

A FINITE PRESENTATION FOR THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE FIRST HOMOLOGY OF A NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE OVER \mathbb{Z}_2 PRESERVING THE MOD 2 INTERSECTION FORM

RYOMA KOBAYASHI AND GENKI OMORI

ABSTRACT. Let $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ be the group of automorphisms on the first homology group with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients of a closed non-orientable surface N_g preserving the mod 2 intersection form. In this paper, we obtain a finite presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$. As an application we calculate the second homology group of $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $g \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, let $N_{g,n}$ be a compact connected non-orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components (we denote $N_{g,0}$ by N_g) and a bilinear form $\cdot : H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ the mod 2 intersection form on the first homology group $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of N_g with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients. We represent N_g by a sphere with g crosscaps as in Figure 1, i.e. we regard N_g as a sphere with g boundary components attached a Möbius band to each boundary component. We define $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ by the subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut } H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ preserving the mod 2 intersection form \cdot . Note that $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is isomorphic to $O(g, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{A \in GL(g, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mid {}^tAA = E\}$ by taking the basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_g\}$ for $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where x_i is a homology class of a one-sided simple closed curve μ_i in Figure 1 and E is an identity matrix of $GL(g, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (cf. [5]). By Korkmaz [3] and Szepietowski [12] we have isomorphisms

$$\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \text{if } g = 2h + 1, \\ \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}_2) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{2h+1} & \text{if } g = 2h + 2. \end{cases}$$

Let a_i ($i = 1, \dots, g-1$, for $g \geq 2$), b (for $g \geq 4$) $\in \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ be the following elements:

$$a_i : \begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+1} & \mapsto x_i, \\ x_k & \mapsto x_k \quad (k \neq i, i+1), \end{cases} \quad b : \begin{cases} x_1 & \mapsto x_2 + x_3 + x_4, \\ x_2 & \mapsto x_1 + x_3 + x_4, \\ x_3 & \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + x_4, \\ x_4 & \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \\ x_k & \mapsto x_k \quad (k \neq 1, 2, 3, 4). \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we give a finite presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $g = 1, 2, 3$, then $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is the following group.*

- $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_1; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) = 1$,
- $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_2; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) = \langle a_1 \mid a_1^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$,




FIGURE 1. Simple closed curves $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_g$ in N_g representing the basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_g for $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ respectively.

- $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_3; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) = \langle a_1, a_2 \mid a_1^2 = a_2^2 = (a_1 a_2)^3 = 1 \rangle$.

If $g \geq 4$ is odd, $g = 4$ or 6 , then $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ admits a presentation with generators a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b and relations:

- (1) $a_i^2 = b^2 = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-1$,
- (2) $(a_i a_j)^2 = 1$ for $g \geq 4$, $|i - j| > 1$,
- (3) $(a_i a_{i+1})^3 = 1$ for $g \geq 3$, $i = 1, \dots, g-2$,
- (4) $(a_i b)^2 = 1$ for $g \geq 4$, $i \neq 4$,
- (5) $(a_4 b)^3 = 1$ for $g \geq 5$,
- (6) $(a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^{12} = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^9$ for $g \geq 7$.

If $g \geq 8$ is even, then $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ admits a presentation with generators $a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b, b_0, \dots, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}$ and relations (1)-(6) above and the following relations:

- (7) $b_0 = a_1, b_1 = b, b_2 = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5$,
- (8) $b_{i+1} = (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3} b_i)^5 (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3})^{-6}$
for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$,
- (9) $[a_{g-5}, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}] = 1$.

We read every word of every group in this paper from right to left. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.1 for $g \geq 4$. Theorem 1.1 is clear for $g = 1, 2$. For $g = 3, 4$, Szepietowski [11, in the proof of Theorem 5.5] gave the presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$. Note that $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_3; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_6 and the symmetric group S_3 . By the result of Korkmaz [3, Corollary 4.1] and Theorem 1.1, the first homology group of $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is as follows.

$$H_1(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } g = 1, g \geq 7, \\ \langle [a_1] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{for } g = 2, 3, 5, 6, \\ \langle [a_1], [b] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{for } g = 4. \end{cases}$$

Note that the above equality is known for $g \geq 7$ odd (see, for instance, [13]).

