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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a very simple general form of a deterministic system with power-law memory whose state can be described by one variable and evolution by a generating function. A new value of the system's variable is a total (a convolution) of the generating functions of all previous values of the variable with weights, which are powers of the time passed. In discrete cases these systems can be described by difference equations in which a fractional difference on the left hand side is equal to a total (also a convolution) of the generating functions of all previous values of the system's variable with fractional Eulerian number weights on the right hand side. In the continuous limit the considered systems can be described by Grünvald-Letnikov fractional differential equations, which are equivalent to the Volterra integral equations of the second kind. New properties of fractional Eulerian numbers and possible applications of the results are discussed.


## I. INTRODUCTION

In paper [1] we introduced $\alpha$-families of maps ( $\alpha \mathrm{FM}$ ) which correspond to a very general form of fractional differential equations of systems experiencing periodic kicks

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{\alpha} x}{d t^{\alpha}}+\tilde{G}_{K}(x(t-\Delta T)) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(\frac{t}{T}-(k+\varepsilon)\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{G}_{K}(x)$ is an arbitrary non-linear function, K is a parameter, $\varepsilon>\Delta>0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha>0$, in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, with the initial conditions corresponding to the type of the fractional derivative used. We investigated their general properties in [1] and the following articles [2-5]. These maps are maps with power-law memory in which the new value of the variable $x_{n+1}$ depends on all previous values $x_{k}(0 \leq k \leq n)$ of the same variable with weights proportional to the time passed $(n+1-k)$ to the power $(\alpha-1)$. For example, in the case of the Caputo fractional derivatives Eq. (1) leads to (for $T=1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{x_{0}^{(k)}}{k!}(n+1)^{k}-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{G}_{K}\left(x_{k}\right)(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{(k)}(t)=D_{t}^{k} x(t), x_{0}^{(k)}=x^{(k)}(0), 0 \leq N-1<\alpha \leq N, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}$.
Historically, the first maps with memory were considered as models for non-Markovian processes in general [6, 7] and, with regards to thermodynamic theory of systems with memory [8], as analogues of the integro-differential equations of non-equilibrium statistical physics [9-11]. The general form of the investigated maps was

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\sum_{k=m}^{n} V(n, k) G\left(x_{k}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(n, k)$ characterizes memory effects. Maps Eq. (3) with $m=0$ are called maps with long term memory. Maps in which the number of terms in the sum in Eq. (3) is bounded ( $m=n-M+1$ ) are called maps with short term memory or M-step memory maps.

In this paper we consider long term memory maps with power-law memory in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a parameter and $h$ is a constant time step between $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}$. These maps differ from the maps Eq. (2) by the sum of power functions depending on the initial conditions
of Eq. (1). They coincide in the case of the zero initial conditions, $h=1$, and $G_{K}\left(x_{k}\right)=$ $-\tilde{G}_{K}\left(x_{k}\right) / \Gamma(\alpha)$.

Interest in power-law memory maps is stimulated by the recent discovery of the large number of systems (mostly biological), not necessarily described by the fractional differential equations, with power-law memory. In the study of human memory, the accuracy on a memory tasks, decays as a power law, $\sim t^{-\beta}$, with $0<\beta<1[12-16]$. In the study of human learning, the reduction in reaction times that comes with practice is a power function of the number of training trials 17. Power-law adaptation has been used to describe the dynamics of biological systems in [16, 18-22]. As it has been shown recently, even processing of external stimuli by individual neurons can be described by fractional differentiation [23, 24]. Most of human organ tissues demonstrate viscoelastic properties [25-36]. This leads to their description by fractional differential equations with time fractional derivatives [37-45] which implies the power-law memory. In most of the biological systems with the power-law behavior $\left(\sim t^{\beta}\right)$ the power $\beta$ is between -1 and and 1 , which leads to $0<\alpha<2$ in Eq. (4).

Biological systems are not the only natural systems with power-law memory. In the continuous case these systems can be described by fractional differential equations and one may find many examples of such systems in the recent books on applications of fractional calculus [38, 46-58]. In physics, for example, common and general examples of systems with power-law memory include: Hamiltonian systems, in which transport can be described by the fractional Fokker-Plank-Kolmogorov equation and memory is the result of stickiness of trajectories in time to the islands of regular motion, [48, 59 61]; dielectric materials, where electromagnetic fields are described by equations with time fractional derivatives due to the universal response - the power-law frequency dependence of the dielectric susceptibility in a wide range of frequencies [50, 62-64]; materials with rheological properties and viscoelastic materials, in which non-integer order differential stress-strain relations give a minimal parameter set concise description of polymers and other viscoelastic materials with non-Debye relaxation and memory of strain history [38, 39, 41 43]. It is also interesting that the use fractional calculus (power-law memory) in control (fractional order control) makes it possible to improve performance of traditional controllers [52, 54].

