

The Roles of Interfering Radiation Emitted from Decaying Pulses Obeying Soliton Equations Belonging to the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur Systems

Hironobu FUJISHIMA¹ *, and Tetsu YAJIMA² †

¹*Optics R&D Center, CANON INC., 23-10 Kiyohara Kogyoudanchi, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 323-3298 Japan*

²*Department of Information Systems Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Utsunomiya University, Yoto 7-1-2, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 321-8585, Japan*

We analyze the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) of self-focusing type with the double box- type initial condition, which models general multiple pulses deviating from pure solitons. Without resorting to direct numerical computation, we apply Boffetta and Osborne's method {G. Boffetta and A. R. Osborne, J. Comp Phys. **102** (1992) 252.} to the corresponding Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem, where the initial wave packet are split into many small bins and transfer matrices connecting the Jost functions in each interval are constructed. We derive the exact scattering data and analytical expression that describes interfering radiation emitted from decaying original pulses. By exploiting the scattering data, we extract information such as the number of solitons which appear in the final state. We find that the interplay between the defusing tails from decaying pulses can affect the asymptotic profile drastically and this is confirmed by directly integrating the NLSE by numerical simulation. If the initial double box-type potentials are asymmetric and exceed critical heights, branches in the zero point trajectory of the reflection coefficient start to show crossing phenomena. Furthermore, we show the parameter conditions which generate double-pole solitons.

KEYWORDS: nonlinear integrable equations, initial value problem, Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem, double-pole soliton, approximate method

1. Introduction

The theory of solitons has played a prominent role in development of mathematical physics.¹⁻⁶⁾ It has been applied to many interesting fields of physics, ranging from high energy and gravitational physics⁷⁻¹²⁾ to physics of more experimentally accessible energy scales such as fluid mechanics or plasma physics.¹³⁻¹⁸⁾ In the context of condensed matter or low temperature physics, the Bose-Einstein condensed (BEC) systems are of particular interest and have attracted considerable attention. In the BEC systems, the macroscopic wave functions of the condensates are known to obey a kind of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) whose nonlinear term represents the bi-particle collision of constituent atoms.¹⁹⁻²²⁾ The absolute square of the macroscopic wave function is interpreted as the particle density of the constituent atoms and can be directly observable with optical method. Furthermore, by

*E-mail: fujishima@lamp.is.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp

†E-mail: yajimat@is.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp

developing many techniques to control the system, experimentalists have already realized various geometries, *i.e.*, external potentials experienced by BEC's. Quasi-one-dimensional potentials are among them and self-focusing bright solitons have been realized by a number of laboratories.^{23–28)} Recently, collisions between such BEC solitons have been experimentally examined and reported.^{29–31)} Similar experiments can also be performed in the field of nonlinear optics.^{32–36)}

In real experiments, however, one cannot generate pure solitons which are the exact solutions of the NLSE and the word “solitons” should be understood in the meaning of multiple pulses of condensate or photons. Realistically, one expects that the multiple pulses that deviate from pure solitons decay emitting radiations and, after some transient time, transform into the final state including pure solitons. The investigation of the roles played by the interaction between the emitted radiations is the motivation of this paper. For example, even if each constituent pulse might be too small to sustain a soliton, it might be possible to observe that their interference and some nonlinear effects generate large amplitude and make it promote to new solitons.

Time evolution of above mentioned nonlinear systems is investigated by solving the initial value problems of corresponding soliton equations. The inverse scattering transform (IST) is a useful method which can deal with such problems.^{1–6)} This method is based on a scattering problem of a set of auxiliary linear equations, which are associated with the original soliton equation:

$$\Psi_x = M\Psi, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\Psi_t = N\Psi, \quad (1.1b)$$