In Section 4, by using the presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ obtained in Theorem 1.1, we calculate the second homology group of $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ for $g \geq 9$. We get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For $g \geq 9$ or $g = 7$, the second homology group of $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is trivial.*

Theorem 1.2 was shown by Stein [8] for odd g (see Theorem 2.13 and Proposition 3.3 (a)). More precisely, Stein proved $H_2(\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}_m); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ when $h \geq 3$ and m is not divisible by 4 (see also [1]).

To prove Theorem 1.2, we give a generating set for $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ which consists of one element x_0 by an application of the discussion of Pitsch [7].

By using the generator of $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ and Stein's result we show that x_0 is trivial for $g \geq 9$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-1}, \beta$ be two-sided simple closed curves on N_g as in Figure 2. Arrows on the side of simple closed curves in Figure 2 indicate directions of Dehn twists along their simple closed curves. Since the actions of the Dehn twists along $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-1}, \beta$ induce a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ respectively, we denote Dehn twists along $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-1}, \beta$ by a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b and abuse the notation.




FIGURE 2. Simple closed curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-1}, \beta$ on N_g .

Let μ be a one-sided simple closed curve and α a two-sided simple closed curve such that μ and α intersect transversely in one point. For these simple closed curves μ and α , we denote by $Y_{\mu, \alpha}$ a self-diffeomorphism on N_g which is described as the result of pushing the regular neighborhood of μ once along α (see Figure 3). We call $Y_{\mu, \alpha}$ a *Y-homeomorphism*. We set the direction of Y_{μ_i, α_j} ($1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq j \leq g-1$) by the orientation of α_j in Figure 2 and $y := Y_{\mu_1, \alpha_1}$. Note that the action of Y-homeomorphism on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial.




FIGURE 3. The Y-homeomorphism on the regular neighborhood of $\mu \cup \alpha$.

The *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ of $N_{g,n}$ is the group of isotopy classes of self-diffeomorphisms on N_g fixing each boundary component pointwise. Paris and Szepietowski [6] gave a finite presentation for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. The presentation has a generating set which consists of Dehn twists along two-sided simple closed curves and “crosscap transpositions”. Stukow [10] obtained a finite presentation for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ whose generators are Dehn twists and a Y-homeomorphism. Stukow's presentation is the following.

Theorem 2.1 ([10]). *If $g \geq 4$ is odd or $g = 4$, then $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ admits a presentation with generators $a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b, y$ and ρ . The defining relations are*

- (A1) $[a_i, a_j] = 1$ for $|i - j| > 1$,
- (A2) $a_i a_{i+1} a_i = a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, g - 2$,
- (A3) $[a_i, b] = 1$ for $i \neq 4$,
- (A4) $a_4 b a_4 = b a_4 b$ for $g \geq 5$,
- (A5) $(a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^{10} = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^6$ for $g \geq 5$,
- (A6) $(a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^{12} = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^9$ for $g \geq 7$,
- (B1) $y(a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 y a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1}) = (a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 y a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1})y$,
- (B2) $y(a_2 a_1 y^{-1} a_2^{-1} y a_1 a_2)y = a_1(a_2 a_1 y^{-1} a_2^{-1} y a_1 a_2)a_1$,
- (B3) $[a_i, y] = 1$ for $i = 3, \dots, g - 1$,
- (B4) $a_2(y a_2 y^{-1}) = (y a_2 y^{-1})a_2$,
- (B5) $y a_1 = a_1^{-1} y$,
- (B6) $b y b y^{-1} = \{a_1 a_2 a_3 (y^{-1} a_2 y) a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1}\} \{a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} (y a_2 y^{-1}) a_3 a_2\}$,
- (B7) $[(a_4 a_5 a_3 a_4 a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 y a_2^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_4^{-1}), b] = 1$ for $g \geq 6$,
- (B8) $\{(y a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1}) b (a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1 y^{-1})\} \{(a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1}) b^{-1} (a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1)\}$
 $= \{(a_4^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1}) y (a_2 a_3 a_4)\} \{a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} y^{-1} a_2 a_3\} \{a_2^{-1} y a_2\} y^{-1}$ for $g \geq 5$,
- (C1a) $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{g-1})^g = \rho$ for g odd,
- (C1b) $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{g-1})^g = 1$ for g even,
- (C2) $[a_1, \rho] = 1$,
- (C3) $\rho^2 = 1$,
- (C4a) $(y^{-1} a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{g-1} y a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{g-1})^{\frac{g-1}{2}} = 1$ for g odd,
- (C4b) $(y^{-1} a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{g-1} y a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{g-1})^{\frac{g-2}{2}} y^{-1} a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{g-1} = \rho$ for g even,