Another motivation for the present paper comes from the first results of the investigation of fractional (power-law memory, see e.g., Eq. (2) [1] 5, 68-72]) and fractional difference (asymptotically power-law memory [3, 4]) maps. It has been shown that fractional and
fractional difference maps both demonstrate new type of attractors - cascade of bifurcations type trajectories (CBTT) (see Fig. (1) in which after a small number of iterations a trajectory converges to a period one trajectory (fixed point) which later bifurcates and becomes a $T=2$ sink and then follows the period doubling scenario typical for cascades of bifurcations in regular dynamics. The difference is that in regular dynamics a cascade of bifurcations is the result of a change in a non-linearity parameter and in CBTT a cascade of bifurcations occurs on a single attracting trajectory. CBTT were demonstrated in the simplest examples of harmonic and quadratic maps with power-law (and falling factorial, which is asymptotically power-law) memory derived from differential equations with the Riemann-Liouvill and Caputo fractional derivatives (and from Caputo fractional difference equations) with $\alpha \in(0,2)$. In regular continuous dynamical systems the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem shows that chaos can only arise in systems with more than two dimensions. This is a consequence of the fact that phase space trajectories can't intersect. Non-uniqueness of the solutions of


FIG. 1. Bifurcations and cascade of bifurcations type trajectories in fractional/(fractional difference) maps: (a). $\alpha$ - $K$ diagrams for the Caputo fractional (thin lines) and fractional difference (bold lines) Standard Maps (see [3]). Memory parameter $\alpha$ corresponds to the $\alpha$ in Eq. (4) and $K$ is a non-linearity parameter, which in the case $\alpha=2$ coincides with the non-linearity parameter in the regular Standard Map [73]. Fixed point in the origin is stable below the lower curves and chaos exists above the upper curves. Period doubling cascades of bifurcations occur between the lower and upper curves; (b). A single trajectory (CBTT) for the Caputo fractional difference Standard Map with $\alpha=0.1, K=2.4$, and the initial condition $x_{0}=0.1$; (c). A single trajectory (intermittent CBTT) for the Riemann-Liouvill fractional Standard Map with $\alpha=1.557$ and $K=4.21$.
the fractional differential equations makes intersection of trajectories possible and we conjecture that chaos and CBTT are possible in fractional systems with less than two dimensions. One of the goals of the present paper is to investigate a possibility of preserving chaotic behavior during a transition from discrete to continuous fractional systems in less than two dimensions.

There is also a fundamental question of the origin of the Universe and a related question of the origin of the memory of living species. Were there seeds of memory present at the origin of the Universe? Were the fundamental laws of nature memoryless or did they have some form of memory? One of the approaches is to assume that on the time and length scales smaller than Planck time and length the fundamental laws should have some memory and a feedback mechanism in order to manage its evolution. This is a purely philosophical question unless we show that the presence of memory may lead to a fundamentally different behavior of the Universe on the large scales and compare it with the observations. This is yet another motivation to investigate the very basic properties of systems with memory.

In what follows we prove the equivalence of the map Eq. (4) with the non-negative integer power-law memory $(\alpha=m>0)$ to the m-step memory map in Sec. $\Pi$ and prove a similar theorem for the maps with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ in Sec. III. In Sec. IV we consider behavior of the discrete maps with power-law memory and transition to the continuous limit as $h \rightarrow 0$; in this section we also discuss some properties of the fractional Eulerian numbers. In Secs. $\nabla$ and $\nabla I$ we summarize our results and discuss their possible applications.

## II. MAPS WITH NON-NEGATIVE INTEGER POWER-LAW MEMORY

If we assume $\alpha=1$, then the map Eq. (4) for $n>0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right), \quad x_{n}-x_{n-1}=G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right), \quad(n>1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and requires one initial condition $x_{0}$. Calculation of the second backward difference from Eq. (4) for $x_{n}$ in the case $\alpha=2$ for $n>0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right), \quad x_{2}=2 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right), \quad x_{n}-2 x_{n-1}+x_{n-2}=G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right), \quad(n>2) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition $x_{0}$. It is easy to see that for $\alpha=3(n>3)$ and $\alpha=4(n>4)$ calculating the third and the fourth backward differences for $x_{n}$ we obtain correspondingly
$x_{1}=G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right), \quad x_{2}=4 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right), \quad x_{3}=9 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+4 G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{2}, h\right)$,
$x_{n}-3 x_{n-1}+3 x_{n-2}-x_{n-3}=G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{n-2}, h\right), \quad(n>3)$
and
$x_{1}=G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right), \quad x_{2}=8 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right), \quad x_{3}=27 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+8 G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{2}, h\right)$,
$x_{4}=64 G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+27 G_{K}\left(x_{1}, h\right)+8 G_{K}\left(x_{2}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{3}, h\right)$,
$x_{n}-4 x_{n-1}+6 x_{n-2}-4 x_{n-3}+x_{n-4}=G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right)+4 G_{K}\left(x_{n-2}, h\right)+G_{K}\left(x_{n-3}, h\right), \quad(n>4)$.
Corresponding summations of Eqs. (5) (6) (77) (8) with weights $(n-k)^{\alpha-1}$ yield Eq. (4).
Based on Eqs. (5)-(8) we may expect the following theorem:
Theorem 1 Any long term memory map

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right), \quad(n>0) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is equivalent to the m-step memory map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right), \quad(0<n \leq m) \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} x_{n-k}=\delta_{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} A(m-1, k) G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}, h\right),(n>m)(10)
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eq. (10) the alternating sum on the left hand side (LHS) is the $m^{\text {th }}$ backward difference for the $x_{n} ; \delta_{i}$ is the Kronecker delta $\left(\delta_{0}=1 ; \delta_{i \neq 0}=0\right) ; A(n, k)$ are the Euleruan numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(n, k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{n+1}{j}(k+1-j)^{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)$ which satisfy the recurrence formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(n, k)=(k+1) A(n-1, k)+(n-k) A(n-1, k-1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1. To prove that Eq. (9) leads to Eq. (10) we modify the left side of Eq. (10) using

