

CROSSED PRODUCTS BY HILBERT PRO- C^* -BIMODULES VERSUS TENSOR PRODUCTS

MARIA JOIȚA

ABSTRACT. We show that if (X, A) and (Y, B) are two isomorphic Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules, then the crossed product $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ of A by X and the crossed product $B \times_Y \mathbb{Z}$ of B by Y are isomorphic as pro- C^* -algebras. We also prove a property of "associativity" between " \otimes_{\min} " and " \times_X " as well as " \otimes_{\max} " and " \times_X ". As an application of these results we show that the crossed product of a nuclear pro- C^* -algebra A by a full Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule X is a nuclear pro- C^* -algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

The crossed product $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ of a C^* -algebra A by an automorphism α is isomorphic with the C^* -algebra generated by the spectral subspaces $(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})_0$ and $(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})_1$ of the dual action of the unit circle on $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ induced by α . A natural question is when given a C^* -algebra B and an action of the unit circle on B , there is a C^* -algebra B_0 and an automorphism α_0 of B_0 such that B is isomorphic to the crossed product of B_0 by α_0 . To answer to this question, B. Abadie, S. Eilers and R. Exel [AEE] introduced the notion of crossed product by Hilbert C^* -bimodules that is a generalization of the notion of crossed product by automorphisms. Here, the automorphism α of A is replaced by a Hilbert C^* -bimodule X over A . It is known that the crossed product of C^* -algebras by automorphisms is invariant under conjugacy, and the minimal tensor product $(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ of the crossed product $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ of A by α is isomorphic to the crossed product of $A \otimes_{\min} B$ by the automorphism $\alpha \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B$. It is natural to ask if these results can be extended in the context of crossed products by Hilbert C^* -bimodules. Crossed products of pro- C^* -algebras by inverse limit actions of locally compact groups were first considered by N.C. Phillips [P1] and secondly by M. JoiȚa [J2]. In [JZ1], M. JoiȚa and I. Zarakas extended the construction of B. Abadie, S. Eilers and R. Exel in the context of pro- C^* -algebras.

In this paper we prove that if two Hilbert (pro-) C^* -bimodules are isomorphic, then the crossed products associated are isomorphic. Also we show that given a Hilbert (pro-) C^* -bimodule (X, A) and a (pro-) C^* -algebra B , the crossed product associated to $(X \otimes_{\min} B, A \otimes_{\min} B)$ is isomorphic to the minimal tensor product of the crossed product associated to (X, A) and B , and the crossed product associated to $(X \otimes_{\max} B, A \otimes_{\max} B)$ is isomorphic to the maximal tensor product of the crossed product associated to (X, A) and B . As an application of these results we show

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 48L08; 48L05.

Key words and phrases. pro- C^* -algebras, Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules, multipliers, crossed products.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

that the crossed product $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ of a nuclear pro- C^* -algebra A by a full Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule X is a nuclear pro- C^* -algebra.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *pro- C^* -algebra* is a complete Hausdorff topological $*$ -algebra A whose topology is given by a directed family of C^* -seminorms $\{p_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Throughout this paper all vector spaces are considered over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Also the topology on the pro- C^* -algebras A and B is given by the family of C^* -seminorms $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, respectively $\Gamma' = \{q_\delta; \delta \in \Delta\}$.

A *pro- C^* -morphism* is a continuous $*$ -morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ (that is, φ is linear, $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ or all $a, b \in A$, $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$ and for each $q_\delta \in \Gamma'$, there is $p_\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $q_\delta(\varphi(a)) \leq p_\lambda(a)$ for all $a \in A$). An invertible pro- C^* -morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a *pro- C^* -isomorphism* if φ^{-1} is also pro- C^* -morphism.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\ker p_\lambda$ is a closed $*$ -bilateral ideal and $A_\lambda = A/\ker p_\lambda$ is a C^* -algebra in the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{p_\lambda}$ induced by p_λ (that is, $\|a + \ker p_\lambda\|_{p_\lambda} = p_\lambda(a)$, for all $a \in A$). The canonical map from A to A_λ is denoted by π_λ^A , $\pi_\lambda^A(a) = a + \ker p_\lambda$ for all $a \in A$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\mu \leq \lambda$ there is a surjective C^* -morphism $\pi_{\lambda\mu}^A : A_\lambda \rightarrow A_\mu$ such that $\pi_{\lambda\mu}^A(a + \ker p_\lambda) = a + \ker p_\mu$, and then $\{A_\lambda; \pi_{\lambda\mu}^A\}_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda}$ is an inverse system of C^* -algebras. Moreover, pro- C^* -algebras A and $\lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} A_\lambda$ are isomorphic (the Arens-Michael decomposition of A). For more details we refer the reader [F, P].

Here we recall some basic facts from [J1] and [Z] regarding Hilbert pro- C^* -modules and Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules respectively.

A *right Hilbert pro- C^* -module over A* (or just *Hilbert A -module*), is a linear space X that is also a right A -module equipped with a right A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$, that is \mathbb{C} - and A -linear in the second variable and conjugate linear in the first variable, with the following properties:

- (1) $\langle x, x \rangle_A \geq 0$ and $\langle x, x \rangle_A = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (2) $(\langle x, y \rangle_A)^* = \langle y, x \rangle_A$

and which is complete with respect to the topology given by the family of seminorms $\{p_\lambda^A\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, with $p_\lambda^A(x) = p_\lambda(\langle x, x \rangle_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in X$. A Hilbert A -module is *full* if the pro- C^* -subalgebra of A generated by $\{\langle x, y \rangle_A; x, y \in X\}$ coincides with A .