where $[X, Y] = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$. If $g \geq 6$ is even then $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ admits a presentation with generators $a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, y, b, \rho$ and $b_0, \dots, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}$. The defining relations are (A1)-(A6), (B1)-(B8), (C1a)-(C4b) above and the following relations:

- (A7) $b_0 = a_1, b_1 = b$,
- (A8) $b_{i+1} = (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3} b_i)^5 (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3})^{-6}$
for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$,
- (A9a) $[b_2, b] = 1$ for $g = 6$,
- (A9b) $[a_{g-5}, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}] = 1$ for $g \geq 8$.

Relations (A1) and (A3) are called *disjointness relations* and relations (A2) and (A4) are called *braid relations*. When we deform relations (or words) by disjointness relations and braid relations, we write “DI” and “BR” on the left-right arrow (or the equality sign) respectively.




FIGURE 4. Simple closed curves β_i on N_g for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{g-2}{2}$.




FIGURE 5. A different view of simple closed curves α_i ($1 \leq i \leq g-1$) and β_j ($0 \leq j \leq \frac{g-2}{2}$) on N_g .

b_i ($2 \leq i \leq \frac{g-2}{2}$) in the g even case of Theorem 2.1 is the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve β_i in Figure 4. The arrow on the side of the simple closed curve β_i in Figure 4 indicates the direction of the Dehn twist b_i . We note that N_g is diffeomorphic to a surface as in Figure 5 and we can choose the diffeomorphism such that simple closed curves α_i ($1 \leq i \leq g-1$) in Figure 2 and β_j ($0 \leq j \leq \frac{g-2}{2}$) in Figure 4 are sent to a position in Figure 5.

Since the action of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ on $H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ preserves the mod 2 intersection form \cdot , we have a homomorphism $\rho_2 : \mathcal{M}(N_g) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$. McCarthy and Pinkall [5] showed that ρ_2 is surjective. $\Gamma_2(N_g) := \ker \rho_2$ is called the *level 2 mapping class group* of $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$. Szepietowski [11] proved that $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is generated by Y-homeomorphisms for $g \geq 2$. More precisely, Szepietowski showed the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 ([11]). *For $g \geq 2$, $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ is normally generated by y in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$.*

We note that squares of Dehn twists along non-separating two-sided simple closed curves are elements of $\Gamma_2(N_g)$. Hence $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_{g-1}^2, b^2, y\}$ is a normal generating set for $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ in $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$.

We now explain about the Tietze transformations. Let G be a group with presentation $G = \langle X | R \rangle$, where X is a subset of G and R is a set consisting of words of elements of X . Then G is isomorphic to the quotient group F/K , where F is the free group which is generated by X and K is the normal subgroup of F which is normally generated by R . Then the following transformations among presentations do not change the isomorphism class of G .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X | R \rangle &\longleftrightarrow \langle X | R \cup \{k\} \rangle && \text{for } k \in K - R, \\ &\longleftrightarrow \langle X \cup \{v\} | R \cup \{vw^{-1}\} \rangle && \text{for } w \in F - X. \end{aligned}$$

These transformations are called the *Tietze transformations*. In this paper, we use these transformations without any comment when we deform presentations (or relations).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 FOR $g \geq 4$

By the definition of $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ and surjectivity of $\rho_2 : \mathcal{M}(N_g) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$, we have the following short exact sequence.

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_2(N_g) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(N_g) \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We have the finite presentation for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ (Theorem 2.1) and the normal generating set $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_{g-1}^2, b^2, y\}$ for $\Gamma_2(N_g)$ (Theorem 2.2). We can get a presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ by adding $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_{g-1}^2, b^2, y\}$ to the relations of the presentation for $\mathcal{M}(N_g)$ in Theorem 2.1.

