainly photons with the polarization $\lambda = 2$ are produced in this decay. So, the photon polarization vector should be identified with $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(2)}$. As a result, the decay amplitude can be rewritten in the form

$$M_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{G_F}{e\sqrt{2}} \Pi_2 \left[C_V (\mathcal{E}^{(2)*} \tilde{\Lambda}j) + C_A (\mathcal{E}^{(2)*} \tilde{\varphi}j) \right], \quad (43)$$

where the neutrino current j_α is given in Eq. (12). The effective neutrino current V_α in the strongly magnetized plasma, where all electrons are in the lowest Landau level, is the projection of j_α on the two-dimensional Minkowski subspace and thus is orthogonal to the other polarization vector with $\lambda = 1$, i. e., $(\mathcal{E}^{(1)}V) = 0$.

After substituting the polarization vector $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(2)}$ (38) and corresponding eigenvalue Π_2 (40) in Eq. (43), we arrive at the final form of the decay amplitude

$$M_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{G_F \Omega_0^2}{e\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{q_\parallel^2} \frac{C_V (q\tilde{\varphi}j) + C_A (q\tilde{\Lambda}j)}{\omega^2 - V_F^2 k_3^2}. \quad (44)$$

We have introduced the plasma frequency

$$\Omega_0^2 = \frac{2\alpha e B}{\pi} V_F \quad (45)$$

relevant in the magnetized electron plasma.

The probability of the $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ decay requires a phase-space integration of the amplitude squared (44), including the appropriate dispersion relations. The magnetized plasma does not strongly modify the active-neutrino dispersion properties. To get the modified dispersion relation for a photon with polarization λ one needs to solve

$$q^2 = \Pi_\lambda. \quad (46)$$

For a photon with $\lambda = 2$ it can be written as

$$\omega^2 = k_3^2 + k_\perp^2 + \Omega_0^2 \frac{\omega^2 - k_3^2}{\omega^2 - V_F^2 k_3^2}. \quad (47)$$

When the photon momentum vanishes, $k_3^2 = k_\perp^2 = 0$, the photon energy is $\omega = \Omega_0$ and means the effective photon mass in the magnetized plasma. Note that the plasma frequency squared (45) differs from the similar quantity (18) defined in the un-magnetized plasma.

The $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ decay can only occur if $\Omega_0 < m_s$. This requirement restricts the Fermi velocity to

$$V_F^2 < 0.01 \left(\frac{B_e}{B} \right)^2 \left(\frac{m_s}{10 \text{ keV}} \right)^4. \quad (48)$$

This expression shows that the radiative decay of a sterile neutrino with mass 2–20 keV in a highly magnetized plasma requires the latter to be nonrelativistic.

The decay probability has the standard form of an integral over phase space of the final-state particles

$$W_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m_s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_a}{E_a} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{\omega} \times \delta^{(4)}(p_s - p_a - q) [1 + f_\gamma(\omega)] Z_{A2} |M_{\text{pl+f}}|^2, \quad (49)$$

where $p_s^\mu = (m_s, \mathbf{0})$ is the sterile-neutrino four-momentum in its rest frame, $p_a^\mu = (E_a, \mathbf{p}_a)$ is the four-momentum of the active neutrino, and the factor Z_{A2} defined in Eq. (24) accounts for the photon wave-function renormalization.