A *left Hilbert pro- C^* -module X* over a pro- C^* -algebra A is defined in the same way, where for instance the completeness is requested with respect to the family of seminorms $\{{}^A p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, where ${}^A p_\lambda(x) = p_\lambda({}_A \langle x, x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in X$.

In case X is a left Hilbert pro- C^* -module over A and a right Hilbert pro- C^* -module over B , the topology on B is given by the family of C^* -seminorms $\{q_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, such that the following relations hold:

- ${}_A \langle x, y \rangle z = x \langle y, z \rangle_B$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- $q_\lambda^B(ax) \leq p_\lambda(a)q_\lambda^B(x)$ and ${}^A p_\lambda(xb) \leq q_\lambda(b){}^A p_\lambda(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

then we say that X is a *Hilbert $A - B$ pro- C^* -bimodule*.

A Hilbert $A - B$ pro- C^* -bimodule X is *full* if it is full as a right and as a left Hilbert pro- C^* -module. Clearly, any pro- C^* -algebra A has a canonical structure of Hilbert $A - A$ bimodule with the inner products given by ${}_A \langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$, respectively $\langle a, b \rangle_A = a^*b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

A morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules from a Hilbert $A - A$ pro- C^* -bimodule X to a Hilbert $B - B$ pro- C^* -bimodule Y is a pair (Φ, φ) consisting of a pro- C^* -morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and a map $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$, such that the following relations hold:

- ${}_B \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = \varphi({}_A \langle x, y \rangle)$ and $\Phi(ax) = \varphi(a)\Phi(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $a \in A$,
- $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle_B = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle_A)$ and $\Phi(xa) = \Phi(x)\varphi(a)$ for all $x, y \in X$, $a \in A$.

A morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\Phi, \varphi) : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is an isomorphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules if Φ and φ are invertible and $(\Phi^{-1}, \varphi^{-1}) : (Y, B) \rightarrow (X, A)$ is a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules.

Let X be a Hilbert $A - A$ pro- C^* -bimodule. Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, ${}^A p_\lambda(x) = p_\lambda^A(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and the normed space $X_\lambda = X / \ker p_\lambda^A$, where $\ker p_\lambda^A = \{x \in X; p_\lambda^A(x) = 0\}$, is complete in the norm $\|x + \ker p_\lambda^A\|_{X_\lambda} = p_\lambda^A(x)$, $x \in X$. Moreover, X_λ has a canonical structure of a Hilbert $A_\lambda - A_\lambda$ C^* -bimodule with $\langle x + \ker p_\lambda^A, y + \ker p_\lambda^A \rangle_{A_\lambda} = \langle x, y \rangle_A + \ker p_\lambda$ and ${}_{A_\lambda} \langle x + \ker p_\lambda^A, y + \ker p_\lambda^A \rangle = {}_A \langle x, y \rangle + \ker p_\lambda$ for all $x, y \in X$. The canonical surjection from X to X_λ is denoted by σ_λ^X . For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \geq \mu$, there is a canonical surjective linear map $\sigma_{\lambda\mu}^X : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\mu$ such that $\sigma_{\lambda\mu}^X(x + \ker p_\lambda^A) = x + \ker p_\mu^A$ for all $x \in X$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \geq \mu$, $(\sigma_{\lambda\mu}^X, \pi_{\lambda\mu}^A)$ is a morphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodule and $\lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} X_\lambda$ has a canonical structure of Hilbert $\lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} A_\lambda - \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} A_\lambda$ bimodule. Moreover, $X = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} X_\lambda$, up to an isomorphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules.

A covariant representation of a Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule (X, A) on a pro- C^* -algebra B is a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules from (X, A) to the Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule (B, B) .

The crossed product of A by a Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule (X, A) is a pro- C^* -algebra, denoted by $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$, and a covariant representation (i_X, i_A) of (X, A) on $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ with the property that for any covariant representation (φ_X, φ_A) of (X, A) on a pro- C^* -algebra B , there is a unique pro- C^* -morphism $\Phi : A \times_X \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B$ such that $\Phi \circ i_X = \varphi_X$ and $\Phi \circ i_A = \varphi_A$ [JZ1, Definition 3.3].

Remark 2.1. If (Φ, φ) is a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules from (X, A) to (Y, B) , then $(i_Y \circ \Phi, i_B \circ \varphi)$ is a covariant representation of (X, A) on $B \times_Y \mathbb{Z}$ and by the universal property of $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ there is a unique pro- C^* -morphism $\Phi \times \varphi$ from $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ to $B \times_Y \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\Phi \times \varphi) \circ i_A = i_B \circ \varphi$ and $(\Phi \times \varphi) \circ i_X = i_Y \circ \Phi$.

3. CROSSED PRODUCTS BY HILBERT BIMODULES AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

An automorphism α of a pro- C^* -algebra A such that $p_\lambda(\alpha(a)) = p_\lambda(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda'$, where Λ' is a cofinal subset of Λ , is called an inverse limit automorphism. If α is an inverse limit automorphism of the pro- C^* -algebra A , then $X_\alpha = \{\xi_x; x \in A\}$ is a Hilbert $A - A$ pro- C^* -bimodule with the bimodule structure defined as $\xi_x a = \xi_{xa}$, respectively $a \xi_x = \xi_{\alpha^{-1}(a)x}$, and the inner products are defined as $\langle \xi_x, \xi_y \rangle_A = x^* y$, respectively ${}_A \langle \xi_x, \xi_y \rangle = \alpha(xy^*)$.