The relations $a_1^2 = \dots = a_{g-1}^2 = b^2 = 1$ are nothing but relations (1) in Theorem 1.1 clearly. By Claim 3.2 and relations (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^6 &= (a_1^2 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^5 \\ &= (a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^5 \\ &= (a_2^2 a_3 a_4 a_5)^4 \\ &\vdots \\ &= a_5^2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the relation $b_2 = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5$ in relation (7) from relation (A8) for $i = 1$. Relations (2), (3), (4), (5) and (9) in Theorem 1.1 are obtained from relations (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) and (A9b) in Theorem 2.1, and relations (1).

Since $y = 1$ in $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$, relations (B1), (B3), (B4), (B7), (B8) are unnecessary. By using relations (1) and braid relations (relations (A2), (A4) in Theorem 2.1), relations (B2), (B5), (B6) are deformed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B2)} \quad & \xleftrightarrow{y=1 \& (1)} a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 = \underline{a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1} \xleftrightarrow{\text{BR}} a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 \underline{a_2 a_2 a_2 a_1 a_2} \\ & \xleftrightarrow{(1)} a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2. \\ \text{(B5)} \quad & \xleftrightarrow{y=1} a_1 = a_1^{-1} \iff (1). \\ \text{(B6)} \quad & \xleftrightarrow{y=1 \& (1)} 1 = a_1 \underline{a_2 a_3 a_2 a_3 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 a_2} \xleftrightarrow{\text{BR}} 1 = a_1 a_3 \underline{a_2 a_3 a_3 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 a_3 a_2 a_3} \\ & \iff 1 = a_1 a_3 a_1 a_3 \xleftrightarrow{(A1) \& (1)} 1 = a_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore relations (B1), (B2), \dots , (B8) drop out.

It is sufficient for proof of this theorem to show the following three claims:

Claim 3.1. *Relations (C1a), (C4b) are equivalent to $\rho = 1$ under $y = 1$, relations (1), (BR) and (DI). It allows you to rule out generator ρ and relations (C1a), (C2), (C3) and (C4b) from the presentation.*

Claim 3.2. *Let G be a group and assume that $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$ satisfy relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(BR)} \quad & g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \text{(DI)} \quad & [g_i, g_j] = 1 \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a relation $(g_1 g_2 \dots g_n)^{n+1} = (g_1^2 g_2 \dots g_n)^n$ on G .

“BR” and “DI” means braid relations and disjointness relations, respectively.

Claim 3.3. *Relation (A9a) follows from relations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5).*

We suppose that Claim 3.2 and Claim 3.3 are true.

Proof of Claim 3.1. Since $y = 1$ in $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ and $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ has relations (C1a) and (C4b), ρ is represented by the form

$$\rho = \begin{cases} (a_1 a_2 \dots a_{g-1})^g & \text{for } g \text{ odd,} \\ (a_2 \dots a_{g-1})^{g-1} & \text{for } g \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We get $\rho = 1$ by repeatedly applying Claim 3.2 and relations (1) to the right-hand side of the above equation. For example, in g odd case:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{g-1})^g \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{=} (a_2 \cdots a_{g-1})^{g-1} \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{=} (a_3 \cdots a_{g-2})^{g-2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{=} \cdots \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{=} a_{g-1}^2 \stackrel{(1)}{=} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

By Claim 3.1, relations (C2) and (C3) are unnecessary. By a discussion similar to the proof of Claim 3.1, relations (C1b) and (C4a) are unnecessary, too. Therefore relations (C1a), (C1b), (C2), (C3), (C4a), (C4b) drop out. For relation (A5), we apply Claim 3.2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (A5) &\iff (a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^{10} = \underline{(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^6} \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{\iff} (a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^{10} = (a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^5 \\ &\iff (a_2 a_3 a_4 b)^5 = 1 \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{\iff} \cdots \stackrel{\text{Claim 3.2}}{\iff} b^2 = 1 \iff (1). \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 without proofs of Claim 3.2 and Claim 3.3.