where the quantities M and N are matrices or operators including the unknown functions of the soliton equation, the spectral parameter and the wave function Ψ representing an auxiliary field obeying appropriate boundary conditions. An important step of the IST method is to analyze the spatial equation (1.1a) as a scattering problem whose potential term is given by the initial condition of the unknown function. The wave function Ψ and the spectral parameter correspond to eigenfunction and eigenvalue, respectively. This is called the Zakharov-Shabat (ZS) problem.³⁷⁾ Once the ZS problem is solved, the time-evolved wave function is easily obtained through eq. (1.1b) and the solution of the Cauchy problem is provided by virtue of the Gel'fand-Levitan-Malchenko (GLM) equation.³⁸⁾ The GLM equation clearly shows that the number of discrete eigenvalues determines that of solitons to be generated in the asymptotic future.

Usually, the ZS problems accompanied with general initial conditions are evidently difficult ones and it is rarely possible for us to predict even how many solitons remain in the final state, except for pure soliton initial conditions. Concerning with this problem, G. Boffetta and A. R. Osborne,³⁹⁾ in 1991, suggested a approximation method to obtain the scattering data for arbitrary initial wave packets

by discretizing the spatial coordinate. Obviously, their method is applicable to other Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) soliton equations.⁴¹⁾ In this paper, we consider a set of box-type potentials as models for the multiple pulses that are not pure solitons and apply their method. As a result, we succeed in obtaining the exact scattering data and analytical expression that describes interfering radiation emitted from decaying original pulses. By exploiting the scattering data, we could extract information such as the number of solitons which appear in the final state. We find that the interplay between the defusing tails from decaying pulses can affect the asymptotic profile drastically and this is confirmed by directly integrating the NLSE by numerical simulation. Furthermore, we derived the parameter conditions which generates double-pole solitons.⁴⁰⁾

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we shall briefly summarize the IST method and the ZS problem taking the NLS equation as an example. In §. 3, we shall introduce Boffetta and Osborne's method and explain how to extract approximated scattering data. In §. 4, we will derive distributions of eigenvalues for the NLS equation with double box-type initial conditions. We will also show this simple application leads to some non-trivial results including conditions for generating double-pole solitons and crucial roles played by interfering radiations from each box-like pulse. Results of numerical simulation are shown in §. 5. The final section is devoted to discussions and concluding remarks.

2. Summary of the IST method and the ZS problem

We shall give a minimum explanation on the IST method and the ZS problem for later need. Throughout this paper, we take the NLS equation as an illustration. The example is mainly based on the NLS equation:

$$i\psi_t = -\psi_{xx} - 2|\psi|^2\psi. \quad (2.1)$$

For the NLS equation, the matrices M and N in (1.1) are given as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -i\xi & i\psi^* \\ i\psi & i\xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 2i\xi^2 - i|\psi|^2 & \psi_x^* - 2i\xi\psi^* \\ -\psi_x - 2i\xi\psi & -2i\xi^2 + i|\psi|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2b)$$

where ξ is the spectral parameter. Equations (1.1a) and (2.2a) completely define the ZS problem for the NLS equation. Other soliton equations belonging to the AKNS system have similar ZS problems.¹⁾

We shall introduce a usual boundary condition for ψ :

$$\psi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

By this boundary condition, each element of the wave function Ψ must become a plane wave. As the fundamental solutions, we can select two sets of functions $\{\phi, \bar{\phi}\}$ and $\{\chi, \bar{\chi}\}$ called the Jost functions, which satisfy boundary conditions

$$\phi(x; \xi) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\xi x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\phi}(x; \xi) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i\xi x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\chi(x; \xi) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i\xi x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\chi}(x; \xi) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\xi x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.4b)$$

The Jost functions are related by each other as

$$\phi(x; \xi) = a(\xi)\bar{\chi}(x; \xi) + b(\xi)\chi(x; \xi), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bar{\phi}(x; \xi) = \bar{a}(\xi)\chi(x; \xi) - \bar{b}(\xi)\bar{\chi}(x; \xi).$$

The coefficient functions are called the scattering data and $a(\xi)$ can be analytically continued to the upper half-plane $\text{Im } \xi > 0$.

From eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), we can see that the Jost function $\phi(x; \xi)$ satisfies a asymptotic form

$$\phi(x; \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\xi)e^{-i\xi x} \\ b(\xi)e^{i\xi x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

When the function $a(\xi)$ has N simple zeros $\xi = \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$ on the upper half-plane, there appear N solitons in the asymptotic future and each ξ determines the characteristics of each soliton.⁴²⁾ We need to know $a(\xi)$ to extract information on solitons in the asymptotic future. By eq. (2.6), we can find this is equivalent to calculate $\phi(x; \xi)$ at $x \rightarrow \infty$ under the boundary condition eq. (2.4a).

3. Discretization of the Initial Wave Packet and Approximated Scattering Data

In this section, we shall consider the ZS problem of the NLS equation:

$$\Psi_x = M\Psi, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} -i\xi & i\psi^* \\ i\psi & i\xi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since the spectral parameter ξ is a time-independent quantity, we can take ψ in eq. (3.1) to be the initial value of the unknown wave packet $\psi(x, 0)$.

The major difficulty in analyzing (3.1) for general initial conditions comes from the fact that $\psi(x, 0)$ depends on the coordinate x . In order to overcome this difficulty, according to Boffetta and Osborne's idea, we shall split the support of $\psi(x, 0)$ into many small intervals:

$$I_j : x_j \leq x < x_{j+1} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N), \quad (3.2)$$

and approximate $\psi(x, 0)$ to take a constant value in each interval. We shall introduce a set of functions

ψ_j :

$$\psi_j(x) = \begin{cases} V_j & x \in I_j, \\ 0 & x \notin I_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The initial value $\psi(x, 0)$ is now approximated as

$$\psi(x, 0) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_j(x), \quad (3.4)$$

$$= \begin{cases} V_j & (x \in I_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Assuming $\psi(x, 0)$ belongs to the class of rapidly decreasing functions, we can approximately consider that $\psi(x, 0)$ has a compact support. Within each interval, eq. (3.1) reads

$$\Psi_x = M_j \Psi, \quad M_j = \begin{pmatrix} -i\xi & iV_j^* \\ iV_j & i\xi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

We can solve eq. (3.6) for x satisfying $x \in I_j$ as

$$\Psi(x) = T(X)\Psi(x_j), \quad T(X) = \exp(XM_j), \quad (3.7a)$$

where $X \equiv x - x_j$ and the matrix $T(X)$ is explicitly written as

$$T(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos KX - i(\xi/K) \sin KX & i(V_j^*/K) \sin KX \\ i(V_j/K) \sin KX & \cos KX + i(\xi/K) \sin KX \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7b)$$

$$K = \sqrt{\xi^2 + |V_j|^2}. \quad (3.7c)$$

We shall denote the width of the j -th bin as

$$x_{j+1} - x_j = L_j, \quad (3.8)$$

and we can see that the Jost function satisfies the relation

$$\Psi(x_{N+1}) = T\Psi(x_1), \quad (3.9)$$

$$T = T(L_N)T(L_{N-1}) \cdots T(L_2)T(L_1). \quad (3.10)$$

The matrix T is interpreted as a transfer matrix which connects two asymptotic forms in $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Recalling eq. (2.4a) and the fact we truncate $\psi(x; \xi)$ to be supported only in the region $x_1 \leq x \leq x_{N+1}$, one can derive a relation

$$\phi(x_{N+1}; \xi) = T\phi(x_1; \xi) = e^{-i\xi x_1} T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a(\xi)e^{-i\xi x_{N+1}} \\ b(\xi)e^{i\xi x_{N+1}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus we have the approximated expressions of scattering data in terms of transfer matrix as

$$a(\xi) = e^{iL\xi} T_{11}, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$b(\xi) = e^{-i(x_1 + x_{N+1})\xi} T_{21}, \quad (3.13b)$$

where the parameter L is defined as $L = L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_N$. By considering the initial packet as a set of constant functions, one can obtain explicit expression of the scattering datum $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$ for any initial values provided they belong the class of rapidly decreasing functions. Thus, desired information which characterizes solitons in the asymptotic future can be extracted from $a(\xi)$ with arbitrary precision by properly adjusting the width of each bin L_j .