Let α and β be two inverse limit automorphisms of the pro- C^* -algebras A , respectively B . It is well known that if the pro- C^* -dynamical systems (A, α, \mathbb{Z}) and (B, β, \mathbb{Z}) are conjugate (that is, there is a pro- C^* -morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \varphi$), then the crossed product $A \times_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ of A by α and the crossed

product $B \times_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ of B by β are isomorphic as pro- C^* -algebras (see, for example, [W, J2]). Proposition 3.3 extends this result for crossed products by Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules.

Remark 3.1. *Suppose that α and β are inverse limit automorphisms of the pro- C^* -algebras A , respectively B and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a pro- C^* -isomorphism such that $\varphi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \varphi$. Then the Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules (X_{α}, A) and (X_{β}, B) are isomorphic. Indeed, it is easy to check that $(\varphi_{X_{\alpha}}, \varphi_A)$, where $\varphi_{X_{\alpha}}(\xi_x) = \xi_{\varphi(x)}$ for all $x \in A$ and $\varphi_A = \varphi$, is an isomorphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules.*

To prove the following proposition we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. [JZ2, Lemma 4.2] *Let (Φ, φ) be a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules from (X, A) to (Y, B) . If Γ and Γ' have the same index set, Λ , and $\varphi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}$, then $\Phi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \Phi_{\lambda}$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $(\Phi_{\lambda}, \varphi_{\lambda})$ is a morphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodules, $(\Phi_{\lambda} \times \varphi_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is an inverse system of C^* -morphisms and $\Phi \times \varphi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \Phi_{\lambda} \times \varphi_{\lambda}$. Moreover, if (Φ, φ) is an isomorphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules and $\varphi_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$ are C^* -isomorphisms, then $(\Phi_{\lambda}, \varphi_{\lambda}), \lambda \in \Lambda$ are isomorphisms of Hilbert C^* -bimodules.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let (X, A) and (Y, B) be Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules. If the Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules (X, A) and (Y, B) are isomorphic, then the pro- C^* -algebras $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ to $B \times_Y \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $(\Phi, \varphi) : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ be an isomorphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules. Since φ is a pro- C^* -isomorphism, we can suppose that Γ and Γ' have the same index set, Λ , and $\varphi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}$, where $\varphi_{\lambda} : A_{\lambda} \rightarrow B_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$ are C^* -isomorphisms [JZ1, Lemma 3.7]. Then, by Lemma 3.2, $\Phi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \Phi_{\lambda}$ and $(\Phi_{\lambda}, \varphi_{\lambda})$ is an isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodules for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By [A, Remark 2.2], for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\Phi_{\lambda} \times \varphi_{\lambda} : A_{\lambda} \times_{X_{\lambda}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B_{\lambda} \times_{Y_{\lambda}} \mathbb{Z}$ is a C^* -isomorphism. Moreover, $(\Phi_{\lambda} \times \varphi_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is an inverse system of C^* -isomorphisms, and then $\Phi \times \varphi = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} \Phi_{\lambda} \times \varphi_{\lambda}$ is a pro- C^* -isomorphism. Therefore, the pro- C^* -algebras $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ to $B \times_Y \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic. \square

By [J2], if α is an inverse limit automorphism of a pro- C^* -algebra A and B is another pro- C^* -algebra, then the minimal tensor product $(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ of the crossed product $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ of A by α and B and the crossed product $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{\alpha \otimes_{\min} \text{id}} \mathbb{Z}$ of the minimal tensor product $A \otimes_{\min} B$ of A and B by the automorphism $\alpha \otimes_{\min} \text{id}$ are isomorphic as pro- C^* -algebras. Also the maximal tensor product $(A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$ of the crossed product $A \times_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ of A by α and B and the crossed product $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{\alpha \otimes_{\max} \text{id}} \mathbb{Z}$ of the maximal tensor product $A \otimes_{\max} B$ of A and B by the automorphism $\alpha \otimes_{\max} \text{id}$ are isomorphic as pro- C^* -algebras. We refer the reader to [F] for further information about tensor products of pro- C^* -algebras. The following theorems extend these results for crossed products by Hilbert (pro-) C^* -bimodules.

Let (X, A) and (Y, B) be two Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules. Then $X \otimes_{\text{alg}} Y$ has a natural structure of pre-Hilbert $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ - $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ bimodule with the bimodule structure given by

$$(a \otimes b)(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes by \text{ and } (x \otimes y)(a \otimes b) = xa \otimes yb$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B, x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, and the inner products given by

$$A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B \langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle = A \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \otimes B \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle_{A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_A \otimes \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_B$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Clearly,

$$A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B \langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle (x_3 \otimes y_3) = (x_1 \otimes y_1) \langle x_2 \otimes y_2, x_3 \otimes y_3 \rangle_{A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ and for all $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y$. It is easy to check that

$$p_{(\lambda, \delta)}^{A \otimes_{\text{min}} B}(x \otimes y) = A \otimes_{\text{min}} B p_{(\lambda, \delta)}(x \otimes y)$$