Proof of Claim 3.2.

$$(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)^{n+1} = (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) \cdots (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)$$

Let A_i ($i = n+1, n, \dots, 1$) be the i -th sequence $(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)$ from the right in the right-hand side. By using disjointness relations and braid relations, the above equation is deformed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)^{n+1} &= A_{n+1} A_n \cdots A_1 \\ &= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} \underline{g_n}) A_n A_{n-1} \cdots A_1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1})(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-2} \underline{g_n g_{n-1} g_n}) A_{n-1} \cdots A_1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1})(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) g_{n-1} A_{n-1} \cdots A_1. \end{aligned}$$

We replace the first sequence $(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)$ from the left in the bottom with A_n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)^{n+1} &= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n \underline{g_{n-1}} A_{n-1} \cdots A_1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-3} \underline{g_{n-1} g_{n-2} g_{n-1} g_n}) A_{n-2} \cdots A_1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-3} g_{n-2} g_{n-1} \underline{g_{n-2} g_n}) A_{n-2} \cdots A_1 \\ &\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) g_{n-2} A_{n-2} \cdots A_1. \end{aligned}$$

We replace the second sequence $(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)$ from the left in the bottom with A_{n-1} and repeat it. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n)^{n+1} &= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n A_{n-1} g_{n-2} A_{n-2} \cdots A_1 \\
&= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n A_{n-1} A_{n-2} g_{n-3} A_{n-3} \cdots A_1 \\
&\vdots \\
&= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}) A_n \cdots A_2 g_1 A_1 \\
&= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-2}) A_n g_{n-2} A_{n-1} \cdots A_2 g_1 A_1 \\
&\vdots \\
&= (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-2}) A_n \cdots A_3 g_1 A_2 g_1 A_1 \\
&\vdots \\
&= g_1 A_n \cdots g_1 A_3 g_1 A_2 g_1 A_1 \\
&= (g_1^2 g_2 \cdots g_n)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

Proof of Claim 3.3. Note that $b_2 = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5$. We first show the followings.

- (a) $a_i(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$.
- (b) $b(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_4 a_5 a_4$.
- (c) $a_5(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_4 b a_4$.

Relation (a) is obtained by an argument similar to the proof of Claim 3.2. The other relations are obtained by the following deformations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(b)} \quad b(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) &\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 \underline{b a_4 b} a_5 \stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 b a_4 a_5 \stackrel{(1)}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 b (a_5 a_5) a_4 a_5 \\
&\stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_4 a_5 a_4. \\
\text{(c)} \quad a_5(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) &\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 \underline{a_5 a_4 a_5} b \stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_4 b \stackrel{(1)}{=} a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 (\underline{b b}) a_4 b \\
&\stackrel{\text{BR}}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_4 b a_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove $bb_2 = b_2 b$ by using only relations (a), (b), (c), (1) and disjointness relations. It means the relation $bb_2 = b_2 b$ is unnecessary.

$$\begin{aligned}
bb_2 &= b(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5 \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) a_4 a_5 a_4 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^4 \\
&\stackrel{(a),(c)}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^2 a_3 a_4 b a_4 a_3 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^3 \\
&\stackrel{(a),(b)}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^3 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^2 \\
&\stackrel{(a),(c)}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^4 a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \underline{b a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b) \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^4 a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \underline{b a_5} b \\
&\stackrel{\text{DI}}{=} (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5 b \\
&= b_2 b.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

4. THE SECOND HOMOLOGY GROUP OF $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. First, we obtain a generating set for $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ when $g \geq 9$ by using the Hopf formula and applying the discussion of Pitsch [7]. More precisely, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For $g \geq 9$, $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by one element x_0 . x_0 is represented by the following element:*

$$A^{-7} B_1^{-2} B_2^{-4} B_3^{-6} B_4^4 B_5^2 B^{12} C^2,$$

where A, B_i ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), B and C are the followings.