After performing the integration over the active neutrino momentum \mathbf{p}_a and the azimuth angle in the cylindrical momentum frame of the photon, Eq. (49) becomes

$$W_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{1}{32\pi m_s} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_3 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_\perp^2}{E_a \omega} \times \delta(m_s - E_a - \omega) [1 + f_\gamma(\omega)] Z_{A2} |M_{\text{pl+f}}|^2. \quad (50)$$

The remaining integrations are not simple as one should include the non-trivial photon dispersion relation of Eq. (47) and consequently the active-neutrino energy in the form $E_a = m_s - \omega$. It is convenient to remove the variable k_\perp^2 in favor of ω by

$$dk_\perp^2 = 2\omega \left| \frac{\partial k_\perp^2}{\partial \omega^2} \right| d\omega. \quad (51)$$

In the new variables k_3 and ω , the integration area is divided into two parts, leading to

$$W_{\text{pl+f}} = \frac{1}{16\pi m_s} \left[\int_{\Omega_0}^{\Omega_F} d\omega \int_0^{k_{3F}} dk_3 F(\omega, k_3) + \int_{\Omega_F}^\infty d\omega \int_0^\omega dk_3 F(\omega, k_3) \right], \quad (52)$$

where $\Omega_F = \Omega_0 / \sqrt{1 - V_F^2}$ and $k_{3F} = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \Omega_0^2} / V_F$. The integrand $F(\omega, k_3)$ in Eq. (52) can be represented as

$$F(\omega, k_3) = \left| \frac{\partial k_\perp^2}{\partial \omega^2} \right| \frac{\delta(m_s - E_a - \omega)}{E_a} [1 + f_\gamma(\omega)] \left(|M_{\text{pl+f}}|^2 + |M_{\text{pl+f}}|_{k_3 \rightarrow -k_3}^2 \right). \quad (53)$$

The calculation of the squared matrix element is not complicated and one finds

$$|M_{\text{pl+f}}|^2 + |M_{\text{pl+f}}|_{k_3 \rightarrow -k_3}^2 = \frac{(G_F \Omega_0^2)^2}{8\alpha\pi} \sin^2(2\theta_s) \times \frac{q_\parallel^2}{(\omega^2 - V_F^2 k_3^2)^2} \left\{ 4m_s^2 [C_A^2 \omega^2 + C_V^2 k_3^2] + q_\parallel^2 [(C_V^2 - C_A^2)(m_s^2 - q^2) - 4C_A^2 m_s \omega] \right\}. \quad (54)$$

This is our final analytical result for the probability of the sterile-neutrino radiative decay.

B. Approximations and limiting cases

In applications it may be more useful to have a simple approximate formula valid in certain parameter ranges. We adopt $m_s = 2\text{--}20$ keV as before and $B = 1\text{--}10 B_e$ to guarantee strong magnetization. In particular, for $m_s = 10$ keV

and $B = 10 B_e$ we find

$$\frac{W_{\text{pl+f}}}{W_{\text{vac}}} \approx \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha^2} \left[15.93 \frac{(1-x_0)^{0.65}}{x_0^{18.09}} \exp\left(-11.79 \frac{(1-x_0)}{x_0}\right) + 1168.96 (1-x_0)^{1.46} x_0^{3.88} \exp\left(-0.089 \frac{x_0}{1-x_0}\right) \right], \quad (55)$$

where $x_0 = \Omega_0/m_s$. The first function within the square brackets mainly determines the behavior at large x_0 values, while the second one is for small x_0 . The variation of m_s and B in our chosen parameter range causes only very small changes in the approximation formula. Also, the impact of the stimulating statistical factor $[1 + f_\gamma(\omega)]$ is numerically small as in the un-magnetized plasma.

In the same parameter range we can get another approximate representation for the decay probability. Equation (48) reveals that the Fermi velocity is always small. In the $V_F \ll 1$ limit the integrand in Eq. (53) becomes a relatively simple function and can be integrated analytically,

$$W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{n-rel}} = W_{\text{vac}} \frac{256 \pi^2}{25515 \alpha^2} (C_V^2 + C_A^2) [\theta(2x_0 - 1) F_1^{\text{n-rel}}(x_0) + \theta(1 - 2x_0) F_2^{\text{n-rel}}(x_0)], \quad (56)$$