and

$$p_{(\lambda, \delta)}^{A \otimes_{\text{max}} B}(x \otimes y) = A \otimes_{\text{max}} B p_{(\lambda, \delta)}(x \otimes y)$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$. Then the completion of $X \otimes_{\text{alg}} Y$ with respect to the topology given by the family of seminorms $\{p_{(\lambda, \delta)}^{A \otimes_{\text{min}} B}\}_{(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta}$ is a Hilbert $A \otimes_{\text{min}} B$ - $A \otimes_{\text{min}} B$ pro- C^* -bimodule, denoted by $X \otimes_{\text{min}} Y$ (see, [J1]), and it is called the minimal tensor product of (X, A) and (Y, B) . The completion of $X \otimes_{\text{alg}} Y$ with respect to the topology given by the family of seminorms $\{p_{(\lambda, \delta)}^{A \otimes_{\text{max}} B}\}_{(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta}$ is a Hilbert $A \otimes_{\text{max}} B$ - $A \otimes_{\text{max}} B$ pro- C^* -bimodule, denoted by $X \otimes_{\text{max}} Y$, and it is called the maximal tensor product of (X, A) and (Y, B) . It is easy to check that for each $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$, the Hilbert C^* -bimodules $(X \otimes_{\text{min}} Y)_{(\lambda, \delta)}$ and $X_\lambda \otimes_{\text{min}} Y_\delta$ are isomorphic, as well as, the Hilbert C^* -bimodules $(X \otimes_{\text{max}} Y)_{(\lambda, \delta)}$ and $X_\lambda \otimes_{\text{max}} Y_\delta$.

Suppose (X_i, A_i) and (Y_i, B_i) , $i = 1, 2$ are four Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules, and $(\varphi_{X_i}, \varphi_{A_i}) : (X_i, A_i) \rightarrow (Y_i, B_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are two morphisms of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules. It is not difficult to check that the pair $(\varphi_{X_1} \otimes \varphi_{X_2}, \varphi_{A_1} \otimes \varphi_{A_2})$ of linear maps, where $\varphi_{X_1} \otimes \varphi_{X_2} : X_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} Y_2$ is given by $\varphi_{X_1} \otimes \varphi_{X_2}(x_1 \otimes x_2) = \varphi_{X_1}(x_1) \otimes \varphi_{X_2}(x_2)$, $x_i \in X_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\varphi_{A_1} \otimes \varphi_{A_2} : A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} B_2$ is given by $\varphi_{A_1} \otimes \varphi_{A_2}(a_1 \otimes a_2) = \varphi_{A_1}(a_1) \otimes \varphi_{A_2}(a_2)$, $a_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, 2$, extends to a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\varphi_{X_1} \otimes_{\text{min}} \varphi_{X_2}, \varphi_{A_1} \otimes_{\text{min}} \varphi_{A_2})$ from $(X_1 \otimes_{\text{min}} X_2, A_1 \otimes_{\text{min}} A_2)$ to $(Y_1 \otimes_{\text{min}} Y_2, B_1 \otimes_{\text{min}} B_2)$ and a morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\varphi_{X_1} \otimes_{\text{max}} \varphi_{X_2}, \varphi_{A_1} \otimes_{\text{max}} \varphi_{A_2})$ from $(X_1 \otimes_{\text{max}} X_2, A_1 \otimes_{\text{max}} A_2)$ to $(Y_1 \otimes_{\text{max}} Y_2, B_1 \otimes_{\text{max}} B_2)$.

We remark that if α is an inverse limit automorphism of A and B is a pro- C^* -algebra, then the Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{min}} \text{id}}$ and $X \otimes_{\text{min}} B$ are isomorphic, as well as, the Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{max}} \text{id}}$ and $X \otimes_{\text{max}} B$. The isomorphism is given by $(\varphi_{X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{min}} \text{id}}}, \varphi_{A \otimes_{\text{min}} B})$, where $\varphi_{X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{min}} \text{id}}}(\xi_{x \otimes y}) = \xi_{\alpha(x)} \otimes \xi_y$; $x \in A$, $y \in B$ and, $\varphi_{A \otimes_{\text{min}} B}(a \otimes b) = \alpha(a) \otimes b$; $a \in A$, $b \in B$, respectively $(\varphi_{X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{max}} \text{id}}}, \varphi_{A \otimes_{\text{max}} B})$, where $\varphi_{X_{\alpha \otimes_{\text{max}} \text{id}}}(\xi_{x \otimes b}) = \xi_{\alpha(x)} \otimes \xi_b$; $x \in A$, $b \in B$ and $\varphi_{A \otimes_{\text{max}} B}(a \otimes b) = \alpha(a) \otimes b$; $a \in A$, $b \in B$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.4 below we are going to use the notion of dual action on crossed products by Hilbert bimodules [AEE, Section 3]. Let (X, A) be a Hilbert C^* -bimodule. Then there is an action δ^X of \mathbb{T} on $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$, called the dual action, which is identity on $i_A(A)$ and $\delta_t^X(i_X(x)) = ti_X(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, A) be a Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule and let B be a pro- C^* -algebra. Then the pro- C^* -algebras $(A \otimes_{\text{min}} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\text{min}} B} \mathbb{Z}$ and $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\text{min}} B$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We divide the proof into two parts.

Step 1. We suppose that A and B are C^* -algebras.