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= b^2, \\ B_i &:= a_i a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1}^{-1} a_i^{-1} a_{i+1}^{-1}, \\ B &:= b a_4 b a_4^{-1} b^{-1} a_4^{-1}, \\ C &:= (a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^6 (a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} b^{-1})^6 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 b)^{-4} \\ &\quad \cdot (a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} b^{-1})^{-5}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall the classical Hopf formula. Let G be a group with finite presentation $G = \langle X | R \rangle$, where X is a finite subset of G and R is a finite set consisting of words of the elements of X . Then G is isomorphic to the quotient group F/K , where F is the free group which is generated by X and K is the normal subgroup of F which is normally generated by R . The classical *Hopf formula* states that

$$H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \frac{K \cap [F, F]}{[K, F]}.$$

We remark that $(K \cap [F, F])/[K, F]$ is an abelian group and any element of $(K \cap [F, F])/[K, F]$ is represented by a product of commutators of elements of F and by a product of conjugations of elements of R on F . Since $f k f^{-1} \equiv k$ in $K/[K, F]$ for any $f \in F$ and $k \in K$, every element of $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by $\prod r_i^{n_i}$, where $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_N\}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We modify the presentation for $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ for $g \geq 9$ in Theorem 1.1 to apply the Hopf formula to $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ easily.

At first we easily know that $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ admits a presentation with generators a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{g-1} and relators:

- (1) a_i^2 for $i = 0, \dots, g-1$,
- (2) $[a_i, a_j]$ for “ $j - i > 1$ and $i \neq 0$ ” or “ $i = 0$ and $j \neq 4$ ”,
- (3) $a_i a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1}^{-1} a_i^{-1} a_{i+1}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-2$
 $a_0 a_4 a_0 a_4^{-1} a_0^{-1} a_4^{-1}$,
- (4) $(a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_0)^6 (a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_0^{-1})^6 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_0)^{-4} (a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_0^{-1})^{-5}$,
- (5) $[a_{g-5}, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}]$ for $g \geq 8$ even,

where $a_0 = b$ and $b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}$ is inductively defined as follows: $b_1 = a_0$, $b_2 = (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 b)^5$, $b_{i+1} = (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3} b_i)^5 (b_{i-1} a_{2i} a_{2i+1} a_{2i+2} a_{2i+3})^{-6}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$.

Lemma 4.2. *In the above presentation, relators a_1^2, \dots, a_{g-1}^2 in (1) are unnecessary.*

Proof. By the relators (3), we can write a_1, \dots, a_{g-1} as conjugations of a_0 in $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ inductively, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= a_0 a_4 a_0^{-1} a_0^{-1}, \\ a_5 &= a_4 a_5 a_4^{-1} a_4^{-1}, & a_3 &= a_4 a_3 a_4^{-1} a_4^{-1}, \\ a_6 &= a_5 a_6 a_5^{-1} a_5^{-1}, & a_2 &= a_3 a_2 a_3^{-1} a_3^{-1}, \\ &\vdots & a_1 &= a_2 a_1 a_2^{-1} a_2^{-1}. \\ a_{g-1} &= a_{g-2} a_{g-1} a_{g-2}^{-1} a_{g-2}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus a_1^2, \dots, a_{g-1}^2 are conjugations of a_0^2 in $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$. □

We set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= a_0^2, \\ D_{i,j} &:= [a_i, a_j], \\ B_i &:= a_i a_{i+1} a_i^{-1} a_{i+1}^{-1}, \\ B &:= a_0 a_4 a_0^{-1} a_4^{-1}, \\ C &:= (a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_0)^6 (a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_0^{-1})^6 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6 a_0)^{-4} \\ &\quad (a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} a_0^{-1})^{-5}, \\ D &:= [a_{g-5}, b_{\frac{g-2}{2}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then any element x of $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by

$$x = A^n \left(\prod_{(*)} D_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g-2} B_i^{m_i} \right) B^m C^l D^{l'},$$

where $n, n_{i,j}, m_i, m, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(*)$ means the condition “ $j - i > 1$ and $i \neq 0$ ” or “ $i = 0$ and $j \neq 4$ ”.

Definition 4.3. Let G and F be groups which are given in the Hopf formula. For $g, h \in F$ such that $[g, h] = 1$ in G , we denote by $\{g, h\}$ the equivalence class of the commutator $[g, h] \in [F, F]$ in $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$.

Korkmaz and Stipsicz [4, Lemma 3.3] give the following relations in $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z})$. For $g, h, k \in G$ such that g commute with h and k ,

$$\begin{aligned} (I) \quad & \{g, hk\} = \{g, h\} + \{g, k\}, \\ (II) \quad & \{g, h^{-1}\} = -\{g, h\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that relation (I) is obtained from relation (II).