where the functions $F_{1,2}^{\text{n-rel}}(x_0)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{\text{n-rel}}(x_0) &= \frac{2835 x_0^4}{32} \int_0^{1/x_0-1} (1-x^2) [1+x_0^2(1-x^2)] [1+3x^2-x_0^2(1-x^2)^2] dx \\ &= -\frac{11}{x_0} + 129x_0 - 210x_0^2 + 168x_0^3 - 84x_0^4 - 24x_0^6 + 32x_0^8, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$F_2^{\text{n-rel}}(x_0) = \frac{2835 x_0^4}{32} \int_0^1 (1-x^2) [1+x_0^2(1-x^2)] [1+3x^2-x_0^2(1-x^2)^2] dx = 4x_0^4 (21 + 6x_0^2 - 8x_0^4). \quad (58)$$

Here, the integration variable $x = \omega/m_s$ is the reduced photon energy. The reduced plasma frequency $x_0 = \Omega_0/m_s$ is restricted to the interval $0 < x_0 < 1$ because of the decay kinematics. The variation of $W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{n-rel}}$ with x_0 is shown in Fig. 6 where both Eqs. (55) and (56) coincide numerically.

At $C_V = C_A = 1$ and $\Omega_0 \ll m_s$ ($x_0 \ll 1$) we reproduce the known result [23]

$$W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{n-rel}} = \frac{256 \pi^2}{135 \alpha^2} x_0^4 W_{\text{vac}}. \quad (59)$$




FIG. 6: Radiative decay probability of sterile neutrinos in a non-relativistic strongly magnetized plasma as a function of the reduced plasma frequency $x_0 = \Omega_0/m_s$.

In Fig. 7 we compare the radiative decay rate for the un-magnetized (dashed lines) and strongly magnetized (solid lines) plasma as a function of the electron density. For the chosen field strength $B = B_e$ we clearly see that the decay rate is suppressed by a large factor, but of course it is still much faster than in vacuum. The maximum decay rate is shifted to somewhat larger electron densities, reflecting the different dependence of the plasma frequency on n_e .




FIG. 7: Sterile-neutrino radiative decay probability for the indicated mass values as a function of the electron density $n_{30} = n_e/(10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-3})$. Dashed lines: un-magnetized plasma. Solid lines: strongly magnetized plasma with $B = B_e = 4.41 \times 10^{13}$ Gauss.

As discussed in the introduction, there exist regions in astrophysical objects where the plasma is relativistic and strongly magnetized and the photon obtains a relatively large effective mass which increases with magnetic field as $\Omega_0 \simeq 34.7 \text{ keV} \sqrt{B/B_e}$. To allow sterile neutrinos with $m_s < 20 \text{ keV}$ to decay, the magnetic field must satisfy $B < B_e/3$. At larger B values the plasmon starts to decay into neutrino pairs and, in particular, the decay mode $\gamma \rightarrow \nu_a + \nu_s$ begins to open. Nevertheless, even larger masses of up to $m_s = 100 \text{ keV}$ have been considered and in such cases the production of photons with $\Omega_0 \sim 40 \text{ keV}$ is kinematically possible.

If the strongly magnetized plasma satisfies these conditions, it begins to be relativistic, i.e.,

$$V_F \simeq \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_e^2}{\mu_e^2}} \rightarrow 1. \quad (60)$$

The decay probability (52) simplifies in this limiting case and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{rel}} &= \frac{(G_F m_s^2)^2}{64\pi^2 \alpha} m_s \sin^2(2\theta_s) (C_V^2 + C_A^2) \quad (61) \\ &\times \left[1 - e^{-m_s(1+x_0^2)/(2T)} \right]^{-1} x_0^4 (1+x_0^2) \\ &\times \left[F(x_0, V_F) + \theta \left(1 - x_0 \sqrt{\frac{1+V_F}{1-V_F}} \right) \tilde{F}(x_0, V_F) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Analytical expressions for the functions $F(x_0, V_F)$ and $\tilde{F}(x_0, V_F)$ are given in Appendix A.

