

STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS OF WALSH-FEJÉR MEANS

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

ABSTRACT. As main result we prove that Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series are uniformly bounded operators from H_p to H_p ($0 < p \leq 1/2$).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh system, Fejér means, martingale Hardy space, strong convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that Walsh-Paley system forms not basis in the space $L_1(G)$. Moreover, there is a function in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G)$, such that the partial sums of F are not bounded in L_1 -norm. However, in Simon [19] the following estimation was obtained for all $F \in H_1(G)$:

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|S_k F\|_1}{k} \leq c \|F\|_{H_1},$$

where $S_k F$ denotes the k -th partial sum of the Walsh-Fourier series of F . (For the trigonometric analogue see in Smith [21], for the Vilenkin system in Gát [5]). Simon [18] (see also [20] and [28]) proved that there is an absolute constant c_p , depending only on p , such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\log^{[p]} n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|S_k F\|_p^p}{k^{2-p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (0 < p \leq 1),$$

for all $F \in H_p$, where $[p]$ denotes integer part of p .

Weisz [30] considered the norm convergence of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series and proved the following:

Theorem W1 (Weisz). Let $p > 1/2$ and $F \in H_p$. Then

$$\|\sigma_k F\|_{H_p} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}.$$

This theorem implies that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2p-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_k F\|_{H_p}^p}{k^{2-2p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (1/2 < p < \infty).$$

If Theorem W1 holds for $0 < p \leq 1/2$, then we would have

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_k F\|_{H_p}^p}{k^{2-2p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (0 < p \leq 1/2).$$

However, Goginava [10] (see also [22]) proved that the assumption $p > 1/2$ in Theorem W1 is essential. In particular, the following is true:

Theorem G (Goginava). Let $0 < p \leq 1/2$. Then there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$, such that

$$\sup_n \|\sigma_n F\|_p = +\infty.$$

As main result we prove that (2) holds, though Theorem W1 is not true for $0 < p \leq 1/2$.

The results for summability of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series can be found in [3, 4], [7, 8], [12], [14, 16], [23, 27].

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let \mathbb{N}_+ denote the set of the positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 := \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given so that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$.

Define the group G as the complete direct product of the group Z_2 with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_2 's.

The elements of G represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots) \quad (x_k = 0, 1).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of G

$$I_0(x) := G,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G \mid y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Denote $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{I_n} := G \setminus I_n$.

Let

$$e_n := (0, \dots, 0, x_n = 1, 0, \dots) \in G \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is evident

$$(3) \quad \overline{I_M} = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-2} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{M-1} I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1} I_M(e_k) \right).$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then every n can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j$ where $n_j \in Z_2$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) and only a finite number of n_j 's differ from zero. Let $|n| := \max \{j \in \mathbb{N}, n_j \neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|} \leq n \leq 2^{|n|+1}$.

Define the variation of an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with binary coefficients $(n_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ by

$$V(n) = n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |n_k - n_{k-1}|.$$

For $n = \sum_{i=1}^s 2^{n_i}$, $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s$ we denote

$$n^{(i)} = 2^{n_1} + \dots + 2^{n_{i-1}}, \quad i = 2, \dots, s$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}_{0,2} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n = 2^0 + 2^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{s_n} 2^{n_i} \right\}.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists numbers $0 \leq l_1 \leq m_1 \leq l_2 - 2 < l_2 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq l_s - 2 < l_s \leq m_s$ such that it can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} 2^k$, where s is depending only on n . It is easy to show that $s \leq V(n) \leq 2s + 1$.

Define k -th Rademacher functions as

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now, define the Walsh system $w := (w_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G in the following way:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G)$ (see [13]).

If $f \in L_1(G)$ we can establish the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Fejér means, the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels in the usual manner:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(k) &: = \int_G f w_k d\mu & (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ S_n f &: = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(k) w_k & (n \in \mathbb{N}_+, S_0 f := 0), \\ \sigma_n f &: = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n S_k f & (n \in \mathbb{N}_+), \\ D_n &: = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k & (n \in \mathbb{N}_+), \\ K_n &: = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n D_k & (n \in \mathbb{N}_+). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$(4) \quad D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n. \end{cases}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (see [13])

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{8}V(n) \leq \|D_n\|_1 \leq V(n).$$

It is well-known that (see [11, 13])

$$(6) \quad \sup_n \int_G |K_n(x)| d\mu(x) \leq c < \infty$$

and

$$(7) \quad nK_n = \sum_{r=1}^s \left(\prod_{j=r+1}^s w_{2^{n_j}} \right) 2^{nr} K_{2^{nr}} + \sum_{t=2}^s \left(\prod_{j=t+1}^s w_{2^{n_j}} \right) n^{(t)} D_{2^{nt}},$$

for $n = \sum_{i=1}^s 2^{n_i}$, $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s$.