4. Applications

In this section, we shall apply Boffetta and Osborne's method introduced in the previous section to simple initial wave packets. We exactly solve the ZS problems for these initial conditions and investigate the corresponding final states.

Throughout this section, we shall assume that initial conditions are real-valued, which means initial wave packets are static. Thus the spectral parameter ξ is expected to be pure imaginary. Since the zeros of $a(\xi)$ should be located in upper half plane of ξ , we shall find the discrete eigenvalues under a condition

$$\xi = i\eta, \quad (\eta > 0). \quad (4.1)$$

4.1 Single Box-Type Initial Condition

We consider a box-type initial condition whose width is L :

$$\psi(x, 0) = \begin{cases} V_0 & (0 \leq x \leq L), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where V_0 is a real number. From eqs. (3.7b) and (3.13a), the scattering datum $a(\xi)$ is derived as

$$a(\xi) = e^{i\xi L} (\cos KL - i \frac{\xi}{K} \sin KL), \quad (4.3)$$

$$K = \sqrt{V_0^2 + \xi^2}.$$

Setting $a(\xi)$ to be zero, we find that the zeros of $a(\xi)$ can be derived from a set of relations:

$$\sqrt{V_0^2 - \eta^2} = -\eta \tan(L \sqrt{V_0^2 - \eta^2}), \quad (|V_0| > \eta), \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\sqrt{\eta^2 - V_0^2} = -\eta \tanh(L \sqrt{\eta^2 - V_0^2}), \quad (|V_0| < \eta). \quad (4.4b)$$




Fig. 1. Typical cases concerning of eq. (4.6). (a) The case of $A = 1.2$. (b) The case of $A = 1.8$. The thick curves are the graphs of $y = \sqrt{A - 2 - u^2}$ in $u > 0$, and the thin curves are the graphs of $y = -u \tan \sqrt{A^2 - u^2}$. The dashed line in (b) denotes the value of u where $\sqrt{A^2 - u^2} = \pi/2$ and the right-hand side of eq. (4.6a) diverges.

Since the solution of eq. (4.4b) does not satisfy the condition $\eta > 0$, we shall eliminate it and consider only eq. (4.4a). Introducing A and u as

$$A = V_0 L, \quad u = \eta L, \quad (4.5)$$

we can omit the parameter L . Thus the equation we should consider becomes

$$\sqrt{A^2 - u^2} = -u \tan(\sqrt{A^2 - u^2}), \quad (4.6a)$$

$$0 < u < A. \quad (4.6b)$$

This means that we should find the intersection of curves $y = u \tan \sqrt{A^2 - u^2}$ and $y = \sqrt{A^2 - u^2}$ on the first quadrant.

For sufficiently minute value of V_0 , the value of $\sqrt{A^2 - u^2}$ stays in the interval $(0, \pi/2)$ and the right-hand side of (4.6a) is kept negative. In such a case, there exists no solution and no soliton remains at $t \rightarrow \infty$. As the value of V_0 increases, $\sqrt{A^2 - u^2}$ can exceed $\pi/2$, and solutions of eq. (4.6a) appear. It is clear that the condition where eq. (4.6) has a solution or more is $A > \pi/2$ ($V_0 > \pi/(2L)$). A typical situations for these cases are shown in Fig. 1 After a brief consideration, we can see that if the potential height V_0 satisfies

$$(n - \frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{L} < V_0 \leq (n + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{L}, \quad (n: \text{a positive integer}), \quad (4.7)$$

the number of solitons which will be generated asymptotically should be n .