This probability can be further simplified in the limiting case of a very small plasma frequency, $x_0 \ll m_e/\mu_e$, where we find

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{rel}} &\simeq \frac{(G_F \Omega_0^2)^2}{64\pi^2 \alpha} m_s \sin^2(2\theta_s) (C_V^2 + C_A^2) \quad (62) \\ &\times \left[1 - e^{-m_s/(2T)} \right]^{-1} \left[\ln \frac{2\mu_e}{m_e} - \frac{5}{4} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

A simplification also obtains in the opposite limit $x_0 \gg m_e/\mu_e$, i.e., with the effective photon mass close to the kinematical limit m_s ,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{rel}} &\simeq \frac{(G_F m_s^2)^2}{64\pi^2 \alpha} m_s \sin^2(2\theta_s) (C_V^2 + C_A^2) \quad (63) \\ &\times \left[1 - e^{-m_s(1+x_0^2)/(2T)} \right]^{-1} \\ &\times x_0^4 \left[(1+x_0^2) \ln \frac{1}{x_0} - \frac{1}{8} (1-x_0^2) (3+x_0^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the radiative neutrino decay $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_a + \gamma$ of a sterile neutrino with cosmologically interesting masses of some 10 keV in a dense magnetized and un-magnetized electron plasma. Our work goes beyond the previous literature in that for the first time we have consistently included the modified photon dispersion relation. The kinematical requirement that the photon effective mass must be smaller than m_s then implies that we should typically restrict the plasma parameters to non-relativistic conditions.

The decay rate in plasma is always much larger than the vacuum rate because the neutrino-photon interaction is mediated by electrons of the plasma instead of virtual states. In the un-magnetized case, the decay rate exceeds the vacuum rate by some 5 orders of magnitude, in detail depending on the electron density. In a strongly magnetized plasma the enhancement is significantly smaller, i.e., the decay is slowed down by a strong magnetic field. The effective number density of contributing electrons is here restricted to the lowest Landau level. It is also noteworthy that in this case the electron axial-current interaction C_A with neutrinos contributes on the same level as the vector-current C_V , in contrast to the un-magnetized case where the vector current dominates by far. This difference would be especially important if the final state active flavor is not ν_e because for ν_μ and ν_τ the vector-coupling constant C_V nearly vanishes.

Acknowledgments

One of us (A. D.) thanks I. S. Ognev and A. Ya. Parkhomenko for helpful discussions. Financial support in the framework of the Michail-Lomonosov-Program of the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Project No. 11.9164.2014) is acknowledged. This work was partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project No. 14-02-00233-a) and by the German-Russian Interdisciplinary Science Center (G-RISC) funded by the German Federal Foreign Office via DAAD under Project No. P-2013b-19. Partial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Grant No. EXC-153 (Cluster of Excellence Origin and Structure of the Universe) and by the European Union under Grant No. PITN-GA-2011-289442 (FP7 Initial Training Network ‘‘Invisibles’’) is acknowledged.

Appendix A: Probability in the Limit of Relativistic Plasma

The probability of sterile-neutrino radiative decay in a relativistic magnetized electron plasma has the form

$$W_{\text{pl+f}}^{\text{rel}} = \frac{(G_{\text{F}} m_s^2)^2}{64\pi^2\alpha} m_s \sin^2(2\theta_s) (C_V^2 + C_A^2) \left[1 - e^{-m_s(1+x_0^2)/(2T)} \right]^{-1} x_0^4 (1+x_0^2) \times \left[\int_0^a dx f(x, x_0) + \Theta \left(1 - x_0 \sqrt{\frac{1+V_{\text{F}}}{1-V_{\text{F}}}} \right) \int_a^1 dx f(x, x_0) \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $x = 2k_3/[m_s(1+x_0^2)]$, $x_0 = \Omega_0/m_s$, $a = (1-x_0^2)/(1+x_0^2)$, $\Theta(x)$ is the unit-step function, and the integrand is

$$f(x, x_0) = \frac{1-x^2}{(1-V_{\text{F}}^2 x^2)^2} - \frac{3+x_0^2}{4} \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{(1-V_{\text{F}}^2 x^2)^2}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