For 2^n -th Fejér kernel we have following equality:

$$(8) \quad K_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1}, & \text{if } x \in I_n(e_t), \\ \frac{2^{n+1}}{2}, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for $n > t$ and $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, (see [6]).

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_G |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

The space $L_{p,\infty}(G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(f > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

The σ -algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G\}$ will be denoted by F_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). The conditional expectation operators relative to F_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are denoted by E_n .

A sequence $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ of functions $F_n \in L_1(G)$ is said to be a dyadic martingale if (for details see e.g. [13])

- (i) F_n is F_n measurable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $E_n F_m = F_n$ for all $n \leq m$.

The maximal function of a martingale F is defined by

$$F^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |F_n|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G)$, the maximal functions are also be given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u) d\mu(u) \right|.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G)$ consist of all martingale for which

$$\|F\|_{H_p} := \|F^*\|_p < \infty.$$

A bounded measurable function a is p -atom, if there exist a dyadic interval I , such that

$$\begin{cases} a) & \int_I a d\mu = 0, \\ b) & \|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I)^{-1/p}, \\ c) & \text{supp}(a) \subset I. \end{cases}$$

The dyadic Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ have an atomic characterization. Namely the following theorem is true (see [17] and [27]):

Theorem W2: A martingale $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ is in $H_p(0 < p \leq 1)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ of a real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n} a_k = F_n$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty,$$

Moreover, $\|F\|_{H_p} \sim \inf (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p)^{1/p}$, where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of F of the form (9).

It is easy to check that for every martingale $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the limit

$$(10) \quad \widehat{F}(k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G F_n(x) w_k(x) d\mu(x)$$

exists and it is called the k -th Walsh-Fourier coefficients of F .

If $F := (E_n f : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is regular martingale generated by $f \in L_1(G)$, then

$$\widehat{F}(k) = \int_G f(x) w_k(x) d\mu(x) = \widehat{f}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the martingale

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_n - F_{n-1})$$

the conjugate transforms are defined as

$$\widetilde{F}^{(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(t) (F_n - F_{n-1}),$$

where $t \in G$ is fixed. Note that $\widetilde{F}^{(0)} = F$. As is well known (see [30])

$$(11) \quad \left\| \widetilde{F}^{(t)} \right\|_{H_p} = \|F\|_{H_p}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \|F\|_{H_p}^p \sim \int_G \left\| \widetilde{F}(t) \right\|_p^p dt.$$

3. FORMULATION OF MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *a) Let $0 < p \leq 1/2$. Then there exists absolute constant c_p , depending only on p , such that*

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_{H_p}^p}{m^{2-2p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p.$$

b) Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is any nondecreasing function, satisfying the conditions $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{k(2-2p)}}{\Phi(2^k)} = \infty.$$

Then there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$, such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(m)} = \infty.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $F \in H_{1/2}$. Then*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} \sup_{\|F\|_{H_p} \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \|\sigma_m F\|_{1/2}^{1/2} = \infty.$$

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

Lemma 1. [29] *Suppose that an operator T is sublinear and for some $0 < p \leq 1$*

$$\int_{\bar{I}} |Ta|^p d\mu \leq c_p < \infty,$$

for every p -atom a , where I denote the support of the atom. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ , then

$$\|TF\|_p \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}.$$

Lemma 2. [9] *Let $x \in I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l)$, $k = 0, \dots, M-2$, $l = 0, \dots, M-1$. Then*

$$\int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| d\mu(t) \leq \frac{c2^{l+k}}{n2^M}, \quad \text{for } n > 2^M.$$

Let $x \in I_M(e_k)$, $m = 0, \dots, M - 1$. Then

$$\int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| d\mu(t) \leq \frac{c2^k}{2^M}, \quad \text{for } n > 2^M.$$

The next estimation of Fejér kernel is generalized of Lemma 2 in [1] (see also [2]).