Eq. (9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} x_{n-k}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1}(n-k-i)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right)=S_{1}+S_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are the sums taken over the points in the upper triangular and the bottom rectangular areas in Fig. 2 correspondingly. After changing the order of summation in $S_{1}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\sum_{i=n-m}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1-i}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-k-i)^{m-1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

After introduction $j=n-i-1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{n-j-1}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(j+1-k)^{m-1}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A(m-1, j) G_{K}\left(x_{n-j-1}, h\right) \\
& =\delta_{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{n-1}, h\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} A(m-1, k) G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}, h\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we took into account that according to Eq. (21) below

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(m-1, m-1)=\delta_{m-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second sum we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-k-i)^{m-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right) S_{3}(m, n-i), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 2. The area of summation.
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}(m, j)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(j-k)^{m-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(m+1 \leq j \leq n)$.
Let's show that $S_{3}(m, j)=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{3}(m, j)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(j-k)^{m-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{i} k^{i} j^{m-1-i}\binom{m-1}{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{i} j^{m-1-i}\binom{m-1}{i} S_{4}(m, i)=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

because

$$
S_{4}(m, i)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} k^{i}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } 0 \leq i<m  \tag{20}\\ m!(-1)^{m}, & \text { if } i=m\end{cases}
$$

A simple proof of Eq. (20) by induction can be found in [65] and a very elegant and short proof using generating functions can be found on page 13 of [66].

For $m>1$
$A(m-1, m-1)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(m-k)^{m-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(m-k)^{m-1}=S_{3}(m, m)=0$.

This ends the first part of the proof.
2. Let's prove that if Eq. (9) is valid for $n-m \leq k<n(n>m)$ then, given Eq. (10), it is also valid for $k=n$. Eq. (10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A(m-1, k) G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}, h\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} x_{n-k}=S_{1 n}-S_{2 n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of $A(n, k)$, Eq. (11), in $S_{1 n}$ and substituting summation index $k$ by $j=n-k-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1 n}=\sum_{j=n-m}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-j-k)^{m-1} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (9) and changing the order of summation in $S_{2 n}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2 n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-j-k)^{m-1} \\
& +\sum_{j=n-m}^{n-2} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-j-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-j-k)^{m-1} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Now Eq. (22) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=\sum_{j=n-m}^{n-1}(n-j)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-j-k)^{m-1} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(n-j)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{j}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}[(n-j)-k]^{m-1} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using binomial formula and Eq. (20) it is easy to prove that the last sum is equal zero.
This ends the proof of Theorem [1.

## III. MAPS WITH REAL POWER-LAW MEMORY

Let's consider the following total usually used to define the Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivative (see [37, 46]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x_{n-k}=(-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1}(n-k-i)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right)= \\
& (-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}(n-k-i)^{\alpha-1}=  \tag{26}\\
& (-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{i}, h\right) A(\alpha-1, n-i-1),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real number. Transformation from the first to the second line in Eq. (26) requires changing of the order of summations and can be seen on the same Fig. 2 if one assumes $m=n-1$. We used the standard definition (see [37, 46])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\alpha}{n}=\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1) \ldots(\alpha-n+1)}{n!}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\alpha-n+1)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the definition of the Eulerian numbers with fractional order parameters introduced in [67]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\alpha, k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha+1}{j}(k+1-j)^{\alpha} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Validity of Eq. (4) for $n=1$ follows from Eq. (26) with $n=1$. If we assume that Eq. (4) is true for $k \leq n$, then from Eq. (26) written for $n+1$ follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=-\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^{s}\binom{\alpha}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{n-s}(n-s-k+1)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) \sum_{s=0}^{n-k}(-1)^{s}\binom{\alpha}{s}(n-k-s+1)^{\alpha-1}  \tag{29}\\
& =-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) \sum_{s=1}^{n-k}(-1)^{s}\binom{\alpha}{s}(n-s-k+1)^{\alpha-1} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) \sum_{s=0}^{n-k}(-1)^{s}\binom{\alpha}{s}(n-s-k+1)^{\alpha-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we may formulate the following theorem:
Theorem 2 Any long term memory map

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right), \quad(n>0) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is equivalent to the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x_{n-k}=(-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}, h\right) A(\alpha-1, k) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=0$ Eq. (31) yields the identity $x_{0}=x_{0}$ and for $n=1$ it yields $x_{1}=G_{K}\left(x_{0}, h\right)$ (notice that $A(\alpha, 0)=1$ ). In the case of a positive integer $\alpha=m \mathrm{Eq}$. (31) is equivalent to (in the case $n>m$ ) Eq. (10). This follows from the the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{m}{k}=0 \quad \text { for }(k>m), A(m-1, k)=0 \text { for } k>m-1, \text { and Eq. (16). } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The property $A(m-1, k)=0$ for $k>m-1$ follows from Eq. (16) and repeated applications of the recurrence formula Eq. (12): diagonal elements $A(j, j)$ are equal to zero and each element $A(n, k)$ is a linear combination of the elements to the left $A(n, k-1)$ and below $A(n+1, k)$ with respect to this element.