So, there are two simple integrals:

$$F_1(y, V_{\text{F}}) = \int_0^y \frac{(1-x^2) dx}{(1-V_{\text{F}}^2 x^2)^2} = -\frac{y}{2V_{\text{F}}^2} \left(\frac{1-V_{\text{F}}^2}{1-V_{\text{F}}^2 y^2} + \frac{1+V_{\text{F}}^2}{2V_{\text{F}} y} \ln \frac{1-V_{\text{F}} y}{1+V_{\text{F}} y} \right), \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$F_2(y, V_{\text{F}}) = \int_0^y \frac{(1-x^2)^2 dx}{(1-V_{\text{F}}^2 x^2)^2} = \frac{y}{2V_{\text{F}}^4} \left(2 + \frac{(1-V_{\text{F}}^2)^2}{1-V_{\text{F}}^2 y^2} + \frac{(3+V_{\text{F}}^2)(1-V_{\text{F}}^2)}{2V_{\text{F}} y} \ln \frac{1-V_{\text{F}} y}{1+V_{\text{F}} y} \right). \quad (\text{A4})$$

The two integrals in Eq. (A1) are

$$F(x_0, V_{\text{F}}) \equiv \int_0^a dx f(x, x_0) = F_{12}(a, V_{\text{F}}) + \frac{1-x_0^2}{4} F_2(a, V_{\text{F}}), \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\tilde{F}(x_0, V_{\text{F}}) \equiv \int_a^1 dx f(x, x_0) = F_{12}(1, V_{\text{F}}) - F_{12}(a, V_{\text{F}}) + \frac{1-x_0^2}{4} [F_2(1, V_{\text{F}}) - F_2(a, V_{\text{F}})], \quad (\text{A6})$$

where it is convenient to use the difference of the integrals (A3) and (A4),

$$F_{12}(y, V_{\text{F}}) \equiv F_1(y, V_{\text{F}}) - F_2(y, V_{\text{F}}) = -\frac{y}{2V_{\text{F}}^4} \left[2 + \frac{1-V_{\text{F}}^2}{1-V_{\text{F}}^2 y^2} + \frac{3-V_{\text{F}}^2}{2V_{\text{F}} y} \ln \frac{1-V_{\text{F}} y}{1+V_{\text{F}} y} \right]. \quad (\text{A7})$$

We substitute $x_0^2 = (1-a)/(1+a)$ in Eqs. (A5) and (A6) and use the specific values of the functions (A4) and (A7)

$$F_2(1, V_{\text{F}}) = \frac{1}{2V_{\text{F}}^4} \left[3 - V_{\text{F}}^2 + \frac{(3+V_{\text{F}}^2)(1-V_{\text{F}}^2)}{2V_{\text{F}}} \ln \frac{1-V_{\text{F}}}{1+V_{\text{F}}} \right], \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$F_{12}(1, V_{\text{F}}) = -\frac{1}{2V_{\text{F}}^4} \left[3 + \frac{3-V_{\text{F}}^2}{2V_{\text{F}}} \ln \frac{1-V_{\text{F}}}{1+V_{\text{F}}} \right] \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$(\text{A10})$$

in Eq. (A6). We thus arrive at the final analytical result Eq. (61) for the decay probability of the sterile neutrino.