Lemma 3. Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} 2^k$, where $0 \leq l_1 \leq m_1 \leq l_2 - 2 < l_2 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq l_s - 2 < l_s \leq m_s$. Then

$$n |K_n(x)| \geq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{16}, \quad \text{for } x \in I_{l_i+1}(e_{l_i-1} + e_{l_i}).$$

Proof: From (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} nK_n &= \sum_{r=1}^s \sum_{k=l_r}^{m_r} \left(\prod_{j=r+1}^s \prod_{q=l_j}^{m_j} w_{2^q} \prod_{j=k+1}^{m_r} w_{2^j} \right) 2^k K_{2^k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^s \sum_{k=l_r}^{m_r} \left(\prod_{j=r+1}^s \prod_{q=l_j}^{m_j} w_{2^q} \prod_{j=k+1}^{m_r} w_{2^j} \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \sum_{q=l_t}^{m_t} 2^q + \sum_{q=l_r}^{k-1} 2^q \right) D_{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_{l_i+1}(e_{l_i-1} + e_{l_i})$. Then

$$n |K_n| \geq \left| 2^{l_i} K_{2^{l_i}} \right| - \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=l_r}^{m_r} \left| 2^k K_{2^k} \right| - \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=l_r}^{m_r} \left| 2^k D_{2^k} \right| = I - II - III.$$

Using (8) we have

$$(13) \quad I = \left| 2^{l_i} K_{2^{l_i}}(x) \right| = \frac{2^{2l_i}}{4}.$$

Since $m_{i-1} \leq l_i - 2$ we obtain that

$$(14) \quad II \leq \sum_{n=0}^{l_i-2} |2^n K_{2^n}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{l_i-2} 2^n \frac{(2^n + 1)}{2} \leq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{24} + \frac{2^{l_i}}{4} - \frac{2}{3}.$$

For III we have

$$(15) \quad III \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l_i-2} \left| 2^k D_{2^k}(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l_i-2} 4^k = \frac{2^{2l_i}}{12} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Combining (13-15) we have

$$(16) \quad n |K_n(x)| \geq I - II - III \geq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{8} - \frac{2^{l_i}}{4} + 1.$$

Suppose that $l_i \geq 2$. Then

$$n |K_n(x)| \geq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{8} - \frac{2^{2l_i}}{16} \geq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{16}.$$

If $l_i = 0$ or $l_i = 1$ using (16) we have

$$n |K_n(x)| \geq \frac{7}{8} \geq \frac{2^{2l_i}}{16}.$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p.$$

Combining (11) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad & \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_{H_p}^p}{m^{2-2p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\int_G \left\| \widetilde{\sigma_m F^{(t)}} \right\|_p^p dt}{m^{2-2p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\int_G \left\| \sigma_m \widetilde{F^{(t)}} \right\|_p^p dt}{m^{2-2p}} \\ &= \int_G \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\left\| \sigma_m \widetilde{F^{(t)}} \right\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}} dt \\ &\leq c_p \int_G \left\| \widetilde{F^{(t)}} \right\|_{H_p}^p dt \sim c_p \int_G \|F\|_{H_p}^p dt = c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and (17) the proof of theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\|\sigma_m a\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}} \leq c < \infty, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

for every p -atom a . We may assume that a be an arbitrary p -atom with support I , $\mu(I) = 2^{-M}$ and $I = I_M$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n(a) = 0$, when $n \leq 2^M$. Therefore we can suppose that $n > 2^M$.

Let $x \in I_M$. Since σ_n is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ (the boundedness follows from (6)) and $\|a\|_\infty \leq 2^{M/p}$ we obtain

$$\int_{I_M} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x) \leq \|\sigma_m a\|_\infty^p / 2^M \leq c < \infty, \quad 0 < p \leq 1/2.$$

Let $0 < p \leq 1/2$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\int_{I_M} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x)}{m^{2-2p}} \leq \frac{c}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m^{2-2p}} \leq c < \infty.$$

It is easy to show that

$$|\sigma_m a(x)| \leq \int_{I_M} |a(t)| |K_m(x+t)| d\mu(t) \leq 2^{M/p} \int_{I_M} |K_m(x+t)| d\mu(t).$$