## IV. BEHAVIOR OF SYSTEMS WITH REAL POWER-LAW MEMORY

## A. Discrete Systems

For any finite $h$, systems with power-law memory are discrete systems. Their behavior for $\alpha>0$ was preliminarily investigated in papers [1-5, 68-72]. In the most important for biological applications cases, $0<\alpha<2$, the investigation is more detailed and is done on the examples of the fractional Standard and Logistic maps. Maps with $m-1<\alpha \leq m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are equivalent to $m$-dimensional maps. For integer values of $\alpha=m>1$ these maps are m-dimensional volume preserving maps with no (one-step) memory. It is easy to see that after the introduction

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{k}^{(0)}=x_{k} \\
x_{k}^{(1)}=x_{k}^{(0)}-x_{k-1}^{(0)} \\
\cdots,  \tag{33}\\
x_{k}^{(r)}=x_{k}^{(r-1)}-x_{k-1}^{(r-1)} \\
\cdots, \\
x_{k}^{(m-1)}=x_{k}^{(m-2)}-x_{k-1}^{(m-2)}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k \geq m-1$, the map Eq. (10) can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{n}^{(m-1)}=x_{n-1}^{(m-1)}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} A(m-1, k) G_{K}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i} x_{n-1}^{(i)}, h\right)  \tag{34}\\
=x_{n-1}^{(m-1)}+F\left(x_{n-1}^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{(m-2)}\right), \\
x_{n}^{(m-2)}=x_{n-1}^{(m-2)}+x_{n}^{(m-1)}, \\
\ldots, \\
x_{n}^{(m-k)}=x_{n-1}^{(m-k)}+x_{n}^{(m-k+1)}, \\
\cdots, \\
x_{n}^{(0)}=x_{n-1}^{(0)}+x_{n}^{(1)} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Jacobian matrix $(m \times m)$ of this transformation $J_{\left(x_{n+1}^{(0)}, x_{n+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{n+1}^{(m-1)}\right)}\left(x_{n}^{(0)}, x_{n}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(m-1)}\right)$ is

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(0)}} & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(1)}} & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(2)}} & \ldots & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(m-2)}} & 1 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(0)}} & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(1)}} & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(2)}} & \ldots & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(m-2)}} & 1 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(0)}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(1)}} & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(2)}} & \ldots & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(m-2)}} & 1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(0)}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(2)}} & \ldots & 1+\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(m-2)}} & 1 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(0)}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(1)}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(2)}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n}^{(m-2)}} & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

The first column of this matrix can be written as the sum of the column with one in the first row and the remaining zeros and the column which is equal to $\partial F / \partial x_{n}^{(0)}$ times the last column. The determinant of the latter one is zero. It is easy to show recursively that determinant of the former one is equal to one and the map Eq (34) indeed is volume preserving.

As it has been shown in paper [1], the complexity of the behavior of discrete systems with positive power law memory increases with the increase in power. When the power is fractional, systems demonstrate the new types of behavior which include the new types of attractors and the non-uniqueness of solutions. The new types of attractors include cascade of bifurcations types trajectories (CBTT) and intermittent CBTT. As a result of the nonuniqueness, attractors may overlap and phase space trajectories intersect. Systems with $\alpha \leq 0$ are not investigated.

## B. Continuous Systems

Let's assume, according to the general approach in the definition of the Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivative, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x(t), \quad x_{k}=x\left(t_{k}\right), \quad t_{k}=a+k h, \quad n h=t-a \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. If one divides Eq. (10) by $h^{m}$ in the case of positive integer values of $\alpha$ and considers a limit $h \rightarrow 0+$, then the left side of the resulting equation will give the $m^{t h}$ derivative from $x(t)$ at the time $t$. If we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{K}(x, h)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h^{\alpha} G_{K}(x) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{K}(x)$ is continuous, then $x(t) \in C^{m}$. The map Eq. (4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h \sum_{k=0, n h=t-a}^{n-1}\left(t-t_{k}\right)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ Theorem 1 can be formulated as a well-known result

Theorem 3 The Volterra integral equation of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{G_{K}(x(\tau)) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-m}}, \quad(t>a) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_{K}(x) \in C^{0}$ on the range $D \in \mathbb{R}$ of the function $x(t)(t \in[a, b])$, is equivalent on $[a, b]$ to the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{m} x(t)}{d t^{m}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A(m-1, k) G_{K}(x(t))=G_{K}(x(t)) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the classical result $\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} A(m-1, k)=\Gamma(m)$, with the zero initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{d^{k} x(t)}{d t^{k}}(t=a)=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

While discrete equations Eqs. (19) and (10) have a unique solutions for any function $G_{K}(x)$, the corresponding continuous equations Eqs. (38) and (39) require the Lipschitz condition on $G_{K}(x)$ in $D$. Because this is not essential for this paper, in what follows we always assume that the $G_{K}(x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition in $D$.