-
- [1] R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, "Formation of very strongly magnetized neutron stars: Implications for gamma-ray bursts," *Astrophys. J.* **392**, L9 (1992).
[2] C. Thompson and R. C. Duncan, "The soft gamma repeaters as very strongly magnetized neutron stars — 1. Radiative mechanism for outbursts," *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **275**, 255 (1995).
[3] J. B. Adams, M. A. Ruderman and C.-H. Woo, "Neutrino pair emission by a stellar plasma," *Phys. Rev.* **129**, 1383 (1963).
[4] P. B. Pal and L. Wolfenstein, "Radiative decays of massive neutrinos," *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 766 (1982).
[5] G. G. Raffelt, *Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996).
[6] A. Kuznetsov and N. Mikheev, "Electroweak processes in external active media," *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **252**, 1 (2013).
[7] D. V. Galtsov and N. S. Nikitina, "Photoneutrino pro-

- cesses in a strong field,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **62**, 2008 (1972) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **35**, 1047 (1972)].
- [8] V. V. Skobelev, “On the $\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ and $\nu \rightarrow \gamma\nu$ reactions in strong magnetic fields,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **71**, 1263 (1976) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **44**, 660 (1976)].
- [9] A. N. Ioannian and G. G. Raffelt, “Cherenkov radiation by massless neutrinos in a magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7038 (1997) [hep-ph/9612285].
- [10] A. A. Gvozdev, N. V. Mikheev and L. A. Vasilevskaya, “The radiative decay of the massive neutrino in the external electromagnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5674 (1996) [hep-ph/9610219].
- [11] S. L. Adler, “Photon splitting and photon dispersion in a strong magnetic field,” *Annals Phys.* **67**, 599 (1971).
- [12] I. A. Batalin and A. E. Shabad, “Green’s function of a photon in a homogeneous electromagnetic field of general form,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **60**, 894 (1971) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **33**, 483 (1971)].
- [13] W.-Y. Tsai, “Vacuum polarization in homogeneous magnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 2699 (1974).
- [14] A. A. Gvozdev, N. V. Mikheev and L. A. Vasilevskaya, “Resonance neutrino bremsstrahlung $\nu \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ in a strong magnetic field,” *Phys. Lett. B* **410**, 211 (1997) [hep-ph/9702285].
- [15] V. N. Tsytovich, “The absorption of electromagnetic waves by neutrinos,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **45**, 1183 (1963) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **18**, 816 (1964)].
- [16] V. N. Oraevsky, V. B. Semikoz and Y. A. Smorodinsky, “Polarization loss and induced electric charge of neutrinos in plasmas,” *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **43**, 549 (1986) [*JETP Lett.* **43**, 709 (1986)].
- [17] J. C. D’Olivo, J. F. Nieves and P. B. Pal, “Radiative neutrino decay in a medium,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1088 (1990).
- [18] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim and W. P. Lam, “Radiative decay and magnetic moment of neutrinos in matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 164 (1991).
- [19] R. F. Sawyer, “Production of single plasmons and photons by neutrinos in a medium,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 1180 (1992).
- [20] J. C. D’Olivo, J. F. Nieves and P. B. Pal, “Cherenkov radiation by massless neutrinos,” *Phys. Lett. B* **365**, 178 (1996) [hep-ph/9509415].
- [21] S. J. Hardy and D. B. Melrose, “Ponderomotive force due to neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 6491 (1996).
- [22] M. V. Chistyakov and N. V. Mikheev, “Radiative neutrino transition $\nu \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ in strongly magnetized plasma,” *Phys. Lett. B* **467**, 232 (1999) [hep-ph/9907345].
- [23] A. I. Ternov and P. A. Eminov, “Decay of a massive neutrino in magnetized electron gas,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 113001 (2013).
- [24] S. A. Olausen and V. M. Kaspi, “The McGill Magnetar Catalog,” *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **212**, 6 (2014) [arXiv:1309.4167].