From Lemma 2 we get

$$(18) \quad |\sigma_m a(x)| \leq \frac{c2^{k+l}2^{M(1/p-1)}}{m}, \quad x \in I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l), \quad 0 \leq k < l < M,$$

and

$$(19) \quad |\sigma_m a(x)| \leq c2^{M(1/p-1)}2^k, \quad x \in I_M(e_k), \quad 0 \leq k < M.$$

Combining (3) and (18-19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & \int_{I_M} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l)} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \int_{I_M(e_k)} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^l} \frac{2^{p(k+l)}2^{M(1-p)}}{m^p} + c \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^M} 2^{M(1-p)}2^{pk} \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{M(1-p)}}{m^p} \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{2^{p(k+l)}}{2^l} + c \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{2^{pk}}{2^{pM}} \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{M(1-p)}M^{[1/2+p]}}{m^p} + c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=2^{M+1}}^n \frac{\int_{I_M} |\sigma_m a(x)|^p d\mu(x)}{m^{2-2p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \left(\sum_{m=2^{M+1}}^n \frac{c2^{M(1-p)}M^{[1/2+p]}}{m^{2-p}} + \sum_{m=2^{M+1}}^n \frac{c}{m^{2-2p}} \right) < c < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of first part of Theorem 1.

Now we proof second part of Theorem 1. Let $\Phi(n)$ is nondecreasing function, satisfying condition

$$(21) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{(|n_k|+1)(2-2p)}}{\Phi(2^{|n_k|+1})} = \infty.$$

Under condition (21), there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \geq 0\} \subset \{n_k : k \geq 0\}$ such that

$$(22) \quad |\alpha_k| \geq 2, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0$$

and

$$(23) \quad \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1/2}(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1})}{2^{|\alpha_\eta|(1-p)}} = 2^{1-p} \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1/2}(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1})}{2^{(|\alpha_\eta|+1)(1-p)}} < c < \infty.$$

Let

$$F_n = \sum_{\{k: |\alpha_k| < n\}} \lambda_k a_k,$$

where

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\Phi^{1/2p}(2^{|\alpha_k|+1})}{2^{(|\alpha_k|)(1/p-1)}}$$

and

$$a_k = 2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)} \left(D_{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right).$$

It is easy to show that the martingale $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \in H_p$. Indeed, since

$$S_{2^n} a_k = \begin{cases} a_k, & |\alpha_k| < n, \\ 0, & |\alpha_k| \geq n, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{supp}(a_k) = I_{|\alpha_k|},$$

$$\int_{I_{|\alpha_k|}} a_k d\mu = 0$$

and

$$\|a_k\|_\infty \leq 2^{|\alpha_k|/p} = (\text{supp } a_k)^{-1/p}$$

if we apply Theorem W2 and (23) we conclude that $F \in H_p$.

It is easy to show that

$$(24) \quad \widehat{F}(j) = \begin{cases} \Phi^{1/2p}(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}), & \text{if } j \in \{2^{|\alpha_k|}, \dots, 2^{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{|\alpha_k|}, \dots, 2^{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_k|} < n < 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}$. Using (24) we can write

$$(25) \quad \sigma_n F = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{|\alpha_k|}} S_j F + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}^n S_j F = III + IV.$$

It is simple to show that

$$(26) \quad S_j F = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq 2^{|\alpha_0|} \\ \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_0|+1}) (D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_0|}}), & \text{if } 2^{|\alpha_0|} < j \leq 2^{|\alpha_0|+1}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $2^{|\alpha_s|} < j \leq 2^{|\alpha_s|+1}$, for some $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then applying (24) we have

$$(27) \begin{aligned} S_j F &= \sum_{v=0}^{2^{|\alpha_{s-1}|+1}-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}-1} \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}) w_v + \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_s|+1}) \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}) (D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}) \\ &\quad + \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_s|+1}) (D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_s|}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_s|+1} \leq j \leq 2^{|\alpha_{s+1}|}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Analogously of (27) we get

$$(28) \quad S_j F = \sum_{v=0}^{2^{|\alpha_{s+1}|}} \widehat{F}(v) w_v = \sum_{\eta=0}^s \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}) (D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}).$$