Let $\mathcal{T}(N_{g,n})$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(N_{g,n})$ generated by all Dehn twists and $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ the mapping class group of a compact connected orientable surface $\Sigma_{g,n}$ of genus g with n boundary components (i.e. $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self-diffeomorphisms on $\Sigma_{g,n}$ which fix each boundary component pointwise).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $g \geq 9$. If α and β are disjoint non-separating two-sided simple closed curves on N_g then $\{t_\alpha, t_\beta\} = 0$ in $H_2(\mathcal{T}(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$, where t_α and t_β are Dehn twists along simple closed curves α and β respectively.*

Proof. Let S be the surface obtained by cutting N_g along the simple closed curve α and g' the genus of S . Note that if g is even and S is orientable then $g' = \frac{g-2}{2} \geq \frac{10-2}{2} = 4$ and if g is odd or S is non-orientable then $g' = g - 2 \geq 7$ since $g \geq 9$. We regard t_β as an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g',2})$ when g is even and S is orientable or $\mathcal{T}(N_{g',2})$ when g is odd or S is non-orientable. Harer [2] proved that $H_1(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{h,n}); \mathbb{Z}) = 1$ for $h \geq 3$ and Stukow [9] proved that $H_1(\mathcal{T}(N_{h,n}); \mathbb{Z}) = 1$ for $h \geq 7$. Thus there exist $X_i, Y_i \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ or $\mathcal{T}(S)$ such that $t_\beta = \prod_i [X_i, Y_i]$. Note that X_i and Y_i commute with t_α . Therefore, in $H_2(\mathcal{T}(N_g); \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{t_\alpha, t_\beta\} &= \left\{ t_\alpha, \prod_i [X_i, Y_i] \right\} \stackrel{(I)}{=} \sum_i \{t_\alpha, [X_i, Y_i]\} \\ &\stackrel{(I) \& (II)}{=} \sum_i \left[\{t_\alpha, X_i\} + \{t_\alpha, Y_i\} - \{t_\alpha, X_i\} - \{t_\alpha, Y_i\} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the claim. \square

The homomorphism $\rho_2|_{\mathcal{T}(N_g)} : \mathcal{T}(N_g) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ induces a homomorphism $H_2(\mathcal{T}(N_g); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ on their homology groups. Hence the equivalence classes of $D_{i,j}$ and D in $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ are trivial by Lemma 4.4 and any element of $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by

$$x = A^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g-2} B_i^{m_i} \right) B^m C^l.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By the Hopf formula, any element of $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is a product of commutators of the free group generated by $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}\}$. Hence the exponent sum of each a_i in x is zero. The exponent sum of each a_i in x is the following.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{the exponent sum of } a_0) &= 2n + m + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_1) &= m_1 + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_2) &= -m_1 + m_2 + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_3) &= -m_2 + m_3 + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_4) &= -m_3 + m_4 - m + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_5) &= -m_4 + m_5 + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_6) &= -m_5 + m_6 + l, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_7) &= -m_6 + m_7, \\ &\vdots \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_{g-2}) &= -m_{g-3} + m_{g-2}, \\ (\text{the exponent sum of } a_{g-1}) &= -m_{g-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equations give $m_{g-2} = m_{g-3} = \cdots = m_7 = m_6 = 0$ and the following system of equations.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ m_4 \\ m_5 \\ m \\ l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By an elementary calculation, this matrix has rank 7 and so the linear map $\mathbb{Z}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^7$ has a 1-dimensional kernel. We can check the kernel is generated by the vector $(-7, -2, -4, -6, 4, 2, 12, 2)$. Therefore $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by x_0 which is represented by an element

$$A^{-7} B_1^{-2} B_2^{-4} B_3^{-6} B_4^4 B_5^2 B^{12} C^2.$$

Thus we finish the proof. \square

When $g \geq 7$ is odd, Theorem 1.2 is proved by Stein [8]. It is sufficient for a proof of Theorem 1.2 to show that $x_0 = 0$ when $g \geq 10$ is even.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that $\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ is isomorphic to $O(g, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{A \in GL(g, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mid {}^t A A = E\}$. Under this identification, we define the injective homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_g : \text{Aut}(H_1(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ A & \mapsto & \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right). \end{array}$$