It is easy to check that $(i_X \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B, i_A \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B)$ is a covariant representation of $(X \otimes_{\min} B, A \otimes_{\min} B)$ on $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$. By the universal property of the crossed product by Hilbert C^* -bimodules, there is a unique C^* -morphism $\Phi : (A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ such that $\Phi \circ i_{X \otimes_{\min} B} = i_X \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B$ and $\Phi \circ i_{A \otimes_{\min} B} = i_A \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B$. Since Φ is a C^* -morphism, and since $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ is generated by $i_X(X) \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ and $i_A(A) \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$, Φ is surjective.

On the other hand, $\Phi|_{i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(A \otimes_{\min} B)}$ is injective, since $\Phi|_{i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(A \otimes_{\min} B)} = i_A \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B$ and i_A is injective. If $\delta^{X \otimes_{\min} B}$ and δ^X are the dual actions of \mathbb{T} on $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z}$, respectively $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ (see [AEE, Section 3]), and $\delta^X \otimes \text{id}$ is an action of \mathbb{T} on $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ given by $(\delta^X \otimes \text{id})_t = \delta_t^X \otimes \text{id}_B$, then Φ is $(\delta^{X \otimes_{\min} B}, \delta^X \otimes \text{id})$ -covariant, that is $\Phi \circ \delta_t^{X \otimes_{\min} B} = (\delta^X \otimes \text{id})_t \circ \Phi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\Phi \circ \delta_t^{X \otimes_{\min} B} \right) (i_{X \otimes_{\min} B}(x \otimes b)) = \Phi (ti_{X \otimes_{\min} B}(x \otimes b)) \\ &= t\Phi (i_{X \otimes_{\min} B}(x \otimes b)) = ti_X(x) \otimes b = \left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t (i_X(x) \otimes b) \\ &= \left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t (\Phi (i_{X \otimes_{\min} B}(x \otimes b))) = \left(\left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t \circ \Phi \right) (i_{X \otimes_{\min} B}(x \otimes b)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $b \in B$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\Phi \circ \delta_t^{X \otimes_{\min} B} \right) (i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(a \otimes b)) = \Phi (i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(a \otimes b)) = i_A(a) \otimes b \\ &= \left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t (i_A(a) \otimes b) = \left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t (\Phi (i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(a \otimes b))) \\ &= \left(\left(\delta^X \otimes \text{id} \right)_t \circ \Phi \right) (i_{A \otimes_{\min} B}(a \otimes b)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then, by [E, Proposition 2.9], Φ is injective, and so it is a C^* -isomorphism.

Step 2. We suppose that A and B are pro- C^* -algebras.

By [JZ1, Proposition 3.8], we can suppose that $A \times_X \mathbb{Z} = \lim_{\leftarrow \lambda} A_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ with $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z})_\lambda = A_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z} = \lim_{\leftarrow (\lambda, \delta)} (A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta) \times_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} \mathbb{Z}$ with $((A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z})_{(\lambda, \delta)} = (A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta) \times_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} \mathbb{Z}$ for each $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$.

Let $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$. According to *Step 1*, there is a C^* -isomorphism $\Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)} : (A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta) \times_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B_\delta$ such that $\Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)} \circ i_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} = i_{X_\lambda} \otimes \text{id}_{B_\delta}$ and $\Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)} \circ i_{A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} = i_{A_\lambda} \otimes \text{id}_{B_\delta}$. From

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} \circ \Phi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)} (i_{X_{\lambda_1} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_1}}(z)) = \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} (i_{X_{\lambda_1}} \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\delta_1}}(z)) \\ &= \pi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{A \times_X \mathbb{Z}} \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B (i_{X_{\lambda_1}} \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\delta_1}}(z)) = i_{X_{\lambda_2}} \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\delta_2}} (\sigma_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^X \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B(z)) \\ &= \Phi_{(\lambda_2, \delta_2)} \circ i_{X_{\lambda_2} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_2}} (\sigma_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^X \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B(z)) \\ &= \Phi_{(\lambda_2, \delta_2)} \circ \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} (i_{X_{\lambda_1} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_1}}(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X_{\lambda_1} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} \circ \Phi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)} (i_{A_{\lambda_1} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_1}} (a \otimes b)) \\
 &= \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} (i_{A_{\lambda_1}} (a) \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\delta_1}} (b)) = \pi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{A \times_X \mathbb{Z}} \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B (i_{A_{\lambda_1}} (a) \otimes b) \\
 &= i_{A_{\lambda_2}} \otimes \text{id}_{B_{\delta_2}} (\pi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^A (a) \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B (b)) \\
 &= \Phi_{(\lambda_2, \delta_2)} \circ i_{A_{\lambda_2} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_2}} (\pi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^A (a) \otimes \pi_{\delta_1 \delta_2}^B (b)) \\
 &= \Phi_{(\lambda_2, \delta_2)} \circ \pi_{(\lambda_1, \delta_1)(\lambda_2, \delta_2)}^{(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B} (i_{A_{\lambda_1} \otimes_{\min} B_{\delta_1}} (a \otimes b))
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A_{\lambda_1}, b \in B_{\delta_1}$ and for all $(\lambda_1, \delta_1), (\lambda_2, \delta_2) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$ with $(\lambda_1, \delta_1) \geq (\lambda_2, \delta_2)$, and taking into account that $(A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta) \times_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $i_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} (z)$ and $i_{A_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta} (a \otimes b)$ with $z \in X_\lambda \otimes_{\min} B_\delta$ and $a \in A_\lambda, b \in B_\delta$, we deduce that $(\Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)})_{(\lambda, \delta)}$ is an inverse system of C^* -isomorphisms. Then $\Phi = \lim_{\leftarrow (\lambda, \delta)} \Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)}$ is a pro- C^* -isomorphism from $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z}$ to $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$. \square