Let $x \in I_2(e_0 + e_1)$. Since (see (4) and (8))

$$(29) \quad D_{2^n}(x) = K_{2^n}(x) = 0, \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

from (22) and (27-28) we obtain

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} III &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}) \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} D_v(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1/2p} (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}) (2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1} K_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}}(x) - 2^{|\alpha_\eta|} K_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (27), when $s = k$ in *IV* we have

$$(31) \quad IV = \frac{n - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1} \right) \left(D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}} \right) \\ + \frac{\Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{n} \sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}^n \left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right) = IV_1 + IV_2.$$

Combining (22) and (29) we get

$$(32) \quad IV_1 = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in I_2(e_0 + e_1).$$

Let $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}$, $2^{|\alpha_k|} < n < 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}$ and $x \in I_2(e_0 + e_1)$. Since $n - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}$ and

$$(33) \quad D_{j+2^m} = D_{2^m} + w_{2^m} D_j, \quad \text{when } j < 2^m$$

from (7) and (29) we obtain

$$(34) \quad |IV_2| = \frac{\Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}} \left(D_{j+2^{|\alpha_k|}}(x) - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}(x) \right) \right| \\ = \frac{\Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}} D_j(x) \right| \\ = \frac{\Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{n} \left| (n - 2^{|\alpha_k|}) K_{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}}(x) \right| \geq \frac{\Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}.$$

Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}$. Combining (25-34) we have

$$(35) \quad \|\sigma_n F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p \\ \geq \frac{c_p \Phi^{1/2} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}} \mu \left\{ x \in I_2(e_0 + e_1) : |\sigma_n F| \geq \frac{c_p \Phi^{1/2p} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}} \right\} \\ \geq \frac{c_p \Phi^{1/2} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}} \mu \{ I_2(e_0 + e_1) \} \geq \frac{c_p \Phi^{1/2} \left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1} \right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\sigma_n F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(n)} &\geq \sum_{\{n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}: 2^{|\alpha_k|} < n < 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\}} \frac{\|\sigma_n F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(n)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\Phi^{1/2}(2^{|\alpha_k|+1})} \sum_{\{n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}: 2^{|\alpha_k|} < n < 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\}} \frac{1}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}} \\
&\geq \frac{c_p 2^{(1-p)(|\alpha_k|+1)}}{\Phi^{1/2}(2^{|\alpha_k|+1})} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{when } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$F_m = 2^m (D_{2^{m+1}} - D_{2^m}).$$

It is evident

$$(36) \quad \widehat{F}_m(i) = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{if } i = 2^m, \dots, 2^{m+1} - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can write

$$(37) \quad S_i F_m = \begin{cases} 2^m (D_i - D_{2^m}), & \text{if } i = 2^m + 1, \dots, 2^{m+1} - 1, \\ F_m, & \text{if } i \geq 2^{m+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (4) we get

$$(38) \quad \|F_m\|_{H_{1/2}} = \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |S_{2^k} F_m| \right\|_{1/2} = 2^m \|D_{2^{m+1}} - D_{2^m}\|_{1/2} \\ = 2^m \|D_{2^m}\|_{1/2} \leq 1.$$

Let $0 < n < 2^m$. Using (33) we obtain

$$(39) \quad |\sigma_{n+2^m} F_m| = \frac{1}{n+2^m} \left| \sum_{j=2^{m+1}}^{n+2^m} S_j F_m \right| \\ = \frac{1}{n+2^m} \left| 2^m \sum_{j=2^{m+1}}^{n+2^m} (D_j - D_{2^m}) \right| \\ = \frac{1}{n+2^m} \left| 2^m \sum_{j=1}^n (D_{j+2^m} - D_{2^m}) \right| \\ = \frac{1}{n+2^m} \left| 2^m \sum_{j=1}^n D_j \right| = \frac{2^m}{n+2^m} n |K_n|.$$

Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} 2^k$, where $0 \leq l_1 \leq m_1 \leq l_2 - 2 < l_2 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq l_s - 2 < l_s \leq m_s$. Combining Lemma 3 and (39) we write

$$|\sigma_{n+2^m} F_m(x)| \geq c2^{2l_i}, \quad \text{for } x \in I_{l_i+1}(e_{l_i-1} + e_{l_i}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G |\sigma_{n+2^m} F_m(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ & \geq \sum_{i=0}^s \int_{I_{l_i+1}(e_{l_i-1}+e_{l_i})} |\sigma_{n+2^m} F_m(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ & \geq c \sum_{i=0}^s \frac{1}{2^{l_i}} 2^{l_i} \geq cs \geq cV(n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Fine [3] proved that