- [25] H. Pérez Rojas and A. E. Shabad, “Polarization of relativistic electron and positron gas in a strong magnetic field. Propagation of electromagnetic waves,” *Annals Phys.* **121**, 432 (1979).
- [26] H. Pérez Rojas and A. E. Shabad, “Absorption and dispersion of electromagnetic eigenwaves of electron-positron plasma in a strong magnetic field,” *Annals Phys.* **138**, 1 (1982).
- [27] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Course of Theoretical Physics. Vol. 5. Statistical Physics. Part 1* (Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1980).
- [28] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy and M. Shaposhnikov, “The role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59**, 191 (2009) [arXiv:0901.0011].
- [29] G. G. Raffelt and S. Zhou, “Supernova bound on keV-mass sterile neutrinos reexamined,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 093014 (2011) [arXiv:1102.5124].
- [30] L. Canetti, M. Drewes, T. Frossard and M. Shaposhnikov, “Dark matter, baryogenesis and neutrino oscillations from right handed neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 093006 (2013) [arXiv:1208.4607].
- [31] M. Drewes, “The phenomenology of right handed neutrinos,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **22**, 1330019 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6912].
- [32] K. N. Abazajian *et al.*, “Light sterile neutrinos: A white paper,” arXiv:1204.5379.
- [33] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, R. K. Smith, M. Loewenstein and S. W. Randall, “Detection of an unidentified emission line in the stacked x-ray spectrum of galaxy clusters,” *Astrophys. J.* **789**, 13 (2014) [arXiv:1402.2301].
- [34] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, D. Iakubovskiy and J. Franse, “An unidentified line in x-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy and Perseus galaxy cluster,” arXiv:1402.4119.
- [35] A. Boyarsky, J. Franse, D. Iakubovskiy and O. Ruchayskiy, “Checking the dark matter origin of 3.53 keV line with the Milky Way center,” arXiv:1408.2503.
- [36] S. Riemer-Sørensen, “Questioning a 3.5 keV dark matter emission line,” arXiv:1405.7943.
- [37] T. E. Jeltema and S. Profumo, “Dark matter searches going bananas: The contribution of potassium (and chlorine) to the 3.5 keV line,” arXiv:1408.1699.
- [38] V. B. Berestetsky, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevsky, *Course of Theoretical Physics. Vol. 4. Quantum Electrodynamics* (Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1982).
- [39] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Reading, Addison-Wesley, 1995).
- [40] Y. Kohyama, N. Itoh and H. Munakata, “Neutrino energy loss in stellar interiors. II. Axial-vector contribution to the plasma neutrino energy loss rate,” *Astrophys. J.* **310**, 815 (1986).
- [41] E. Braaten and D. Segel, “Neutrino energy loss from the plasma process at all temperatures and densities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 1478 (1993) [hep-ph/9302213].
- [42] V. V. Skobelev, “Polarization operator of a photon in an ultraintense magnetic field,” *Izv. Vuz. Fiz.* **18**, 142 (1975) [*Russ. Phys. J.* **18**, 1481 (1975)].
- [43] A. Kuznetsov and N. Mikheev, “Electroweak processes in external electromagnetic fields,” *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **197**, 1 (2004).
- [44] M. V. Chistyakov, D. A. Romyantsev and N. S. Stus’, “Photon splitting and Compton scattering in strongly magnetized hot plasma,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 043007 (2012) [arXiv:1207.6273].
- [45] N. V. Mikheev, D. A. Romyantsev and M. V. Chistyakov, “Photoproduction of a neutrino on an electron in a dense magnetized medium,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **146**, 289 (2014) [*J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **119**, 251 (2014)].
- [46] A. E. Shabad, “Polarization of the vacuum and a quantum relativistic gas in an external field,” in *Proceedings of the Lebedev Physics Institute. Vol. 191.*, ed. by V. L. Ginzburg (New York, Nova Sci. Publ. 1992).

- [47] M. V. Chistyakov and D. A. Romyantsev, “Influence of the photon-neutrino processes on magnetar cooling,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **134**, 627 (2008) [*J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **107**, 533 (2008)] [arXiv:0811.4526].