Note that $\iota_g(a_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-2$ and $\iota_g(b) = b$. Let F and F' be free groups generated by $\{a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}, b\}$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_{g-2}, b\}$ respectively and $\nu : F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ and $\nu' : F' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot)$ natural projections. Then the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_g} & F \\ \nu' \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \nu \\ \text{Aut}(H_1(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & \text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot) \end{array}$$

The homomorphism $\tilde{\iota}_g : F' \rightarrow F$ is defined by $\tilde{\iota}_g(a_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g-2$ and $\tilde{\iota}_g(b) = b$. We denote the kernels of ν and ν' by K and K' respectively. By the Hopf formula, the restriction $\tilde{\iota}_g : K' \cap [F', F'] \rightarrow K \cap [F, F]$ of $\tilde{\iota}_g$ induces the homomorphism $\tilde{\iota}_{g*} : H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$. Since $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_{g-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $g \geq 10$ even ([8]), it is enough for the proof of Theorem 1.2 to show that $\tilde{\iota}_{g*}$ is surjective for $g \geq 10$. By Proposition 4.1, $H_2(\text{Aut}(H_1(N_g; \mathbb{Z}_2), \cdot); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by x_0 for $g \geq 9$ such that x_0 is represented

by $A^{-7}B_1^{-2}B_2^{-4}B_3^{-6}B_4^4B_5^2B^{12}C^2$. Thus we can check $\tilde{\iota}_{g*}(x'_0) = x_0$ by the definition of $\tilde{\iota}_g$, where x'_0 is represented by an element $A^{-7}B_1^{-2}B_2^{-4}B_3^{-6}B_4^4B_5^2B^{12}C^2 \in K' \cap [F', F']$. Therefore x_0 is trivial and we complete the proof. \square

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to express his gratitude to Hisaaki Endo, for his encouragement and helpful advices. The authors also wish to thank Susumu Hirose for his comments and helpful advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Funar and W. Pitsch, *Finite quotients of symplectic groups vs mapping class groups*, 33p., arXiv:1103.1855.
- [2] J. Harer, *The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, Invent. Math. **72**, **221** (1983).
- [3] M. Korkmaz, *First homology group of mapping class group of nonorientable surfaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **123** (1998), 487–499.
- [4] M. Korkmaz, A. Stipsicz, *The second homology groups of mapping class groups of orientable surfaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **134** (2003), 479–489.
- [5] J. D. McCarthy and U. Pinkall, *Representing homology automorphisms of nonorientable surfaces*, Max Planck Inst. Preprint MPI/SFB 85-11, revised version written on 26 Feb 2004, available from <http://www.math.msu.edu/~mccarthy/publications/selected.papers.html>.
- [6] L. Paris and B. Szepietowski, *A presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*, arXiv:1308.5856v1 [math.GT], 2013.
- [7] W. Pitsch, *Un calcul élémentaire de $H_2(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ pour $g \geq 4$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **329**, Série I (1999), 667–670.
- [8] M. R. Stein, *Surjective stability in dimension 0 for K_2 and related functors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 165–191.
- [9] M. Stukow, *The twist subgroup of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*, Osaka J. Math. **46** (2009), 717–738.
- [10] M. Stukow, *A finite presentation for the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface with Dehn twists and one crosscap slide as generators*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), no. 12, 2226–2239.
- [11] B. Szepietowski, *Crosscap slides and the level 2 mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*, Geom. Dedicata **160** (2012), 169–183.
- [12] B. Szepietowski, *Low dimensional linear representations of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface*, arXiv:1303.1917 [math.GT], 2013.
- [13] D. E. Taylor, *The geometry of the classical groups* (Heldermann Verlag 1992).

(RYOMA KOBAYASHI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, NODA, CHIBA, 278-8510, JAPAN
E-mail address: kobayashi_ryoma@ishikawa-nct.ac.jp

(GENKI OMORI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OH-
OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN
E-mail address: omori.g.aa@m.titech.ac.jp