Let X and Y be Hilbert pro- C^* -modules over A . A morphism $T : X \rightarrow Y$ of right modules is *adjointable* if there is another morphism of modules $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\langle Tx_1, x_2 \rangle_A = \langle x_1, T^*x_2 \rangle_A$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. The vector space $L_A(X, Y)$ of all adjointable module morphisms from X to Y has a structure of locally convex space under the topology given by the family of seminorms $\{p_{\lambda, L_A(X, Y)}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, where $p_{\lambda, L_A(X, Y)}(T) = \sup\{p_\lambda^A(Tx); p_\lambda^A(x) \leq 1\}$.

For $Y = X$, $L_A(X) = L_A(X, X)$ is a pro- C^* -algebra with $(L_A(X))_\lambda = L_{A_\lambda}(X_\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

The vector space $L_A(X, Y)$ of all adjointable module map from X to Y has a natural structure of Hilbert $L_A(Y) - L_A(X)$ pro- C^* -bimodule with the bimodule structure given by

$$S \cdot T = S \circ T \text{ and } T \cdot R = T \circ R$$

for all $T \in L_A(X, Y), S \in L_A(Y)$ and $R \in L_A(X)$ and the inner products given by

$$L_A(Y) \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle = T_1 \circ T_2^* \text{ and } \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{L_A(X)} = T_1^* \circ T_2$$

for all $T_1, T_2 \in L_A(X, Y)$.

Suppose that X is a full Hilbert $A - A$ pro- C^* -bimodule. Then $L_A(X)$ can be identified with $M(A)$, the multiplier algebra of A , and $L_A(A, X)$, has a structure of Hilbert $M(A) - M(A)$ pro- C^* -bimodule. $L_A(A, X)$ is denoted by $M(X)$ and it is called the *multiplier bimodule* of X (see [JMZ]). Moreover, X can be regarded as a pro- C^* -sub-bimodule of $M(X)$, and $M(X)_\lambda = M(X_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

A morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\Phi, \varphi) : (X, A) \rightarrow (M(Y), M(B))$ is *nondegenerate* if the pro- C^* -subalgebra generated by $\{\varphi(a)b; a \in A, b \in B\}$ is dense in B and vector space generated by $\{\Phi(x)b; x \in X, b \in B\}$ is dense in Y . A nondegenerate morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\Phi, \varphi) : (X, A) \rightarrow (M(Y), M(B))$ extends to a unique morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\overline{\Phi}, \overline{\varphi}) : (M(X), M(A)) \rightarrow (M(Y), M(B))$. The canonical representation (i_X, i_A) of (X, A) on $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nondegenerate.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (X, A) be a full Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule and let B be a pro- C^* -algebra. Then there is a nondegenerate morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X, \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A) : (X, A) \rightarrow (M(X \otimes_{\max} B), M(A \otimes_{\max} B))$ such that*

$\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)(a \otimes b) = xa \otimes b$ for all $x \in X, a \in A$ and $b \in B$ and $\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A(c)(a \otimes b) = ca \otimes b$ for all $a, c \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two parts.

Step 1. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algebras.

Let $x \in X$. Consider the linear maps $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) : A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow X \otimes_{\max} B$ given by $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)(a \otimes b) = xa \otimes b$, and $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)^* : X \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\max} B$ given by $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)^*(y \otimes b) = \langle x, y \rangle_A \otimes b$. From

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right) \right\|_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^2 = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* \langle x, x \rangle_A a_j \otimes b_i^* b_j \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \\ & \leq \|x\|_X^2 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* a_j \otimes b_i^* b_j \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B} = \|x\|_X^2 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$, we deduce that $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)$ extends to a continuous linear map from $A \otimes_{\max} B$ to $X \otimes_{\max} B$. From

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes b_i \right) \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^2 = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle x_i, x \rangle_A \langle x, x_j \rangle_A \otimes b_i^* b_j \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \\ & \leq \|x\|_X^2 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_A \otimes b_i^* b_j \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B} = \|x\|_X^2 \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i \otimes b_i \right\|_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we deduce that $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)^*$ extends to a continuous linear map from $X \otimes_{\max} B$ to $A \otimes_{\max} B$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i \right), \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes c_j \right\rangle_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \langle xa_i \otimes b_i, x_j \otimes c_j \rangle_{A \otimes_{\max} B} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^* \langle x, x_j \rangle_A b_i^* c_j \\ & = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x, x_j \rangle_A \otimes c_j \right\rangle_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \\ & = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i, \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes c_j \right) \right\rangle_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A, b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_m \in B$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$, we have $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) \in M(X \otimes_{\max} B)$. Let $\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A$ be the inclusion of A into $M(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ (see, for example, [RW, Theorem B27]). Then $(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X, \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A)$ is a morphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodules. Moreover, $(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X, \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A)$ is nondegenerate.