Fig. 2. The double box-type initial condition (4.8)

4.2 Double Box-Type Initial Condition

Next, we shall consider an initial condition

$$\psi(x, 0) = \begin{cases} V_0, & (0 < x < L, L + w < x < 2L + w), \\ 0, & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

which means two identical pulses, each of which has a common amplitude V_0 and width L , are located with a separation w (Fig. 2). In this case, by using eq. (4.1), we can find that the scattering datum $a(\xi)$ is given by

$$a(i\eta) = e^{-2\eta L} \left\{ \left[\cos KL + \frac{\eta}{K} \sin KL \right]^2 - \frac{V_0^2}{K^2} e^{-2\eta w} \sin^2 KL \right\}, \quad (4.9a)$$

$$K = \sqrt{V_0^2 - \eta^2}. \quad (4.9b)$$

If we introduce A and u as in eqs. (4.5), the zeros of $a(i\eta)$ can be derived from

$$\left[\cos K + \frac{u}{K} \sin K \right]^2 = \frac{A^2}{K^2} e^{-2uw/L} \sin^2 K, \quad (4.10a)$$

$$K = \sqrt{A^2 - u^2}. \quad (4.10b)$$

The values of u are restricted to

$$0 < u < A, \quad (4.10c)$$

because there are no positive η satisfying this relation if $\eta > V_0$, following the discussion in deriving eq. (4.6b).

Let us consider two limiting cases. When two initial pulses are sufficiently separated, it is natural to expect the number of solitons which remain in the course of time is twice as many as that of a single initial pulse case, because the amplitude of diffusing radiation is generally so small that the




Fig. 3. The distribution of the zeros of scattering datum $a(i\eta)$ for various values of V_0 under the given w . (a) The case $w = 0.1L$. (b) The case $w = 1.5L$. The dashed line expresses the two boundaries of the allowed region for the solutions, given by eq. (4.10c).




Fig. 4. The graph of $a(i\eta)$ for $A = A_0$ (2.24228). There appears a double-pole solution of $a(i\eta) = 0$.

interaction between two pulses hardly affect asymptotically. This observation is confirmed by taking a limit $w \rightarrow \infty$ in eq. (4.9a). This operation makes the final term in eq. (4.9a) vanished. In this limit, the function a given by eq. (4.9a) coincides with the square of the scattering datum of eq. (4.3) under the condition (4.1). In the opposite limit, $w \rightarrow 0$, the two initial pulses are fused together into a single pulse whose width is $2L$. In fact, eq. (4.9a) coincides with eq. (4.3) if L is replaced by $2L$.

For appropriately chosen value of w , the analysis of the eigenvalue problem provides non-trivial solutions where the final term of eq. (4.9a) plays an essential role. We show in Fig. 3 the curves which satisfy eq. (4.10a) on $A-u$ plane. We have chosen the values of separation as $w = 0.1L$ in Fig. 3 (a), and $w = 1.5L$ in (b). In Fig. 3 (a), we can see that there is no solution for $A \lesssim 0.8$. This means that an initial wave packet with too small amplitude all transforms into diffusing waves known as radiation. As the quantity $A(\sim V_0)$ increases, a solution of eq. (4.10a) appears. This can be realized for $\pi/4 \lesssim A \lesssim 3\pi/4$.

This solution gives one asymptotically remaining soliton. The soliton is expected to be located at the center of the two initial pulses, because there is space reflection symmetry. For larger values of A , the number of remaining solitons increases monotonically.