$$\frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{k=1}^n V(k) = \frac{1}{4 \log 2} + o(1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} \sup_{\|f\|_{H_p} \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\sigma_k F\|_{1/2}^{1/2} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}-1} \|\sigma_k F_m\|_{1/2}^{1/2} \\ & \geq \frac{c}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}-1} V(k-2^m) \geq \frac{c}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^m-1} V(k) \\ & \geq c \log m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ when } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] *I. Blahota, G. Gát, and U. Goginava*, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series, *Colloq. Math.* 107 (2007), 287–296.
- [2] *I. Blahota, G. Gát, and U. Goginava*, Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7 (2006), 1-7.
- [3] *N.J. Fine*, On the Walsh function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 65 (1949), 372-414.
- [4] *N. J. Fujii*, A maximal inequality for H_1 functions on the generalized Walsh-Paley group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1979), 111-116.
- [5] *G. Gát*, Inverstigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin sistem, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 61 (1993), 131-149.
- [6] *G. Gát*, Cesàro means of integrable functions with respect to unbounded Vilenkin systems. *J. Approx. Theory* 124 (2003), 25–43.
- [7] *U. Goginava*, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 115 (2007), 333–340.

- [8] *U. Goginava*, On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approx. Theory* 115 (2002), 9-20.
- [9] *U. Goginava*, Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 74 (2008), 615–624.
- [10] *U. Goginava*, The martingale Hardy type inequality for Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of two-dimensional conjugate Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Sinica*, 27, (2011), 1949-1958.
- [11] *B. Golubov, A. Efimov and V. Skvortsov*, Walsh series and transformations, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1991. Kluwer Acad. publ, 1991.
- [12] *J. Pál and P. Simon*, On a generalization of the concept of derivate, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 29 (1977), 155-164.
- [13] *F.Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon, J. Pál*, Walsh series, An Introduction to Duadic Harmonic Analysis, Akademiai Kiadó, (Budapest-AdamHilger (Bristol-New-York)), 1990.
- [14] *F.Schipp*, Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh series, *Mat. Zametki*, 18 (1975), 193-201.
- [15] *P. Simon*, Cesaro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh systems, *Monatsh. Math.*, 131 (2000), 321-334.
- [16] *P. Simon*, Investigations with respect to the Vilenkin system, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotv., Sect. Math.*, 28 (1985) 87-101.
- [17] *P. Simon*, A note on the of the Sunouchi operator with respect to Vilenkin systems, *Annales Univ. Sci. Sect. Math. Budapest* (2001).
- [18] *P. Simon*. Strong Convergence Theorem for Vilenkin-Fourier Series. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 245, (2000), pp. 52-68 .
- [19] *P. Simon*. Strong convergence of certain means with respect to the Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 49 (1-2) (1987), 425-431.
- [20] *P. Simon, F. Weisz*, Strong convergence theorem for two-parameter Vilenkin-Fourier series. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 86, (2000), 17-38.
- [21] *B. Smith*, A strong convergence theorem for $H_1(T)$, in *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 995, Springer, Berlin, (1994), 169-173.
- [22] *G. Tephnadze*, Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, *Stud. sci. math. Hung.*, 49, (2012) 79-90.
- [23] *G. Tephnadze*, On the maximal operator of Vilenkin-Fejér means, *Turk. J. Math.*, 37, (2013), 308-318.
- [24] *G. Tephnadze*, On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means on Hardy spaces, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications.*, Vol. 16 (2013), 301–312.
- [25] *F. Weisz*, Cesàro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *Anal. Math.* 22 (1996), 229-242.
- [26] *F. Weisz*, Weak type inequalities for the Walsh and bounded Ciesielski systems. *Anal. Math.* 30 (2004), 147–160.
- [27] *F. Weisz*, Hardy spaces and Cesàro means of two-dimensional Fourier series, *Bolyai Soc. math. Studies*, (1996), 353-367.
- [28] *F. Weisz*, Strong convergence theorems for two-parameter Walsh-Fourier and trigonometric-Fourier series. (English) *Stud. Math.* 117 (1996), 173-194.
- [29] *F. Weisz*, Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heideiberg-New York, 1994.
- [30] *F. Weisz*, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.

G. TEPHNADZE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128, GEORGIA
E-mail address: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com