In the case $c_{k} \neq 0$ the well-known equivalence of the differential equation Eq. (39) to the Volterra integral equation of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(k+1)}(t-a)^{k}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{G_{K}(x(\tau)) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-m}}, \quad(t>a) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ from the generalization of Theorem [1:

Theorem 4 Any long term memory map

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(k+1)}(n h)^{k}+\frac{h^{m}}{\Gamma(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}\right), \quad(n>0) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is equivalent to the $m$-step memory map

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(k+1)}(n h)^{k}+\frac{h^{m}}{\Gamma(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{m-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}\right), \quad(0<n \leq m), \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} x_{n-k}=\frac{h^{m}}{\Gamma(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A(m-1, k) G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}\right), \quad(n>m) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 ,

1. The first part of the proof uses the fact that for $n>m m^{t h}$ backward difference of the first sum in Eq. (42) is equal to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)}[(n-k) h]^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-k)^{i} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

After we apply the binomial formula to $(n-k)^{i}$ and use the identity Eq. (20) it is clear that the internal sum on the right hand side (RHS) is equal to zero.
2. In the second part of the proof an additional term on the RHS of Eq. (24) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)}[(n-k) h]^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(n-k)^{i} \\
& =-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)}(n h)^{i}, \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem (4).
3. From Eq. (43) follows that $x(a)=x_{0}=c_{0}$ and for $0<n<m$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{(n)}(a)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k} x_{n-k}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)}(n-k)^{i} \\
& =\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{i}}{\Gamma(i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k}(n-k)^{i}=c_{n} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last sum all terms with $i<n$ are zeros because of Eq. (20); limit $h \rightarrow 0$ of all terms with $i>n$ is also zero; when $i=n$ the only term which gives non-zero sum over $k$ in the binomial expansion of $(n-k)^{n}$ is $(-1)^{n} k^{n}$ and the corresponding sum is $n$ !.

As we mentioned in Sec. [I. a transition from discrete to continuous dynamical system in the case $m=2$ results in the disappearance of chaos, which, in general, should not be
the case for systems with non-degenerate memory and for the case, which is important in applications, $0<\alpha<2$, we may expect that corresponding continuous systems will still have chaotic solutions.

Let's consider the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ for fractional $\alpha>0$ in Eq. (31) divided by $h^{\alpha}$ given Eq. (35)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x_{n-k}=(-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h^{\alpha} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}\right) A(\alpha-1, k)\right\} . \\
& n h=t-a \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The LHS of Eq. (47) coincides with the definition of the Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivative:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x_{n-k}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x(t-k h)={ }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t), \\
n h=t-a  \tag{48}\\
\\
n h=t-a
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x(t)$ is assumed to be $\lceil\alpha\rceil$ times continuously differentiable on $[a, t]$. The first term on the RHS of Eq. (47) is equal to zero:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha}(-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}=(-1)^{n} x_{0}(t-a)^{-\alpha} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{n}  \tag{49}\\
& n h=t-a
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|n^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{n}\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{n^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(1-(n-\alpha))}\right|=\left|\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sin (\pi \alpha)}{\pi}\right| \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\alpha} \Gamma(n-\alpha)}{n!}= \\
& \left|\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sin (\pi \alpha)}{\pi}\right| \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\alpha} n^{-\alpha}(n-1)!}{n!}=\left|\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \sin (\pi \alpha)}{\pi}\right| \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}=0 . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the well known properties of the Gamma-function: $\Gamma(1-z) \Gamma(z)=\pi / \sin (\pi z)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(n+\alpha) /\left[\Gamma(n) n^{\alpha}\right]=1$.

The evaluation of the last term in Eq. (47) will require some revision of the results obtained in [67, 74]:

1. The last theorem (Theorem 9) proven in 67], which states that for any $\alpha>1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(\alpha, k)=\Gamma(\alpha+1) \int_{k}^{k+1} p_{\alpha}(x) d x  \tag{51}\\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(\alpha, k)=\Gamma(\alpha+1) \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
p_{\alpha}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0  \tag{53}\\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 \leq j<x}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha}{j}(x-j)^{\alpha-1}, & 0<x<\infty \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is based on the results from [74] which are obtained for $\alpha>0$. The one line proof of Theorem 9 in [67] is nowhere violated for $0<\alpha \leq 1$. Thus, we assume that Eqs. (51) and (52) are true for $\alpha>0$.
2. According to the asymptotic formula for large $k$ from the fifth page of [74] for $\alpha>0$, integer $k$, and $0<\Theta \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}(k+\Theta)=O\left(k^{-\alpha-1}\right) \Theta^{\alpha-1}+O\left(k^{-\alpha-1}+k^{\alpha-[\alpha]-2}\right) . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\alpha-1, k)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha}{j}(k+1-j)^{\alpha-1}=\Gamma(\alpha) p_{\alpha}(k+1)=O\left(k^{-\alpha-1}+k^{\alpha-[\alpha]-2}\right) . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a continuous function $x(\tau)$ attains its maximum $x_{m a x}$ and minimum $x_{m i n}$ values on $[a, t]$ and is bounded $\left(|x|<M_{1}\right)$. Assuming that $G_{K}(x)$ is a continuous function on $\left[x_{\text {min }}, x_{\text {max }}\right]$, this function is also bounded $\left(\left|G_{K}(x)\right|<M_{2}\right)$. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}\right) A(\alpha-1, k)\right| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M_{2} O\left(k^{-\alpha-1}+k^{\alpha-[\alpha]-2}\right)<\infty \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $\alpha>0$ we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k}\right) A(\alpha-1, k) \\
& n h=t-a \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N_{1}} G_{K}\left(x\left(t-\frac{k}{n}(t-a)\right)\right) A(\alpha-1, k)+\sum_{k=N_{1}+1}^{\infty} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k}\right) A(\alpha-1, k),  \tag{57}\\
& n h=t-a
\end{align*}
$$