In Fig. 3 (b), we can see that there is a qualitatively different result which cannot be observed in the previous case. In this case, the quantity η is not always given as a single-valued function of A on every branch. After having one solution for $\pi/4 \lesssim A \lesssim 2.2$, eq. (4.10a) is observed to have two roots around $A \sim 2.2$. The smaller solution of u for this value of A gives a double-pole solution. We have presented a graph of $a(i\eta)$ in Fig. 4 for the value of $A \simeq 2.2$, the smallest value of A where the tangent of the curves shown in Fig. 3 (b) is parallel to the u -axis. Let us denote this value of A as A_0 . When $A = A_0$, the number of remaining soliton is two. As soon as A exceeds this value, the number of solitons becomes three, however small the excess is. As the value of A becomes larger, the number of remaining solitons decrease to be two for $A \gtrsim 3\pi/4$. Thus, the number of solitons which appears in the course of time is not a simple monotonic function of the amplitude of the initial pulse for moderate value of w .

4.3 Asymmetric Double Box-Type Initial Condition

In the real experiment exploiting the self focusing BEC,²⁹⁾ Nguyen *et al* prepared two condensates so that the population of the one condensate was about half of the other and made them collide each other. To give the two condensates opposite initial velocities, a harmonic potential was applied along the axial direction and they did not turn off the harmonic potential throughout the runs. Unfortunately, the harmonic potential was not so weak that we can neglect its effect because they reported that the two condensates passed through each other and oscillated back and forth at the bottom of the trap for several periods.

Nevertheless, from the standpoint of nonlinear wave theory, it seems to be very interesting to consider this problem under the ideal potential-free situation. Although the exact initial conditions include not only smooth shapes but also phases of the condensates, we modeled the two condensate as an asymmetric double box-type initial condition on the flat line for simplicity as below;

$$\psi(x, 0) = \begin{cases} V_0, & (0 < x < L), \\ sV_0, & (L + w < x < 2L + w), \\ 0, & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Assuming $\xi = i\eta$ ($\eta > 0$) and using the normalized parameters $A = LV_0$ and $u = L\eta$ as before, we define following equations;

$$f(A, u) = \cos K(A) + \frac{u}{K(A)} \sin K(A), \quad (4.12a)$$




Fig. 5. The distribution of the zeros of scattering datum $a(iu)$ for various values of V_0 under $w = 1.5L$ and $s = 1/\sqrt{2}$. The dashed line expresses the two boundaries of the allowed region for the solutions, given by eq. (4.10c).

$$g(A, u) = \frac{A}{K(A)} \sin K(A), \quad (4.12b)$$

$$K(A) = \sqrt{A^2 - u^2}. \quad (4.12c)$$

The scattering datum $a(i\eta)$ for the initial condition (4.11) is now expressed as

$$a(iu) = e^{-2u} \left(f(A, u)f(sA, u) - e^{-2\frac{uw}{L}} g(A, u)g(sA, u) \right), \quad (4.13)$$

which is a symmetric function of V_0 and sV_0 as expected and the last term exactly describes the effect of interacting tales. This time, we should note that $f(sA)$ and $g(sA)$ include hyperbolic functions when $sA < u$. Setting again $w = 1.5L$, we searched zeros of $a(iu)$ for various $0 < s < 1$. Impressive observations began when we examined the value of s around $s = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Taking $s = 1/\sqrt{2}$ corresponds to the situation where the population of the one condensate is about half of the other. We show in Fig. 4 the curves which satisfy eq. (4.12) on A - u plane. As the quantity A ($\sim V_0$) increases, we can see, in addition to the fact that the quantity η is not always given as a single-valued function of A on every branch, some branches begin to exhibit crossing phenomena. The first crossing point appears around $A = 6.63481$. This point gives a double-pole soliton condition, although the measure of this point is no more than zero. We have presented a graph of $a(iu)$ in Fig. 6 for the value of $A = 6.63481$.

The appearance of the crossing points clearly makes the trajectory more complex and richer than that of the symmetric case. The number of solitons which appears in the course of time is again not a simple monotonic function of the amplitude of the initial pulse for properly chosen value of w .




Fig. 6. The graph of $a(iu)\exp(2u)$ for $A = 6.63481$. There also appears a double-pole solution at the crossing point.