Step 2. Suppose that A and B are pro- C^* -algebras. Let $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$ and $(\rho_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{X_\lambda}, \rho_{A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{A_\lambda}) : (X_\lambda, A_\lambda) \rightarrow (M(X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta), M(A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta))$ be the nondegenerate morphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodules constructed in *Step 1*. It is easy to check that $(\rho_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{X_\lambda})_{(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta}$ and $(\rho_{A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{A_\lambda})_{(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta}$ are inverse systems of

linear maps, respectively C^* -morphisms, and $\left(\lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} \rho_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{X_\lambda}, \lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} \rho_{A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta}^{A_\lambda} \right) :$
 $\left(\lim_{\leftarrow\lambda} X_\lambda, \lim_{\leftarrow\lambda} A_\lambda \right) \rightarrow \left(\lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta, \lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta \right)$ is a morphism of
 Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules. Identifying $\left(\lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta, \lim_{\leftarrow(\lambda,\delta)} A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta \right)$
 with $(X \otimes_{\max} B, A \otimes_{\max} B)$ and $\left(\lim_{\leftarrow\lambda} X_\lambda, \lim_{\leftarrow\lambda} A_\lambda \right)$ with (X, A) , we obtain a non-
 degenerate morphism of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules $(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X, \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A) : (X, A) \rightarrow$
 $(M(X \otimes_{\max} B), M(A \otimes_{\max} B))$ such that $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x)(a \otimes b) = xa \otimes b$ for all
 $x \in X, a \in A$ and $b \in B$ and $\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A(c)(a \otimes b) = ca \otimes b$ for all $a, c \in A$ and
 $b \in B$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, A) be a full Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule and let B be a pro- C^* -algebra. Then the pro- C^* -algebras $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$ and $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Step 1. Suppose that A and B are C^* -algebras.

Since $(i_X \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B, i_A \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B)$ is a covariant representation of $(X \otimes_{\max} B, A \otimes_{\max} B)$ on $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$, there is a unique C^* -morphism $\Phi : (A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$ such that $\Phi \circ i_{X \otimes_{\max} B} = i_X \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B$ and $\Phi \circ i_{A \otimes_{\max} B} = i_A \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B$. Moreover, Φ is surjective.

Let $\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A$ and $\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B$ the canonical inclusions of A and B in $M(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ and $\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X : X \rightarrow M(X \otimes_{\max} B)$ the map constructed in Lemma 3.5. Then $(\overline{i_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \circ \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X}, \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \circ \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A})$ is a covariant representation of (X, A) on $M((A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z})$, and by the universal property, there is a unique C^* -morphism $\Psi_1 : A \times_X \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M((A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\Psi_1 \circ i_X = \overline{i_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \circ \rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X}$ and $\Psi_1 \circ i_A = \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \circ \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A}$.

Let $\Psi_2 = \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B} \circ \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B} : B \rightarrow M((A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(i_A(a)) \Psi_2(b) &= \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A(a) \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B(b))} = i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(a \otimes b) \\ &= \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B(b) \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^A(a))} = \Psi_2(b) \Psi_1(i_A(a)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(i_X(x)) \Psi_2(b) &= \overline{i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) \overline{i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(\rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B(b))})} \\ &= \overline{i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(\rho_{X \otimes_{\max} B}^X(x) \rho_{A \otimes_{\max} B}^B(b))} = i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(x \otimes b) = \Psi_2(b) \Psi_1(i_X(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, b \in B$, and since $i_A(A)$ and $i_X(X)$ generate $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique C^* -morphism $\Psi : (A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow M((A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\Psi(c \otimes b) = \Psi_1(c) \Psi_2(b)$ for all $c \in A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in B$. Moreover, $\Psi((A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B) \subseteq (A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$.

From

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(x \otimes b)) = \Psi(i_X(x) \otimes b) = \Psi_2(b) \Psi_1(i_X(x)) = i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(x \otimes b)$$

and

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(a \otimes b)) = \Psi(i_A(a) \otimes b) = \Psi_2(b) \Psi_1(i_A(a)) = i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(a \otimes b)$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and for all $x \in X$ and taking into account that $i_{X \otimes_{\max} B}(X \otimes_{\max} B)$ and $i_{A \otimes_{\max} B}(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ generate $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude $\Psi \circ \Phi =$

$\text{id}_{(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}}$, where using the fact that Φ is surjective, we deduce that Φ is a C^* -isomorphism.

Step 2. The general case. Let $(\lambda, \delta) \in \Lambda \times \Delta$ and let $\Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)} : (A_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta) \times_{X_\lambda \otimes_{\max} B_\delta} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A_\lambda \times_{X_\lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B_\delta$ be the C^* -isomorphism constructed in *Step 1*. Following the proof of Theorem 3.4 *Step 2*, we obtain a pro- C^* -isomorphism $\Phi = \lim_{\leftarrow (\lambda, \delta)} \Phi_{(\lambda, \delta)}$ from $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$ to $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$. \square

4. AN APPLICATION

A pro- C^* -algebra A is nuclear if for any pro- C^* -algebra B , $A \otimes_{\min} B = A \otimes_{\max} B$ [P, p. 126].

Remark 4.1. *If X is a Hilbert C^* -bimodule over a nuclear pro- C^* -algebra A , then, clearly, $X \otimes_{\min} B = X \otimes_{\max} B$ for any pro- C^* -algebra B , and $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z} = (A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$.*

Suppose that the topology on A is given by the family of C^* -seminorms $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then A is nuclear if and only if the C^* -algebras A_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ are nuclear [P, p. 126]. Recall that a C^* -algebra A is nuclear if and only if for any C^* -algebra B , the canonical map $k_{A,B} : A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B$, $k_{A,B}(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$ is a C^* -isomorphism.