where for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $N$ such that for $\forall N_{1}>N$ the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k=N_{1}+1}^{\infty} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k}\right) A(\alpha-1, k)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (57) by choosing $n>N_{2} \gg N_{1}$ the argument of the function $x(\tau)$ in the first sum on the right can be made arbitrarily close to $t$ so that due to the continuity of $x(\tau)$ and $G_{K}(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N_{1}}\left[G_{K}\left(x\left(t-\frac{k}{n}(t-a)\right)\right)-G_{K}(x(t)] A(\alpha-1, k)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right. \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (56)-(59) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k}\right) A(\alpha-1, k)=G_{K}\left(x(t) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A(\alpha-1, k),\right.  \tag{60}\\
& n h=t-a
\end{align*}
$$

where the series on the right converges absolutely for $\alpha>0$ according to Eq. (55). According to Eqs. (52) and (39) for $\alpha \geq 1$ the sum on the right is equal to $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and in the limit $h \rightarrow \infty$ we may formulate the following theorem:

Theorem 5 For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 1$ The Volterra integral equation of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{G_{K}(x(\tau)) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad(t>a) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{K}(x(\tau))$ is a continuous on $x \in\left[x_{\min }(\tau), x_{\max }(\tau)\right], \tau \in[a, t]$ function is equivalent to the fractional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=G_{k}(x(t)), \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the derivative on the left is the Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivative, with the zero initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{d^{k} x(t)}{d t}(t=a)=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots,\lceil\alpha\rceil-1 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The methods used in [67, 74] do not allow us to prove Eq. (52) for $-1<\alpha<0$ but based on the convergence of the series in Eq. (60) we'll formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture 6 Theorem 5 is valid for $0<\alpha<1$.

Theorem 5 and Conjecture 6 is not a new result. It is known (see [37, 46, 47]) that Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivatives coincide in the case $c_{k}=d^{k} x(t) / d t(t=a)=0$, $k=0,1, \ldots,[\alpha]$ and also that for $x(t) \in C^{[\alpha]}[a, T]$ and integrable $x^{[\alpha]+1}(t)$ in $[a, T](a<t<T)$ Riemann-Liouville and Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivatives ${ }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)$ coincide.

For $t>a$ the left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{R L} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=D_{t}^{n}{ }_{a} I_{t}^{n-\alpha} x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{x(\tau) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha-n+1}}, \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n-1 \leq \alpha<n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}, D_{t}^{n}=d^{n} / d t^{n}$, and ${ }_{0} I_{t}^{\alpha}$ is a fractional integral. In the definition of the left-sided Caputo fractional derivative the order of integration and differentiation is switched:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)={ }_{a} I_{t}^{n-\alpha} D_{t}^{n} x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{D_{\tau}^{n} x(\tau) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha-n+1}} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [75, 76] Kilbas and Marzan showed that fractional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=G_{K}(t, x(t)), \quad 0<\alpha, \quad t \in[a, T] \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{k} x(t)}{d t}(t=a)=c_{k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots,\lceil\alpha\rceil-1 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(k+1)}(t-a)^{k}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{G_{K}(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad(t>a) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the space $C^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1}[a, T]$. A similar result for the equivalence of the equation with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{R L} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=G_{k}(t, x(t)), \quad 0<\alpha \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{a}^{R L} D_{t}^{\alpha-k} x\right)(a+)=c_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,\lceil\alpha\rceil \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the Volterra integral equation of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}(t-a)^{\alpha-k}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{G_{K}(\tau, x(\tau)) d \tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad(t>a) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x(t) \in L(a, T)$ and $G(t, x(t)) \in L(a, T)$ was proved by Kilbas, Bonilla, and Trujillo in [77, 78].

On one hand, in the case of $x(t) \in C^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1}[a, T]$ and the zero initial conditions all above defined derivatives are equivalent and Eq. (62) is equivalent to Eq. (61). On the other hand we saw that for $\alpha>0$ Eq. (61) is equivalent (see Eq. (60)) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} G_{K}\left(x(t) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} A(\alpha-1, k) .\right. \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves Conjecture 6 and Eq. (52) for $\alpha>-1$.
We'll end this section with the theorem which in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ yields the equivalence of problem Eq. (69) and Eq. (70) to the problem Eq. (71) in the case $c_{\lceil\alpha\rceil}=0$, which corresponds to a finite value of $x(a)$ :

Theorem 7 Any long term memory map

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}(n h)^{\alpha-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right), \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is equivalent to the map

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} x_{n-k}-\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k-1) \\
& =(-1)^{n}\binom{\alpha}{n} x_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} G_{K}\left(x_{n-k-1}, h\right) A(\alpha-1, k) . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. 1. The first part of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2 plus the following result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}[(n-k) h]^{\alpha-i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}(n-k)^{\alpha-i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k-1) . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}(n-k)^{\alpha-i}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{j}\binom{\alpha-i+1}{k-j}(n-k)^{\alpha-i} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{j} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha-i+1}{k-j}(n-k)^{\alpha-i} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{i-1}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha-i+1}{k}(n-k-j)^{\alpha-i} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k-1), \quad 0<i<\lceil\alpha\rceil . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