5. Numerical Simulation

In this section, we shall show results of numerical simulation on the initial value problem described in the previous subsection. By numerically integrating the NLS equation (2.1), we solve the initial value problem under the double box-type initial condition eq. (4.8) horizontally shifted so that the center of the valley coincides the origin $x = 0$. We set the width of the valley w to be $1.5L$ and vary the common potential height V_0 for each time.

First, we examine the case where $V_0 = 1.5/L$. In this case, we have only one solution so that we expect only one soliton in the final state. Figure 7 is the absolute square of the wave amplitude $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 100$. Though we can observe three pulses, the height of the two smaller peaks across the center peak keeps falling to fade away. It seems to remain only one soliton at the center at the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Secondly, we raise the potential height to be $V_0 = 2.3/L$, which is slightly larger value than the critical value for a double-pole soliton but smaller than the upper threshold $V_0 = 3\pi/4$. Therefore, we expect three remaining solitons in the far distant future. Figure 8 is the absolute square of the wave amplitude $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 65$. We can observe three sharp pulses. In this case, the two smaller peaks at both sides never diffuse with time.

Thirdly, we set the potential height to be $V_0 = 2.5/L$, which exceeds the boundary value of $V_0 = 3\pi/4$, and two solitons are predicted to survive. Figure 9 is the absolute square of the wave amplitude $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 50$. We can admit two large peaks around the origin as expected. They keep alternately splitting and fusing together, like a breather.

For the symmetric initial condition case, we also observed similar behaviors. Unfortunately, our numerical simulation could not catch the features of the double-pole solitons probably because the conditions for generating them have zero measures. Other results of the numerical simulation, however, show good agreement with theoretical predictions and strongly support the validity of them.




Fig. 7. The graph of $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 100$ for $V_0 = 1.5/L$.




Fig. 8. The graph of $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 65$ for $V_0 = 2.3/L$.




Fig. 9. The graph of $|\psi(x, t)|^2$ at $t = 50$ for $V_0 = 2.5/L$.

6. Discussions and Concluding Remarks

Inspired by recent collision experiment using the BEC pulses, we have applied Boffetta and A Osborne’s approximation method to analyze the Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem which is subordinate to the NLSE. As we have seen in the previous sections, their method can be used effectively in

solving the ZS eigenvalue equations under general non-soliton initial conditions. We have considered the initial value problem of the NLS equation of self-focusing type under box-type initial conditions, for which we can obtain analytically rigorous results. We found the interplay between the decaying tails from the initial pulses can affect the asymptotic behaviors, and succeeded in making qualitative predictions including the number of remaining solitons and conditions under which the initial wave becomes double-pole solitons. Especially, for asymmetric initial condition where the both boxes have different heights or widths, further complex and interesting behaviors were manifested.

At the end of this article, we shall refer to the possible extensions of this work. Though we limited ourselves to the considerations of the NLS equation, this method can be applied to various soliton equations which belong to the AKNS system. In addition, we can expect more extensions to the integrable equations which belong to other systems, such as the Kaup-Newell⁴³⁾ or the Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa⁴⁴⁾ systems. These extensions should be considered as future works and more interesting physics originating nonlinear wave interaction are expected to be extracted in analytically accessible manner.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere gratitude to Professor Ralph Willox of the University of Tokyo and Professor Ken-ichi Maruno of Waseda University for their interest to this work and stimulated discussions. One of the authors H. F. thanks to the hospitality of Utsunomiya University for offering opportunities of fruitful discussions.