Remark 4.2. *Let (X, A) be a full Hilbert C^* -bimodule. If A is nuclear, then for any C^* -algebra B , the pair of maps $(k_{X,B}, k_{A,B})$, where $k_{X,B} : X \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow X \otimes_{\min} B$, $k_{X,B}(x \otimes b) = x \otimes b$, is an isomorphism of Hilbert C^* -bimodules and it induces a unique C^* -isomorphism $k : (A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \circ i_{X \otimes_{\max} B} = i_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \circ k_{X,B}$ and $k \circ i_{A \otimes_{\max} B} = i_{A \otimes_{\min} B} \circ k_{A,B}$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let (X, A) be a full Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodule. Then $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear if and only if A is nuclear.*

Proof. According to [JZ1, Proposition 3.8], to prove this proposition it is sufficient to show that if (X, A) is a full Hilbert C^* -bimodule, then $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear if and only if A is nuclear.

If A is nuclear and B a C^* -algebra, then $\Phi \circ k \circ \Psi$, where Ψ is the C^* -isomorphism from $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B$ onto $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.6, k is the C^* -isomorphism from $(A \otimes_{\max} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B} \mathbb{Z}$ onto $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z}$ (Remark 4.2), and Φ is the C^* -isomorphism from $(A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B$ onto $(A \otimes_{\min} B) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B} \mathbb{Z}$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.4, is a C^* -isomorphism. Moreover, $(\Phi \circ k \circ \Psi)(c \otimes b) = c \otimes b$ for all $c \in A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $b \in B$. These show that, $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear.

Conversely, suppose that $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear. If A is not nuclear, there is a C^* -algebra B_0 such that the canonical map $k_{A,B_0} : A \otimes_{\max} B_0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B_0$ is not injective. Then the C^* -morphism $k_0 : (A \otimes_{\max} B_0) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B_0} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (A \otimes_{\min} B_0) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B_0} \mathbb{Z}$ induced by (k_{X,B_0}, k_{A,B_0}) is not injective.

On the other hand, because $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear, the canonical map $k_{A \times_X \mathbb{Z}, B_0} : (A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\max} B_0 \rightarrow (A \times_X \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\min} B_0$ is a C^* -isomorphism, and then $\tilde{k} = \Phi^{-1} \circ k_{A \times_X \mathbb{Z}, B_0} \circ \Psi^{-1}$ is a C^* -isomorphism from $(A \otimes_{\max} B_0) \times_{X \otimes_{\max} B_0} \mathbb{Z}$ onto $(A \otimes_{\min} B_0) \times_{X \otimes_{\min} B_0} \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\tilde{k} \circ i_{X \otimes_{\max} B_0} = i_{X \otimes_{\min} B_0} \circ k_{X,B_0}$ and $\tilde{k} \circ i_{A \otimes_{\max} B_0} = i_{A \otimes_{\min} B_0} \circ k_{A,B_0}$ and then, by Remark 4.2, $\tilde{k} = k_0$, a contradiction. Therefore, if $A \times_X \mathbb{Z}$ is nuclear, then A is nuclear. \square

Another proof of the above proposition was given by Katsura ([K, Proposition 7.8]).

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by the grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2012-4-0201.

REFERENCES

- [A] B. Abadie, *Takai duality for crossed products by Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Operator Theory, 64(2010), 1, 19-34.
- [AEE] B. Abadie, S. Eilers, R. Exel, *Morita equivalence for crossed products by Hilbert C^* -bimodules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350(1998), 8, 3043-3054.
- [E] R. Exel, *Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partial automorphisms and generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence*, J. Funct. Anal., 122 (1994), pp. 361–401.
- [F] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Topological algebras with involution*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 200. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005. xvi+495 pp. ISBN:0-444-52025-2.
- [J1] M. Joița, *Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras*, Bucharest University Press, Bucharest 2006.
- [J2] M. Joița, *Crossed products of locally C^* -algebras*, Editura Academiei Române, Bucharest, 2007, 115+Xii pp, ISBN 978-973-27-1600-7.
- [JZ1] M. Joița, I. Zarakas, *Crossed products by Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules*, Studia Math. 215 (2013), 2, 139-156.
- [JZ2] M. Joița, I. Zarakas, *A construction of pro- C^* -algebras from pro- C^* -correspondence*, To appear in J. Operator Theory.
- [JMZ] M. Joița, R-B. Munteanu, I. Zarakas, *Multipliers of Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules and crossed products by Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules*, To appear in Operators and Matrices.
- [K] T. Katsura, *On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. 217 (2004) 366–401.
- [P] N.C. Phillips, *Inverse limit of C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, 19(1988), 159-195.
- [P1] N.C. Phillips, *Representable K -theory for σ - C^* -algebras*, K-Theory, 3(1989),5, 441-478.
- [RW] I. Raeburn, D.P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 60, AMS 1998.
- [Z] I. Zarakas, *Hilbert pro- C^* -bimodules and applications*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., LVII(2012),no 3, 289-310.
- [W] D.P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 134, AMS 2007.

MARIA JOIȚA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA OF BUCHAREST, 313 SPL. INDEPENDENȚEI, 060042, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA AND SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21 CALEA GRIVITEI STREET, 010702 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro

URL: <http://sites.google.com/a/g.unibuc.ro/maria-joita/>