2. Eq. (74) with $n=1$ yields Eq. (731). If we assume that Eq. (73) is true for $k \leq n$, then we may write the equation for $x_{n+1}$ as in Eq. (29) with two additional terms on the RHS:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k) \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}(n+1-k)^{\alpha-i}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k)  \tag{77}\\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i} h^{\alpha-i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}(n+1-k)^{\alpha-i}-(n+1)^{\alpha-i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{k}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}[(n+1) h]^{\alpha-k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}(n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} G_{K}\left(x_{k}, h\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

3. From fractional calculus it is known that the Grünvald-Letnikov fractional derivative of the power function $f(t)=(t-a)^{\beta}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha}(t-a)^{\beta}= \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}[(n-k) h]^{\beta}=\frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(-\alpha+\beta+1)}(t-a)^{\beta-\alpha}, \\
& n h=t-a \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha<0, \beta>-1$ or $0 \leq m \leq \alpha<m+1, \beta>m$ (see Sec. 2.2.4 in [37]). This yields for $\beta=\alpha-i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta, \alpha>0$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \quad h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}[(n-k) h]^{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma(\beta+1)(t-a)^{-i} /(-i)!, & i<0  \tag{79}\\
\Gamma(\beta+1), & i=\alpha-\beta=0 \\
0, & i>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $k=1,2, \ldots,\lceil\alpha\rceil-1$ Eq. (73) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(a+)=\lim _{t \rightarrow a+} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{k-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha-k}{j} x_{n-j} \\
& n h=t-a \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow a+} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{k-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha-k}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}[(n-j) h)^{\alpha-i} \\
& n h=t-a \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)} \lim _{t \rightarrow a+} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \quad h^{k-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha-k}{j}[(n-j) h)^{\alpha-i} \\
& n h=t-a \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\alpha\rceil-1} \frac{c_{i}}{\Gamma(\alpha-i+1)}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\lim _{t \rightarrow a+} \Gamma(\alpha-i+1)(t-a)^{k-i} /(k-i)!, & k>i ; \\
\Gamma(\alpha-i+1), & i=k ; \\
0, & k<i .
\end{array} \quad=c_{k}\right. \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

The direct calculation of the LHS of $\mathrm{Eq}(79)$ with $m=-i \geq 0$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}[(n-k) h]^{\beta}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\beta-m}{k}(n-k)^{\beta} \\
& n h=t-a \quad n h=t-a \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}(n-k)^{\beta} \sum_{j_{0}=0}^{k}(-1)^{j_{0}}\binom{\beta-m+1}{k-j_{0}} \\
& n h=t-a \\
& =(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-m} \sum_{j_{0}=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j_{0}-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{\beta-m+1}{k}\left(n-j_{0}-k\right)^{\beta} \\
& =(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-m} \sum_{j_{0}=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j_{0}}(-1)^{k}\binom{\beta-m+1}{k}\left(j_{0}+1-k\right)^{\beta} \\
& =(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-m} \sum_{j_{0}=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{j_{0}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{j_{1}} \ldots \sum_{k=0}^{j_{m}}(-1)^{k}\binom{\beta+1}{k}\left(j_{m}+1-k\right)^{\beta} \\
& =(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-m} \sum_{j_{0}=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{j_{0}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{j_{1}} \ldots \sum_{j_{m}=0}^{j_{m-1}} A\left(\beta, j_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m!}(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(m+n-s)}{n^{m} \Gamma(n-s)} A(\beta, s) \\
& =\frac{1}{m!}(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} D(m, n, s) A(\beta, s)=\frac{1}{m!}(t-a)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=\frac{1}{m!} \Gamma(\beta+1)(t-a)^{m} \text {. } \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

The transition within the sixth line of this chain of transformations is based on the Theorem 1 from [4], which states that for $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} \Delta_{t}^{-n} f(t)=\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{s=a}^{t-n}(t-s-1)^{(n-1)} f(s)=\sum_{s^{0}=a}^{t-n} \sum_{s^{1}=a}^{s^{0}} \ldots \sum_{s^{n-1}=a}^{s^{n-2}} f\left(s^{n-1}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where falling factorial function $t^{(\alpha)}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{(\alpha)}=\frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\alpha)} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=0$ the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(m+n-s)}{n^{m} \Gamma(n-s)} A(\beta, s)=\Gamma(\beta+1) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

coincides with Eq. (52), which is true for $\beta>-1$. Series $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} A(\beta, s)$ converges absolutely and $D(m, n, s)$, which is a product of m factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(m, n, s)=\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{s-1}{n}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{s-m+1}{n}\right)<\left(1+\frac{m}{n}\right)^{m} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded. This means that $S_{n}$ converges absolutely to some $S$. For $\forall \varepsilon>0$ there exists $N_{1}$ such that for $\forall N \geq N_{1}$ simultaneously $\left|\sum_{s=N_{1}}^{N_{2}-1} D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right) A(\beta, s)\right|<\varepsilon / 3$ and $\mid \Gamma(\beta+1)-$ $\sum_{s=0}^{N_{1}-1} A(\beta, s) \mid<\varepsilon / 3$. For $N_{2} \gg N_{1}$ and $s \leq N_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-m \frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}<\left(1-\frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}\right)^{m}<D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right)<\left(1+\frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}\right)^{m}<1+\frac{m^{2}}{N_{2}}+o\left(\frac{m^{2}}{N_{2}}\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right)-1\right|<m \frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\forall N_{2}>N_{\varepsilon}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\varepsilon}=\frac{3 m N_{1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}|A(\beta, s)|}{\varepsilon}, \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|S_{N_{2}}-\Gamma(\beta+1)\right|=\left|\sum_{s=0}^{N_{2}-1} D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right) A(\beta, s)-\Gamma(\beta+1)\right|<\left|\sum_{s=N_{1}}^{N_{2}-1} D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right) A(\beta, s)\right| \\
& +\sum_{s=0}^{N_{1}-1}\left|D\left(m, N_{2}, s\right)-1\right||A(\beta, s)|+\left|\sum_{s=0}^{N_{1}-1} A(\beta, s)-\Gamma(\beta+1)\right|<\varepsilon \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that $S=\Gamma(\beta+1)$.
If in Eq. (79) $i>0$, then using Eq. (76), we may write