References

- 1) M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur: *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981).
- 2) F. Calogero and A. Degasperis: *Spectral Transform and Solitons* (North-Holland, 1982).
- 3) S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevsky and V. E. Zakharov: *Theory of Solitons* (Plenum, New York, 1984).
- 4) A. C. Newell: *Solitons in Mathematical Physics* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985).
- 5) L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan: *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- 6) M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson: *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- 7) R. Rajaraman: *Solitons and Instantons* (North-Holland, 1987).
- 8) N. Manton and P. Sutcliffe: *Topological Solitons* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- 9) M. Shifman and A. Yung: *Supersymmetric Solitons* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009).
- 10) Maciej. Dunajski: *Solitons, Instantons, and Twistors* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- 11) E. J. Weinberg: *Classical Solutions in Quantum Field Theory: Solitons and Instantons in High Energy Physics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012).
- 12) V. Belinski and E. Verdaguer: *Gravitational Solitons* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- 13) G. B. Whitham: *Linear and Nonlinear Waves* (John-Wiley, New York, 1974).
- 14) V. I. Karpman: *Nonlinear Waves in Dispersive Media* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975).
- 15) G. L. Lamb, Jr.: *Elements of Soliton Theory* (John-Wiley, New York, 1980).
- 16) E. Infeld and G. Rowlands: *Nonlinear Waves, Solitons and Chaos* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1990).
- 17) T. Dauxois and M. Peyrand: *Physics of Solitons* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- 18) M. J. Ablowitz: *Nonlinear Dispersive Waves* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- 19) A. Griffin, D. Snoke and S. Stringari eds.: *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- 20) L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari: *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2003).
- 21) C. J. Pethick and H. Smith: *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases, 2nd ed.* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- 22) M. Ueda: *Fundamentals and New Frontiers of Bose-Einstein Condensation* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2010).
- 23) L. Khaykovich, F. Schreck, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, L. D. Carr, Y. Castin and C. Salomon: *Science* **296** (2002) 1290.
- 24) K. E. Strecker, G. B. Partridge, A. G. Truscott and R. G. Hulet: *Nature* **417** (2002) 150.
- 25) A. L. Marchant, T. P. Billam, T. P. Wiles, M. M. H. Yu, S. H. Gardiner and S. L. Cornish: *Nat. Commun.* **4** (2013) 1865.
- 26) P. Medley, M. A. Minar, N. C. Cizek, D. Berryrieser and M. A. Kasevich: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 060401.
- 27) U. Al Khawaja, H. T. C. Stoof, R. G. Hulet, K. E. Strecker and G. B. Partridge: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** (2002) 200404.
- 28) S. L. Cornish, S. T. Thompson and C. E. Wieman: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 170401.
- 29) J. H. V. Nguyen, P. Dyke, D. Luo, B. A. Malomed and R. G. Hulet: *Nat. Phys.* **10** (2014) 918.
- 30) N. G. Parker, A. M. Martin, S. L. Cornish and C. S. Adams: *J. Phys. B* **10** (2008) 045303.

- 31) T. P. Billam, S. L. Cornish and S. A. Gardiner: Phys. Rev. A **83** (2011) 041602.
- 32) A. Hasegawa and M. Matsumoto: *Optical Solitons in Fibers 3rd ed.* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- 33) F. Kh Abdullaev, S. Darmanyan and P. Khabibullaev: *Optical Solitons* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
- 34) G. Agrawal: *Nonlinear Fiber Optics, 5th ed.* (Academic Press, 2012).
- 35) Y. S. Kivshar and G. Agrawal: *Optical Solitons: From Fibers to Photonic Crystals* (Academic Press, 2003).
- 36) J. R. Taylor ed.: *Optical Solitons: Theory and Experiment* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- 37) V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat: Sov. Phys. JETP **34** (1972) 62.
- 38) I. M. Gel'fand and B. M. Levitan: Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. **1** (1955) 253.
- 39) G. Boffetta and A. R. Osborne, J. Comp Phys. **102** (1992) 252.
- 40) E. Olmedilla: Physica D **25** (1987) 330.
- 41) M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell and H. Segur: Phys. Rev. Lett. **31** (1973) 125.
- 42) J. Satsuma and N. Yajima: Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **55** (1974) 284.
- 43) D. J. Kaup and A.C. Newell: J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 798.
- 44) M Wadati, K. Konno and Y. H. Ichikawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **46** (1979) 1698.