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}[(n-k) h]^{\beta}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-i} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{\alpha}{k}(n-k)^{\alpha-i} \\
n h=t-a \\
n h=t-a
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& n=(t-a)^{-i} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k-1) . \tag{90}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing Eq. (90) to Eq. (79) we may formulate a new property of Eulerian numbers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{i-1}{k} A(\alpha-i, n-k-1)=0, \quad(i>0) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

## V. SUMMARY

Here we summarize the main results obtained in this paper. We start with the fractional difference calculus. Theorem 2 can be formulated as the equivalence of maps with power-law memory (power $\alpha-1$ ) generated by a function $G_{K}(x, h)$, where $x$ is the map's variable, $K$ is
a parameter, and $h$ is the map's step (constant time between two consecutive iterations), to fractional difference equations in which Grünvald-Letnikov like fractional difference operator acting on the map's variable on the LHS is equal to the convolution of the values of the generating function from all previous steps $k$ with the Eulerian numbers $A(\alpha-1, k)$ on the RHS. In the case of the integer power-law memory this theorem can be formulated as a simpler result (Theorem 1): any long term non-negative integer power-law memory (power $m-1$ ) map is equivalent to a m-step memory map (the $m^{\text {th }}$ backward difference on the LHS is equal to the convolution of the generating functions from the $\operatorname{MAX}(1, m-1)$ previous values of the map's variable with the Eulerian numbers $A(m-1, k)$ on the RHS). Maps with long term posititve integer $(m>1)$ power-law memory are equivalent to m-dimensional volume preserving maps with no (one-step) memory.

In the continuous limit $(h \rightarrow 0)$ Theorems 1 and 2 yield the well-known results of the equivalence of differential equations to the integral Volterra equations of the second kind in both integer and fractional cases. In the process of transition to the continuous limit we were able to prove that the property of Eulerian numbers $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(\alpha, k)=\Gamma(\alpha+1)$, Eq.(52), known for $\alpha>1$, is true for $\alpha>-1$ and obtained a new property of Eulerian numbers Eq. (91).

## VI. CONCLUSION

Phase space of discrete non-linear integer maps with power-law memory may demonstrate islands of stability and chaotic areas. These maps are well investigated for $m=2$ but investigation of general properties of such maps for $m>2$ is far from completion. Eq. (5) yields the regular logistic map if we assume $G_{K}(x, h)=-G_{K}^{L}(x)=-x+K x(1-x)$. Eq. (34) with $G_{K}(x, h)=-G_{K}^{S M}(x)=-K \sin (x)$ yields the regular standard map. This is why we'll call maps Eqs. (91), (10), (73), and (74) with $G_{K}(x, h)=-G_{K}^{L}(x)$ the logistic maps with memory or the fractional logistic maps and with $G_{K}(x, h)=-G_{K}^{S M}(x)$ the standard maps with memory or the fractional standard maps. Initial investigation of maps with long term fractional power-law memory in [1] 5, 68-72] has been done on the examples of the fractional logistic and standard maps with $0<\alpha<3$. New types of attractors (CBTT) were obtained for $0<\alpha<2$.

If we consider Eq. (74) with $G_{K}(x, h)=h^{\alpha} K G(x)$, then, up to the term depending on
the initial conditions, solution of this fractional difference equation depends only on the product $h^{\alpha} K$. This type of systems includes fractional standard map $(G(x)=-\sin (x))$ and a system, which in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ yields the fractional logistic differential equation $(G(x)=x(1-x))$. In the case $h=1$ for $0<\alpha<2$ the fractional standard and logistic maps with $|K| \lesssim 1$ have only sinks (see Fig. [1a) (no chaos). We may conclude that for small $h$ there will be no chaotic trajectories for $|K| \lesssim h^{-\alpha}$, which implies a possibility that in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ the fractional logistic differential equation and the limit of the fractional standard map $\left(D^{\alpha} x(t) / D t^{\alpha}=K \sin (x)\right)$ will have no chaotic solutions for $0<\alpha<2$. This kind of reasoning may not work for all fractional systems. The stability of the $x=1$ fixed point of the fractional logistic differential equation also follows from the elementary stability analysis (see, e.g., [79]). In [80], on the basis of the analysis of two fractional order autonomous non-linear systems, authors conjectured that chaos may exist in autonomous non-linear systems with a total system's order of $2+\varepsilon$, where $0<\varepsilon<1$.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no prove that chaos can't exist in fractional systems of the order less than two. To prove it or to find a counterexample is a challenging problem. Another challenging problem is to investigate if there are analogs of cascade of bifurcations type trajectories in continuous systems.
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